## The association between healthy habits and healthy minds in today's youth

Inaugural dissertation to be awarded the degree of Dr. sc. med. presented at the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Basel

> by Ranin Darkhawaja

From Ramallah, Palestine

### **Basel**, 2024

Original document stored on the publication server of the University of Basel edoc.unibas.ch



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Approved by the Faculty of Medicine on application of

Primary supervisor:Prof. Dr. Nicole Probst-HenschSecondary supervisor:PD Dr. Sonja MertenExternal expert:Prof. Dr. Sabine RohrmannFurther advisor:Prof. Dr. Abdulsalam Alkaiyat

Basel, December 11, 2023

Prof. Dr. Primo Leo Schär Dean

## Acknowledgments

Being PhD candidate at the University of Basel and Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute during the past four years was tremendously satisfying. I would like to acknowledge all those who played major role through my PhD journey. Your tremendous support has made the completion of this dissertation a reality.

First of all, I would like to take the opportunity to express my profound gratitude for Prof. Dr. Nicole Probst-Hensch for being dedicated supervisor and compassionate mentor. Through your close ties, which you have initiated with An-Najah University in Nablus, Palestine, you proposed a project concept to be implemented in Palestine driven by your passion and dedication towards improving the health and the well-being of the Palestinians. This in itself means a lot to me. Then, I was honored that you have chosen me to become part of your team. You have always believed in my ability to contribute to the accomplishment of the project's objectives in Palestine and later to the objectives of one of the major cohort studies (the SOPHYA cohort) in Switzerland that you have been leading. You have provided me with unique knowledge and scientific guidance to fully meet the PhD requirements. Also, you encouraged and provided me with the support to attend professional and academic events to empower me to further embark on a career in science. I will always be thankful that I have known you prof. Nicole as you set role model and inspiration for young women in science, and I will always look up to you.

I would further like to extend my thank you and appreciation for Dr. Marek Kwiatkowski for being great educator. Starting from being reviewer for the PhD proposal, you provided me with constructive feedback through highlighting the strength of the proposal and identifying areas that needed improvement. Furthermore, I was fortune to take several courses with you. Your lectures were very engaging and well-structured which enhanced my knowledge in biostatistics and data management. As co-author on all of the manuscripts, I appreciate your inputs and guidance, which challenged my data analysis and statistical inference skills in a perfect way. I am certain that I will benefit from those skills in the future and beyond the scope of the PhD.

Many thanks also for all the co-authors in the manuscripts. Thank you for taking the time to comment and discuss with me the manuscripts. Your contributions and inputs are highly valuable and appreciated.

I would also like to sincerely thank the entire SOPHYA team. Your tremendous efforts and commitments have made the implementation of this unique study in Switzerland a great success. Special thanks to Johanna Hänggi for closely assisting me in understanding the study's methodology and structure of the data, which was essential for completing all the study's manuscripts.

I also want to thank Dr. Sonja Merten and Dr. Abdulsalam Alkaiyat as co-supervisors. Thanks for your consultation and contributions, which were of added value to improve my work through the tenure of my PhD journey.

Additionally, thank you to Christine Mensch, Laura Innocenti, Nora Bauer and all of the EPH Secretary. Because of your kindness and compassion, you managed to make my living in Switzerland the most memorable and wonderful experience I have ever lived.

Above all, I am forever thankful for my parents. Thank you for always being there with open heart and mind. Thanks for always providing me with unconditional love and support. I know that I owe you a lot by now. Certainly without you I won't be who I am today and I would have never been able to pursue PhD degree. You continuously assured me that "the best is yet to be, Ranin" and I can tell you that "it really has been". And after all those years, I would like to you that you have absolutely succeeded on adding life to my years.

## **Table of Contents**

Ackno	owled	gments		1
List o	f tabl	es & figu	ires	4
Abbreviation				
Sumn	nary			6
Chap	ter 1:	Introdu	ction	10
	1.1.	Health	outcomes	11
		1.1.1.	Non-communicable Diseases	11
		1.1.2.	Mental Health	13
		1.1.3.	Mental Health Disorders and Non-communicable Diseases	14
		1.1.4.	Quality of life	15
	1.2.	Health	related behaviors	20
		1.2.1.	Physical activity: one of the big four health-related behaviors	20
		1.2.2.	Social contagion: Transmissibility of health behaviors and mental health	25
	1.3.	Genera	l resistances resources	26
		1.3.1.	Social capital	26
		1.3.2.	Self-efficacy	27
		1.3.3.	Sense of coherence	27
Chap	ter 2:	Aims ar	d hypothesis of the thesis	31
Chap	ter 3:	Origina	l work (published or accepted for publication)	34
	Artic	le I: Cros activity	s-sectional but not prospective association of accelerometry-derived physic with quality of life in children and adolescents	ical 34
	Artic	le II: We SOPHY	ekend physical activity profiles and their relationship with quality of life: t A cohort of Swiss children and adolescents	he: 57
	Artic	le III: Ex shaping care wo	xploring the role of social capital, self-efficacy, and social contagion in i lifestyle and mental health among students representing the future health rk force in Palestine: social cohort study protocol	97
Chapter 5: Synthesis of main findings				
Chap	Chapter 6: General discussion and perspectives			
Refer	References			

## List of tables & figures

### Article I

Table 1. Characteristics of study participants at baseline (SOPHYA1; 2013) and follow-up (SOPHYA2; 2019) (Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity cohort study, Switzerland, 2013 – 2019). Table 2. Repeated adjusted cross-sectional association of mean moderate- to-vigorous physical activity with quality of life (Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity cohort study, Switzerland, 2013 – 2019).

Table 3. Repeated adjusted cross-sectional association of between-and within-subject moderate- tovigorous physical activity values with quality of life (Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity cohort study, Switzerland, 2013 – 2019).

Table 4. Prospective adjusted association of mean of moderate- to-vigorous physical activity at baseline with quality of life (overall and specific dimension scores) at follow-up (Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity cohort study, Switzerland, 2013 – 2019).

Figure 1. Flow diagram of study population (Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity cohort study, Switzerland, 2013 – 2019).

Supplement Table 1. Comparison of baseline characteristics of participants with accelerometry only participants in SOPHYA1 with those participants in SOPHYA1 and SOPHYA2 (Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity cohort study, Switzerland, 2013 – 2019).

Supplement Table 2. Repeated adjusted cross-sectional association of moderate- to-vigorous physical activity with quality of life, additionally adjusted for body mass index (Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity cohort study, Switzerland, 2013 – 2019).

Supplement Table 3. Repeated adjusted cross-sectional association of partitioned moderate- to-vigorous physical activity with quality of life, additionally adjusted for body mass index (Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity cohort study, Switzerland, 2013 - 2019).

Supplement Table 4. Prospective adjusted association of moderate- to-vigorous physical activity with quality of life, additionally adjusted for body mass index (Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity cohort study, Switzerland, 2013 – 2019).

### Article II

Table 1. Characteristics of study participants at baseline (SOPHYA1; 2013) assessment.

Table 2. Characteristics of study participants at baseline (SOPHYA; 2013), by cluster of physical activity profile.

Table 3. Linear adjusted cross-sectional association of physical activity profile cluster membership with QoL (relative to the participants in the "inactive" cluster).

Table 4. Linear mutually adjusted cross-sectional association of physical activity profile cluster membership (relative to the participants in the inactive cluster) and MVPA (per 1h/day) with QoL.

Table 5. Linear mutually adjusted cross-sectional association of physical activity profile cluster membership (relative to the participants in the inactive cluster) and sedentary behavior (per 1h/day) with QoL.

Figure 1. Number of physical activity profile clusters using (a) Elbow and (b) Silhouette methods.

Figure 2. Physical activity pattern (counts in 15-seconds epoch) of the clusters' four medoids (participants). Figure 3. Distributions of summaries of physical activity patterns per cluster.

S1 Table. Baseline characteristics of participants included in the cross-sectional analysis only compared to participants included in the predictive analysis.

S2 Table. Characteristics of study participants at baseline (SOPHYA; 2013) by cluster of physical activity profile.

S3 Table. Linear adjusted cross-sectional association of physical activity cluster membership (relative to the participants in the lower activity cluster) with QoL.

S4 Table. Linear mutually adjusted cross-sectional association of physical activity profile cluster membership (relative to the participants in the lower activity cluster) and MVPA (per 1h/day) with QoL.

S5 Table. Linear mutually adjusted cross-sectional association of physical activity profile cluster membership (relative to the participants in the lower activity cluster) and sedentary behavior (per 1h/day) with QoL.

S6 Table. Linear adjusted predictive association of physical activity profile cluster membership (relative to the participants in the inactive cluster) at baseline with QoL at follow-up.

S7 Table. Linear mutually adjusted predictive association of physical activity profile cluster membership (relative to the participants in the inactive cluster) and MVPA (per 1h/day) at baseline with QoL at follow-up.

S8 Table. Linear mutually adjusted predictive association of physical activity profile cluster membership (relative to the participants in the inactive cluster) and sedentary behavior (per 1h/day) at baseline with QoL at follow-up.

S9 Table. Linear adjusted predictive association of physical activity profile cluster membership (relative to the participants in the lower activity cluster) at baseline with QoL at follow-up.

S10 Table. Linear mutually adjusted predictive association of physical activity profile cluster membership (relative to the participants in the lower activity cluster) and MVPA (per 1h/day) at baseline with QoL at follow-up.

S11 Table. Linear mutually adjusted predictive association of physical activity profile cluster membership (relative to the participants in the lower activity cluster) and sedentary behavior (per 1h/day) at baseline with QoL at follow-up.

S1 Fig. Study sample for physical activity profiles' clustering and cross-sectional association with quality of life.

S2 Fig. Study sample for predictive association of physical activity profiles' clustering at baseline with quality of life at follow-up.

S3 Fig. Physical activity pattern (counts in 15-seconds epoch) of the clusters' two medoids (participants). S4 Fig. Distributions of summaries of physical activity patterns per cluster.

### Article III

Table 1. WHO dietary guidelines recommendations for Eastern Mediterranean region.

Figure 1. Conceptual framework of the study based on the Antonovsky's theory of salutogensis.

Figure 2. Data collection and management procedure. BL, baseline; OID, open person-identifying ID; PID, Pseudonymisation.

## Abbreviation

Disability adjusted life years	DALYs
Dynamic time warping	DTW
Generalized resistance resources - resistance deficits	GRR-RDs
Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity	MVPA
Non-communicable disease	NCD
Physical activity	PA
Physical inactivity	PI
Quality of life	QoL
Salutogenic model of health	SMH
Sedentary behavior	SB
Self-efficacy	SE
Sense of coherence	SOC
Sustainable development goals	SDGs
Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity	SOPHYA
World Health Organization	WHO

### **Summary**

The thesis is based on the Antonovsky's salutogenenesis theory, which suggests that the human experience is shaped by the opportunities. These opportunities can take the form of social capital (SC), which includes network capital (community network, personal network, and social contagion), and social cohesion (structural SC and cognitive SC). This is in addition to self-efficacy (SE), which is a construct of Bandura's social cognitive theory. SE reflects the individual's belief in having the ability to control events and the skills to achieve the individual's goals and expectations. Both SC and SE contribute to the development of the individual's sense of coherence's (SOC) constructs (comprehensibility, manageability, and meaningfulness). SOC contributes to the individual's mental and physical health through its ability to mobilize resources for overcoming stressors and challenges and ensuring positive health habits and overall well-being and health. In line with this, the analysis of "Health" is mainly based on the broadly accepted and used World Health Organization's (WHO) definition of "Health" as "a state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease", but with taking into account both the notion of "Health" as being subjective experience and its dependence on the individual's ability to adapt to reach positive health and enjoy life to its full potential.

This theoretical framework has been applied to two different contexts; first, in Switzerland, which is unique for being a high-income, multilinguistic and multicultural country. Second, in Palestine, which is a low income country characterized of having youthful society, which is highly collective.

In Switzerland, the theoretical framework was applied to assess the cross-sectional and prospective association of quality of life (QoL) as health outcome with accelerometer-derived physical activity (PA) as health-related behavior. In order to address this overall aim, we utilized longitudinal data from the Swiss Children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity (SOPHYA) cohort (2013-2019). The study targeted all youth living in Switzerland and aged between 6 to 16 years old. Both PA, which was assessed using Actigraph accelerometer device, and QoL, which was assessed using the KINDL® questionnaire, were measured twice over a follow-up period of 5 years. The overall aim was addressed through conducting two main studies.

In the first study titled "Cross-sectional but not prospective association of accelerometry-derived physical activity with quality of life in children and adolescents", the main objective was to investigate associations between moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) and QoL with focus on the longitudinal aspects. The rational of targeting this objective is related to the fact that MVPA is an established PA indicator and considered the foundation of WHO's recommendations on PA for children and adolescents. For the analysis of the primary endpoint of the study, the overall and domain-specific (Physical well-being, Emotional well-being, Self-esteem, Social well-being, Family connection and Functioning at school) scores of QoL on a scale of 0-100 were measured with higher scores representing a better QoL. The main

predictor of the study was measured based on accelerometer data averaged over a week, which was used to derive the mean hours per day spent in exercising MVPA by the participant. In order to address the first main objective, we asked three questions; 1) Is MVPA associated cross-sectionally with overall QoL and its specific dimensions? In order to answer this question, we built a cross-sectional model in which we regressed QoL on MVPA using data from both baseline and follow-up by applying linear mixed model (Model 1). 2) To what extend are these associations driven by the between-subject or within-subject variability in MVPA? To address this question, we built a model similar to model 1, but we portioned MVPA into between subject MVPA, which is the average of the subject's MVPA over two time points, and within-subject MVPA, which is the deviation of each subject's MVPA as measured at that point from the individual's mean (Model 2). 3) Does MVPA measured at baseline predict the overall QoL and its specific dimensions five years later? This question was answered by building a model in which we regressed QoL at follow-up on MVPA at baseline while adjusting for QoL at baseline using linear regression model (Model 3). In regards to the main findings of the study; the study included 352 participants with average age of 10 years at baseline and 15 years at follow-up. Both MVPA and the overall QoL decreased with the aging of children and adolescents. The results of the repeated cross-sectional analysis of the adjusted association between mean MVPA and QoL revealed the positive association of MVPA with the overall QoL (p = 0.023) and physical well-being (p = 0.002). A positive association of the between-subject MVPA with the overall QoL (p = 0.030), physical well-being (p = 0.017) and social wellbeing (p = 0.028) was observed. The within-subject MVPA was positively associated with physical wellbeing (p = 0.039) and functioning at school (p = 0.013). In contrast, the study did not provide evidence for a predictive association of MVPA at baseline with QoL or its specific dimensions five years later after adjusting for the participant's baseline characteristics, including baseline QoL.

In the second study titled "Weekend physical activity profiles and their relationship with quality of life: the SOPHYA cohort of Swiss children and adolescents", the main objective of the study was to explore weekend PA profiles and their relationship with QoL. In this study, the focus was on the overall PA profiles without being confined to specific established PA indicators such as MVPA and sedentary behavior (SB) and on weekend days as opposed to week days. Children's and adolescent's movement pattern is of high variability, non-structured and consists of short and frequent bursts of vigorous PA. Defining PA by only established PA indicators does not capture the entire heterogeneity of PA in children and adolescents. Accelerometer data contains additional information on specific PA-patterns and we were interested in studying whether they would associated with QoL as health endpoint beyond the QoL association with conventional PA indicators. Moreover, shedding light on PA during weekends creates a window of opportunity for interventions to promote PA in the family environment and during leisure time. To address the main objective, we first identified clusters of device-based PA during weekend days among children and adolescents from the SOPHYA cohort. This was completed by applying the dynamic time warping (DTW). Based on the distance matrix, which was obtained by the DTW, we applied the elbow and

silhouette methods to determine the optimal number of clusters. The elbow plot suggested a decrease in the within-cluster dissimilarity when moving from 1 to 2 clusters, but also when moving from 2 to 4 clusters. The silhouette method suggested that PA profiles are best described using 2 clusters model, but the 4 clusters model scored high as well. Therefore, we conducted parallel analyses with k=4 (main text) and k=2 (online supplement). With respect to the 4 clusters model, the study identified clusters of youth with different PA behavioral patterns (high activity cluster, medium activity cluster, low activity cluster and inactive cluster). The "high activity" cluster participants, compared to other clusters, scored highest in the mean counts per epoch (mean [SD]: high activity: 284.0 [61.0]; medium activity: 205.0 [29.6]; low activity: 148.0 [19.3]; inactive: 90.9 [21.1] counts; p <0.001). The "high activity" cluster also scored highest in the average hours spent in MVPA. The "inactive" cluster participants scored highest in the average sedentary time (mean [SD]: high activity: 6.3 [1.4]; medium activity: 6.6 [1.3]; low activity: 7.2 [1.3]; inactive: 8.5 [1.5] hr/day; p < 0.001). The higher activity cluster participants were on average younger (mean [SD]: high activity: 10.2 [2.3]; medium activity: 9.7 [2.1]; low activity: 10.2 [2.1]; inactive: 12.4 [2.4] years; p<0.001) with an overrepresentation of the male gender (percentages of boys in the "high activity", "medium activity", "low activity" and "inactive" clusters were 66.7%, 60.8%, 48.9%, and 39.5%, respectively; p<0.001). After identifying clusters of PA profiles, we assessed the cross-sectional and predictive association of the newly identified clusters of PA profiles with QoL with and without adjustment for conventional PA metrics. Participants in the "high activity" cluster exhibited on average 5.4 (95%CI: 1.2 to 9.6) higher social well-being scores than participants assigned to the "inactive" cluster (p = 0.012). This association persisted only after adjustment for SB (p = 0.030). There was no evidence for a predictive association of cluster membership at baseline with QoL or its dimensions at follow-up when adjusting for the baseline QoL or its dimensions, irrespective of adjustment for established PA metrics.

In Palestine, the theoretical framework was applied to plan a study to explore the role of SC, SE and social contagion in shaping lifestyle and mental health among students representing the future healthcare workface in Palestine. The study protocol was designed to identify future targeted interventions that could be implemented to address the high prevalence of non-communicable diseases and the presence of gap in the treatment and diagnosis of mental health problems in Palestine. Therefore, the study protocol targeted second and third-year students enrolled in the faculty of medicine and health of An-Najah National University in Nablus, Palestine. The protocol describes a digital prospective cohort with baseline and two follow-ups online surveys. The study protocol was published in BMJ Open (Darkhawaja R et al. BMJ Open 2022 Jan 19; 12(1):e049033) reflecting the novelty of the study. The launching of the study's survey coincided with the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic. As a result, the response rate in the baseline survey was very low (<10%), and the study implementation was postponed to after the completion of the thesis.

As final conclusion, the thesis confirmed existing evidence that device-measured MVPA and QoL is positively associated in youth living in Switzerland, at least cross-sectional. We were not able to identify novel PA clusters for weekend activity that were independently of conventional indicators associated with QoL. But the fact that the thesis provided evidence for the parallel decline of both PA and QoL with aging, suggests that health-in-all-policy and interventions to promote both, PA and QoL in age-specific manner are important. Future longitudinal studies on the relationship between PA and QoL in youth should employ shorter follow-up times and collect several longitudinal measurements of every participant to improve understanding of the sustainable benefit of PA promotion on QoL. The findings on PA and QoL in Swiss youth point to the relevance of implementing the developed study protocol for Palestinian youth to promote culturally adapted PA promotion interventions in the future.

## **Chapter 1: Introduction**

In order to understand the assumptions and values, which have been made to address "Health" in the thesis, the definition of the concept of "Health", which is adopted, and why it is chosen among other definitions, should be addressed (1). Also, the chosen definition of the "Health" concept determines the health promotion patterns and the recommendations that are being suggested to improve the health and the health care system (2). Our analysis of "Health" is mainly based on the broadly accepted and used definition of "Health" as "a state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease", which is part of the 1948 Constitution of the World Health Organization (WHO) (3), but with taking into account other suggestions for modified contemporary definition of "Health". The WHO's definition of "Health" is revolutionary; it has led to visualizing "Health" through a different lens to include the individual's physical, mental and social well-being as a whole beyond the dualistic health-disease pathological approach. In line with that, it implies that "Health" should not be analyzed in isolation of the context of interest because "Health" is shaped by structural social and environmental determinants (4). The popularity of the definition is acknowledged, but also the several critics of the definition. The definition has been criticized for addressing "Health" as [a state of complete ...]. This is because it means the attainment of complete well-being should be the goal of any health promotion and prevention initiative. This is very ambitious target as we live in world with limited availability of and accessibility to resource (5). Additionally, the world is going through demographic and epidemiological transitions as the aging population and the prevalence of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) are increasing. Thus, the state of complete well-being "Health" becomes unattainable and this definition is not practical and cannot be fully operationalized (5, 6). The definition is also critiqued for being highly subjective and its interpretation is influenced by cultural beliefs and can be manipulated to promote local political and cultural ideologies (7). These critics have been followed by proposals to modify the WHO's definition; Card suggests to define "Health" as "the experience of physical and psychological well-being. Good health and poor health do not occur as a dichotomy, but as a continuum. The absence of disease or disability is neither sufficient nor necessary to produce a state of good health" (5). While Krahn and her colleagues has proposed to define "Health" as "the dynamic balance of physical, mental, social, and existential well-being in adapting to conditions of life and the environment" (6). Lastly, Huber suggests to define "Health" as "the ability to adapt and self-manage in the face of social, physical, and emotional challenges" (8). All these proposals seem to reiterate the notion that contemporary definition of "Health" should be based on the holistic approach of integrating both the physical and psychological well-being aspects of "Health". Moreover, it should be based on the assumption that "Health" is reflection of the individual's subjective experience of well-being and the ability to enjoy life to its full potential and not merely on the absence or presence of a disease or disability (9). The last is emphasized by Antonovsky's theory, which is based on the assumption that the individual is always in an entropy position of ease/dis-ease continuum and on "generalized resistance resources - resistance deficits (GRR-RDs) continuum. And the individual's sense of coherence

(SOC) determine the individual's ability to link the generalized resistance resources to stressors and health in order to overcome stressors and challenges in a way to ensure positive health that includes well-being (10).

### **1.1. Health outcomes**

#### 1.1.1. Non-communicable Diseases

According to the WHO, NCDs have been defined by two major characteristics; first, NCDs cannot be transmitted. Second, it stays over long period of time and progress overtime (11). The NCDs are grouped into four main categories, which are cardiovascular diseases (heart attacks and strokes), cancer, chronic respiratory diseases (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma), and diabetes (11).

The current trend indicates that there is increase in the prevalence of cardiovascular diseases, cancers, chronic respiratory diseases and diabetes worldwide (11). The Eastern Mediterranean Region (12) and Arab countries (13) exhibit similar tends. One of the factors that has contributed to this epidemiological transition is the advancement in medical technologies, which led to the control of communicable diseases and increased the prevalence of the aging population and NCDs, which are known to develop over a prolonged period of time (14-16). Globally, NCDs are considered major contributor to morbidity and mortality. If left unmanaged, NCDs can lead to disability (17); the contribution of NCDs to the total global disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) has increased from 43.2% in 1990 to 63.8% in 2019 (18). Also, NCDs contributor to the total deaths annually with cardiovascular diseases are ranked the first contributor to the total deaths followed by cancer (11). In addition to this, it has been projected for the total annual number of deaths from NCDs to reach 55 million by 2030 (19). Besides its contribution to morbidity and mortality, NCDs have negative impact on the economy as it leads to increase in the health expenditures for its treatment and decrease productivity (17, 20).

The high burden of NCDs exists in both, low-and middle-income countries and high-income countries. Both Palestine and Switzerland are affected by it. According to the Palestinian Ministry of Health, NCDs are considered the leading cause of total death with cardiovascular diseases ranked the first contributor followed by cancer for the year 2021 (21). In Switzerland, quarter of the population are diagnosed with NCDs, which are considered the main cause of mortality (22). Despite the fact that both low-and middle-income countries and high-income countries share similar tends, the burden of NCDs is unequally distributed between them; the proportion of mortality due to NCDs is higher in high-income countries compared to low- and middle-income countries, while the later scores higher in the absolute number of mortality from NCDs (23). This is because the risk of premature death from NCDs is double in low-and middle-income countries, which accounts for 85% of premature adult deaths (24-26). The unequal distribution of the burden of NCDs exacerbates the situation in low-and middle-income countries for two reasons; first, infectious diseases are becoming treatable and chronic leaving these countries to face double

burdens of infectious and NCDs (17, 27-30). Second, there is limited resources for financing NCDs' treatments in these countries, which limit the accessibility to services for the treatment of NCDs (25, 26).

The complexity of NCDs is not only related to the fact that it has long period of latency (31), but also NCDs are associated with multiple risk factors (13, 17, 32-36). There are both individual and structural determinates of NCDs; the individual risk factors can be biological as a result of personal medical history, family history (37), age and gender (37, 38). Also, it can be behavioral and cognitive risk factors such as tobacco use, alcohol consumption, physical inactivity, unhealthy diet, low self-esteem and impulsivity (13, 17, 32-37). Globally, behavioral risk factors accounted for 45% of total deaths in 2017 (18). These risk behaviors are usually associated with physiological parameter risk factors such as high systolic blood pressure, high fasting plasma glucose, high body-mass index, and high LDL cholesterol (39). These behavioral risk factors contribute to the increase in NCDs in both low-and middle-income countries and high-income countries; for example, Palestine is becoming a country characterized by high consumption of fat-rich foods and calories and sedentary lifestyles (12, 13, 40). Also, 31.3% of the Palestinian population who are 18 years or older reported that they have smoked one or more type of tobacco products including manufactured cigarettes, hand-rolled cigarettes, cigars, and water-pipes in 2021 (41). While in Switzerland, dietary risks and tobacco smoking are considered major risk factors for NCDs (34). And there are individual sociodemographic characteristics factors associated with NCDs including marital status, residential status, refugee status (38), socio-economic status (37, 42), being exposed to adverse life events and social exclusion (37). In regards to structural determinants of NCDs, it includes both physical and psychosocial components; the former includes poor housing, proximity to food stores selling processed foods, unavailability of sidewalks, limited availability of public parks, urbanization (17, 43), air pollution (44), exposure to chemicals such as lead (45) and exposure to carcinogens (46). While the later includes poverty, lack of safety, and social norms of behavior (17, 43). The psychosocial determinants of NCDs in Palestine are distinctive; they are characterized by conflict, the economic hardship, unemployment, family conflict, lack of public spaces for recreation and leisure, and lack of sustainable facilities for physical activities, which increase the stress and ultimately their risk of developing NCDs (32). The distinction between the individual risk factors and the structural determinants of NCDs are not absolutely exclusive; the adoption of dietary risk factors, tobacco use, alcohol consumption and physical inactivity are not absolutely attributed to personal choices, but also shaped by structural system factors such as national policies on taxes, advertising and ethics of marketing, by the built environment and the urbanization patterns (17).

The United Nations have conducted several initiatives to control NCDs. In 2000, the United Nations set the Millennium Development Goals to be achieved by 2015, in which it has focused on issues related to poverty, education, gender equity, child mortality and combating infectious diseases. While the control of NCDs have been given limited attention (47). Then, in September 2011, the United Nations held its first

High-Level Summit on NCD, which led to the development of the UN Political Declaration on prevention and control of NCDs (48). And as a succession to this summit, the 65<sup>th</sup> World Health Assembly was held and adopted the resolution, which aims at 25% reduction in the premature mortality from NCDs by 2025 as global target (49). Following this, in 2015, the United Nations have adopted the sustainable development goals (SDGs) to be achieved by 2030; one third reduction of the probability of dying between 30 years and 70 years of age from NCDs (cancer, cardiovascular diseases, chronic respiratory diseases and diabetes) by 2030 has been set as important target (50).

The complex interrelated factors, which contribute to the development of NCDs, implies that any attempt for the primary prevention of NCDs would require the collaboration between different sectors and agencies (17). This includes the importance of the urban planning to take into account the health implications that goes beyond the conduction of health impact assessment (51). Research studies have provided evidence for the implementation of primary prevention measures to be the most cost effective approach for controlling NCDs (52, 53). This includes but not limited to the control of tobacco use and salt reduction (25, 26, 54). Given the fact that NCDs have long latency period, the utilization of primary prevention measures can extend the control of NCDs to the future generations (17). Despite this, there are several countries, which lag behind in its attempt to implement primary prevention measures to control NCDs; for example, Switzerland lags behind in primary prevention with regard to control tobacco use, alcohol consumption and dietary risk factors in comparison to other countries of comparable financial resources (17).

### 1.1.2. Mental Health

Mental health is considered important since it shapes the human's ability to think, interact with others, earn a living and enjoy life both individually and collectively (55). Conceptualizing mental health has evolved over time. Recently, the WHO has suggested mental health to be defined as [... "more than the absence of mental disorders. Mental health is a state of well-being in which an individual realizes his or her own abilities, can cope with the normal stresses of life, can work productively and is able to make a contribution to his or her community"]. Based on this definition, mental health has both subjective and objective components (56). Thus, mental health disorders include wide range of conditions including feeling of fear, anxiety, depression, sadness, despair, lack of safety and psychosocial disabilities (57, 58). Also, it is always important to consider both objective and subjective aspects of mental health because this will facilitate the identification of the contextual and the cultural differences in the understanding of mental health in different countries such as those with long-term conflict (59). The contextual effect on mental health should not be limited to the diagnosis of mental health disorders, but it should be extended to its treatment (60). In Switzerland, despite it's high income level, mental health problems have been increasing among the young over the past years, in part COVID-19 related (61). In Palestine, the sense of injustice in the light of the politically challenging situation and everyday living conditions implies defining mental

health disorders among the Palestinians should be beyond the diagnosis of psychiatric medical conditions to include the "invisible wounds inside" against them (59). Moreover, it is important for these wounds to be healed because they are usually continue to be embedded in their memories at the long term and can be developed into disease in the future (58).

There are several factors, which have contributed to the increase in the mental health disorders worldwide; the demographic transition has been associated with the increase in the prevalence of age related mental disorders such as dementia (62).

Mental health disorders have adverse implications in terms of morbidity and mortality. It is considered major contributor to disability; the proportion of the DALYs that have attributed to mental health disorders have increased from 3.1% in 1990 to 4.9% in 2019 (63). Add to this, depression, which is common mental health disorder, is considered the second leading cause of disability (19) and is expected to become the main cause of disability globally (64).

Despite the known negative outcomes associated with mental disorders, its prevention, treatment and control have not been addressed to its full potential (65). In the Global South, resource allocation for mental disorders is sparse partially due to it being stigmatized (66). For example, in Palestine, mental health care services remain weak; according to the Palestinian Ministry of Health Annual Report for 2021, at the primary healthcare level, there are 16 specialized psychiatric and community health clinics in West Bank and 6 in Gaza Strip (21). While at the secondary healthcare level, there is one psychiatric hospital in Bethlehem, which is in the south of the West Bank (67). Historically, the major contributor to the development of mental health care services in Palestine has been the Palestinian NGOs and the UNRWA. The provision of mental health services by the Palestinian NGOs and the UNRWA contributed to the decrease in the gap in the mental healthcare system, but the sustainability of this improvement it depends on sustainable funds (68).

### 1.1.3. Mental Health Disorders and Non-communicable Diseases

Research studies suggest bidirectional relationship between mental health disorders and NCDs (69-73). For example, there is evidence for depression to be independent risk factor for several cardiovascular diseases (74). And those who are diagnosed with NCDs are more likely to develop mental health disorders compared with the general population (75). This bidirectional relationship is the result of the intersection between mental health disorders and NCDs in many aspects; both mental health disorders and NCDs are chronic diseases, which entails that they last over long period of time and require continuous management that can last over the life-course (37). Additionally they share common risk factors (76, 77) including biological (e.g. personal medical history, family history, age, and gender) (37), and behavioral risk factors (e.g. tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption, physical inactivity, dietary risks, obesity, hypertension and hyperglycemia) (78-90). Given this, the co-occurrence of mental disorders with NCDs tends to be

inevitable and evident by several research studies especially among the "big four" NCDs and common mental disorders (91, 92); studies have provided evidence for the co-occurrence of type 2 diabetes with depression (37, 93-95), schizophrenia, and bipolar disorder (94). Similar findings have been reported for the co-occurrence of each of cardiovascular diseases (37, 96-99), chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases (37, 100), cancers (37, 100, 101) and asthma (37, 100) with depression, and anxiety. The co-occurrence of otherwise deteriorated psychological well-being with NCDs exacerbate the abnormalities associated with the later (102). Moreover, there is high probability of either the delay (103) or the miss diagnosis of NCDs when it co-occurs with mental health disorders (104, 105). Also, the management and treatment of NCDs are challenging in the presence of mental health disorder as the patient with mental health disorder is less likely to adhere to treatment plans (80, 106-108). In line with that, those who are diagnosed with NCDs are less likely to be screened for mental health disorders (109).

Given the bidirectional relationship (69-71), the shared common risk factors (37, 76-90) and the cooccurrence of NCDs and mental health disorders (37, 91-101), impose several questions on the most effective and efficient approaches to treat mental health disorders and NCDs. Despite the growing evidence for the shared behavioral risk factors between NCDs and mental disorders (37, 76-87, 89), the realization of the urgency of integrating primary prevention approaches through targeting behavioral modifiable risk factors for the control of mental health disorders have been limited compared with the several initiatives that have been implemented for the primary prevention of NCDs (110). This is despite the fact that the effectiveness of targeting behavioral modifiable risk factors in the treatment of mental health disorders is evidence-based (111). Also, there have been several suggestions to consider mental health disorders as part of NCDs (65, 112). In fact recently, WHO has recognized mental health as one of the major NCDs (24). The call for the inclusion of mental health disorders as part of NCDs have been made in parallel with the importance of developing holistic approach for the management of NCDs and mental health disorders simultaneously. This has been supported by several studies, which provided evidence for the effectiveness of the management of NCDs and mental health disorders together (113, 114). The proposed approaches for the integration of NCDs with mental health disorders share in common main features despite the differences in the terminologies used to describe each approach; there is consent on the importance of integrating the management of NCDs and mental health disorders at both the primary and secondary health care levels (66, 73, 90, 106). This is through the collaboration between primary care providers and community health workers with specialized physicians (73, 106).

### 1.1.4. Quality of life

In 1964, the concept of quality of life (QoL) has been first introduced by the U.S.A President Lyndon Johnson in his notion on the progress on social goals when he said "social goals cannot be measured by the size of our bank balance. They can only be measured by the quality of the lives our people lead". And he said "the great society is concerned not with how much, but with how good – not with the quantity of

goods, but with the quality of their lives" (115). QoL has different philosophical, political and health related implications (116). Overtime, it has become major indicator for the development and evaluation of different sectors. Scholars from various disciplines have defined and measured QoL by applying different social, economic and subjective indicators (117). Environmentalists have addressed QoL based on physical and biological environmental conditions. While economists have analyzed QoL in relation to objective indicators such as the Gross National Product. And for sociologists and psychologists, human needs and its fulfillment were central aspects in their assessment of QoL (118, 119). Moreover, QoL has emerged as fundamental objective in the agendas of many countries (120).

At the end of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, research focus has been tailored to understand the concept of QoL in relation to health (121). This is in part due to the recent development in the medical field, which has led to increase in the longevity of individuals including those with chronic diseases as oppose to terminal illness such as the longevity of people who suffer from life threating conditions of cancer and cystic fibrosis (122). Also, the WHO's definition of health as "a state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease" has challenged the way by which the individual's health should be perceived and evaluated (3). Thus, conventional health indicators such as mortality and morbidity defined by symptoms, laboratory tests, clinical observations and survival rate have become insufficient to assess the efficiency and effectiveness of the health care system (122, 123). Instead, there has been call for developing treatment plans that guarantee the personal development of the individual including his or her coping mechanisms to adapt to different life circumstances (124) such as treatments for cancer (125) and hypertension (126). Based on these accounts, QoL has been considered important indicator of health outcome to complement other conventional health indicators (127-129).

There is not unified definition for the QoL concept as health outcome because of the variation in the theoretical and conceptual accounts of the relation between QoL and health (130, 131). And its definition depends on the demographic, social, economic, cultural, political and geographical context in which QoL is being assessed (132). Based on the WHO, QoL is defined as "an individuals' perception of their position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards and concerns" (133). WHO's definition of QoL tends to emphasis the multidimensional and the socio-cultural understanding aspects of the QoL (132). While health-related quality of life is specific term, which sheds the light on the aspects of health directly linked to QoL; Bullinger defined health-related quality of life as "the impact of perceived health on an individual's ability to live a fulfilling life" (134). Nordenfelt has presented his account on the relation between QoL and health based on the want-equilibrium theory of happiness. He has defined happiness as "the equilibrium between the individual's wants and the belief that these wants are satisfied". While he has defined health as "the abilities of the individual to achieve vital goals, which leads to happiness". Furthermore, he has concluded health to be a major contributor to happiness. And happiness to be equivalent to QoL (130).

There has been mutual consent to consider QoL as multi-dimensional concept, which encompasses several dimensions of the individual's life. The most common dimensions, which have been used to define the concept of QoL are; personal well-being, health, education and learning, social relations, nature and environment, housing, shelter and accommodation, civic engagement, participation and rights, safety, security and crime, business and economy, and community (132). Also, QoL has been considered positive construct, which should address well-being in order to resonates with WHO's definition of health "a state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity" (3, 135, 136). In reference to the last account, several critiques have been made on the relationship between QoL and well-being; well-being has been suggested to be related to the individual's mental and emotional state including level of happiness and fulfilment. While QoL is considered broader concept, which addresses life improvement, autonomy and the achievement of one's goals (132). There are serval studies, which provided evidence for the positive correlation between QoL, happiness and subjective well-being (137). In line with this, QoL measurement has been used as a proxy for the measurement of well-being (138). Also, there are scholars who suggest the need for comprehensive concept that integrate indicators from both the QoL and well-being concepts. This is because, as combined, both concepts provide better explanation for the variation in the general QoL compared to the application of either of the two concepts, separately (139). One of the important aspects of QoL as health outcome is its reflection of the individual's subjective perception of his or her health and the personal and contextual determinates of his or her health that cannot be captured by other conventional health indicators (140-142). Scholars have debated whether to consider QoL as subjective or objective construct; for some of them, QoL is exclusively subjective construct because it is reflection of the individual's perception of their lives (132, 135, 143). For the concept of QoL to be subjective, self-report on QoL is better than proxy reporting (135). The more traditional scholars have considered QoL to be objective construct and can be assessed based on both economic and social objective indicators in isolation of the individual's perception (132, 144). While others have concluded QoL to be comprehensive construct, which can only be measured by assessing both subjective and objective indicators (145, 146).

Given the fact that there is not any instrument, which covers the wide range of QoL aspects, it has been suggested for any methodology to measure QoL should include the specification of the levels and kinds of QoL of interest. Following that careful analysis should be made to identify the dimensions and its interrelationships to be assessed. Finally, indicators, which reflect these dimensions, should be identified (147). QoL measurement has been conducted by applying several research designs and using different instruments in order to suit different purposes, populations and settings (135). For example, in Palestine, the lack of political freedom and self-determination have been reported to be important aspect of the Palestinians' QoL. This is because these factors determine the ability of people to exercise democracy and engage on political decision-making (148). The instruments, which have been used to measure QoL, are

either generic or specific QoL assessment instruments. The majority of the research studies have used generic instrument. These instruments take the form of questionnaires, which are either self-administrated or conducted through interviews with the targeted individuals (149). There is preference for using generic QoL instruments because it can it covers a wide range of QoL's aspects and it can applied among both healthy and diseased populations (150). While most of the studies on QoL as health outcome have been addressed in relation to the chronic NCDs including cardiovascular disease, hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia and obesity (149). Systematic reviews indicate that most studies on QoL have succeeded on identifying the dimensions of QoL being assessed and provide justification for the selection of the instrument. On the other hand, these studies have conceptual and methodological limitations as most of them don't provide precise operational definition of QoL and don't distinguish between QoL and health-related quality of life (144, 151). The variation in the instruments, the methodologies and the administrating method of the questionnaires, which have been used to assess QoL, limit the ability to compare studies on QoL between different groups (149).

Historically, research studies of QoL among adults have been more common than among children and adolescents (152). QoL research in children and adolescents has only started in the 1980s (153, 154). The assessment of QoL among children and adolescents as health outcome has become paramount for several reasons; based on the data from 2022, approximately 32% of the population worldwide are under the age of 20 years (155). In reference to the United Nation's Convention on the Rights of the Child, children have the right to express their own perspective in matters related to them such as their perception of their own health defined by QoL measurement (156). Moreover, there is evidence for the clustering of psychosomatic symptoms, which are attributed to psychosocial stress, such as headache, abdominal pain, loss of appetite and back pain, among children and adolescents (157, 158). Also, there is increase in the prevalence of chronic conditions (159, 160) and psychosocial disorders (161) among them. Similar to QoL research in adults, QoL research in children has evolved over time; initially, in the 1980s, the focus was on the development of the theoretical concept of QoL in children. While in the early 90s, the construction and development of QoL measures for children have emerged. And it is only in the beginning of 1995 when the application of QoL measures for children and adolescents has started to be applied in clinical and epidemiological studies (153, 154). In the assessment of QoL as health outcome among children, it has been recommended to decide on a unified operational generic QoL definition, which include domains applicable to all children and adolescents and assessed by both subjective and objective indicators (152). Also, the rapid development in the physical, mental and social aspects during childhood should be taken in consideration (122, 162). Furthermore, in comparison to adults, depending on their development age, children and adolescents might value certain life domains more than others (163). For example, children and adolescents seems to consider the role of the family, friends and school as important components of quality of life (164). Additionally, the instrument to be used should consider the development stage of the child, it should be easily applicable and appropriate to the cultural context of the child (123, 136).

One of the major challenges in the assessment of QoL among children and adolescents is the ability of children to report about their perceived health has been debatable (165). Given the importance of capturing the individual's subjective perception of his or her health through the assessment of QoL, there has been a support for the self-repot of QoL by children and adolescents as oppose to proxy reporting for capturing children's and adolescents' perception of their health (122). This is become evident as there is not agreement between the self-report of quality by children and adolescents with the proxy reporting of their parents (166-169). Also, research has provided evidence for the ability of children to self-report about their health (170). In order to attain accurate self-reporting on QoL by children and adolescents, it is important to choose age appropriate instrument (123, 136).

The instruments, which have been developed to measure QoL among children include the Child Health Questionnaire (171), KINDL-R (172), the KIDSCREEN Questionnaire (173), and the Inventory for Measuring Quality of Life in Children and Adolescents (174). The KINDL-R is instrument for measuring the QoL among healthy children and adolescents. Despite the fact that it has been developed in German, it has been translated into 22 languages (175). The increase popularity of assessing QoL among children and adolescents using the generic KINDL-R questionnaire is related to the fact that first, it has different versions adapted to the age of the children and adolescents. Second, it has two versions, one is appropriate for the self-report version, while the other is for the proxy reporting by the parents. Third, the questionnaire exhibits good psychometric properties including high reliability. Fourth, there is standardized values based on studies, which was conducted in Germany, which is essential for comparison matters (176-180).

Quality of Life
Physical well-being
<ul> <li>During the past week, I felt ill</li> <li>During the past week, I was in pain</li> <li>During the past week, I was tired and worn-out</li> <li>During the past week, I felt strong and full of energy</li> </ul>
Emotional well-being
<ul> <li>During the past week, I had fun and laughed at lot</li> <li>During the past week, I was bored</li> <li>During the past week, I felt alone</li> <li>During the past week, I felt scared or unsure of myself</li> </ul>
Self-esteem
<ul> <li>During the past week, I was proud of myself</li> <li>During the past week, I felt on the top of the world</li> <li>During the past week, I felt pleased with myself</li> <li>During the past week, I had lots of good ideas</li> </ul>
Family connection
<ul> <li>During the past week, I got on well with my parents</li> <li>During the past week, I felt fine at home</li> <li>During the past week, we quaralled at home</li> <li>During the past week, I felt restricted by my parents</li> </ul>
Social well-being
<ul> <li>During the past week. I did things together with my freinds</li> <li>During the past week. I was a "success" with my freinds</li> <li>During the past week. I go the well with my freinds</li> <li>During the past week. I felt different from other people</li> </ul>
Functioning at school
<ul> <li>During the past week, doing the schoolwork was easy</li> <li>During the past week, I found school interesting</li> <li>During the past week, I worried about ny future</li> <li>During the past week, I worried about getting bad marks or grades</li> </ul>

Figure 1. Quality of life and its dimensions based on the KINDL-R questionnaire

### **1.2.** Health related behaviors

### **1.2.1.** Physical activity: one of the big four health-related behaviors

Physical activity (PA) is defined as "all bodily actions produced by the contraction of the skeletal muscle that increase energy expenditure above basal level" (181). PA is categorized into two main domains, which are leisure-time PA and occupational PA (182). The characterization of PA involves identifying its three dimensions; intensity, duration and frequency (183). There are differences in the movement pattern between children, adolescents and adults and some of these difference are attributed to biological predisposition. Children and adolescents are more active than adults because they predominantly acquire knowledge through movement to stimulate the central nervous system. Adults attain these information through other methods such as reading (184). Also, children's and adolescents' movement pattern is of high variability, non-structured and consists of short and frequent bursts of moderate to vigorous activity (185, 186). These differences contributes to the challenges associated with the measurement of PA among children and adolescents. This requires special considerations to be taken into account in the assessment of their PA.

The measurement of PA has been performed by applying either objective or subjective methods. Both methods have advantages and limitations; the subjective method involves the use of self-report on activity logs, diaries and questionnaires (187-189). The advantages of using self-report methods are; self-report method is of low cost, it is easily applicable (189-191), it is acceptable among the different population groups (189), it permits the tracking of PA based on chronological order (190), and it captures the type and the context of PA being performed (190, 191). These advantages make it feasible for self-report methods to be used in large population studies (192). Nonetheless, several research studies have provided evidence for inability of children and adolescents to provide accurate recall for the quantity and the time of the PA being performed (193-195). Also, children and adolescents might not have the accurate understanding of what is meant by "PA" (196). These limitations increase the probability of recall bias in the measurement of PA among children and adolescents by applying self-report methods (192). The recall bias can be detected at both the reporting of the duration and intensity of PA being performed (197). Due to these limitations, instruments based on self-report for measuring PA among children and adolescents have validity level, which ranges from being poor to acceptable with children reporting poorer validity than adolescent youth (198-200). The proxy-reporting on PA of children and adolescents by their parents or teachers can minimize the recall bias, but it should be carefully applied for the effective measurement of PA in large epidemiological studies (191). In regards to objective methods for measuring PA, direct observation methods, heart rate monitors, pedometers and accelerometers are methods for measuring PA among children and adolescents objectively (186). PA can be assessed through the direct observation of a person at home or school for specific period of time and recoding the rate of the child's activity level. Measurement of PA through direct observation comes with the opportunity of identifying factors

associated with the PA being performed such as the environmental conditions, the presence of equipment and among other factors (188, 190). Examples of direction observation systems for measuring PA include the System for Observing Play and Leisure Activity in Youth (SOPLAY) (201) and the Observational System for Recording Physical Activity in Children (OSRAC) (202). Direct observation measurement of PA among children and adolescents is of high validity and reliability (203), but it can be expensive because it requires rigorous labor (190). Accelerometers, pedometers and heart rate monitors are common wearable activity tracker for the objective measurement of PA (204). The heart rate monitor has been used to assess the PA in children and adolescents because there is linear relationship between heart rate and energy expenditure (188, 204). The heart rate is confounded by several variables such as age, body size and proportion of muscle mass. Also, the heart rate is not synchronized well with the change in the movement at high and low-intensity levels of PA. These challenges associated with the use of the heart rate monitor can increase the PA measurement error due to the differences in the individual characteristics between children and adolescents and the nature of their movement pattern characterized by long periods in light and sedentary activities (191, 204). The pedometer is known to be cost-effective tool for measuring PA in children and adolescents (188, 190), but it only measures the relative volume of PA and it does not provide information on the frequency, intensity or duration of PA (190). While the accelerometer is considered the most popular wearable activity tracker for measuring PA objectively in epidemiological studies (205-208). The accelerometer can measure the frequency, intensity and duration of PA over time (209). It functions through detecting the acceleration of the body's movement. Then, it digitizes the acceleration signal and converts it to "activity count". The activity counts over specific time interval, which is called "epoch", are summed. And the sum of the activity counts can be used to derive different PA measurements (191). In the assessment of PA among children and adolescents, it is recommended to use short epoch length to attain accurate measurement (210). The accelerometer has been recommended to be used for measuring PA among children and adolescents because it is of small size, lightweight and robust design (191, 209). In line with this, measurement of PA among children and adolescents using the accelerometer has proven to be accurate and valid (211). There are two types of accelerometers; the triaxial accelerometer, which capture the acceleration from all three axes and incorporate them into a single overall vector magnitude. While the uniaxial accelerometer detects acceleration only from one axis, which is mainly the vertical access to measure PA (191, 212, 213). The limitation of using the accelerometer is its inability to provide comprehensive information about the type (e.g. cycling, weight lifting) or the purpose of the activity being performed (214). Also, it does not detect whether the person is carrying any weight while performing PA (215). The accurate assessment of PA is not guaranteed only by choosing the appropriate method for measuring PA, but it also depends on the study design being applied; there are several studies on physical activity among children and adolescents, which fail to specify the type of physical activity being measured at both the data collection and reporting levels (216). This is considered gap in the understanding of PA as there are several domains of PA including leisure-time PA, gardening and yardwork, household chores, transport and occupational PA (217). Moreover, defining the level of PA being measured is essential

because different levels of PA are predicted by different factors; for example, low intensity PA through walking is predicted by environmental factors (218). While structured sport vigorous PA is best explained by family support (219). This gap in knowledge contributes to the inconsistency on the findings of studies on the correlates of PA (220) and the outcomes of PA interventions (221) among children and adolescents. The assessment of PA is usually applied through cross-sectional and longitudinal studies; the limitations of cross-sectional studies are it's restrict estimation of the change in PA'S effect based on population mean confounders (222) and it overlooks its time-specific and context-specific effect (223). While longitudinal studies are more advantageous because it considers each individual as specific – context. Additionally, both cross-sectional and predictive associations can be derived from it if utilized to its full potential (224).

Research on PA suggests that all levels of PA including light PA (225), all intensities and mode of PA (226) and whether it is structured or non-structured PA (227) have favorable outcomes on health regardless of the individual's health status (228) and age (229). The variation of the positive health outcomes associated with PA can be explained by the PA's dose-effect (226, 230-232) and the differences of the level, intensity and mode of PA being assessed (233). This have been supported by both observational and randomized controlled studies (232, 234). The statistical inference in these studies is based on either crosssectional association across one time point (231, 235) or longitudinal association (231). PA influences the development and health during childhood, adolescence and throughout life (236). Even modest amount of PA of 30 minutes per day can have health benefits among children and adolescents, but also dose-response relations between PA and health benefits still exists (232). The positive contribution of PA on children and adolescents is on both the biological and psycho-social health; the positive effects of PA can be detected along the biomarkers at the microlevel; there is evidence for the positive contribution of PA on the serum lipid profile (230, 232, 237), the cholesterol profile (226, 232, 238) and the insulin level (226) of children and adolescences. Also, studies suggest the beneficial effect of PA on the development of the musculoskeletal and cardiovascular systems of children and adolescents (239). This is in addition to its contribution to bone development (226, 232). Discussions on the role of PA on the primary and secondary prevention of NCDs have emerged in the literature several years ago (240-243), such as its favorable outcomes on obesity (225, 240, 244), type 2 diabetes mellitus (240, 244-246), and hypertension (240, 244). NCDs evolve over a long period of time since it requires long incubation periods before development (247). While NCDs tend to manifest in adulthood, adult disease is determined by childhood and adolescence as exposure to risk factors during these periods of development accumulate and are carried throughout the life course (19, 248-254). Recently, studies have focused on the role of health-related behaviors including PA, among children and adolescents, in controlling non-communicable diseases; this has included the risk profiles for coronary heart disease (255). The results of the study, which was conducted among adolescents who are between 10 to 15 years old, suggested PA to be the strongest predictor for favorable lipid profile among them compared with other health-related behaviors (256). Obesity among children and adolescents is another example, which has been suggested to be risk factor

for NCDs such as cardiovascular diseases, hypertension, and cancer (257-261). This has been supported by the evidence of the tracking of childhood obesity into adulthood (262, 263). In return, there is evidence for the role of PA in the control of obesity (232); in cross-sectional study among children who are between 8 to 11 years old in Sweden, there was evidence for the positive correlation between the percentage of body fat and physical inactivity (264). Similar finding was reported among children who were part of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (265). In regards to the positive association of PA with mental health and well-being; at the development level, PA is strong determinant of cognitive functioning in children and adolescents (266). PA is also associated with the control of several age related mental disorders at different stages of life from childhood, mid-life and late life including dementia (245, 267-271), Alzheimer (245, 268, 272) and brain aging (267, 268). Similarly, it has positive contribution in the control of depression (232, 273-275), anxiety, and psychological distress (226, 273). The role of PA is not confined to the prevention of "ill-health" through the reduction of diseases and its risk factors. Instead, it extends to its benefit for the promotion of good health. Thus, it fits with the WHO's definition of health as tool for the promotion of health (276, 277). To cite the benefits of PA in the promotion of good health; PA is positively associated with the general QoL (226, 231, 277-279), the psychological well-being (226, 234, 277, 278), social support and peers, school environment (226, 234, 278), autonomy and parent relations (278) as specific dimensions of QoL. Along with that, there is evidence for its positive association with life satisfaction (280), self-perception, self-esteem (281) resilience, emotional intelligence, and psychological distress (282). Given the overall contribution of PA on health and well-being, it is considered a determinant factor of mortality rate among the general and diseased populations (225, 240). Based on the data on PA and the relative risks of all-cause mortality for 168 counties for period 2001 and 2016, it has been suggested that PA can avert 15% of premature mortality, which is equivalent to around 4 million deaths annually (283). Additionally, PA has positive economic implications because it is associated with lower utilization of impatient admissions, visits to the emergency room and medical and prescribed drug (246). Along with this, PA contributes to increase in productivity through savings in sick allowance (284). At the broader perspective, the promotion of PA can contribute to the achievement of the third SDG, which is "Good health and well-being" (285). Since PA can contribute to the prevention and treatment of NCDs, it can contribute to the achievement of reducing one third premature mortality from NCDs target, which is part of the third SDG (286). As a result of this, PA has been integrated as a vital sign in the clinical settings (287).

As global leader in the promotion of public health, WHO launched in 2010 the first population-based public health guidelines for children, adolescents, adults and older adults in which it has recommended children and adolescents to accumulate at least 60 minutes per day of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA). Also, children and adolescents are recommended based on the guideline to perform vigorous PA, which is important for muscle and bone development, at least three days per week (288). Following this, WHO has launched in 2013 the Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of

NCDs 2013-2020. The action plan aims at advocating member states to develop strategies based on multisectoral collaboration in order to achieve the plan's targets by 2025. Because the plan has focused on the control of risk factors associated with NCDs, it has included the target for 10% relative reduction in the prevalence of insufficient PA. In order to contribute to the achievement of the target on PA, the plan reiterated the importance of member states to adopt and implement national guidelines on PA (19). Upon the request of member states for the WHO to provide update on the guidance to increase PA, the WHO has launched the global action plan on physical activity 2018 – 2030 in 2017. By taking 2016 as baseline, the major target of the plan has set to 15% relative reduction in the global prevalence of PA in adolescents by 2030. This is to be achieved by applying strategic plan for the creation of active societies, environments, people and systems (286). Then in 2020, the WHO has replaced the 2010 guideline on PA with the guideline on PA and sedentary behavior. This is considered the most recent guideline on PA by the WHO with the added value of integrating recommendation on sedentary behavior and recommendation for pregnant and postpartum women, people with chronic conditions and people with disability (289). This is compared with older guideline on PA(288). The guideline recommends children and adolescents (5-17 years old) to accumulate at least 60 minutes per day of MVPA. Also, children and adolescents are recommended to perform vigorous PA for muscle and bone development at least three days per week. These recommendations are also applied to children and adolescents with disability (289). In the past, guidelines to promote PA among children and adolescents were consistent with PA guideline for adults and based on studies conducted among adults (290, 291). Over time, several governments and policy makers have developed robust evidence-based PA guidelines to promote PA among children and adolescents (292). The United States (239, 293, 294), the United Kingdom, Canada and Australia (291, 295) were among the first countries to develop PA guidelines for children and adolescents.

Despite the existence of guidelines on PA, there is evidence for the high percentage of people, who are not sufficiently active at the global level (296). And low percentage of children and adolescents are meeting the WHO recommendations on PA (297-303). In 2016, it has been reported that 81% of adolescents don't meet the WHO recommendation for PA for the year 2010 (297). This declining trend on the prevalence on PA has been observed in both developed and developing countries; in Switzerland, the prevalence of physical inactivity among adolescents aged between 11-17 years old has been reported to be 83% among males and 89% among females for the year 2022 (304).

The determinants of adherence to PA among children and adolescents are; individual characteristics such as age (305-307), gender (306-308), BMI (305, 306), and sports club participation (308). And school related characteristics (305, 307), culturally rooted characteristics (309), parental age, maternal BMI and education (306), parent's perception of the neighborhood (308, 310), parent's support (311, 312) and physically active parent (308, 313).

Physical inactivity (PI) is defined as "an insufficient physical activity level to meet present physical activity recommendations" (314). It is considered a major behavioral risk factor for total morbidity and mortality (315, 316). In regards to its contribution to morbidity, PI increases the risk of developing cardiovascular diseases (18, 315, 316), cancers, diabetes (18, 316), chronic respiratory diseases, hypertension, obesity, and poor mental health (18). Also, PI contributes to DALYs; in 2013, PI was reported to be responsible for around 13 million DALYs globally (317). PI and its contribution to the total morbidity and mortality has increased between 2010 and 2019 (18). The increase in PI is greatly attributed to the changes in people's everyday activities such as the mechanization of jobs (318, 319), the increase in the mechanical transportation (318, 320-323) and the sedentary leisure activities (318, 324). Thus, PI can be burden on the economy through its direct cost on the health care system services and its contribution to lost productivity (19). PI accounts for 1-3% of the national health care costs excluding the costs associated with mental health and musculoskeletal conditions (286). In 2013, PI has costed the health care system around 54 billion in international dollar worldwide (317).

Sedentary behavior (SB) is defined as "any waking behavior characterized by an energy expenditure  $\leq 1.5$  metabolic equivalents (METs), while in a sitting or reclining posture" (325). SB has negative effect on the health independent of the level of PA. This effect includes the increase in the risk for all-cause mortality and cardiovascular diseases mortality (324). In children and adolescents long periods of screen time and television viewing have been suggested to be associated with higher level of clustered cardiometabolic risk factors and lower physical fitness and self-esteem (326). Also, based on meta-analysis study, the negative effect of SB among children and adolescents extends to mental health because it increase the risk of depression (327). Given the negative impact of SB on health independent of physical activity, recent guidelines has included recommendations for the reduction of SB (289, 328, 329).

## **1.2.2.** Social contagion: Transmissibility of health behaviors and mental health

In epidemiology and social science, there is interest on detecting social connections (ties) between members of group to identify network capital. Members of the group are called "egos" and individuals connected to the egos are its "alters". There are three types of relationship arise from network capital; first, ego-perceived friendship in which ego identifies alter as friend. Second, alter-perceived friendship in which alter identifies ego as friend. Third, mutual friendship in which both the ego and alter perceive themselves as friends (330, 331).

Identifying network topology is not the core interest of epidemiological and social science studies, but it is the potential clustering of particular behavior within the network (331). Epidemiological and social science studies have provided evidence for the clustering of behaviors within social networks such as smoking and obesity (330, 331). Several hypothesis emerged to explain the reason of behavioral clustering

within social networks; based on the "homophily" hypothesis, individuals are more likely to be connected with others who are similar to them (330, 331). This form of clustering can be detected through simultaneous observation (332). Others have suggested that clustering of behaviors emerge due to the exposure of the connected pair to unobserved confounding factor, which contributes to the shared behavior between them (331). While the social contagion theory suggests the spread of behaviors from one person to another within social network through induction (331, 333), which usually occurs over time (332). The induction of behaviors from person to person within social network occurs either through social or physiological mechanisms; induction through social mechanism takes place when a person is influenced to adopt specific behavior by simply being in contact with others who experience the same behavior. While induction through physiological mechanism happens because certain areas of the brain might be triggered by observing certain behaviors in others to exercise similar behavior (330). The spread of behaviors within social network is not confined to health behaviors (330, 334), but it extends to the contagion of aspects of mental health such as happiness and depression across network capital (335). There are differences in the association of behavior between pair of ego and alter based on the directionality of the relationship with the strongest effect occurs between mutual friends. This is followed by ego-perceived friendship and lastly the alter-perceived friendship. This provides stronger evidence in favor of the clustering of the behavior being due to social contagion as oppose to being caused by the existence of other confounding factor (331).

### **1.3.** General resistances resources

### **1.3.1.** Social capital

Social capital (SC) is divided into two components; network capital and social cohesion (336). Network capital includes community networks and personal networks (336, 337). While social cohesion includes structural SC and cognitive SC (336, 338, 339). SC is both individual and group attribute. It is applicable at different settings; it can be addressed within families, in the workplace and within large geographic areas (340). SC is considered a protective factor against mortality (340). To be specific, there is evidence for the positive association between network capital (338-341), and cognitive SC (trust and reciprocal social exchanges) (338-340, 342-345) with both physical and mental health. It might be argued that the socioeconomic status and the health status of the individual determine his/her ability to build SC; nonetheless, interventions based on helping individuals who suffer from mental disorder to improve their SC led to improvement in their mental health status (346, 347). While encouraging the development of social networks between people especially in place such as schools and public parks will lead to the development of political support to eradicate forms of disadvantaged socioeconomic status such as poverty (348). There are several proposed mechanisms for the association between SC and health; first, SC encourages adopting certain health-related behaviors through norms and attitudes (338, 349, 350). This is supported by the evidence for the association between network capital and lifestyle factors such as obesity, tobacco use, diet and physical activity (330, 351-353). Second, SC can contribute to the development of the individual's self-esteem, sense of obligation, and reciprocal relations, which facilitates the ability of individuals to access information, and social and material assets (338, 349, 350). These resources associated with SC act as a catalyst for individuals and communities to overcome challenges, which is then reflected through health outcomes (354). Third, it has been hypothesized that SOC can act as a mediator factor between SC and health outcomes (335).

### **1.3.2.** Self-efficacy

The concept of self-efficacy (SE) is a construct of Bandura's social cognitive theory (355). It is defined as the individual's belief in having control over events and the skills required to plan, manage and steer situations towards the individual's goals and expectations. This is through controlling his/her motivations, behaviors and feelings (355, 356). SE is not confined to the ability to change behavior, but it extends to the belief on the ability to accomplish social changes to overcome the structural determinants of health (357). There are several forms of SE; general SE is the belief in the ability to overcome a wide spectrum of obstacles and challenges to implement broad range of activities (358). And task SE is the belief in the ability to execute specific task or behavior (355, 358). While coping SE is the belief in the ability to have control over difficulties either through preventing or coping with them in order to perform certain behavior or task (355). SE is not a static personal characteristic instead it is continuously developing (355). SE is developed through four psychological processes; first, cognitive process, which is the ability to evaluate one's own capabilities, skills and resources. This is in addition to setting goals and strategies to accomplish them. Second, motivational process, which is based on three components; "attribution", "values of expected outcomes", and "clarity and value of goals". Third, affective process, which is based on the person's perception of coping abilities. In turn, this perception has effect on the individual's ability to control stress and anxiety. Fourth, selection process is the ability of people to select the physical and social environment, which permit maximum attainment of goals and personal development (355).

There is evidence for the association between SE and health-related behaviors such as exercising, cardiorespiratory fitness, weight loss, oral health, and alcohol consumption (356, 359). And its association with mental health; SE is negatively associated with depression (356, 358) and anxiety (358). While it is positively associated with well-being, quality of life (356), resilience against dangerous and stressful situations and protect against trauma (360).

### **1.3.3.** Sense of coherence

Aaron Antonovsky has developed the salutogenesis model of health (SMH) to address the question on the origins (genesis) of health (salute) beyond the identification of pathological risk factors associated with the disease (10, 334). He has based the salutogenesis model on four main elements, which are "stressors", " (GRRs-RDs)", "SOC", and "health" (10). Based on Antonovsky's theory, humans live in changing environment characterized by entropy and continuous exposure to stressors (10, 356). At any point in

time, each individual has a position on the "GRRs-RDs" continuum; being closer to the GRRs pole implies an increased availability of resources. While being at the far end of RDs pole implies limited availability or lack of resources (10). There are internal resources within the individual (334, 356) and external resources belonging to the larger community (334, 356) or the environment (356). Resources can take a wide range of forms; there are physical and material resources (356). Also, there are cognitive resources such as knowledge, intelligence (356) and personal characteristics (10, 356). And there is the form of emotional resources such as values, attitudes (356) and individual social support relationships (10, 356). Resource accessibility influences the individual's life experiences, which shape the individual's SOC. High resources accessibility allow the individual to experience "consistency" in life, which contributes to the development of the "comprehensibility" aspect of SOC. And the "load balance" between resources and demands forms the "manageability" aspect of the individual's SOC. Lastly, the access to resources facilitates the individual's ability to experience "decision making" and develop the "meaningfulness" aspect of SOC (10, 334). In relation to this, intervention strategies, which focus on empowerment of people to identify appropriate generalized resistance resources to face life stressors, are effective for strengthening SOC (361). Overall, SOC allows the individual to perceive life events as being coherent, make cognitive sense, logical, and predictable (Comprehensibility). Also, having SOC contributes to the individual's ability to balance between available resources and life demands (Manageability). And the individual's ability to draw emotions with life events and express devotion and commitment to them (Meaningfulness) (356). SOC is the key link between GRRs, stressors and health through its ability to mobilize resources effectively in order to overcome stressors and challenges in a way to ensure positive health (10, 334, 335). Antonovsky considers "well-being" a wider concept, which "health" is one of its components (10). Also, he opposes the pathological model's dichotomization of health into "sick vs. well"; he has defined "health" as ease/dis-ease continuum in which the individual has the potential of being positioned anywhere within the continuum (10, 334, 356). And he/she moves in the direction of ease through SOC (10, 334).

There are areas of convergence between SE and SOC; the development of the individual's SE (mastery experience, vicarious experience, and social persuasion psychological factors) contributes to the development of his/her SOC's constructs (comprehensibility, manageability, and meaningfulness) (359). To be specific, efficient cognitive skills through SE lead to envision the world as being coherent. Actions based on SE can contribute to manageability of several life's demands. Also, individual with SE has the ability to become emotionally resistant and thus can live meaningful life (356). Moreover, SE is considered one of the general resistance resources (335). And the two constructs develop over the human life span and associated with different aspects of health including psychological, physical and social health (356). Thus, the two constructs can be addressed in the implementation of health promotion strategies; studies have provided evidence for the positive association between the enhancement of cancer survivors' SE and their adherence to healthy eating habits (362) and the enhancement of the SE of patients with heart failure and the improvement in their PA (363). Another online intervention study among web-health users

concluded that the improvement of the individual's SE and social support is considered intermediate factor for the promotion of PA and nutritional behavioral changes among the individual (364). Additionally, the results of cluster-randomized trial among primary school children suggested that the enhancement of the children's SOC has contributed to the increase in their oral-health-related quality of life (365).





## **Chapter 2: Aims and hypothesis of the thesis**

Health promotion is important for enhancing the individual's well-being (366). It is even more critical to promote healthy behavior among children and adolescents as health-related behaviors during childhood and adolescence persists into adulthood (367-369). This is because tracking of unhealthy behaviors from childhood into adulthood implies that there is increase in the risk of developing NCDs later in life (370). While investments in the promotion of healthy behavior during childhood ensure the healthy upbringing of the individual throughout the lifespan (371).

Against this background, the current PhD thesis aims at shedding light on the association between healthy habits and minds in today's youth. It has two broad perspectives in two different contexts.

First, it explores the relation between PA and QoL in children and adolescents in Switzerland. Switzerland has population size of around 8.7 million. Children and adolescents, who are less than 20 years old, accounted for approximately 20% of the total population and those who are 65 years or older accounted for approximately 19% of the total population in 2021 (372). The growth rate of the population for the same year has been reported to be 0.8% (19) and the life expectancy at birth has been reported to be the among the highest in the world with 81.6 years for men and 85.7 years for women (373). This high life expectancy has contributed to the evolvement of Switzerland as aging society (373). One of the distinctive features of Switzerland is being "quardilinguaistic" state with four official national languages, which are French, German, Italian and Romansch (374). As in other high income countries, in Switzerland 90% of the deaths are due to (NCDs) (304). The increase in the prevalence of NCDs in Switzerland is caused by several factors including the increase in the prevalence of major NCDs risk factors such as access to and high consumption of alcohol, tobacco, and an imbalance in calorie intake and physical activity resulting in quite high rates of overweight and obesity (304, 375, 376). Moreover, the burden of mental health problems in the general population is quite high, with depression the most common mental disorder especially among those who are between 15-24 years old (373). In the light of Switzerland's high level of access to nature and places for physical activity and sports, PA can be considered a window of opportunity for health promotion and promotion of QoL. We benefited from objectively and longitudinally measured PA and repeated assessment of QoL in the Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity (SOPHYA) cohort study to investigate the relation between device-based PA and QoL.

To be specific, the following objectives and questions have been addressed:

- 1. Cross-sectional but not prospective association of accelerometry-derived physical activity with quality of life in children and adolescents
  - **1.1.** Is MVPA associated cross-sectionally with the overall QoL and the specific dimensions of QoL?

- **1.2.** To what extent are these associations driven by the between-subject or within-subject variability in MVPA?
- **1.3.** Does MVPA measured at baseline predict the overall QoL or/and the specific dimensions of QoL five years later independent of baseline participants' characteristics?

## 2. Weekend physical activity profiles and their relationship with quality of life: the SOPHYA cohort of Swiss children and adolescents

- **2.1.** To identify clusters of accelerometer-derived PA profiles during weekend days among children and adolescents from the SOPHYA cohort.
- **2.2.** To assess their cross-sectional and predictive association with overall QoL and its dimensions.
- **2.3.** To investigate whether QoL associations with newly identified clusters persist upon adjustment for established PA indicators.

Second, the thesis developed a study protocol for the identification of novel interventions to promote healthy behaviors and mental health among youth from Palestine. The country is inhabited by 5.4 million citizens distributed between the West Bank and Gaza Strip with population growth rate of 2.4%. The Palestinian society is a youthful society. Those who are under the age of 15 years old accounted for 37.6% of the total population for the year 2022 (377). Moreover, it is collective society, which is mainly shaped by the strong affiliation to the extended family (378) and strong sense of within group cohesion (379). Thus, aspects of SC are very important (380). Thus, we aimed at investigating health promotion approaches in Palestine through exploring the relevance of SC and social contagion in shaping the health-related behaviors and mental health among youth in Palestine. We developed a study protocol, which was not yet implemented due to Covid-19 pandemic.

To be specific, the following objectives and questions have been addressed:

# 3. Exploring the role of social capital, self-efficacy and social contagion in shaping lifestyle and mental health among students representing the future healthcare workforce in Palestine: social cohort study protocol

- **3.1.** To assess the frequency, distribution and clustering of self-perceived health, health-related behaviors, obesity and mental health among students.
- **3.2.** To assess the distribution of SC, SE and SOC among students.
- **3.3.** To estimate the association between SC, SE and SOC with the longitudinal course of self-perceived health, health-related behaviors, obesity and mental health among students.
- **3.4.** To uncover and quantify the suspected social contagion of health-related behaviors, obesity and mental health among students.

**3.5.** To identify modifying and mediating factors in the association of SC and SE with the level and longitudinal course of self-perceived health, health-related behaviors, obesity and mental health among students.

# **Chapter 3: Original work (published or accepted for publication)**

### Article I: Cross-sectional but not prospective association of accelerometryderived physical activity with quality of life in children and adolescents

Authors: Ranin Darkhawaja<sup>1,2</sup>, Johanna Hänggi<sup>1,2</sup>, Emmanuel Schaffiner<sup>1,2</sup>, Marek Kwiatkowski<sup>1,2</sup>, Abdulsalam Alkaiyat<sup>3</sup>, Alain Dössegger<sup>4</sup>, Bengt Kayser<sup>5</sup>, L. Suzanne Suggs<sup>6</sup>, Bettina Bringolf-Isler<sup>1,2†</sup>, Nicole Probst-Hensch<sup>1,2†\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Allschwil, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup> Department of Public Health, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup>Public Health Department, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, An-Najah National University, Nablus, State of Palestine <sup>4</sup>Swiss Federal Institute of Sport Magglingen SFISM, Magglingen, Switzerland

<sup>5</sup>Institute of sport sciences, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Vaud, Switzerland

<sup>6</sup>Institute of Communication and Public Policy, Faculty of Communication, Culture, and Society, Università della Svizzera Italiana, Lugano, Ticino, Switzerland

<sup>†</sup>Authors contributed equally

\*Corresponding author: <u>Nicole.probst@swisstph.ch</u>

Published in the International Journal of Public Health

### Abstract

### **Objectives**

This study aims to quantify the cross-sectional and prospective associations between quality of life (QoL) and moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA).

### Methods

It was based on the Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity cohort. The primary endpoint is the overall QoL score and its six dimensions. The main predictor is the average time spent in MVPA per day. Linear mixed effects and linear regression models respectively were used to investigate the cross-sectional and prospective associations between MVPA and QoL.

### Results

There were 352 participants in the study with complete data from baseline (2013-2015) and follow-up (2019). MVPA was positively associated with overall QoL and physical well-being (p = 0.023 and 0.002 respectively). The between-subject MVPA was positively associated with the overall QoL, physical and social well-being (p = 0.030, 0.017 and 0.028 respectively). Within-subject MVPA was positively associated with physical well-being and functioning at school (p = 0.039 and 0.013 respectively). Baseline MVPA was not associated with QoL five years later.

### Conclusion

Future longitudinal studies should employ shorter follow-up times and repeat measurements to shed light on the direction of the PA and QoL association.

**Keywords:** Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, Quality of life, Longitudinal data, Linear mixedeffects model, linear regression model
#### Introduction

In Switzerland, children and adolescents under 20 years account for approximately 20% of the population (372). Children are a vulnerable group, thus the protection of their rights to adequate well-being is deemed to be important (156). Understanding children's (381) and adolescents' (382) physical and mental health and their determinants is fundamental to their healthy upbringing. Investment into promoting their health and well-being can contribute to the achievement of several public health agendas (383).

Assessment of children's and adolescents' health should resonate with the comprehensive definition of health as "a state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity" (3). Quality of life (QoL), which is defined as "the individual's physical health, psychological state, level of independence, social relationships, personal beliefs and his/her relationships to salient features of the environment" (384), has been suggested to be a critical indicator of health (385). QoL is ideally measured on the basis of subjectively reported broad indicators not restricted to, medical wellbeing indicators. It is important to assess its distribution in general population samples and not only in subgroups with a specific disease (156). The KINDL® questionnaire proved to be a reliable and valid instrument for assessing QoL in different subdomains among children and adolescents (177, 179, 386, 387). It assesses the overall QoL and its six specific dimensions: physical well-being, emotional wellbeing, self-esteem, family connection, social well-being and functioning at school (180).

Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) is a strong predictor for different health aspects in children and adolescents (388). Accordingly, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that children and adolescents aged 5 to 17 years maintain an average of one hour per day in MVPA (389). Yet, before the COVID-19 pandemic, it was estimated that 81% of 11-17-year-old students globally were not sufficiently active (297). Similar trends were reported among children. This is of particular concern as both PA (390) and, to a much smaller extent, QoL (391) decrease with the aging of children.

A recent review summarized the evidence on the PA and QoL/well-being association in the general population and across the life course (392). Among youth aged 5-18, a higher level of physical activity (PA) and less sedentary time was associated with higher QoL and well-being perception, confirming results from an earlier review (231). The variety of instruments for assessing QoL and the differences in PA type considered complicate firm conclusions based on the evidence available. Most youth studies conducted to date were cross-sectional or the follow-up period in cohort and intervention studies was limited. No population-based cohort studies report on the PA-QoL association in the young measured PA with the help of accelerometry (231, 392).

The first meta-analysis of the effects of PA interventions on health-related quality of life (HRQoL) in healthy children and adolescents found PA to improve HRQoL overall and in several domains (393). The

authors concluded that considering a) the limited number of studies (N=17) and b) the large heterogeneity of the interventions there is insufficient evidence on the type and duration of PA intervention needed to benefit HRQoL in children and adolescents.

The current study benefits from accelerometry-derived PA and QoL assessment with the validated KINDL® questionnaire measured twice over a follow-up period of 5 years in the population-based Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity (SOPHYA) cohort study. The study's overall objective was to investigate associations between accelerometry-derived MVPA, given the specific WHO recommendations for this PA indicator, and QoL, with a focus on the longitudinal aspects. The following specific main research questions were addressed: 1) Is MVPA associated cross-sectionally with the overall QoL and the specific dimensions of QoL? 2) To what extent are these associations driven by the between-subject or within-subject variability in MVPA? 3) Does MVPA measured at baseline predict the overall QoL or/and the specific dimensions of QoL five years later independent of baseline participants' characteristics?

#### Methods

#### Study design and population

The present study was conducted among children and adolescents participating in the baseline assessment of the SOPHYA cohort (SOPHYA1) between December 2013 and June 2015 (313). All youth who are registered as residents in Switzerland and born between 1998 and 2007 were eligible. The Federal Statistical Office drew random samples from this sampling frame stratified by sex, year of birth, and language (German; French; Italian). The recruitment and the participation rate in SOPHYA1 were described before (313). In short, the participation rate among 2032 families who could be contacted for an accelerometer measurement and answered the SOPHYA1 baseline interview, participation was 64.4%. Valid accelerometer measurements accompanied by a self-administrated questionnaire during the measurement week were obtained from 1,320 youth aged 6 to 16 years. (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Flow diagram of study population (Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity cohort study, Switzerland, 2013 – 2019)

For the assessment of the predictive association of MVPA at baseline, QoL data obtained at the follow-up assessment in 2019 (SOPHYA2 accelerometry) was considered as outcome. SOPHYA2 was based on the 1,320 SOPHYA1 baseline accelerometry participants who provided proxy-reported (parents) information on socio-demographic characteristics, weight, height, and QoL. Of these participants, 844 could be recontacted by phone in 2019 and 780 provided consent for a follow-up accelerometer measurement. Among them, 447 participants finally had valid accelerometer measurements and self-reported socio-demographic characteristics, weight, height and QoL (**Figure 1**).

In SOPHYA1, a parent gave written informed consent (IC) for their children's participation. Adolescents aged 12 years or older filled in an additional IC form. In SOPHYA2, for participants younger than 14 years written IC was provided by a parent as proxy; for participants aged between 14 and 18 years, both parental and an own written IC was provided; for youth above 18 years only own written IC was given.

#### **Data collection**

Since participants were spread across Switzerland, contact with them was exclusively remote by phone and by mail. The regional SOPHYA-study partners (German-speaking region: Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute in Basel; French-speaking regions: University of Lausanne; Italian-speaking regions: Università della Svizzera Italiana) coordinated participant assessment.

#### 1. Telephone interview

At baseline and follow-up as a first SOPHYA assessment, computer-assisted telephone interviews in the respective language region (German; French; Italian) were conducted by a professional field research institute (LINK institute, Lucerne, Switzerland) either directly with children 11 years or older or with one parent as proxy for children aged 10 years or younger (394). Interview data collected included sociodemographic characteristics (sex, nationality, and household income).

#### 2. Accelerometer measurement

At SOPHYA1 and SOPHYA2, families were given oral instructions on accelerometer use through a phone call. Accelerometer along with written instructions were subsequently mailed to the participants, together with a pre-paid postage box to return the devices to the investigators after completion of the measurements. The participants mainly wore either Actigraph accelerometer model GT3X (exclusively used in SOPHYA2) and a few wore GT1M in SOPHYA1 (out of 1320 participants; 49 wore GT1M and 1271 wore GT3X), (ActiGraph, Pensacola, Florida, USA), both producing comparable output (395). Only the vertical axis was used when the accelerometer data was analyzed regardless of the type of the accelerometer being used. The accelerometers were tied to the right hip with an elastic band for seven consecutive days. The device was not worn during water activities or sleep. The device was set without

filtering and in 15-s epoch mode in order to detect shorter bursts of MVPA, which are typical for children (396). ActiLife 6.2 software (ActiGraph, Pensacola, Florida, USA) was used to initialize the device before wearing and, downloading and processing the data. Any period of 60 or more minutes of consecutive zero counts was considered non-wearing time. A day was considered valid if it contained at least 10 or 8 hours of wear time on weekdays or weekend days, respectively. Valid accelerometry consisted of at least 3 valid weekdays and one weekend day. To define children's time spent in MVPA, the age-dependent cut-offs of Freedson (397) with a threshold of 4 metabolic equivalents were used (398).

#### 3. Paper-based survey

At SOPHYA1 and SOPHYA2, families who had agreed to participate in the accelerometry sub-study received an additional paper-based survey to answer questions on the child's age when the accelerometer measurements took place, sport behavior of the children during the measured week, their weight, their height and any diagnosis of chronic disease. Additionally, the survey included the validated KINDL® questionnaire (178) for assessing children's QoL. The questionnaire was administered in the three language areas in Switzerland using the official translation of the questionnaire (Romansh-speaking people filled in the German questionnaire). Validated questionnaire versions tailored to different age groups are available for self-assessment and as a parent-proxy tool (180). In SOPHYA1, the questionnaire was exclusively answered by a parent. At follow-up in SOPHYA2, questionnaires were answered additionally by the participants themselves given their higher age.

#### Measures

#### 1. Primary endpoint: QoL

The validated KINDL® QoL questionnaire consists of 24 items, each answered on a five-point ordinal Likert scale ranging from "never" = (5) to "always" = (1). Each item belongs to one of the six QoL dimensions (four items per dimension): physical well-being, emotional well-being, self-esteem, family connection, social well-being and functioning at school. The QoL dimensions are scored separately as the sum of the scores of 4 items, ranging from 4 to 20. The domain-specific scores are subsequently transformed to a scale from 0 to 100. The overall QoL score is calculated based on the mean value of all answered items. Higher scores represent a higher QoL. If missing values occurred and affected less than 70% of the answers contributing to a dimension or the total score, the algorithm proposed by the authors of the KINDL® questionnaire was used to replace these missing data (175). The exclusion of participants affected only 2.0% in SOPHYA1 and 2.3% in SOPHYA2 (399).

#### 2. Main predictors: Mean of MVPA in hour per day

Accelerometer data averaged over a week was used to derive the participant's mean hours per day spent in exercising MVPA. To account for different numbers of valid weekdays and weekend days and to reflect that potential differences in MVPA between weekdays and weekend were considered when estimating average MVPA spend per day in the light of missing weekdays or weekend days, respectively, mean MVPA over the week was calculated as follows: (Average MVPA spent per day for weekdays \* 5) + (Average MVPA spent per day for weekend days \* 2)] / 7. MVPA was defined by age-related cut points with a threshold for moderate activity of 4 MET (397, 398).

#### 3. Confounders

#### - Sociodemographic characteristics

Telephone interview was used to collect information on the participant's sex (male, female), nationality (Swiss, foreign nationality, Swiss dual citizen), language region (German, French, Italian) and household income (less or equal to 6,000 CHF, 6001 to 9,000 CHF, 9,001 and more CHF, no information provided). Age (years) at the time of measurement was assessed by subtracting the date of birth from the date of measurement recorded by the paper-based survey during the measurement week.

#### - Body Mass Index (BMI)

Based on the paper-based survey, the participants self-reported their height and weight. BMI was calculated based on the following equation: Weight (kg)/ height<sup>2</sup>(m<sup>2</sup>) For sensitivity, analysis, BMI-for-age percentiles were calculated.

#### - Health status

In their responses to the paper-based survey, participants self-reported the following chronic disease diagnoses: asthma, hay fever, allergy, atopic dermatitis, diabetes mellitus, chronic enteritis, hypertension, epilepsy, arthropathy and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. They also self-reported the presence of any other chronic disease not specifically included in the above-mentioned list. Self-reported diagnosis of at least one chronic disease was defined as having had at least one chronic disease.

#### - Use of the accelerometer

The season of the wear time was assigned based on the month of accelerometer measurement (Spring: March-May; Summer: June-August; Autumn: September-November; Winter: December-February).

#### Statistical analysis

Participants included in the analysis were required to have complete data from both time points for the overall QoL and its specific dimensions (physical well-being, emotional well-being, self-esteem, family connection, social well-being, and functioning at school), MVPA, BMI and for the selected covariates (sex, age, household income, language region, nationality, self-reported diagnosis of chronic disease, and season of measurement). If the BMI of the participant was missing at one-time point only, it was imputed by regressing it on the BMI value available from the other time point while adjusting for age, sex, and time

interval between the two time points (See result section). Participants self-reporting epilepsy or arthropathy were excluded from the study, because of their strong potential influence on both, PA and QoL (400, 401).

The flow diagram of the study population is presented in Figure 1.

Potential selection bias was assessed by comparing baseline characteristics of all SOPHYA1 participants with those retained for the current study using chi-squared tests and student's t-tests (**Table S1**).

Descriptive statistics (n, %, mean, SD) of the study population, QoL and its specific dimensions, and of MVPA, BMI and covariates were calculated for the total study sample, and for SOPHYA1 and SOPHYA2 separately (**Table 1**).

The selection of the covariates as potential confounders was done a priori. We adjusted all models for age, sex, household income, language region, nationality, self-reported diagnosis with a chronic disease and season of measurement.

We assessed whether MVPA is cross-sectional or longitudinally associated with QoL and its specific dimensions by regressing QoL on MVPA while adjusting for the above-mentioned covariates. The following models were sequentially applied.

First, in **Model 1** (Table 2) we assessed whether MVPA is associated cross-sectionally with QoL. We considered data from both time points (SOPHYA1 and SOPHYA2). We used a linear mixed model that included a subject-specific random intercept.

Second, in **Model 2** (Table 3) we assessed the relationship of between- and within-subject variation in MVPA with the variation in QoL. The between-subject MVPA value for each participant was defined as their individual mean, which is the average of their MVPA over the two time points. The participant's within-subject MVPA value at each time point was defined as the deviation of MVPA as measured at that point from the participant's individual mean. We included both the between- and within-subject MVPS instead of MVPA in linear mixed models mirroring model 1. This modelling approach is described in more detail in (402).

Third, in **Model 3** (Table 4) we assessed whether MVPA measured at baseline predicted QoL after five years of follow-up. QoL scores at follow-up were regressed on MVPA at baseline in the context of a linear regression model adjusting for covariates as described above and in addition for the respective QoL scores at baseline.

Fourth, a secondary analysis (Supplementary Tables 2-4), models 1-3 described above were re-fitted with an additional adjustment for BMI to assess the independence of the studies associations from the participants' BMI.

The association size in **Models 1-3** represented by the regression coefficient is reflecting the change in QoL (sub)-score for an increase in MVPA by an average of 1 hour / day during the measurement week.

As sensitivity analyses, models 1 to 3 were repeated a) using QoL at follow-up derived from parentalproxy questionnaire instead of youth self-report at follow-up, and b) using BMI\_for\_age percentiles instead of BMI for additional adjustment. As the sample sizes for parental-proxy derived QoL (N = 302) and percentiles (N = 341) were only available from a smaller follow-up sample, the sensitivity analyses were conducted on these smaller samples.

All analyses were performed in R version 4.1.3.

#### Results

The final sample consisted of 352 children and adolescents (**Figure 1**). Of the 447 SOPHYA1 and SOPHYA2 accelerometry participants, 66 (8.5%) participants were not included in the final analysis because they did not have valid QoL score (overall or specific dimension). Additionally, 19 (2.4%) participants were dropped because they did not have valid data on household income. BMI at baseline or follow-up was imputed for 28 participants (11 participants did not have valid BMI at SOPHYA1 and 17 participants did not have valid BMI at SOPHYA2). One participant (1; 0.1%) was excluded for not having valid BMI information from either time point. Participants who self-reported a diagnosis with epilepsy 2 (0.3%) or arthropathy 7 (0.9%) at either SOPHYA1 or SOPHYA2 were also excluded from this study analysis.

Potential selection bias was assessed by comparing baseline characteristics of all SOPHYA1 accelerometry participants with those retained for the current study using chi-squared tests and student's t-test. Comparison of baseline characteristics between participants not included versus those who are included in this current analysis is presented in **Table S1**. Participants included in this analysis exhibited a lower BMI at baseline (mean [SD]: 16.8 [2.4] vs. 17.7 [2.9], p <0.0001), higher MVPA levels (mean [SD]: 1.4 [0.6] vs. 1.2 [0.6], p <0.0001) and better overall QoL (mean [SD]: 82.3 [7.7] vs. 80.2 [9], p < 0.0001), better physical well-being (mean [SD]: 84.9 [12.6] vs. 83.2 [14.6], p = 0.041), self-esteem (mean [SD]: 77.3 [12.4] vs. 74.6 [14.9], p = 0.001) and functioning at school (mean [SD]: 82.9 [14.2] vs. 78.4 [15.5], p < 0.0001).

**Table 1** describes the study sample. The final sample consisted of 52.8% females and 47.2% males. The average ages of the participants at SOPHYA1 and SOPHYA2 were (mean [SD]: 10.3 [2.4] years) and (mean [SD]: 15.1 [2.6] years), respectively. Mean MVPA decreased from (mean [SD]: 1.4 [0.6] hr/day) at SOPHYA1 to (mean [SD]: 0.8 [0.4] hr/day) at SOPHYA2. In regards to the QoL, the average score of the overall QoL decreased from (mean [SD]: 82.3 [7.7]) at SOPHYA1 to (mean [SD]: 74.2 [9.9]) at

SOPHYA2. Of the specific QoL dimensions, self-esteem exhibited the lowest score at both time points and decreased from (mean [SD]: 77.3 [12.4]) to (mean [SD]: 60.9 [17.3]) over five years of follow-up. Males and females were comparable on all characteristics except for the mean of MVPA and the mean of QoL score. Males had significantly higher MVPA (mean [SD]: 1.6 [0.7] vs. 1.3 [0.5], p <0.0001) at SOPHYA1 and (mean [SD]: 0.9 [0.4] vs. 0.7 [0.3]), p <0.0001) at SOPHYA2. Overall QoL was lower in females (mean [SD]: 73.1 [10.1] vs. 75.4 [9.5], p = 0.026) at SOPHYA2 only.

Table 1. Characteristics of study participants at baseline (SOPHYA1; 2013) and follow-up (SOPHYA2; 2019) (Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity cohort study, Switzerland, 2013 – 2019)

N =352						
		SOPHYA 1	SOPHYA 2			
		Mean (SD) /	Mean (SD) /			
		N (%)	N (%)			
	Socio-demographic					
Ag	ge	10.3 (2.4)	15.1 (2.6)			
Se	X					
-	Male	166 (4	7.2%)			
-	Female	186 (52	2.8%)			
Ho	ousehold income <sup>1</sup>	1				
-	$\leq$ 6,000 CHF	66 (18	3.8%)			
-	6,001 to 9,000 CHF	115 (32	2.7%)			
-	9,000 and more CHF	158 (44	4.9%)			
-	Not willing to provide information <sup>2</sup>	13 (3.	.7%)			
La	nguage region <sup>1</sup>					
-	German	245 (69	9.6%)			
-	French	74 (21	.0%)			
-	Italian	33 (9.	4%)			
Na	tionality <sup>1</sup>					
-	Swiss	245 (69	9.6%)			
-	Foreign nationality	33 (9.4%)				
-	Swiss dual citizen (Swiss and foreign nationality)	74 (21.0%)				
	Health status					
BN	/II kg/m <sup>2</sup>	16.8 (2.4) 19.8 (3.1)				
Se	lf-reported diagnosis with at least one chronic dis	ease				
-	Did not have any of the chronic diseases	$\begin{array}{c c} 254 (72.2\%) & 232 (65.9\%) \\ \hline 98 (27.8\%) & 120 (34.1\%) \end{array}$				
-	Had at least one chronic disease	98 (27.8%) 120 (34.1%)				
Ph	Physical activity					
Se	ason of measurement					
-	Spring	85 (24.1%)	104 (29.5%)			
-	Summer	54 (15.3%)	73 (20.7%)			
-	Autumn	92 (26.1%)	127 (36.1%)			
-	Winter	121 (34.4%) 48 (13.6%				
W	eartime hour/day	13.2 (0.9)	13.9 (1.1)			
M	VPA Mean hour/day	1.4 (0.6)	0.8 (0.4)			
	Quality of life'					
-	Overall QoL	82.3 (7.7)	74.2 (9.9)			
-	Physical well-being	84.9 (12.6)	74.2 (14.9)			
-	Emotional well-being	87 (10.6)	79.7 (13.0)			
-	Self-esteem	77.3 (12.4)	60.9 (17.3)			
-	Family connection	82.5 (12.0)	86.3 (14.1)			
-	Social well-being	79.2 (11.2)	74.3 (14.0)			
-	Functioning at school	82.9 (14.2)	69.6 (17.6)			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The information for both time points stems from baseline assessment <sup>2</sup> Participant answered the question, but chose to abstain from declaring the range of the household income <sup>3</sup> Obtained from KINDL® questionnaire

#### **Cross-sectional associations**

The results of cross-sectional analysis of data obtained from the same children at baseline and follow-up on the adjusted association between mean MVPA and QoL are shown in **Table 2**. Mean MVPA was positively associated with the overall QoL and with physical well-being (p = 0.023 and 0.002 respectively). A one-hour increase in MVPA per day was associated with a 2.0 (95%CI: 0.3, 3.7) points and 4.2 (95%CI: 1.6, 6.8) points increase in the overall QoL and physical well-being score, respectively. Positive, but statistically non-significant associations were also observed for social well-being and functioning at school (p = 0.053 and 0.086 respectively) with an effect size of 2.4 (95%CI: 0.002, 4.9) points and 2.6 (95%CI: - 0.4, 5.6) points, respectively per each one hour increase in MVPA. No associations were observed with other dimensions of QoL (p = 0.151).

The between-subject MVPA value, reflecting the cross-sectional association, was positively associated with the overall QoL, physical and social well-being (p = 0.030, 0.017 and 0.028 respectively). For every one hour increase in the between-subject MVPA value, there was a 2.6 (95%CI: 0.3, 4.9) points, 4.3 (95%CI: 0.8, 7.7) points and 3.8 (95%CI: 0.5, 7.2) points increase in the overall QoL, the physical well-being, and the social well-being, respectively. The within-subject MVPA value, reflecting the longitudinal association, was positively associated with the physical well-being and functioning at school (p = 0.039 and 0.013 respectively), with effect sizes of 4.2 (95%CI: 0.2, 8.2) points and 5.6 (95%CI: 1.2, 10.1) points increase in physical well-being and functioning at school scores, respectively, for every one hour increase in the within-subject MVPA. See **Table 3**.

The results presented in **Table 2** and **Table 3** did not materially change upon additional adjustment for BMI (**Table S2** and **Table S3**).

Table 2. Repeated adjusted<sup>1</sup> cross-sectional association of mean moderate- to-vigorous physical activity with quality of life (Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity cohort study, Switzerland, 2013 – 2019)

	Model	1 <sup>2</sup>		
	MVPA	Δ		
Primary endpoint <sup>3</sup>	Coefficient <sup>4</sup>	95% CI	P-value	
Overall QoL	2.0	(0.3 to 3.7)	0.023	
Physical well-being	4.2 (1.6 to 6.8)		0.002	
Emotional well-being	1.7	(-0.6 to 4.0)	0.151	
Self-esteem	1.4	(-1.4 to 4.4)	0.335	
Family connection	-0.6	(-3.0 to 1.9)	0.660	
Social well-being	2.4	(0.002 to 4.9)	0.053	
Functioning at school	2.6	(-0.4 to 5.6)	0.086	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, household income, language region, nationality, diagnosis with a chronic disease, and season of measurement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Moderate- to-vigorous physical activity at the respective time point

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Obtained from KINDL® questionnaire

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Coefficient is reflecting the change in score associated with an average 1-hour increase in moderate- to-vigorous physical activity during the accelerometry measurement week

M	odel 2 <sup>2</sup>			
MVPA - Between	subjects	MVP.	A - Within subje	cts
Coefficient <sup>4</sup> 95% CI	P-value	Coefficient <sup>5</sup>	95% CI	P-value
2.6 (0.3 to 4.9	9) 0.030	1.3	(-1.1 to 3.7)	0.302
4.3 (0.8 to 7.7	7) 0.017	4.2	(0.2  to  8.2)	0:039
g 2.7 (-0.3 to 5.	7) 0.085	0.4	(-3.0 to 3.8)	0.804
3.5 (-0.4 to 7.	(4) 0.084	-1.0	(-5.3  to  3.3)	0.649
1.2 (-2.3 to 4.	7) 0.510	-2.3	(-5.8 to 1.2)	0.205
3.8 (0.5 to 7.2	2) 0.028	6.0	(-2.7 to 4.5)	0.623
1 0.2 (-3.8 to 4.	1) 0.938	5.6	(1.2  to  10.1)	0.013
	00000 (1)		0.0	(1.01 W 2.1) 0.C

Table 3. Repeated adjusted<sup>1</sup> cross-sectional association of between-and within-subject moderate- to-vigorous physical activity values with quality of life (Swiss children's Objectively measured PHY sical Activity cohort study, Switzerland, 2013 – 2019) <sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, household income, language region, nationality, diagnosis with a chronic disease, and season of measurement <sup>2</sup> Moderate- to-vigorous physical activity included in the model as participant's mean moderate- to-vigorous physical activity over both time points (between-subject variation) and as difference from that mean at either time point (within-subject variation)

<sup>3</sup> Obtained from KINDL® questionnaire

<sup>4</sup> Coefficient is reflecting the change in score associated with an average 1-hour increase in between-subject moderate- to-vigorous physical activity during the accelerometry measurement week

<sup>5</sup> Coefficient is reflecting the change in score associated with an average 1-hour increase in within-subject moderate- to-vigorous physical activity during the accelerometry measurement week

#### **Prospective associations**

We did not find evidence of an association of MVPA at baseline with QoL five years later, after adjusting for the baseline characteristics, including baseline QoL (**Table 4**). Again, results were not materially altered by an additional adjustment for BMI (**Table S4**).

Table 4. Prospective adjusted <sup>1</sup> association of mean of moderate- to – vigorous physical activit	y at
baseline with quality of life (overall and specific dimension scores) at follow-up (Swiss childr	en's
Objectively measured PHYsical Activity cohort study, Switzerland, 2013-2019)	

	Model 3		
	Main predicto	or	
	MVPA		
Primary endpoint <sup>2</sup>	Coefficient <sup>3</sup>	95% CI	P-value
Overall QoL	-0.9	(-3.5 to 1.6)	0.479
Physical well-being	-0.7	(-4.6 to 3.2)	0.730
Emotional well-being	0.1	(-3.2 to 3.5)	0.946
Self-esteem	-0.1	(-4.6 to 4.5)	0.980
Family connection	-1.0	(-4.6 to 2.7)	0.598
Social well-being	-0.1	(-3.8 to 3.6)	0.971
School functioning	-2.9	(-7.5 to 1.8)	0.226

<sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, gender, household income, language region, nationality, diagnosed with a chronic disease, season of measurement, and respective quality of life score at baseline

<sup>2</sup> Obtained from KINDL® questionnaire

<sup>3</sup> Coefficient is reflecting the change in score associated with an average 1-hour increase in within-subject moderateto-vigorous physical activity during the accelerometry measurement week

#### Sensitivity analyses

Replacing QoL follow-up information provided by children and adolescents themselves with QoL information provided by parental proxy did not materially alter the results reported. Replacing additional adjustment for BMI with adjustment for BMI-age percentiles did not change the conclusion.

#### Discussion

This study demonstrates a cross-sectional, positive association of device-based MVPA with both, overall QoL and physical well-being of Swiss children and adolescents. Partitioning of the variation in MVPA into between- and within-subject variation to differentiate between cross-sectional and longitudinal associations revealed that the latter is associated with the functioning at school dimension of QoL. As another approach to assessing longitudinal associations, baseline MVPA did not predict a better QoL in any specific dimension five years later.

The cross-sectional association between QoL and PA is in agreement with many findings of previous cross-sectional studies in children and adolescents, which were based on device-based PA, self-reported PA or sport activities and applied different QoL instruments (392, 403). Differences in observed associations with QoL specific dimensions across studies may in part be due to differences in PA assessment. This is evidenced by a cross-sectional study conducted among adolescents in Germany to compare the QoL association with self-reported PA versus device-based PA assessment. While self-reported PA was associated with almost all specific dimensions of QoL, device-based PA was mostly associated with physical well-being (403). Furthermore, sports activity and PA more generally seem to have different influences on QoL (404, 405). Observed associations in cross-sectional studies do not inform the direction of associations and are primarily based on between-subject effects. The results of PA intervention trials support positive short-term effects on children's QoL (393).

Additionally, we attempted to characterize the relationship of MVPA and QoL from two distinct longitudinal perspectives. First, employing a conventional epidemiological analysis, we did not find evidence of an effect of baseline PA on QoL at the follow-up five years later. While such an effect is plausible, our study may have lacked the statistical power to detect it. This is especially likely given the long follow-up consisting of our young participants' formative years which would have attenuated the effect size. Longitudinal evidence of the longer-term benefit of PA on QoL in children and adolescents is still rare and absent to our knowledge for accelerometry-derived MVPA. But several prospective and interventional studies reported different type of PA to be a predictor of QoL among children and adolescents (406, 407). However, PA was mostly self-reported and related to engagement in sports activities or school interventions. A few longitudinal studies with data from more than two time-points specifically investigated the bidirectional association between PA and QoL in children and adolescents using cross-lagged panel models. Jensen et al. (2014) found higher baseline QoL to predict higher PA one year later (408). Wunsch et al. (2021) found pre-pandemic HRQoL to predict higher PA during the pandemic, but only in children and not in adolescents (409). Consistent with our results, none of the two studies above found PA to predict HRQoL. In contrast, a positive predictive effect of PA on HRQoL one year later in the absence of a predictive effect of HRQoL on PA was reported for Finnish adolescents aged 11 to 15 years (410). A bidirectional predictive association was reported in French adolescents based on self-reported PA obtained in adolescents assessed over three one-year follow-ups (411).

The second perspective related deviations of participants' MVPA at either time point from their five-year mean to their QoL scores. Here, notably, we observed an association with the functioning at school domain. It is plausible that an increased PA enables a child to feel more comfortable at school, either directly or through the multitude of its physical and mental health benefits. Another possibility is that a decreased PA is a marker of a lowered school-related QoL. The associations may also be artifacts arising from complex

interplays of parallel downward trends in PA and QoL over the study period, regression to the mean, and the five-year mean of MVPA being an imprecise characterization of the child's typical behavior.

Recently a study on children applied a cross-lagged panel model to distinguish both between- and withinperson variance to investigate prospective within-person effects between PA and HRQoL (412). According to this study, positive deviations from an individual's level of PA were followed by a positive deviation in the individuals' level of HRQoL at the next measurement occasion and vice versa.

The strengths of this study include its population-based design covering a whole country. It is to our knowledge the first population-based youth cohort longitudinally assessing the association of accelerometry-derived MVPA with QoL over an extended period of time. QoL was assessed with the help of the widely used and validated KINDL® instrument.

The study has several limitations. First the sample size was limited as the study was embedded into a cohort with the primary aim to study the longitudinal course of PA and its determinants. Accordingly, no sample size calculations for the current objective were conducted. Second, bias due to follow-up cannot be excluded and its direction is difficult to judge given that both, physical activity and QoL influenced follow-up participation. Third, two follow-up time points 5 years apart are not sufficient to clearly differentiate between predictor (MVPA) and outcome. The observed difference in within-subject variation in MVPA and QoL associations and predictive MVPA and QoL associations may reflect this fact.

#### Conclusion

The results support the positive cross-sectional association between PA and QoL among children and adolescents. Future longitudinal studies employing shorter follow-up times and repeat measurements can shed light on the direction of the PA and QoL association.

#### List of abbreviations

BMI: Body Mass Index
HRQoL: Health Related Quality of Life
MVPA: Moderate- to-vigorous physical activity
PA: Physical activity
QoL: Quality of life
SOPHYA: Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity
WHO: World Health Organization

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

SOPHYA1 and SOPHYA2 protocols were approved by the ethics committee of the Canton of Basel/North-Western Switzerland (147/13; EKNZ 2018-01786). The SOPHYA cohort was performed in accordance with the ethical standards delineated in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Either the participants themselves or, if minors, their parents gave written informed consent for participation in the SOPHYA1 and SOPHYA2 study.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Funding

This study was funded by the Swiss Federal Institute of Sport Magglingen SFISM (Grant No. 13-06), the Federal Office of Public Health FOPH (Grant No. 13.005223), Health Promotion Switzerland (Grant No. 13.009 & 18.262), the Federal Office of Sport (Grant Nos. 30.10.2017 & VM 0193 004 750). The first author's salary is funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement number 801076, through the SSPH+Global PhD Fellowship Programme in Public Health Sciences (GlobalP3HS) of the Swiss School of Public Health, and by the Swiss Government Excellence Scholarship.

#### **Authors' contributions**

NP-H: SOPHYA study concept; SOPHYA data collection; concept of current study; data analysis plan; drafting of manuscript.

RAMD: data analysis plan; data analysis; drafting of manuscript.

JH: SOPHYA data collection; concept of current study; drafting of manuscript.

ES: data analysis plan; data analysis; drafting of manuscript.

MK: data analysis plan; supervision of data analysis; drafting of manuscript.

AA: approval of final manuscript.

BK: SOPHYA data collection; approval of final manuscript.

SS: SOPHYA data collection; approval of final manuscript.

BB: SOPHYA study concept; SOPHYA data collection; concept of current study; approval of final manuscript.

# Appendix I

Supplement Table 1. Comparison of baseline characteristics of participants with accelerometry only participants in SOPHYA1<sup>1</sup> with those participants in SOPHYA1 and SOPHYA2 (Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity cohort study, Switzerland, 2013-2019)

	Children who	Children who		
	participated only in SOPHYA1	participated in SOPHYA1 &		
	N = 781	SOPHYA2		
		N = 352		
Sociodemographic characteristics				
Variable	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	95% CI	P-value <sup>2</sup>
Age				
Children and adolescents (6 to 16 years old)	11.4 (2.6)	10.3 (2.4)	(-1.4 to -0.8)	< 0.001
Variable	n (%)	u (%)	x-squared	P-value <sup>3</sup>
Sex				
- Female	391 (50.1%)	186 (52.8%)	9.0	0.423
- Male	390 (49.9%)	166 (47.2%)		
Household income				
$- \leq 6,000 \ CHF$	188 (24.1%)	66(18.8%)		
- 6,001 to 9,000 CHF	274 (35.1%)	115 (32.7%)		
- 9,000 and more CHF	289 (37.0%)	158 (44.9%)	7.3	0.063
- Not willing to provide information <sup>4</sup>	30 (3.8%)	13 (3.7%)		
Language region				
- German	547 (70.0%)	245 (69.6%)		
- French	145 (18.6%)	74 (21.0%)	1.7	0.431
- Italian	89 (11.4%)	33 (9.4%)		
Nationality				
- Swiss	536 (68.6%)	245 (69.6%)		
- Foreign nationality	79(10.1%)	33 (9.4%)	0.2	0.917
- Swiss dual citizen (Swiss and foreign	166 (21.3%)	74 (21.0%)		
nationality)				

Health status				
Diagnosed with chronic diseases				
Astham				
- <i>No</i>	730 (93.5%)	326 (92.6%)	0.2	0.687
- Yes	51 (6.5%)	26 (7.4%)		
Hay fever				
- No	659 (84.4%)	309 (87.8%)	2.0	0.158
- Yes	122 (15.6%)	43 (12.2%)		
Allergy (other than hay fever)				
- No	688 (88.1%)	314 (89.2%)	0.2	0.659
- Yes	93 (11.9%)	38(10.8%)		
Atopic dermatitis				
- No	722 (92.4%)	322 (91.5%)	0.2	0.659
- Yes	59 (7.6%)	30 (8.5%)		
Diabetes mellitus				
- No	780 (99.9%)	352(100.0%)	<0.01	1.000
- Yes	1(0.1%)	0 (0.0%)		
Chronic enteritis (colitis ulcerosa, morbus Cro	(uqu			
- No	(%1.66) 677	352~(100.0%)	0.03	0.853
- Yes	2 (0.3%)	0(0.0%)		
Hypertension				
- <u>No</u>	780 (99.9%)	351 (99.7%)	<0.01	1
- Yes	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.3%)		
Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHS)	(ADS)			
- <i>No</i>	757 (96.9%)	346 (98.3%)	1.3	0.259
- Yes	24 (3.1%)	6 (1.7%)		
Other specified sever chronic diseases				
- <i>No</i>	758 (97.1%)	343 (97.4%)	0.03	0.864
- Yes	23 (2.9%)	9 (2.6%)		
Diagnosed with at least one chronic disease				
- Did not have any of the chronic diseases	521 (66.7%)	254 (72.2%)		
- Had at least one	260 (33.3%)	98 (27.8%)	3.1	0.079
chronic disease				
Variable	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	95% CI	P-value <sup>2</sup>

BMI				
- BMI kg/m <sup>2</sup>	17.7 (2.9)	16.8 (2.4)	(-1.2 to -0.5)	< 0.001
Physical activity				
Variable	u (%)	u (%)	x-squared	P-value <sup>3</sup>
Season of measurement				
- Spring	241 (30.9%)	85 (24.1%)		
- Summer	101 (12.9%)	54 (15.3%)	5.9	0.117
- Autumn	180 (23.0%)	92 (26.1%)		
- Winter	259 (33.2%)	121 (34.4%)		
Variable	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	95% CI	P-value <sup>2</sup>
Mean of MVPA				
- MVPA Mean hr/day	1.2 (0.6)	1.4 (0.6)	(0.1  to  0.3)	< 0.001
Quality of life <sup>5</sup>				
- Overall QoL	80.2 (9.0)	82.3 (7.7)	(1.1  to  3.1)	< 0.001
- Physical well-being	83.2 (14.6)	84.9 (12.6)	(0.1  to  3.4)	0.041
- Emotional well-being	85.8 (11.5)	87.0 (10.6)	(-0.3  to  2.5)	0.122
- Self-esteem	74.6 (14.9)	77.3 (12.4)	(1.0 to 4.4)	0.001
- Family connection	81.0 (13.0)	82.5 (12)	(-0.1  to  3.0)	0.075
- Social well-being	78.2 (13.4)	79.2 (11.2)	(-0.5 to 2.5)	0.178
- Functioning at school	78.4 (15.5)	82.9 (14.2)	(2.5 to 6.3)	< 0.001

<sup>1</sup> The exclusion criteria, which was applied to the participants at SOPHYA2 cohort, was also applied to all SOPHYA1 participants. This is why the sample size upon which the comparison was made is less than 1320
<sup>2</sup> P-value from student's t-test
<sup>3</sup> P-value from chi-squared test
<sup>4</sup> Participant answered the question, but chose to abstain from declaring the range of the household income
<sup>5</sup> Obtained from KINDL® questionnaire

						_ (
		Mode	el 1 <sup>3</sup>			
		MVPA			BMI	
Primary endpoint <sup>4</sup>	Coefficient <sup>5</sup>	95% CI	P-value	Coefficient	95% CI	P-value
Overall QoL	1.9	(0.2  to  3.6)	0.027	-0.2	(-0.5  to  0.01)	0.065
Physical well-being	4.2	(1.6 to 6.8)	0.002	-0.02	(-0.4 to 0.4)	0.924
Emotional well-being	1.6	(-0.6 to 3.9)	0.161	-0.2	(-0.5 to 0.2)	0.299
Self-esteem	1.3	(-1.5 to 4.3)	0.368	-0.5	(-1.0 to -0.1)	0.028
Family connection	-0.6	(-3.1 to 1.9)	0.616	-0.3	(-0.7  to  0.05)	0.088
Social well-being	2.4	(-0.04 to 4.9)	0.058	-0.3	(-0.7  to  0.1)	0.175
Functioning at school	2.6	(-0.4 to 5.5)	0.092	-0.2	(-0.7  to  0.3)	0.410
						L

Supplement Table 2. Repeated adjusted<sup>1</sup> cross-sectional association of moderate- to-vigorous physical activity with quality of life, additionally adjusted for body mass index<sup>2</sup> (Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity cohort study, Switzerland, 2013-2019)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, household income, language region, nationality, diagnosis with a chronic disease, season of measurement and BMI <sup>2</sup> Sample size = 352

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> MVPA included in the model as MVPA at the respective time point

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Obtained from KINDL® questionnaire

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Coefficient is reflecting the change in score associated with an average 1-hour increase in MVPA during the accelerometry measurement week

				Model 2 <sup>3</sup>					
		MVPA			MVPA			BMI	
	F	3 etween subjects		Δ	Vithin subjects				
Primary endpoint <sup>4</sup>	Coefficient <sup>5</sup>	95% CI	P-value	Coefficient <sup>6</sup>	95% CI	P-value	Coefficient	95% CI	P-value
Overall QoL	2.6	(0.3 to 4.9)	0.031	1.2	(-1.2  to  3.6)	0.338	-0.3	(-0.5  to  0.01)	0.063
Physical well-being	4.3	(0.8  to  7.7)	0.017	4.2	(0.2  to  8.2)	0.040	-0.02	(-0.4  to  0.4)	0.923
Emotional well-being	2.7	(-0.3 to 5.7)	0.087	0.4	(-3.0  to  3.7)	0.836	-0.2	(-0.5 to 0.2)	0.290
Self-esteem	3.4	(-0.4 to 7.3)	0.080	-1.2	(-5.5  to  3.1)	0.590	-0.5	(-1.0  to  -0.1)	0.026
Family connection	1.2	(-2.3 to 4.7)	0.522	-2.4	(-5.9  to  1.1)	0.181	-0.4	(-0.8 to 0.04)	0.083
Social well-being	3.8	(0.5  to  7.2)	0.028	0.8	(-2.8 to 4.4)	0.663	-0.3	(-0.7  to  0.1)	0.167
Functioning at school	0.1	(-3.8 to 4.1)	0.945	5.6	(1.1 to 10.0)	0.014	-0.2	(-0.6 to 0.3)	0.430

Supplement Table 3. Repeated adjusted<sup>1</sup> cross-sectional association of partitioned moderate- to-vigorous physical activity with quality of life, additionally adjusted for body mass index<sup>2</sup> (Swiss children's Objectively measured PHY sical Activity cohort study, Switzerland, 2013-2019)

<sup>3</sup> MVPA included in the model as participant's mean MVPA over both time points (between-subject variation) and as difference from that mean or either time point (within-subject variation)

<sup>4</sup> Obtained from KINDL® questionnaire

<sup>5</sup> Coefficient is reflecting the change in score associated with an average 1-hour increase in between-subject MVPA during the accelerometry measurement week <sup>6</sup> Coefficient is reflecting the change in score associated with an average 1-hour increase in within-subject MVPA during the accelerometry measurement week

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, household income, language region, nationality, diagnosis with a chronic disease, season of measurement and BMI <sup>2</sup> Sample size = 352

Supplement Table 4. Prospective adjusted<sup>1</sup> association of moderate- to-vigorous physical activity at baseline with quality of life at follow-up, additionally adjusted for body mass index<sup>2</sup> (Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity cohort study, Switzerland, 2013-2019)

		Main pro	edictors			
		MVPA			BMI	
Primary endpoint <sup>3</sup>	Coefficient <sup>4</sup>	95% CI	P-value	Coefficient	95% CI	P-value
Overall QoL	-0.9	(-3.5 to	0.488	-0.1	(-0.6 to 0.4)	0.661
		1.7)				
Physical well-being	-0.7	(-4.6 to	0.728	0.1	(-0.6 to 0.8)	0.834
		3.2)				
Emotional well-	0.1	(-3.3 to	0.961	0.2	(-0.4 to 0.8)	0.528
being		3.4)				
Self-esteem	0.03	(-4.5 to	0.989	-0.5	(-1.3 to 0.4)	0.267
		4.6)				
Family connection	-1.0	(-4.6 to	0.605	-0.1	(-0.8 to 0.5)	0.693
		2.7)				
Social well-being	-0.01	(-3.7 to	0.994	-0.3	(-1.0 to 0.4)	0.384
		3.7)				
Functioning at	-2.9	(-7.5 to	0.226	-0.003	(-0.9 to 0.9)	0.995
school		1.8)				

<sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, household income, language region, nationality, diagnosed with a chronic disease, season of measurement, BMI, and respective QoL score at baseline

<sup>2</sup> Sample size = 352

<sup>3</sup> Obtained from KINDL® questionnaire

<sup>4</sup> Coefficient is reflecting the change in score associated with an average 1-hour increase in MVPA during the accelerometry measurement week

# Article II: Weekend physical activity profiles and their relationship with quality of life: the SOPHYA cohort of Swiss children and adolescents

Ranin Darkhawaja<sup>1,2</sup>, Johanna Hänggi<sup>1,2¶</sup>, Bettina Bringolf-Isler<sup>1,2¶</sup>, Bengt Kayser<sup>3</sup>, L. Suzanne Suggs<sup>4</sup>, Marek Kwiatkowski<sup>1,2</sup>, Nicole Probst-Hensch<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Allschwil, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup> University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup> Institute of sport sciences, University of Lausanne, Switzerland

<sup>4</sup>Institute for Public Health and Institute of Communication and Public Policy, Università della Svizzera Italiana, Lugano, Switzerland <sup>¶</sup>Equal contribution as second authors

\*Corresponding author

Email: Nicole.probst@swisstph.ch (NPH)

Accepted for publication in PLOS ONE

# Abstract

#### Introduction

Quality of life (QoL) is an important health indicator among children and adolescents. Evidence on the effect of physical activity (PA)-related behaviors on QoL among youth remains inconsistent. Conventional accelerometer-derived PA metrics and guidelines with a focus on whole weeks may not adequately characterize QoL relevant PA behavior.

#### **Objective**

This study aims to a) identify clusters of accelerometer-derived PA profiles during weekend days among children and adolescents living in Switzerland, b) assess their cross-sectional and predictive association with overall QoL and its dimensions, and c) investigate whether the associations of QoL with the newly identified clusters persist upon adjustment for the commonly used PA metrics moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) and time spent in sedentary behavior (SB).

#### Methods

The population-based Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity (SOPHYA) cohort among children and adolescents aged 6 to 16 years was initiated at baseline in 2013. PA and QoL information were obtained twice over a five-year follow-up period. The primary endpoint is the overall QoL score and its six dimension scores obtained by KINDL® questionnaire. The primary predictor is the cluster membership of accelerometer-derived weekend PA profile. Clusters were obtained by applying the k-medoid algorithm to the distance matrix of profiles obtained by pairwise alignments of PA time series using the Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) algorithm. Secondary predictors are accelerometer-derived conventional PA metrics MVPA and SB from two combined weekend days. Linear regression models were applied to assess a) the cross-sectional association between PA cluster membership and QoL at baseline and b) the predictive association between PA cluster membership at baseline and QoL at follow-up, adjusting for baseline QoL.

#### Results

The study sample for deriving PA profile clusters consisted of 51.4% girls and had an average age of 10.9 [SD 2.5] years). The elbow and silhouette methods indicated that weekend PA profiles are best classified in two or four clusters. The most differentiating characteristic for the two-clusters classification ("lower activity" and "high activity"), and the four-clusters classification ("inactive", "low activity", "medium activity", and "high activity"), respectively was the participant's mean counts per 15-seconds epoch. Participants assigned to high activity clusters were younger and more often male. Neither the clustered PA profiles nor MVPA or SB were cross-sectionally or predictively associated with overall QoL. The only association of a conventional PA metrics with QoL while adjusting for cluster membership was observed between MVPA during the weekend days and social well-being with a mean score difference of 2.4 (95%CI: 0.3 to 4.5; p = 0.025).

#### Conclusion

The absence of strong associations of PA metrics for the weekend with QoL, except for the positive association between MVPA during the weekend days and social well-being, is in line with results from two randomized studies not showing efficacy of PA interventions on youth QoL. But because PA decreases with age, its promotion and relevance to QoL remain important research topics. Larger longitudinal study samples with more than two follow-up time points of children and adolescents are needed to derive new novel accelerometer-derived PA profiles and to associate them with QoL dimensions.

#### Keywords

Physical activity profiles, Clustering, Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, Sedentary behaviour, Quality of life, Longitudinal data, Dynamic Time Warping, k-medoid, Elbow method, Silhouette method

# Introduction

Quality of life (QoL) is an important health determinant and indicator, which complements other conventional health indicators such as mortality and morbidity. The World Health Organization (WHO) defines QoL as "an individual's perception of their position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards and concerns". QoL is a subjective and multidimensional well-being construct that includes physiological, psychological, and functional aspects (133).

According to the WHO Mental Health Division, the measurement of QoL among children and adolescents should be age-appropriate, applicable independent of the health status of the targeted group, appropriate cross-culturally, and include both positive and negative aspects. There is a preference for self-reported measurement. QoL assessment should consider aspects of health, subjective well-being and social indicators (413). The KINDL® questionnaire is an accepted, valid and reliable QoL instrument for children and adolescents (177).

Few studies assessed QoL in youth in a population-representative manner. High QoL in children and adolescents is essential for a healthy transition to adulthood and for maintaining a good QoL later in life, in line with the United Nations SDG 3 of ensuring good health and improving QoL for all (172). Furthermore, the understanding of health and well-being before adulthood is relevant in itself, in line with Article 12 of the United Nation's Convention of the Rights of the Child (414).

Population-based assessment of QoL and its determinants in the young is important for evaluating the impact of existing public health programs and policies targeting children and adolescents (415). In recent years, there has been a growing focus on understanding the relationship of physical activity (PA) and sedentary behavior (SB) with the overall QoL and its dimensions among children and adolescents without chronic health conditions (231, 416), beyond the well-established evidence for the effect of PA and SB on physical health (326, 417). In these studies of children sampled from the general population, inconsistencies and the absence of associations between PA-related behaviors and QoL exist. They may in part reflect challenges in measuring, characterizing, and summarizing PA and SB as relevant for specific health and well-being endpoints.

PA related behavior is measured by applying subjective (192) and objective (205, 207) methods. PA questionnaires have the advantage of being low cost, easily applicable and having highly acceptable rate among the participants (189), but they are not valid for measuring overall PA in youth (301, 418-422). Accelerometers are the most commonly used instruments for objectively measuring PA-related behaviors (205, 206) and allow characterizing it in different dimensions (423, 424).

Dimensions of PA-related behavior include Frequency, Intensity, Time, and Type (FITT) (425). Different dimensions of PA-related behaviors have specific and in part independent health benefits (426, 427). Even low levels of PA can have health benefits (428-430). Moving from an inactive to an active state promotes health considerably (428, 431). Moreover, with regard to time, children's and adolescents' PA and SB vary between weekdays and weekend days. The young tend to be more physically active on weekdays compared to weekend days (432, 433). They are generally more likely to engage in unhealthy behaviors including SB during weekend days (434).

Many studies on PA-related behaviors and health or QoL are still questionnaire-based and focus on established PA metrics such as time in a week spent in SB or in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) (435). These categories also form the basis of national and international PA recommendations to date (431). Yet, they are unlikely to capture the entire health and well-being relevant heterogeneity of PA and PA-related behaviors between individuals (435). The rich data captured by activity sensors such as accelerometers contain additional information with the potential to unlock novel insights into the association of specific PA-patterns with health endpoints including QoL (436, 437). The pattern difference in the accumulation of certain PA-related behavior over time can have significant implication (438). Dynamic time warping (DTW) enabled progress in the more exhaustive utilization of sensor based time series data such as, captured by the accelerometer. It is a technique proved appropriate and unique for measuring cross-correlated differences between sensor based time series data sets from two aspects; first, the difference in time traces and second, the difference in the motion paths taken (439).

This study aimed to a) identify clusters of accelerometer-derived PA profiles during weekend days among children and adolescents from the Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity (SOPHYA) cohort, b) assess their cross-sectional and predictive association with overall QoL and its dimensions, and c) investigate whether QoL associations with newly identified clusters persist upon adjustment for established PA indicators.

# Methods

#### Study design and population

The present study was conducted among children and adolescents participating in the baseline assessment of the SOPHYA cohort (SOPHYA1) between November 19, 2013, and May 28, 2015 (313, 440). All youth who were registered in Switzerland and born between 1998 and 2007 were eligible. The Federal Statistical Office drew random samples from this sampling frame stratified by sex, year of birth, and language (German; French; Italian). The recruitment and the participation rate in SOPHYA1 was described before (313, 440). In short, the participation rate among 2032 families who answered to the SOPHYA1 baseline interview was 65%. Valid accelerometer measurements accompanied by self-administrated questionnaires during the measurement week were obtained from 1320 youth aged 6 to 16 years. The

SOPHYA1 baseline accelerometry formed the basis for deriving PA profiles and for the assessment of the cross-sectional association between clusters of PA profiles and QoL.

For the assessment of the predictive association of clusters of PA profiles at baseline, QoL data obtained at the follow-up assessment between January 9, 2019, and November 20, 2020 (SOPHYA2 accelerometry) was considered as outcome. SOPHYA2 was based on the 1,320 SOPHYA1 baseline accelerometry participants who provided self-administered questionnaire information on socio-demographic characteristics, weight, height, and QoL. Of these participants, 844 could be re-contacted by phone in 2019 and 780 of them provided consent to be re-contacted for a follow-up accelerometer measurement. Among them, 447 participants finally had valid accelerometer measurements as well as self-reported socio-demographic characteristics, weight, height, and QoL.

In SOPHYA1, a parent gave written informed consent (IC) for their children's participation. Adolescents aged 12 years or older filled in an additional IC form. In SOPHYA2, for participants younger than 14 years written IC was provided by a parent as proxy; for participants aged between 14 and 18 years, both parental and an own written IC was provided; for youth above 18 years only own written IC was given

### **Data collection**

Since participants were spread across Switzerland, contact with them was exclusively remote. The regional SOPHYA-study partners (German-speaking region: Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute in Basel; French-speaking regions: University of Lausanne; Italian-speaking regions: Università della Svizzera Italiana) coordinated participant assessment.

#### 1. Telephone interview

At baseline and follow-up as a first SOPHYA assessment computer-assisted telephone interviews in the respective language region (German; French; Italian) were conducted with one parent as proxy for all children (SOPHYA1), and with children 15 years or older or with one parent as proxy for children aged 14 years or younger (SOPHYA2), respectively. Interview data collected included sociodemographic characteristics (sex, language region (based on the zip code), nationality, urbanicity (based on the zip code), parental education, and household income).

#### 2. Accelerometer measurement

At baseline and follow-up, instructions were given via phone to families on how to use the accelerometer. Subsequently, an accelerometer and written instructions were mailed to the address of the participants with a pre-paid postage box to return the devices to the investigators after completion of the measurements. Most of the participants wore Actigraph accelerometer model GT3X, while few of them wore GT1M, (ActiGraph, Pensacola, Florida, USA), both producing comparable output (204,

395, 441). The device was tied to the participant's right hip with an elastic band and worn for seven consecutive days except when the participant was performing water activities or was sleeping. To ensure the detection of shorter bursts of PA, which are typical for children (396), the device was set without filtering and in 15-seconds epoch mode (measured as milli-gravity units, mg). ActiLife 6.2 software (ActiGraph, Pensacola, Florida, USA) was used to initialize the device, to download the data and to process the data. Non-wearing time was defined as any period of 60 or more minutes of consecutive zero counts.

#### 3. Paper-based survey

At SOPHYA1 and SOPHYA2, families participating in the accelerometry sub-study received an additional paper-based survey to answer questions on the child's age when the accelerometer measurements took place, sport behavior during the measured week, their weight, their height and any diagnosis of chronic disease. Additionally, the survey included the validated KINDL® questionnaire for assessing children's QoL. The questionnaire was administered in the three language areas in Switzerland using the official translation of the questionnaire (Romansh-speaking people filled in the German questionnaire). Validated questionnaire versions tailored to different age groups are available for self-assessment and as parent-proxy tool (178, 180). In SOPHYA1, the questionnaire was filled out by a parent. At follow-up in SOPHYA2, the participants themselves completed the questionnaires given their higher age.

#### Statistical analysis

#### 1. Study sample

The study samples for this current paper are described in **S1 and S2 Figs**. Based on the subsequent inclusion and exclusion criteria, the sample size for deriving clusters of PA profiles at baseline and for the cross-sectional association of PA profiles with QoL at baseline was N=926, and the sample size for the predictive association of clusters of PA profiles at baseline with QoL at follow-up was N=292.

#### Inclusion criteria

For deriving clusters of PA profiles on the weekend days at baseline (where the sample was much larger), and for associating clusters of PA profiles cross-sectionally in SOPHYA1 with QoL the following inclusion criteria was applied:

- Participants were restricted to those providing valid accelerometry baseline data from at least 8 hours of wear time for one Saturday and one Sunday, respectively, with both days from the same weekend. In the cases where accelerometers were worn for two consecutive weekends or more, the first weekend was chosen.
- Participants were required to have complete SOPHYA1 data for the overall QoL and its dimensions (see below for partially missing information) and for the selected covariates (age, sex,

parental education, household income, language region, nationality, urbanicity, self-reported diagnosis with at least one chronic disease and season of measurement).

Additional criteria for associating clusters of PA profile predictively with QoL at follow-up:

- Participants were additionally required to have complete data for overall QoL and its dimensions at follow-up (see below for partially missing information).

Exclusion criteria (for all analyses)

- Participants self-reporting a diagnosis of epilepsy or arthropathy at either SOPHYA1 or SOPHYA2.
- Participants with accelerometer data collected at 60-seconds epoch time.
- Participants lacking acceleration data in all three axes.

#### 2. Measures

#### Primary endpoint: QoL

The validated KINDL® QoL questionnaire consists of 24 items, each answered on a five-point ordinal Likert scale ranging from "never" (=5) to "always" (=1). Each item belongs to one of the six QoL dimensions (four items per dimension): physical well-being, emotional well-being, self-esteem, family connection, social well-being and functioning at school. The QoL dimensions are scored separately as the sum of the scores of 4 items, ranging from 4 to 20. The domain specific scores are subsequently transformed to a scale from 0 to 100. The overall QoL score is calculated based on the mean value of all answered items (https://www.kindl.org/english/analysis/). Higher scores represent a higher QoL. If missing values occurred and affected less than 70% of the answers contributing to a dimension or the total score, the algorithm proposed by the authors of the KINDL® questionnaire was used to replace these missing data (175). If more than 70% of the answers were missing, the score of the respective participant was excluded from the analysis. Based on this, the exclusion criteria affected 2% of the participants in SOPHYA1 and less than 1% participants in SOPHYA2 (399).

#### Main predictors

\_

a. Primary predictor: PA profile cluster membership

Every participant was assigned to a cluster based on their accelerometer-recorded weekend PA profile (See section **"Statistical analysis steps: In a second step"** for details), and the cluster membership indicator variables were used as the main explanatory variables in the subsequent statistical analyses.

b. Secondary predictors: Established physical activity metrics

Average MVPA in hours per day during the weekend

The average MVPA in hours per day during the weekend was derived by ActiLife 6.2, which is based on the age-dependent cut-offs of Freedson (397) with a threshold of four metabolic equivalents (398).

Average SB in hours per day during the weekend
 The average SB in hours per day during the weekend was derived by ActiLife 6.2, which is defined as an intensity of less than 100 cpm (442).

#### Covariates

a) Sociodemographic characteristics

Age; sex (boy, girl); language region (German, French, Italian); nationality (Swiss, foreign nationality, Swiss dual citizen); urbanicity (agglomeration, rural, urban); participation in organized sport activities (child participate in sport club at least once a week, child does not participate in a sport club at least once a week); parental education (apprenticeship, high school diploma, higher vocational training, undefined category, compulsory school, diploma school, not willing to provide information); and monthly household income ( $\leq 6,000$  CHF, 6,001 to 9,000 CHF, 9,000 more CHF, not willing to provide information, missing).

b) Health indicator

Self-reported diagnosis of at least one chronic disease (did not have any of the chronic diseases, had at least one chronic disease).

c) Use of the accelerometer

Season of measurement (spring, summer, autumn, winter).

#### 3. Statistical analysis steps

In a first step, we calculated descriptive statistics (n, %, mean, SD) for characterizing the study populations included in cross-sectional and predictive analyses at baseline (Table 1 and S1 Table).

In a second step, we clustered profiles of accelerometer-derived PA from two combined weekend days using the k-medoid algorithm on a distance matrix obtained by DTW (443). DTW calculates normalized pairwise dissimilarity score of time series and has previously been applied to study accelerometer data (444, 445). Using the DTW distance matrix, the k-medoid algorithm (446) finds "k" representative participants, called medoids, by minimizing the average DTW dissimilarity of all the participants' PA profiles to the nearest candidate medoid. Then, each participant is assigned to the cluster represented by the nearest medoid (447, 448). This algorithm requires choosing the number of clusters (k) in advance. We have applied the widely used elbow and the silhouette methods to select the optimal number of clusters. In the main manuscript we present the results for k=4. Supplementary materials contain analogous data for k=2. All calculations were performed in R v4.2.1 (449), using the dtw v1.23-1 (443) for the DTW algorithm and cluster v2.1.2 (446) for clustering. Calculations were performed at sciCORE (http://scicore.unibas.ch/) scientific center at University of Basel.

<u>In a third step</u>, we described the distribution within the derived clusters of sociodemographic characteristics, health indicators including QoL, established PA metrics (Table 2 and S2 Table) and several ad-hoc PA profile summaries (Fig 3 and S4 Fig).

<u>In a fourth step</u>, the cross-sectional association between cluster membership and QoL scores (overall and dimensions) at baseline without (Model 1) and with adjusting for established PA metrics (Model 2: adjusting for MVPA; Model 3: adjusting for SB) was estimated with linear regression models. All models were adjusted for age, sex, language region, nationality, urbanicity, participation in organized sport activities, household income, parental education, self-reported diagnosis with at least one chronic disease, and season of measurement (Tables 3-5 for 4 clusters and S3-S5 Tables for 2 clusters).

<u>In a fifth step</u>, the predictive association between cluster membership at baseline and QoL scores (overall; dimensions) at follow-up was estimated in the same manner as in step four, with additional adjustment for QoL at baseline. The sample was restricted to participants in SOPHYA2 accelerometry (S6-S11 Tables for 4 clusters and for 2 clusters).

# Results

## Characteristics of the study participants

Baseline characteristics of the study population are summarized in **Table 1**. The SOPHYA1 study sample for the deriving the clusters of PA profiles and for assessing the cross-sectional QoL association consisted of 926 children and adolescents (48.6% boys, 51.4% girls). The average age of the participants was (mean [SD]: 10.9 [2.5] years). The majority of the participants were of Swiss nationality (68.7%) from the German-speaking region (71.3%) reflecting Swiss demographics. The average overall QoL score was (mean [SD]: 81.1 [8.3] points). Of the specific QoL dimensions, self-esteem had the lowest score (mean [SD]: 75.7 [13.7] points), while emotional well-being exhibited the largest score (mean [SD]: 86.4 [10.7] points). The mean of time spent in MVPA and in SB were (mean [SD]: 1.1 [0.7] hr/day) and (mean [SD]: 7.6 [1.6] hr/day), respectively.

N=926				
Sociodemographic characteristics	Mean (SD) / N (%)			
Age	10.9 (2.5)			
Sex				
- Boy	450.0 (48.6%)			
- Girl	476.0 (51.4%)			
Language region				
- German	660.0 (71.3%)			
- French	174.0 (18.8%)			
- Italian	92.0 (9.9%)			
Nationality				
- Swiss	636.0 (68.7%)			
- Foreign nationality	95.0 (10.3%)			
- Swiss dual citizen (Swiss and foreign nationality)	195.0 (21.1%)			
Urbanicity				
- Agglomeration	438.0 (47.3%)			
- Rural	305.0 (32.9%)			
- Urban	183.0 (19.8%)			
Parental education <sup>1</sup>	•			
- Apprenticeship	409.0 (44.2%)			
- High school diploma	214.0 (23.1%)			
- Higher vocational training	168.0 (18.1%)			
- Undefined category	84.0 (9.1%)			
- Compulsory school	34.0 (3.7%)			
- Diploma school	16.0 (1.7%)			
- Not willing to provide information	1.0 (0.1%)			
Household income				
$- \leq 6,000 CHF$	195.0 (21.1%)			
- 6,001 to 9,000 CHF	299.0 (32.3%)			
- 9,000 and more CHF	334.0 (36.1%)			
- Not willing to provide information	31.0 (3.3%)			
- Missing	67.0 (7.2%)			
Health indicators	Mean (SD) / n (%)			
Self-reported diagnosis with at least one chronic disease <sup>2</sup>				
- Did not have any of the chronic diseases	636.0 (68.7%)			
- Had at least one chronic disease	290.0 (31.3%)			
Quality of life				
- Overall QoL	81.1 (8.3)			
- Physical well-being	84.3 (12.9)			
- Emotional well-being	86.4 (10.7)			
- Self-esteem	75.7 (13.7)			
- Family connection	81.6 (12.5)			
- Social well-being	78.3 (12.5)			
- Functioning at school	80.4 (14.7)			
Use of the accelerometer	Mean (SD) / n (%)			
Season of measurement				

Table 1. Characteristics of study participants at baseline (SOPHYA1; 2013) assessment

- Spring	269.0 (29.0%)
- Summer	128.0 (13.8%)
- Autumn	222.0 (24%)
- Winter	307.0 (33.2%)
Conventional physical activity measures during the weekend	Mean (SD)
Sedentary Behavior during weekend days <sup>3</sup>	
- Average time in sedentary behavior (hours/day)	7.6 (1.6)
Moderate to Vigorous Physical Activity during weekend days <sup>4</sup>	
- Average time in moderate to vigorous physical activity	1.1 (0.7)
(hours/day)	
Mean counts per epoch on weekend days <sup>5</sup>	143.9 (58.6)

<sup>1</sup> Highest parental education

<sup>3</sup> Derived by ActiLife 6.2, which is defined as an intensity of less than 100 cpm

<sup>4</sup> Derived by ActiLife 6.2, which is based on the age-dependent cut-offs of Freedson with a threshold of four metabolic equivalents

<sup>5</sup> Average of the total physical activity counts based on the vector magnitude axis

Baseline characteristics comparing participants included versus not included in the predictive association analysis are presented in **S1 Table**. The sample size for assessing the predictive associations was 292. Youth participating at follow-up tended to be younger and more active and to have higher QoL scores at baseline.

#### **Clusters of PA profiles**

The elbow and the silhouette methods were applied to determine the optimal number of clusters k (**Fig 1**). The elbow plot (**Fig 1a**) points to a substantial reduction in the within-cluster dis-similarity when moving from one to two and then, more noticeably, three to four clusters. The silhouette plot (**Fig 1b**) suggests that PA profiles are best described with two clusters, but the four-cluster model scores highly as well. Therefore, we conducted parallel analyses with k=4 (main text) and k=2 (**Online Supplement**).

The four clusters differed markedly in the participants' overall level of PA as measured by mean counts per 15-seconds epoch (**Figs 2 and 3**). Accordingly, they were labeled as "inactive", "low activity", "medium activity", and "high activity" clusters. We calculated additional summaries of the count time series to identify qualitative differences between clusters beyond average activity levels. These metrics were: autocorrelation at lag-1 (15 seconds) and lag-2 (30 seconds) (correlation between values that are 15 seconds and 30 seconds apart, respectively); coefficient of variation (standard deviation of epoch counts divided by the mean); approximate intensity gradient (slope of linear regression of log counts on log number of epochs with that number of counts) (450); the time periods with second and third highest spectral density (the highest always corresponding to 24h due to the diurnal cycle); the longest number of counts of zero-count epochs). The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The participant self-reported at least one of the following chronic diseases: asthma, hay fever, allergy, atopic dermatitis, diabetes mellitus, chronic enteritis, hypertension, epilepsy, and arthropathy and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Or any other chronic disease not specifically included in the mentioned list

distribution of these summaries in each cluster visualized in Fig 3 differ notably between clusters for the autocorrelation, intensity gradient and proportion of zero-count epochs.



Figure 1. Number of physical activity profile clusters using (a) Elbow and (b) Silhouette methods



Figure 2. Physical activity pattern (counts in 15-seconds epoch) of the clusters' four medoids (participants)



Figure 3. Distributions of summaries of physical activity patterns per cluster

# Distribution of participant characteristics according to clusters of PA profiles

The distribution of sociodemographic characteristics, health indicators, including QoL, accelerometry use, and conventional PA metrics between clusters of PA profiles are presented in **Table 2**. The "high activity" cluster participants, compared to the other clusters, scored higher in the mean counts per epoch (mean [SD]: high activity: 284.0 [61.0]; medium activity: 205.0 [29.6]; low activity: 148.0 [19.3]; inactive: 90.9 [21.1] counts; p < 0.001). Average sedentary time was highest in the "inactive" cluster (mean [SD]: high activity: 6.3 [1.4]; medium activity: 6.6 [1.3]; low activity: 7.2 [1.3]; inactive: 8.5 [1.5] hr/day; p < 0.001) and average hours spent in MVPA was highest in the "high activity" cluster's participants (mean [SD]: high activity: 2.4 [0.9]; medium activity: 1.8 [0.6]; low activity: 1.2 [0.4]; inactive: 0.6 [0.3] hr/day; p < 0.001). The higher activity cluster participants were on average younger (mean [SD]: high activity: 10.2 [2.3]; medium activity: 9.7 [2.1]; low activity: 10.2 [2.1]; inactive: 12.4 [2.4] years; p < 0.001) and with an overrepresentation of the male gender (p < 0.001) with the percentages of boys in the "high activity", "low activity" and "inactive" clusters 66.7%, 60.8%, 48.9%, and 39.5%, respectively. There was a tendency for QoL overall and its dimensions to go from being highest in the "high activity" cluster to lowest in the "inactive" cluster's participants. This reached statistical significance for overall QoL (p < 0.001), physical well-being (p < 0.001) and functioning at school (p < 0.001).

		N = 926			
	High activity $n = 39 (4.2\%)$	Medium activity n = 209 (22.6%)	Low activity $n = 313$ (33.8%)	Inactive $n = 365 (39.4\%)$	
Variable	Mean (SD)/	Mean (SD)/	Mean (SD)/	Mean (SD)/	P-value
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Socio-demographic characteristics					
Age	10.2 (2.3)	9.7 (2.1)	10.2 (2.1)	12.4 (2.4)	$< 0.001^{1}$
Sex					
- Boy	26.0 (66.7%)	127.0 (60.8%)	153.0 (48.9%)	144.0 (39.5%)	0.0012
- Girl	13.0 (33.3%)	82.0 (39.2%)	160.0(51.1%)	221.0 (60.5%)	100.0>
Language region					
- German	29.0 (74.4%)	155.0 (74.2%)	230.0 (73.5%)	246.0 (67.4%)	
- French	9.0 (23.1%)	34.0~(16.3%)	58.0 (18.5%)	73.0 (20.0%)	$0.202^{3}$
- Italian	1.0 (2.6%)	20.0 (9.6%)	25.0 (8.0%)	46.0 (12.6%)	
Nationality					
- Swiss	27.0 (69.2%)	148.0 (70.8%)	218.0 (69.6%)	243.0 (66.6%)	
- Foreign nationality	3.0 (7.7%)	19.0(9.1%)	39.0 (12.5%)	34.0 (9.3%)	0 4403
<ul> <li>Swiss dual citizen (Swiss and foreign nationality)</li> </ul>	9.0 (23.1%)	42.0 (20.1%)	56.0 (17.9%)	88.0 (24.1%)	7++.0
Urbanicity					
- Agglomeration	14.0 (35.9%)	86.0(41.1%)	143.0 (45.7%)	195.0 (53.4%)	
- Rural	13.0 (33.3%)	79.0 (37.8%)	105.0 (33.5%)	108.0 (29.6%)	$0.442^{3}$
- Urban	12.0 (30.8%)	44.0 (21.1%)	65.0 (20.8%)	62.0 (17.0%)	
Parental education					
- Apprenticeship	17.0 (43.6%)	89.0 (42.6%)	134.0 (42.8%)	169.0 (46.3%)	
- High school diploma	11.0 (28.2%)	45.0 (21.5%)	66.0 (21.1%)	92.0 (25.2%)	
- Higher vocational training	8.0 (20.5%)	39.0 (18.7%)	59.0 (18.8%)	62.0 (17.0%)	0 6033
- Undefined category	2.0 (5.1%)	27.0 (12.9%)	33.0 (10.5%)	22.0 (6.0%)	c00.0
- Compulsory school	1.0 (2.6%)	6.0 (2.9%)	14.0 (4.5%)	13.0 (3.6%)	
- Diploma school	0.0(0.0%)	3.0 (1.4%)	6.0(1.9%)	7.0 (1.9%)	

Table 2. Characteristics of study participants at baseline (SOPHYA; 2013), by cluster of physical activity profile

20
- Not willing to provide information	0.0(0.0%)	0.0 (0.0%)	1.0(0.3%)	0.0(0.0%)	
Household income					
$- \leq 6,000 \ CHF$	8.0 (20.5%)	36.0 (17.2)	62.0 (19.8%)	89.0 (24.4%)	
- 6,001 to 9,000 CHF	14.0 (35.9%)	62.0 (29.7%)	98.0 (31.3%)	125.0 (34.2%)	
- 9,000 and more CHF	14.0 (35.9%)	83.0 (39.7%)	126.0 (40.3%)	111.0 (30.4%)	$0.164^{3}$
- Not willing to provide information	1.0 (2.6%)	10.0(4.8%)	11.0 (3.5%)	9.0 (2.5%)	
- Missing	2.0 (5.1%)	18.0(8.6%)	16.0(5.1%)	31.0 (8.5%)	
Health indicators					
Self-reported diagnosis with at least one c	chronic disease				
- Did not have any of the chronic diseases	30.0 (76.9%)	155.0 (74.2%)	211.0 (67.4%)	240.0 (65.8%)	0.123 <sup>3</sup>
- Had at least one chronic disease	9.0 (23.1%)	54.0 (25.8%)	102.0 (32.6%)	125.0 (34.2%)	
Quality of life					
- Overall QoL	83.2 (6.6)	82.6 (7.5)	81.6 (8.3)	79.6 (8.7)	$< 0.001^{1}$
- Physical well-being	85.7 (10.6)	88.1 (10.3)	84.9 (13.0)	81.4 (13.8)	$< 0.001^{1}$
- Emotional well-being	88.1 (9.2)	87.2 (9.7)	86.6 (11.2)	85.6 (11.0)	$0.173^{1}$
- Self-esteem	76.3 (14.8)	76.2 (13.2)	76.4 (13.5)	74.7 (13.9)	$0.089^{1}$
- Family connection	82.4 (10.7)	81.8 (11.3)	80.7 (12.9)	82.2 (13.0)	$0.138^{1}$
- Social well-being	82.5 (11.7)	78.8 (11.4)	78.4 (11.6)	77.5 (13.8)	$0.378^{1}$
- Functioning at school	84.0 (11.9)	83.2 (13.9)	82.7 (13.8)	76.5 (15.4)	$< 0.001^{1}$
Use of the accelerometer					
Season of measurement					
- Spring	13.0 (33.3%)	54.0 (25.8%)	86.0 (27.5%)	116.0 (31.8%)	
- Summer	7.0 (17.9%)	42.0 (20.1%)	43.0 (13.7%)	36.0 (9.9%)	-0 0013
- Autumn	15.0 (38.5%)	58.0 (27.8%)	76.0 (24.3%)	73.0 (20.0%)	100.0>
- Winter	4.0 (10.3%)	55.0 (26.3%)	108 (34.5%)	140.0 (38.4%)	
Conventional physical activity measures of	during the weeker	pu			
Sedentary Behavior on weekend days					
<ul> <li>Average time in sedentary in hours/day</li> </ul>	6.3 (1.4)	6.6 (1.3)	7.2 (1.3)	8.5 (1.5)	$< 0.001^{1}$
Moderate to Vigorous Physical Activity o	on weekend days				

- Average time in moderate to	2.4 (0.9)	1.8(0.6)	1.2 (0.4)	0.6 (0.3)	$< 0.001^{1}$
vigorous physical activity in					
hours/day					
Mean counts per epoch on weekend	284.0 (61.0)	205.0 (29.6)	148.0(19.3)	90.9 (21.1)	$< 0.001^{1}$
days					

<sup>1</sup> P-value from the analysis of variance (ANOVA) <sup>2</sup> P-value from the chi-squared test <sup>3</sup> P-value from Finsher's exact test

### Cross-sectional association of clusters of PA profiles with QoL

The association of cluster membership with QoL was first estimated without adjustment for conventional PA metrics (**Model 1, Table 3**). No statistically significant differences between the reference "inactive" cluster and the remaining three were present, albeit a suggestion for a positive trend for increasing QoL with increasing activity was observable. The strongest trend for an increasingly positive association of more activity with QoL was observed for social well-being. Participants in the "high activity" cluster exhibited on average 5.4 (95%CI: 1.2 to 9.6) higher social well-being scores than participants assigned to the "inactive" cluster (p = 0.012). With regard to physical well-being a statistically significant association was observed for higher score in the "medium activity" cluster compared to the "inactive" cluster (p < 0.001) with average increase of 4.2 (95%CI: 1.7 to 6.6) units. The coefficients for the "high activity" 2.4 (95%CI: -1.9 to 6.7) and "low activity" 2.1 (95%CI: -0.02 to 4.2) clusters were also positive but did not reach statistical significance.

Model 1	– no adjustment for es	stablished physical	activity metrics	
Primary endpoint	Main predictor	Coefficient	95% CI	P-value
Overall QoL	Low activity	0.6	(-0.7 to 1.9)	0.366
	Medium activity	1.0	(-0.5 to 2.5)	0.197
	High activity	2.0	(-0.7 to 4.7)	0.139
Physical well-being	Low activity	2.1	(-0.02 to 4.2)	0.053
	Medium activity	4.2	(1.7 to 6.6)	< 0.001
	High activity	2.4	(-1.9 to 6.7)	0.276
Emotional well-being	Low activity	0.4	(-1.4 to 2.1)	0.690
	Medium activity	0.5	(-1.5 to 2.6)	0.628
	High activity	1.6	(-2.0 to 5.2)	0.379
Self-esteem	Low activity	0.7	(-1.6 to 2.9)	0.571
	Medium activity	0.1	(-2.6 to 2.7)	0.968
	High activity	-0.4	(-5.1 to 4.3)	0.865
Family connection	Low activity	-1.1	(-3.1 to 0.9)	0.291
	Medium activity	0.2	(-2.2 to 2.6)	0.858
	High activity	0.9	(-3.3 to 5.1)	0.665
Social well-being	Low activity	1.1	(-0.9 to 3.2)	0.284
	Medium activity	1.7	(-0.7 to 4.1)	0.162
	High activity	5.4	(1.2 to 9.6)	0.012
Functioning at school	Low activity	0.5	(-1.6 to 2.6)	0.636
	Medium activity	-0.6	(-3.0 to 1.9)	0.646
	High activity	2.4	(-1.9 to 6.7)	0.269

Table 3. Linear adjusted<sup>1</sup> cross-sectional association of physical activity profile cluster membership with QoL (relative to the participants in the "inactive" cluster)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, language region, nationality, urbanicity, participation in organized sport activities, selfreported diagnosis with at least one chronic disease, household income, parental education, and season of measurement

Table 4. Linear mutually adjusted<sup>1</sup> cross-sectional association of physical activity profile cluster membership (relative to the participants in the inactive cluster) and MVPA (per 1h/day) with QoL

			[Mode]	2 - additionally ad	justed for MVPA			
		Clust	ter membership				MVPA	
	<b>Primary endpoint</b>		Coefficient	95% CI	<b>P-value</b>	Coefficient	95% CI	P-value
	Overall QoL	Low activity	0.5	(-0.9  to  1.9)	0.473			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Medium activity	0.8	(-1.2 to 2.8)	0.443	0.2	(-1.1 to 1.6)	0.744
		High activity	1.7	(-1.7  to  5.1)	0.334			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	<b>Physical well-being</b>	Low activity	1.7	(-0.5  to  4.0)	0.129			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Medium activity	3.4	(0.2  to  6.6)	0.040	0.8	(-1.3 to 2.9)	0.446
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		High activity	1.1	(-4.3 to 6.5)	0.695			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	<b>Emotional well-being</b>	Low activity	0.7	(-1.2 to 2.6)	0.458			
		Medium activity	1.4	(-1.3 to 4.1)	0.307	-0.9	(-2.7 to 0.9)	0.314
Self-esteen         Low activity         1.0 $(-1.5 \text{ to } 3.4)$ $0.446$ $-0.7$ Medium activity         0.8 $(-2.7 \text{ to } 4.3)$ $0.657$ $-0.7$ $(-3.1)$ High activity         0.8 $(-5.2 \text{ to } 6.7)$ $0.657$ $-0.7$ $(-3.1)$ Family connection         Low activity $0.8$ $(-5.2 \text{ to } 6.7)$ $0.059$ $(-3.1)$ Medium activity $0.2$ $(-2.1 \text{ to } 6.3)$ $0.738$ $0.073$ $(-2.1)$ Medium activity $0.2$ $(-2.0 \text{ to } 3.3)$ $0.893$ $0.0$ $(-2.1)$ Social well-being         Medium activity $0.2$ $(-2.0 \text{ to } 2.4)$ $0.733$ $0.0$ $(-2.1)$ Social well-being         Low activity $0.2$ $(-2.0 \text{ to } 2.4)$ $0.733$ $0.0$ $(-2.1)$ Medium activity $0.6$ $(-4.4 \text{ to } 6.2)$ $0.733$ $0.0$ $(-2.1)$ Medium activity $0.6$ $(-2.0 \text{ to } 2.5)$ $0.733$ $0.746$ $(-2.4)$ High activity $0.6$ $(-2.6 \text{ to } 2.5)$ <th></th> <th>High activity</th> <th>3.1</th> <th>(-1.5 to 7.7)</th> <th>0.190</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>		High activity	3.1	(-1.5 to 7.7)	0.190			
	Self-esteem	Low activity	1.0	(-1.5 to 3.4)	0.446			
High activity         0.8         (-5.2 to 6.7)         0.798         (-798)           Family connection         Low activity         0.1         (-3.3 to 1.1)         0.327         0.993         0.0         (-2.1)           Medium activity         0.2         (-2.9 to 3.3)         0.893         0.0         (-2.1)         (-2.1)           Social well-being         Low activity         0.2         (-2.0 to 2.4)         0.0733         0.0         (-2.1)           Medium activity         0.2         (-2.0 to 2.4)         0.867         0.74         0.3         (-3.1)           Medium activity         0.2         (-2.0 to 2.4)         0.867         0.695         2.4         (0.3)           Functioning at school         Low activity         0.6         (-3.8 to 2.5)         0.695         2.4         (0.3)           Functioning at school         Low activity         0.6         (-1.6 to 2.8)         0.603         2.4         (0.3)           High activity         0.6         (-1.6 to 2.8)         0.603         2.4         (0.3)           High activity         0.6         (-1.6 to 2.8)         0.603         2.4         (0.3)         (0.2)           High activity         0.6         (-1.6 to 2.8) <th< th=""><th></th><th>Medium activity</th><th>0.8</th><th>(-2.7 to 4.3)</th><th>0.657</th><th>-0.7</th><th>(-3.1 to 1.6)</th><th>0.525</th></th<>		Medium activity	0.8	(-2.7 to 4.3)	0.657	-0.7	(-3.1 to 1.6)	0.525
Family connection         Low activity         -1.1         (-3.3 to 1.1)         0.327         0.327           Medium activity         0.2         (-2.9 to 3.3)         0.893         0.0         (-2.1)           High activity         0.9         (-4.4 to 6.2)         0.733         0.0         (-2.1)           Social well-being         Low activity         0.9         (-4.4 to 6.2)         0.733         0.0           Medium activity         0.2         (-2.0 to 2.4)         0.733         0.0         (-2.1)           Medium activity         0.16         (-3.8 to 2.5)         0.665         2.4         (0.3)           High activity         1.7         (-3.6 to 7.0)         0.536         2.4         (0.3)           Functioning at school         Low activity         0.6         (-1.6 to 2.8)         0.603         2.4         (0.3)           Medium activity         0.6         (-1.6 to 2.8)         0.603         2.4         (0.3)           Hunctioning at school         Low activity         0.6         (-1.6 to 2.8)         0.603         -0.2         (-2.3)           High activity         0.3         0.314         0.603         -0.2         (-2.3)         -0.2         (-2.3)		High activity	0.8	(-5.2 to 6.7)	0.798			
	Family connection	Low activity	-1.1	(-3.3 to 1.1)	0.327			
High activity         0.9 $(-4.4 \ to 6.2)$ $0.733$ $(-3.4)$ Social well-being         Low activity $0.2$ $(-2.0 \ to 2.4)$ $0.867$ $2.4$ $(0.3 \ to 3.4)$ Medium activity $-0.6$ $(-3.8 \ to 2.5)$ $0.695$ $2.4$ $(0.3 \ to 3.4)$ Functioning at school         Low activity $0.6$ $(-1.6 \ to 2.8)$ $0.603$ $2.4$ $(0.3 \ to 3.4)$ Functioning at school         Low activity $0.6$ $(-1.6 \ to 2.8)$ $0.603$ $2.4$ $(0.3 \ to 3.4)$ High activity $0.6$ $(-1.6 \ to 2.8)$ $0.603$ $2.4$ $(0.3 \ to 3.4)$ Functioning at school         Low activity $0.6$ $(-1.6 \ to 2.8)$ $0.603$ $-0.2$ $(-2.3 \ to 2.3)$ High activity $0.3$ $(-3.6 \ to 8.2)$ $0.603$ $-0.2$ $(-2.3 \ to 2.3)$		Medium activity	0.2	(-2.9 to 3.3)	0.893	0.0	(-2.1 to 2.1)	0.999
Social well-being         Low activity $0.2$ $(-2.0\ to\ 2.4)$ $0.867$ $(0.367)$ Medium activity $-0.6$ $(-3.8\ to\ 2.5)$ $0.695$ $2.4$ $(0.31)$ High activity $1.7$ $(-3.6\ to\ 7.0)$ $0.536$ $2.4$ $(0.31)$ Functioning at school         Low activity $0.6$ $(-1.6\ to\ 2.8)$ $0.603$ $-0.2$ $(-2.31)$ High activity $0.6$ $(-1.6\ to\ 2.8)$ $0.603$ $-0.2$ $(-2.31)$ Hutch activity $-0.3$ $(-3.5\ to\ 2.9)$ $0.603$ $-0.2$ $(-2.31)$		High activity	0.9	(-4.4 to 6.2)	0.733			
Medium activity         -0.6         (-3.8 to 2.5)         0.695         2.4         (0.3 to 3.1)           High activity         1.7         (-3.6 to 7.0)         0.536         2.4         (0.3 to 3.1)           Functioning at school         Low activity         0.6         (-1.6 to 2.8)         0.603         0.2.3           Medium activity         -0.3         (-3.5 to 2.9)         0.836         -0.2         (-2.3 to 3.2)           High activity         -0.3         (-3.5 to 2.9)         0.836         -0.2         (-2.3 to 3.2)	Social well-being	Low activity	0.2	(-2.0 to 2.4)	0.867			
High activity         1.7 $(-3.6 \text{ to } 7.0)$ $0.536$ $0.536$ Functioning at school         Low activity $0.6$ $(-1.6 \text{ to } 2.8)$ $0.603$ $-0.2$ $(-2.3)$ Medium activity $-0.3$ $(-3.5 \text{ to } 2.9)$ $0.603$ $-0.2$ $(-2.3)$ High activity $-3$ $(-3.5 \text{ to } 2.9)$ $0.836$ $-0.2$ $(-2.3)$		Medium activity	-0.6	(-3.8 to 2.5)	0.695	2.4	(0.3 to 4.5)	0.025
Functioning at schoolLow activity0.6 $(-1.6 \text{ to } 2.8)$ $0.603$ Medium activity $-0.3$ $(-3.5 \text{ to } 2.9)$ $0.836$ $-0.2$ $(-2.3)$ High activity $2.8$ $(-2.6 \text{ to } 8.2)$ $0.314$ $(-2.3)$		High activity	1.7	(-3.6 to 7.0)	0.536			
Medium activity         -0.3         (-3.5 to 2.9)         0.836         -0.2         (-2.3           High activity         2.8         (-2.6 to 8.2)         0.314         (-2.3)         (-2.3)	Functioning at school	Low activity	0.6	(-1.6 to 2.8)	0.603			
High activity 2.8 (-2.6 to 8.2) 0.314		Medium activity	-0.3	(-3.5 to 2.9)	0.836	-0.2	(-2.3 to 1.9)	0.826
		High activity	2.8	(-2.6 to 8.2)	0.314			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, language region, nationality, urbanicity, participation in organized sport activities, self-reported diagnosis with at least one chronic disease, household income, parental education, season of measurement, and additionally adjusted for MVPA

Table 5. Linear mutually adjusted<sup>1</sup> cross-sectional association of physical activity profile cluster membership (relative to the participants in the inactive cluster) and sedentary behavior (per 1h/day) with QoL

			Model 3 – 5	additionally adjusted	for sedentary beha	vior		
		Clus	ter membership				Sedentary behavior	
	<b>Primary endpoint</b>		Coefficient	95% CI	<b>P-value</b>	Coefficient	95% CI	<b>P-value</b>
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	<b>Overall QoL</b>	Low activity	0.7	(-0.6 to 2.1)	0.288			
		Medium activity	1.2	(-0.4 to 2.8)	0.137	0.2	(-0.2 to 0.6)	0.388
		High activity	2.3	(-0.4 to 5.1)	0.101			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	<b>Physical well-being</b>	Low activity	2.2	(0.01  to  4.3)	0.049			
$ \begin{array}{                                    $		Medium activity	4.3	(1.8 to 6.9)	<0.001	0.1	(-0.5 to 0.8)	0.711
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		High activity	2.6	(-1.8  to  7.0)	0.252			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Emotional well-being	Low activity	0.6	(-1.2 to 2.4)	0.524			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Medium activity	6.0	(-1.3  to  3.0)	0.416	0.3	(-0.2 to 0.9)	0.237
Self-esteen         Low activity         1.1         (-1.3 to 3.4)         0.374         (-0.1 to 1.3)         0.109           Medium activity         0.7         (-2.1 to 3.5)         0.608         0.6         (-0.1 to 1.3)         0.109           High activity         0.5         (-4.3 to 5.3)         0.836         0.6         (-0.1 to 1.3)         0.109           Family connection         Low activity         0.5         (-4.3 to 5.3)         0.836         0.6         (-0.1 to 1.3)         0.109           High activity         0.10         (-3.1 to 1.1)         0.356         0.2         (-0.5 to 0.8)         0.605           Medium activity         0.4         (-1.1 to 2.9)         0.746         0.2         0.605         0.605           Medium activity         0.2         (-1.3 to 3.0)         0.746         0.2         0.65 to 0.8)         0.605           Medium activity         1.2         (-1.3 to 3.0)         0.428         0.2         0.65 to 0.8)         0.605           Medium activity         1.2         (-1.3 to 3.8)         0.332         0.34         0.10 to 0.2)         0.218           Medium activity         1.2         (-1.3 to 3.8)         0.332         0.44         0.10 to 0.2)         0.24		High activity	2.1	(-1.6 to 5.9)	0.258			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Self-esteem	Low activity	1.1	(-1.3 to 3.4)	0.374			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Medium activity	0.7	(-2.1 to 3.5)	0.608	0.6	(-0.1 to 1.3)	0.109
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		High activity	0.5	(-4.3 to 5.3)	0.836			
	Family connection	Low activity	-1.0	(-3.1 to 1.1)	0.356			
		Medium activity	0.4	(-2.1 to 2.9)	0.746	0.2	(-0.5 to 0.8)	0.605
Social well-being         Low activity $0.8$ $(-1.3 \text{ to } 3.0)$ $0.428$ $(-1.0 \text{ to } 0.2)$ Medium activity $1.2$ $(-1.3 \text{ to } 3.8)$ $0.332$ $-0.4$ $(-1.0 \text{ to } 0.2)$ $0.218$ High activity $4.8$ $(0.4 \text{ to } 9.1)$ $0.030$ $-0.4$ $(-1.0 \text{ to } 0.2)$ $0.218$ Functioning at school         Low activity $0.7$ $(-1.4 \text{ to } 2.8)$ $0.521$ $0.3$ $(-0.3 \text{ to } 0.9)$ $0.31$ High activity $-0.2$ $(-2.8 \text{ to } 2.3)$ $0.853$ $0.3$ $(-0.3 \text{ to } 0.9)$ $0.31$ High activity $-0.2$ $(-1.5 \text{ to } 7.2)$ $0.203$ $(-0.3 \text{ to } 0.9)$ $0.31$		High activity	1.2	(-3.1 to 5.5)	0.589			
Medium activity1.2 $(-1.3 \text{ to } 3.8)$ $0.332$ $-0.4$ $(-1.0 \text{ to } 0.2)$ $0.218$ High activity $4.8$ $(0.4 \text{ to } 9.1)$ $0.030$ $-0.4$ $(-1.0 \text{ to } 0.2)$ $0.218$ Functioning at schoolLow activity $0.7$ $(-1.4 \text{ to } 2.8)$ $0.521$ $0.3$ $(-0.3 \text{ to } 0.9)$ $0.391$ Medium activity $-0.2$ $(-2.8 \text{ to } 2.3)$ $0.853$ $0.3$ $(-0.3 \text{ to } 0.9)$ $0.391$ High activity $2.8$ $(-1.5 \text{ to } 7.2)$ $0.203$ $0.3$ $(-0.3 \text{ to } 0.9)$ $0.391$	Social well-being	Low activity	0.8	(-1.3  to  3.0)	0.428			
High activity         4.8         (0.4 to 9.1)         0.030         (0.4 to 2.1)           Functioning at school         Low activity         0.7         (-1.4 to 2.8)         0.521         (-0.3 to 0.9)         0.391           Medium activity         -0.2         (-2.8 to 2.3)         0.853         0.3         (-0.3 to 0.9)         0.391           High activity         2.8         (-1.5 to 7.2)         0.203         0.3         (-0.3 to 0.9)         0.391		Medium activity	1.2	(-1.3 to 3.8)	0.332	-0.4	(-1.0 to 0.2)	0.218
Functioning at school         Low activity $0.7$ $(-1.4 \text{ to } 2.8)$ $0.521$ $0.531$ $0.321$ Medium activity $-0.2$ $(-2.8 \text{ to } 2.3)$ $0.853$ $0.3$ $(-0.3 \text{ to } 0.9)$ $0.391$ High activity $2.8$ $(-1.5 \text{ to } 7.2)$ $0.203$ $(-0.3 \text{ to } 0.9)$ $0.391$		High activity	4.8	(0.4 to 9.1)	0.030			
Medium activity         -0.2         (-2.8 to 2.3)         0.853         0.3         (-0.3 to 0.9)         0.391           High activity         2.8         (-1.5 to 7.2)         0.203         0.301         0.391	<b>Functioning at school</b>	Low activity	0.7	(-1.4 to 2.8)	0.521			
High activity 2.8 (-1.5 to 7.2) 0.203		Medium activity	-0.2	(-2.8 to 2.3)	0.853	0.3	(-0.3 to 0.9)	0.391
		High activity	2.8	(-1.5 to 7.2)	0.203			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, language region, nationality, urbanicity, participation in organized sport activities, self-reported diagnosis with at least one chronic disease, household income, parental education, season of measurement, and additional adjusted for sedentary behavior

#### **Predictive association**

There was no evidence for an association of cluster membership at the SOPHYA1 baseline with QoL or its dimensions at SOPHYA2 when adjusting for the baseline QoL or its dimensions irrespective of adjustment for established PA metrics (S6-S11 Tables).

## Discussion

The data driven unsupervised analysis of PA profiles derived from accelerometer measurements during the weekend identified clusters of youth with different behavioral patterns. The most discriminating PA characteristics between the profiles were the mean intensity of PA and the time spent in SB. A similar study clustered PA including SB based on accelerometer data obtained from pre-pubertal children in which three clusters of PA profiles were identified that primarily reflected intensity levels of PA and time spent in SB (451). This is in contrast to results from a similar clustering approach of objectively measured PA data obtained at 5-seconds intervals from the UK Biobank. Nine distinctly different profiles were identified, but the study used data from a whole week and from more than 90'000 adults (452).

Young age and being a boy were most prevalent in the high activity profile cluster in this current study. This is in agreement with the most robust finding of a review of studies clustering PA based on a combination of conventional PA metrics (453). It also confirms the observed objective longitudinal MVPA decline as children and adolescents grow older (390). Furthermore, a recent study among 6- and 9-year old children confirmed the overrepresentation of boys of young age in the cross-sectional and longitudinal high activity classes. It fitted cross-sectional and longitudinal latent profile analysis models to accelerometer derived proportions of time spent in MVPA and sedentary time for weekdays and weekends. Interestingly, participants assigned to high activity profiles, in which most children achieved the recommended MVPA guidelines, were more likely to be active at weekends than on weekdays. Participation in out-of-school activities contributed importantly to changes on the patterns of PA over time (454). The importance of the pre-school, weekend (432, 433), and holiday (455) activities is further reinforced by a study conducted among children between 7 and 11 years old to examine day-to-day PA variability. The MVPA levels were most stable during the pre-school segment of the day (456). While differences in PA patterns between weekend days and weekdays were previously reported for the young (308, 457), it is not known whether the observed health benefit in adults reaching activity guidelines during the weekend only (458) extends to young age.

This study did not provide evidence for cross-sectional or predictive associations of either the newly derived PA cluster profiles or the conventional metrics of PA with overall QoL among children and adolescents living in Switzerland during weekend days. Overall QoL may not be the optimal endpoint for assessing the impact of PA behavior on the QoL in youth. To date, PA-related behaviors were found to be

positively associated with children's and adolescents' psychological well-being (226, 459), social wellbeing (234, 460) autonomy and parents relations (278) and functioning at school (234) as dimensions of QoL. This QoL enhancement can be mediated in part by positive PA effect on the improvement of cardiorespiratory fitness (459), self-concept (407), and subjective happiness (407). There is also empirical evidence for a negative association between SB and several QoL dimensions including psychological wellbeing (461), social support (461), physical well-being (462), and school functioning (461) dimensions. Also in this study, some associations with QoL sub-domains were observed.

The most consistent association between PA and QoL subdomains was the observed positive association of the high activity profile cluster with the social well-being dimension, which disappeared upon MVPA, but not SB adjustment. In mutually adjusted models, MVPA was only associated with the social well-being dimension, but not any other QoL domain. PA, primarily MVPA, may therefore explain the variability of the social dimension of QoL better than the variability of other dimensions of QoL among children and adolescents. Evidence for the positive association between self-reported as well as objectively measured PA and social well-being among children and adolescents has been provided before (234, 460). Some evidence additionally points to a causal link between the two constructs. A previous two year longitudinal study with three follow-up times was conducted among adolescents in France. The bidirectional association between PA and several dimensions of health related QoL was strongest for the social well-being dimension of health related QoL (411). In Australia, another study based on longitudinal population-based data obtained at ages 12 and again five years later provided evidence for the predictive association between higher levels of PA and QoL, mainly driven by improvement in the physical and social well-being dimensions (463).

It is worth mentioning the positive association between activity profile clusters and physical well-being, which was stronger for the medium activity clusters than for the highest activity clusters. The associations were not sensitive for adjustment for MVPA or SB. This finding may suggest that low and medium PA levels provide the right balance between maintaining physical well-being and creating a healthy strain on the body. Light intensity PA was previously associated with higher health related QoL in girls (464).

While in adults, evidence from randomized trials points to causal short- and mid-term effects of conventional PA metrics on QoL (465, 466), very few randomized trials were conducted in children or adolescents, most of them in subgroups with specific health conditions such as cancer, type 1 diabetes, asthma, or mental health disorders. A seven-month, school-based cluster-randomized controlled PA intervention in 10-year old children in Norway did not find an effect on the overall QoL or its dimensions (467). A randomized controlled trial in Swiss elementary school children found little effect of a school-based PA program on QoL (468).

## **Strengths and limitations**

The fact that the study was cohort and population-based is a major strength. This is in addition to the objective measurement of PA, which diminishes self-reporting bias in PA assessment compared to subjective PA measurement (423, 424). Given the opportunity presented by the availability of the accelerometer-recorded weekend PA data, this study applied the k-medoid algorithm to the distance matrix of profiles obtained by pairwise alignments of PA time series using the DTW algorithm to extract clusters of PA profiles. Then, it assessed the role of PA profiles in QoL, as indicator of health, beyond conventional PA metrics, which is considered main added value of this study. The assessment of QoL was based on the KINDL® questionnaire, which is reliable and valid instrument (177).

Among the main limitations of the study is the relatively small sample size, in particular for the predictive analysis of the association of PA at baseline with QoL at the follow-up. The small sample size might have precluded identification of small or poorly separated clusters that might nevertheless capture aspects of PA variability beyond MVPA and SB that are relevant to health. This could explain the broad absence of associations between PA cluster profiles and QoL in models adjusted for established conventional PA metrics. An additional limitation of the study is the parent-proxy report on children's and adolescents' QoL at baseline, which compromises the essence of QoL as the individual's subjective perception of his or her health (142). The correlation between parent-proxy versus self-report of QoL among children and adolescents has previously been reported to be poor (469). We acknowledge the bias in the predictive association between PA at baseline and QoL that may have been introduced due to loss to follow-up. Follow-up participants tended to be younger, more physically active and with better QoL. This may have led to an underestimation of any true association between PA and QoL.

## Conclusion

In this first population-based study that derived among children and adolescents data driven clusters of objectively measured PA profiles on the weekend no consistent and independent associations of these clusters with overall and domain-specific QoL were observed.

Because PA decreases with age and during the transition from childhood to adolescence (389, 470), PA promotion and its relevance to QoL remain important research topics. Future research based on larger longitudinal study samples with more than two follow-up time points of children and adolescents is needed to derive novel accelerometer derived PA profiles and to associate them with QoL dimensions.

## List of abbreviations

DTW: Dynamic Time Warping MVPA: Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity PA: Physical activity QoL: Quality of life SB: Sedentary behavior SOPHYA: Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity WHO: World Health Organization

# **Ethics** approval

SOPHYA1 and SOPHYA2 protocols were approved by the ethics committee of the Canton of Basel/North-Western Switzerland (147/13; EKNZ 2018-01786). The SOPHYA cohort was performed in accordance with the ethical standards delineated in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

# **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

# Availability of data and materials

The analyzed dataset of the current study are not publicly available due to ethical consideration and restrictions, but are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# Funding

This study was funded by the Federal Office of Sport FOSPO (Grant No. 13-06), the Federal Office of Public Health FOPH (Grant No. 13.005223), Health Promotion Switzerland (Grant No. 13.009 & 18.262), the Federal Office of Sport (Grant Nos. 30.10.2017 & VM 0193 004 750). The first author's salary is funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement number 801076, through the SSPH+Global PhD Fellowship Programme in Public Health Sciences (GlobalP3HS) of the Swiss School of Public Health, and by the Swiss Government Excellence Scholarship.

# **Authors' contributions**

RD, MK, JH and NPH conceived the study objective and analysis plan.

RD and MK conducted the data analysis.

RD and NPH drafted the manuscript.

BBI and NPH developed the SOPHYA cohort protocol.

BBI, JH, BK, and SS were involved in collection and management of SOPHYA data.

All authors read and approved of the final main and online manuscript text, tables, figures.

# Acknowledgements

We thank the SOPHYA Study Group Nadja Mahler (FOSPO), Alain Dösegger (FOSPO), and Fabian Studer (FOSPO), Nadine Stoffel-Kurth (FOPH), Kathrin Favero (FOPH), Andrea Poffet (FOPH), Ivo Schneider (Health Promotion Switzerland), Lisa Guggenbühl (Health Promotion Switzerland), Charlotte Braun-Fahrländer (Swiss TPH), Simone Isler (Swiss TPH), and Christian Schindler (Swiss TPH) for the active participation in the design of the SOPHYA cohort. We thank Markus Lamprecht for the collaboration, the fieldworkers for the data collection and all the parents, children, and adolescents for participating in the SOPHYA study.

## **Appendix II**



S1 Fig. Study sample for physical activity profiles' clustering and cross-sectional association with quality of life



S2 Fig. Study sample for predictive association of physical activity profiles' clustering at baseline with quality of life at follow-up



S3 Fig. Physical activity pattern (counts in 15-seconds epoch) of the clusters' two medoids (participants)



S4 Fig. Distributions of summaries of physical activity patterns per cluster

S1 Table. Baseline characteristics of participants included in the cross-sectional analysis only compared to participants included in the predictive analysis

	Children who participated only in SOPHYA1 N = 634	Children who participated in SOPHYA2 N = 292	95% CI	P-value
Variable	Mean (SD) / N (%)	Mean (SD) / N (%)		
Socio-demographic characteristics				
Age	11.3 (2.6)	10.1 (2.3)	(0.9 to 1.5)	$< 0.001^{1}$
Sex				
- Boy	315.0 (49.7%)	135.0 (46.2%)		1 E 2
- Girl	319.0 (50.3%)	157.0 (53.8%)	0	C00
Language region				
- German	452.0 (71.3%)	208.0 (71.2%)		
- French	114.0(18.0%)	60.0 (20.5%)	0.0	374 <sup>2</sup>
- Italian	68.0~(10.7%)	24.0 (8.2%)		
Nationality				
- Swiss	435.0~(68.6%)	201.0 (68.8%)		
- Foreign nationality	68.0~(10.7%)	27.0 (9.2%)	0.0	749 <sup>2</sup>
- Swiss dual citizen (Swiss and foreign nationality)	131.0 (20.7%)	64.0 (21.9%)		
Urbanicity				
- Agglomeration	298.0 (47.0%)	140.0(47.9%)		
- Rural	215.0 (33.9%)	90.0 (30.8%)	5.0	582 <sup>2</sup>
- Urban	121.0(19.1%)	62.0 (21.2%)		
Parental education <sup>3</sup>				
- Apprenticeship	291.0 (45.9%)	118.0(40.0%)		
- High school diploma	137.0 (21.6%)	77.0 (26.4%)		
- Higher vocational training	110.0 (17.4%)	58.0 (19.9%)		
- Undefined category	54.0 (8.5%)	30.0(10.3%)	0.1	$102^{4}$
- Compulsory school	29.0 (4.6%)	5.0(1.7%)		
- Diploma school	12.0 (1.9%)	4.0(1.4%)		
- Not willing to provide information	1.0(0.2%)	0.0~(0.0%)		

83

Household income				
$\leq 6,000 CHF$	144.0 (22.7%)	51.0 (17.5%)		
- 6,001 to 9,000 CHF	206.0 (32.5%)	93.0 (31.8%)		101
- 9,000 and more CHF	213.0 (33.6%)	121.0(41.4%)		124
- Not willing to provide information	21.0 (3.3%)	10.0 (3.4%)		
Health indicators				
Self-reported diagnosis with at least one chronic dise	ase <sup>5</sup>			
- Did not have any of the chronic diseases	422.0 (66.6%)	214.0 (73.3%)		20102
- Had at least one chronic disease	212.0 (33.4%)	78.0 (26.7%)	5	748-
Quality of life				
- Overall QoL	80.5 (8.6)	82.4 (7.6)	(-3.0 to - 0.8)	<0.001 <sup>1</sup>
- Physical well-being	83.9 (13.3)	85.1 (12.0)	(-3.0 to 0.5)	$0.158^{1}$
- Emotional well-being	85.9 (10.9)	87.5 (10.2)	(-3.0 to - 0.1)	$0.037^{1}$
- Self-esteem	75.0 (14.3)	77.2 (12.1)	(-4.0 to - 0.4)	$0.017^{1}$
- Family connection	81.4 (12.7)	82.1 (12.1)	(-2.4 to 1.0)	$0.422^{1}$
- Social well-being	77.9 (13.0)	79.1 (11.3)	(-2.8 to 0.5)	$0.167^{1}$
- Functioning at school	79.0 (15.1)	83.5 (13.5)	(-6.4 to - 2.5)	$< 0.001^{1}$
Use of the accelerometer				
Weartime				
- Average scored time per day (minutes)	801.6 (53.5)	791.9 (48.5)	(2.8 to 16.7)	$0.006^{1}$
Season of measurement				
- Spring	197.0 (31.1%)	72.0 (24.7%)		
- Summer	83.0 (13.1%)	45.0 (15.4%)		1512
- Autumn	143.0 (22.6%)	79.0 (27.1%)		101
- Winter	211.0 (33.3%)	96.0 (32.9%)		
Conventional physical activity measures during the v	veekend			
Sedentary Behavior during weekend days <sup>6</sup>				

- Average time in sedentary behavior (hours/day)	7.7 (1.6)	7.3 (1.6)	(0.2 to 0.6)	$< 0.001^{1}$
Moderate to Vigorous Physical Activity during weeken	d days <sup>7</sup>			
- Average time in moderate to vigorous physical	1.1 (0.7)	1.3 (0.7)	(-0.3 to -	$< 0.001^{1}$
activity (hours/day)			0.1)	
<sup>1</sup> P-value from student's t-test <sup>2</sup> P-value from the chi-senuared test				

<sup>3</sup> Highest parental education <sup>4</sup> P-value from Finsher's exact test

<sup>5</sup> The participant self-reported at least one of the following chronic diseases: asthma, hay fever, allergy, atopic dermatitis, diabetes mellitus, chronic enteritis, hypertension, epilepsy,

arthropathy and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Or any other chronic disease not specifically included in the mentioned list <sup>6</sup> Derived by ActiLife v6.13.3, which is defined as an intensity of less than 100 cpm <sup>7</sup> Derived by ActiLife v6.13.3, which is based on the age-dependent cut-offs of Freedson with a threshold of four metabolic equivalents

S2 Table. Characteristics of study participants at baseline (SOPHYA; 2013) by cluster of physical activity profile

	N = 926			
	High activity n = 396 (42.8%)	Lower activity n = 530 (57.2%)	95% CI P-v	value
Variable	Mean (SD)/ n (%)	Mean (SD)/ n (%)		
Socio-demographic characteristics				
Age	9.7 (2.1)	11.8 (2.5)	(1.8  to  2.4) < 0.	$.001^{1}$
Sex				
- Boy	229.0 (57.8%)	221.0 (41.7%)	20002	
- Girl	167.0 (42.2%)	309.0 (58.3%)	<ul><li>100.001</li></ul>	
Language region				
- German	293.0 (74%)	367.0 (69.2%)		
- French	67.0 (16.9%)	107.0 (20.2%)	0.2862	
- Italian	36.0 (9.1%)	56.0(10.6%)		
Nationality				
- Swiss	275.0 (69.4%)	361.0~(68.1%)		
- Foreign nationality	42.0~(10.6%)	53.0 (10%)	0.7622	
- Swiss dual citizen (Swiss and foreign nationality)	79.0 (19.9%)	116.0 (21.9%)		
Urbanicity				
- Agglomeration	176.0(44.4%)	262.0 (49.4%)		
- Rural	136.0 (34.3%)	169.0 (31.9%)	0.3102	
- Urban	84.0 (21.2%)	99.0 (18.7%)		
Parental education				
- Apprenticeship	164.0~(41.4%)	245.0 (46.2%)		
- High school diploma	83.0 (21.0%)	131.0 (24.7%)		
- Higher vocational training	82.0 (20.7%)	86.0~(16.2%)	$0.116^{3}$	
- Undefined category	44.0~(11.1%)	40.0 (7.5%)	01110	
- Compulsory school	14.0(3.5%)	20.0 (3.8%)		
- Diploma school	8.0 (2.0%)	8.0 (1.5%)		

- Not willing to provide information	1.0 (0.3%)	(0.0)		
Household income				
$- \leq 6,000 CHF$	70.0 (17.7%)	125.0 (23.6%)		
- 6,001 to 9,000 CHF	121.0 (30.6%)	178.0(33.6%)		
- 9,000 and more CHF	159.0 (40.2%)	175.0 (33%)	0.051	2
- Not willing to provide information	17.0 (4.3%)	14.0 (2.6%)		
- Missing	29.0 (7.3%)	38.0 (7.2%)		
Health indicators				
Self-reported diagnosis with at least one chronic disease				
- Did not have any of the chronic diseases	283.0 (71.5%)	353.0 (66.6%)	0.132	2
- Had at least one chronic disease	113.0 (28.5%)	177.0 (33.4%)		
Quality of life				
- Overall QoL	82.3 (7.3)	80.2 (8.9)	(-3.1 to -1.0)	< 0.0011
- Physical well-being	86.7 (11.7)	82.5 (13.5)	(-5.9 to -2.6)	< 0.0011
- Emotional well-being	87.0 (9.7)	86.0 (11.4)	(-2.4 to 0.3)	0.1441
- Self-esteem	76.3 (13)	75.3 (14.1)	(-2.8 to 0.7)	0.2461
- Family connection	81.4 (11.8)	81.7 (13)	(-1.3 to 1.9)	0.7011
- Social well-being	78.9 (10.9)	77.9 (13.6)	(-2.6 to 0.6)	0.2141
- Functioning at school	83.6 (13.4)	78.0 (15.2)	(-7.5 to -3.8)	< 0.0011
Use of the accelerometer				
Weartime				
- Average scored time per day in minutes	795.0 (49.0)	801.0 (54.2)	(-0.3 to 13.1)	0.0611
Season of measurement				
- Spring	99.0 (25%)	170.0(32.1%)		
- Summer	73.0 (18.4%)	55.0 (10.4%)		ç
- Autumn	115.0 (29.0%)	107.0 (20.0%)		1
- Winter	109.0 (27.5%)	198.0 (37.4%)		
Conventional physical activity measures during the weel	kend			
Sedentary Behavior during weekend days on weekend d	ays			
- Average time in sedentary in hours/day	6.7 (1.3)	8.2 (1.5)	(1.3 to 1.6)	<0.0011
Moderate to Vigorous Physical Activity				

<ul> <li>Average time in moderate to vigorous physical activity in hours/day</li> </ul>	1.7 (0.6)	0.7 (0.4)	(-1.1 to -0.9)	<0.0011
Mean counts per epoch on weekend days	196.0 (46.4)	105.0 (28.4)	(-96.6 to -86.2)	<0.0011

<sup>1</sup> P-value from student's t-test

<sup>2</sup> P-value from the chi-squared test <sup>3</sup> P-value from Fisher's exact test

S3 Table. Linear adjusted<sup>1</sup> cross-sectional association of physical activity cluster membership (relative to the participants in the lower activity cluster) with QoL

Model 1	- no adjustment for estal	blished physical ad	ctivity metrics	
<b>Primary endpoint</b>	Main predictor	Coefficient	13 %Se	<b>P-value</b>
<b>Overall QoL</b>	High activity	9.0	(-0.6 to 1.7)	0.338
Physical well-being	High activity	2.1	(0.2 to 3.9)	0.029
Emotional well-being	High activity	0.2	(-1.4 to 1.7)	0.824
Self-esteem	High activity	-0.1	(-2.1 to 1.9)	0.925
Family connection	High activity	0.2	(-1.6 to 2.0)	0.854
Social well-being	High activity	1.2	(-0.6 to 3.0)	0.210
Functioning at school	High activity	-0.1	(-1.9 to 1.8)	0.953

<sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, language region, nationality, urbanicity, participation in organized sport activities, self-reported diagnosis with at least one chronic disease, household income, parental education, and season of measurement

Cluster Primary endpoint Overall OoL	ter membership Coefficient	•				
Primary endpoint High activity	Coefficient				MVPA	
Overall OoL High activity	ç	95% CI	<b>P-value</b>	Coefficient	95% CI	<b>P-value</b>
	y 0.1	(-1.3 to 1.5)	0.895	0.6	(-0.5 to 1.8)	0.278
Physical well-being High activity	y 1.0	(-1.3  to  3.3)	0.410	1.5	(-0.3 to 3.3)	0.114
Emotional well-being High activity	y 0.3	(-1.6 to 2.3)	0.721	-0.2	(-1.8 to 1.3)	0.762
Self-esteem High activity	y 0.4	(-2.1  to  2.9)	0.765	-0.6	(-2.6 to 1.3)	0.526
Family connection High activity	y -0.1	(-2.3  to  2.1)	0.935	0.3	(-1.4 to 2.1)	869.0
Social well-being High activity	y -0.9	(-3.2 to 1.3)	0.409	2.8	(1.0 to 4.6)	0.002
Functioning at school High activity	y -0.1	(-2.3 to 2.2)	0.939	0.05	(-1.8 to 1.9)	0.961

S4 Table. Linear mutually adjusted<sup>1</sup> cross-sectional association of physical activity profile cluster membership (relative to the participants in the lower activity cluster) and MVPA (per 1h/day) with QoL

<sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, language region, nationality, urbanicity, participation in organized sport activities, self-reported diagnosis with at least one chronic disease, household income, parental education, season of measurement, and additionally adjusted for MVPA

nembership (relative to the participants in the lower		
ar mutually adjusted <sup>1</sup> cross-sectional association of physical activity profile cluster <b>m</b> ) and sedentary behavior (per 1h/day) with QoL	Model 3 – additionally adjusted for sedentary behavior	
S5 Table. Line activity cluste		

			ает <i>у</i> – ааанопану а behav	ujusteu tor seuentar ior	X		
	CI	uster members	hip			Sedentary behavior	
<b>Primary endpoint</b>		Coefficient	95% CI	P-value	Coefficient	95% CI	<b>P-value</b>
<b>Overall QoL</b>	High activity	0.7	(-0.5 to 1.9)	0.285	0.1	(-0.3 to 0.5)	0.585
<b>Physical well-being</b>	High activity	2.0	(0.1  to  4.0)	0.038	-0.02	(-0.6  to  0.6)	0.949
<b>Emotional well-being</b>	High activity	0.4	(-1.2 to 2.0)	0.634	0.2	(-0.3 to 0.8)	0.339
Self-esteem	High activity	0.4	(-1.7 to 2.4)	0.739	0.5	(-0.1 to 1.2)	0.123
Family connection	High activity	0.3	(-1.6 to 2.2)	0.756	0.1	(-0.5 to 0.8)	0.625
Social well-being	High activity	0.7	(-1.2 to 2.6)	0.447	-0.5	(-1.1 to 0.11)	0.105
Functioning at school	High activity	0.1	(-1.8 to 2.1)	0.882	0.2	(-0.4  to  0.9)	0.456

<sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, language region, nationality, urbanicity, participation in organized sport activities, self-reported diagnosis with at least one chronic disease, household income, parental education, season of measurement, and additionally adjusted for sedentary behavior

S6 Table. Linear adjusted<sup>1</sup> predictive association of physical activity profile cluster membership (relative to the participants in the inactive cluster) at baseline with QoL at follow-up

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Model 1 – no ad	iditional adjustment f	or established phy	sical activity met	trics
Primary endpoint	Main predictor	Coefficient	95% CI	<b>P-value</b>
<b>Overall QoL</b>	Low activity	1.5	(-1.6 to 4.5)	0.350
	Medium activity	0.4	(-2.9 to 3.7)	0.799
	High activity	-0.3	(-6.3 to 5.7)	0.922
Physical well-being	Low activity	2.7	(-1.9 to 7.2)	0.251
	Medium activity	1.4	(-3.5 to 6.4)	0.564
	High activity	3.1	(-5.7 to 11.9)	0.486
Emotional well-being	Low activity	0.8	(-3.1 to 4.6)	0.696
	Medium activity	-0.4	(-4.6  to  3.7)	0.846

		č		
	High activity	-3.1	(-10.6 to 4.3)	0.410
Self-esteem	Low activity	2.0	(-3.3 to 7.4)	0.453
	Medium activity	1.0	(-4.8 to 6.8)	0.734
	High activity	-5.8	(-16.3 to 4.6)	0.273
Family connection	Low activity	3.1	(-1.3 to 7.5)	0.171
	Medium activity	1.5	(-3.3 to 6.2)	0.542
	High activity	4.5	(-4.1 to 13.0)	0.307
Social well-being	Low activity	-1.9	(-6.2 to 2.5)	0.402
	Medium activity	0.1	(-4.6 to 4.9)	0.957
	High activity	-0.2	(-8.8 to 8.3)	0.955
Functioning at school	Low activity	2.5	(-3.1 to 8.1)	0.384
	Medium activity	-0.1	(-6.2 to 6.0)	0.967
	High activity	0.7	(-10.3 to 11.6)	0.903

<sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, language region, nationality, urbanicity, participation in organized sport activities, self-reported diagnosis with at least one chronic disease, household income, parental education, season of measurement and respective QoL domain at baseline

S7 Table. Linear mutually adjusted<sup>1</sup> predictive association of physical activity profile cluster membership (relative to the participants in the inactive cluster) and MVPA (per 1h/day) at baseline with QoL at follow-up

		Mode	ol 2 – additionally ad	ljusted for MVPA			
	Clust	ter membershi	d			MVPA	
Primary endpoint		Coefficient	95% CI	P-value	Coefficient	95% CI	<b>P-value</b>
<b>Overall QoL</b>	Low activity	1.3	(-2.0 to 4.5)	0.443			
	Medium activity	-0.2	(-4.5 to 4.1)	0.938	0.6	(-2.2 to 3.5)	0.669
	High activity	-1.2	(-8.4 to 6.0)	0.751			
Physical well-being	Low activity	3.6	(-1.1 to 8.4)	0.135			
	Medium activity	4.2	(-2.1 to 10.6)	0.192	-2.9	(-7.1 to 1.3)	0.176
	High activity	7.1	(-3.4 to 17.7)	0.184			
Emotional well-being	Low activity	0.3	(-3.7 to 4.4)	0.870			
	Medium activity	-1.7	(-7.1  to  3.7)	0.543	1.3	(-2.3  to  4.9)	0.473

	High activity	-4.9	(-13.9 to 4.0)	0.279			
Self-esteem	Low activity	1.8	(-3.8 to 7.4)	0.527			
	Medium activity	0.3	(-7.2 to 7.8)	0.938	0.7	(-4.3 to 5.7)	0.774
	High activity	-6.8	(-19.4 to 5.7)	0284			
Family connection	Low activity	3.2	(-1.5 to 7.8)	0.179			
	Medium activity	1.7	(-4.5 to 7.9)	0.584	-0.3	(-4.4 to 3.8)	0.901
	High activity	4.8	(-5.5 to 15.1)	0.358			
Social well-being	Low activity	-1.9	(-6.5 to 2.7)	0.411			
	Medium activity	0.00	(-6.1 to 6.1)	0.999	0.1	(-3.9 to 4.2)	0.946
	High activity	-0.4	(-10.6 to 9.7)	0.933			
<b>Functioning at school</b>	Low activity	0.9	(-5.0 to 6.8)	0.759			
	Medium activity	-4.7	(-12.6 to 3.1)	0.236	4.8	(-0.4  to  10.0)	0.071
	High activity	-6.0	(-19.1 to 7.1)	0.369			

<sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, language region, nationality, urbanicity, participation in organized sport activities, self-reported diagnosis with at least one chronic disease, household income, parental education, season of measurement, respective QoL domain at baseline, and additionally adjusted for MVPA

S8 Table. Linear mutually adjusted<sup>1</sup> predictive association of physical activity profile cluster membership (relative to the participants in the inactive cluster) and sedentary behavior (per 1 h/day) at baseline with QoL at follow-up

	Mod	lel 3 - additionally	v adjusted for sede	ntary behavior			
	Cluster me	mbership			Sec	lentary behavior	
Primary endpoint		Coefficient	95% CI	<b>P-value</b>	Coefficient	95% CI	P-value
Overall QoL	Low activity	1.8	(-1.4  to  5.1)	0.256			
	Medium activity	1.0	(-2.6 to 4.6)	0.581	0.4	(-0.5 to 1.4)	0.398
	High activity	5.0	(-5.8 to 6.7)	0.886			
Physical well-being	Low activity	5.9	(-1.9 to 7.6)	0.239			
	Medium activity	1.7	(-3.6 to 7.0)	0.523	0.2	(-1.2 to 1.6)	0.779
	High activity	3.5	(-5.7 to 12.7)	0.456			
Emotional well-being	Low activity	1.2	(-2.8 to 5.2)	0.565			
	Medium activity	0.2	(-4.3 to 4.7)	0.933	0.4	(-0.8 to 1.6)	0.479

	High activity	-2.3	(-10.2 to 5.5)	0.556			
Self-esteem	Low activity	2.0	(-3.6 to 7.6)	0.477			
	Medium activity	1.0	(-5.3 to 7.2)	0.760	0.0	(-1.7 to 1.6)	0.978
	High activity	-5.9	(-16.8 to 5.0)	0.290			
Family connection	Low activity	3.3	(-1.3 to 7.9)	0.157			
	Medium activity	1.8	(-3.3 to 7.0)	0.482	0.3	(-1.1 to 1.6)	0.711
	High activity	4.9	(-4.0 to 13.9)	0.279			
Social well-being	Low activity	-0.3	(-4.8 to 4.2)	0.903			
	Medium activity	2.4	(-2.6 to 7.5)	0.338	1.7	(0.4  to  3.1)	0.013
	High activity	2.8	(-6.0 to 11.6)	0.535			
Functioning at school	Low activity	2.3	(-3.6 to 8.2)	0.440			
	Medium activity	-0.4	(-7.0 to 6.2)	0.905	-0.2	(-2.0 to 1.6)	0.829
	High activity	0.3	(-11.1 to 11.8)	0.956			

<sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, language region, nationality, urbanicity, participation in organized sport activities, self-reported diagnosis with at least one chronic disease, household income, parental education, season of measurement, respective QoL domain at baseline, and additionally adjusted for sedentary behavior

Model 1	<ul> <li>no adjustment for estab</li> </ul>	olished physical a	ctivity metrics	
Primary endpoint	Main predictor	Coefficient	65% CI	<b>P-value</b>
Overall QoL	High activity	0.4	(-2.2 to 3.0)	0.766
Physical well-being	High activity	1.1	(-2.7 to 4.9)	0.568
Emotional well-being	High activity	0.3	(-3.0 to 3.6)	0.854
Self-esteem	High activity	1.9	(-2.7 to 6.4)	0.420
Family connection	High activity	2.1	(-1.6 to 5.9)	0.261

S9 Table. Linear adjusted<sup>1</sup> predictive association of physical activity profile cluster membership (relative to the participants in the lower activity cluster) at baseline with QoL at follow-up <sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, language region, nationality, urbanicity, participation in organized sport activities, self-reported diagnosis with at least one chronic disease, household income, parental education, season of measurement and respective QoL domain at baseline

0.773

0.301

(-5.7 to 1.8) (-5.5 to 4.1)

-1.9

High activity High activity

Functioning at school

Social well-being

		Model 2 – ad	autionany adjusi				
	Cluster	membership				MVPA	
<b>Primary endpoint</b>		Coefficient	95% CI	<b>P-value</b>	Coefficient	95% CI	<b>P-value</b>
Overall QoL	High activity	0.4	(-2.9 to 3.6)	0.826	0.0	(-2.5 to 2.6)	0.973
<b>Physical well-being</b>	High activity	2.8	(-1.9 to 7.6)	0.238	-2.3	(-6.0 to 1.4)	0.221
<b>Emotional well-being</b>	High activity	0.4	(-3.6 to 4.5)	0.825	-0.2	(-3.4 to 3.0)	0.902
Self-esteem	High activity	3.2	(-2.4 to 8.8)	0.269	-1.7	(-6.1 to 2.7)	0.440
Family connection	High activity	2.7	(-1.9 to 7.4)	0.245	-0.8	(-4.4 to 2.8)	0.665
Social well-being	High activity	-3.4	(-7.9 to 1.2)	0.145	1.9	(-1.7 to 5.6)	0.287
Functioning at school	High activity	-3.4	(-9.3 to 2.4)	0.249	3.7	(-0.9  to  8.3)	0.118

S10 Table. Linear mutually adjusted<sup>1</sup> predictive association of physical activity profile cluster membership (relative to the participants in the lower activity cluster) and MVPA (per 1h/day) at baseline with QoL at follow-up <sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, language region, nationality, urbanicity, participation in organized sport activities, self-reported diagnosis with at least one chronic disease, household income, parental education, season of measurement, respective QoL domain at baseline, and additionally adjusted for MVPA

Cluster membershipSedentary behaviorPrimary endpointCluster membershipSedentary behaviorPrimary endpointHigh activityCoefficient95% CIP-valueOverall QoLHigh activity0.7(-2.0 to 3.5)0.6000.4(-0.5 to 1.3)0.427Physical well-beingHigh activity1.2(-2.9 to 5.2)0.5670.00(-1.3 to 1.4)0.926Emotional well-beingHigh activity2.1(-2.7 to 6.8)0.5670.00(-1.4 to 1.8)0.360Self-esteemHigh activity2.1(-2.7 to 6.8)0.3950.22(-1.4 to 1.8)0.787Self-esteemHigh activity2.1(-2.7 to 6.8)0.3950.2(-1.4 to 1.8)0.787Social well-beingHigh activity2.1(-4.6 to 3.1)0.7081.40.1 to 2.7)0.344Functioning at schoolHigh activity-1.0(-4.6 to 3.1)0.701-0.3(-1.1 to 1.5)0.718		Model 3	i – additionally	adjusted for se	edentary be	havior		
Primary endpointCoefficient $95\%$ CIP-valueCoefficient $95\%$ CIP-valueOverall QoLHigh activity $0.7$ $(-2.0 \tan 3.5)$ $0.600$ $0.4$ $(-0.5 \tan 1.3)$ $0.427$ Physical well-beingHigh activity $1.2$ $(-2.0 \tan 3.5)$ $0.600$ $0.4$ $(-1.3 \tan 1.4)$ $0.922$ Emotional well-beingHigh activity $1.2$ $(-2.0 \tan 3.5)$ $0.649$ $0.0$ $(-1.3 \tan 1.4)$ $0.922$ Emotional well-beingHigh activity $2.1$ $(-2.7 \tan 6.8)$ $0.649$ $0.5$ $(-0.6 \tan 1.7)$ $0.360$ Self-esteenHigh activity $2.1$ $(-2.7 \tan 6.8)$ $0.395$ $0.2$ $(-1.4 \tan 1.8)$ $0.781$ Family connectionHigh activity $2.1$ $(-2.7 \tan 6.8)$ $0.348$ $0.2$ $(-1.1 \tan 1.5)$ $0.781$ Social well-beingHigh activity $-0.7$ $(-4.6 \tan 3.1)$ $0.708$ $1.4$ $(0.1 \tan 2.7)$ $0.741$ Social well-beingHigh activity $-1.0$ $(-1.6 \tan 4.0)$ $0.701$ $-0.3$ $(-2.0 \tan 1.4)$ $0.718$		Cluster me	mbership				Sedentary beł	navior
<b>Overall QoL</b> High activity $0.7$ $(-2.0 \ to 3.5)$ $0.600$ $0.4$ $(-0.5 \ to 1.3)$ $0.427$ <b>Physical well-being</b> High activity $1.2$ $(-2.9 \ to 5.2)$ $0.567$ $0.0$ $(-1.3 \ to 1.4)$ $0.922$ <b>Emotional well-being</b> High activity $0.8$ $(-2.6 \ to 4.2)$ $0.567$ $0.0$ $(-1.3 \ to 1.4)$ $0.922$ <b>Emotional well-being</b> High activity $0.8$ $(-2.6 \ to 4.2)$ $0.567$ $0.0$ $(-1.3 \ to 1.4)$ $0.360$ <b>Self-esteem</b> High activity $2.1$ $(-2.7 \ to 6.8)$ $0.395$ $0.2$ $(-1.4 \ to 1.8)$ $0.787$ <b>Family connection</b> High activity $2.1$ $(-2.7 \ to 6.8)$ $0.395$ $0.2$ $(-1.4 \ to 1.8)$ $0.787$ <b>Social well-being</b> High activity $2.1$ $(-2.7 \ to 6.3.1)$ $0.708$ $1.4$ $(0.1 \ to 2.7)$ $0.744$ <b>Functioning at school</b> High activity $-0.7$ $(-4.6 \ to 3.1)$ $0.701$ $-0.3$ $(-2.0 \ to 1.4)$ $0.716$	<b>Primary endpoint</b>		Coefficient	95% CI	<b>P-value</b>	Coefficient	95% CI	<b>P-value</b>
Physical well-beingHigh activity $1.2$ $(-2.9 \tan 5.2)$ $0.567$ $0.0$ $(-1.3 \tan 1.4)$ $0.922$ Emotional well-beingHigh activity $0.8$ $(-2.6 \tan 4.2)$ $0.649$ $0.5$ $(-0.6 \tan 1.7)$ $0.360$ Self-esteemHigh activity $2.1$ $(-2.7 \tan 6.8)$ $0.395$ $0.2$ $(-1.4 \tan 1.8)$ $0.787$ Family connectionHigh activity $2.1$ $(-2.7 \tan 6.8)$ $0.248$ $0.2$ $(-1.4 \tan 1.8)$ $0.787$ Social well-beingHigh activity $2.3$ $(-1.6 \tan 6.2)$ $0.248$ $0.2$ $(-1.1 \tan 1.5)$ $0.787$ Functioning at schoolHigh activity $-0.7$ $(-4.6 \tan 3.1)$ $0.708$ $1.4$ $(0.1 \tan 2.7)$ $0.344$ Functioning at schoolHigh activity $-1.0$ $(-6.0 \tan 4.0)$ $0.701$ $-0.3$ $(-2.0 \tan 1.4)$ $0.718$	<b>Overall QoL</b>	High activity	0.7	(-2.0 to 3.5)	0.600	0.4	(-0.5 to 1.3)	0.427
Emotional well-beingHigh activity $0.8$ $(-2.6 \text{ to } 4.2)$ $0.649$ $0.5$ $(-0.6 \text{ to } 1.7)$ $0.360$ Self-esteenHigh activity $2.1$ $(-2.7 \text{ to } 6.8)$ $0.395$ $0.2$ $(-1.4 \text{ to } 1.8)$ $0.787$ Family connectionHigh activity $2.3$ $(-1.6 \text{ to } 6.2)$ $0.248$ $0.2$ $(-1.1 \text{ to } 1.5)$ $0.778$ Social well-beingHigh activity $-0.7$ $(-4.6 \text{ to } 3.1)$ $0.708$ $1.4$ $(0.1 \text{ to } 2.7)$ $0.344$ Functioning at schoolHigh activity $-1.0$ $(-6.0 \text{ to } 4.0)$ $0.701$ $-0.3$ $(-2.0 \text{ to } 1.4)$ $0.718$	Physical well-being	High activity	1.2	(-2.9 to 5.2)	0.567	0.0	(-1.3 to 1.4)	0.922
Self-esteem         High activity         2.1         (-2.7 to 6.8)         0.395         0.2         (-1.4 to 1.8)         0.787           Family connection         High activity         2.3         (-1.6 to 6.2)         0.248         0.2         (-1.1 to 1.5)         0.778           Social well-being         High activity         -0.7         (-4.6 to 3.1)         0.708         1.4         (0.1 to 2.7)         0.344           Functioning at school         High activity         -1.0         (-6.0 to 4.0)         0.701         -0.3         (-2.0 to 1.4)         0.718	Emotional well-being	High activity	0.8	(-2.6 to 4.2)	0.649	0.5	(-0.6 to 1.7)	0.360
Family connection         High activity         2.3         (-1.6 to 6.2)         0.248         0.2         (-1.1 to 1.5)         0.778           Social well-being         High activity         -0.7         (-4.6 to 3.1)         0.708         1.4         (0.1 to 2.7)         0.344           Functioning at school         High activity         -1.0         (-6.0 to 4.0)         0.701         -0.3         (-2.0 to 1.4)         0.718	Self-esteem	High activity	2.1	(-2.7 to 6.8)	0.395	0.2	(-1.4 to 1.8)	0.787
Social well-being         High activity         -0.7         (-4.6 to 3.1)         0.708         1.4         (0.1 to 2.7)         0.344           Functioning at school         High activity         -1.0         (-6.0 to 4.0)         0.701         -0.3         (-2.0 to 1.4)         0.718	Family connection	High activity	2.3	(-1.6 to 6.2)	0.248	0.2	(-1.1 to 1.5)	0.778
Functioning at school         High activity         -1.0         (-6.0 to 4.0)         0.701         -0.3         (-2.0 to 1.4)         0.718	Social well-being	High activity	-0.7	(-4.6 to 3.1)	0.708	1.4	(0.1  to  2.7)	0.344
	Functioning at school	High activity	-1.0	(-6.0 to 4.0)	0.701	-0.3	(-2.0 to 1.4)	0.718

S11 Table. Linear mutually adjusted<sup>1</sup> predictive association of physical activity profile cluster membership (relative to the participants in the lower activity cluster) and sedentary behavior (per 1h/day) at baseline with QoL at follow-up

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, language region, nationality, urbanicity, participation in organized sport activities, self-reported diagnosis with at least one chronic disease, household income, parental education, season of measurement, respective QoL domain at baseline, and additionally adjusted for sedentary behavior

# Article III: Exploring the role of social capital, self-efficacy, and social contagion in shaping lifestyle and mental health among students representing the future health care work force in Palestine: social cohort study protocol

Ranin Darkhawaja<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Marek Kwiatkowski<sup>1,2</sup>, Thomas Vermes<sup>1,2</sup>, Hala Allabadi<sup>3</sup>, Sonja Merten<sup>1,2</sup>, Abdulsalam Alkaiyat<sup>3</sup>, Nicole Probst – Hensch<sup>1,2</sup>

Corresponding author: Prof. Dr. Nicole Probst - Hensch, Kreuzstrasse 2 Allschwil 4123, Switzerland

<sup>1</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Socinstrasse 57, P.O.Box, 4002 Basel, Switzerland.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Public Health, University of Basel, Petersplatz 1, 4001 Basel, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, An-Najah National University, Rafidia Street, P.O. Box 7, Nablus, Palestine

Published in BMJ Open 2022;12:e049033

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** Non-communicable diseases (NCDs) and depression form an unhealthy mix. The project focuses on potentially effective psychosocial factors shaping health-related habits and mental health. The study is conducted among health domain students. Understanding what shapes their health will determine their quality of care. The study is implemented at An-Najah National University in Palestine. In this zone of continuous conflict psychological stress is high and mental health problems are stigmatized.

**Methods and analysis:** Students who are enrolled in second and third year will be invited to fill in a baseline and two follow-up online questionnaires. The questionnaires will assess: health behaviors and outcomes (health-related habits, obesity and mental health), main predictors (social capital (SC), social network, self-efficacy (SE)), confounders (general and sociodemographic characteristics), and effect modifiers (sense of coherence (SOC) and family SOC). Friendships within participating students will be identified by allowing students to name their friends from a pull-down menu of all students. Descriptive statistics and scores will describe participant's characteristics. The relationship between health behavior, outcomes, and main predictors will be assessed by permutation tests. Their spread within the network of friends will be investigated by longitudinal generalized estimating equations.

**Discussion:** The study will identify the prevalence of NCD related health-habits and mental health aspects in the future healthcare workforce in Palestine. It will be the first study to address the role of psychosocial factors for the targeted students. It has the potential to identify targets for promoting physical and mental health among these future professionals.

**Ethics and dissemination:** Ethical approval was obtained from Ethikkommission Nordwest-und Zentralschweiz (EKNZ) in Switzerland and the Institutional Review Board Committee (IRBC) in Palestine. Participation in the study is voluntary and requires informed consent. The data management

methodology ensures the confidentiality of the data. The outcomes of the study will be published as scientific papers. In addition, it will be presented in stakeholder conferences to students to An-Najah National University.

**Keywords:** health-related habits, mental health, psychosocial factors, social capital, sense of coherence, self-efficacy, social contagion, university students, permutation tests, generalized estimating equations.

#### Strengths and limitations of this study

- As one of the rare studies to longitudinally address the role of psychosocial factors including social contagion in shaping the lifestyle and mental health among network ties of students, it may identify novel cost-effective preventive targets.
- 2. By focusing on health domain students in a geographical area of long-term conflict that generally promotes unhealthy habits and aggravates mental ill-health, the study offers novel insight on how to promote prevention knowledge, acceptance and skills of the future healthcare workforce.
- 3. The analysis of the longitudinal directional network ties and attributes of the study participants uncovers and quantifies the suspected social contagion of health-related behaviors and mental health.
- 4. The sample will be taken only from An-Najah National University. The results of the study will therefore not be representative of all health domain students in Palestine.
- 5. The study will be based on self-report tools and restricted to friendship nominations among the student network. This can lead to misclassification of characteristics, in particular those associated with some stigma including mental health symptoms.

#### Introduction

#### Background

NCDs are major contributors to morbidity and mortality worldwide (471). Globally, NCDs accounted for more than 70% of all deaths in 2017 (18). In 2019 NCDs were also the leading causes of death in Palestine. Cardiovascular diseases followed by cancer accounted for 29.9% and 15.5% of total deaths, respectively (40). Research studies reported a high prevalence of important NCD risk factors such as tobacco smoking (472), waterpipe smoking (473), alcohol drinking, use of illicit drugs (474), low adherence to healthy nutrition (38, 472, 475), and low physical activity (64, 475) among Palestinian youths. Because NCDs evolve over the course of life and because lifestyle tracks from young to old age, young Palestinians put themselves at high risk of developing NCDs later in life by adopting an unhealthy lifestyle at young age. In Palestine, there is a high prevalence of mental health problems. Poor mental health is a major driver of disability (476). Despite this, there is still a gap in the recognition, diagnosis and treatment of mental disorders in Palestine (67). Unaddressed mental care needs are an important barrier to the successful control of NCDs in low and middle income countries (477). The relationship between the most common NCDs (cardiovascular diseases and diabetes) and depression is thought to be bidirectional (478).

Alarmingly high rates of severe depression and anxiety were reported among Palestinian cardiac patients (99).

Based on Antonovsky's salutogenenesis theory, the current study focuses on the role of individuals' psychosocial factors in shaping their lifestyle and mental health. According to the theory, at any point in time, an individual has a position on the generalized resistance resources – resistance deficits (GRRs-RDs) continuum (10). Accessibility of resistance resources along the continuum allows the individual to experience "consistency" in life, to have "load balance" between resources and demands, and to "participate in decision making". In turn, these experiences allow the individual to develop a sense of coherence (SOC) (10, 334, 356). SOC development contributes to the individual's mental and physical health through its ability to effectively mobilize resources for overcoming stressors and challenges and ensuring positive health and health habits (10, 334, 479). Social capital (SC) is hypothesised to be one of the GRRs through which SOC can act as a mediator between SC and health outcomes (335). There is evidence for the positive association of network capital (338-341) and cognitive social capital (338-340, 342-345) with both, physical and mental health. In addition, there are areas of convergence between selfefficacy (SE) and SOC. SE is characterized by being consistent, by contributing to shaping outcome expectancies and behavior, and by leading to overload and underload balance. Thus, it appears to be one of the GRRs that contributes to the enhancement of SOC (356, 359). There is evidence for an association between SE and health-related behaviors (356, 359). Also, SE is positively associated with perceived good health, well-being and quality of life (356), but inversely with depression and anxiety (358).

This study is based on the assumption that SC and SE contribute to the development of SOC, which in turn influences individuals' health-related behaviors and mental health. See **Figure 1** 



Figure 1. Conceptual framework of the study based on the Antonovsky's theory of salutogensis

Furthermore, the social contagion theory suggests that behaviors can spread from one person to another within social networks through induction (331, 333). This is evidenced by the clustering of smoking and obesity within social networks (330, 331). There is also evidence for the contagion of mental health through the spread of happiness (480) and depression (481) within social networks. Psychosocial factors and social contagion may be important and cost-effective targets for more efficient NCD prevention and mental health promotion in Palestine. The continuous conflict in this region contributes to poor health habits and to mental problems, but the later are not properly addressed due to cultural stigmatization. Healthcare professionals own health, mental health counselling (482, 483). It is therefore important to study health-habits and mental health care workforce, but they are still at an age where habits and perceptions are more easily modified (335).

#### Aim

The aim of the study is to explore the role of SC, SE, SOC and social contagion in shaping lifestyle and mental health among health domain students representing the future health care work force in Palestine.

#### **Specific objectives**

The study will be conducted among students enrolled in the faculty of medicine and health sciences of An-Najah National University to:

- 1. Assess the frequency, distribution and clustering of self-perceived health, health-related behaviors, obesity and mental health among students.
- 2. Assess the distribution of SC, SE, and SOC among students.
- 3. Estimate the association between SC, SE, and SOC with the longitudinal course of self-perceived health, health-related behaviors, obesity and mental health among students.
- 4. Uncover and quantify the suspected social contagion of health-related behaviors, obesity, and mental health among students.
- 5. Identify modifying and mediating factors in the association of SC and SE with the level and longitudinal course of self-perceived health, health-related behaviors, obesity and mental health among students.

#### Methods and analysis

#### **Study population**

#### **Inclusion criteria**

The study will invite all second and third-year students enrolled in the optometry (104 students), medical imaging (110), nursing (480), pharmacy (304), medicine (331), physiotherapy (122), biomedical sciences I (739), medical laboratory (345), midwifery (29), doctor of pharmacy (122), and audiology and speech

therapy (157) programs of the faculty of medicine and health sciences of An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine. First-year students will be excluded from the study because friendship ties among university students are more likely to start evolving during the first academic year of enrollment and develop by the second academic year of enrollment. Also, first-year students are less likely to continue studying. Senior students (fourth, fifth and sixth- year students) are excluded because the minimum number of years required to complete programs in the faculty of medicine and health sciences is 4 years. Furthermore, inclusion of senior students harbors the risk of high attrition of the participants due to graduation from the university. The data collection will continue over the course of 1 year.

#### Recruitment

A promotion video will be shared with the targeted students to introduce the study and encourage them to take part in the survey. Students will obtain personal invitations for study participation by email. The email will include a personalized link to access online study information, e-consent form and questionnaires. SMS messages and emails will be sent to the students as reminders to complete the survey. After the end of the study, an event will be organized with the aim of increasing the students' understanding and awareness on aspects related to their future professional career. The study's result will form the basis for input into this event.

#### Study design

The study is a digital prospective cohort; it is based on online baseline survey and two follow-up surveys (first follow-up 6 months after baseline and second follow-up 12 months after baseline) surveys. The study will be conducted between 2021 and 2022.

#### Data collection and management

Data will be collected with a culturally sensitive online questionnaire. Questionnaires will be developed in English and will be translated to Arabic and back-translated to English. The questionnaires will be piloted among a subset of first-year students enrolled at the faculty of medicine and health sciences of An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine.

Data recording will be performed in the data collection tool REDCap, a secure web application for building and managing online surveys and databases. The questionnaire will be administered three times. For each of the baseline and follow-up surveys, students will be given 30 days to complete the survey, starting from the day they receive the invitation.

An-Najah National University will provide Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute (Swiss TPH) with emails and names of the eligible students. An open person-identifying ID (OID) will be assigned to each student. First, a personalized link (OID as identifier for the link) will be mailed to students. Both, student's email and the student portal "Zajel" will be used for this mailing. The link will allow the students to access and fill in the participant information sheet, the contact information sheet and the e-consent. Second, after the students provide their e-consent and confirm their personal information, they will receive an email with a personalized link for accessing online questionnaires.

The completed online research questionnaire (research data) will be stored in REDCap. To assure a high quality of the data, the records of the survey in REDCap will be checked daily by the responsible researcher. Students will be immediately reconnected to complete missing data. At the end of data collection, the research data will be pseudonymized with each student being assigned a research ID (PID). The students' personally identifying information (first name, father name, grandfather name and last name, date of birth, gender, student domain, year of the study, student ID and student email) will be stored in a separate file in REDCap, linked to OID. In addition, a link file between OID and PID will be stored separately as a file outside REDCap. The files with person identifying data and the link file will only be accessible to the principal investigator of the study (N-PH) and the chief security officer of Swiss TPH. The social network of a student (friends and colleagues) will be identified in the research database as friends' and colleagues' PIDs. After completion of the study and by December 31, 2023, any information that would identify the participant (first name, surnames, date of birth, gender, student domain, year of the study, student ID and student email) will be deleted from REDCap. The link file between OID and PID will be deleted in order to fully anonymize the research data. The anonymized research data of the study along with the e-consent forms will be stored on servers of Swiss TPH in Switzerland.

The procedure for administrating the first and second follow-up surveys will be the same as administrating the baseline survey.

Students and their personal identifying information will only be retained in the participant management file if they provided consent for study information. Only students who completed the previous survey will be targeted for the next survey. See **Figure 2** for reference.









Figure 2. Data collection and management procedure. BL, baseline; OID, open person-identifying ID; PID, Pseudonymisation

#### Measurements

## Primary endpoint Health-related habits

#### Diet

- Food intake: the intake frequency of 14 items will be measured; fruits, vegetables, dried fruits, poultry, meat, fish & seafood, instant noodles, soft drinks, sweetened juice, cake & sweets & chocolate & biscuits, chips & salty snacks, fast food, caffeinated beverages, and energy drinks. This will ensure the assessment of students' dietary intake according to the WHO dietary guidelines recommendations for the Eastern Mediterranean region (484).
- 2. Drinking water: the quantity and the type of drinking water will be assessed.

The food intake will be categorized into healthy and unhealthy dietary intake based on the WHO dietary guidelines recommendations for the Eastern Mediterranean region (484). One point will be given if the food frequency intake is in line with the WHO dietary guidelines recommendations for the Eastern Mediterranean region (484). See **Table 1** for more details.

A score of 6 or more total points will be considered as "healthy dietary intake", a score below 6 points as "unhealthy dietary intake".

3. Weight loss: participants will be asked if they have tried to lose weight in the past. The relation of perception of weight loss with participant's own BMI on the one hand, and with attempts to lose weight in the participant's student network will be investigated.

Item	Recommended food frequency intake
Health items	
Fruits	Several times per week, daily, or several times per day
Vegetables	
Dried fruits	
Poultry	Several times per week
Meat	
Fish & Seafood	
Water	8 cups or more per day
Unhealthy items	
Instant noodles	
Soft drinks	
Sweetened juice	
Cake & Sweets & Chocolate & Biscuits	1-4 times a month or never
Chips & Salty snacks	
Fast food	
Caffeinated beverages	
Energy drinks	

Table 1. WHO dietary guidelines recommendations for Eastern Mediterranean region

**PA and sedentary behavior:** physical activity in the past 7 days will be assessed with the short version of the international physical activity questionnaire (IPAQ), which will be included in the questionnaire.

Participants' physical activity (PA) will be estimated based on the frequency "days per week" and duration "hours/minutes spent" spent in three activity domains: walking, moderate PA and vigorous PA (485). Sedentary activity of the participants will be assessed separately for weekend days and weekdays. Scores will be calculated for each PA intensity level and converted into metabolic equivalent (MET) according to the IPAQ scoring protocol. Minutes per week will be converted to MET using the conversion factor 3.3 for walking, 4.0 for moderate PA and 8 for vigorous PA. The total score of PA will be categorized into low PA, moderate PA and high PA (485).

**Tobacco use:** the history of and the current tobacco use (cigarettes, hookah/shisha, and electronic cigarettes) among participants will be assessed in addition to the participant's attempt to quit smoking.

Sleep pattern: it will be measured with the help of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) (486). Global PSQI score ranging from 0 to 20 will be calculated. A global score >5 = poor quality of sleep and  $\leq 5 =$  good quality of sleep (486).

**Obesity:** the participants will be asked to measure or self-report their weight and height. According to the WHO classifications of body mass index (BMI) for adults, participants will be categorized as underweight (BMI <18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), normal weight (18.5-24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), overweight (BMI 25 – 29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and obese (BMI  $\geq$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) (487). It will be recorded whether the reported height and weight were measured or not.

**Self-perceived health:** it will be evaluated by asking the participant about their experience of chronic health condition or disability.

#### Mental health

**Well-being:** most of the existing research focuses on the negative aspects of mental health including depression (335). Thus, the assessment of well-being is important to explore the relationship between the psychosocial factors (SC, SE, and SOC) and health-related habits with the positive aspect of mental health. Moreover, based on the salutogenesis theory, well-being is one component of health, which is shaped by psychosocial factors (10). Well-being will be rated by using the WHO five well-being index (488). The total score will range from 0 - 100 ( $\geq 50 =$  moderate to high level of well-being; <50 = ill-being).

**Depression, anxiety, and stress:** these are negative aspects of mental health. They will be measured by applying the depression, anxiety, and stress scale (DASS 21) (489). The DASS-21 scale assesses each of DASS based on seven items. Each item is scored on a 0-4 scale: "never = 0, sometimes = 1, often = 2, almost = 3 and always = 4". The score for each domain will be calculated by summing up the scores for the corresponding seven items and multiplying the total by 2. Then, the score will be divided into the following categories; (normal=0-9, mild=10-13, moderate = 14-20, severe = 21-27, and extremely severe = 28+) for each domain.

#### **Main predictors**

Social capital: SC will be measured using the SC questionnaire developed by Yari et al. (490).

*Social network:* the social contagion of health-related behaviors, obesity and mental health will be assessed by collecting information on the close friends/colleagues of each student. An-Najah National University will provide a list of the name of all students enrolled at the faculty of medicine and health sciences. Additionally, the list will include the main sociodemographic characteristics of the students (gender, date of birth, program name, and academic year). The survey will include a drop down menu of the student list obtained from the university. The participants can mark their close friend in this list. Because the list includes all potential study participants it allows for the assessment of social contagion.

*Self-efficacy:* both general SE and social SE among the students will be measured based on Sherer *eta al's* scale (1982) (103).

#### **Confounders**, effect modifiers

*General and sociodemographic characteristics:* this section inquires about gender, age, marital status, religion, place of residence, housing facility, refugee status, household monthly income, size of household, employment status, parent's occupations, care provision for others, affiliated faculty, current academic year.

#### Mediators

*Sense of coherence:* it will be assessed based on Antonovsky's SOC scale (491). The scale consists of 13 items. Each item is scored from 1 to 7. Thus, the scale will have a maximum score of 91 and a minimum score of 13, with high scores indicating high SOC.

*Family sense of coherence:* it will be assessed based on Shifra Sagy's scale (492). The scale consists of 12 items. Each item is scored from 1 to 7. Thus, the scale will have a maximum score of 84 and a minimum score of 12. High scores indicate perception of better family life coherence. The scale consists of 12 items. Each item is scored from 1 to 7. Thus, the scale will have a maximum score of 84 and a minimum score of 12. High scores indicate perception of better family life coherence. The scale consists of 12 items. Each item is scored from 1 to 7. Thus, the scale will have a maximum score of 84 and a minimum score of 12. High scores indicate perception of better family life coherence.

#### Statistical analysis

#### Sample size and characteristics

Assuming a 50% participation rate, we aim to achieve a sample size of n=1000, which is sufficient to estimate prevalence of any binary trait with a margin of error of at most 3.1 percentage points. We expect that 50% of the students do not exhibit healthy habits and 20% have at least moderate depression symptoms. If we dichotomised a predictor such as SC along the median, this sample would confer 85%
power to detect at the 5% significance level risk differences of 8 percentage points for depression and 10 percentage points for unhealthy lifestyle between the low and high SC groups. We anticipate having similar power to detect considerably smaller effects when analysing continuous outcomes and pooling data across survey waves. We have forgone formal power calculations for the clustering and contagion objectives because they depend critically on network topology, for which we have no prior information.

Descriptive statistics (n, %, mean) will describe participant's general and sociodemographic characteristics, as well as tobacco use, obesity and self-perceived health. Scores will describe diet, physical activity, sleep pattern, happiness, loneliness, well-being, depression, anxiety, stress, SC, social network, SE, and SOC. The longitudinal associations between primary endpoints and main predictors will be studied using mixed linear and logistic regression models Interactions terms will be included in the models to capture effect modification. Mediation will be assessed by structural equation modelling.

#### Non-response and attrition

We acknowledge the potential of non-respondent and attrition given the longitudinal design of the study. This can affect the generalizability of the outcomes due to selection bias and decrease the statistical power of the study (493-495). Several mitigation strategies will be applied to account for potential nonrespondent and attrition among participants. First, at the recruitment level, the targeted study population was selected to maximize both participation and retention rates. The study population are second and thirdyears students of the faculty of medicine and health sciences of An-Najah National University, Palestine. The minimum number of years to complete any programme in the faculty of medicine and health sciences is 4 years and the tenure of data collection will be 1 year. This will avoid the possibility of loss to followup due to graduation and absence of any connection to the university. Second, incentives will be provided for participants with the condition of completing the baseline and two follow-up surveys. Additionally, reminders will be sent to the students through emails and text messages to increase participation rate and retention of the participants. Furthermore, a promotion video will be shared with the targeted students to introduce the study and encourage them to take part in the survey. These strategies proved to increase participation rate and maximize retention rate in cohort studies (493, 494). At the statistical analysis level, we have access to basic demographic characteristics of all the study population. This will allow us to make comparisons between participants and non-participants at baseline and to assess if there is significant differences between them. Analysis of non-respondents will help establish who will be at high risk of attrition in the future wave (494). Subsequently, strategies to increase the retention rate of such participants will be implemented (495, 496). Inverse probability weighting will be applied to ensure that the analyses are unbiased in spite of attrition (494, 497, 498).

#### Clustering of health behaviors and outcomes in social networks

The relationships between the study participants will be captured in a network: each participant will be represented as a node that is linked to other nodes according to the friendship information provided in the

surveys. We will distinguish between directed links, when one participant nominates another as a friend but not vice versa, and undirected links, when nomination was mutual. Thus, three types of relationships are possible between any pair of nodes ("ego" and "alter"): ego-perceived friendship, alter-perceived friendship, and mutual friendship. We will perform our analyses separately for each type. We will also be able to follow the evolution of this network across time due to the follow-up surveys.

Our statistical approach is based on that of Christakis and Fowler (331). We will use permutation tests to assess clustering of the primary outcomes in the network. Every outcome will be dichotomized. The degree of clustering will be characterized by the relative increase in the probability (i.e. risk ratio) that an ego has the dichotomous trait of interest given that an alter has it, compared to the probability that an ego has the trait given that an alter does not have it. This value computed for the observed network will be compared to that of computer-generated networks of the same topology. In the later, the trait is distributed uniformly randomly across the nodes while keeping the overall observed prevalence. If the risk ratio in the observed network is greater than in 95% or more of the synthetic networks, then the hypothesis that the observed clustering is entirely due to chance will be rejected at the 5% significance level.

#### Social contagion analysis

Longitudinal regression models will be used to assess the spread of health outcomes and health-related behaviors across network ties. The status of ego at first follow-up (respectively, second follow-up) will be expressed as a function of the ego status, alter status and covariates at baseline (respectively first follow-up). Thus, each node in the network (ego) will contribute as many observations as there are nodes (alters) that it is connected to. The models will be estimated using generalized estimating equations with clustering on ego to take into account multiple observations of the same participant across surveys and alters. The fitted coefficient of the alter's status at baseline will be interpreted as an estimate of the intensity of spread. In particular, we will consider an outcome or a behavior to have spread through the network if this coefficient is significantly different from 0.

#### Patient and public involvement

It was not appropriate or possible to involve patients or the public in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of our research.

#### Discussion

In 2015, the UN Member States have adopted the target to reduce the premature mortality from NCDs by one-third by 2030 to achieve the third SDG (499). Prior to this, in 2013, the World Health Assembly adopted the WHO Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of NCDs 2013-2020. It aims to accomplish 25% relative reduction in overall mortality caused by major NCDs (471). Along with that WHO has recognized mental disorders as one of the major NCDs (24). Depression is expected to become

the main cause of disability globally (64). In the Global South, resource allocation for mental disorders is sparse, partly reflecting their stigmatization. The Lancet Commission on Global Mental Health and SDGs is pointing to the importance of integrating mental health services with care for NCDs (66). In Palestine, on few and insufficient reports describe the size of the NCD problem. Complete national data on the burden of NCDs does not exist (500). There is still gap in the recognition, diagnosis and treatment of mental disorders in Palestine despite their high prevalence (67, 476). For example, despite the well- known bidirectional association between depression and cardiovascular disease, none of the cardiac patients treated in the main Nablus hospitals were ever assessed for depression by their treating cardiologists (99). The youth sector in Palestine is important as it accounts for a little less than quarter of the total population (501). The health needs of young Palestinians should be addressed as a matter of urgency.

To the best of our knowledge, no study has addressed the role of SC, SE SOC and social contagion in the health and well-being of the youth in Palestine. This study will target medical and other health domain students. They are also at risk of adopting risky health and lifestyle habits, particularly in the light of the challenges they face during their tenure of study (502).

The assessment of self-perceived health, health-related behaviors, obesity and mental health is important as a way to identify health problems and modifiable risk factors (dietary risks, use of tobacco, and low physical activity) among the students. The participating students are the future health professionals. Their health behaviors and mental health will shape the health care they will provide and their impact as role models in the society (503). There is evidence for the positive association between poor well-being (504, 505) and sleep-related impairment among physicians (506) and the increase in medical errors (504-506). Also, stress among healthcare provider is associated with an increase in the odds of medical errors (507). Physicians' positive well-being is associated with patient satisfaction and quality of patient care (508). Furthermore, this study can increase their awareness of healthy habits and healthy minds. This can contribute to their perception of primary prevention as a cost effective approach to NCDs and it can promote the destignatization of mental health problems among these future health care professionals (17). Healthcare providers are not only the most credible source of prevention and health promotion counselling, but also they have ethical obligations to provide counselling to their patients (482). There is evidence for the positive association between the healthcare provider's personal habits and their practice of counselling to patients (482). This positive association has been found in relation to a wide range of health-related and prevention practices including physical activity, smoking, nutrition, vaccination, and screening (483). This is confined not only to practicing physicians but also to medical students (482, 509) in both developed and developing countries (510). Patient's adherence to preventive and health promotion counselling is also enhanced if it is rooted in the health provider's personal experience (483).

Our study will estimate the association between SC, SE and SOC with the longitudinal course of selfperceived health, health-related behaviors, obesity and mental health among students. This will be based on the Antonovsky's (SMH) theory. The theory resonates with the health promotion framework, which focuses on the individual's ability to control different determinants of health through their own resources, processes and outcomes in order to attain health regardless of what is offered by the healthcare system (334). Salutogenesis does not necessarily eradicate diseases such as NCDs; instead, it enhances the individual's ability to manage them well. In turn, it enhances well-being (511). Thus, the study will identify another potential target for future health intervention strategies. SE and SOC proved to be effective in the implementation of health promotion strategies because of their ability to detect, predict, and change health status (356). SE is not confined to the ability to change behavior. It extends to the belief in the ability to accomplish social changes and to overcome the structural determinants of health (357). In addition, SE contributes to the increase of the individual's resilience against dangerous and stressful situations and protects against trauma (360). This is important for Palestine, as the psychosocial determinants of NCDs are influenced by the presence of political instability (32). The daily stresses due to economic hardship, unemployment, family conflict, lack of public spaces for recreation and leisure, and lack of sustainable facilities for physical activities, all increase the stress and ultimately their impact on NCD risk (32). Interventions to promote healthy behaviors among students were positively associated with their prevention-related attitudes and counselling practices (482, 509, 512, 513). Thus, appropriate interventions can be implemented to improve the health and well-being of the students.

The proposed study has several strengths. First, by focusing on Palestine where poor health habits and mental stressors are highly prevalent due to the ongoing conflict, cost-effective interventions to strengthen primary prevention and mental health promotion are much needed. From the study on the spread of health-habits and mental health symptoms in the social network of students novel interventions can be derived.

The limitations of the study include, first, bias due to non-participation, which is of particular concern if students with few friends, poor health habits or poor mental health are not participating. This would lead to a lower appreciation of the role of psychosocial factors as determinants of healthy habits. Second, as any longitudinal study, loss to follow-up can bias the outcomes, particularly if it is differential. Third, the prevalence of factors considered less healthy/unhealthy may be underestimated if students tend to underreport them for reasons of stigma. Fourth, despite the longitudinal nature of the study, three measurement time points may not be sufficient to properly characterize the short-term fluctuation of health habits and mental health symptoms. If this fluctuation is high and not captured, it potentially inhibits the ability of the study to identify associations if present.

#### Ethics and dissemination

The research protocol was approved by the Ethikkommission Nordwest- und Zentralschweiz (EKNZ) (AO\_2020-00047) in Switzerland and the Institutional Review Board Committee at An-Najah National University (Ref: Med Nov. 2020/32).

Participation in the study is voluntary and participants will provide written informed e-consent. The students have the right to withdraw from the study at any time.

It is important to maintain the privacy of students and the information provided due to the high sensitivity. The proposed methodology ensures the confidentiality of the data as the study will be conducted in compliance with the current version of the Declaration of Helsinki as well as all national legal and regulatory requirements. During the active phase of data collection, participants will be identified by an open ID, which links to their person-identifying data and serves as personalised link to access study information and e-consent. Research data derived from each study follow-up will be stored with a separate research ID and will not contain person-identifying information. The link file between the person-identifying information and the research ID will only be accessible to a small and well defined group of persons. After finalisation of the study following the second follow-up and by 31 December 2023, any information that would identify the participant (names, birth date, student ID) will be deleted for a fully anonymised research database.

The students who complete the survey will be rewarded with scoring points related to the student unions and non-governmental organizations (NGOs). These scoring points give the students the opportunity to participate in events and projects organised by the university such as "receiving scholarship to conduct scientific research". In addition, the names of students who complete the survey will be entered into a drawing for a chance to win a coupon. Four names will be selected.

The outcomes of the study will be published as scientific papers. In addition, it will be presented in stakeholder conferences and to students at An-Najah National University.

### Author affiliations

<sup>1</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Basel, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup>Department of Public Health, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Al-Najah National University, Nablus, State of Palestine

#### **Author's contribution**

NP-H developed the idea for the study.

RD, MK, SM and NPH developed the study concept, the study protocol and the study objectives.

RD, AA, HA, and NPH developed the study instruments.

RD and MK developed the statistical analysis approach.

RD, TV, AA and NPH developed the data management approach and the RedCap database.

RD, AA, and NPH wrote the ethics protocol.

RD, TV, MK and NPH drafted the manuscript.

All authors read, commented, and approved the manuscript

# Funding

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 801076, through the SSPH+ Global PhD Fellowship Programme in Public Health Sciences (GlobalP3HS) of the Swiss School of Public Health. In addition to receiving funding from the Swiss Government Excellence Scholarship. GlobalP3HS Programme and the Swiss Government Excellence Scholarship (Grant/Award Number: N/A) are funding the salary of the PhD student. The GlobalP3HS Program is also providing operational funds. Swiss TPH is providing funds for administrative and statistical support and for hosting the database – REDCap. AnNajah National University is covering the costs for contacting students through emails and SMS messages in order to recruit the students to participate in the study and send reminders to complete the surveys.

### **Competing interests**

None declared.

# Patient and public involvement

Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

### Patient consent for publication

Not applicable.

# Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

# Acknowledgments

Not applicable

# Availability of data and material

Data cannot be made publically available. Upon justified request to the corresponding author, data can be shared in the context of research collaboration.

# **Chapter 5: Synthesis of main findings**

## 5.1. Cross-sectional but not prospective association of accelerometryderived physical activity with quality of life in children and adolescents

The aim of this study was to assess the role of MVPA, on which many PA recommendations focused so far, in the QoL of Swiss children and adolescents. The study benefited from the objectively and longitudinally measured physical activity and repeated assessment of QoL in the SOPHYA cohort study. To be specific, the study assessed the cross-sectional association of MVPA with the overall QoL and the specific dimensions of QoL. To better clarify the directionality in the MVPA-QoL association and to assess whether MVPA has a longer-term effect two approaches were taken: the study addressed the role of the within-subject versus between-subject variability in MVPA in the cross-sectional association between MVPA and the overall QoL and its specific dimensions; and b) the study evaluated the predictive association between MVPA measured at baseline with the overall QoL and its specific dimensions five years later irrespective of the baseline participants' characteristics including MVPA at baseline.

The study sample consisted of 352 children and adolescents (52.8% females, 47.2% males). The average ages of the participants at SOPHYA1 and SOPHYA2 were (mean [SD]: 10.3 [2.4] years) and (mean [SD]: 15.1 [2.6] years), respectively. Mean MVPA decreased from (mean [SD]: 1.4 [0.6] hr/day) at SOPHYA1 to (mean [SD]: 0.8 [0.4] hr/day) at SOPHYA2. While the average score of the overall QoL score decreased from (mean [SD]: 82.3 [7.7]) at SOPHYA1 to (mean [SD]: 74.2 [9.9]) at SOPHYA2.

The results of the study provided evidence for the positive cross-sectional association of mean MVPA with the overall QoL and with physical well-being (p = 0.023 and 0.002 respectively). The average effect size of one-hour increase in MVPA per day on physical well-being (4.2 (95%CI: 1.6, 6.8) points) was larger than its association with the overall QoL (2.0 (95%CI: 0.3, 3.7) points). The between-subject and withinsubject variability in MVPA played different roles in the repeated cross-sectional association between MVPA and the overall QoL and its dimensions. The between-subject MVPA variability, reflecting the cross-sectional association was positively associated with the overall QoL, the physical well-being and the social well-being (p = 0.030, 0.017 and 0.028 respectively). The effect sizes were reported to be 2.6 (95%CI: 0.3, 4.9) points, 4.3 (95%CI: 0.8, 7.7) points and 3.8 (95%CI: 0.5, 7.2) points increase in the overall QoL, the physical well-being, and the social well-being, respectively. In contrast, the within-subject MVPA variability, reflecting the longitudinal association, was associated positively with the physical wellbeing and functioning at school (p = 0.039 and 0.013 respectively) with effect sizes of 4.2 (95%CI: 0.2, 8.2) points and 5.6 (95%CI: 1.2, 10.1) points increase in physical well-being and functioning at school scores, respectively, for every one hour increase in the within-subject MVPA. In regards to the assessment of the prospective association between MVPA and QoL and its dimensions, the study did not provide any evidence of an association of MVPA at baseline with QoL five years later, after adjusting for the baseline characteristics, including baseline QoL, in contrast to the observed within-subject MVPA associations with aspects of QoL.

# 5.2. Weekend physical activity profiles and their relationship with quality of life: the SOPHYA cohort of Swiss children and adolescents

The data driven unsupervised analysis of PA profiles derived from accelerometer measurements during the weekend identified clusters of youth with different behavioral patterns. The most discriminating PA characteristics between the profiles were the mean intensity of PA and the time spent in SB. Young age and being a boy were most prevalent in the high activity profile cluster in this current study.

This study did not provide evidence for cross-sectional or predictive associations of either the newly derived PA cluster profiles or the conventional metrics of PA with overall QoL among children and adolescents living in Switzerland during weekend days. Overall QoL may not be the optimal endpoint for assessing the impact of PA behavior on the QoL in youth

# 5.3. Exploring the role of social capital, self-efficacy and social contagion in shaping lifestyle and mental health among students representing the future healthcare workforce in Palestine: social cohort study protocol

The project titled "Social capital, social contagion, healthy minds and healthy habits in the future health care work force in the Palestine was initially planned to be implemented in Palestine as the main component of the PhD dissertation. The project was designed to address the dual disease burden of NCDs and mental disorders, which has been increasing, in Palestine (21). Furthermore, it aimed to focus on the primary prevention of NCDs (17) and mental disorders (110) as cost-effective approach to its control. The targeted population of the study was the students from the faculty of medicine and health sciences of An-Najah National University in Nablus, Palestine. They were targeted as they represent the future health work force in Palestine. The study aimed at understanding the health determinants among these students through which interventions can be applied for the control of NCDs (482, 483). Meeting the human needs through access to life opportunities such as SC (335) and SE (356, 358, 359). In addition to the role of social contagion (331, 333, 480) were aspects to be explored through the study as potential mechanisms for shaping the healthy minds and habits of these students.

As part of the PhD project, the study protocol titled "Exploring the role of SC, self-efficacy, and social contagion in shaping lifestyle, and mental health among students presenting the future health care work force in Palestine: social cohort protocol" including the questionnaires were developed. It was approved by both the Ethikkommission Nordwest- und Zentralschweiz (EKNZ) (AO\_2020-00047) in Switzerland and the Institutional Review Board Committee at An-Najah National University (Ref: Med Nov. 2020/32)

in Palestine. Then, it was published in BMJ Open (Darkhawaja R et al. BMJ Open 2022 Jan 19; 12(1):e049033).

I was, along with my supervisors, eager to the successful implementation of the study protocol to its full potential and we have made every effort to achieve that. In collaboration with An-Najah University Computer Science Department, the survey was launched. The survey was implemented and administrated through REDCap, which is a secure web application for building and managing online surveys and databases, to ensure maximal consideration of privacy issues. Initially, the survey was administrated as a pilot. The survey was updated based on the students' feedback. Then, several communication approaches were implemented with the aim to maximize the student's participation. Promotion video was developed in which the aim of the study and the procedure of completing the survey were explained. The video was launched through different platforms including the student portal "Zajel" at An-Najah National University, WhatsApp groups, and Facebook groups. Approximately, 5,953 students were invited to participate in the survey. Only 451 students initiated the survey including 386 students, who completed e-consent and participated in the baseline survey. The participation rate remained low despite the fact that several zoom meetings (in person meetings were not possible due to COVID-19 pandemic) were organized with the students in order to further explain the aim of the study and the importance of the student's participation in the survey. Up to 10 emails were sent as reminder for the students to participate in the survey. Given that the participation of the students in the survey was lower than 10%, we, as PhD project team, came to the conclusion we will not attain sufficient sample size for unbiased follow-up investigation and in particular for social network analysis.

There are several hypothesis why the response rate was low; the launching of the study's survey coincided with the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic. To the best of our knowledge, the COVID-19 pandemic has been the major factor, which contributed to the low response rate in the survey among the participants. This could be due to the disruption of the education system at An-Najah University, which was associated with the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic. The disruption of the education system at An-Najah University has created conflict between the university administration and the students, which hindered the ability of the students to cooperate with the university administration in any non-curriculum activities. This hypothesis is supported by the evidence provided by scholars in Palestine on the effect of COVID-19 pandemic on the young generation; Palestinians have been suffering from economic hardship, political violence, restriction on the movement and lack of sovereignty over people and resources (514-516). The COVID-19 pandemic and the consequences of the lockdown have created double burden situation for the Palestinians as they are already suffering from challenging socio-political situation. This has had impact on the younger generation more than the older generation. This might be due to the fact that the older generation had already experienced lockdowns before in the form of curfews and political invasions especially during the first Palestinian uprising *"First Intifada"*. Also, the disturbance of the education

system by the pandemic implied a delay in the day of the students' graduation and thus securing a career and having established life (517).

Given the importance of the innovative study protocol and its applicability in different low- and middleincome contexts, other potential setting to implement the study protocol were explored. Communication was made with the dean of the College of Health Sciences at Qatar University to implement the study protocol, but we were constrained by the limited time and the funds available for the completion of the PhD thesis in a timely manner.

As the low participation rate in epidemiological studies seems to be common, several recommendations and strategies have been suggested to improve the participation on health research studies; first, increasing the awareness of the students including students within the healthcare sectors, on the importance of health research, has deemed to be important. Second, identify barriers and challenges that hinder the students from participating in health research studies (518). Future attempt to implement the project's study protocol could involve the conduction of explorative qualitative study to explore students' attitudes towards health research and identify challenges and facilitators, which determine their participation in epidemiological studies. This could contribute to the increase in the participation of the students on the survey in two ways; first, identifying challenges and facilitators of participation is important to develop evidence-based strategies for the promotion of the research study. Moreover, it ensures the engagement of the students and the implementation of the study based on participatory approach, which has proven effective for increasing the participation rate in study surveys among university students (519). The decision on whether to take part in a research study or not is usually based on evaluating the cos and pros of either decision to be made. Thus, it is essential for researchers to identify and highlight the intrinsic and extrinsic added values of the students' participation in particular research study (520). We were able to identify and communicate the importance of implementing the study protocol for the health domain students at An-Najah National University. But the further engagement of the university stakeholders, on the promotion of the study protocol and its importance, could have increased the trust of the value of participating in the study's survey by the students.

# **Chapter 6: General discussion and perspectives**

#### 6.1. Physical activity over the life course among children and adolescents

Our studies provided evidence for the decrease in the time spent in MVPA with the increase in age among Swiss children and adolescents. This finding corroborates the findings of many studies, which further emphasized gender as additional determinant factor for the rate of age-related decline in MVPA. The highly cited systematic review on objectively measured changes in MVPA among children and adolescents, Farooq et al., indicated that MVPA declines with age and the rate of decline is greater and starts at an earlier age among females compared with males. While the same study concluded that the rate of decline during childhood and adolescence is comparable. Also, this review added that the rate of the age-related decline in MVPA is higher on weekend days compared with weekdays (390). The last has been reiterated on the longitudinal study, which was based on the data from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Study of Early Child Care and Youth Development birth cohort (521). In another longitudinal study among Slovenian adolescents, who are known to be one of the most physically active in the world, the assessment of the change in objectively measured MVPA revealed the decline in MVPA between the age of 11 and 14 years old. Similar to the findings in the previously mentioned reviews, this study indicated that the decline in MVPA with the increase in age is more pronounced in females compared with males (522). Given the evidence of gender being determinant factor in the rate of age-related decline in PA, reported by several studies, might be attributed to differences in the timing of biological maturation between females and males of the same chronological age (523). Whereas the findings of these studies are based on average changes in MVPA among groups of children and adolescents, other studies provided the unique opportunity to assess the trajectory of PA over time with integrating different PA profiles, taking into account individual trajectories and assessing other determinants of the rate of age-related decline in PA. The Gateshead Millennium Cohort Study, with 8 years of follow-up, showed that the total volume of PA starts to decline from the age of 7 years among children and adolescents. Also, the same study highlighted individual differences by indicating that individuals who exhibit high MVPA are more likely to maintain the same level of PA activity with the increase in age (524). In another study aimed at assessing the age-related decline in PA among children in their transition from elementary school to high school, the decline of both the total PA and MVPA with the increase in age has been observed. Additionally, consistent with other findings, the rate of decline was steeper among females compared with males. But gender was not the only determinant factor for the rate of decline, but it extended to include urbanicity and parent level of education (525). In another longitudinal study on objectively measured PA among children, the change over two years in three constructs of PA related behaviors, which are total volume of PA, MVPA and SB, was studied; the study added to the body of evidence of the decline of both the mean daily volume of PA and the time spent in MVPA and the increase in the time spent in SB with the increase in age. Also, the study indicated that both gender and weight status were determinant factors for the age-related change in PA and SB among children (526). In line with this, studies have suggested that musculoskeletal fitness, school environment (527), and role of the parents in terms of monitoring and encouragement (528) are additional determinant factors for the rate of age-related decline in PA. In previous longitudinal study among adolescent school students, there has been indication for the decrease in vigorous PA and increase in time spent in SB with the increase in age (529). To further support the importance of tracking both PA and SB in parallel with the increase in age, there is evidence that the decrease in PA with the increase in age is in part attributed to the replacement of PA by sedentary behavior (530, 531). The decrease of PA and increase in SB with the increase in age among children and adolescents is concerning. Nonetheless established physically active lifestyle starts to

develop at early childhood and can be tracked from youth to adulthood (532). Additionally, cardiorespiratory fitness, resistance strength and flexibility indicator associated with PA were found to be tracked from childhood to adulthood (533) and PA is known to be protective factor against obesity during adulthood (534, 535). Overall, the benefits of PA during childhood and adolescence can be tracked to adulthood as it is positively associated with healthy aging and offsetting the decline in health and functioning (536). Thus, the transition from childhood to adulthood can be viewed as window of opportunity in the promotion of PA among children and adolescents such as the promotion of active commuting (537).

#### 6.2. Trajectory of quality of life among children and adolescents

Our studies revealed decrease in the QoL with age among Swiss children and adolescents. This finding is in line with previous cross-sectional studies, which reported differences in the QoL by age (538-540). And it has been further confirmed by longitudinal research studies indicating the decline in children's (541) and adolescents' (541-543) QoL over time. Langeland et al. (2019) conducted three years follow-up study among upper secondary school adolescents. The study indicated significant decrease in the QoL among adolescents in the transition from the first year to the third year of the upper secondary school. And it has shown that upper secondary school can be appropriate target for promoting the health and well-being of adolescents (542). Meade et al. (2015) conducted similar study among Australian high school students. The study pointed out that the social support and peers dimension of QoL decreased with age. This has been attributed to change in the perception of adolescents about QoL dimensions over time. And the study also found that the change in the QoL is gender related as females scored decline in five dimensions of QoL (physical well-being, psychological well-being, autonomy and parent relations, social support and peers, and school environment) in the transition from the second to the third time point. And additional steady decline in the psychological well-being dimension of QoL over the three time points. This might be related to a gender-specific vulnerability during adolescence (544). While those studies were based on school setting, Palacio-Vieira et al. (2008) found, during three years follow-up period of general population-based sample of children and adolescents that QoL declined with age. The study also added that the decline in the QoL was significantly steeper in females compared with males in part due to the negative effect of pubertal development on QoL, which was more pronounced among females (541). The previous studies mainly addressed the changes in the QoL over time among children and adolescents, while fewer longitudinal studies aimed at exploring the predictors associated with the change in QoL over time. In more recent longitudinal study among adolescents in Norway by Mikkelsen et al. (2022), the decline in the QoL with age, primarily with respect to the physical and psychological well-being dimensions of QoL, was further confirmed in the transition of the adolescents from the lower secondary to the upper secondary school. Also, the study found that stress, loneliness, and pain were predictive negative factors, while self-esteem and SE are positive factors of the change in the QoL over time (545).

While previous longitudinal study among representative sample of Spanish children and adolescents showed that poor mental health was associated with the greatest deterioration in the psychological wellbeing dimension of QoL over three years (546). Similarly, analysis from data of a German children and adolescents population-based study, authors indicated that mental health problems were associated with negative effect on the change in QoL over two years. While SE, family climate and social support were associated with positive effect on the change in QoL over the same period (547). Yet Gillison et al. (2008) concluded the stability of QoL among adolescents in the absence of health problems (548).

# 6.3. The contribution of physical activity to children's and adolescents' quality of life

Our studies have provided evidence for the positive cross-sectional association between the average time spent in MVPA and QoL among children and adolescents living in Switzerland. This included its positive association with the overall QoL and the physical well-being, social well-being and functioning at school as specific dimensions of QoL. Also, our results revealed that the average time spent in MVPA during the weekends is positively associated with the social well-being dimension of QoL independent of time spent in SB or the cluster membership of PA. Overall, there are limited number of studies, which addressed the association between MVPA and QoL among children and adolescents. Moreover, existing studies are mostly derived from cross-sectional studies and subjective measurement of PA (416). Nonetheless, our results are in agreement with previous studies, which provided evidence for the cross sectional association between MVPA and several aspects of QoL among children and adolescents; in the study among youth, who were between 9 to 10 years old and recruited from schools in communities of low income in the U.S.A, the cross-sectional analysis on the association between objectively measured MVPA and QoL revealed positive association between MVPA and overall QoL, physical functioning, school functioning and psychosocial dimensions of QoL. This positive association was concluded irrespective of reaching the recommended one hour per day on MVPA (549). While similar study, which was conducted among 8 to 9 years old children in Ireland, suggested that meeting the MVPA guideline has been associated with the overall QoL and its all dimensions including physical well-being, psychological well-being, parent relations and autonomy, social support and school environment. The last was limited by the subjective assessment of MVPA (550).

There are few studies, which attempted to address the mechanism by which MVPA contributes positively to the subjective well-being of children and adolescents; in a school based cross-sectional study among adolescents in China, it has been concluded that subjectively measured MVPA is positively associated with the subjective perception of adolescent's health. This relationship was mediated in part by the impact of MVPA on adolescent's social and interpersonal relationships (551). In another study among Malaysian children, who were between 9 to 11 years old, there has been evidence for the positive association of

MVPA with both the overall QoL and the psychosocial dimension of QoL, but the relation was dependent on gender and BMI (552).

Another important aspect in addressing the relation between PA and well-being is the variation in the contribution of PA to different aspects of the individual's well-being; the dose-response positive relationship between MVPA and HRQoL among Spanish adolescents, was greater than the relationship between MVPA and other aspects of self-reported health including self-rated health, health complaints, and satisfaction with life. The differences in the contribution of MVPA to health among adolescents has been attributed to several factors; MVP has different effects on the different dimensions of self-rated health. Also, it could be due to the variation in the family and social relationships between the participants, which might have contributed to the greater health benefits of MVPA on HRQoL compared with other aspects of self-rated health (553). In another study, which was conducted among elementary school-age youth, the naturally occurring profiles of MVPA and SB were found to have differentiating association with HRQoL; the "Active" profile, which was characterized by the highest time spent in MVPA, exhibited the most statistically and clinically positive association with the psychosocial dimension of HRQoL compared in SB. This is suggestive that MVPA is the driving factor for the association between the "Active" profile and the psychosocial dimension of the HRQoL (554).

Alberto and his colleagues has conducted the first meta-analysis study on the effect of physical activity interventions on the health-related quality of life among healthy children and adolescents; the study provided evidence for the positive contribution of both exclusive physical activity interventions and multicomponent interventions to the overall health-related quality of life and its dimension, including physical well-being, psychological well-being, autonomy, parent relation and social support and peers. This is irrespective of the type and the duration of physical intervention being implemented (393).

#### 6.4. Challenges of public health research in Palestine

Despite the fact that the project titled "Social capital, social contagion, healthy minds and healthy habits in the future health care work force in Palestine was not completed, the piloting of the study, the launching of the study's survey and the publication of the study protocol have enhanced my knowledge and scientific thinking is various ways; I have acquired professional experience on scientific planning, study design, implementation of longitudinal survey, data analysis, and manuscript writing. Also, I have become familiar with data management tools including REDCap and how it can be used to ensure privacy and protection of the data. The publication of the study protocol has enhanced my understanding of the scholarly publication process and proved the novelty of the study. Thus, the last is an indication for the feasibility of its implementation. Also, given that the pilot of the study has been completed, it can be easily implemented in the future. It is in my best interest to implement the study protocol in the future. I am and will always be passionate about public health including public health in Palestine. The Palestinian context played a major role for allowing me to understand the importance of public health as opposed to medicine because the healthcare system in Palestine is mainly shaped by social, political and economic determinants (68, 555-557). Thus, I will take the opportunity to address some of the challenges of implementing public health research in Palestine and propose potential solutions.

Every human being has the right to enjoy the highest attainable standard of health (558). Health data and scientific evidence are important factors contributing to the realization of the right of people to health and fundamental for achieving universal health coverage, which is the practical expression of the right to health. Health research has the potential to identify the health needs and services requirements to improve health and to determine the indicators required for monitoring the progress towards universal health coverage (559). In line with this, health research is considered a major determinant for achieving the SDGs including SDG3, which aims at "ensuring health and well-being for all"; health research is one way to provide evidence–based evaluation of the progress towards the SDGs at different levels through the production of data and approaches on sustainability measurements. Also, research is essential for defining the linkages between the SDGs, which are essential for achieving the SDGs (560).

The successful implementation of epidemiological studies in Palestine such as the "Social capital, social contagion, healthy minds and healthy habits in the future health care work force in Palestine study protocol, has the potential of contributing to the social dimension of the SDGs in Palestine. This is because the ultimate goal of the study was to develop evidence-based strategies that could contribute to the strengthening of health promotion and prevention with the primary healthcare system in Palestine. Health equity is integral part of health promotion and prevention, which in turn fundamental for the achievement of SDG3 "ensure health for all and at all ages" (561). Even though the study protocol aimed to target only future healthcare professionals in Palestine, the essence of the study could have become a reference for similar studies targeting different communities in Palestine. This is because of the high relevance of the study to the Palestinian context in general. The main added value of the study is related to the fact that the analysis of the best practices for strengthening health promotion in Palestine is addressed with the framework of the SMH, which is more advantageous than the pathogenic model of health. The later has unfortunately been predominately applied to address several gaps existed within the Palestinian healthcare system, while disregarding the importance of the salutogenic approach to health. This is because by applying the salutogenic approach to health, the study allows for the disclosure of otherwise hidden and ignored GRRs within the Palestinian society, mainly SC and SE. By identifying the GRRs within the Palestinian society, we acknowledge the power of the Palestinian society and we amplify the resources they can utilize for resistance and resilience in their attempt to face challenges and stressors. By doing so we contribute to the enhancement of their SOC. Through SOC, the Palestinian society can better have

control over and improve their health, which eventually can lead to the attainment of health equity, empowerment and well-being, which are essential aspects of the SDG3 (562).

Also, the study aimed at assessing the health-related behaviors among students at the health domains representing the future healthcare professionals in Palestine. Healthy behaviors are essential component of any attempt for strengthening health promotion and prevention. It is known that health is a prerequisite for sustainable development, but what is less acknowledged is the fact that unhealthy behaviors can undermine sustainable development. Unhealthy diet can contribute to the increase in malnutrition and increasing the risk of diet-related NCDs. While, promoting physically active society contributes to the healthy development of people of all ages and contribute to the decrease in the prevalence of coronary heart diseases and cancers. Overall, diet and PA are considered important health-related behaviors that can impact the progress towards the achievement of the SDG3 (563).

Moreover, the study protocol aimed at assessing both the negative (depression, anxiety and stress) and positive (well-being) aspects of the health domain students, which is otherwise overlooked by epidemiological research studies implemented in Palestine (67). Nonetheless, mental health is integral part of the SDGs. This is because mental health is interconnected with other essential aspects within different SDGs; eradicating poverty, which is SDG1, is essential as poverty can contribute to the increase of mental health disorders. Also, mental health is part of the comprehensive definition of health, which should be addressed along with physical and social aspects of health in order to contribute the attainment of the SDG3. Also, given the negative stigma associated with mental health disorders, people with mental disorders are one of the groups, which are increasingly discriminated and marginalized. Any public health agenda, which does not address mental health as essential aspect of health, is considered limited for its ability to contribute to the SDGs mainly SGD10, which calls for reducing inequality (564).

The production of knowledge through health research does not guarantee its positive contribution to the improvement of the healthcare system in any context. It is only through translating the knowledge derived from research into practice and through influencing health policy decision-makers that health research can contribute to the development of the healthcare system and eventually the improvement of people's health (565). Moreover, synergies should be ensured between applied health research and heath needs to overcome the 10/90 gap as it has been reported that less than 10% of the health research expenditure is channeled to target health problems affecting 90% of the world's population (566).

In Palestine, the main contributors to health research are the Ministry of Health, the Palestinian National Institute of Public Health, the Palestinian Council for Health Research, and the Scientific Research Council. Also, Palestinian universities, non-governmental organizations and national agencies contributes to the production of health research (567). Nonetheless, their role has been insufficient (568). In regards to the productivity of health research, there is evidence for the increase in the quantity of health research

in Palestine over time (569). This increase has been remarkable since 2001 (570). Despite this, health research in Palestine has been of low quality in terms of accuracy and quality of reporting (571-573). Along with this, there is mismatch between the burden of diseases and the research applied to address these diseases (574) and there is dearth of research in certain health domains such as health economics (575).

The challenges of conducting public health research in Palestine can be detected at several levels; at the organizational level, health research is not a priority in the political agenda and it is not adequately accounted for in the national budgets (576). In line with this, there is not national governance structure for health research, which is related to the absence of mutual consent on the importance of health research and its priorities. In addition to the lack of sovereignty over resources due to the political instability (567). At the operational level, the financial resources, which are available for health research, are limited and usually conditional based on the agenda of the external donor's funding (577). Also, the fact that the Palestinian healthcare system is administrated by several actors, including the public sector, the private sector, the United Nations Relief and Works Agency and the non-governmental organization sector (556), makes it challenging for any attempt to standardize data collection (578).

Given that knowledge transfer is a core aspect of health research, one of the major concerns regarding health research in Palestine is the inadequate health evidence-based practice due to the limited utilization of health research findings. This is can be attributed in part to the skepticism about the importance of evidence-based research in decision making, the absence of centralized health research system, the existence of fragile information infrastructure and the lack of channel for communication between researchers, policy-makers and health practitioners (579, 580).

### 6.5. Conclusion and recommendations

The conclusions and recommendations, which will be addressed, are inspired findings derived from the analysis of the SOPHYA cohort on the cross-sectional and prospective association of PA with the QoL among children and adolescents living in Switzerland. Also, it is based on the study protocol on exploring the role of SC, SE, SOC and social contagion in shaping lifestyle and mental health among health domain students representing the future healthcare workforce in Palestine.

As it has been previously mentioned, the PA dimension of the health promotion profile is the most health promotion profile dimension to worsen among medical students (581-584). Also, we have concluded that medical students' own health behaviors predict their ability to integrate health promotion and prevention in their future role as health professionals (585, 586). Also, the literature review suggests the health benefits of PA (225-229), which has been highlighted previously. In particular, PA has been well-known for its positive contribution to the primary and secondary prevention of NCDs (240-246). Also, existence

literature points to the positive contribution of PA in the control of depression (274, 275) and psychological distress (587, 588). Both conditions have been reported to be of high prevalence among medical students (589-592). Additional remarkable aspect of PA that has been mentioned previously is its role in the promotion of good health including general QoL and its specific dimensions (392, 593). Based on these findings, PA can be a window of opportunity for the enhancement of health and well-being among the medical students in Palestine. In fact, there are several intervention studies, which provided evidence for the effectiveness of PA intervention in the promotion of health and well-being among medical students in different settings; the exercise intervention, the 'MED-WELL' program, was experimented among medical students in the West of Ireland. The aim of the program was to engage students in PA as a way to promote their health and well-being and educating them how to apply exercise as medicine; the program improved to be effective in improving the well-being, and the sleep pattern among the medical students. Additionally, it had positive contribution on the loneliness level among them. The medical students perceived exercise as medicine even prior being part of 'MED-WELL' program (594). In another nonrandomized controlled study among medical students at the University of New England College of Osteopathic Medicine, three two interventions were applied among the students; the first intervention involved students participating in 30-minute CXWORX (Les Mills International LTD) group fitness classes. The second intervention is the same as the first intervention expect students exercised alone or with up to 2 additional partners regularly. While students in the control group did not engage in regular exercise. The regular group fitness classes had significant positive contribution among the medical students through decreasing the stress level and increasing the physical, mental and emotional dimensions of QoL among them (595).

Also, it has been stated that Palestine is youthful society (377) and it is going through epidemiological transition with trend of increase in the prevalence of NCDs (21). The later is further worsen by the increase in risky health behaviors among the Palestinians mainly among the youth (12, 13, 40, 41). It has been suggested that it is rather urgent to improve health promotion and prevention through strengthening primary healthcare services (596) and encourage people to have control over the improvement of their health (597). Given this, the ultimate goal of the PhD project was to assess the health and well-being of the medical students in Palestine, as they are representing the future healthcare professionals, and develop evidence-based programs and intervention strategies to promote their health and well-being, and to enhance their roles in health promotion and prevention among Palestinian patients. The evidence derived from our research is limited given the low participation rate of the targeted population on the study's survey. Nonetheless, based on the study, which was conducted among Palestinian medical students (598). Furthermore, the prevalence of depression and anxiety among them has increased and their mental health has worsen during the COVID pandemic (589). This is in agreement with the result provided by the meta-

analysis studies, which estimated that approximately one-third of the medical students globally are affected by depression (590) and that medical students have higher overall prevalence of depression symptoms compared with the general population (591). Also, the current evidence suggests the high prevalence of burnout (599) and stress (592). In addition to the previously mentioned evidence for the increase risk for the deterioration of the mental health of medical students, they also at higher risk of suffering from physical health problems, such as migraine and tension-type headache (600). The currents studies are important, but it has its own limitations; the findings of these studies are not representative for the entire population of medical students in Palestine (598) and it focuses mainly on negative aspects of health (589, 598, 600). Only few studies have addressed positive aspects of health such as quality of life, but also limited to its relevance to sleepiness, (601) and emotional intelligence (602). Where the previous studies have focused on the health outcomes among medical students, several studies have been conducted to assess the healthrelated behaviors among medical students; the evidence suggests that medical students are at high risk of engaging on risky-health behaviors such as unhealthy diet (603), substance use (604), and insufficient PA (605). Along with this PA, which is important component of the individual's health promotion profile (606), tends to worsen among medical students (581-584); Fashafsheh conducted study among nursing students in Palestine. They used the Health Promoting Lifestyle Profile II (HPLP II), which is instrument for measuring 6 dimensions of the health-promoting behavior; health responsibility, nutrition, PA, stress management, interpersonal relations, and spiritual growth. The study suggested that the nursing student scored the lowest on the PA dimension. The authors attributed this to the Palestinian social and the cultural context, which does not promote PA as daily leisure activity. Also, sport clubs are usually privatized and can only be accessed by paying certain fee (581). In similar study, which was conducted among medical students in Egypt, the score of the PA dimension of the health promotion profile was also the lowest. It has been explained by the high demands associated with the medical curriculum, which tends to interfere with other aspects of the student's life (582). Also, PA dimension of the health promotion profile was reported to be the lowest among medical students in Turkey with last year students significantly have lower score for the PA dimension of the health promotion profile compared to first year students (583). This is of concern because the engagement in healthy behavior, including PA is protective factor against the worsening of the students' health; in a randomized control trial, which was conducted among medical students at An-Najah National University, the role of cognitive behavioral therapy program on improving well-being among the students has been examined. The model has proven to be effective for lowering the score for depression, anxiety and social functioning among the students (607). Furthermore, medical students' own health behaviors predict their ability to integrate health promotion and prevention in their future role as health professionals; this has been evident by the longitudinal study of Norwegian Medical Students and Doctors. The study consisted of two cohorts: the student cohort and the young doctors cohort. The student cohort consisted of all medical students, who were enrolled in four medical schools in Norway in 1993. While the young doctor cohort consisted of all students who graduated from all four medical faculties in Norway in 1993. The students completed baseline survey in 1993/94, then follow-up surveys were conducted at six measurement points over a period of 20 years (1993-2014). The results of the study were derived from the two cohorts; both the participants self-reported their own engagement in PA, alcohol habit, and counselling their patients on PA and alcohol consumption. The participants' own PA level was significantly associated with the frequency of asking about PA to their patients, but the study did not provide evidence for the association between the participant's lifestyle habits with counselling on alcohol habits (585). In another study among medical students in New Zealand, leisure time PA level among the students was found to be associated with the frequency of their patient counseling practices (586). The deterioration of the mental and physical health of the medical students (581-584, 589, 598, 600-602, 606) and the fact that their lifestyle health is predictor for their health prevention and promotion role as future health professionals (585, 586), should be driving factors for the development of, otherwise limited, support services for the enhancement of medical students' health and well-being (607-609). Also, evidence-based strategies for the health promotion of medical students is still lacking due to the limited research available on best intervention strategies and intervention to promote the health and well-being of the medical students; strategies to improve the medical students' health and well-being can be addressed at two levels: system level and individual level. At the system level, current literature review suggest that introducing P/F grading system, implementing longitudinal, collaborative learning approach with peer support could contribute to the enhancement of the health and well-being among medical students. At the individual level, it has been suggested that it is important to encourage medical students to engage on hobbies and being part of social support networks. Additionally, it is essential to put in place system, which is based on top-down approach and ensure high confidentiality, to screen medical students who are at risk of mental health deterioration. Along with this medical students should have access to cognitive behavioral therapy and training in cognitive restructuring techniques (610). The last is important, but it should be coupled with the introduction of interventions with the goal of decreasing mental health stigma among medical students (611).

In light of the previous findings, the following are final conclusions and remarks:

1. The thesis confirms existing evidence that device-measured MVPA and QoL are positively associated in youth living in Switzerland.

2. The thesis does not provide evidence for the independent association of device-based PA profile clusters for the weekend with QoL.

3. As both PA and QoL declined with aging, health-in-all-policy and interventions should be tailored to promote PA and QoL in age-specific manners.

4. Future longitudinal studies on the relationship between PA and QoL in youth should employ shorter follow-up times and collect several longitudinal measurements of every participant.

5. Attempt to implement the thesis' study protocol could involve the conduction of qualitative study to identify challenges and facilitators, which determine their participation in epidemiological studies.

6. Attempt to implement the thesis' study protocol should further involve the engagement of the university stakeholders to increase the trust of the value of participating in the study.

7. Implement initiatives with the aim of the continuous assessment of the health and well-being among the health domain students representing the future healthcare workforce in Palestine. This include the design and implementation of population based cohort studies through which causal effects, predisposing and protective factors of the health and well-being among the students can be derived and thus better inform health intervention agendas. Current existing evidence are based on sub-population samples or convenient samples and limited to addressing mainly negative aspects of health among health domain students.

8. Develop programs and services to address the physical and mental health needs of the health domain students with focus on those who are at risk of physical and mental health disorders. These programs and services should be based on top-down approach, ensure high confidentiality of the information provided by the students, should be culturally sensitive and should be accessible.

9. Apply interventions with the aim of enhancing the health and well-being among health domain students. This includes, but not limited to lifestyle intervention programs on PA, healthy diet, and tobacco use.

# References

1. McCartney G, Popham F, McMaster R, Cumbers A. Defining health and health inequalities. Public health. 2019;172:22-30.

2. Sartorius N. The meanings of health and its promotion. Croatian medical journal. 2006;47(4):662.

3. World Health Organization. A state of complete physical mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity. Constitution of the World Health Organization Basic Documents 2006 [

4. Svalastog AL, Donev D, Kristoffersen NJ, Gajović S. Concepts and definitions of health and health-related values in the knowledge landscapes of the digital society. Croatian medical journal. 2017;58(6):431.

5. Card AJ. Moving beyond the WHO definition of health: a new perspective for an aging world and the emerging era of value-based care. World Medical & Health Policy. 2017;9(1):127-37.

6. Krahn GL, Robinson A, Murray AJ, Havercamp SM, Havercamp S, Andridge R, et al. It's time to reconsider how we define health: Perspective from disability and chronic condition. Disability and health journal. 2021;14(4):101129.

7. Nobile M. The WHO definition of health: a critical reading. Med & L. 2014;33:33.

8. Huber M, Knottnerus JA, Green L, Van Der Horst H, Jadad AR, Kromhout D, et al. How should we define health? Bmj. 2011;343.

9. Bradley KL, Goetz T, Viswanathan S. Toward a contemporary definition of health. Military medicine. 2018;183(suppl\_3):204-7.

10. Mittelmark MB, Bauer GF, Vaandrager L, Pelikan JM, Sagy S, Eriksson M, et al. The handbook of salutogenesis. 2022.

11. World Health Organization. Noncommunicable diseases. 2022.

12. Mousa H, Yousef S, Riccardo F, Zeidan W, Sabatinelli G. Hyperglycaemia, hypertension and their risk factors among Palestine refugees served by UNRWA. EMHJ-Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal, 16 (6), 609-614, 2010. 2010.

13. Rahim HFA, Sibai A, Khader Y, Hwalla N, Fadhil I, Alsiyabi H, et al. Non-communicable diseases in the Arab world. The Lancet. 2014;383(9914):356-67.

14. World Health Organization Gd. Global Health Estimates: Life expectancy and leading causes of death and disability 2019.

15. Monaco A, Palmer K, Marengoni A, Maggi S, Hassan TA, Donde S. Integrated care for the management of ageing-related non-communicable diseases: current gaps and future directions. Aging Clinical and Experimental Research. 2020;32:1353-8.

16. Juma PA, Mohamed SF, Matanje Mwagomba BL, Ndinda C, Mapa-Tassou C, Oluwasanu M, et al. Non-communicable disease prevention policy process in five African countries authors. BMC public health. 2018;18(1):1-12.

17. Probst-Hensch N, Tanner M, Burri C. Prevention–a cost-effective way to fight the noncommunicable disease epidemic. Swiss medical weekly. 2011;141(3536):w13266-w.

18. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). GBD Seattle, WA: University of Washintgon 2016 2016 [

19. World Health Organization. Global action plan for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases 2013-2020.Geveva:WHO. 2014.

20. Bloom DE, Cafiero E, Jané-Llopis E, Abrahams-Gessel S, Bloom LR, Fathima S, et al. The global economic burden of noncommunicable diseases. Program on the Global Demography of Aging; 2012.

21. Palestinian Ministry of Health, Palestinian Health Information Center. Health annual report, Palestine 2021. 2021.

22. Federal Office of Public Health. National strategy for the prevention of non-communicable diseases 2021 - 2024 2020 [

23. Hunter DJ, Reddy KS. Noncommunicable diseases. New England Journal of Medicine. 2013;369(14):1336-43.

24. Heller O, Somerville C, Suggs LS, Lachat S, Piper J, Aya Pastrana N, et al. The process of prioritization of non-communicable diseases in the global health policy arena. Health policy and planning. 2019;34(5):370-83.

25. Beaglehole R, Bonita R, Horton R, Adams C, Alleyne G, Asaria P, et al. Priority actions for the non-communicable disease crisis. The lancet. 2011;377(9775):1438-47.

26. Beaglehole R, Bonita R, Alleyne G, Horton R, Li L, Lincoln P, et al. UN high-level meeting on non-communicable diseases: addressing four questions. The Lancet. 2011;378(9789):449-55.

27. Walker C, Unwin N. Estimates of the impact of diabetes on the incidence of pulmonary tuberculosis in different ethnic groups in England. Thorax. 2010;65(7):578-81.

28. Jeon CY, Murray MB. Diabetes mellitus increases the risk of active tuberculosis: a systematic review of 13 observational studies. PLoS medicine. 2008;5(7):e152.

29. Khatri G, Frieden TR. Controlling tuberculosis in India. New England journal of medicine. 2002;347(18):1420-5.

30. Dooley KE, Chaisson RE. Tuberculosis and diabetes mellitus: convergence of two epidemics. The Lancet infectious diseases. 2009;9(12):737-46.

31. McKenna M, Taylor W, Marks J, Koplan J. Chronic disease epidemiology and control. 1998.

32. Collier J, Kienzler H. Barriers to cardiovascular disease secondary prevention care in the West Bank, Palestine–a health professional perspective. Conflict and health. 2018;12:1-13.
33. Joossens L, Feliu A, Fernandez E. The tobacco control scale 2019 in Europe:

Association of European Cancer Leagues Brussels, Belgium; 2020.

34. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). GBD PROFILE: SWITZERLAND. 2010.

35. Mahendiran T, Hoepli A, Foster-Witassek F, Rickli H, Roffi M, Eberli F, et al. Twentyyear trends in the prevalence of modifiable cardiovascular risk factors in young acute coronary syndrome patients hospitalized in Switzerland. European Journal of Preventive Cardiology.

36. Ezzati M, Riboli E. Behavioral and dietary risk factors for noncommunicable diseases. New England Journal of Medicine. 2013;369(10):954-64.

37. World Health Organization. Integrating the prevention, treatment and care of mental health conditions and other noncommunicable diseases within health systems: WHO European high-level conference on noncommunicable diseases: time to deliver–meeting NCD targets to achieve Sustainable Development Goals in Europe: 9–10 April 2019, Ashgabat, Turkmenistan. World Health Organization. Regional Office for Europe; 2019.

38. Abukhdeir H, Caplan L, Reese L, Alema-Mensah E. Factors affecting the prevalence of chronic diseases in Palestinian people: an analysis of data from the Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics. Eastern Mediterranean health journal= La revue de sante de la Mediterranee orientale= al-Majallah al-sihhiyah li-sharq al-mutawassit. 2013;19(4):307.

39. Black DR, Coster DC, Paige SR. Physiological health parameters among college students to promote chronic disease prevention and health promotion. Preventive medicine reports. 2017;7:64-73.

40. Palestinian Ministry of Health, Palestinian Health Information Center. Health annual report, Palestine 2018. 2019.

41. Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics. Statistical Yearbook of Palestine 2022.

42. Jonassen M, Shaheen A, Duraidi M, Qalalwa K, Jeune B, Brønnum-Hansen H. Socioeconomic status and chronic disease in the West Bank and the Gaza Strip: in and outside refugee camps. International Journal of Public Health. 2018;63:875-82.

43. Kassa M, Grace J. The global burden and perspectives on non-communicable diseases (NCDs) and the prevention, data availability and systems approach of NCDs in low-resource countries. Public Health in Developing Countries-Challenges and Opportunities: IntechOpen; 2019.

44. Prüss-Ustün A, van Deventer E, Mudu P, Campbell-Lendrum D, Vickers C, Ivanov I, et al. Environmental risks and non-communicable diseases. Bmj. 2019;364.

45. Neira M, Prüss-Ustün A. Preventing disease through healthy environments: A global assessment of the environmental burden of disease. Toxicology Letters. 2016(259):S1.

46. Wolf J, Prüss-Ustün A, Ivanov I, Mugdal S, Corvalán C, Bos R, et al. Preventing disease through a healthier and safer workplace. 2018.

47. Beattie R, Brown N, Cass H. Millennium development goals progress report. Archives of disease in childhood. 2015;100(Suppl 1):S1-S.

48. Mamudu HM, Yang JS, Novotny TE. UN resolution on the prevention and control of non-communicable diseases: an opportunity for global action. Global public health. 2011;6(4):347-53.

49. Dugani S, Gaziano TA. 25 by 25: achieving global reduction in cardiovascular mortality. Current cardiology reports. 2016;18:1-6.

50. Bennett JE, Stevens GA, Mathers CD, Bonita R, Rehm J, Kruk ME, et al. NCD Countdown 2030: worldwide trends in non-communicable disease mortality and progress towards Sustainable Development Goal target 3.4. The lancet. 2018;392(10152):1072-88.

51. Corbett SJ. Channelling Edwin Chadwick: beyond utopian thinking in urban planning policy and health. New South Wales Public Health Bulletin. 2007;18(10):195-7.

52. Eggleston K, Jain R. Cost-effective interventions to prevent non-communicable diseases: increasing the evidence base in India and other low-and middle-income settings. BMC medicine. 2020;18(1):1-3.

53. Kelishadi R. Life-cycle approach for prevention of non communicable disease. Primordial Prevention of Non Communicable Disease. 2019:1-6.

54. Capewell S, O'Flaherty M. Rapid mortality falls after risk-factor changes in populations. The Lancet. 2011;378(9793):752-3.

55. World Health Organization. Investing in mental health: evidence for action. 2013.

56. World Health Organization. Promoting mental health: Concepts, emerging evidence, practice: Summary report: World Health Organization; 2004.

57. Monde Md. No Peace of Mind - Palestinian Mental Health Under Occupation (June 2022). 2022.

58. When justice fails. Violence and mental health in Palestine [press release]. 2021.

59. Giacaman R. Reframing public health in wartime: From the biomedical model to the "wounds inside". Journal of Palestine Studies. 2018;47(2):9-27.

60. Rabaia Y, Giacaman R, Nguyen-Gillham V. Violence and adolescent mental health in the occupied Palestinian territory: a contextual approach. Asia Pacific Journal of Public Health. 2010;22(3\_suppl):216S-21S.

61. Foster S, Estévez-Lamorte N, Walitza S, Mohler-Kuo M. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on young adults' mental health in Switzerland: A longitudinal cohort study from 2018 to 2021. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2023;20(3):2598.

62. Langa KM, editor Cognitive aging, dementia, and the future of an aging population. Future directions for the demography of aging: Proceedings of a workshop; 2018: National Academies Press Washington, DC.

63. Collaborators GMD. Global, regional, and national burden of 12 mental disorders in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. The Lancet Psychiatry. 2022;9(2):137-50.

64. Mensah GA, Collins PY. Understanding mental health for the prevention and control of cardiovascular diseases. Global heart. 2015;10(3):221.

65. Dua D, Grover S. Mental disorders and noncommunicable diseases: A likeness, an overlap or an affiliation? Journal of Geriatric Mental Health. 2020;7(2):67.

66. Patel V, Saxena S, Lund C, Thornicroft G, Baingana F, Bolton P, et al. The Lancet Commission on global mental health and sustainable development. The lancet. 2018;392(10157):1553-98.

67. Marie M, Hannigan B, Jones A. Mental health needs and services in the West Bank, Palestine. International journal of mental health systems. 2016;10(1):1-8.

68. Kienzler H, Amro Z. 'Unknowing'and mental health system reform in Palestine. Medicine Anthropology Theory. 2015;2(3):113.

69. Verma M, Grover S, Singh T, Dahiya N, Nehra R. Screening for cognitive impairment among the elderly attending the noncommunicable diseases clinics in a rural area of Punjab, North India. Asian journal of psychiatry. 2020;50:102001.

70. Mehra A, Sangwan G, Grover S, Kathirvel S, Avasthi A. Prevalence of psychiatric morbidity and cognitive impairment among patients attending the rural noncommunicable disease clinic. Journal of Neurosciences in Rural Practice. 2020;11(04):585-92.

71. Shao M, Lin X, Jiang D, Tian H, Xu Y, Wang L, et al. Depression and cardiovascular disease: Shared molecular mechanisms and clinical implications. Psychiatry research. 2020;285:112802.

72. Goodell S, Druss BG, Walker ER, Mat M. Mental disorders and medical comorbidity. Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. 2011;2.

73. Ngo VK, Rubinstein A, Ganju V, Kanellis P, Loza N, Rabadan-Diehl C, et al. Grand challenges: integrating mental health care into the non-communicable disease agenda. PLoS medicine. 2013;10(5):e1001443.

74. Van der Kooy K, Van Hout H, Marwijk H, Marten H, Stehouwer C, Beekman A. Depression and the risk for cardiovascular diseases: systematic review and meta analysis. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry: A journal of the psychiatry of late life and allied sciences. 2007;22(7):613-26.

75. Mukeshimana M, Mchunu G. The co-morbidity of depression and other chronic noncommunicable diseases: a review of literature on the epidemiology, diagnosis and health effects. Rwanda Journal. 2016;3(1):44-50.

76. Oldenburg B, O'Neil A, Cocker F. Public health perspectives on the co-occurrence of non-communicable diseases and common mental disorders. Comorbidity of mental and physical disorders. 179: Karger Publishers; 2015. p. 15-22.

77. Pryor L, Da Silva MA, Melchior M. Mental health and global strategies to reduce NCDs and premature mortality. The Lancet Public Health. 2017;2(8):e350-e1.

78. Von Korff M. Understanding consequences of mental–physical comorbidity. Global perspectives on mental–physical comorbidity in the WHO world mental health surveys Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. 2009:193-209.

79. Chwastiak LA, Rosenheck RA, Kazis LE. Association of psychiatric illness and obesity, physical inactivity, and smoking among a national sample of veterans. Psychosomatics. 2011;52(3):230-6.

80. Katon WJ. Clinical and health services relationships between major depression, depressive symptoms, and general medical illness. Biological psychiatry. 2003;54(3):216-26.

81. Nyboe L, Lund H. Low levels of physical activity in patients with severe mental illness. Nordic Journal of psychiatry. 2013;67(1):43-6.

82. Jacka FN, Pasco JA, Mykletun A, Williams LJ, Hodge AM, O'Reilly SL, et al. Association of Western and traditional diets with depression and anxiety in women. American journal of psychiatry. 2010;167(3):305-11.

83. Chiu C-J, Wray LA, Beverly EA, Dominic OG. The role of health behaviors in mediating the relationship between depressive symptoms and glycemic control in type 2 diabetes: a structural equation modeling approach. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology. 2010;45:67-76.

84. Pasco JA, Williams LJ, Jacka FN, Henry MJ, Coulson CE, Brennan SL, et al. Habitual physical activity and the risk for depressive and anxiety disorders among older men and women. International psychogeriatrics. 2011;23(2):292-8.

85. Pasco JA, Williams LJ, Jacka FN, Ng F, Henry MJ, Nicholson GC, et al. Tobacco smoking as a risk factor for major depressive disorder: population-based study. The British Journal of Psychiatry. 2008;193(4):322-6.

86. Lucas M, Mekary R, Pan A, Mirzaei F, O'Reilly ÉJ, Willett WC, et al. Relation between clinical depression risk and physical activity and time spent watching television in older women: a 10-year prospective follow-up study. American journal of epidemiology. 2011;174(9):1017-27.

87. Mykletun A, Overland S, Aarø LE, Liabø H-M, Stewart R. Smoking in relation to anxiety and depression: evidence from a large population survey: the HUNT study. European Psychiatry. 2008;23(2):77-84.

88. Jacka FN, Pasco JA, Mykletun A, Williams LJ, Nicholson GC, Kotowicz MA, et al. Diet quality in bipolar disorder in a population-based sample of women. Journal of affective disorders. 2011;129(1-3):332-7.

89. Sánchez-Villegas A, Delgado-Rodríguez M, Alonso A, Schlatter J, Lahortiga F, Majem LS, et al. Association of the Mediterranean dietary pattern with the incidence of depression: the Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra/University of Navarra follow-up (SUN) cohort. Archives of general psychiatry. 2009;66(10):1090-8.

90. Prince M, Patel V, Saxena S, Maj M, Maselko J, Phillips MR, et al. No health without mental health. The lancet. 2007;370(9590):859-77.

91. Scott KM, Lim C, Al-Hamzawi A, Alonso J, Bruffaerts R, Caldas-de-Almeida JM, et al. Association of mental disorders with subsequent chronic physical conditions: world mental health surveys from 17 countries. JAMA psychiatry. 2016;73(2):150-8.

92. Cohen BE, Edmondson D, Kronish IM. State of the art review: depression, stress, anxiety, and cardiovascular disease. American journal of hypertension. 2015;28(11):1295-302.

93. Speight J, Browne JL, Holmes-Truscott E, Hendrieckx C, Pouwer F. Diabetes MILES-Australia (Management and Impact for Long-term Empowerment and Success): methods and sample characteristics of a national survey of the psychological aspects of living with type 1 or type 2 diabetes in Australian adults. BMC public health. 2012;12(1):1-13.

94. Vancampfort D, Correll CU, Galling B, Probst M, De Hert M, Ward PB, et al. Diabetes mellitus in people with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and major depressive disorder: a systematic review and large scale meta-analysis. World Psychiatry. 2016;15(2):166-74.

95. Elmira E, Banks E, Joshy G. To what extent is the elevated risk of psychological distress in people with diabetes accounted for by physical disability? Findings from a large population-based study. BMJ open. 2018;8(8):e022767.

96. Cheok F, Schrader G, Banham D, Marker J, Hordacre A-L. Identification, course, and treatment of depression after admission for a cardiac condition: rationale and patient characteristics for the Identifying Depression As a Comorbid Condition (IDACC) project. American heart journal. 2003;146(6):978-84.

97. Batelaan NM, Seldenrijk A, Bot M, van Balkom AJ, Penninx BW. Anxiety and new onset of cardiovascular disease: critical review and meta-analysis. The British journal of psychiatry. 2016;208(3):223-31.

98. Carney RM, Freedland KE. Depression and coronary heart disease. Nature Reviews Cardiology. 2017;14(3):145-55.

99. Allabadi H, Alkaiyat A, Alkhayyat A, Hammoudi A, Odeh H, Shtayeh J, et al. Depression and anxiety symptoms in cardiac patients: a cross-sectional hospital-based study in a Palestinian population. BMC public health. 2019;19:1-14.

100. Watts S, Leydon G, Birch B, Prescott P, Lai L, Eardley S, et al. Depression and anxiety in prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prevalence rates. BMJ open. 2014;4(3):e003901.

101. Caruso R, GiuliaNanni M, Riba MB, Sabato S, Grassi L. Depressive spectrum disorders in cancer: diagnostic issues and intervention. A critical review. Current psychiatry reports. 2017;19:1-10.

102. Fisher EB, Chan JC, Nan H, Sartorius N, Oldenburg B. Co-occurrence of diabetes and depression: conceptual considerations for an emerging global health challenge. Journal of affective disorders. 2012;142:S56-S66.

103. Shefer G, Henderson C, Howard LM, Murray J, Thornicroft G. Diagnostic overshadowing and other challenges involved in the diagnostic process of patients with mental illness who present in emergency departments with physical symptoms—a qualitative study. PloS one. 2014;9(11):e111682.

104. Sartorious N. Comorbidity of mental and physical diseases: a main challenge for medicine of the 21st century. Shanghai archives of psychiatry. 2013;25(2):68.

105. Mitchell AJ, Malone D, Doebbeling CC. Quality of medical care for people with and without comorbid mental illness and substance misuse: systematic review of comparative studies. The British Journal of Psychiatry. 2009;194(6):491-9.

106. Patel V, Chatterji S. Integrating mental health in care for noncommunicable diseases: an imperative for person-centered care. Health Affairs. 2015;34(9):1498-505.

107. Hoogendoorn CJ, Shapira A, Roy JF, Walker EA, Cohen HW, Gonzalez JS. Depressive symptom dimensions and medication non-adherence in suboptimally controlled type 2 diabetes. Journal of Diabetes and its Complications. 2019;33(3):217-22.

108. Haskins CB, McDowell BD, Carnahan RM, Fiedorowicz JG, Wallace RB, Smith BJ, et al. Impact of preexisting mental illness on breast cancer endocrine therapy adherence. Breast cancer research and treatment. 2019;174:197-208.

109. Stewart A, Driscoll A, Hare D. National survey of Australian cardiologists' beliefs and practice regarding screening, diagnosis and management of depression. Heart, Lung and Circulation. 2009;18:S5.

110. Jacka FN, Mykletun A, Berk M. Moving towards a population health approach to the primary prevention of common mental disorders. BMC medicine. 2012;10(1):1-6.

111. Stathopoulou G, Powers MB, Berry AC, Smits JA, Otto MW. Exercise interventions for mental health: a quantitative and qualitative review. Clinical psychology: Science and practice. 2006;13(2):179.

112. O'Neil A, Jacka FN, Quirk SE, Cocker F, Taylor CB, Oldenburg B, et al. A shared framework for the common mental disorders and non-communicable disease: key considerations for disease prevention and control. BMC psychiatry. 2015;15:1-6.

113. Katon W, Von Korff M, Lin E, Walker E, Simon GE, Bush T, et al. Collaborative management to achieve treatment guidelines: impact on depression in primary care. Jama. 1995;273(13):1026-31.

114. Gilbody S, Bower P, Fletcher J, Richards D, Sutton AJ. Collaborative care for depression: a cumulative meta-analysis and review of longer-term outcomes. Archives of internal medicine. 2006;166(21):2314-21.

115. Rapley M. Quality of life research: A critical introduction: Sage; 2003.

116. Fallowfield L. What is quality of life. Health economics. 2009;1(8).

117. Diener E, Suh E. Measuring quality of life: Economic, social, and subjective indicators. Social indicators research. 1997:189-216.

118. Bubolz MM, Eicher JB, Evers SJ, Sontag MS. A human ecological approach to quality of life: Conceptual framework and results of a preliminary study. Social Indicators Research. 1980;7:103-36.

119. Anderson KL, Burckhardt CS. Conceptualization and measurement of quality of life as an outcome variable for health care intervention and research. Journal of advanced nursing. 1999;29(2):298-306.

120. Department of Finance Canada. Toward a Quality of Life Strategy for Canada 2021.

121. Armstrong D, Caldwell D. Origins of the concept of quality of life in health care: A rhetorical solution to a political problem. Social Theory & Health. 2004;2(4):361-71.

122. Eiser C, Mohay H, Morse R. The measurement of quality of life in young children. Child: care, health and development. 2000;26(5):401-14.

123. Ravens-Sieberer U, Torsheim T, Hetland J, Vollebergh W, Cavallo F, Jericek H, et al. Subjective health, symptom load and quality of life of children and adolescents in Europe. International journal of public health. 2009;54:151-9.

124. Bircher J. Towards a dynamic definition of health and disease. Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy. 2005;8:335-41.

125. Spitzer WO, Dobson AJ, Hall J, Chesterman E, Levi J, Shepherd R, et al. Measuring the quality of life of cancer patients: a concise QL-index for use by physicians. Journal of chronic diseases. 1981;34(12):585-97.

126. Croog SH, Levine S, Testa MA, Brown B, Bulpitt CJ, Jenkins CD, et al. The effects of antihypertensive therapy on the quality of life. New England Journal of Medicine. 1986;314(26):1657-64.

127. Siboni FS, Alimoradi Z, Atashi V, Alipour M, Khatooni M. Quality of life in different chronic diseases and its related factors. International journal of preventive medicine. 2019;10.

128. Keshaviah P, Gehrke A, Clusen N. The Importance of Quality-of-Life Measures for People with Chronic Conditions. 2019.

129. Macduff C. Respondent-generated quality of life measures: useful tools for nursing or more fool's gold? Journal of advanced nursing. 2000;32(2):375-82.

130. Nordenfelt L. Quality of life, health and happiness: Avebury; 1993.

131. Gill TM, Feinstein AR. A critical appraisal of the quality of quality-of-life measurements. Jama. 1994;272(8):619-26.

132. Culora A, van Stolk C. Conceptualising and measuring quality of life to inform local policy and decision making. 2020.

133. WHOQoL Group. Study protocol for the World Health Organization project to develop a Quality of Life assessment instrument (WHOQOL). Quality of life Research. 1993;2:153-9.

134. Bullinger M, Anderson R, Cella D, Aaronson N. Developing and evaluating crosscultural instruments from minimum requirements to optimal models. Quality of life Research. 1993;2:451-9.

135. Lam C. Subjective quality of life measures–general principles and concepts. Handbook of disease burdens and quality of life measures. 2010.

136. Jozefiak T, Larsson B, Wichstrøm L, Mattejat F, Ravens-Sieberer U. Quality of Life as reported by school children and their parents: a cross-sectional survey. Health and quality of life outcomes. 2008;6:1-11.

137. Medvedev ON, Landhuis CE. Exploring constructs of well-being, happiness and quality of life. PeerJ. 2018;6:e4903.

138. Albouy V, Godefroy P, Lollivier S. Measuring quality of life. Insee Références France, portrait social. 2010.

139. Skevington SM, Böhnke JR. How is subjective well-being related to quality of life? Do we need two concepts and both measures? Social Science & Medicine. 2018;206:22-30.

140. Higginson IJ, Carr AJ. Using quality of life measures in the clinical setting. Bmj. 2001;322(7297):1297-300.

141. Higginson IJ, Carr AJ. The clinical utility of quality of life measures. Sage, London, UK; 2003. p. 63-78.

142. Sullivan M. The new subjective medicine: taking the patient's point of view on health care and health. Social science & medicine. 2003;56(7):1595-604.

143. Smith DM. The geography of social well-being in the United States: An introduction to territorial social indicators: McGraw-Hill; 1973.

144. BAKHOUCHE M. Measuring Quality of Life. Int J Youth Eco. 2019;3(2):75-87.

145. Theofilou P. Quality of life: definition and measurement. Europe's journal of psychology. 2013;9(1).

146. Dimenäs ES, Dahlöf C, Jern S, Wiklund I. Defining quality of life in medicine. Scandinavian journal of primary health care Supplement. 1990;1:7-10.

147. Pukeliene V, Starkauskiene V. Quality of life: Factors determining its measurement complexity. Engineering Economics. 2011;22(2):147-56.

148. Giacaman R, Mataria A, Nguyen-Gillham V, Safieh RA, Stefanini A, Chatterji S. Quality of life in the Palestinian context: An inquiry in war-like conditions. Health policy. 2007;81(1):68-84.

149. Pequeno NPF, Cabral NLdA, Marchioni DM, Lima SCVC, Lyra CdO. Quality of life assessment instruments for adults: a systematic review of population-based studies. Health and quality of life outcomes. 2020;18(1):1-13.

150. Gerharz E, Eiser C, Woodhouse C. Current approaches to assessing the quality of life in children and adolescents. BJU international. 2003;91(2):150-4.

151. Haraldstad K, Wahl A, Andenæs R, Andersen JR, Andersen MH, Beisland E, et al. A systematic review of quality of life research in medicine and health sciences. Quality of life Research. 2019;28:2641-50.

152. Wallander JL, Schmitt M, Koot HM. Quality of life measurement in children and adolescents: issues, instruments, and applications. Journal of clinical psychology. 2001;57(4):571-85.

153. Keenaghan C, Kilroe J. A Study on the Quality of Life Tool KIDSCREEN for Children. European Journal of Public Health.11(1):4-10.

154. Ravens-Sieberer U, Gosch A, Abel T, Auquier P, Bellach B-M, Bruil J, et al. Quality of life in children and adolescents: a European public health perspective. Sozial und Praventivmedizin. 2001;46(5):294-302.

155. Economic UNDo, Social Affairs PD. World population prospects 2022: Summary of results. United Nations New York, NY, USA; 2022.

156. Wallander JL, Koot HM. Quality of life in children: A critical examination of concepts, approaches, issues, and future directions. Clinical psychology review. 2016;45:131-43.

157. Knishkowy B, Palti H, Tima C, Adler B, Gofin R. Symptom clusters among young adolescents. Adolescence. 1995;30(118):351.

158. Alfvén G. The covariation of common psychosomatic symptoms among children from socio-economically differing residential areas. An epidemiological study. Acta Paediatrica. 1993;82(5):484-7.

159. Perrin JM, Bloom SR, Gortmaker SL. The increase of childhood chronic conditions in the United States. Jama. 2007;297(24):2755-9.

160. Van Cleave J, Gortmaker SL, Perrin JM. Dynamics of obesity and chronic health conditions among children and youth. Jama. 2010;303(7):623-30.

161. Smith DJ, Rutter M. Psychosocial disorders in young people: Time trends and their causes: Academia Europaea/John Wiley; 1995.

162. Lindström B, Eriksson B. Quality of life among children in the Nordic countries. Quality of Life Research. 1993;2:23-32.

163. Alhamed AA. Quality of Life in children: A Concept Analysis. Saudi J Nurs Health Care. 2021;4(7):178-82.

164. Rajmil L, Herdman M, de Sanmamed M-JF, Detmar S, Bruil J, Ravens-Sieberer U, et al. Generic health-related quality of life instruments in children and adolescents: a qualitative analysis of content. Journal of adolescent Health. 2004;34(1):37-45.

165. Herjanic B, Herjanic M, Brown F, Wheatt T. Are children reliable reporters? Journal of abnormal child Psychology. 1975;3:41-8.

166. Chang PC, Yeh CH. Agreement between child self-report and parent proxy-report to evaluate quality of life in children with cancer. Psycho-Oncology: Journal of the Psychological, Social and Behavioral Dimensions of Cancer. 2005;14(2):125-34.

167. Guyatt GH, Juniper EF, Griffith LE, Feeny DH, Ferrie PJ. Children and adult perceptions of childhood asthma. Pediatrics. 1997;99(2):165-8.

168. Davis E, Nicolas C, Waters E, Cook K, Gibbs L, Gosch A, et al. Parent-proxy and child self-reported health-related quality of life: using qualitative methods to explain the discordance. Quality of Life Research. 2007;16:863-71.

169. Upton P, Lawford J, Eiser C. Parent–child agreement across child health-related quality of life instruments: a review of the literature. Quality of life research. 2008;17:895-913.

170. Riley AW. Evidence that school-age children can self-report on their health. Ambulatory Pediatrics. 2004;4(4):371-6.

171. Landgraf J, Maunsell E, Nixon Speechley K, Bullinger M, Campbell S, Abetz L, et al. Canadian-French, German and UK versions of the Child Health Questionnaire: methodology and preliminary item scaling results. Quality of Life Research. 1998;7:433-45.

172. Befus E-G, Helseth S, Mølland E, Westergren T, Fegran L, Haraldstad K. Use of KIDSCREEN health-related quality of life instruments in the general population of children and adolescents: a scoping review. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes. 2023;21(1):1-11.

173. Ravens-Sieberer U, Europe KG. The Kidscreen questionnaires: quality of life questionnaires for children and adolescents; handbook: Pabst Science Publ.; 2006.

174. Mattejat F, Remschmidt H. ILK: Inventar zur Erfassung der Lebensqualität bei Kindern und Jugendlichen: Ratingbogen für Kinder, Jugendliche und Eltern: Verlag Hans Huber; 2006.

175. Ravens-Sieberer Ü, Bullinger M. KINDL-R–Fragebogen zur Erfassung der gesundheitsbezogenen Lebensqualität bei Kindern und Jugendlichen, revidierte Form: Manual. Robert-Koch-Institut. ManGermanpdf [15 Februar 2007]. 2000.

176. Ravens-Sieberer U, Bullinger M. Assessing health-related quality of life in chronically ill children with the German KINDL: first psychometric and content analytical results. Quality of life research. 1998;7:399-407.

177. Bullinger M, Brütt AL, Erhart M, Ravens-Sieberer U, Group BS. Psychometric properties of the KINDL-R questionnaire: results of the BELLA study. European child & adolescent psychiatry. 2008;17:125-32.

178. Ravens-Sieberer U, Ellert U, Erhart M. Health-Related Quality of Life of Children and Adolescents in Germany. Norm Data from the German Health Interview and Examination Survey (KiGGS) Eine Normstichprobe für Deutschland aus dem Kinder-und Jugendgesundheitssurvey (KIGGS). Bundesgesundheitsblatt-Gesundheitsforschung-Gesundheitsschutz. 2007;50:810-8.

179. Erhart M, Ellert U, Kurth B-M, Ravens-Sieberer U. Measuring adolescents' HRQoL via self reports and parent proxy reports: an evaluation of the psychometric properties of both versions of the KINDL-R instrument. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes. 2009;7(1):1-12.

180. Ravens-Sieberer U, Erhart M, Wille N, Bullinger M, Group BS. Health-related quality of life in children and adolescents in Germany: results of the BELLA study. European child & adolescent psychiatry. 2008;17:148-56.

181. Butte NF, Ékelund U, Westerterp KR. Assessing physical activity using wearable monitors: measures of physical activity. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2012;44(1 Suppl 1):S5-12.

182. Howley ET. Type of activity: resistance, aerobic and leisure versus occupational physical activity. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2001;33(6 Suppl):S364-9; discussion S419.

183. Taylor HL, Jacobs Jr DR, Schucker B, Knudsen J, Leon AS, Debacker G. A questionnaire for the assessment of leisure time physical activities. Journal of chronic diseases. 1978;31(12):741-55.

184. Rowland TW. The biological basis of physical activity. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 1998;30(3):392-9.

185. Bailey RC, Olson J, Pepper SL, Porszasz J, Barstow TJ, Cooper DM. The level and tempo of children's physical activities: an observational study. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 1995;27(7):1033-41.

186. Hands B, Larkin D. Physical activity measurement methods for young children: A comparative study. Measurement in Physical Education and Exercise Science. 2006;10(3):203-14.

187. Bouchard C, Tremblay A, Leblanc C, Lortie G, Savard R, Theriault G. A method to assess energy expenditure in children and adults. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 1983;37(3):461-7.

188. Welk GJ, Corbin CB, Dale D. Measurement issues in the assessment of physical activity in children. Research quarterly for exercise and sport. 2000;71(sup2):59-73.

189. Montoye H. Measuring physical activity and energy expenditure. Champaign. Human Kinetics, IL. 1996.

190. Trost SG. State of the art reviews: measurement of physical activity in children and adolescents. American Journal of lifestyle medicine. 2007;1(4):299-314.

191. Loprinzi PD, Cardinal BJ. Measuring children's physical activity and sedentary behaviors. Journal of exercise science & fitness. 2011;9(1):15-23.

192. Chinapaw MJ, Mokkink LB, van Poppel MN, van Mechelen W, Terwee CB. Physical activity questionnaires for youth: a systematic review of measurement properties. Sports medicine. 2010;40:539-63.

193. Baranowski T, Dworkin RJ, Cieslik CJ, Hooks P, Clearman DR, Ray L, et al. Reliability and validity of self report of aerobic activity: Family Health Project. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport. 1984;55(4):309-17.

194. Baranowski T. Validity and reliability of self report measures of physical activity: an information-processing perspective. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport. 1988;59(4):314-27.

195. Mattocks C, Tilling K, Ness A, Riddoch C. Article commentary: improvements in the measurement of physical activity in childhood obesity research; lessons from large studies of accelerometers. Clinical medicine Pediatrics. 2008;2:CMPed. S1127.

196. Trost SG, Morgan AM, Saunders R, Felton G, Ward DS, Pate RR. Children's understanding of the concept of physical activity. Pediatric Exercise Science. 2000;12(3):293-9.
197. Hussey J, Bell C, Gormley J. The measurement of physical activity in children. Physical therapy reviews. 2007;12(1):52-8.

198. Kohl III HW, Fulton JE, Caspersen CJ. Assessment of physical activity among children and adolescents: a review and synthesis. Preventive medicine. 2000;31(2):S54-S76.

199. Sallis JF. Self-report measures of children's physical activity. Journal of School health. 1991;61(5):215-20.

200. Sallis JF, Saelens BE. Assessment of physical activity by self-report: status, limitations, and future directions. Research quarterly for exercise and sport. 2000;71(sup2):1-14.

201. McKenzie TL, Marshall SJ, Sallis JF, Conway TL. Leisure-time physical activity in school environments: an observational study using SOPLAY. Preventive medicine. 2000;30(1):70-7.

202. McKenzie TL, Cohen DA, Sehgal A, Williamson S, Golinelli D. System for Observing Play and Recreation in Communities (SOPARC): reliability and feasibility measures. Journal of Physical Activity and Health. 2006;3(s1):S208-S22.

203. McKenzie TL. Use of direct observation to assess physical activity. Physical activity assessments for health-related research. 2002;179:195.

204. Trost SG. Objective measurement of physical activity in youth: current issues, future directions. Exercise and sport sciences reviews. 2001;29(1):32-6.

205. Sardinha L, Judice P. Usefulness of motion sensors to estimate energy expenditure in children and adults: a narrative review of studies using DLW. European journal of clinical nutrition. 2017;71(3):331-9.

206. Migueles JH, Cadenas-Sanchez C, Ekelund U, Delisle Nyström C, Mora-Gonzalez J, Löf M, et al. Accelerometer data collection and processing criteria to assess physical activity and other outcomes: a systematic review and practical considerations. Sports medicine. 2017;47:1821-45.

207. de Almeida Mendes M, da Silva IC, Ramires VV, Reichert FF, Martins RC, Tomasi E. Calibration of raw accelerometer data to measure physical activity: A systematic review. Gait & posture. 2018;61:98-110.

208. Rowlands AV. Accelerometer assessment of physical activity in children: an update. Pediatric exercise science. 2007;19(3):252-66.

209. Burchartz A, Anedda B, Auerswald T, Giurgiu M, Hill H, Ketelhut SI, et al. Assessing physical behavior through accelerometry–state of the science, best practices and future directions. Psychology of sport and exercise. 2020;49:101703.

210. Nilsson A, Ekelund U, Yngve A, Söström M. Assessing physical activity among children with accelerometers using different time sampling intervals and placements. Pediatric exercise science. 2002;14(1):87-96.

211. De Vries S, Van Hirtum H, Bakker I, Hopman-Rock M, Hirasing R, Van Mechelen W. Validity and reproducibility of motion sensors in youth: a systematic update. Medicine+ Science in Sports+ Exercise. 2009;41(4):818.

212. Rothney MP, Schaefer EV, Neumann MM, Choi L, Chen KY. Validity of physical activity intensity predictions by ActiGraph, Actical, and RT3 accelerometers. Obesity. 2008;16(8):1946-52.

213. Ott AE, Pate RR, Trost SG, Ward DS, Saunders R. The use of uniaxial and triaxial accelerometers to measure children's "free-play" physical activity. Pediatric Exercise Science. 2000;12(4):360-70.

214. Matthew CE. Calibration of accelerometer output for adults. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2005;37(11 Suppl):S512-22.

215. Lee I-M, Shiroma EJ. Using accelerometers to measure physical activity in large-scale epidemiological studies: issues and challenges. British journal of sports medicine. 2014;48(3):197-201.

216. Stathi A, Gillison FB, Riddoch CJ. Opportunities and challenges in physical activity research in young people. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport. 2009;12(5):515-7.

217. Booth M. Assessment of physical activity: an international perspective. Research quarterly for exercise and sport. 2000;71(sup2):114-20.

218. Panter JR, Jones AP, Van Sluijs EM. Environmental determinants of active travel in youth: a review and framework for future research. International journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity. 2008;5(1):1-14.

219. Saunders RP, Motl RW, Dowda M, Dishman RK, Pate RR. Comparison of social variables for understanding physical activity in adolescent girls. American journal of health behavior. 2004;28(5):426-36.

220. Sallis JF, Prochaska JJ, Taylor WC. A review of correlates of physical activity of children and adolescents. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2000;32(5):963-75.

221. Van Sluijs EM, McMinn AM, Griffin SJ. Effectiveness of interventions to promote physical activity in children and adolescents: systematic review of controlled trials. Bmj. 2007;335(7622):703.

222. Kowalski KA, MacDonald SW, Yeates KO, Tuokko HA, Rhodes RE. Decomposing the within-person and between-person sources of variation in physical activity-cognition associations for low-active older adults. Psychology & health. 2018;33(12):1431-55.

223. Dunton GF. Ecological momentary assessment in physical activity research. Exercise and sport sciences reviews. 2017;45(1):48.

224. Hoffman L, Stawski RS. Persons as contexts: Evaluating between-person and withinperson effects in longitudinal analysis. Research in human development. 2009;6(2-3):97-120.

225. Fuezeki E, Engeroff T, Banzer W. Health benefits of light-intensity physical activity: a systematic review of accelerometer data of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). Sports medicine. 2017;47:1769-93.

226. Poitras VJ, Gray CE, Borghese MM, Carson V, Chaput J-P, Janssen I, et al. Systematic review of the relationships between objectively measured physical activity and health indicators in school-aged children and youth. Applied physiology, nutrition, and metabolism. 2016;41(6):S197-S239.

227. Whitehead BR, Blaxton JM. Daily well-being benefits of physical activity in older adults: Does time or type matter? The Gerontologist. 2017;57(6):1062-71.

228. Liu Y, Shu X-O, Wen W, Saito E, Rahman MS, Tsugane S, et al. Association of leisuretime physical activity with total and cause-specific mortality: a pooled analysis of nearly a half million adults in the Asia Cohort Consortium. International journal of epidemiology. 2018;47(3):771-9.

229. Zhou Y, Zhang R, Liu Y, Guo Y, Wang D, He M, et al. Association of regular physical activity with total and cause-specific mortality among middle-aged and older Chinese: a prospective cohort study. Scientific reports. 2017;7(1):39939.

230. Raitakari OT, Taimela S, Porkka K, Telama R, Välimäki I, Akerblom H, et al. Associations between physical activity and risk factors for coronary heart disease: the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise. 1997;29(8):1055-61.

231. Wu XY, Han LH, Zhang JH, Luo S, Hu JW, Sun K. The influence of physical activity, sedentary behavior on health-related quality of life among the general population of children and adolescents: A systematic review. PloS one. 2017;12(11):e0187668.

232. Janssen I, LeBlanc AG. Systematic review of the health benefits of physical activity and fitness in school-aged children and youth. International journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity. 2010;7(1):1-16.

233. Hands BP, Parker H, Larkin D, Cantell M, Rose E. Male and female differences in health benefits derived from physical activity: implications for exercise prescription. Journal of Women's Health, Issues and Care. 2016;5(4).

234. Kvalø SE, Natlandsmyr IK. The effect of physical-activity intervention on children's health-related quality of life. Scandinavian Journal of Public Health. 2021;49(5):539-45.

235. Tessier S, Vuillemin A, Bertrais S, Boini S, Le Bihan E, Oppert J-M, et al. Association between leisure-time physical activity and health-related quality of life changes over time. Preventive medicine. 2007;44(3):202-8.

236. Malina RM. Physical activity and fitness: pathways from childhood to adulthood. American Journal of Human Biology: The Official Journal of the Human Biology Association. 2001;13(2):162-72.

237. Tolfrey K, Jones AM, Campbell IG. The effect of aerobic exercise training on the lipidlipoprotein profile of children and adolescents. Sports Medicine. 2000;29:99-112. 238. Carnethon MR, Gulati M, Greenland P. Prevalence and cardiovascular disease correlates of low cardiorespiratory fitness in adolescents and adults. Jama. 2005;294(23):2981-8.

239. Strong WB, Malina RM, Blimkie CJ, Daniels SR, Dishman RK, Gutin B, et al. Evidence based physical activity for school-age youth. The Journal of pediatrics. 2005;146(6):732-7.

240. Warburton DE, Nicol CW, Bredin SS. Health benefits of physical activity: the evidence. Cmaj. 2006;174(6):801-9.

241. Morris JN, Heady J, Raffle P, Roberts C, Parks J. Coronary heart-disease and physical activity of work. The lancet. 1953;262(6796):1111-20.

242. Morris J, Heady J. Mortality in relation to the physical activity of work: a preliminary note on experience in middle age. British journal of industrial medicine. 1953;10(4):245.

243. McTiernan A, Friedenreich CM, Katzmarzyk PT, Powell KE, Macko R, Buchner D, et al. Physical activity in cancer prevention and survival: a systematic review. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2019;51(6):1252.

244. Ojiambo R. Physical activity and well-being: a review of the health benefits of physical activity on health outcomes. Journal of Applied Medical Sciences. 2013;2(2):69-78.

245. Reiner M, Niermann C, Jekauc D, Woll A. Long-term health benefits of physical activity– a systematic review of longitudinal studies. BMC public health. 2013;13(1):1-9.

246. Musich S, Wang SS, Hawkins K, Greame C. The frequency and health benefits of physical activity for older adults. Population health management. 2017;20(3):199-207.

247. Rose G. Incubation period of coronary heart disease. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed). 1982;284(6329):1600-1.

248. Smith GD, Kuh D. Commentary: William Ogilvy Kermack and the childhood origins of adult health and disease. International Journal of Epidemiology. 2001;30(4):696-703.

249. Ben-Shlomo Y, Kuh D. A life course approach to chronic disease epidemiology: conceptual models, empirical challenges and interdisciplinary perspectives. Oxford University Press; 2002. p. 285-93.

250. Barker DJ. The fetal and infant origins of adult disease. BMJ: British Medical Journal. 1990;301(6761):1111.

251. Degenhardt L, Chiu W-T, Sampson N, Kessler RC, Anthony JC, Angermeyer M, et al. Toward a global view of alcohol, tobacco, cannabis, and cocaine use: findings from the WHO World Mental Health Surveys. PLoS medicine. 2008;5(7):e141.

Viner R. Life stage: adolescence. Annual report of the chief medical officer. 2012:1-11.
Barlow J, Blair M. Life stage: Early years. Our Children Deserve Better: Prevention Pays Annual Report of the Chief Medical Officer. 2012.

254. Viner RM, Ozer EM, Denny S, Marmot M, Resnick M, Fatusi A, et al. Adolescence and the social determinants of health. The lancet. 2012;379(9826):1641-52.

255. Boreham CA, Twisk J, Savage MJ, Cran GW, Strain JJ. Physical activity, sports participation, and risk factors in adolescents. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 1997;29(6):788-93.

256. Suter E, Hawes MR. Relationship of physical activity, body fat, diet, and blood lipid profile in youths 10-15 yr. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise. 1993;25(6):748-54.

257. Officer DoHCM. At Least Five Times a Week: Evidence on the Impact of Physical Activity and Its Relationship to Health: a Report from the Chief Medical Officer: Department of Health; 2004.

258. Freedman DS, Dietz WH, Srinivasan SR, Berenson GS. The relation of overweight to cardiovascular risk factors among children and adolescents: the Bogalusa Heart Study. Pediatrics. 1999;103(6):1175-82.

259. Boreham C, Twisk J, Murray L, Savage M, Strain J, Crain G. Fitness, fatness, and coronary heart disease risk in adolescents: the Northern Ireland Young Hearts Project. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2001;33(2):270-4.

260. Law C, Shiell A, Newsome C, Syddall H, Shinebourne E, Fayers P, et al. Fetal, infant, and childhood growth and adult blood pressure: a longitudinal study from birth to 22 years of age. Circulation. 2002;105(9):1088-92.

261. Must A, Jacques PF, Dallal GE, Bajema CJ, Dietz WH. Long-term morbidity and mortality of overweight adolescents: a follow-up of the Harvard Growth Study of 1922 to 1935. New England journal of medicine. 1992;327(19):1350-5.

262. Sinaiko AR, Donahue RP, Jacobs Jr DR, Prineas RJ. Relation of weight and rate of increase in weight during childhood and adolescence to body size, blood pressure, fasting insulin, and lipids in young adults: the Minneapolis Children's Blood Pressure Study. Circulation. 1999;99(11):1471-6.

263. Twisk J, Kemper H, Van Mechelen W, Post G. Tracking of risk factors for coronary heart disease over a 14-year period: a comparison between lifestyle and biologic risk factors with data from the Amsterdam Growth and Health Study. American journal of epidemiology. 1997;145(10):888-98.

264. Dencker M, Thorsson O, Karlsson M, Lindén C, Eiberg S, Wollmer P, et al. Daily physical activity related to body fat in children aged 8-11 years. The Journal of pediatrics. 2006;149(1):38-42.

265. Ness AR, Leary SD, Mattocks C, Blair SN, Reilly JJ, Wells J, et al. Objectively measured physical activity and fat mass in a large cohort of children. PLoS medicine. 2007;4(3):e97.

266. Sibley BA, Etnier JL. The relationship between physical activity and cognition in children: a meta-analysis. Pediatric exercise science. 2003;15(3):243-56.

267. Ahlskog JE, Geda YE, Graff-Radford NR, Petersen RC, editors. Physical exercise as a preventive or disease-modifying treatment of dementia and brain aging. Mayo clinic proceedings; 2011: Elsevier.

268. Macpherson H, Teo W-P, Schneider LA, Smith AE. A life-long approach to physical activity for brain health. Frontiers in aging neuroscience. 2017;9:147.

269. Blondell SJ, Hammersley-Mather R, Veerman JL. Does physical activity prevent cognitive decline and dementia?: A systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. BMC public health. 2014;14(1):1-12.

270. Cheng S-T. Cognitive reserve and the prevention of dementia: the role of physical and cognitive activities. Current psychiatry reports. 2016;18:1-12.

271. Livingston G, Sommerlad Å, Örgeta V, Costafreda SG, Huntley J, Ames D, et al. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care. The lancet. 2017;390(10113):2673-734.

272. Gronek P, Balko S, Gronek J, Zajac A, Maszczyk A, Celka R, et al. Physical activity and Alzheimer's disease: a narrative review. Aging and disease. 2019;10(6):1282.

273. Calfas KJ, Taylor WC. Effects of physical activity on psychological variables in adolescents. Pediatric exercise science. 1994;6(4):406-23.

274. Mammen G, Faulkner G. Physical activity and the prevention of depression: a systematic review of prospective studies. American journal of preventive medicine. 2013;45(5):649-57.

275. Schuch FB, Vancampfort D, Richards J, Rosenbaum S, Ward PB, Stubbs B. Exercise as a treatment for depression: a meta-analysis adjusting for publication bias. Journal of psychiatric research. 2016;77:42-51.

276. Capio CM, Sit CHP, Abernethy B. Physical Well-Being. In: Michalos AC, editor. Encyclopedia of Quality of Life and Well-Being Research. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands; 2014. p. 4805-7.

277. Das P, Horton R. Rethinking our approach to physical activity. The Lancet. 2012;380(9838):189-90.

278. Salvini M, Gall S, Müller I, Walter C, du Randt R, Steinmann P, et al. Physical activity and health-related quality of life among schoolchildren from disadvantaged neighbourhoods in Port Elizabeth, South Africa. Quality of Life Research. 2018;27:205-16.

279. Bize R, Johnson JA, Plotnikoff RC. Physical activity level and health-related quality of life in the general adult population: a systematic review. Preventive medicine. 2007;45(6):401-15.

280. Chmelík F, Frömel K, Groffik D, Šafář M, Mitáš J. Does vigorous physical activity contribute to adolescent life satisfaction? International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2021;18(5):2236.

281. Lubans D, Richards J, Hillman C, Faulkner G, Beauchamp M, Nilsson M, et al. Physical activity for cognitive and mental health in youth: a systematic review of mechanisms. Pediatrics. 2016;138(3).

282. San Román-Mata S, Puertas-Molero P, Ubago-Jiménez JL, González-Valero G. Benefits of physical activity and its associations with resilience, emotional intelligence, and psychological distress in university students from southern Spain. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2020;17(12):4474.

283. Strain T, Brage S, Sharp SJ, Richards J, Tainio M, Ding D, et al. Use of the prevented fraction for the population to determine deaths averted by existing prevalence of physical activity: a descriptive study. The Lancet Global Health. 2020;8(7):e920-e30.

284. Ács P, Stocker M, Paár D, Oláh A, Kovács A. Économic and public health benefits: the result of increased regular physical activity. European Journal of Integrative Medicine. 2016;8:8-12.

285. Dai J, Menhas R. Sustainable development goals, sports and physical activity: the localization of health-related sustainable development goals through sports in China: a narrative review. Risk management and healthcare policy. 2020:1419-30.

286. Organization WH. Global action plan on physical activity 2018-2030: more active people for a healthier world: World Health Organization; 2019.

287. Sallis RE, Baggish AL, Franklin BA, Whitehead JR. The call for a physical activity vital sign in clinical practice. The American journal of medicine. 2016;129(9):903-5.

288. World Health Organization. Global recommendations on physical activity for health. 2010.

289. WHO O. WHO guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. Geneva: World Health Organization. 2020:1-582.

290. Sallis JF, Patrick K. Physical activity guidelines for adolescents: consensus statement. Pediatric exercise science. 1994;6(4):302-14.

291. Janssen I. Physical activity guidelines for children and youth. Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism. 2007;32(S2E):S109-21.

292. Tremblay MS, Barnes JD, González SA, Katzmarzyk PT, Onywera VO, Reilly JJ, et al. Global matrix 2.0: report card grades on the physical activity of children and youth comparing 38 countries. Journal of physical activity and health. 2016;13(s2):S343-S66.

293. Health UDo, Services H. US Department of Health and Human Services 2008 physical activity guidelines for Americans. Hyattsville, MD: Author, Washington, DC. 2008;2008:1-40.

294. Sallis JF, Patrick K, Long BJ. Overview of the international consensus conference on physical activity guidelines for adolescents. Pediatric Exercise Science. 1994;6(4):299-301.

295. Okely AD, Salmon J, Vella S, Cliff D, Timperio A, Tremblay M, et al. A systematic review to update the Australian physical activity guidelines for children and young people. 2012.

296. Guthold R, Stevens GA, Riley LM, Bull FC. Worldwide trends in insufficient physical activity from 2001 to 2016: a pooled analysis of 358 population-based surveys with 1 · 9 million participants. The lancet global health. 2018;6(10):e1077-e86.

297. Guthold R, Stevens GA, Riley LM, Bull FC. Global trends in insufficient physical activity among adolescents: a pooled analysis of 298 population-based surveys with 1 6 million participants. The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health. 2020;4(1):23-35.

298. Li K, Haynie D, Lipsky L, Iannotti RJ, Pratt C, Simons-Morton B. Changes in moderateto-vigorous physical activity among older adolescents. Pediatrics. 2016;138(4).

299. Ribeiro JC, Sousa M, Sá C, Santos P, Silva P, Aires L, et al. Patterns of moderate to vigorous physical activities and daily compliance with guidelines for youth. The Open Sports Sciences Journal. 2009;2(1).

300. Abe T, Kitayuguchi J, Okada S, Okuyama K, Gomi T, Kamada M, et al. Prevalence and correlates of physical activity among children and adolescents: a cross-sectional population-based study of a rural city in Japan. Journal of epidemiology. 2020;30(9):404-11.

301. Galfo M, Melini F. Physical activity assessed by accelerometer and self-reported questionnaire in an Italian sample of adolescents. Pediatr Med. 2021;5(2):11.

302. Potempa-Jeziorowska M, Jonczyk P, Świętochowska E, Kucharzewski M. Physical activity among primary school children aged 6-10 in Poland. Health Problems of Civilization. 2022;16(1):82-92.

303. Konstabel K, Veidebaum T, Verbestel V, Moreno LA, Bammann K, Tornaritis M, et al. Objectively measured physical activity in European children: the IDEFICS study. International journal of obesity. 2014;38(2):S135-S43.

304. World Health Organization. Physical activity profile 2022, Switzerland 2022.

305. Czerwinski F, Finne E, Kolip P, Bucksch J. Individual and school level correlates of moderate to vigorous physical activity among school-children in Germany–a multi-level analysis. BMC public health. 2015;15(1):1-10.

306. Butte NF, Gregorich SE, Tschann JM, Penilla C, Pasch LA, De Groat CL, et al. Longitudinal effects of parental, child and neighborhood factors on moderate-vigorous physical activity and sedentary time in Latino children. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2014;11:1-12.

307. Pereira S, Reyes A, Moura-Dos-Santos MA, Santos C, Gomes TN, Tani G, et al. Why are children different in their moderate-to-vigorous physical activity levels? A multilevel analysis. Jornal de Pediatria. 2020;96:225-32.

308. Gubelmann C, Marques-Vidal P, Bringolf-Isler B, Suggs LS, Vollenweider P, Kayser B. Correlates of weekday compliance to physical activity recommendations in Swiss youth non-compliant in weekend days. Preventive medicine reports. 2018;9:86-91.

309. Bringolf-Isler B, Mäder U, Dössegger A, Hofmann H, Puder JJ, Braun-Fahrländer C, et al. Regional differences of physical activity and sedentary behaviour in Swiss children are not explained by socio-demographics or the built environment. International journal of public health. 2015;60:291-300.

310. Bringolf-Isler B, Schindler C, de Hoogh K, Kayser B, Suggs LS, Dössegger A, et al. Association of objectively measured and perceived environment with accelerometer-based physical activity and cycling: a Swiss population-based cross-sectional study of children. International journal of public health. 2019;64:499-510.

311. Hong J-T, Chen S-T, Tang Y, Cao Z-B, Zhuang J, Zhu Z, et al. Associations between various kinds of parental support and physical activity among children and adolescents in Shanghai, China: gender and age differences. BMC Public Health. 2020;20(1):1-9.

312. Zeng J, Qiu N, Leitzelar BN, Fu J, Wang Y, Liang F, et al. Parental Support Is Associated with Moderate to Vigorous Physical Activity among Chinese Adolescents through the Availability of Physical Activity Resources in the Home Environment and Autonomous Motivation. Children. 2022;9(9):1309.

313. Bringolf-Isler B, Schindler C, Kayser B, Suggs LS, Probst-Hensch N, Isler SSGNMUMTWNSKFAPJSLGCB-FCdHS. Objectively measured physical activity in population-representative parent-child pairs: Parental modelling matters and is context-specific. BMC Public Health. 2018;18:1-15.

314. Tremblay MS, Aubert S, Barnes JD, Saunders TJ, Carson V, Latimer-Cheung AE, et al. Sedentary behavior research network (SBRN)–terminology consensus project process and outcome. International journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity. 2017;14:1-17.

315. Lear SA, Hu W, Rangarajan S, Gasevic D, Leong D, Iqbal R, et al. The effect of physical activity on mortality and cardiovascular disease in 130 000 people from 17 high-income, middle-income, and low-income countries: the PURE study. The Lancet. 2017;390(10113):2643-54.

316. Lee I-M, Shiroma EJ, Lobelo F, Puska P, Blair SN, Katzmarzyk PT. Effect of physical inactivity on major non-communicable diseases worldwide: an analysis of burden of disease and life expectancy. The lancet. 2012;380(9838):219-29.

317. Ding D, Lawson KD, Kolbe-Alexander TL, Finkelstein EA, Katzmarzyk PT, Van Mechelen W, et al. The economic burden of physical inactivity: a global analysis of major non-communicable diseases. The Lancet. 2016;388(10051):1311-24.

318. Brownson RC, Boehmer TK, Luke DA. Declining rates of physical activity in the United States: what are the contributors? Annu Rev Public Health. 2005;26:421-43.
319. Church TS, Thomas DM, Tudor-Locke C, Katzmarzyk PT, Earnest CP, Rodarte RQ, et al. Trends over 5 decades in US occupation-related physical activity and their associations with obesity. PloS one. 2011;6(5):e19657.

320. Buliung RN, Mitra R, Faulkner G. Active school transportation in the Greater Toronto Area, Canada: an exploration of trends in space and time (1986–2006). Preventive medicine. 2009;48(6):507-12.

321. Costa FF, Silva KS, Schmoelz CP, Campos VC, de Assis MAA. Longitudinal and crosssectional changes in active commuting to school among Brazilian schoolchildren. Preventive Medicine. 2012;55(3):212-4.

322. Cui Z, Bauman A, Dibley MJ. Temporal trends and correlates of passive commuting to and from school in children from 9 provinces in China. Preventive medicine. 2011;52(6):423-7.

323. Grize L, Bringolf-Isler B, Martin E, Braun-Fahrländer C. Trend in active transportation to school among Swiss school children and its associated factors: three cross-sectional surveys 1994, 2000 and 2005. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2010;7(1):1-8.

324. Patterson R, McNamara E, Tainio M, de Sá TH, Smith AD, Sharp SJ, et al. Sedentary behaviour and risk of all-cause, cardiovascular and cancer mortality, and incident type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and dose response meta-analysis. European journal of epidemiology. 2018;33:811-29.

325. Behaviour S. Letter to the editor: Standardized use of the terms "sedentary" and "sedentary behaviours.". Ment Health Phys Act. 2013;6(1):55-6.

326. Carson V, Hunter S, Kuzik N, Gray CE, Poitras VJ, Chaput J-P, et al. Systematic review of sedentary behaviour and health indicators in school-aged children and youth: an update. Applied physiology, nutrition, and metabolism. 2016;41(6):S240-S65.

327. Liu M, Wu L, Yao S. Dose–response association of screen time-based sedentary behaviour in children and adolescents and depression: a meta-analysis of observational studies. British journal of sports medicine. 2016;50(20):1252-8.

328. Department of Health. Australia's physical activity and sedentary behaviour guidelines for adults (18–64 years). 2014.

329. Young DR, Hivert M-F, Alhassan S, Camhi SM, Ferguson JF, Katzmarzyk PT, et al. Sedentary behavior and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality: a science advisory from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2016;134(13):e262-e79.

330. Christakis NA, Fowler JH. The spread of obesity in a large social network over 32 years. New England journal of medicine. 2007;357(4):370-9.

331. Christakis NA, Fowler JH. Social contagion theory: examining dynamic social networks and human behavior. Statistics in medicine. 2013;32(4):556-77.

332. Burgess LG, Riddell PM, Fancourt A, Murayama K. The influence of social contagion within education: A motivational perspective. Mind, Brain, and Education. 2018;12(4):164-74.

333. O'Malley AJ, Christakis NA. Longitudinal analysis of large social networks: Estimating the effect of health traits on changes in friendship ties. Statistics in medicine. 2011;30(9):950-64. 334. Simonelli F, Guerreiro AIF, Simonelli I, di Pasquale C. Six assertions about the salutogenic approach and health promotion. Italian Journal of Public Health. 2010;7(2).

335. Mato M, Tsukasaki K. Modeling the factors associating with health-related habits among Japanese students. Health Promotion International. 2019;34(2):300-11.

336. Wu Y-H, Moore S, Dube L. Social capital and obesity among adults: Longitudinal findings from the Montreal neighborhood networks and healthy aging panel. Preventive Medicine. 2018;111:366-70.

337. Putnam RD, Leonardi R, Nanetti RY. Making democracy work: Civic traditions in modern Italy: Princeton university press; 1992.

338. Kritsotakis G, Chatzi L, Vassilaki M, Georgiou V, Kogevinas M, Philalithis AE, et al. Social capital, tolerance of diversity and adherence to Mediterranean diet: the Rhea Mother– Child Cohort in Crete, Greece. Public health nutrition. 2015;18(7):1300-7.

339. Bassett E, Moore S. Social capital and depressive symptoms: the association of psychosocial and network dimensions of social capital with depressive symptoms in Montreal, Canada. Social science & medicine. 2013;86:96-102.

340. Ehsan A, Klaas HS, Bastianen A, Spini D. Social capital and health: A systematic review of systematic reviews. SSM-population health. 2019;8:100425.

341. Wilmot NA, Dauner KN. Examination of the influence of social capital on depression in fragile families. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2017;71(3):296-302.

342. Gilbert KL, Quinn SC, Goodman RM, Butler J, Wallace J. A meta-analysis of social capital and health: a case for needed research. Journal of health psychology. 2013;18(11):1385-99.

343. Hommerich C, Tiefenbach T. Analyzing the relationship between social capital and subjective well-being: The mediating role of social affiliation. Journal of Happiness Studies. 2018;19:1091-114.

344. De Silva MJ, McKenzie K, Harpham T, Huttly SR. Social capital and mental illness: a systematic review. Journal of epidemiology & community health. 2005;59(8):619-27.

345. Han K-M, Han C, Shin C, Jee H-J, An H, Yoon H-K, et al. Social capital, socioeconomic status, and depression in community-living elderly. Journal of psychiatric research. 2018;98:133-40.

346. Flores EC, Fuhr DC, Bayer AM, Lescano AG, Thorogood N, Simms V. Mental health impact of social capital interventions: a systematic review. Social psychiatry and psychiatric epidemiology. 2018;53:107-19.

347. McPherson KE, Kerr S, McGee E, Morgan A, Cheater FM, McLean J, et al. The association between social capital and mental health and behavioural problems in children and adolescents: an integrative systematic review. BMC psychology. 2014;2:1-16.

348. Matthews P, Besemer K. Poverty and social networks evidence review. 2014.

349. Mackenbach JD, Lakerveld J, van Lenthe FJ, Kawachi I, McKee M, Rutter H, et al. Neighbourhood social capital: measurement issues and associations with health outcomes. Obesity reviews. 2016;17:96-107.

350. Hystad P, Carpiano RM. Sense of community-belonging and health-behaviour change in Canada. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2012;66(3):277-83.

351. Lindström M. Social capital, desire to increase physical activity and leisure-time physical activity: A population-based study. Public health. 2011;125(7):442-7.

352. Macdonald-Wallis K, Jago R, Sterne JA. Social network analysis of childhood and youth physical activity: a systematic review. American journal of preventive medicine. 2012;43(6):636-42.

353. Pachucki MA, Jacques PF, Christakis NA. Social network concordance in food choice among spouses, friends, and siblings. American journal of public health. 2011;101(11):2170-7.

354. Wind TR, Villalonga-Olives E. Social capital interventions in public health: moving towards why social capital matters for health. BMJ Publishing Group Ltd; 2019. p. 793-5.

355. Tsang SK, Hui EK, Law B. Self-efficacy as a positive youth development construct: a conceptual review. The Scientific World Journal. 2012;2012.

356. Posadzki P, Glass N. Self-efficacy and the sense of coherence: narrative review and a conceptual synthesis. The scientific world journal. 2009;9:924-33.

357. Bandura A. Health promotion from the perspective of social cognitive theory. Psychology and health. 1998;13(4):623-49.

358. Luszczynska A, Gutiérrez-Doña B, Schwarzer R. General self-efficacy in various domains of human functioning: Evidence from five countries. International journal of Psychology. 2005;40(2):80-9.

359. Trap R, Rejkjær L, Hansen EH. Empirical relations between sense of coherence and self-efficacy, National Danish Survey. Health promotion international. 2015;31(3):635-43.

360. Mahamid FA. Collective trauma, quality of life and resilience in narratives of third generation Palestinian refugee children. Child Indicators Research. 2020;13:2181-204.

361. Super S, Wagemakers M, Picavet H, Verkooijen K, Koelen M. Strengthening sense of coherence: opportunities for theory building in health promotion. Health promotion international. 2016;31(4):869-78.

362. Mosher CE, Fuemmeler BF, Sloane R, Kraus WE, Lobach DF, Snyder DC, et al. Change in self-efficacy partially mediates the effects of the FRESH START intervention on cancer survivors' dietary outcomes. Psycho-Oncology: Journal of the Psychological, Social and Behavioral Dimensions of Cancer. 2008;17(10):1014-23.

363. Rajati F, Sadeghi M, Feizi A, Sharifirad G, Hasandokht T, Mostafavi F. Self-efficacy strategies to improve exercise in patients with heart failure: A systematic review. ARYA atherosclerosis. 2014;10(6):319.

364. Anderson-Bill ES, Winett RA, Wojcik JR. Social cognitive determinants of nutrition and physical activity among web-health users enrolling in an online intervention: the influence of social support, self-efficacy, outcome expectations, and self-regulation. Journal of medical Internet research. 2011;13(1):e1551.

365. Nammontri O, Robinson P, Baker S. Enhancing oral health via sense of coherence: a cluster-randomized trial. Journal of Dental Research. 2013;92(1):26-31.

366. Edington DW, Schultz AB, Pitts JS, Camilleri A. The future of health promotion in the 21st century: a focus on the working population. American journal of lifestyle medicine. 2016;10(4):242-52.

367. Lekše R, Godec D, Prosen M. Determining the Impact of Lifestyle on the Health of Primary School Children in Slovenia Through Mixed Membership Focus Groups. Journal of Community Health. 2023:1-13.

368. Winpenny EM, van Sluijs EM, White M, Klepp K-I, Wold B, Lien N. Changes in diet through adolescence and early adulthood: longitudinal trajectories and association with key life transitions. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2018;15(1):1-9. 369. Viner R, Macfarlane A. Health promotion. Bmj. 2005;330(7490):527-9.

370. Aune D, Chan DS, Lau R, Vieira R, Greenwood DC, Kampman E, et al. Dietary fibre, whole grains, and risk of colorectal cancer: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies. Bmj. 2011;343.

371. Tao T, Shao R, Hu Y. The effects of childhood circumstances on health in middle and later life: evidence from China. Frontiers in public health. 2021;9:642520.

372. Federal Statistical Office. Current situation and change 2021 [Available from: https://www.bfs.admin.ch/bfs/en/home/statistics/population/effectif-change/population.html.

373. Federal Statistical Office. Health: Pocket Statistics 2023. 2023.

374. Issa M, Kamal MM, Ali MA. Multilingualism in Switzerland: An Overview. Journal of European Studies (JES). 2022;38(2):55-63.

375. Federal Statistical Office. Statistical Data on Switzerland 2021. 2021.

376. OBSAN. Indicators - The Obsan uses indicators to record relevant aspects of the population's health and the health care system 2020 [cited 2023 February 28]. Available from: <u>https://ind.obsan.admin.ch/en</u>.

377. Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics. Palestinians at the End of 2022. 2022.

378. Hamed C. Exploring Palestinian Culture and its Educational Practices through Hofstede's Lens.

379. Jaber NZ. An Analysis of the Palestinian Culture Using Hofstede's Cultural Framework and its' Implication on Teachers' Classroom Practices and Student's Cultural Identity. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences. 2015;205:292-5.

380. Adaman F, Odabas M. Furthering the link between social capital and corruption. Social capital and economics: Social values, power, and social identity. 2014;20:82-97.

381. VanLandeghem K, Curtis D, Abrams M. Reasons and strategies for strengthening childhood development services in the healthcare system: National Academy for State Health Policy Portland; 2002.

382. Zaky EA. Adolescence: A crucial transitional stage in human life. J Child Adolesc Behav. 2016;4(4):e115.

383. Alfvén T, Dahlstrand J, Humphreys D, Helldén D, Hammarstrand S, Hollander A-C, et al. Placing children and adolescents at the centre of the Sustainable Development Goals will deliver for current and future generations. Global health action. 2019;12(1):1670015.

384. World Health Organization. Programme on mental health: WHOQOL user manual. World Health Organization; 1998.

385. Phyo AZZ, Freak-Poli R, Craig H, Gasevic D, Stocks NP, Gonzalez-Chica DA, et al. Quality of life and mortality in the general population: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC public health. 2020;20:1-20.

386. Helseth S, Lund T. Assessing health-related quality of life in adolescents: some psychometric properties of the first Norwegian version of KINDL®. Scandinavian journal of caring sciences. 2005;19(2):102-9.

387. Fernandez-Lopez J, Fidalgo F, Cieza A, Ravens-Sieberer U. Measuring health-related quality of life in children and adolescents: preliminary validation and reliability of the Spanish version of the KINDL questionnaire. Atencion Primaria. 2004;33(8):434-42.

388. Karchynskaya V, Kopcakova J, Madarasova Geckova A, de Winter AF, Reijneveld SA. Does it fit better? Measures of physical activity among adolescents in relation to health indicators. European journal of public health. 2022;32(6):900-4.

389. World Health Organization. Physical activity 2020 [Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/physical-activity.

390. Farooq A, Martin A, Janssen X, Wilson MG, Gibson AM, Hughes A, et al. Longitudinal changes in moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity in children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Obesity Reviews. 2020;21(1):e12953.

391. Bisegger C, Cloetta B, Von Bisegger U, Abel T, Ravens-Sieberer U, Group EK. Healthrelated quality of life: gender differences in childhood and adolescence. Sozial-und Präventivmedizin. 2005;50:281-91.

392. Marquez DX, Aguiñaga S, Vásquez PM, Conroy DE, Erickson KI, Hillman C, et al. A systematic review of physical activity and quality of life and well-being. Translational behavioral medicine. 2020;10(5):1098-109.

393. Bermejo-Cantarero A, Sánchez-López M, Álvarez-Bueno C, Redondo-Tébar A, García-Hermoso A, Martínez-Vizcaino V. Are Physical Activity Interventions Effective in Improving Health-Related Quality of Life in Children and Adolescents? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Sports Health. 2023:19417381231190885.

394. Lamprecht M, Bürgi R, Gebert A, Stamm H. Sport Schweiz 2020: Kinder-und Jugendbericht: Markus Lamprecht [et al.]; Schweizer Sportobservatorium. 2021.

395. Robusto KM, Trost SG. Comparison of three generations of ActiGraph<sup>™</sup> activity monitors in children and adolescents. Journal of sports sciences. 2012;30(13):1429-35.

396. Trost SG, Mciver KL, Pate RR. Conducting accelerometer-based activity assessments in field-based research. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2005;37(11):S531.

397. Freedson P, Pober D, Janz KF. Calibration of accelerometer output for children. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise. 2005;37(11):S523-S30.

398. Troiano RP, Berrigan D, Dodd KW, Masse LC, Tilert T, McDowell M. Physical activity in the United States measured by accelerometer. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2008;40(1):181.

399. Bringolf-Isler B, Hänggi J, Kayser B, Suggs SL, Dössegger A, Probst-Hensch N. COVID-19 pandemic and health related quality of life in primary school children in Switzerland: a repeated cross-sectional study. Swiss Medical Weekly. 2021;151(45):w30071.

400. Wong J, Wirrell E. Physical activity in children/teens with epilepsy compared with that in their siblings without epilepsy. Epilepsia. 2006;47(3):631-9.

401. Gurcay E, Eksioglu E, Ezer U, Tuncay R, Cakci A. Functional disability in children with hemophilic arthropathy. Rheumatology international. 2006;26:1031-5.

402. Hedeker D. An introduction to growth modeling. The Sage handbook of quantitative methodology for the social sciences. 2004:215-34.

403. Wunsch K, Nigg CR, Weyland S, Jekauc D, Niessner C, Burchartz A, et al. The relationship of self-reported and device-based measures of physical activity and health-related quality of life in adolescents. Health and quality of life outcomes. 2021;19(1):1-10.

404. Eime RM, Young JA, Harvey JT, Charity MJ, Payne WR. A systematic review of the psychological and social benefits of participation in sport for children and adolescents: informing development of a conceptual model of health through sport. International journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity. 2013;10(1):1-21.

405. Andersen JR, Natvig GK, Aadland E, Moe VF, Kolotkin RL, Anderssen SA, et al. Associations between health-related quality of life, cardiorespiratory fitness, muscle strength, physical activity and waist circumference in 10-year-old children: the ASK study. Quality of life research. 2017;26:3421-8.

406. Gall S, Walter C, Du Randt R, Adams L, Joubert N, Müller I, et al. Changes in selfreported physical activity predict health-related quality of life among South African schoolchildren: Findings from the DASH intervention trial. Frontiers in public health. 2020;8:492618.

407. Vaquero-Solís M, Tapia-Serrano MA, Hortigüela-Alcalá D, Sierra-Díaz MJ, Sánchez-Miguel PA. Physical activity and quality of life in high school students: Proposals for improving the self-concept in physical education. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2021;18(13):7185.

408. Jensen CD, Cushing CC, Elledge AR. Associations between teasing, quality of life, and physical activity among preadolescent children. Journal of Pediatric Psychology. 2013;39(1):65-73.

409. Wunsch K, Nigg C, Niessner C, Schmidt SC, Oriwol D, Hanssen-Doose A, et al. The impact of COVID-19 on the interrelation of physical activity, screen time and health-related quality of life in children and adolescents in Germany: results of the Motorik-Modul Study. Children. 2021;8(2):98.

410. Tilga H, Kalajas-Tilga H, Hein V, Raudsepp L, Koka A. Perceived autonomy support from peers, parents, and physical education teachers as predictors of physical activity and health-related quality of life among adolescents—a one-year longitudinal study. Education Sciences. 2021;11(9):457.

411. Omorou AY, Langlois J, Lecomte E, Briançon S, Vuillemin A. Cumulative and bidirectional association of physical activity and sedentary behaviour with health-related quality of life in adolescents. Quality of life Research. 2016;25:1169-78.

412. Groß D, Schröder I, Wasserfall N, Eschenbeck H, Kohlmann CW. The reciprocal interplay of physical activity and health-related quality of life in children and adolescents: Evidence for both upward and downward spirals. Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being. 2022.

413. Organization WH. Measurement of quality of life in children: Report of a WHO/IACAPAP working party. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization. 1993.

414. United Nations, Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989 [Available from: http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/CRC.aspx.

415. Kupiec T, Wojtowicz D. 'Quality of life'concept in Cohesion Policy evaluation in Poland, 2004–2020. Evaluation and Program Planning. 2022;94:102153.

416. Marker AM, Steele RG, Noser AE. Physical activity and health-related quality of life in children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Health Psychology. 2018;37(10):893.

417. Chaput J-P, Willumsen J, Bull F, Chou R, Ekelund U, Firth J, et al. 2020 WHO guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour for children and adolescents aged 5–17 years: summary of the evidence. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2020;17:1-9.

418. Abdelaleem EA, Ezzat DA, Mostafa GR. Functional disability and health-related quality of life in juvenile idiopathic arthritis children from Beni-Suef. Egyptian Rheumatology and Rehabilitation. 2021;48(1):1-7.

419. Ayala-Guzmán CI, Ramos-Ibáñez N, Ortiz-Hernández L. Accelerometry does not match with self-reported physical activity and sedentary behaviors in Mexican children. Boletín Médico Del Hospital Infantil de México. 2017;74(4):272-81.

420. Elliott SA, Baxter KA, Davies PS, Truby H. Accuracy of self-reported physical activity levels in obese adolescents. Journal of nutrition and metabolism. 2014;2014.

421. Määttä S, Nuutinen T, Ray C, Eriksson JG, Weiderpass E, Roos E. Validity of selfreported out-of-school physical activity among Finnish 11-year-old children. Archives of Public Health. 2016;74:1-5. 422. Sprengeler O, Wirsik N, Hebestreit A, Herrmann D, Ahrens W. Domain-specific selfreported and objectively measured physical activity in children. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2017;14(3):242.

423. Gao Z, Lee JE. Emerging technology in promoting physical activity and health: challenges and opportunities. Journal of clinical medicine. 2019;8(11):1830.

424. Shin G, Jarrahi MH, Fei Y, Karami A, Gafinowitz N, Byun A, et al. Wearable activity trackers, accuracy, adoption, acceptance and health impact: A systematic literature review. Journal of biomedical informatics. 2019;93:103153.

425. Barisic A, Leatherdale ST, Kreiger N. Importance of frequency, intensity, time and type (FITT) in physical activity assessment for epidemiological research. Canadian Journal of Public Health. 2011;102:174-5.

426. Ihle A, Gouveia BR, Gouveia ÉR, Cheval B, Nascimento MdM, Conceição L, et al. Physical activity dimensions differentially predict physical and mental components of health-related quality of life: evidence from a sport for all study. Sustainability. 2021;13(23):13370.

427. Powell KE, Paluch AE, Blair SN. Physical activity for health: What kind? How much? How intense? On top of what? Annual review of public health. 2011;32:349-65.

428. Warburton DE, Bredin SS. Health benefits of physical activity: a systematic review of current systematic reviews. Current opinion in cardiology. 2017;32(5):541-56.

429. Hupin D, Roche F, Gremeaux V, Chatard J-C, Oriol M, Gaspoz J-M, et al. Even a lowdose of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity reduces mortality by 22% in adults aged≥ 60 years: a systematic review and meta-analysis. British journal of sports medicine. 2015;49(19):1262-7.

430. Kandola A, Lewis G, Osborn DP, Stubbs B, Hayes JF. Depressive symptoms and objectively measured physical activity and sedentary behaviour throughout adolescence: a prospective cohort study. The Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7(3):262-71.

431. Warburton DE, Bredin SS. Reflections on physical activity and health: what should we recommend? Canadian Journal of Cardiology. 2016;32(4):495-504.

432. Brazendale K, Beets MW, Armstrong B, Weaver RG, Hunt ET, Pate RR, et al. Children's moderate-to-vigorous physical activity on weekdays versus weekend days: a multicountry analysis. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2021;18:1-13.

433. Burchartz A, Oriwol D, Kolb S, Schmidt SC, von Haaren-Mack B, Niessner C, et al. Impact of weekdays versus weekend days on accelerometer measured physical behavior among children and adolescents: results from the MoMo study. German Journal of Exercise and Sport Research. 2022;52(2):218-27.

434. Brazendale K, Beets MW, Weaver RG, Pate RR, Turner-McGrievy GM, Kaczynski AT, et al. Understanding differences between summer vs. school obesogenic behaviors of children: the structured days hypothesis. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2017;14(1):1-14.

435. Thompson D, Batterham AM. Towards integrated physical activity profiling. PLoS One. 2013;8(2):e56427.

436. Rowlands AV. Moving forward with accelerometer-assessed physical activity: two strategies to ensure meaningful, interpretable, and comparable measures. Pediatric exercise science. 2018;30(4):450-6.

437. Diaz C, Caillaud C, Yacef K. Mining sensor data to assess changes in physical activity behaviors in health interventions: Systematic review. JMIR Medical Informatics. 2023;11(1):e41153.

438. Chastin S, Granat MH. Methods for objective measure, quantification and analysis of sedentary behaviour and inactivity. Gait & posture. 2010;31(1):82-6.

439. Barnes CM, Clark CC, Rees P, Stratton G, Summers HD. Objective profiling of varied human motion based on normative assessment of magnetometer time series data. Physiological Measurement. 2018;39(4):045007.

440. Bringolf-Isler B, De Hoogh K, Schindler C, Kayser B, Suggs LS, Dössegger A, et al. Sedentary behaviour in Swiss children and adolescents: disentangling associations with the

perceived and objectively measured environment. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2018;15(5):918.

441. Vanhelst J, Béghin L, Duhamel A, Bergman P, Sjöström M, Gottrand F. Comparison of uniaxial and triaxial accelerometry in the assessment of physical activity among adolescents under free-living conditions: the HELENA study. BMC medical research methodology. 2012;12:1-6.

442. Trost SG, Loprinzi PD, Moore R, Pfeiffer KA. Comparison of accelerometer cut points for predicting activity intensity in youth. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise. 2011;43(7):1360-8.

443. Giorgino T. Computing and visualizing dynamic time warping alignments in R: the dtw package. Journal of statistical Software. 2009;31:1-24.

444. Berndt DJ, Clifford J, editors. Using dynamic time warping to find patterns in time series.
Proceedings of the 3rd international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining; 1994.
445. Kate RJ. Using dynamic time warping distances as features for improved time series

classification. Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery. 2016;30:283-312. 446. Kaufman L. Partitioning around medoids (program pam). Finding groups in data. 1990;344:68-125.

447. Rdusseeun L, Kaufman P, editors. Clustering by means of medoids. Proceedings of the statistical data analysis based on the L1 norm conference, neuchatel, switzerland; 1987.

448. Schubert E, Rousseeuw PJ, editors. Faster k-medoids clustering: improving the PAM, CLARA, and CLARANS algorithms. Similarity Search and Applications: 12th International Conference, SISAP 2019, Newark, NJ, USA, October 2–4, 2019, Proceedings 12; 2019: Springer.

449. R Core Team. Foundation for Statistical Computing. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. 2022.

450. Rowlands AV, Edwardson CL, Davies MJ, Khunti K, Harrington DM, Yates T. Beyond Cut Points: Accelerometer Metrics that Capture the Physical Activity Profile. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2018;50(6):1323-32.

451. Leis R, Jurado-Castro JM, Llorente-Cantarero FJ, Anguita-Ruiz A, Iris Ruperez A, Bedoya-Carpente JJ, et al. Cluster analysis of physical activity patterns, and relationship with sedentary behavior and healthy lifestyles in prepubertal children: Genobox cohort. Nutrients. 2020;12(5):1288.

452. Clark S, Lomax N, Morris M, Pontin F, Birkin M. Clustering Accelerometer Activity Patterns from the UK Biobank Cohort. Sensors. 2021;21(24):8220.

453. Leech RM, McNaughton SA, Timperio A. The clustering of diet, physical activity and sedentary behavior in children and adolescents: a review. International journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity. 2014;11(1):1-9.

454. Jago R, Salway R, Lawlor DA, Emm-Collison L, Heron J, Thompson JL, et al. Profiles of children's physical activity and sedentary behaviour between age 6 and 9: a latent profile and transition analysis. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2018;15(1):1-12.

455. Volmut T, Pišot R, Planinšec J, Šimunič B. Physical activity drops during summer holidays for 6-to 9-year-old children. Frontiers in public health. 2021;8:631141.

456. Fairclough SJ, Butcher ZH, Stratton G. Whole-day and segmented-day physical activity variability of northwest England school children. Preventive medicine. 2007;44(5):421-5.

457. Jago R, Anderson CB, Baranowski T, Watson K. Adolescent patterns of physical activity: Differences by gender, day, and time of day. American journal of preventive medicine. 2005;28(5):447-52.

458. O'Donovan G, Lee I-M, Hamer M, Stamatakis E. Association of "weekend warrior" and other leisure time physical activity patterns with risks for all-cause, cardiovascular disease, and cancer mortality. JAMA internal medicine. 2017;177(3):335-42.

459. Eddolls WT, McNarry MA, Lester L, Winn CO, Stratton G, Mackintosh KA. The association between physical activity, fitness and body mass index on mental well-being and quality of life in adolescents. Quality of Life Research. 2018;27:2313-20.

460. Maglica L, Karninčić H, Penjak A, Drašinac G. Physical activity and quality of life in adolescents and orphans. 2020.

461. Lucena JMSd, Loch MR, Silva ECdC, Farias Júnior JCd. Sedentary behavior and health-related quality of life in adolescents. Ciência & Saúde Coletiva. 2022;27:2143-52.

462. Pengpid S, Peltzer K. High sedentary behaviour and low physical activity are associated with anxiety and depression in Myanmar and Vietnam. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2019;16(7):1251.

463. Gopinath B, Hardy LL, Baur LA, Burlutsky G, Mitchell P. Physical activity and sedentary behaviors and health-related quality of life in adolescents. Pediatrics. 2012;130(1):e167-e74.

464. Ávila-García M, Esojo-Rivas M, Villa-González E, Tercedor P, Huertas-Delgado FJ. Relationship between sedentary time, physical activity, and health-related quality of life in Spanish children. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2021;18(5):2702.

465. Groessl EJ, Kaplan RM, Rejeski WJ, Katula JA, Glynn NW, King AC, et al. Physical activity and performance impact long-term quality of life in older adults at risk for major mobility disability. American journal of preventive medicine. 2019;56(1):141-6.

466. Mackey DC, Ekegren CL, Baldwin C, Young PJ, Gray SM, Ciok A, et al. Outcome domains measured in randomized controlled trials of physical activity for older adults: a rapid review. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2023;20(1):1-13.

467. Resaland GK, Aadland E, Moe VF, Kolotkin RL, Anderssen SA, Andersen JR. Effects of a physical activity intervention on schoolchildren's health-related quality of life: The active smarter kids (ASK) cluster-randomized controlled trial. Preventive medicine reports. 2019;13:1-4.

468. Hartmann T, Zahner L, Pühse U, Puder JJ, Kriemler S. Effects of a school-based physical activity program on physical and psychosocial quality of life in elementary school children: a cluster-randomized trial. Pediatric Exercise Science. 2010;22(4):511-22.

469. Ellert U, Ravens-Sieberer U, Erhart M, Kurth B-M. Determinants of agreement between self-reported and parent-assessed quality of life for children in Germany-results of the German Health Interview and Examination Survey for Children and Adolescents (KiGGS). Health and Quality of Life Outcomes. 2011;9:1-11.

470. World Health Organization. Physical activity profile 2019, Switzerland 2019.

471. World Health Organization. Noncommunicable diseases country profiles 2018. 2018.

472. Al-Sabbah H, editor Overweight and obesity among university students in Palestine. International Conference and Exhibition on Nutritional Science and Therapy; 2012.

473. Tucktuck M, Ghandour R, Abu-Rmeileh NM. Waterpipe and cigarette tobacco smoking among Palestinian university students: a cross-sectional study. BMC public health. 2018;18(1):1-12.

474. Massad SG, Shaheen M, Karam R, Brown R, Glick P, Linnemay S, et al. Substance use among Palestinian youth in the West Bank, Palestine: a qualitative investigation. BMC Public Health. 2016;16:1-9.

475. El Ansari W, Berg-Beckhoff G. Country and gender-specific achievement of healthy nutrition and physical activity guidelines: Latent class analysis of 6266 university students in Egypt, Libya, and Palestine. Nutrients. 2017;9(7):738.

476. Marie M, SaadAdeen S, Battat M. Anxiety Disorders and PTSD in Palestine: A systematic review. 2020.

477. Verma M, Grover S, Tripathy JP, Singh T, Nagaraja SB, Kathirvel S, et al. Co-existing non-communicable diseases and mental illnesses amongst the elderly in Punjab, India. European endocrinology. 2019;15(2):106.

478. Udedi M, Pence B, Kauye F, Muula AS. The effect of depression management on diabetes and hypertension outcomes in low-and middle-income countries: a systematic review protocol. Systematic Reviews. 2018;7(1):1-5.

479. Mato M, Tsukasaki K. Factors promoting sense of coherence among university students in urban areas of Japan: individual-level social capital, self-efficacy, and mental health. Global health promotion. 2019;26(1):60-8.

480. Fowler JH, Christakis NA. Dynamic spread of happiness in a large social network: longitudinal analysis over 20 years in the Framingham Heart Study. Bmj. 2008;337.

481. Rosenquist JN, Fowler JH, Christakis NA. Social network determinants of depression. Molecular psychiatry. 2011;16(3):273-81.

482. Lobelo F, Duperly J, Frank E. Physical activity habits of doctors and medical students influence their counselling practices. British journal of sports medicine. 2009;43(2):89-92.

483. Frank E, Dresner Y, Shani M, Vinker S. The association between physicians' and patients' preventive health practices. Cmaj. 2013;185(8):649-53.

484. Houalla N, Al-Jawaldeh AE, Bagchi K, Hachem F, El Ati J, Omidvar N, et al. Promoting a healthy diet for the WHO Eastern Mediterranean Region: user-friendly guide2012.

485. Committee IR. Guidelines for data processing and analysis of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ)-short and long forms. <u>http://www</u> ipaq ki se/scoring pdf. 2005.

486. Smyth CA. Evaluating sleep quality in older adults: the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index can be used to detect sleep disturbances or deficits. AJN The American Journal of Nursing. 2008;108(5):42-50.

487. World Health Organization. Body mass index - BMI [Available from: <u>https://www.who.int/europe/news-room/fact-sheets/item/a-healthy-lifestyle---who-</u>recommendations.

488. Topp CW, Østergaard SD, Søndergaard S, Bech P. The WHO-5 Well-Being Index: a systematic review of the literature. Psychotherapy and psychosomatics. 2015;84(3):167-76.

489. Lovibond SH, Lovibond PF, Psychology Foundation of A. Manual for the depression anxiety stress scales. 2nd ed ed. Sydney, N.S.W.: Psychology Foundation of Australia; 1995.

490. Yari A, Nadrian H, Rashidian H, Nedjat S, Esmaeilnasab N, Doroudi R, et al. Psychometric properties of the Persian version of Social Capital Questionnaire in Iran. Medical journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran. 2014;28:17.

491. Holmefur M, Sundberg K, Wettergren L, Langius-Eklöf A. Measurement properties of the 13-item sense of coherence scale using Rasch analysis. Quality of Life Research. 2015;24:1455-63.

492. Möllerberg M-L, Årestedt K, Sandgren A, Benzein E, Swahnberg K. Adaptation and psychometric evaluation of the short version of Family Sense of Coherence Scale in a sample of persons with cancer in the palliative stage and their family members. Palliative & supportive care. 2020;18(1):24-32.

493. Teague S, Youssef GJ, Macdonald JA, Sciberras E, Shatte A, Fuller-Tyszkiewicz M, et al. Retention strategies in longitudinal cohort studies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC medical research methodology. 2018;18:1-22.

494. Spiers S, Oral E, Fontham ET, Peters ES, Mohler JL, Bensen JT, et al. Modelling attrition and nonparticipation in a longitudinal study of prostate cancer. BMC medical research methodology. 2018;18:1-10.

495. Eisner NL, Murray AL, Eisner M, Ribeaud D. A practical guide to the analysis of nonresponse and attrition in longitudinal research using a real data example. International Journal of Behavioral Development. 2019;43(1):24-34.

496. Young AF, Powers JR, Bell SL. Attrition in longitudinal studies: who do you lose? Australian and New Zealand journal of public health. 2006;30(4):353-61.

497. Keyes KM, Jager J, Platt J, Rutherford C, Patrick ME, Kloska DD, et al. When does attrition lead to biased estimates of alcohol consumption? Bias analysis for loss to follow-up in 30 longitudinal cohorts. International journal of methods in psychiatric research. 2020;29(4):1-9. 498. Kristman VL, Manno M, Côté P. Methods to account for attrition in longitudinal data: do they work? A simulation study. European journal of epidemiology. 2005:657-62.

499. Desa U. Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development. 2016. 500. Mosleh M, Aljeesh Y, Dalal K. Burden of chronic diseases in the Palestinian healthcare sector using disability-adjusted life years (DALY), Palestine. Diversity and equality in health and care. 2016;13(3):261-8.

501. Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics. Population, housing and establishments census 2017. 2018.

502. Brehm B, Summer S, Khoury J, Filak A, Lieberman M, Heubi J. Health status and lifestyle habits of US medical students: a longitudinal study. Annals of medical and health sciences research. 2016;6(6):341-7.

503. Mazurek Melnyk B, Slevin C, Militello L, Hoying J, Teall A, McGovern C. Physical health, lifestyle beliefs and behaviors, and mental health of entering graduate health professional students: Evidence to support screening and early intervention. Journal of the American Association of Nurse Practitioners. 2016;28(4):204-11.

504. Tawfik DS, Profit J, Morgenthaler TI, Satele DV, Sinsky CA, Dyrbye LN, et al., editors. Physician burnout, well-being, and work unit safety grades in relationship to reported medical errors. Mayo Clinic Proceedings; 2018: Elsevier.

505. Hall LH, Johnson J, Heyhoe J, Watt I, Anderson K, O'Connor DB. Exploring the impact of primary care physician burnout and well-being on patient care: a focus group study. Journal of patient safety. 2020;16(4):e278-e83.

506. Trockel MT, Menon NK, Rowe SG, Stewart MT, Smith R, Lu M, et al. Assessment of physician sleep and wellness, burnout, and clinically significant medical errors. JAMA network open. 2020;3(12):e2028111-e.

507. Zaree TY, Nazari J, Jafarabadi MA, Alinia T. Impact of psychosocial factors on occurrence of medication errors among Tehran public hospitals nurses by evaluating the balance between effort and reward. Safety and health at work. 2018;9(4):447-53.

508. Scheepers RA, Boerebach BC, Arah OA, Heineman MJ, Lombarts KM. A systematic review of the impact of physicians' occupational well-being on the quality of patient care. International journal of behavioral medicine. 2015;22:683-98.

509. Frank E, Carrera JS, Elon L, Hertzberg VS. Predictors of US medical students' prevention counseling practices. Preventive medicine. 2007;44(1):76-81.

510. Yu Y, Yang Y, Li Z, Zhou B, Zhao Y, Yuan S, et al. The association between medical students' lifestyles and their attitudes towards preventive counseling in different countries. BMC public health. 2015;15(1):1-8.

511. Lindstrom B. Seizing the opportunity-a salutogenic approach to public health. SciELO Public Health; 2018. p. 324-6.

512. Carlos S, Rico-Campà A, de la Fuente-Arrillaga C, Echavarri M, Fernandez-Montero A, Gea A, et al. Do healthy doctors deliver better messages of health promotion to their patients?: Data from the SUN cohort study. European journal of public health. 2020;30(3):438-44.

513. Polak R, Finkelstein Á, Axelrod Ť, Dacey M, Cohen M, Muscato Ď, et al. Medical students as health coaches: implementation of a student-initiated lifestyle medicine curriculum. Israel journal of health policy research. 2017;6:1-10.

514. Nguyen-Gillham V, Giacaman R, Naser G, Boyce W. Normalising the abnormal: Palestinian youth and the contradictions of resilience in protracted conflict. Health & social care in the community. 2008;16(3):291-8.

515. Asi YM. Security or Segregation? The Palestinians, the Wall, and Peace. Peace Review. 2020;32(2):149-57.

516. Salem HS. Geopolitical challenges, complexities, and future uncertainties in the Occupied Palestinian Territories: land and population's perspectives. New Middle Eastern Studies. 2020;10(1).

517. Ghandour R, Ghanayem R, Alkhanafsa F, Alsharif A, Asfour H, Hoshiya A, et al. Double burden of covid-19 pandemic and military occupation: Mental health among a palestinian university community in the west bank. Annals of global health. 2020;86(1).

518. Adebisi YA. Undergraduate students' involvement in research: values, benefits, barriers and recommendations. Annals of Medicine and Surgery. 2022;81:104384.

519. Nair CS, Adams P, Mertova P. Student engagement: The key to improving survey response rates. Quality in higher education. 2008;14(3):225-32.

520. Khatamian Far P. Challenges of recruitment and retention of university students as research participants: Lessons learned from a pilot study. Journal of the Australian Library and Information Association. 2018;67(3):278-92.

521. Nader PR, Bradley RH, Houts RM, McRitchie SL, O'Brien M. Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity from ages 9 to 15 years. Jama. 2008;300(3):295-305.

522. Sember V, Jurak G, Kovač M, Đurić S, Starc G. Decline of physical activity in early adolescence: A 3-year cohort study. PloS one. 2020;15(3):e0229305.

523. Bacil EDA, Mazzardo Júnior O, Rech CR, Legnani RFdS, Campos Wd. Physical activity and biological maturation: a systematic review. Revista paulista de pediatria. 2015;33:114-21.

524. Farooq MA, Parkinson KN, Adamson AJ, Pearce MS, Reilly JK, Hughes AR, et al. Timing of the decline in physical activity in childhood and adolescence: Gateshead Millennium Cohort Study. British journal of sports medicine. 2018;52(15):1002-6.

525. Pate RR, Saunders RP, Ross SET, Dowda M. Patterns of age-related change in physical activity during the transition from elementary to high school. Preventive medicine reports. 2022;26:101712.

526. Basterfield L, Adamson AJ, Frary JK, Parkinson KN, Pearce MS, Reilly JJ, et al. Longitudinal study of physical activity and sedentary behavior in children. Pediatrics. 2011;127(1):e24-e30.

527. Pereira S, Reyes AC, Chaves R, Santos C, Vasconcelos O, Tani G, et al. Correlates of the Physical Activity Decline during Childhood. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise. 2022;54(12):2129-37.

528. Bradley R, McRitchie S, Houts R, Nader P, O'brien M. Parenting and the decline of physical activity from age 9 to 15. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2011;8(1):1-10.

529. Brodersen NH, Steptoe A, Boniface DR, Wardle J. Trends in physical activity and sedentary behaviour in adolescence: ethnic and socioeconomic differences. British journal of sports medicine. 2007;41(3):140-4.

530. Dalene K, Anderssen S, Andersen L, Steene-Johannessen J, Ekelund U, Hansen B, et al. Secular and longitudinal physical activity changes in population-based samples of children and adolescents. Scandinavian journal of medicine & science in sports. 2018;28(1):161-71.

531. Reilly JJ. When does it all go wrong? Longitudinal studies of changes in moderate-tovigorous-intensity physical activity across childhood and adolescence. Journal of Exercise Science & Fitness. 2016;14(1):1-6.

532. Telama R, Yang X, Leskinen E, Kankaanpää A, Hirvensalo M, Tammelin T, et al. Tracking of physical activity from early childhood through youth into adulthood. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise. 2014;46(5):955-62.

533. Blasquez Shigaki G, L. Barbosa CC, Batista MB, Romanzini CL, Gonçalves EM, Serassuelo Junior H, et al. Tracking of health-related physical fitness between childhood and adulthood. American Journal of Human Biology. 2020;32(4):e23381.

534. Yang X, Telama R, Leskinen E, Mansikkaniemi K, Viikari J, Raitakari OT. Testing a model of physical activity and obesity tracking from youth to adulthood: the cardiovascular risk in young Finns study. International journal of obesity. 2007;31(3):521-7.

535. Yang X, Telama R, Viikari J, Raitakari OT. Risk of obesity in relation to physical activity tracking from youth to adulthood. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise. 2006;38(5):919-25. 536. Moreno-Agostino D, Daskalopoulou C, Wu Y-T, Koukounari A, Haro JM, Tyrovolas S,

et al. The impact of physical activity on healthy ageing trajectories: evidence from eight cohort studies. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2020;17(1):1-12.

537. Yang X, Telama R, Hirvensalo M, Tammelin T, Viikari JS, Raitakari OT. Active commuting from youth to adulthood and as a predictor of physical activity in early midlife: the young Finns study. Preventive medicine. 2014;59:5-11.

538. Michel G, Bisegger C, Fuhr DC, Abel T, Group K. Age and gender differences in healthrelated quality of life of children and adolescents in Europe: a multilevel analysis. Quality of life research. 2009;18:1147-57.

539. Bolton K, Kremer P, Rossthorn N, Moodie M, Gibbs L, Waters E, et al. The effect of gender and age on the association between weight status and health-related quality of life in Australian adolescents. BMC Public Health. 2014;14:1-8.

540. Meade T, Dowswell E. Health-related quality of life in a sample of Australian adolescents: gender and age comparison. Quality of Life Research. 2015;24:2933-8.

541. Palacio-Vieira J, Villalonga-Olives E, Valderas J, Espallargues M, Herdman M, Berra S, et al. Changes in health-related quality of life (HRQoL) in a population-based sample of children and adolescents after 3 years of follow-up. Quality of Life Research. 2008;17:1207-15.

542. Langeland IO, Sollesnes R, Nilsen RM, Almenning G, Langeland E. Examining boys' and girls' health-related quality of life from the first to the third year of upper secondary school: A prospective longitudinal study. Nursing open. 2019;6(4):1606-14.

543. González-Carrasco M, Casas F, Malo S, Viñas F, Dinisman T. Changes with age in subjective well-being through the adolescent years: Differences by gender. Journal of Happiness studies. 2017;18:63-88.

544. Meade T, Dowswell E. Adolescents' health-related quality of life (HRQoL) changes over time: a three year longitudinal study. Health and quality of life outcomes. 2016;14(1):1-8.

545. Mikkelsen HT, Småstuen MC, Haraldstad K, Helseth S, Skarstein S, Rohde G. Changes in health-related quality of life in adolescents and the impact of gender and selected variables: a two-year longitudinal study. Health and quality of life outcomes. 2022;20(1):1-11.

546. Rajmil L, Palacio-Vieira JA, Herdman M, López-Águilà S, Villalonga-Olives È, Valderas JM, et al. Effect on health-related quality of life of changes in mental health in children and adolescents. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes. 2009;7:1-7.

547. Otto C, Haller A-C, Klasen F, Hölling H, Bullinger M, Ravens-Sieberer U, et al. Risk and protective factors of health-related quality of life in children and adolescents: results of the longitudinal BELLA study. PloS one. 2017;12(12):e0190363.

548. Gillison F, Skevington S, Standage M. Exploring response shift in the quality of life of healthy adolescents over 1 year. Quality of Life Research. 2008;17:997-1008.

549. Kattelmann K, Hofer E, Merfeld C, Meendering J, Olfert M, White J, et al. Quality of life associated with physical activity but not sedentary time in youth. Journal of Childhood Obesity. 2018;3(S1: 001).

550. Breslin G, Fitzpatrick B, Brennan D, Shannon S, Rafferty R, O'Brien W, et al. Physical activity and wellbeing of 8–9 year old children from social disadvantage: An all-Ireland approach to health. Mental Health and Physical Activity. 2017;13:9-14.

551. Wang H, Liu Y, Zhang S, Xu Z, Yang J. Investigating Links between Moderate-to-Vigorous Physical Activity and Self-Rated Health Status in Adolescents: The Mediating Roles of Emotional Intelligence and Psychosocial Stress. Children. 2023;10(7):1106.

552. Shahril MRb, Aung MMT, Yusoff M. Association between physical activity and healthrelated quality of life in children: a cross-sectional study. Health and Quality of life Outcomes. 2016;14(1):1-6.

553. Galan I, Boix R, Medrano MJ, Ramos P, Rivera F, Pastor-Barriuso R, et al. Physical activity and self-reported health status among adolescents: a cross-sectional population-based study. BMJ open. 2013;3(5):e002644.

554. Mitchell TB, Steele RG. Latent profiles of physical activity and sedentary behavior in elementary school-age youth: Associations with health-related quality of life. Journal of pediatric psychology. 2018;43(7):723-32.

555. Leone T, Hammoudeh W, Mitwali S, Lewis D, Kafri R, Lin T, et al. Redefining deprivation in a conflict area: learning from the Palestinian experience using mixed methods. 2021.

556. World Health Organization. Health conditions in the occupied Palestinian teritory, including east Jerusalem, and in the occupied Syrian Golan. 2022.

557. World Health Organization. Surveillance System for Attacks on Health Care 2023 [cited 2023 February 17].

558. World Health Organization. Human rights 2022 [cited 2023 June 26, 2023]. Available from: <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/human-rights-and-health</u>.

559. World Health Organization. World health report 2013: Research for universal health coverage. 2013.

560. Trane M, Marelli L, Siragusa A, Pollo R, Lombardi P. Progress by Research to Achieve the Sustainable Development Goals in the EU: A Systematic Literature Review. Sustainability. 2023;15(9):7055.

561. Valentine NB, Koller TS, Hosseinpoor AR. Monitoring health determinants with an equity focus: a key role in addressing social determinants, universal health coverage, and advancing the 2030 sustainable development agenda. Taylor & Francis; 2016. p. 34247.

562. Ottemöller FG, Matenga TFL, Corbin JH, Nakhuda H, Delobelle P, Ayele C, et al. Reenvisioning health promotion: Thinking and acting salutogenically towards equity for historically resilient communities. Global Health Promotion. 2021;28(4):88-96.

563. Macassa G. Can sustainable health behaviour contribute to ensure healthy lives and wellbeing for all at all ages (SDG 3)? A viewpoint. Journal of Public Health Research. 2021;10(3):jphr. 2021.51.

564. Dybdahl R, Lien L. Mental health is an integral part of the sustainable development goals. Prev Med Commun Health. 2017;1(1):1-3.

565. Theobald S, Brandes N, Gyapong M, El-Saharty S, Proctor E, Diaz T, et al. Implementation research: new imperatives and opportunities in global health. The Lancet. 2018;392(10160):2214-28.

566. Organization WH. Global Forum for Health Research: an overview. 2000.

567. AlKhaldi M, Alkaiyat A, Abed Y, Pfeiffer C, Halaseh R, Salah R, et al. The Palestinian health research system: who orchestrates the system, how and based on what? A qualitative assessment. Health research policy and systems. 2018;16(1):1-15.

568. AlKhaldi M, Alkaiyat A, Pfeiffer C, Haj-Yahia S, Meghari H, Abu Obaid H, et al. Mapping stakeholders of the Palestinian Health Research System: a qualitative study. Eastern Mediterranean health journal= La revue de sante de la Mediterranee orientale= al-Majallah al-sihhiyah li-sharq al-mutawassit. 2020;26(3):340-8.

569. Sweileh WM, Zyoud SeH, Sawalha AF, Abu-Taha A, Hussein A, Al-Jabi SW. Medical and biomedical research productivity from Palestine, 2002–2011. BMC research notes. 2013;6:1-5.

570. Sweileh WM, Zyoud SeH, Al-Jabi SW, Sawalha AF, Al Khalil S. Research output from Palestine (1995–2012): a bibliometric study. International Information & Library Review. 2014;46(3-4):99-112.

571. AlKhaldi M, Abed Y, Pfeiffer C, Haj-Yahia S, Alkaiyat A, Tanner M. Assessing policymakers', academics' and experts' satisfaction with the performance of the Palestinian health research system: a qualitative study. Health research policy and systems. 2018;16(1):1-11.

572. Massad S, Dalloul H, Ramlawi A, Rayyan I, Salman R, Johansson LA. Accuracy of mortality statistics in Palestine: a retrospective cohort study. BMJ open. 2019;9(4):e026640.

573. Albarqouni L, Abu-Rmeileh NM, Elessi K, Obeidallah M, Bjertness E, Chalmers I. The quality of reports of medical and public health research from Palestinian institutions: a systematic review. BMJ open. 2017;7(6):e016455.

574. Albarqouni L, Elessi K, Abu-Rmeileh NM. A comparison between health research output and burden of disease in Arab countries: evidence from Palestine. Health research policy and systems. 2018;16:1-9.

575. Gheorghe A, Gad M, Ismail SA, Chalkidou K. Capacity for health economics research and practice in Jordan, Lebanon, the occupied Palestinian territories and Turkey: needs assessment and options for development. Health Research Policy and Systems. 2020;18(1):1-13.

576. Al Khaldi M, Abed Y, Alkaiyat A, Tanner M. Challenges and prospects in the public health research system in the occupied Palestinian Territory: a qualitative study. The Lancet. 2018;391:S25.

577. AlKhaldi M, Meghari H, Alkaiyat A, Abed Y, Pfeiffer C, Marie M, et al. A vision to strengthen resources and capacity of the Palestinian health research system: a qualitative assessment. Eastern Mediterranean health journal= La revue de sante de la Mediterranee orientale= al-Majallah al-sihhiyah li-sharq al-mutawassit. 2020;26(10):1262-72.

578. Khatib R, Giacaman R, Khammash U, Yusuf S. Challenges to conducting epidemiology research in chronic conflict areas: examples from PURE-Palestine. Conflict and Health. 2016;10:1-7.

579. Aljeesh YI, Al-Khaldi MS. Embedding health research findings into policy making: policymakers and academicians perspective, Palestine, 2013. European Scientific Journal. 2014.

580. AlKhaldi M, Meghari H, Jillson IA, Alkaiyat A, Tanner M. State of Research Quality and Knowledge Transfer and Translation and Capacity Strengthening Strategies for Sound Health Policy Decision-Making in Palestine. International journal of public health. 2021:66.

581. Fashafsheh I, Al-Ghabeesh SH, Ayed A, Salama B, Batran A, Bawadi H. Healthpromoting behaviors among nursing students: Palestinian perspective. INQUIRY: The Journal of Health Care Organization, Provision, and Financing. 2021;58:00469580211018790.

582. Gamaleldin N, Hagras E, El-Weshahi HM. Health-Promoting Lifestyle among Medical Students in Alexandria. Journal of High Institute of Public Health. 2021;51(2):107-13.

583. Nacar M, Baykan Z, Cetinkaya F, Arslantaş D, Özer A, Coşkun Ö, et al. Health promoting lifestyle behaviour in medical students: a multicentre study from Turkey. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention. 2014;15(20).

584. Alzahrani SH, Malik AA, Bashawri J, Shaheen SA, Shaheen MM, Alsaib AA, et al. Health-promoting lifestyle profile and associated factors among medical students in a Saudi university. SAGE open medicine. 2019;7:2050312119838426.

585. Belfrage ASV, Grotmol KS, Tyssen R, Moum T, Finset A, Rø KI, et al. Factors influencing doctors' counselling on patients' lifestyle habits: a cohort study. BJGP open. 2018;2(3).

586. Moir F, Patten B, Yielder J, Sohn CS, Maser B, Frank E. Trends in medical students' health over 5 years: Does a wellbeing curriculum make a difference? International Journal of Social Psychiatry. 2023;69(3):675-88.

587. Werneck AO, Stubbs B, Kandola A, Oyeyemi AL, Schuch FB, Hamer M, et al. Prospective associations of leisure-time physical activity with psychological distress and wellbeing: a 12-year cohort study. Psychosomatic Medicine. 2022;84(1):116-22.

588. Moon I, Frost AK, Kim M. The role of physical activity on psychological distress and health-related quality of life for people with comorbid mental illness and health conditions. Social Work in Mental Health. 2020;18(4):410-28.

589. Ghanim M, Rabayaa M, Atout S, Al-Othman N, Alqub M. Prevalence of anxiety and depression among Palestinian university students: a cross-sectional study during COVID-19 pandemic. Middle East Current Psychiatry. 2022;29(1):71.

590. Puthran R, Zhang MW, Tam WW, Ho RC. Prevalence of depression amongst medical students: A meta-analysis. Medical education. 2016;50(4):456-68.

591. Rotenstein LS, Ramos MA, Torre M, Segal JB, Peluso MJ, Guille C, et al. Prevalence of depression, depressive symptoms, and suicidal ideation among medical students: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Jama. 2016;316(21):2214-36.

592. Niemi P, Vainiomäki P. Medical students' distress–quality, continuity and gender differences during a six-year medical programme. Medical teacher. 2006;28(2):136-41.

593. Gill DL, Hammond CC, Reifsteck EJ, Jehu CM, Williams RA, Adams MM, et al. Physical activity and quality of life. Journal of preventive medicine and public health. 2013;46(Suppl 1):S28.

594. Worobetz A, Retief PJ, Loughran S, Walsh J, Casey M, Hayes P, et al. A feasibility study of an exercise intervention to educate and promote health and well-being among medical students: the 'MED-WELL'programme. BMC medical education. 2020;20(1):1-12.

595. Yorks DM, Frothingham CA, Schuenke MD. Effects of group fitness classes on stress and quality of life of medical students. Journal of Osteopathic Medicine. 2017;117(11):e17-e25. 596. Morrar R, Jabr S, Ghandour R, Abu-Rmeileh NM, Forgione DA, Younis M. Identifying healthcare cost drivers in Palestine. The International Journal of Health Planning and

Management. 2021;36(3):911-24. 597. World Health Organization. Health promotion glossary of terms 2021. 2021.

598. Shawahna R, Hattab S, Al-Shafei R, Tab'ouni M. Prevalence and factors associated with depressive and anxiety symptoms among Palestinian medical students. BMC psychiatry. 2020;20(1):1-13.

599. Dahlin ME, Runeson B. Burnout and psychiatric morbidity among medical students entering clinical training: a three year prospective questionnaire and interview-based study. BMC Medical education. 2007;7:1-8.

600. Anaya F, Abu Alia Wa, Hamoudeh Fa, Nazzal Z, Maraqa B. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of headache among medical students in Palestine: a cross sectional study. BMC neurology. 2022;22:1-8.

601. Alami YZ, Ghanim BT, Zyoud SeH. Epworth sleepiness scale in medical residents: quality of sleep and its relationship to quality of life. Journal of occupational medicine and toxicology. 2018;13(1):1-9.

602. Ewaiwe B, Attiyeh R, Niroukh E, Hijazi B, Adawi S, Al-Qaissi H, et al. Emotional Intelligence Among Medical Students and Residents in Palestine: A Cross-sectional Study. Authorea Preprints. 2020.

603. Memon AA, Adil SE-e-R, Siddiqui EU, Naeem SS, Ali SA, Mehmood K. Eating disorders in medical students of Karachi, Pakistan-a cross-sectional study. BMC research notes. 2012;5(1):1-7.

604. Khan MS, Mahmood S, Badshah A, Ali SU, Jamal Y. Prevalence of depression, anxiety and their associated factors among medical students in Karachi, Pakistan. Journal-Pakistan Medical Association. 2006;56(12):583.

605. Wattanapisit A, Fungthongcharoen K, Saengow U, Vijitpongjinda S. Physical activity among medical students in Southern Thailand: a mixed methods study. BMJ open. 2016;6(9):e013479.

606. Walker SN, Sechrist KR, Pender NJ. The health-promoting lifestyle profile: development and psychometric characteristics. Nursing research. 1987;36(2):76-81.

607. Hanani A, Badrasawi M, Zidan S, Hunjul M. Effect of cognitive behavioral therapy program on mental health status among medical student in Palestine during COVID pandemic. BMC psychiatry. 2022;22(1):1-11.

608. Kihumuro RB, Kaggwa MM, Nakandi RM, Kintu TM, Muwanga DR, Muganzi DJ, et al. Perspectives on mental health services for medical students at a Ugandan medical school. BMC Medical Education. 2022;22(1):1-13.

609. Hale EW, Davis RA. Supporting the future of medicine: Student mental health services in medical school. Frontiers in Health Services. 2023;3:1032317.

610. Klein HJ, McCarthy SM. Student wellness trends and interventions in medical education: a narrative review. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications. 2022;9(1).

611. Bannatyne AJ, Jones C, Craig BM, Jones D, Forrest K. A systematic review of mental health interventions to reduce self-stigma in medical students and doctors. Frontiers in Medicine. 2023;10:1204274.

# Ranin Darkhawaja

Home Address: Ramallah, Palestine Nationality: Jordanian Age: 35 years old Mobile No.: +972592376797 Email: darkra.0219@gmail.com



### Profile

I'm a motivated researcher with a broad range of expertise in research skills including study design, data analysis, statistical inference, and manuscript writing. Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) candidate with focus on quantitative data analysis techniques tackling public health topics on health-related behaviors, mental health and non-communicable diseases. Experienced professional in public relations, fundraising, project management, lecturing and advocacy skills with proven record of accomplishment on health and development projects for more than 6 years.

### **EXPERIENCES**

**Doctor of Philosophy University of Basel** Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute Basel, Switzerland September 2019- January 2024

**PhD** thesis: "The association between healthy habits and healthy minds in today's youth"

Supervised by Prof. Dr. Nicole Probst-Hensch

- Worked on the Swiss children's Objectively measured PHYsical Activity cohort study through which I drew evidence on the factors related to physical activity that drive quality of life among children in Switzerland.
- Developed study protocol to explore the role of social capital, self-efficacy and social contagion in shaping lifestyle and mental health among students representing the future healthcare workforce in Palestine.

#### Statistics Intern

#### August 2023 - February 2024

**United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees** Global Data Service - Statistics and Demographics Copenhagen, Denmark

- Supported the projects of the Data Science Team in applying statistical and data science techniques to global forced displacement and statelessness situations data to improve the understanding of population behavior and vulnerabilities.
- Supported the design, development and maintenance of data products, which help improve the understanding of forced displacement issues.
- Documented background analysis and the design of final data products.

### EXPERIENCES

## Research Officer

#### November 2017 – August 2019

#### World Health Organization

The Palestinian National Institute of Public Health Ramallah, Palestine

- Updated the main indicators of the data collected to conduct the study titled "Improving newborn health in countries exposed to political violence: an assessment of the availability, accessibility, and distribution of neonatal health services at Palestinian hospitals".
- Conducted the study's quantitative data analysis at the level of all the participating hospitals based on aggregated data. In addition to analyzing data at the hospital level and at the neonatal units level.
- Co-wrote manuscript of the study for publication.
- Wrote individual report per hospital to communicate the result of assessing the neonatal health services, the assigned level of the neonatal care of the hospital, and the recommendations to improve the neonatal health services at the hospital.
- Co-organized national conference for neonatal health service providers and policy makers to disseminate the study's results and recommendations for improving existing services and developing national guideline for effective referral system of pregnant women and neonates in Palestine.

#### Health Researcher – Consultant World Health Organization

#### June 2016 – October 2017

The Palestinian National Institute of Public Health Ramallah, Palestine

- Conducted literature review to gain understanding and identify gaps on the existing research on illicit drug use as part of larger research study, which was conducted by the institute to estimate the extent of illicit drug use in Palestine.
- Analyzed, coded and categorized the qualitative research study data into themes and subthemes, which shed light on the illicit drug use in Palestine in terms of substance use and services.

#### Assistant Professor (Part time)

#### February 2016 – December 2018

Al-Quds Open University Jericho, Palestine

- Planned engaging and well-structured lectures on public health, epidemiology, ethics of health professions and the management of shelter and nutrition.
- Delivered scheduled lectures for students enrolled at the health management program of the administrative and economic sciences faculty.
- Evaluated the performance of the students based on regular assignments in addition to administrating midterm and final written exams.

### EXPERIENCES

#### Health Officer

March 2013 – October 2017

March 2011 – February 2012

#### Public Relations Department, Health Work Committees (HWC) Ramallah, Palestine

Public Relations & Fundraising

- Co-developed the department's strategic plan with focus on fundraising targets.
- Mapped and recruited international non-governmental organizations and private companies as potential donors for the organization.
- Formulated project proposals and concept notes and pursed targeted donors to adopt them.
- Co-designed brochures, annual reports and other communication materials that are essential for promoting the organization's work.

Project management

- Managed the primary health care and development projects based on the different projects' work plans.
- Monitored and evaluated the projects and executed reports to the corresponding funding agency.
- Supervised the national and international volunteers of HWC.

#### Middle Eastern Advocate – Intern

Middle Eastern Program, Interval House

Long Beach, California, United States of America

- Provided advocacy and support services to Middle Eastern victims of domestic violence, linking them with appropriate social services.
- Organized initiatives to raise awareness on combating domestic violence among local organizations and communities.
- Led English as a Second Language (ESL) classes.
- Carried out office duties and handled routine correspondences.

### **EDUCATION**

University of Basel Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute Basel, Switzerland Doctor of philosophy, Public Health / Epidemiology

September 2019 – January 2024

**Birzeit University Institute of Community and Public Health** West Bank, Palestine Master of Public Health September 2013 – June 2015

Manchester University Indiana, United States of America Bachelor of Science, Pre-medicine (Biology-Chemistry) January 2007 – January 2011

## PUBLICATIONS

• The role of non-governmental organizations in the health sector in the occupied Palestinian territory: a cross-sectional qualitative study – Abstract published in the Lancet

Marina Tucktuck, **Ranin Darkhawaja**, Tareq Areqat, Shatha Mansour, Rita Giacaman, Motasem Hamdan

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32080-9

- Cross-Sectional but Not Prospective Association of Accelerometry-Derived Physical Activity With Quality of Life in Children and Adolescents Ranin Darkhawaja, Johanna Hänggi, Emmanuel Schaffner, Marek Kwiatkowski, Abdulsalam Alkaiyat, Alain Dössegger, Bengt Kayser, L. Suzanne Suggs, Bettina Bringolf-Isler, Nicole Probst-Hensch https://doi.org/10.3389/ijph.2024.1606737
- Exploring the role of social capital, self-efficacy and social contagion in shaping lifestyle and mental health among students representing the future healthcare workforce in Palestine: social cohort study protocol Ranin A M Darkhawaja, Marek Kwiatkowski, Thomas Vermes, Hala Allabadi, Sonja Merten, Abdulsalam Alkaiyat, Nicole Probst-Hensch <u>https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/12/1/e049033</u>
- Improving Newborn Health in Countries Exposed to Political Violence: An Assessment of the Availability, Accessibility, and Distribution of Neonatal Health Services at Palestinian Hospitals

Massad S, Tucktuck M, **Darkhawaja R**, Dalloul H, Abu Saman K, Salman R, Kafri R, Khammash H

https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S270484

• Occupational safety precautions among nurses at four hospitals, Nablus District, Palestine

IA Al-Khatib, W El Ansari, TA Areqat, **RA Darkhawaja**, SH Mansour, MA Tucktuck, JI Khatib

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6977042/

Palestinian women's satisfaction with reproductive health services at the health centers of the Health Work Committees, West Bank – Abstract Sahar Hassan, Hanan Abu Qtesh, Ranin Darkhawaja https://fada.birzeit.edu/handle/20.500.11889/3921