INTRODUCTION Open Access

# Strengthening routine health information systems for analysis and data use: a tipping point



Agbessi Amouzou<sup>1\*</sup>, Cheikh Faye<sup>2</sup>, Kaspar Wyss<sup>3,4</sup> and Ties Boerma<sup>5</sup>

The global COVID-19 pandemic caused a sudden awakening of the global health community to the crucial importance routine health information systems (RHIS) hold in understanding the effects of the pandemic on health services in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), offering a tipping point for a significant leap toward stronger systems.

RHIS include the recording and reporting of data on service utilization and provision, morbidity and mortality, and health resources [1]. Although there have been major technological improvements in recent years, the systems remain mostly paper-based at primary health care facility level with health workers combining data compilation functions with service provision duties. Data from facilities are transferred to district health offices, where they are then typically digitized, aggregated, and transferred to the regional and national offices. Data elements are multifold, often fragmented across programs, with multiple registers and forms for recording and compilation. The mandatory monthly reporting, the lack of feedback and systematic data use mean that workers are most concerned about work time use for data compilation and transfer and usually miss the forest for the tree. Due to their continuous and real-time nature and their integration to health service provision, data quality issues in terms of data completeness, timeliness, and accuracy are pervasive.

Public health professionals and many researchers recognize a critical role for RHIS as the backbone of the health system for evidence-based decision-making [2]. First, it generates near real-time data on service delivery inputs, processes and outputs for annual assessment of

the performance of health plans and inform manage-

ment of services. Its value in tracking service utilization

There is evidence that RHIS have improved in recent years for instance in terms of reporting completeness [6]. There have been substantial improvements in the technology and database organization, in the data content and quality assessment, and in methodologies to analyze these data. A major catalyst has been the transition to the web-based electronic District Health Information System (DHIS-2) by a large number of low- and middle-income countries, mostly in Africa and southern Asia [7– 10]. There have been improvements in approaches for data quality assessments, including adjustments for completeness, consistency assessments, identification of outliers and overall data curation [11-13]. Advances are also being made on the methodological front. Examples are alternative ways of estimating denominators for coverage indicators using service statistics, adjustment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Institute for International Programs, Department of International Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, MD, Baltimore, USA Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

trends in crisis situations was shown in West Africa during the Ebola epidemic and is now even more obvious during the COVID-19 pandemic [3]. Second, RHIS data aim to be exhaustive, covering all facilities and ideally also community service provision data, even though private sector reporting is often a challenge. The analysis can be straightforward and standardized, requiring simple comparison of numbers and proportions without a need to account for sampling errors. Third, based on their longitudinal nature and exhaustiveness, some have argued that RHIS represent a good data source for program evaluation based on quasi-experimental design [4]. Similarly, their longitudinal nature and compilation at district level make them fit for effectiveness evaluation of large-scale health programs [5]. Finally, RHIS data are owned and managed by the government, one essential requirement for sustainability and local decision-making.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: aamouzo1@jhu.edu

for reporting completeness, and corrections for missing or outliers [14].

Strengthening RHIS is not a one-off exercise but requires continuous efforts, looping through data collection, data management, quality assessment, analysis and use. It requires building, strengthening and renewing the capacity in countries at all levels. Since 2018, the Countdown to 2030 for Women's, Children's and Adolescents' Health has implemented a series of multi-country health facility data analysis and capacity strengthening workshops, focusing on reproductive, maternal, newborn and child health services, for analysts from country public health research institutions and ministries of health from more than 40 countries in sub-Saharan Africa [6]. The papers in this BMC Health Services Research Supplement build upon this initiative which has further expanded into continued multi-topic country collaboration on evidence generation in reproductive, maternal, newborn and child health and nutrition.

Health facility data rely on data recording and reporting by health workers, duties that take considerable amount of time, including time taken away from direct client and patient contact. Siyam and colleagues provide evidence from five countries in Africa and Asia showing large number of mandatory registers and forms to complete on daily basis, which may take up as much as 30% of health workers' time [15]. There are too many examples of the introduction of indicators, forms and reports without due consideration of the burden for health workers as well as efficiencies in data collection and reporting.

The majority of papers in this Supplement are concerned with data quality and analysis aspects of RHIS data for coverage estimates at national and subnational levels. A review by Mwinnyaa and colleagues shows the lack of standards for the adjustments of numerators and denominators of coverage estimates and identify priority areas for further work [16]. Four country papers dug into data quality assessment and adjustment issues to assess the potential of developing robust estimates of coverage indicators with routine health facility data.

Maiga and colleagues analyze different options for denominators for coverage statistics and compared the results to household survey results in Sierra Leone [17]. Agiraembabazi and colleagues focus on assessing data quality, adjustments of numerators and denominators and their implications for the coverage of maternal and child health interventions at subnational levels in Uganda, which is one of the countries with the longest use of district estimates for its league tables [18]. Using RHIS data from the Kivu region in DR Congo, Malembaka and colleagues show the usefulness and limitations of facility-based data in generating maternal and child health coverage statistics in fragile settings [19]. Comparing the

consistency in immunization coverage estimated from RHIS and survey data in Ethiopia, Pond and colleagues find major discrepancies due to data quality issues [20].

An important advance in the use of facility data for coverage estimates is the use of geospatial analysis to obtain denominator data for maternal and child health indicators [21]. South Africa has strong tradition of using district level health facility data for coverage tracking. The paper by Day and colleagues shows how the RHIS data in South Africa can be used to construct an index to monitor universal health coverage at district level [22].

Tanzania's routine health information system includes individual level data on sex, age and cause of death in health facilities since 2016. Nyondo and colleagues apply a systematic data quality assessment of the causes of death data reported by health facilities in Tanzania and assess the effects of interventions to improve data quality [23].

Use of facility data for local decision-making is the goal but evidence is extremely sparse. Prakash and colleagues find evidence of an association between data review meetings, data related decision-making and service coverage at district level in India [24].

Several well-understood and strong principles have been laid out by WHO for the development and strengthening RHIS [1]. We emphasize a few additional points in this special issue of BMC health service research. First, the very first principle is the importance of country leadership and ownership and the commitment to transparency and accountability. However, it must be clear where accountability for the performance of the system lies. The uncoordinated clutter of international advisors, partners, researchers, donors and country stakeholders that have their hands in the country RHIS system must be accountable to the system in the same way as the owner. All must strive to support the development and better performance of the system. Second, RHIS must respond to country needs and continue to adapt to changing epidemiology of the country. In this regards the wealth of evidence generated recently by the Every Newborn Action Plan from testing several coverage indicators around the time of birth is a welcome step toward this need and an example to support and emulate [25, 26]. Third, the false dichotomy often entertained between RHIS and population-based data is disingenuous and inefficient. Both are needed, and must be integrated and coordinated to generate data that reinforce each component and support planning processes and the performance assessment of country healthy plans. Low- and middle-income countries have reached a point where stronger and sustained attention must be dedicated to RHIS and major progress is within reach. The COVID-19 pandemic made it a tipping point.

#### Abbreviations

DHIS: District Health Information System; LMIC: Low- and middle-income countries; RHIS: Routine health information system; WHO: World Health Organization

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all participants at the first data analysis workshop in Nairobi in February 2020, especially, external discussants who provided valuable comments to authors in this special issue on the early draft of their analysis.

## About this supplement

This article has been published as part of BMC Health Services Research Volume 21 Supplement 1 2021: Health facility data to monitor national and subnational progress. The full contents of the supplement are available at https://bmchealthservres.biomedcentral.com/articles/supplements/volume-21-supplement-1.

#### Authors' contributions

AA drafted the paper. TB, CF, KW reviewed and edited the draft. All authors approved the final version.

#### Funding

This work was supported by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation through a grant to the Countdown to 2030.

#### Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

#### **Declarations**

# Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

# Consent for publication

Not applicable.

# Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Institute for International Programs, Department of International Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, MD, Baltimore, USA. <sup>2</sup>African Population and Health Research Center, Dakar, Senegal. <sup>3</sup>Swiss Tropical Institute, Basel, Switzerland. <sup>4</sup>University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland. <sup>5</sup>Centre for Global Public Health, Department of Community Health Sciences, Rady Faculty of Health Sciences University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada.

# Received: 18 May 2021 Accepted: 2 June 2021 Published: 13 September 2021

### References

- World Health Organization. Framework and standards for country health information systems. 2nd ed. Geneva: Published by the Health metrics network and the world health organization; 2008. https://www.who.int/hea lthinfo/country\_monitoring\_evaluation/who-hmn-framework-standards-chi. pdf. Accessed 5 May 2021
- AbouZahr C, Boerma T. Health information systems: the foundations of public health. Bull World Health Organ. 2005;83(8):578–83. https://doi.org/1 0.1590/S0042-96862005000800010.
- Wagenaar BH, Augusto O, Beste J, Toomay SJ, Wickett E, Dunbar N, et al. The 2014-2015 Ebola virus disease outbreak and primary healthcare delivery in Liberia: time-series analyses for 2010-2016. PLoS Med. 2018;15(2): e1002508. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002508.
- Wagenaar BH, Sherr K, Fernandes Q, Wagenaar AC. Using routine health information systems for well-designed health evaluations in low- and middle-income countries. Health Policy Plan. 2016;31(1):129–35. https://doi. org/10.1093/heapol/czv029.
- Victora CG, Black RE, Boerma TJ, Bryce J. Measuring impact in the millennium development goal era and beyond: a new approach to large-

- scale effectiveness evaluations. Lancet. 2011;377(9759):85–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60810-0.
- Maïga A, Jiwani SS, Mutua MK, Porth TA, Taylor CM, Asiki G, et al. Generating statistics from health facility data: the state of routine health information systems in eastern and southern Africa. BMJ Glob Health. 2019; 4(5):e001849. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2019-001849.
- WHO. U.S. Agency for International Development and University of Oslo. Health facility and community data toolkit. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014.
- 8. Kiberu VM, Matovu JK, Makumbi F, Kyozira C, Mukooyo E, Wanyenze RK. Strengthening district-based health reporting through the district health management information software system: the Ugandan experience. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2014;14(1):40. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6947-14-40.
- Karuri J, Waiganjo P, Daniel O, Manya A. DHIS2: the tool to improve health data demand and use in Kenya. J Health Inform Dev Countries. 2014;8:1.
- Schmidt B-M, Colvin CJ, Hohlfeld A, Leon N. Definitions, components and processes of data harmonisation in healthcare: a scoping review. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2020;20(1):222 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-020-01218-7.
- 11. Githinji S, Oyando R, Malinga J, Ejersa W, Soti D, Rono J, et al. Completeness of malaria indicator data reporting via the district health information software 2 in Kenya, 2011–2015. Malar J. 2017;16(1):344. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-017-1973-y.
- World Health Organization. Data quality review (DQR) toolkit. Geneva: WHO; 2017. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/healthinfo/tools\_data\_analysis/ dgr\_modules/en/
- USAID, PEPFAR, World Health Organization. Master Facility List Resource Package: guidance for countries wanting to strengthen their Master Facility List. Facilitator Guide for the MFL Training. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2019. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
- Maina I, Wanjala P, Soti D, Kipruto H, Droti B, Boerma T. Using health-facility data to assess subnational coverage of maternal and child health indicators, Kenya. Bull World Health Organ. 2017;95:683–94 https://doi.org/10.2471/ BLT.17.194399.
- Siyam A, Ir P, Dararith Y, Antwi J, Amponsah F, Funzamo C, Rambique O
  et al. The burden of recording and reporting health data in primary health
  care settings: a comparative study of five countries. BMC Health Serv. (In
  this Special Issue).
- Mwinnyaa G, Hazel E, Maiga A, Amouzou A. Estimating population-based coverage of reproductive, maternal, newborn, and child health (RMNCH) interventions from health management information systems: a comprehensive review. BMC Health Serv. (In this Special Issue).
- Maiga A, Amouzou A, Bagayoko M, Faye CM, Jiwani SS, Kamara D, Koroma IB, Sankho O. Measuring coverage of maternal and child health services using routine health facility data: a Sierra Leone case study. BMC Health Serv. (In this Special Issue).
- Agiraembabazi G, Ogwal J, Tashobya C, Kananura RM, Boerma T, Waiswa P. Can routine health facility data be used to monitor subnational coverage of maternal, newborn and child health services in Uganda? BMC Health Serv. (In this Special Issue).
- Malembaka EB, Altare C, Bigirinama RN, Bisimwa G, Banywesize R, Tabbal N, Boerma T. The use of health facility data to assess the effects of armed conflicts on maternal and child health: experience from the Kivu, DR Congo. BMC Health Serv. (In this Special Issue).
- 20. Pond B, Bekele A, Mounier-Jack S, Teklie H, Getachew T. Estimation of Ethiopia's Immunization Coverage 20 years of discrepancies BMC Health Serv. (In this Special Issue).
- 21. Nilsen K, Tejedor-Garavito N, Leasure DR, Utazi CE, Ruktanonchai CW, Wigley A et al. A review of geospatial methods for population estimation and their use in constructing reproductive, maternal, newborn, child and adolescent health service indicators. BMC Health Serv. (In this Special Issue).
- Day C, Gray A, Cois A, Ndlovu N, Massyn N, Boerma T. Is South Africa
  closing the health gaps between districts? Monitoring progress towards
  universal health service coverage with routine facility data. BMC Health Serv.
  (In this Special Issue).
- 23. Nyondo T, Msigwa G, Cobos D, Kabadi G, Macha T, Karugendo E et al. Quality of medical certification of causes of death in health facilities in Tanzania 2014–2019. BMC Health Serv. (In this Special Issue).
- 24. Prakash R, Dehury B, Tripathi AB, Sodhi C, Bilal H, Vasanthakumar N et al. Establishing evidence-based decision-making mechanism in a health ecosystem and its linkages with health service coverage in 25 high-priority districts of Uttar Pradesh, India. BMC Health Serv. (In this Special Issue).

- Moran AC, Requejo J. Count every newborn: EN-BIRTH study improving facility-based coverage and quality measurement in routine information systems. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2021; https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-02 0-03427-4.
- Day LT, Rahman QS, Rahman AE, Salim N, KC A, Ruysen H, et al. Every Newborn-BIRTH observational study to assess validity of newborn and maternal coverage measurement in hospitals. Lancet Glob Health. 2021;9: e267–79 December 14, 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30504-0.

# **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

# Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

# At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

