

1 **Abstract**

2 **Background:** Relative hypochromia of erythrocytes defined as a reduced mean corpuscular
3 hemoglobin concentration (MCHC) is a surrogate of iron deficiency. We aimed to evaluate the
4 prevalence and prognostic impact of relative hypochromia in acute heart failure (AHF).

5 **Methods:** We prospectively characterized 1574 patients presenting with an adjudicated
6 diagnosis of AHF to the emergency department. Relative hypochromia was defined as a MCHC
7 ≤ 330 g/L and determined at presentation. The presence of AHF was adjudicated by two
8 independent cardiologists. All-cause mortality and AHF-rehospitalization were the primary
9 prognostic end-points.

10 **Results:** Overall, 455 (29%) AHF patients had relative hypochromia. Patients with relative
11 hypochromia had higher hemodynamic cardiac stress as quantified by NT-proBNP
12 concentrations ($p < 0.001$), more extensive cardiomyocyte injury as quantified by high-sensitive
13 cardiac troponin T (hs-cTnT) concentrations ($p < 0.001$), and lower estimated glomerular
14 filtration rate (eGFR; $p < 0.001$) as compared to AHF patients without hypochromia. Cumulative
15 incidence for all-cause mortality and AHF-rehospitalization at 720-days were 50% and 55% in
16 patients with relative hypochromia as compared to 33% and 39% in patients without
17 hypochromia, respectively (both $p < 0.0001$). The association between relative hypochromia and
18 increased mortality (HR 1.7, 95%CI 1.4-2.0) persisted after adjusting for anemia (HR 1.5,
19 95%CI 1.3-1.8), and after adjusting for hemodynamic cardiac stress (HR 1.46, 95%CI 1.21-1.76)
20 and eGFR (HR 1.5, 95%CI 1.3-1.8, $p < 0.001$).

21 **Conclusions:** Relative hypochromia is common and a strong and independent predictor of
22 increased mortality in AHF. Given the direct link to diagnostic (endoscopy) and therapeutic
23 interventions to treat functional iron deficiency, relative hypochromia deserves increased
24 attention as an inexpensive and universally available biomarker.

25

26

27 **1. Introduction**

28 Acute heart failure (AHF) is a worldwide public health challenge with still high mortality rates
29 reaching 30-40% within 1 year. In addition, AHF is the most common cause of hospitalization
30 in patients older than 60 years [1-4] and further increasing in prevalence [5]. Unfortunately, AHF
31 has only recently been identified as likely the most important unmet need in cardiology and
32 received increasing attention [1-9].

33 AHF is a heterogeneous syndrome with several important comorbidities and triggers,
34 including anemia, iron deficiency, and infection [10]. Early and accurate detection of these
35 comorbidities and triggers is of major clinical relevance as it allows causal and timely treatment
36 [1-4, 6]. In contrast to chronic heart failure (HF), prevalence and optimal diagnostic criteria for
37 iron deficiency are incompletely understood in AHF. As systemic infection is the trigger of AHF
38 in about one-third of patients, diagnostic criteria such as the acute phase reactant ferritin are
39 imperfect for AHF (1-4). Accordingly, relative hypochromia of erythrocytes, the well-established
40 alternative diagnostic window for functional iron deficiency deserves attention, since it is less
41 affected by the common triggers of AHF [11-13]. The mean corpuscular hemoglobin
42 concentration (MCHC) is the relative amount of hemoglobin content in erythrocytes and reflects
43 expanse of iron incorporated into circulating erythrocytes [13, 14]. Reduced MCHC is a reliable
44 surrogate of functional iron deficiency, even in the absence of anemia [12].

45 The aim of this study was to evaluate the prevalence and prognostic impact of functional
46 iron deficiency as detected by relative hypochromia of erythrocytes among unselected patients
47 presenting with AHF to the emergency department (ED).

48

49 **2. Material and Methods**

50 ***2.1. Patient population and study design***

51 Basics in Acute Shortness of Breath Evaluation (BASEL V) was a prospective international
52 multicenter diagnostic study aiming to advance the characterization and the early management
53 of AHF patients (ClinicalTrials.gov registry, Number NCT01831115). To allow the
54 characterization of AHF patients already at ED presentation irrespective of the time of the
55 correct diagnosis by the treating physician, we prospectively enrolled unselected adult patients
56 presenting with acute dyspnea to the ED of two Swiss university hospitals (Basel and Zürich).
57 While enrolment was independent of renal function, patients with terminal kidney failure
58 undergoing chronic hemodialysis and trauma patients were excluded. The study and analysis
59 were carried out according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the
60 local ethics committee [15]. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

61 ***2.2. Clinical evaluation***

62 All patients presenting with acute dyspnea to the ED underwent clinical assessment by two
63 physicians (a resident and a board-certified specialist) according to the local clinical standard
64 including detailed clinical history, physical examination, ECG, pulse oximetry, blood tests
65 usually including B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) or N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide
66 (NT-proBNP) and serum creatinine, and chest x-ray. Echocardiography, pulmonary function,
67 and additional imaging tests including computed tomography were performed as clinically
68 indicated. Additionally, a physician researcher recorded symptoms and signs in a standardized
69 manner, but did not interfere with patient management. Furthermore, to allow for comparison
70 of the MCHC prognostic accuracy with the one of an approved clinical score - the Multiple
71 Estimation of risk based on the Emergency department Spanish Score In patients with AHF
72 (MEESSI AHF) including all original variables except for Barthel-Index score at admission was
73 calculated [8, 16].

74

75 **2.3. Final adjudication of AHF**

76 Two independent cardiologists reviewed all medical records pertaining to the patient and
77 classified the diagnosis as dyspnea due to AHF or dyspnea due to non-cardiac causes. Patients
78 with non-cardiac causes were excluded from the present analyses. Patients with dyspnea due
79 to arrhythmias and/or acute coronary syndrome who did not have other features of AHF were
80 considered suffering “cardiac dyspnea”, but not AHF and excluded from the analysis, as well
81 [1]. Both cardiologists had access to all available medical records pertaining to the patient from
82 the time of ED presentation to the results of the 360- and 720-day follow-up. All clinical data
83 including chest x-ray, medical history, BNP or NT-proBNP levels, echocardiography, left
84 ventriculography (performed at the time of cardiac catheterization), pulmonary function test, CT
85 scan, right heart catheterization, hospital course, response to therapy, autopsy data for
86 deceased patients and information about clinical events or readmissions during the 360-and
87 720 day follow up period. The NT-proBNP level was considered as a quantitative marker of
88 hemodynamic cardiac stress and AHF [1, 17, 18]

89 **2.4. End points and long-term follow up**

91 All-cause mortality and its combination with AHF-rehospitalizations within 720 days were the
92 co-primary prognostic endpoints while cardiovascular mortality and its combination with
93 cardiovascular rehospitalizations as well as the composite of all-cause mortality and all-cause
94 rehospitalizations were investigated as secondary prognostic endpoints. Patients and their
95 primary care physicians were contacted at 360 and 720 days to assess recurrent symptoms,
96 recurrent hospitalizations, and major adverse cardiac events including death. In case of
97 recurrent hospitalizations, the respective discharge letters were obtained and reviewed. In
98 patients with uncertainty regarding vital status, the administrative database in Switzerland was
99 interrogated.

101 **2.5. Measurement of laboratory values**

102 Anemia was defined as a hemoglobin <12g/dl for woman and <13g/dl for men [19]. Indices of
103 anemia included hemoglobin (determined after lysis of the erythrocytes by the
104 cyanhemoglobin), hematocrit and MCHC (mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration) and
105 were determined with the Advia 2120 (Siemens Healthineers, Zürich, Schweiz). Relative
106 hypochromia was defined as a MCHC \leq 330g/l [20]. NT-proBNP concentrations were
107 determined with the Elecsys NT-proBNP assay (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) and
108 high-sensitive cardiac troponin T (hs-cTnT) was measured by Elecsys Troponin hs assay
109 (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was
110 calculated using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) formula
111 [21].

112 **2.7. Statistical analysis**

114 Statistical analyses were performed by using SPSS/PC software package (version 23.0) and R
115 statistical Software (“cmprsk”, “timeROC”, “predictABLE”) version 3.5.1 (Vienna, Austria).
116 Normality was assessed by Shapiro-Wilk W test and visual inspection of the shape of the
117 variables’ distribution. Continuous variables were summarized as medians with interquartile
118 intervals (IQI). Categorical variables were summarized as proportions and frequencies.
119 Continuous variables were compared using the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney U-test and categorical
120 variables using Pearson’s chi-square test. The Kaplan-Meier survival plots were calculated
121 from baseline to day 720 for the predefined endpoints and log-rank test was used to assess
122 differences between groups. To evaluate the association of different covariates (age, sex, BMI,
123 eGFR, hemoglobin at admission, NT-proBNP, left ventricular ejection fraction and
124 hypochromia) with these endpoints, a multivariable Cox proportional hazard regression model
125 was built. Hazard ratios are presented with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Each variable used
126 in the multivariable models was assessed for multicollinearity using the variance inflation factor
127 (VIF). Furthermore, the interaction p-values between MCHC or relative Hypochromia and
128 common AHF phenotypes as well as AHF precipitating factors were calculated in multivariable

129 models by using a cox proportional hazard analysis. Competing risk analysis was performed
130 by using the Gray method. The optimal cut-off for MCHC predicting 720 days all-cause mortality
131 was calculated using Youden's index. For the comparison of the MEESSEI AHF risk score alone
132 and its combination with MCHC, their prognostic accuracies were quantified by using the area
133 under the time dependent receiver operating characteristic curves and compared as described
134 previously.[22] In addition, reclassification tables for net reclassification improvement were
135 utilized to assess the incremental yield of the additional use of MCHC to predict all-cause
136 mortality at 720 days. All p-values are from two-sided tests and a p-value <0.05 was considered
137 statistically significant.

138

139 **3. Results**

140 From May 2001 to November 2015, 3116 patients presenting to the ED with acute dyspnea
141 were enrolled. Of these, 1574 patients were adjudicated to have AHF and had complete MCHC
142 data (Supplementary Figure S1). Clinical characteristics of the study population are presented
143 in Table 1. Median age was 79 years, 43% of AHF patients were female. Coronary artery
144 disease (CAD) was known to be present in 54%, and median left ventricular ejection fraction
145 was 45%.

146 **3.1. Relative hypochromia**

148 Relative hypochromia was present in 455 AHF patients (29%). AHF patients with hypochromia
149 had higher hemodynamic cardiac stress as quantified by NT-proBNP plasma concentrations
150 ($p<0.001$) and more extensive cardiomyocyte injury as quantified by hs-cTnT plasma
151 concentrations ($p<0.001$), as well as lower eGFR ($p<0.001$) as compared to AHF patients with
152 normal or increased MCHC.

153 **3.2. Characteristics according to the presence of anemia**

155 Among the AHF patients, 712 patients (45%) were anemic and 861 patients (55%) were non-
156 anemic (Supplementary Table S1A-B). Among anemic AHF patients, the baseline
157 characteristics were similar between patients with and without hypochromia (Supplementary
158 Table S1A). In contrast, among non-anemic AHF patients, multiple differences were present:
159 patients with hypochromia had more often pre-existing CAD, higher hemodynamic cardiac
160 stress as quantified by NT-proBNP plasma concentrations ($p=0.001$) as well as lower eGFR
161 ($p=0.002$) (Supplementary Table S1B).

162 **3.3. Mortality according to hypochromia status**

164 During the follow-up of 720 days 592 of all patients (38%) died. In-hospital mortality in patients
165 with or without relative hypochromia reached 2% and 3%, respectively ($p=0.407$). Figure 1 A-
166 C illustrates the effect of relative hypochromia on 720-day cumulative all-cause mortality.
167 Patients with relative hypochromia had significantly higher all-cause mortality overall (Figure

168 1A; HR 1.7, 95% CI 1.4-2.0; $p < 0.0001$), as well as in the subgroups of those with (Figure 1B)
169 and without (Figure 1C) anemia. AHF patients with hypochromia compared to non-hypochromia
170 patients showed a significantly increased risk of death due to cardiovascular causes at 720
171 days (HR 1.7, 95% CI 1.4-2.2; $p < 0.0001$). Accordingly, patient groups with anemia and
172 hypochromia showed an HR of 1.3 (95% CI 1.1-2.4-1.7; $p = 0.008$) for all-cause mortality as
173 compared to patients presenting with anemia, but without hypochromia. Of note, in patients
174 with hypochromia and no signs of anemia, the HR increased in patients with hypochromia as
175 compared to the patients without hypochromia to 1.8 (95% CI: 1.4-2.4, $p < 0.0001$).

176

177 **3.4. Combined endpoint: all-cause mortality or AHF rehospitalization**

178 Figure 1D-F demonstrates the effect of relative hypochromia on the combined endpoint of 720
179 days' cumulative risk for all-cause mortality or rehospitalization due to AHF. Patients presented
180 with hypochromia had a significantly increased risk for the combined endpoint of all-cause
181 mortality or rehospitalization due to AHF with a HR of 1.7 (95%CI 1.4-1.9; $p < 0.0001$; Figure
182 1D). Again, similar findings emerged in the subgroups with (Figure 1E) and without (Figure 1F)
183 anemia. Furthermore, in patients with or without hypochromia and AHF rehospitalization a
184 competing risk analysis was performed, considering all-cause mortality as a competing risk.
185 Patients with relative hypochromia had a significantly increased risk of AHF rehospitalization
186 with a HR of 1.26 (95% CI 1.00-1.59, $p = 0.047$).

187

188 **3.5. Combined endpoint: all-cause mortality or all-cause rehospitalization**

189 Figure 1G-I demonstrates the increased risk for all-cause mortality or all-cause rehospitalization
190 in patients with relative hypochromia (Figure 1G), irrespective of the presence (Figure 1H) or
191 absence (Figure 1I) of anemia.

192 **3.6. Multivariable cox proportional hazards models**

193 The variables used in the Cox proportional hazard regression models showed VIFs 1.1-1.5
194 indicating no multicollinearity. After adjusting for age, sex, BMI, eGFR, hemoglobin at
195 admission, and NT-proBNP, relative hypochromia remained an independent predictor of all-

196 cause mortality, all-cause mortality or AHF rehospitalizations, all-cause mortality or all-cause
197 rehospitalizations (Table 2, Supplementary Tables S2, and S4). These results could be
198 confirmed for the endpoints of cardiovascular mortality and its combination with cardiovascular
199 rehospitalizations.

200 **3.7. Combination of MCHC with MEESSI AHF risk score**

201 MEESSI AHF risk score was calculated for 1145 patients (73%) during 720 days follow-up. The
202 combination of MCHC with MEESSI AHF risk score did not provide a relevant prognostic
203 improvement for predicting all-cause mortality as quantified by the area under the time
204 dependent receiver operating characteristic curves (Supplementary Figure S3). The net
205 reclassification improvement of MCHC was modest and reached 0.321 (95% CI 0.242-0.400,
206 $p < 0.001$). Integrated discriminatory improvement was calculated at 0.011 ($p < 0.001$; Table S8).

207

208 **4. Discussion**

209 In this large prospective multicenter diagnostic study of patients with an adjudicated final
210 diagnosis of AHF, we analyzed the prevalence and the prognostic implications of relative
211 hypochromia, a well-established diagnostic window for functional iron deficiency also reliable
212 in AHF. We report four major findings:

213 First, 29% of AHF patients had relative hypochromia at ED presentation. Second, AHF patients
214 with relative hypochromia had substantially higher all-cause mortality, as well as higher rates
215 for death or AHF rehospitalization and death or all-cause rehospitalization. Third, these
216 associations were seen consistently irrespective of the presence or absence of anemia. Fourth,
217 relative hypochromia remained an independent predictor of mortality and of the combined
218 endpoints in multivariable analyses.

219 These findings extend and corroborate pilot studies on relative hypochromia [12]. MCHC
220 reflects the amount of hemoglobin incorporated into the erythrocytes and serves as a reliable
221 indicator of iron load in erythrocytes with a specificity up to 96% for detecting iron deficiency
222 [23]. Multiple physiologic functions such as oxygen transport, - storage (myoglobin) or oxidative
223 metabolism are associated with the availability of iron. In 197 ambulatory patients with chronic
224 systolic and symptomatic heart failure, who underwent comprehensive echocardiographic
225 evaluation, Simbaqueba and colleagues showed that relative hypochromia was associated with
226 higher natriuretic peptide levels ($r = -0.40$, $p < 0.0001$) and lower eGFR ($r = 0.45$, $p < 0.0001$) and
227 that hypochromia correlated modestly with indices of left and right ventricular diastolic
228 dysfunction (all $p < 0.05$), but were not related to left ventricular ejection fraction ($r = 0.17$,
229 $p = 0.079$). After 5 years of follow-up, lower MCHC levels were associated with higher risk of
230 death and hospitalization due to heart failure [20].

231 The pathophysiology of relative hypochromia include intestinal blood loss, resistance to
232 erythropoietin [12], and dilution effects induced by changes in osmotic pressures in the
233 presence of congestion; it may affect the relative concentration of hemoglobin within the

234 erythrocytes. These effects indicate that hypochromia is more pronounced in advanced
235 diastolic dysfunction and with increased BNP-levels, the latter in line with our current results.
236 Iron deficiency has been investigated in patients with heart failure [24, 25], since these patients
237 have a high suspicion to develop iron deficiency due to gradual depletion of iron stores caused
238 by low iron intake, gastrointestinal blood loss or iron malabsorption [26]. Even in patients with
239 normal hemoglobin levels, patients with iron deficiency showed decreased functional capacity,
240 impaired left ventricular ejection fraction, leading to a diminished outcome. In addition to the
241 absolute iron deficiency, functional iron deficiency defined by an activation of pro-inflammatory
242 cytokines, diverts iron from the circulation into the reticuloendothelial system and plays an
243 important pathogenic role leading to decreased iron availability for targeted organs [27]. Prior
244 results suggest that non-anemic iron deficient patients had an increased risk for deaths as
245 compared to anemic iron-repleted patients [12]. Our current results underline that a defined cut-
246 off for iron deficiency may underestimate patients with relative impairment of iron metabolism,
247 leading to the argument that these patients may benefit from iron supplementation to improve
248 AHF and outcome in this specific patient cohort.

249 The monitoring of the iron indices in patients with AHF is cost summing, therefore using MCHC
250 as a surrogate marker of iron deficiency, especially in high-risk patients with impaired outcome,
251 could be easily implemented in daily clinical routine.

252 **4.1. Study limitations**

253 Some limitations should be considered when interpreting these findings. First, this study used
254 a surrogate of iron deficiency because routine blood analyses in the ED do not include
255 parameters of iron indices in all patients to evaluate the presence of underlying iron deficiency;
256 nevertheless prior studies have shown a strong correlation between parameters of iron
257 deficiency and MCHC-levels [19]. Therefore, the evaluation of MCHC levels is an indirect
258 measurement of the degree of relative hypochromia in the erythrocytes rather than a
259 measurement of circulating substrates of iron. Second, we cannot comment on relative
260 hypochromia in patients with terminal renal failure on chronic dialysis, as these patients were

261 not enrolled. Third, we cannot comment on the frequency of the underlying causes of relative
262 hypochromia, as patients did not receive a prospective standardized work-up for relative
263 hypochromia.

264 **4.2. Conclusions**

265 In conclusion, relative hypochromia is a strong and independent predictor of increased mortality
266 in AHF. Given the direct link to diagnostic (endoscopy) and therapeutic interventions to treat
267 functional iron deficiency, relative hypochromia deserves increased attention as an inexpensive
268 and universally available biomarker.

269

270 **References**

- 271 [1] Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, Bueno H, Cleland JG, Coats AJ, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the
 272 diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of
 273 acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed with the special
 274 contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2016;18:891-975.
- 275 [2] Mueller C, Christ M, Cowie M, Cullen L, Maisel AS, Masip J, et al. European Society of Cardiology-Acute
 276 Cardiovascular Care Association Position paper on acute heart failure: A call for interdisciplinary care. *Eur Heart
 277 J Acute Cardiovasc Care.* 2017;6:81-6.
- 278 [3] Solomon SD, Dobson J, Pocock S, Skali H, McMurray JJ, Granger CB, et al. Influence of nonfatal
 279 hospitalization for heart failure on subsequent mortality in patients with chronic heart failure. *Circulation.*
 280 2007;116:1482-7.
- 281 [4] Mebazaa A, Yilmaz MB, Levy P, Ponikowski P, Peacock WF, Laribi S, et al. Recommendations on pre-
 282 hospital & early hospital management of acute heart failure: a consensus paper from the Heart Failure
 283 Association of the European Society of Cardiology, the European Society of Emergency Medicine and the
 284 Society of Academic Emergency Medicine. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2015;17:544-58.
- 285 [5] Christ M, Stork S, Dorr M, Heppner HJ, Muller C, Wachter R, et al. Heart failure epidemiology 2000-2013:
 286 insights from the German Federal Health Monitoring System. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2016;18:1009-18.
- 287 [6] Mebazaa A, Gayat E, Lassus J, Meas T, Mueller C, Maggioni A, et al. Association between elevated blood
 288 glucose and outcome in acute heart failure: results from an international observational cohort. *J Am Coll Cardiol.*
 289 2013;61:820-9.
- 290 [7] Martin-Sanchez FJ, Christ M, Miro O, Peacock WF, McMurray JJ, Bueno H, et al. Practical approach on frail
 291 older patients attended for acute heart failure. *Int J Cardiol.* 2016;222:62-71.
- 292 [8] Miro O, Peacock FW, McMurray JJ, Bueno H, Christ M, Maisel AS, et al. European Society of Cardiology -
 293 Acute Cardiovascular Care Association position paper on safe discharge of acute heart failure patients from the
 294 emergency department. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care.* 2017;6:311-20.
- 295 [9] Price S, Platz E, Cullen L, Tavazzi G, Christ M, Cowie MR, et al. Expert consensus document:
 296 Echocardiography and lung ultrasonography for the assessment and management of acute heart failure. *Nat
 297 Rev Cardiol.* 2017;14:427-40.
- 298 [10] Arrigo M, Gayat E, Parenica J, Ishihara S, Zhang J, Choi DJ, et al. Precipitating factors and 90-day outcome
 299 of acute heart failure: a report from the intercontinental GREAT registry. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2017;19:201-8.
- 300 [11] Naghii MR, Fouladi AI. Correct assessment of iron depletion and iron deficiency anemia. *Nutr Health.*
 301 2006;18:133-9.
- 302 [12] Okonko DO, Mandal AK, Missouriis CG, Poole-Wilson PA. Disordered iron homeostasis in chronic heart
 303 failure: prevalence, predictors, and relation to anemia, exercise capacity, and survival. *J Am Coll Cardiol.*
 304 2011;58:1241-51.
- 305 [13] Bovy C, Gothot A, Delanaye P, Warling X, Krzesinski JM, Beguin Y. Mature erythrocyte parameters as new
 306 markers of functional iron deficiency in haemodialysis: sensitivity and specificity. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.*
 307 2007;22:1156-62.
- 308 [14] Asberg AE, Mikkelsen G, Aune MW, Asberg A. Empty iron stores in children and young adults--the
 309 diagnostic accuracy of MCV, MCH, and MCHC. *Int J Lab Hematol.* 2014;36:98-104.
- 310 [15] World Medical A. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research
 311 involving human subjects. *JAMA.* 2013;310:2191-4.
- 312 [16] Miro O, Rossello X, Gil V, Martin-Sanchez FJ, Llorens P, Herrero-Puente P, et al. Predicting 30-Day
 313 Mortality for Patients With Acute Heart Failure in the Emergency Department: A Cohort Study. *Ann Intern Med.*
 314 2017;167:698-705.
- 315 [17] Maisel A, Mueller C, Adams K, Jr., Anker SD, Aspromonte N, Cleland JG, et al. State of the art: using
 316 natriuretic peptide levels in clinical practice. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2008;10:824-39.
- 317 [18] Thygesen K, Mair J, Mueller C, Huber K, Weber M, Plebani M, et al. Recommendations for the use of
 318 natriuretic peptides in acute cardiac care: a position statement from the Study Group on Biomarkers in
 319 Cardiology of the ESC Working Group on Acute Cardiac Care. *Eur Heart J.* 2012;33:2001-6.
- 320 [19] Hammadah M, Brennan ML, Wu Y, Hazen SL, Tang WH. Usefulness of Relative Hypochromia in Risk
 321 Stratification for Nonanemic Patients With Chronic Heart Failure. *Am J Cardiol.* 2016;117:1299-304.
- 322 [20] Simbaqueba C, Shrestha K, Patarroyo M, Troughton RW, Borowski AG, Klein AL, et al. Prognostic
 323 implications of relative hypochromia in ambulatory patients with chronic systolic heart failure. *Congest Heart Fail.*
 324 2013;19:180-5.
- 325 [21] Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang YL, Castro AF, 3rd, Feldman HI, et al. A new equation to
 326 estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med.* 2009;150:604-12.
- 327 [22] Kamarudin AN, Cox T, Kolamunnage-Dona R. Time-dependent ROC curve analysis in medical research:
 328 current methods and applications. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2017;17:53.
- 329 [23] Sultana GS, Haque SA, Sultana T, Ahmed AN. Value of red cell distribution width (RDW) and RBC indices
 330 in the detection of iron deficiency anemia. *Mymensingh Med J.* 2013;22:370-6.
- 331 [24] Anker SD, Comin Colet J, Filippatos G, Willenheimer R, Dickstein K, Drexler H, et al. Ferric carboxymaltose
 332 in patients with heart failure and iron deficiency. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;361:2436-48.

- 333 [25] Jankowska EA, Rozentryt P, Witkowska A, Nowak J, Hartmann O, Ponikowska B, et al. Iron deficiency: an
334 ominous sign in patients with systolic chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J*. 2010;31:1872-80.
- 335 [26] Alexandrakis MG, Tsirakis G. Anemia in heart failure patients. *ISRN Hematol*. 2012;2012:246915.
- 336 [27] Ezekowitz JA, McAlister FA, Armstrong PW. Anemia is common in heart failure and is associated with poor
337 outcomes: insights from a cohort of 12 065 patients with new-onset heart failure. *Circulation*. 2003;107:223-5.
338

Legends

Figure 1. Cumulative all-cause mortality (A-C), all-cause mortality or acute heart failure (AHF) rehospitalization (D-F) and all-cause mortality or all-cause rehospitalization (G-I) according to relative hypochromia in patients with acute heart failure (AHF)

Cumulative 720-day all-cause mortality in patients stratified according to hypochromia (MCHC \leq 330g/l) vs. non-hypochromia in the overall AHF cohort (A), in anemic AHF patients according to hypochromia (B), and in non-anemic AHF patients according to hypochromia (C). Cumulative 720-day all-cause mortality or AHF rehospitalization in patients stratified according to hypochromia (MCHC \leq 330g/l) vs. non-hypochromia in the overall AHF cohort (D), in anemic AHF patients according to hypochromia (E), and in non-anemic AHF patients according to hypochromia (F). Cumulative 720-day all-cause mortality or all-cause rehospitalization in patients stratified according to hypochromia (MCHC \leq 330g/l) vs. non-hypochromia in the overall AHF cohort (G), in anemic AHF patients according to hypochromia (H), and in non-anemic AHF patients according to hypochromia (I).

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of all patients with acute heart failure (AHF) according to the presence of relative hypochromia (n=1574)

Variables	All AHF patients (n=1574)	Hypochromia (n=455)	No hypochromia (n=1119)	p-value
Demographics				
Age (years)	79 (70-85)	79 (71-85)	79 (70-85)	0.936
Sex (male/female, %)	57/43	55/45	58/42	0.176
Weight (kg)	74 (64-86)	73 (62-85)	75 (64-86)	0.307
BMI (kg/m ²)	26 (23-30)	26 (23-30)	26 (23-30)	0.757
Medical history				
Coronary artery disease (%)	54	62	51	<0.001
Hypertension (%)	79	78	79	0.723
Peripheral artery disease (%)	18	20	17	0.296
Stroke (%)	18	17	18	0.921
Dyslipidemia (%)	54	58	53	0.111
Diabetes (%)	30	35	28	0.006
Current or ex-smoker (%)	63	65	62	0.387
Chronic kidney disease (%)	46	56	42	<0.001
Previous AHF (%)	51	58	48	0.001
Atrial fibrillation (%)	45	48	43	0.129
COPD (%)	26	32	23	<0.001
Active malignancies (%)	6	6	6	0.802
AHF precipitating conditions				
Worsening Heart Failure	64	62	65	0.277
Infection	21	18	22	0.103
Cardiogenic shock	1	1	1	0.446
Laboratory data				
Hemoglobin (g/l)	127 (113-141)	116 (101-131)	131 (118-143)	<0.001
Hematocrit (%)	38 (34-41)	36 (31-41)	38 (34-41)	<0.001
MCHC (g/l)	338 (329-346)	323 (317-327)	342 (337-350)	<0.001
MCH (pg)	31 (29-32)	29 (27-31)	31 (30-32)	<0.001
Creatinine (μmol/l)	107 (81-149)	119 (88-166)	103 (79-140)	<0.001
Urea (mmol/l)	10 (7-14)	12 (8-17)	9 (6-19)	<0.001
eGFR (ml/min/1.73m ²)	51 (34-72)	44 (29-63)	54 (37-74)	<0.001
Albumin (g/l)	35 (32-38)	34 (31-37)	35 (32-38)	0.001
CRP (mg/l)	11 (5-31)	13 (5-31)	10 (4-31)	0.039
Sodium (mmol/l)	139 (136-141)	139 (137-142)	139 (136-141)	<0.001
Potassium (mmol/l)	4.2 (3.8-4.5)	4.3 (3.9-4.7)	4.1 (3.8-4.5)	<0.001

Clinical parameters				
sBP (mmHg)	137 (120-156)	133 (117-150)	139 (120-158)	0.001
dBp (mmHg)	80 (67-93)	77 (64-90)	80 (68-95)	0.002
HR (beats/min)	88 (73-107)	89 (72-108)	88 (73-106)	0.750
LV ejection fraction (%)	45 (30-56)	44 (28- 55)	45 (30- 57)	0.188
Cardiac biomarker				
NT-proBNP (ng/l)	5019 (2271-9764)	6082 (3230- 12113)	4574 (2022- 9120)	<0.001
hs-TnT (ng/l)	37 (22-67)	42 (27-75)	35 (20-63)	<0.001
Medication at admission				
ACE inhibitors (%)	45	45	45	0.948
ARBs (%)	27	27	26	0.744
CCB (%)	22	19	23	0.081
Beta blockers (%)	59	59	59	0.967
Diuretics (%)	60	76	67	0.0170
Aldosterone-receptor antagonists (%)	14	14	14	0.977
ASS (%)	45	43	47	0.009
VKA (%)	45	43	47	0.320

Abbreviations: ASS: acetylsalicylic acid; ARBs: Angiotensin II Receptor Blockers; BMI: Body mass index; CKD-EPI: Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate calculated according to CKD-EPI; CCB: Calcium Channel Blocker; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, BNP: B-type brain natriuretic peptide; CRP: C-reactive protein, dBp: diastolic blood pressure; HR: heart rate; LV: left ventricular; MCHC: mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration; MCH: mean corpuscular hemoglobin, NT-proBNP: N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; sBP: systolic blood pressure; hs-TnT: Troponin T high sensitive. VKA: vitamin K antagonists.

Table 2: Multivariable Cox proportional hazards model for all-cause mortality, cardiovascular death, combined endpoint of all-cause mortality or AHF-related hospitalizations and all-cause mortality or all-cause hospitalizations

	Hazard ratio	95% CI	p-value
All-cause mortality			
Age (years)	1.033	1.019 - 1.048	<0.000
Sex	0.700	0.554 - 0.884	0.003
BMI	0.956	0.933 - 0.980	<0.001
eGFR (ml/min/1.73m ²)	0.987	0.982 - 0.993	<0.001
Hemoglobin at admission (g/l)	1.000	0.994 - 1.006	0.991
Hypochromia (yes/no)	1.509	1.191 - 1.910	0.001
Ig NT-proBNP (ng/l)	2.099	1.507 – 2.925	<0.001
LV Ejection Fraction (%)	1.003	0.995 – 1.011	0.505
Cardiovascular mortality			
Age (years)	1.029	1.011-1.047	0.001
Sex	0.778	0.580-1.043	0.093
BMI	0.955	0.925-0.986	0.005
eGFR (ml/min/1.73m ²)	0.983	0.976-0.991	<0.001
Hemoglobin at admission (g/l)	1.000	0.993-1.008	0.959
Hypochromia (yes/no)	1.553	1.159-2.081	0.003
Ig NT-proBNP (ng/l)	2.002	1.311-3.057	0.001
LV Ejection Fraction (%)	0.993	0.982-1.003	0.156
All-cause mortality or AHF rehospitalization			
Age (years)	1.017	1.005 – 1.029	0.006
Sex	0.794	0.642 - 0.982	0.033
BMI	0.975	0.954 - 0.996	0.022
eGFR (ml/min/1.73m ²)	0.990	0.984 – 0.995	<0.001
Hemoglobin at admission (g/l)	1.002	0.996 – 1.007	0.586
Ig MCHC (g/l)	0.000016	0.00000005– 0.005	<0.001
Ig NT-proBNP (ng/l)	1.903	1.412 – 2.563	<0.001
LV Ejection Fraction (%)	1.001	0.993 – 1.008	0.840
All-cause mortality or AHF rehospitalization			
Age (years)	1.017	1.005 - 1.029	0.006
Sex	0.811	0.656 – 1.003	0.053
BMI	0.976	0.955 – 0.997	0.025
eGFR (ml/min/1.73m ²)	0.990	0.985 – 0.995	<0.001
Hemoglobin at admission (g/l)	1.001	0.955 – 1.006	0.791
Hypochromia (yes/no)	1.484	1.195 – 1.843	0.001
Ig NT-proBNP (ng/l)	1.915	1.421 – 2.581	<0.001
LV Ejection Fraction (%)	1.000	0.993 – 1.008	0.953
All-cause mortality or all-cause rehospitalization			
Age (years)	1.007	0.997 – 1.018	0.162
Sex (male)	0.856	0.709 – 1.034	0.107
BMI	0.973	0.955 – 0.992	0.005
eGFR (ml/min/1.73m ²)	0.993	0.989 – 0.998	0.004
Hemoglobin at admission (g/l)	0.998	0.994 – 1.003	0.475
Hypochromia (yes/no)	1.360	1.118 – 1.656	0.002
Ig NT-proBNP (ng/l)	1.393	1.082 – 1.800	0.010
LV ejection Fraction (%)	0.999	0.993 – 1.006	0.819

Abbreviations: AHF: acute heart failure, BMI: Body mass index; NT-proBNP: N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide, CKD-EPI: Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate calculated according to CKD-EPI, LV = left ventricle.