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Abstract

The β-barrel assembly machinery (BAM) complex is essential for the biogenesis of outer membrane proteins (OMPs) in Gram-negative bacteria, with the membrane protein BamA acting as a catalyst for folding of OMPs in the outer membrane. Recently, structures of the BAM complex have been solved, displaying the molecular organization of the five proteins of the complex (BamABCDE). However, the mechanism by which BamA completes its insertase role is unclear. This PhD thesis focuses on the optimization of sample preparation and backbone assignment of the BamA β-barrel domain for solution NMR spectroscopy. Initial NMR spectra of the BamA β-barrel showed broad peaks with a low signal-to-noise ratio. This was likely due to a dynamic nature of the gate-region, as revealed by cysteine-scanning experiments. Therefore, as a first step to obtain a sample of BamA β-barrel suitable for NMR spectroscopy, buffer conditions were optimized. Then, in order to reduce the dynamics of the BamA β-barrel, a construct was designed with a C-terminal extension by nine residues. In addition to this extension, the G433A mutation in the gate-region was identified as to improve the quality of the NMR spectra. At that point, a combination of specific isotopic labeling and unlabeling in auxotrophic strains, triple-resonance experiments and 3D NOESY experiments allowed to obtain sequence-specific NMR resonance assignments of a large portion of the BamA β-barrel in LDAO micelles. The assignments revealed that some residues of the BamA β-barrel were found in different conformations that can be stabilized by the formation of a disulfide bond or by the C-terminal extension. Moreover, the crystal structure of the extended BamA β-barrel was determined, revealing a longer, and therefore more stable β-strand formed between the first and last strand of the barrel, explaining the stabilizing effect observed in its NMR spectrum. Additional work was performed on the soluble proteins of the BAM complex (BamBCDE). The expression and purification of BamB, BamC BamD and BamE was optimized and NMR spectra were recorded. BamD was found to be unstable once purified, and quickly precipitated, preventing to reach a molar concentration suitable for NMR spectroscopy. As a way to circumvent this issue, a hybrid construct of BamCD was prepared. The protein was able to reach high concentrations while keeping its stability. The fingerprint spectrum of BamCD was recorded and the peaks belonging to BamD overlapped with the peaks measured from a sample of individual BamD. This stabilized sample opens the possibility to obtain the sequence-specific assignments of BamD. Overall, this work resulted in the assignment of a large portion of the BamA β-barrel. As BamA is a potential target for new antibiotics, this assignment opens a way to perform NMR studies on BamA with substrates and ligands and understand the mechanical implications of their binding. Additionally, the dynamic nature of the BamA β-barrel
was demonstrated by observing multiple conformations with solution-state NMR spectroscopy. In combination with the available assignment, it will be possible to observe the effects of binding molecules, mutations, or of the molecular environment on the conformational ensemble of BamA.
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Chapter 1: Nuclear magnetic resonance applied to biological macromolecules
Introduction

In 1937, Isidor Isaac Rabi in Columbia University measured the magnetic moment of lithium chloride, lithium fluoride, and dilithium using an oscillatory radio-frequency field (Rabi et al., 1939). Using the same method, they discovered that the proton momentum was 2.785±0.02 nuclear magneton instead of the theory that predicted a value of 1. They also measured that the magnetic moment of the deuteron was 0.855±0.006 nuclear magneton (Kellogg et al., 1939). Those experiments founded the Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) field and Isidor Isaac Rabi was rewarded with the Nobel Prize in physics in 1944.

Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy is a method to measure the magnetic properties of atomic nuclei. American physicist Edward Purcell at Harvard University and Swiss physicist Felix Bloch at Stanford University first reported about NMR of bulk materials in 1946 (Bloch, 1946, Purcell et al., 1946). For this groundwork, they were awarded with the Nobel Prize in physics in 1952.

In the beginning NMR, spectrometers used continuous-wave spectroscopy (CW). This method consists of keeping the frequency of the electromagnetic field constant and varying the strength of the magnetic field. CW spectroscopy sweeps through all individual frequencies in succession, at a slow rate, to avoid the distortion of the lines.

Swiss physicist Richard Ernst introduced a faster technique known as Fourier transformed NMR to process acquired data from free induction decay experiments (Ernst and Anderson, 1966). This innovation allowed to apply radiofrequency pulse sequences to a range of frequencies instead of a single line, drastically reducing the time required to achieve the same sensitivity with CW-NMR. Complex molecules with many resonances, were now in the range of the capabilities of NMR and the length of the pulses could be optimized to measure chemical reactions of various durations. For its achievements, Richard Ernst was awarded with the Nobel Prize in chemistry in 1991. Since then, NMR has expanded as a major method used to analyze chemical products, a way to probe physical properties of materials, image the human body (MRI), and a technique to unveil structures and mechanisms of biological molecules at atomic resolution, among many other disciplines were it proved to be a breakthrough discovery.

As of September 2018, the protein data bank (PDB, Berman et al., 2000) contains 12374 NMR structures out of 144682 entries, which accounts for 8.6% of the depositions. As an essential experiment to the field of protein NMR, heteronuclear single quantum coherence spectroscopy...
(HSQC) started with the work from Geoffrey Bodenhausen and D.J Ruben in 1980 (Bodenhausen and Ruben, 1980) and allowed to record two-dimensional spectra of $^1$H proton coupled with $^{15}$N nitrogen or $^{13}$C carbon. Unfortunately, the use of liquid-state NMR for the structure determination of proteins of higher molecular mass is limited because of increased transverse relaxation. The linewidth of the peaks is broader and the complexity of the spectrum is increased with a greater number of residues. The molecular-weights limitation of NMR were pushed forward as 3D-HSQC experiments (Ikura et al., 1990), perdeuteration techniques (Gardner and Kay, 1998), transverse optimized spectroscopy experiments (Pervushin et al., 1997), isotope incorporation (McIntosh and Dahlquist, 1990), methyl sidechain labeling (Kerfah et al., 2015) and labeling of specific amino acids (Ellman et al., 1992) were developed. On the side of the spectrometer, increases in the strength of the fields allowed for more sensitivity and the use of cryo-probe raised the signal to noise ratio of acquisitions. Even more challenging to study with NMR are membrane proteins which account for only 110 structures solved for $\alpha$-helical proteins and 30 structures for $\beta$-barrel proteins, represented in the PDB. However, solution NMR can be used to study membrane proteins in native-like membrane mimetics such as nanodiscs.
1.1 NMR Spectroscopy principles

Nuclei in a magnetic field can absorb electromagnetic radiation and re-emit it. The frequency at which the radiation is absorbed and emitted depends on the strength of the magnetic field and on the intrinsic magnetic properties of the nuclei. Isotopes with an odd mass number possess a half integer nuclear spin quantum number I. Nuclei with a spin quantum number greater than ½ have an electric quadrupole moment created from a distribution of nuclear charges that is not spherical. Therefore their magnetic state is much shorter lived than nuclei of I=½. Their resonance lines are broader. Liquid-state NMR focuses then on spin with I=½. Biomolecules spins of interest are mainly ¹H, ¹³C, ¹⁵N, ¹⁹F and ³¹P.

Spin I interacts with the magnetic field and gives rise to two energy levels which are characterized by the magnetic quantum number m. The correlation between spin angular momentum and magnetic quantum number is given by:

\[ I_z = \hbar m \] (1)

Where \( \hbar \) is Planck’s constant divided by \( 2\pi \) and m is the magnetic quantum number, which has 2I+1 values:

\[ m = I, I - 1, I - 2, ..., -I + 1, -I \] (2)

Therefore a nucleus with spin half has a magnetic quantum number m=±½

In the absence of an external magnetic field, the quantum states for the 2I+1 values of m have the same energy.

The spin quantum number gives rise to nuclear spin angle momentum which further gives rise to a nuclear magnetic moment \( \mu \):

\[ \mu_z = \gamma I_z = \gamma \hbar m \] (3)

Where \( \gamma \) is the gyromagnetic ratio of the nuclei (Table 1.1).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleus</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>γ/2π (Hz · T⁻¹)</th>
<th>Natural abundance %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>¹H</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>42.6 ·10⁶</td>
<td>99.9885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>²H</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.5 ·10⁶</td>
<td>0.0115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¹³C</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>10.7 ·10⁶</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¹⁴N</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>3.1 ·10⁶</td>
<td>99.632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¹⁵N</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>-4.3 ·10⁶</td>
<td>0.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¹⁹F</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>40.1 ·10⁶</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>³¹P</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>17.2 ·10⁶</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.1** Gyromagnetic ratios, nuclear spin quantum numbers and the relative natural abundance found on earth for isotopes of interest for biomolecular NMR.

For a spin half, in the absence of external magnetic field, there are two energy levels which are equally populated (degenerate). When placed under an external magnetic field, the degeneracy is lifted and the energies from the spin states of the nucleus are given by:

\[
E = -\mu \cdot B
\]  

(4)

Where \( \vec{B} \) is the magnetic field vector applied. When we apply a magnetic field \( B_0 \) to the spins, which is by convention directed toward the z-axis, we can write the following equation describing the energy of the system.

\[
E = -\gamma I_z B_0 = -m\hbar \gamma B_0
\]  

(5)

In the presence of this magnetic field, the nuclei can either align parallel or anti-parallel to \( B_0 \). The energy difference between those two levels can be defined as:

\[
\Delta E = \hbar \gamma B_0
\]  

(6)
Transitions between those levels can be stimulated by applying electromagnetic radiations. The amount of energy necessary for this stimulation is proportional to the strength of the static magnetic field.

In the case of a single nucleus with a spin quantum number $I=\frac{1}{2}$ under a static magnetic field $B_0$, two nuclear spin states are possible. Their energy levels are separated by the Zeeman transition (Figure 1.1). We refer of the spin with $m=\frac{1}{2}$ as the $\alpha$ state and the one with $m=-\frac{1}{2}$ as the $\beta$ state. Depending on the sign of the gyromagnetic ratio the energy difference sign is negative or positive.

![Figure 1.1 Diagram representing the Zeeman transition between the two energy levels $\alpha$ and $\beta$.](image)
At thermal equilibrium, Boltzmann equations allows to calculate the population of both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ states using the equation:

$$\frac{n_\beta}{n_\alpha} = e^{-\frac{\hbar \gamma B_0}{k_B T}} \quad (7)$$

For a $^1\text{H}$ nucleus measured in a 900 MHz spectrometer at 310.15 K, this ratio is equal to 0.999807. This tiny difference in the state population yields observable signal by NMR.

### 1.2 Bloch equations

In a static magnetic field of $B_0$ amplitude, the Larmor frequency is the precessional frequency of the spin around the magnetic field:

$$\omega_0 = -\gamma B_0 \quad (8)$$

The Larmor frequency depends on the strength of the magnetic field as well as on the gyromagnetic ratio of the spin. Spectrometers are commonly referenced by their proton Larmor frequency (i.e. a 900 MHz spectrometer).

Felix Bloch set up a formalism to describe the evolution of the magnetization over time with relaxation times $T_1$ (longitudinal relaxation time) and $T_2$ (transverse relaxation time), in the form of the Bloch equations (Bloch., 1946).

$$\frac{dM_x(t)}{dt} = \gamma (M(t) \times B(t))_x - \frac{M_x(t)}{T_2} \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{dM_y(t)}{dt} = \gamma (M(t) \times B(t))_y - \frac{M_y(t)}{T_2}$$

$$\frac{dM_z(t)}{dt} = \gamma (M(t) \times B(t))_z - \frac{M_z(t) - M_0}{T_1}$$

Where $M_x(t)$, $M_y(t)$ and $M_z(t)$ are the x, y and z-components of the nuclear magnetization as a function of time and $B_x(t)$, $B_y(t)$, $B_z(t)$ the x, y and z-components of the magnetic field $B$. 
In a case of precession without relaxation Bloch equations solutions are:

\[ M_x' = M_x \cos(\omega t) + M_y \sin(\omega t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

\[ M_y' = M_y \cos(\omega t) - M_x \sin(\omega t) \]

However, in all systems, spin-lattice and spin-spin relaxation occur, and can be considered as first order process with \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) times respectively. After a magnetic perturbation and because of the relaxation processes the magnetization components on the z-axis, and in the transverse plane returns to equilibrium. The z-axis relaxation equation is given by:

\[ M_z(t) = M_0 - [M_0 - M_z(0)] \exp(-R_1 t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

\( M_z(0) \) is the z-axis component magnetization at \( t=0 \). \( R_1 \) is the spin-lattice relaxation rate constant. \( M_0 \) is the equilibrium magnetization on the z-axis. The transverse components of magnetization relax following equations:

\[ M_x(t) = M_x(0) \exp(-R_2 t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

\[ M_y(t) = M_y(0) \exp(-R_2 t) \]

\( R_2 \) is the spin-spin relaxation rate constant. \( M_x(0) \) and \( M_y(0) \) are the transverse components of magnetization at \( t=0 \).
1.3 1D-NMR experiment

At thermal equilibrium, the spins of a sample, or bulk magnetization $M_0$, are parallel to the static field. By applying an on-resonance pulse $B_1$ on the bulk magnetization (Figure 1.2 A), flipping of the vector of the bulk magnetization $\vec{M}_0$ occurs with a desired angle depending on the power and the duration of the pulse. A 90° pulse flips the bulk magnetization in the transverse plane (Figure 1.2 B). After the 90° pulse is discontinued, the bulk magnetization starts to approach its thermal equilibrium in the form of free induction decay (FID), following Bloch equations (Figure 1.2 C). The FID is acquired and Fourier-transformed (Figure 1.2 D).

![Images of 1D-NMR experiment](image)

**Figure 1.2 A** After the 90° pulse along the y-axis, bulk magnetization is flipped to the transverse plane (B). **C** Over time, magnetization returns to thermal equilibrium and detected as FID as a function of time and Fourier-transformed as a function of frequency (D).

1.4 Chemical shifts

The electrons surrounding the nucleus generate a magnetic field of their own, which affect the local magnetic experienced by the nucleus known as nuclear shielding. The magnetic field experienced by a nucleus is thus depending on both primary and secondary magnetic fields. Therefore, the frequency of the pulse required to achieve resonance of the nuclei depends on its electronic environment. In biomolecular NMR, the amino acids of a protein will experience different electronic environments depending on surrounding amino acids and other atoms. We can differentiate the residues of a protein according to the local variations in their electronic environment by measuring their chemical shifts.
The shielding of a nucleus can be described as:

\[ \omega = -\gamma (1 - \sigma)B_0 \quad (12) \]

Where \( \sigma \) is the average shielding constant for the nucleus. Resonance frequencies are dependent on the strength of the magnetic field \( B_0 \). In order to simplify data comparison measured with different spectrometers, the chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million (ppm/ \( \delta \)) relatively to the resonance signal of a reference chemical.

\[ \delta = \frac{\Omega - \Omega_{\text{ref}}}{\omega_0} \cdot 10^6 = (\sigma_{\text{ref}} - \sigma) \cdot 10^6 \quad (13) \]

Where \( \Omega \) and \( \Omega_{\text{ref}} \) are the offset frequencies of the measured signal and of the reference.

When a protein is in a random-coil conformation, its amino acids present little difference in their chemical shifts. Those values are specific for each amino-acid type and represent an average of the chemical shifts for the conformations sampled by the residues in an unstructured state. The characteristics chemical shifts values of residues in the random-coil conformation have been measured as references. Comparing the chemical shifts of a protein, to those references, allow to determine the secondary chemical shift of a residue. For example, in \( \alpha \)-helices, \( C_\alpha \) atoms will tend to present positive secondary shifts while they will tend to have negative secondary chemical shifts in \( \beta \)-strands.

In biomolecular NMR, the secondary chemical shift of a residue is the difference between its measured and its expected chemical shift.

\[ \Delta \delta = \delta_{\text{measured}} - \delta_{\text{random coil}} \quad (14) \]

Variations in the protein structure can be monitored by the change in chemical shifts due to the change in local environment known as chemical shift perturbations (CSPs). Events such as binding another protein or ligand, will affect the chemical shift environment of the residues involved in the interaction. In a two-dimensional proton-nitrogen correlation spectrum, each residue is represented by a peak. Upon binding of a partner, the coordinates of the peak will shift in the proton (\( \Delta \delta(1^1\text{H}) \)) and nitrogen (\( \Delta \delta(2^2\text{H}) \)) dimension.
The chemical shift perturbation in this frame is calculated as:

\[
CSP = \sqrt{(\Delta \delta(\textsuperscript{1}H))^2 + \left(\frac{1}{5}(\Delta \delta(\textsuperscript{15}N))\right)^2}
\]

CSP is a tool to study surface protein-protein or protein-ligand interactions (Goldflam et al., 2012; Thompson et al., 2015; Vinogradova and Qin, 2012), the unfolding of proteins (Mielke and Krishnan, 2009) and other experiments where residues are experiencing a transition in their electronic environments.

1.5 Scalar couplings

Covalent bonds are chemical bonds that involve two atoms sharing electrons pairs. In NMR spectroscopy these covalent bonds give rise to the splitting of the resonance signals of the nucleus into multiplets (Figure 1.3) (Ramsey and Purcell, 1952). This effect is called the scalar coupling (Gutowsky et al., 1951). The two spins involved are usually denoted as I and S, and the constants which define the strength of the coupling is termed \( ^n J_{IS} \) (Hz) where \( n \) is the number of covalents bonds separating the two nuclei. In biomolecular NMR, a typical example would be to study two spins of spin \( \frac{1}{2} \) separated by one bond. In such a system, resonance frequencies \( \omega_I \) and \( \omega_S \):

\[
\omega_I = -\gamma_I B_0(1 - \sigma_I), \quad \omega_S = -\gamma_S B_0(1 - \sigma_S)
\]

Each spin having one magnetic quantum number \( m_I \) and \( m_S \), the two-spin system has four energy levels, formed by all the possible combinations between \( m_I \) and \( m_S \) (Table 1.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spin state</th>
<th>Total magnetic quantum number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha \alpha )</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha \beta )</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta \alpha )</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta \beta )</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.2 Possible energy states in a two-spin system, transitions between those states must only change by one unit.
1.6 Chemical exchange

As shown before, nuclei can experience modifications of their electronic environment in a dynamic protein or when another molecule binds. In such a system, the protein population has a distribution of members which have different conformations. The chemical rate constant $k$, represents the rate of exchange between those conformations. In a simple case, where a nucleus samples two conformations A and B, the ratio between the chemical rate constant $k$ and the resonance frequency difference between those two conformations $\Delta \nu$ will determine the exchange regime (Figure 1.4). If $k << \Delta \nu$ then the exchange is in “slow regime” in the NMR timeframe. We can observe the two individual conformations as two separate peaks. As the chemical rate constant increases, the nucleus exchanges conformations faster. This means that the two frequencies modulate each other and lose coherence. The peaks broaden and start to merge. In intermediate exchange, $k \approx \Delta \nu$, the peaks are coalesced and their broadening can prevent them to be detectable in the spectrum. In the fast exchange, $k >> \Delta \nu$, one peak is measured, whose chemical shift is the mean of the two conformations.
1.7 Relaxation

The relaxation phenomenon is the process that causes the return to equilibrium of a population of spin after a radiofrequency pulse has been applied. At equilibrium, spin populations are described by the Boltzmann distribution. In a two-state system, the equilibrium magnetization is proportional to the difference between the spins populating each of the energy state $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

$$M_z \propto (n_\alpha - n_\beta) \quad (17)$$

Transitions between energy states displace population from $\alpha$ to $\beta$ and reciprocally, until the states are populated according to the Boltzmann distribution. Assuming the process is of first order with a rate constant of $W$. The rate of change in the population $\alpha$:

$$\frac{dn_\alpha}{dt} = -Wn_\alpha + Wn_\beta, \quad \frac{dn_\beta}{dt} = -Wn_\beta + Wn_\alpha \quad (18)$$
We previously saw that when the bulk magnetization is flipped in the transverse plane by a 90° pulse, it relaxes over time and returns to zero. This relaxation process can be described as two component: the loss in xy-plane magnetization is called transverse relaxation (or spin-spin relaxation) and the z-magnetization component of the relaxation is called longitudinal (spin-lattice) relaxation. Transitions between energy states displace population from α to β and reciprocally.

\[
\frac{dM_z}{dt} = \frac{d(n_α - n_β)}{dt} = -2W(M_z - M_z^0) \quad (18)
\]

\(M_z^0 = (n_α^0 - n_β^0)\) is the equilibrium magnetization on the z-axis. W is termed the transition rate constant.

The relaxation time \(T_1\) is related to the relaxation rate \(W\):

\[
T_1 = \frac{1}{R_1} = \frac{1}{2W} \quad (19)
\]

Integrating the equation yields:

\[
M_z(t) = [M_z(0) - M_z^0]e^{-\frac{t}{T_1}} + M_z^0 \quad (20) \quad (\text{Figure 1.5})
\]

\[\text{Figure 1.5.} \text{ Evolution of the z-magnetization relaxation for } \alpha \text{ to } \beta \text{ state transition in a spin } \frac{1}{2} \text{ system following an exponential curve. } M_z^0 \text{ is the z-component of the magnetization at equilibrium and } M_z(0) \text{ the magnetization at } t=0. \text{ Figure adapted from (Keeler., 2002).}\]
In solution, the spectral density function describes the probability to find motions at the defined angular frequency $\omega$. The quantity of molecular motions found at a frequency depends on the size of the molecule, and therefore, on $\tau_c$, which is the rotational correlation time of the molecule.

$$ J(\omega) = \frac{2\tau_c}{1+(\omega\tau_c)^2} $$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

Likewise, relaxations times $T_1$ and $T_2$ also depends on $\tau_c$. (Figure 1.6).

As $T_1$ depends on $\tau_c$ and $T_1 = \frac{1}{2W}$, the spectral density function is proportional to the relaxation rate constant $W$.

$$ J(\omega) \propto W $$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

The transverse relaxation describes the decay of the transverse magnetization over time.

$$ M_{xy}(t) = M_{xy}(0)e^{-\frac{t}{T_2}} $$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

Where $M_{xy}(0)$ is the transverse equilibrium magnetization. $T_2$ is the transverse relaxation time constant and $R_2 = \frac{1}{T_2}$ the transverse relaxation rate constant.

The Bloembergen-Purcell-Pound theory describes the relaxation through dipole-dipole interactions (which is the dominant relaxation mechanism in liquids, and is therefore relevant for water and liquid-state NMR) (Bloembergen et al., 1948). In 1955, Solomon, build a model to explain $T_1$ and $T_2$ for two protons relaxing through dipole-dipole interactions.
\[
\frac{1}{T_1} = \frac{6}{20} \cdot \frac{\hbar^2 \gamma^4}{r^6} \cdot \left[ \frac{\tau_c}{1 + \omega^2 \tau_c^2} + \frac{4\tau_c}{1 + 4\omega^2 \tau_c^2} \right] \tag{24}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{T_2} = \frac{3}{20} \cdot \frac{\hbar^2 \gamma^4}{r^6} \cdot \left[ 3\tau_c + \frac{\tau_c}{1 + \omega^2 \tau_c^2} + \frac{4\tau_c}{1 + 4\omega^2 \tau_c^2} \right] \tag{25}
\]

As we see, the relaxations time constants are proportional to the inverse of the power of six of the distance between the spins, and on the power of four of the gyromagnetic ratio of the spins.

For large molecules, \( R_2 \) is dominated by the first term of the equation (Figure 1.7). For those molecules, the relaxation time constant keep decreasing with the size. The linewidth of the peaks for a system are given by the full width at mid height (FWMH) which is represented by the following equation:

\[ \Delta \nu = \frac{1}{\pi T_2} \tag{26} \]

This means that for larger molecules, a loss in resolution is to be expected, as the peaks are getting broader with the increase in size. Additionally the broadening, diminishes the peak signal to noise ratio, as the integrals of the peaks stay the same.

### 1.8 Solomon equation

In NMR, the vector model of magnetization cannot describe systems of more than one spin. To describe more complex systems, the products operators are used. Operators represent measurable quantities, like the magnetization, the angular momentum and the energy. A spin in orbit around an axis of rotation have an angular momentum. The axis components of the spin angular momentum are represented by the operators \( I_x, I_y, I_z \). During equilibrium, only the \( z \)-component of magnetization is present.

The Solomon equations describe the dipolar relaxation of a two spin system (Solomon, 1955).

Let the first spin be noted \( I \) and the second spin \( S \). The rate constants are termed \( W_I \) and \( W_S \) for allowed \( I \) and \( S \) spins transitions (Figure 1.7). For forbidden transitions, zero quantum transition is denoted \( W_0 \) and double quantum transition \( W_2 \).
Figure 1.7 Scheme describing the transitions between different energy states of a two-spin system.

We can write the variations of spin population over time with the differential equation, summing the variations of the other populations of spins:

\[
\frac{dn_1}{dt} = -W_S(1)n_1 - W_I(1)n_1 - W_2n_1 + W_S(1)n_2 + W_I(1)n_3 + W_2n_4 \quad (27)
\]

\[
\frac{dn_2}{dt} = -W_S(1)n_2 - W_I(2)n_2 - W_0n_2 + W_S(1)n_1 + W_I(2)n_4 + W_0n_3
\]

\[
\frac{dn_3}{dt} = -W_I(1)n_3 - W_S(2)n_3 - W_0n_3 + W_I(1)n_1 + W_S(2)n_4 + W_0n_2
\]

\[
\frac{dn_4}{dt} = -W_S(2)n_4 - W_I(2)n_4 - W_2n_4 + W_S(2)n_3 + W_I(2)n_2 + W_2n_1
\]

The z-component of the magnetization of the I spin, can be calculated from the population difference involved in the two I spin transitions \(W_I(1), (n_1-n_3)\) and \(W_2(1), (n_2-n_4)\).

\[
I_z = n_1 - n_3 + n_2 - n_4 \quad (28)
\]
The same is done for the S spin:

\[ S_z = n_1 - n_2 + n_3 - n_4 \quad (29) \]

The operator \( 2I_z S_z \) represents the population difference between the two I spin transitions.

\[ 2I_z S_z = n_1 - n_3 - n_2 + n_4 \quad (30) \]

The Solomon equation gives us the evolution of those operators over time:

\[
\frac{dI_z}{dt} = -(W^2 - W^0)S_z - (W^1 I^1 - W^2 I^2)2I_z S_z \quad (31)
\]

\[
\frac{dS_z}{dt} = -(W^2 - W^0)I_z - (W^1 S^1 - W^2 S^2 + W_2 + W_0)S_z - (W^1 S^1 - W^2 S^2)2I_z S_z
\]

\[
\frac{d2I_z S_z}{dt} = -(W^1 I^1 - W^2 I^2 + W^1 S^1 + W^2 S^2)2I_z S_z
\]

The change of magnetization of \( I_z \) over time is not only dependent on itself but also on \( S_z \) and \( 2I_z S_z \). The z-component of the magnetization of the spin \( I_z \) is dependent of the z-component magnetization of the spin S.

\( (W^2 - W^0) \) is termed the cross-relaxation rate constant \((\sigma_{12})\)

\( (W^1 I^1 + W^2 I^2 + W_2 + W_0) \) is termed the self-relaxation rate constant of spin I \((R_{Z(I)})\)

\( (W^1 S^1 + W^2 S^2 + W_2 + W_0) \) is termed the self-relaxation rate constant of spin S \((R_{Z(S)})\)

\( (W^1(1) - W^2(2))2I_z S_z \) describes the transfer of \( I_z S_z \) to \( I \) spin magnetization.

The operator \( 2I_z S_z \) self-relaxes with the following rate constant:

\[
R_{IS} = (W^1 I^1 + W^1 S^1 + W^2 S^2) \quad (31)
\]
1.9 Nuclear Overhauser effect

The nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE, Overhauser, 1953) applied to NMR (Kaiser, 1963), is a transfer of magnetization between two dipolar-coupled spins by a cross-relaxation effect through space.

If the S spin magnetization changes from equilibrium, the I spin will be affected with a rate proportional to the cross relaxation rate ($\sigma_{IS}$) and with how much the S spin deviates from equilibrium. This variation in the I spin magnetization will be reflected by an intensity change in the spectrum. When the S spin magnetization changes, the I spin intensity will reflect this perturbation.

From the Solomon equation we see that:

$$\frac{d(I_z - I_z^0)}{dt} = -R_1(I_z - I_z^0) - \sigma_{IS}(S_z - S_z^0 - S_z^0)$$

(31)

We can write the cross-relaxation rate constant as:

$$\sigma_{IS} = (W_2 - W_0) = 2\gamma_1^2\gamma_2^2\hbar^2\frac{1}{\tau_{IS}} \left[ J(\omega_{W_2}) - J(\omega_{W_0}) \right]$$

(32)

Where $J(\omega)$ is the spectral density function at the frequency $\omega$ which describes the amount of molecular motions at this frequency.

$$J(\omega) = \frac{2\tau_c}{1 + \omega^2\tau_c^2} \propto W$$

(33)

We see that the cross relaxation rate constant sign can be positive or negative depending on the rotational correlation constant ($\tau_c$) (Figure 1.8), hence on the size of the molecule. When $W_2=W_0$, $\sigma_{IS} = 0$, the NOE enhancement is null.
Figure 1.8 Dependency of the cross-relaxation rate constant on the rotational correlation time for a 500 MHz Larmor frequency.

The NOE contain information about the distance $r$ between the spins represented by $\frac{1}{\tau_{IS}}$ in equation (32). The closer the spins are in space, the higher will be the magnetization transfer between the spin.

For a two-spin system I and S, where S is irradiated with a radiofrequency field that does not affect I. Before the mixing time, magnetization on the I and S spins are represented by the following equations:

$$S_z(0) = -\cos \Omega_S t_1 S_z^0, \quad I_z(0) = -\cos \Omega_I t_1 I_z^0$$ (34)

Where the offset $\Omega = \omega_0 - \omega_{rf}$, $\omega_{rf}$ is the transmitter frequency. It is the difference between the Larmor frequency of the spin and the transmitter frequency.

The equation for the evolution on the magnetization of S is:

$$\frac{dS_z(\tau_m)}{dt}_{\text{initial}} = \sigma_{IS} (I_z(t) - I_z^0) - R_S (S_z(t) - S_z^0)$$ (35)

Solving the equation yields:

$$S_z(\tau_m) = \sigma_{IS} \tau_m I_z^0 + R_S \tau_m S_z^0$$ (a) (36)

$$+ \cos \Omega_I t_1 [\sigma_{IS} \tau_m] I_z^0$$ (b)

$$+ \cos \Omega_S t_1 [R_S \tau_m - 1] S_z^0$$ (c)
After the mixing time, the z-component of spin S magnetization is rotated by a 90° pulse to be measured (Figure 1.9). The magnetization on spin S will precess at $\Omega_S$ during $t_2$. The NOESY spectrum will contain peaks represented by the terms (a), (b) and (c) (Figure 1.10).

![Figure 1.9 A simple NOESY pulse sequence. Full bars represent 90° pulses. The white arrow represent the acquisition.](image)

**Figure 1.9** A simple NOESY pulse sequence. Full bars represent 90° pulses. The white arrow represent the acquisition.

![Figure 1.10 Example spectrum of a 2D-NOESY experiment. Term (a) do not evolve with $t_1$, in $t_2$ it evolves at $\Omega_S$. The peak coordinate will therefore be $\{F_1, F_2\} = \{0, \Omega_S\}$. It is an axial peak. Term (b) is a cross peak. It evolves during $t_1$ and $t_2$ at $\Omega_i$ and $\Omega_S$ respectively. We see that the intensity is proportional to the cross correlation rate constant and to the mixing time. Its coordinates are $\{F_1, F_2\} = \{\Omega_i, \Omega_S\}$. Term (c) is a diagonal peak of coordinates $\{F_1, F_2\} = \{\Omega_S, \Omega_S\}$ that evolves during $t_1$ and $t_2$ at $\Omega_S$ and $\Omega_i$ respectively. This peak is negative as $R_S \tau_m - 1 \ll 0$ in the initial rate and goes back to zero with the mixing time, with a $R_S$ rate. When $R_i$ and $R_S > 0$, $\sigma_{IS}$ can be positive or negative. When $\sigma_{IS} > 0$, the diagonal and cross peaks will have opposite signs. When $\sigma_{IS} < 0$, all the peaks will have the same sign.](image)

**Figure 1.10** Example spectrum of a 2D-NOESY experiment. Term (a) do not evolve with $t_1$, in $t_2$ it evolves at $\Omega_S$. The peak coordinate will therefore be $\{F_1, F_2\} = \{0, \Omega_S\}$. It is an axial peak. Term (b) is a cross peak. It evolves during $t_1$ and $t_2$ at $\Omega_i$ and $\Omega_S$ respectively. We see that the intensity is proportional to the cross correlation rate constant and to the mixing time. Its coordinates are $\{F_1, F_2\} = \{\Omega_i, \Omega_S\}$. Term (c) is a diagonal peak of coordinates $\{F_1, F_2\} = \{\Omega_S, \Omega_S\}$ that evolves during $t_1$ and $t_2$ at $\Omega_S$ and $\Omega_i$ respectively. This peak is negative as $R_S \tau_m - 1 \ll 0$ in the initial rate and goes back to zero with the mixing time, with a $R_S$ rate. When $R_i$ and $R_S > 0$, $\sigma_{IS}$ can be positive or negative. When $\sigma_{IS} > 0$, the diagonal and cross peaks will have opposite signs. When $\sigma_{IS} < 0$, all the peaks will have the same sign.
1.10  Heteronuclear NMR of proteins

We already saw that one-dimensional NMR is able to detect the protons of the proteins. For structural and functional studies, it becomes necessary to use additional nuclei that are found within those macromolecules. In a 1D-experiment, the signal is acquired as a function of one variable of time and Fourier transformed to yield a spectrum. The spectrum is a function of frequency. In a 2D-experiment, the experiment is recorded as a function of two variables of time $t_1$ and $t_2$ (Figure 1.11 A). First, a series of 1D experiments are recorded with different $t_2$ times and Fourier transformed (Figure 1.11 B), then a second Fourier transform with time $t_1$ yields the 2D-spectrum. The 2D spectrum is then a function of two frequencies (Figure 1.11 C).

As we remember, the gyromagnetic ratio of the proton is the highest of any nuclei. A very sensitive experiment is to transfer the magnetization from $^1$H to $^{15}$N (2D $[^{15}$N, $^1$H]-HSQC). This experiment yields what is called a “fingerprint” spectrum where every peptide bond is represented as a peak. NH$_2$-containing side chains (asparagine and glutamine) are represented as a doublet of peak on the top right side of the spectrum.

In the 2D-HSQC experiment, the first insensitive nuclei enhanced by polarization transfer (INEPT) (Morris and Freeman, 1979) pulse train transfer magnetization from the proton to the other nuclei. Its first 90° converts H$_z$ magnetization to -H$_y$, the following 180° pulse after time $\tau$ is

---

**Figure 1.11 A)** Scheme of a 2D-HSQC experiment, the experiment is recorded with variables $t_1$ and $t_2$ times. Pulses of 90° are represented by thin bars and 180° pulses by thick bars. **B)** The acquired data are processed by two successive Fourier-transform. **C)** The result 2D-spectra contains peaks which are described by two frequencies.
to evolve the coupling between the two nuclei while without evolving the proton magnetization. The magnetization is then $-H_xX_z$. At the end of the INEPT, 90° pulse on both channels transfer the magnetization to $H_zX_y$. Then, the antiphase magnetization of the X nucleus evolves during $t_1$. Heteronuclear couplings between proton and X nuclei are refocused in the middle of the evolution time with a 180° pulse of the proton. A reverse INEPT transfers the magnetization from the X spin to in-phase proton magnetization. Acquisition starts along with the use of a composite pulse decoupling (CPD) scheme to prevent magnetization arising from the coupling of the proton-X nuclei. $\tau$ length is equal to $\frac{1}{4}(J_{HX})$. With no decoupling, the experiments would yield four peaks (Figure 1.12 A) for each H-X bond at frequencies of $\omega_H \pm \frac{\pi J_{HX}}{2}$ and $\omega_X \pm \frac{\pi J_{HX}}{2}$.

The refocusing 180° pulse during $t_1$ allows for the decoupling of the proton during X nuclei evolution. The decoupling of the X nuclei during proton acquisition is performed by CPD scheme. With those decouplings active, the spectrum is simplified to only one peak per H-X bond (Figure 1.12 B).

![Figure 1.12 H-X HSQC spectrum. A) Undecoupled spectrum, four peaks are present for one H-X bond. They are separated by the scalar coupling $J_{HX}$ on both dimensions. They are centered on coordinates $[\omega_H, \omega_X]$. B) With active decoupling, only one peak is present. Its coordinates are $[\omega_H, \omega_X]$.](image-url)
1.11 Transverse Relaxation-Optimized Experiments.

Liquid-state NMR spectroscopy is able to measure proteins of limited size because of fast transverse relaxation of the nuclei by dipole-dipole (DD) coupling and chemical shift anisotropy (CSA). The idea behind Transverse-relaxation-optimized spectroscopy (Pervushin et al., 1997) is to reduce transverse relaxation by compensating dipole-dipole and chemical shift anisotropy components of relaxation. Chemical shift anisotropy (CSA) is due to the chemical environment around a spin (Saitô et al., 2010). Electrons around a nucleus can shield this nucleus from the main magnetic field $B_0$. As such, the local magnetic field is different for every spin, this is the reason why we can measure chemical shifts. If the chemical shifts of the molecule varies along different directions, then the chemical shift tensor describing the electric field around the nucleus is asymmetric. Nucleus with a half spin and a large chemical shift range are relaxing faster due to CSA effects and display broader peaks. In fast tumbling systems, the CSA relaxation mechanisms are averaged out. For larger molecules, the rotational correlation time ($\tau_c$) becomes longer, increasing the transversal relaxation contribution. Dipole-dipole coupling depends on the distance between nuclei and the angle between the internuclear vector and the magnetic field. As the molecule tumble in solution, the dipoles are generating an oscillating magnetic field which contributes to the relaxation of nearby spins. The TROSY-type experiment uses constructive interference between DD coupling and CSA to reduce the transverse relaxation (Figure 1.13). TROSY-type experiments allow to measure spectra of proteins of higher molecular weight (Figure 1.14).
In the undecoupled HSQC experiment, multiplets are present because of the scalar couplings. For larger proteins, the components of those multiplets have different widths because they relax with different rates. The TROSY experiment aims at selecting only the component for which CSA and DD relaxation have cancelled each other out. TROSY experiments are well-suited to increase the signal to noise ratio and the linewidth for spectra of large molecules.

Example of a 2D $[^{15}\text{N}, ^{1}\text{H}]$-TROSY-HSQC experiment of the BamA $\beta$-barrel protein in solution. Each peak represents the backbone $^{1}\text{H}$.^{15}\text{N}$ bond of a residue.
1.12 Descriptions of experiments for the assignment of proteins

3D-HNCA

For large proteins isotopically labeled with $^{15}$N and $^{13}$C the use of three-dimensional experiments is necessary to perform the sequential assignment the backbone of the molecule (Ikura et al., 1990). The assignment aims at identifying the residues to which the peaks belong, opening a way to study function and structure at atomic resolution. For the HNCA experiment (Kay et al., 1990; Salzmann et al., 1999), magnetization starts on the amide proton and is then transferred to $^{15}$N via the $J_{NH}$ using an INEPT pulse sequence, and then to $^{13}$C$_{\alpha}$/1$^{13}$C$_{\alpha-1}$ with the $J_{NC\alpha}$ with another INEPT and then back to $^{15}$N and $^1$H with reverse-INEPT pulse sequence (Figure 1.15).

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 1.15** A) Representation of the transfer of magnetization in the HNCA experiment (adapted from Victoria A. Higman, [http://www.protein-nmr.org.uk](http://www.protein-nmr.org.uk)). B) Example of HNCA strips of the BamA $\beta$-barrel protein in solution. Consecutive residues in the backbone are connected as the successor residue sees the C$_{\alpha}$ of the predecessor.

The magnetization is evolved on the $^1$H amide nuclei as well as on $^{15}$N amide and $^{13}$C$_{\alpha}$, yielding a 3D experiment. Once calibrated onto the 2D $[^{15}$N-$^1$H]-HSQC experiment, each $[^1$H-$^{15}$N] peak will show two peaks in the carbon dimension. The coupling between $^{15}$N and $^{13}$C$_{\alpha}$ is stronger than the $^{15}$N $^{13}$C$_{\alpha-1}$ coupling, the most intense peak usually belongs to the C$_{\alpha}$ of the observed residue and
the least intense to the previous residue. We can therefore use the HNCA to sequentially assign the backbone of the protein. However in larger proteins, ambiguities start to arise as many different successors for one residue are possible. It is to be noted that proline do not have an amide proton and cannot be assigned using this experiment.

3D-HNCO

The HNCO (Figure 1.16) (Grzesiek and Bax, 1992; Kay et al., 1990) is the most sensitive 3D experiment, it correlates the CO-N-HN of the backbone and can be used with the HN(CA)CO experiment (Clubb et al., 1992) to perform sequential assignment. The 2D-HNCO version, can be used in specific amino acid isotopic labeling samples to detect the successor of a residue which is $^{13}$C labeled. The CO chemical shifts can also be used to predict the secondary chemical shifts. The HNCO experiment transfers magnetization from the proton to the nitrogen of the amide group then to the carbonyl of the previous residue.

**Figure 1.16** A) Representation of the transfer of magnetization in the HNCO experiment (adapted from Victoria A. Higman, [http://www.protein-nmr.org.uk]). B) Example of HNCO strip of the BamA $\beta$-barrel protein in solution. The visible chemical shift comes from the CO of the predecessor residue.
The HNCACB experiment (Figure 1.17) (Grzesiek and Bax, 1992; Salzmann et al., 1999) is a three-dimensional experiment for which magnetization is transferred from the amide proton to the amide $^{15}$N via the $J_{HN}$ coupling with an INEPT. Then it is transferred from the $^{15}$N to the $^{13}$C$_α$ using the scalar coupling $J_{NCα}$. The magnetization is partially transferred to $^{13}$C$_β$ using an INEPT block. Afterwards, the magnetization is evolved for a $t_1$ time. Magnetization is transferred back to $^{13}$C$_α$ and $^{15}$N using reverse INEPTs and the $^{15}$N is evolved for a $t_2$ time. On the last step, polarization is transferred back to the amide proton where it is evolved as the acquisition starts for a $t_3$ time. The time $t_1$, $t_2$, $t_3$ are varied by increments to form the three dimensions of the experiment.

**Figure 1.17 A)** Representation of the transfer of magnetization in the HNCACB experiment (adapted from Victoria A. Higman, [http://www.protein-nmr.org.uk](http://www.protein-nmr.org.uk)). **B)** Example of HNCACB strips of the BamA β-barrel protein in solution. Four peaks are visible for each strip. $Cα$ and $Cα$-1 are present, as well as $Cβ$ and $Cβ$-1. This experiment is less sensitive as the HNCA but allows to solve ambiguous connections as the $Cβ$ brings additional information about the connectivity.
In the $^{15}$N-NOESY-HSQC experiment (Figure 1.18) (Fesik and Zuiderweg, 1988; Marion et al., 1989; Talluri and Wagner, 1996) the magnetization starts on the protons and is then exchanged to all the close protons, then it is transferred to $^{15}$N and back to proton for detection. This experiment is performed to acquire data about space proximity of protons which are coupled to $^{15}$N nuclei. The intensity of the crosspeaks is proportional to $\frac{1}{r_{HH}^{-6}}$ where $r_{HH}$ is the distance between the two protons. As such, the experiments can be performed to acquire restraints for structure calculation. It can also be used in combination with atomic resolution knowledge of the structure to help assignment of the protein.

**Figure 1.18 A)** Representation of the transfer of magnetization in the $^{15}$N-NOESY-HSQC experiment (adapted from Victoria A. Higman, http://www.protein-nmr.org.uk). **B)** Example of $^{15}$N-NOESY-HSQC strips of the BamA β-barrel protein in solution. Crosspeaks exist for protons that are close in space and that correlate to the backbone $^{15}$N amide. In the example strips, two residues are facing in a β-sheet and form a hydrogen bond. As they are close in space, the protons exchange magnetization during the mixing time and correlate to each of the backbone $^{15}$N.
$^{13}$C-HMQC

In the $^{13}$C-HMQC experiment (Figure 1.19), magnetization starts on the proton and is transferred on the $^{13}$C and back to the proton for acquisition. This is the $^{13}$C counterpart of the $^{15}$N-HSQC. In the scope of assigning the methyl groups of the sidechains, this experiment is performed at high resolution in order to pick the peaks in the spectra (Figure 1.20).

![Diagram of HMQC experiment](image)

Figure 1.19 Representation of the transfer of magnetization in the HMQC experiment for a sample $^{13}$C labeled on the methyl sidechains.

![Example of HMQC experiment](image)

Figure 1.20 Example of a [$^1$H-$^{13}$C]-HMQC experiment of the BamA β-barrel protein in solution. Each peak represents a $^1$H-$^{13}$C bond. In that case the sample is $^{13}$C labeled on the methyl of the side chains.
13C-NOESY-HMQC

In the $^{13}$C-NOESY-HMQC experiment (Figure 1.21), the magnetization is transferred from $^1$H to $^{13}$C by scalar coupling and back to the proton. It is then exchanged during close by protons during the mixing time and the signal is acquired. This experiment can be used to assign sidechains when combined with available structural information.

Figure 1.21 A) Representation of the transfer of magnetization in the 3D-NOESY-HMQC experiment for a sample $^{13}$C labeled on the methyl sidechains. B) Example of $^{15}$N-NOESY-HMQC strip of the BamA β-barrel protein in solution.
Chapter 2: Study of the BamBCDE associated lipoproteins

I carried all the work presented in this chapter.
2.1 Introduction to the Bam complex

Gram-negative bacteria possess an inner membrane, composed of phospholipids, spanned by α-helical proteins and an outer membrane, asymmetrical and composed of phospholipids, lipopolysaccharides (LPS) (Nikaido and Vaara, 1985), spanned by β-barrel proteins. Those integral outer membrane proteins are playing important roles in the cell (Koebnik et al., 2000; Nikaido, 2003). Outer membrane proteins are translated by the ribosome, their signal sequence (Sjöström et al., 1987) is then recognized by the SecYEG complex which translocate them to the periplasm. From that point they are stabilized by chaperones (Korndörfer et al., 2004; Schiffrin et al., 2017; Thoma et al., 2015; Zhong et al., 2013) who help them to reach the β-barrel assembly machinery complex (BAM). In order for cells to adapt to external conditions, they are required to be inserted readily into the outer membrane. Proteins of the Omp85 family, including the BamA complex (Figure 2.1), are highly conserved and essential for outer membrane biogenesis in bacteria and mitochondria (Gentle et al., 2004; Hagan and Kahne, 2011; Knowles et al., 2009a; Ricci et al., 2012; Robert et al., 2006; Rossiter et al., 2011; Voulhoux et al., 2003). BamA, the main protein of the Bam complex, is a 16-stranded β-barrel prolonged by five periplasmic polypeptide transport domains (POTRA) (Sánchez-Pulido et al., 2003). Whereas the POTRA domains assist proteins coming from the periplasm to fold in the outer membrane (Patel and Kleinschmidt, 2013), only POTRA5 is essential (Bos et al., 2007).

The barrel is associated in a complex with four solubles lipoproteins BamB, BamC, BamD and BamE (Sklar et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2005) that are anchored on the inner leaflet of the outer membrane. Structures of the BamA barrel with POTRA5 in *E. coli* (Albrecht et al., 2014), with its fifth periplasmic POTRA domains in *N. gonorrhoeae* (Noinaj et al., 2013) as well as its complex with the BamB, BamC, BamD and BamE associated lipoproteins in *E.coli* (Bakelar et al., 2016; Gu et al., 2016; Han et al., 2016, Iadanza et al., 2016) have been resolved (Figure 2.2). BamB is a ring-like protein formed by an eight-bladed β-propeller fold (Dong et al., 2012; Kim and Paetzel, 2011; Noinaj et al., 2011). Each blade formed by four antiparallel β-sheet. The blades are organized around a pseudo-eight-fold axis. This donut-like organization shows homology to proteins with WD40 domains, which are proteins that build scaffold with other proteins to form complexes (Kim and Paetzel, 2011; Neer et al., 1994; Noinaj et al., 2011). BamB binds to BamA on its POTRA 2 and 3 domains on one side of the complex (Gu et al., 2016). BamC is made of two-domains separated by a long liker. The N–terminal domain has two α-helices sitting against an anti-parallel β-sheet composed of five strands while the C-terminal domain possess a six-stranded antiparallel β-sheet, three α-helices and a 3₁₀-helix (Kim et al., 2011a; Warner et al.,
BamE is formed by two N-terminal antiparallel α-helices and a C-terminal twisted antiparallel β-sheet composed of three β-strands (Kim et al., 2011b; Knowles et al., 2010, 2011). On one side of the barrel sits the BamCDE subcomplex, with interactions between the C-terminal domain of BamD and the POTRA5 domain of BamA. On the other side, BamB interacts with the POTRA3 domain. Finally, BamE, mainly interacts with BamD, but also shows contacts with BamC, and BamA, at the interface between BamA and BamD (Gu et al., 2016).

Figure 2.1 Representation of the OMP pathway. OMPs are translated by the ribosome in the cytoplasm and brought to the SEC machinery by chaperones (Trigger factor and SecB). After translocation by the SEC complex, polypeptide chains of the OMPs are protected by periplasmic chaperones (Skp and SurA) and transported to the Bam complex to be inserted into the outer membrane.
BamA and BamD are the only proteins from the complex essential for the biogenesis of outer membrane proteins (Malinverni et al., 2006; Rossiter et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2005). Functional studies have started to unveil the roles of the BamA associated lipoproteins. BamD was shown to bind to unfolded OmpA and BamA (Hagan et al., 2013), it was also demonstrated that a peptide constituted from a fragment of a substrate OMP protein, was able to bind BamD and inhibits the β-barrel assembly by interfering with the BamD/OMP interaction (Hagan et al., 2015). Additionally BamD and BamE where shown to control loop 6 of BamA conformation by the BamD/POTRA5 interaction by respectively increasing and decreasing loop 6 exposure (Rigel et al., 2013). BamC backbone assignment was made available and its liquid-state NMR structure was determined (Knowles et al., 2009b). BamE backbone assignment was determined (Knowles et al., 2010). BamB was shown to be capable of binding unfolded substrates (Hagan et al., 2013). BamD and BamB might help to bind and localize the unfolded OMPs to the membrane (Hagan et al., 2013).

**Figure 2.2** Cryo-EM structure of the Bam complex (Iadanza et al., 2016, PDB: 5LJO), represented in a simulated membrane, with the PPM server (PPM server, Lomize et al., 2012). The BamA barrel is in the open conformation represented in orange, BamB is in blue, BamC in yellow, BamD in green and BamE in magenta.
While it had been shown that the Bam complex catalyze the folding of β-barrels in vitro (Hagan and Kahne, 2011; Plummer and Fleming, 2015). The mechanism by which BamA β-barrel catalyzes the folding of OMPs is still under investigation. The argument that the BamA β-barrel holds parts of its function by thinning the surrounding bilayer (Fleming, 2015) and that defects in the bilayer facilitate unfolded OMPs insertion (Danoff and Fleming, 2015) are backed by observations than the hydrophobic belt of the barrel is substantially reduced on the C-terminal side of the barrel (Noinaj et al., 2013), but it is not the only feature to account for the folding mechanism. A structural property from the BamA-barrel, is the loose closing between its first and last strand (Noinaj et al., 2013) that was also observed in its homolog TamA (Gruss et al., 2013). Forming a disulfide bridge in the gate-region of the barrel was shown to be lethal in vivo (Noinaj et al., 2014), demonstrating that the opening of this region is required for the function. Disulfide crosslinking of the gate-region in different registers proved this region to be very dynamic (Doerner and Sousa, 2017) and MD simulations previewed the opening of the barrel (Noinaj et al., 2013) that was later observed by cryo-electron microscopy (Iadanza et al., 2016) and X-ray crystallography (Gu et al., 2016) (Figure 2.3). The β1-β16 region was proposed to act as a template for β-augmentation, by recognizing, binding and serving as a guide to fold the incoming substrate, forming an hybrid-barrel between BamA and the folding OMP. The proposed hybrid-barrel model (Gruss et al., 2013) was also suggested by experiments with homolog protein TtOmp85 (Estrada Mallarino et al., 2015; Henke et al., 2016). A recent paper about mitochondrial SAM50, lends toward this model, localizing OMP precursors in the lateral-gate with disulfide cross-linking experiments (Höhr et al., 2018), this work also showed the recognition of the β-signal sequence by the first strand of TtOmp85, a conserved motif across outer membrane proteins which is also specifically recognized by BamA (Robert et al., 2006). Despite those advances, there is still to witness a BamA-substrate complex at atomically resolution, a difficult challenge, as the complex is probably transient.
As essential proteins with the ability to bind unfolded OMP substrates, BamA and BamD are considered a potential target for the development of new antibiotics (Hagan et al., 2015; Mori et al., 2012). In order to investigate protein/ligand interaction as high resolution, liquid-state NMR spectroscopy is a powerful tool in the arsenal of structural biology, however chemical shift assignments are required to obtain atomical resolution information the binding. While, BamC and BamE were previously assigned (Knowles et al., 2009b, 2010), BamB, BamD and BamA assignments are unavailable, because of limitations in the quality of the sample for NMR-spectroscopy and size-restrictions. A milimolar concentrated sample of protein is usually required for triple-resonance backbone experiments for assignment, but BamD, had been found to be unstable and precipitate at high concentrations (Albrecht and Zeth, 2010) and BamA spectral quality decreased with concentration (Morgado et al., 2015). Additionally, BamA constitutes a pinnacle in the limitations for backbone assignment of membrane proteins because of its mass (43 kDa) and molecular crowding (389 residues).
2.2 Summary

To investigate the interaction of BamD with unfolded substrate at atomic resolution, assignment of at least part of the protein for NMR spectroscopy is essential. However, the preparation of a BamD sample suitable for assignment was limited by its intrinsic instability. The protein was found to precipitate when concentrated despite many buffer conditions tested, implying that the surface of BamD must interact with a partner to be stable. The interaction of BamC with BamD was studied by NMR titration of the full-length \([\text{U}-^2\text{H},\text{15}^\text{N}]\)-BamC with BamD. Signals from the N-terminus of BamC dwindled upon adding BamD, showing that the N-terminus region of BamC is involved with the binding of BamD. A microscale thermophoresis experiment was performed and characterized the affinity constant \(K_d\) to be 17 \(\mu\)M between the two partners. It was hypothesized that BamD required to bind the N-terminus of BamC to keep to protein soluble at higher concentrations and a hybrid construct was designed, encompassing the N-terminus of BamC and BamD, separated by a flexible linker in order to maintain the protein soluble at higher concentrations. After optimizing the buffer conditions, it was found that the hybrid protein requires an absence of salt for its concentration to be able to reach 620 \(\mu\)M and yield a NMR spectrum with a quality suitable for triple resonance backbone assignment experiments.

2.3 Results

The expression and purification of BamB, BamC, BamD and BamE was performed using previously established protocols (Kim and Paetzel, 2011; Kim et al., 2011a, 2011b; Knowles et al., 2011; Neer et al., 1994; Noinaj et al., 2011b; Warner et al., 2011). BamB, BamC, BamD and BamE were expressed and purified for NMR spectroscopy and 2D \([^1\text{H},\text{15}^\text{N}]\)-TROSY experiments were recorded (Figure 2.4 to Figure 2.7). During the purification process of BamC, the protein was found to be sensitive to proteases, and the samples were supplemented with protease inhibitors. During BamD purification, it was noticed that the protein is unstable and degrades swiftly. Moreover, wild-type BamD could not be concentrated above 150 \(\mu\)M without precipitating. We however noticed that a concentration of 1 M Urea allowed to concentrate BamD up to 250 \(\mu\)M while reducing the precipitation process. We therefore attempted to record 3D experiment in order to start the backbone assignment of BamD for NMR spectroscopy. Unfortunately, the combination of protein precipitation over the course of the HNCA and HN(CA)CB experiments, and the relatively low concentration, yielded only a limited amount of \(\text{C}_\alpha\) and \(\text{C}_\beta\) peaks and was not enough to assign any portion of the protein.
Figure 2.4 2D \([^{15}\text{N},^{2}\text{H}]\)-TROSY of \([^{2}\text{H},^{15}\text{N}]\)-BamB at 50 µM in 0.05 M NaPi, 50 mM NaCl pH 6 at 30 °C. BamB has 373 residues. The spectral quality is poor. No assignment of this protein is available.

Figure 2.5 2D \([^{1}\text{H},^{15}\text{N}]\)-TROSY of \([^{2}\text{H},^{15}\text{N}]\)-BamC at 150 µM in 0.05 M NaPi 50 mM NaCl pH 6 at 30 °C. The assignment is available (Knowles et al., 2009).
Figure 2.6 2D [$^1$H, $^{15}$N]-TROSY of [U-$^2$H, $^{15}$N]-BamD at 80 µM in 20 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl at 30°C. Unlike BamC and BamD, the assignment of BamD is unavailable, due to its instability.

Figure 2.7 2D [$^1$H, $^{15}$N]-TROSY of monomeric [U-$^2$H, $^{15}$N]-BamE at 40 µM in 50 mM NaPi pH 7, 50 mM NaCl at 30 °C. The monomer of BamE was selected by SEC chromatography. The assignment is available (Knowles et al. 2010).
The titration of a 80 µM sample of \([^{2}\text{H},^{15}\text{N}]\)-BamC in the absence and presence of 150 µM of \([^{1}\text{H},^{14}\text{N}]\)-BamD (Figure 2.8 A) was performed. The data was analyzed using the available assignment of BamC (Knowles et al., 2009b). No significant chemical shift difference (CSD) was observed. However, the signal to noise ratio diminished for the peaks of BamC located in the N-terminus region (Figure 2.8 B), showing that the binding occurs in the intermediate exchange (Kleckner and Foster, 2011) and that the N-terminus of BamC is involved in the interaction with BamD. Because no assignment of BamD is available, it was not possible to analyze the titration of \([^{2}\text{H},^{15}\text{N}]\)-BamD and unlabeled BamC with NMR spectroscopy. The residues of BamC involved in the interaction with BamD are colored in red on the structure of the BamABCDE complex (Figure 2.8 C).

![Image](image.png)

**Figure 2.8 A** 2D \([^{1}\text{H},^{15}\text{N}]\)-TROSY of \([\text{U}^{2}\text{H},^{15}\text{N}]\)-BamC at 80 µM in the absence (red) and presence (black) of 150 µM of \([^{1}\text{H},^{14}\text{N}]\)-BamD in 50 mM NaPi pH 6, NaCl 50 mM at 30 °C. **B** Intensity ratio of the peaks were calculated for the free form and the bound form of BamC and plotted. Upon the addition of BamD, peak intensities of BamC are drastically reduced in its N-terminus region showing that the N-terminus domain of BamC binds to BamD. **C** Crystal structure of the BamABCDE complex (Iadanza et al., 2016). BamC residues that interact with BamD are colored in red.

When looking at the crystal structure of the BamABCDE complex (Iadanza et al., 2016), there is no electron density for the C-terminus region of BamC. The authors confirmed the presence of
the full-length BamC in the preparation to be crystallized. The absence of electron density in the structure was explained by the flexibility of the N-terminus region of BamC.

Afterwards, microscale thermophoresis (MST) (Jerabek-Willemsen et al., 2014), was used to measure the affinity of binding between BamC and BamD (Figure 2.9). The dissociation constant was found to be 17 µM.

![Figure 2.9](image)

**Figure 2.9** Plot of the BamC titration with BamD performed by microscale thermophoresis in buffer 20 mM Tris pH 8, 100 mM NaCl. BamD binds on BamC N-terminus with a micromolar affinity.

After establishing the BamC-BamD interaction, it was realized that the BamD instability might be caused by its BamC binding surface being unoccupied. To circumvent this issue, a construct designed to encompass BamD (29-245) and the N-ter of BamC (26-217) separated by a flexible linker (GGGSGG) was fused in a single protein (refer to appendix). The flexible linker still allows for structural flexibility between the two domains while avoiding artificial constrains of distance or orientation between the proteins. Purification of the hybrid protein was performed without degradation or precipitation, showing the necessity for BamC N-ter to protect the surface of BamD from self-interactions. The sample of the hybrid protein could be concentrated up to 2 mM, but the quality of the NMR spectrum was rapidly degrading when over 150 µM which is insufficient for backbone assignment experiments. Dynamic light scattering (DLS) is a method to measure
the size and size distribution of molecules in solution (Stetefeld et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2013). The Brownian motions of proteins in solution yield fluctuations in the intensity of laser light, scattered by the sample. The BamC-BamD hybrid protein sample was measured with DLS to evaluate its monodispersity at various concentrations. As visible in Figure 2.10, the size distribution increased with the concentration, indicating the formation of soluble aggregates.

![Plot of the size distribution measured from samples of various concentrations of the fused BamC_Nter-BamD protein by dynamic light scattering in 20 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl. The size distribution increases with the concentration, indicating the formation of soluble aggregates.](image)

**Figure 2.10** Plot of the size distribution measured from samples of various concentrations of the fused BamC_Nter-BamD protein by dynamic light scattering in 20 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl. The size distribution increases with the concentration, indicating the formation of soluble aggregates. As was reported in the literature, some proteins are more soluble with the least amount of salt possible, or even in pure water (Song, 2009). After a buffer optimization procedure, a salt-free buffer with a very low concentration of buffer and low pH (4 mM MES pH 4.6) allowed the protein to be concentrated to 620 µM yielding a high quality NMR-spectrum suitable for NMR triple-labeled backbone experiments (Figure 2.11). To evaluate the importance of the pH on the spectrum, a sample was recorded at pH 8, and displayed a poor quality (Figure 2.12).
Figure 2.11 2D [$^1$H, $^{15}$N]-TROSY of [U-2H, $^{15}$N]-(Nter)-BamC-GGGSGG-BamD at 620 µM in 4 mM MES pH 4.6 at 37°C.

Figure 2.12 2D [$^1$H, $^{15}$N]-TROSY of [U-2H, $^{15}$N]-(Nter)-BamC-GGGSGG-BamD at 620 µM in 4 mM Tris pH 8 at 30 °C.
An overlay between the spectra of BamD and the BamC-BamD hybrid protein shows that the chemical shifts belonging to the BamD protein are very well overlapping, demonstrating the possibility to assign the backbone residues belonging to BamD by recording 3D experiments on this construct (Figure 2.13).

![Figure 2.13 2D ['H,^{15}N]-TROSY of [U-^2H,^{15}N]-{Nter}-BamC-GGGSGG-BamD at 620 µM in 4 mM MES pH 4.6 at 37 °C in red and [U-^2H,^{15}N]-BamD at 80 µM in 20 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl at 30 °C in black. BamD contains 217 residues. It was shown that BamD binds to unfolded OMPs substrates in vitro, including OmpA and BamA (Hagan et al., 2013). The β-signal is a conserved motif of OMPs which is recognized by assembly machineries, including the Bam complex (Robert et al., 2006). A peptide containing this β-sequence was shown to inhibit the β-barrel assembly machinery in vivo by binding with BamD (Hagan et al., 2015). In order to verify this potential binding, a peptide containing the β-signal of BamA was designed with the sequence SAGIALQWMS. A titration of [U-^2H,^{15}N]-BamD with an equimolar amount of peptide was performed. No CSD or intensity was observed upon addition of peptide (Figure 2.14).
Figure 2.14 2D [\textsuperscript{1}H,\textsuperscript{15}N]-TROSY of [\textsuperscript{U-2}H,\textsuperscript{15}N]-BamD at 60 µM in 20 mM Tris pH 8, 300 mM NaCl, 6% DMSO at 30 °C in black and [\textsuperscript{U-2}H,\textsuperscript{15}N]-BamD at 60 µM + SAGIALSWMS peptide at 60 µM in 20 mM Tris pH 8, 300 mM NaCl, 6% DMSO at 30°C in orange.

2.4 Discussion

As a conclusion, the BamB, BamC BamD and BamE were expressed, purified and measured by NMR spectroscopy. The binding of BamD with the N-terminus domain of BamC was demonstrated with NMR spectroscopy. Their affinity constant was determined to be 17 µM with MST and it was discovered that BamD stability is conditioned by its interaction with BamC. As a result of this observation, a hybrid construct formed of BamD and BamC\textsubscript{Nter} was designed. In order to enable the possibility of assigning the backbone of BamD, the buffer conditions were optimized to keep the hybrid protein sample monodisperse and aggregation-free at high concentrations. In the spectrum of this hybrid protein, we can identify the peaks belonging to BamD. Because the backbone assignment of BamC\textsubscript{Nter} is available, the remaining peaks in the spectrum are belonging to BamD. The next steps will be to record triple-labeled experiments (HNCA, HNCA...c) to perform the sequential assignment of the BamD protein. This will set a platform to study the function and interactions of BamD by liquid-state NMR spectroscopy at atomic resolution. As a
follow-up strategy, it would be useful to obtain the assignment of the methyl side-chains of BamD, as a mean to study its role within a reconstituted Bam complex. The titration of BamD with a potential binding peptide was tried without success.

2.5 Materials and methods

Expression and purification

BamB was expressed and purified according to Kim and Paetzel, 2011. Cells were grown in M9 media supplemented with $^{15}$NH$_4$Cl and 99.9 % D$_2$O. The size-exclusion chromatography step was performed in the NMR buffer (50 mM NaPi pH 6, 50 mM NaCl). The protein was concentrated to 50 µM and the sample was supplemented with 5 % D$_2$O prior to NMR spectroscopy. BamC was expressed and purified according to Albrecht and Zeth, 2010. The his-tag was not cleaved off. For NMR spectroscopy, cells were grown in M9 media supplemented with $^{15}$NH$_4$Cl and 99.9 % D$_2$O, otherwise they were grown in LB. The size-exclusion chromatography step was performed in the NMR buffer (0.05 M NaPi pH 6, 50 mM NaCl). The protein was concentrated to 150 µM and the sample was supplemented with 5 % D$_2$O prior to NMR spectroscopy. BamD was expressed and purified according to Albrecht and Zeth, 2010. The his-tag was not cleaved off. For NMR spectroscopy, cells were grown in M9 media supplemented with $^{15}$NH$_4$Cl and 99.9 % D$_2$O, otherwise they were grown in LB. The size-exclusion chromatography step was performed in the NMR buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl). For NMR, the protein was concentrated to 80 µM and the sample was supplemented with 5 % D$_2$O. BamD was noticed to be unstable over time and precipitate. BamE was expressed and purified according to Albrecht and Zeth, 2010. The his-tag was not cleaved off. Cells were grown in M9 media supplemented with $^{15}$NH$_4$Cl and 99.9 % D$_2$O. The size-exclusion chromatography step was performed in the NMR buffer (50 mM NaPi pH 7, 50 mM NaCl). Like Kim et al., 2011b, the BamE protein eluted as a mixture of monomer and dimer from the size exclusion column. The fraction corresponding to the monomer was pooled and concentrated to 40 µM and the sample was supplemented with 5% D$_2$O prior to NMR spectroscopy. (Nter)-BamC- GGGSGG–BamD hybrid plasmid was transformed in BL21 (LEMO) strain. Cells were grown in M9 media supplemented with $^{15}$NH$_4$Cl and 99.9 % D$_2$O until OD$_{600}$ reached 0.6 and induced with 0.4 mM IPTG. Protein was expressed O/N at 20 °C. Cells were resuspended in 20 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl (Buffer A) supplemented with 20 mM imidazole and supplemented with EDTA-free complete protease inhibitor cocktail, DNase I and lysozyme. Cells were broken by sonicating on ice and centrifuged. The soluble fraction was passed on a HisTrap HP 5mL column. The column was washed with 10 CV of Buffer A, 10 CV of Buffer A +
50 mM Imidazole and eluted with 5 CV of Buffer A + 500 mM Imidazole. The protein was concentrated with a 30 kDa cut-off Vivaspin concentrator and injected into a Superdex S75 100/300 GL column for size exclusion chromatography with 4 mM MES pH 4.6. The protein was concentrated to 620 µM for NMR spectroscopy. For storage, proteins were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and conserved in a -80 °C freezer.

**MST**

In a temperature gradient, molecules movement depends on their size, charge and hydration shell (Duhr and Braun, 2006). When binding to another protein, those parameters can vary, changing the way the protein moves along the gradient. Fluorescence is monitored over time. As, the laser heat up the capillary, fluorescence decreases because of the fluorophore quantum yield decreasing with temperature, after that point, fluorescence decrease is driven by thermophoresis effects. After 30 s the laser is turned off. Fluorescence values are measured before the laser is turned off for all the titrations points and are corrected for the fluorescence decrease caused by the quantum yield effect. Points are plotted as normalized fluorescence values over the partner concentration to calculate the $K_d$.

Purified BamD was dialyzed in a 0.5 mL Slide-A-Lyzer cassette with a 10 kDa MWCO and dialyzed against 1.25 L of 0.1 M NaPi pH 6.6, 0.15 M NaCl at 4 °C. After 4 h of dialysis, the buffer pool was replaced, four times in total. The protein was then incubated 1 h at 4°C with a ten-fold molar excess of DyLight 488 NHS-Ester fluorescent dye. The sample was then dialyzed O/N at 4 °C in a 0.5 mL Slide-A-Lyzer cassette with a 10 kDa cut-off against 2L of 20 mM Tris, 100 mM NaCl. The buffer pool was changed two times for two additional steps of dialysis of 4 H. BamC (26-344) was eluted from the S75 size exclusion chromatography column with 20 mM Tris, 100 mM NaCl buffer. Samples were measured on a nanoTemper monolith instrument. The concentration of labeled BamD was set at 40 nM and titrated with a range of BamC concentrations (225 µM, 112 µM, 56 µM, 28 µM, 14 µM, 7 µM, 3.5 µM, 1.75 µM, 440 nM, 220 nM, 110 nM, 55 nM). The curve was fitted and the $K_d$ was determined at the concentration of half of the normalized fluorescence response.

**DLS**

The (Nter)-BamC- GGGSGG–BamD hybrid protein was concentrated to 1.6 mM in 20 mM Tris pH8, 150 mM NaCl. A series of samples was prepared at different concentrations (1.6 mM, 0.97 mM, 0.54 mM, 0.27 mM, 87 µM). Experiments were measured on a nanoTemper monolith,
Malvern Instruments Zetasizer Nano ZS Dynamic Light Scattering Instrument. The size distribution was plotted against the concentration.

**NMR spectroscopy**

The 50 µM sample of [U-2H, 15N]-BamB in buffer 0.05 M NaPi, 50 mM NaCl pH 6.5 was measured with a 2D [1H,15N]-TROSY HSQC at 30 °C experiment on a 700 MHz spectrometer and 32 scans. The transmitter frequency offset was set on 4.74 ppm for the proton and 117 ppm for the 15N with spectral widths of 16 ppm and 32 ppm respectively and recorded with 256 points for the indirect dimension and 2048 points for the direct dimension. The 150 µM sample of [U-2H, 15N] BamC in buffer 0.05 M NaPi, 50 mM NaCl pH 6 was measured with a 2D [1H,15N]-TROSY HSQC at 30 °C experiment on a 700 MHz spectrometer and 166 scans. The transmitter frequency offset was set on 4.7 ppm for the proton and 117 ppm for the 15N with spectral widths of 16 ppm and 32 ppm respectively and recorded with 256 points for the indirect dimension and 2048 points for the direct dimension. The 80 µM sample of [U-2H, 15N] BamD in buffer 20 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl was measured with a 2D [15N, 1H]-TROSY HSQC at 30 °C experiment on a 700 MHz spectrometer and 48 scans. The transmitter 15N frequency offset was set on 4.7 ppm for the proton and 117 ppm for the 15N with spectral widths of 16 ppm and 32 ppm respectively and recorded with 256 points for the indirect dimension and 2048 points for the direct dimension. The 40 µM sample of [U-2H, 15N] BamE in buffer 50 mM NaPi pH 7, 50 mM NaCl was measured with a 2D [1H,15N]-TROSY HSQC at 30 °C experiment on a 700 MHz spectrometer and 48 scans. The transmitter frequency offset was set on 4.7 ppm for the proton and 117 ppm for the 15N with spectral widths of 16 ppm and 32 ppm respectively and recorded with 256 points for the indirect dimension and 2048 points for the direct dimension.

For the titration of BamC with BamD, a 2D [15N, 1H]-TROSY of [U-2H,15N]-BamC at 80 µM in the absence and presence of 150 µM of [1H, 14N]-BamD was measured in 50 mM NaPi pH 6, NaCl 50 mM at 30 °C with a 700 MHz spectrometer. 230 scans were acquired for the two experiments. The transmitter frequency offset was set on 4.7 ppm for the proton and 117 ppm for the 15N with spectral widths of 16 ppm and 32 ppm respectively and recorded with 256 points for the indirect dimension and 2048 points for the direct dimension. The chemical shift difference and intensity ratio between the two spectra were plotted against the sequence of BamC to determine the binding domain.
For hybrid construct between the C-ter of BamC and BamD, a 2D [\textsuperscript{1}H,\textsuperscript{15}N]-TROSY of [U-\textsuperscript{2}H,\textsuperscript{15}N]-
(Nter)-BamC-GGGSGG-BamD at 620 µM was measured in 4 mM MES pH 4.6 at 37 °C with a
700 MHz spectrometer and 24 scans. The transmitter frequency offset was set on 4.7 ppm for the
proton and 117 ppm for the \textsuperscript{15}N with spectral widths of 16 ppm and 32 ppm respectively and
recorded with 256 points for the indirect dimension and 2048 points for the direct dimension.

For the titration of BamD with the SAGIALQWMS peptide, a 2D [\textsuperscript{1}H,\textsuperscript{15}N]-TROSY of [U-\textsuperscript{2}H,\textsuperscript{15}N]-
BamD was measured at 60 µM in buffer 20 mM Tris pH8, 300 mM NaCl, supplemented with 6%
DMSO, a second sample was prepared with 60 µM of [U-\textsuperscript{2}H,\textsuperscript{15}N]-BamD and 60 µM peptide in
buffer 20 mM Tris pH8, 300 mM NaCl, supplemented with 6% DMSO. Samples were measured
at 30°C with a 700 MHz spectrometer and 80 scans. The transmitter frequency offset was set on
4.7 ppm for the proton and 117 ppm for the \textsuperscript{15}N with spectral widths of 16 ppm and 32 ppm
respectively and recorded with 256 points for the indirect dimension and 2048 points for the direct
dimension.

All the NMR samples contained 5 % D\textsubscript{2}O. All spectrometers were equipped with cryo-probes. For
all experiments the interscan delay was set to 1 s. The spectra were processed in TopSpin and
analyzed in CARA.
Chapter 3: Conformational stability of the BamA β-barrel for NMR spectroscopy and crystallization.

Michael Zahn crystallized and solved the structure of the BamA β-barrel C-terminally stabilized with 9 residues of OmpX. Leonor Morgado cloned some of the BamA constructs used in this chapter (as listed in the appendix). Irena Burmann optimized the buffer conditions for NMR measurements of the BamA-OmpX B7B8 construct, and recorded the HNCA experiment to assign the C-terminal unfolded residues of BamA-OmpX B7B8. All other work was carried by me.
3.1 Summary

As the project started, the quality of the BamA β-barrel sample was poor and did not permit to plan for an extensive assignment strategy. BamA expression, refolding and purification was optimized to obtain the best possible sample but many peaks were broad or with low signal-to-noise. The BamA β-barrel is a dynamic protein that can sample a vast conformational ensemble. To address the issue of the quality of the BamA sample for NMR spectroscopy, several strategies were employed to increase the stability of the BamA β-barrel.

In order to study the possible hybrid-barrel mechanism, several constructs were prepared, where the sixteenth strand of the BamA barrel was extended with two additional strands from one of its OMP substrate. To our surprise, the construct formed by the BamA β-barrel and the last hairpin of OmpX, led to see a high quality single spectrum by solution NMR spectroscopy. We assigned the residues from the OmpX portion with a 3D-HNCA experiment, and found that many of them when located in the unstructured region of the spectrum (proton coordinate around 8 ppm). Step by step truncations and NMR spectroscopy allowed to find the required residues to improve the barrel steadiness, allowing us to crystallize and solve the structure of the minimally C-ter extended BamA β-barrel. The structure shows that four additional hydrogen bonds are formed in the gate region, explaining the stabilization effect observed in the NMR spectrum. A point mutation scanning of the gate region was performed and the G433A mutant proved to stabilize the barrel even further, increasing the signal-to-noise of the sample up to 700 µM. However, adding the POTRA3-5 domains to the already stabilized BamA-OmpX B7B8 construct, reduced the stability of the protein, and yielded a spectra of lesser quality. While the goal of this work was to obtain an observable hybrid-barrel protein for NMR spectroscopy, it instead opened the doors for the backbone assignment of the BamA β-barrel.
3.2 Results

Previous work (Morgado et al., 2015) compared the effect of different membrane mimetics on the BamA-POTRA5 by NMR spectroscopy. It was found that the detergent N,N-Dimethyldodecylamine N-oxide (LDAO) yielded a better spectral quality over DMPC:DiC7PC bicelles and MSP1D1:DMPC nanodiscs. The optimal LDAO ratio was determined to stand between 1:200 and 1:300 BamA:LDAO. After several optimizations steps, the best buffer and temperature conditions were found to be NaPi 20 mM NaCl 150 mM at pH 7.5 0.1% LDAO and 310,15 K. At this point, the spectral quality was however not sufficient to achieve a more complete assignment of the BamA β-barrel (Figure 3.1) and only a portion of the loop 6 and POTRA5 was assigned.

![Figure 3.1](image)

**Figure 3.1** [15N, 1H]-TROSY-HSQC of a [U-2H, 15N] 500 µM sample of BamA β-barrel measured at 37 °C on a 700 MHz Bruker spectrometer in 20 mM NaPi pH7.5, 150mM NaCl 0.1 % LDAO. As visible from the figure, the distribution of intensities within the spectra is not homogeneous and some peaks are broadened. This sample was not suitable for NMR assignment. An explanation to the poor quality of the spectra is that the protein samples different conformations in solution.
The mechanism by which BamA catalyzes the insertion of OMPs into the outer membrane is still elusive. The hybrid barrel mechanism hypothesis proposes that the BamA-barrel is capable of opening to sequentially accommodate the hairpins of folding substrates by beta augmentation interaction (Remaut et al., 2006), to form a larger barrel. This hybrid barrel would progressively increase in size and diameter until no longer stable. BamA and the newly formed barrel would separate to release the OMP into the outer membrane (Figure 3.2).

Figure 3.2 Scheme of the substrate assembly by hybrid-barrel formation mechanism. A) The gate region of BamA is dynamic and the BamA β-barrel can open and close. B) BamA recognizes the OMP substrate by its β-signal sequence and helps the folding of the first hairpin by beta augmentation interaction. C) The subsequent hairpins of the OMP fold. D) When the assembly reaches its stability threshold, the substrate is released into the outer-membrane. E) The substrate finishes its folding into the outer-membrane.

Following this idea, we wanted to create this hybrid by designing a protein encompassing both the BamA β-barrel, as well as a two-hairpin extension from various outer membrane proteins. Constructs containing the BamA β-barrel, followed by the two last β-strands from either of the OmpX (Figure 3.3), LamB or OmpF membrane protein were cloned by Leonor Morgado. Those proteins behaved very similarly to the bare BamA β-barrel during expression, refolding and purification. The [$^{15}$N, $^1$H]-TROSY-HSQC spectra of those hybrid proteins were recorded. Surprisingly, the construct containing the BamA β-barrel followed by the two last β-strands of OmpX (BamA-OmpX B7B8) showed a spectrum with a much more homogeneous distribution of intensities (Figure 3.4). In this spectrum, many double peaks from the barrel-only construct, were singled out. Moreover, in the BamA barrel-only spectrum, many expected peaks were absent but when observing the C-terminally extended construct, chemical shifts appeared and the overall broadening of the peaks was reduced. Those observations led to the conclusion that the BamA β-barrel sample was in a dynamic state, which was hindering our ability to observe its peaks with
NMR spectroscopy and that the additional residues at the end of the barrel, stabilized one of the conformation over the other ones.

**Figure 3.3** Diagram of the BamA extended β-barrel. The end of the barrel is elongated with the last hairpin from the OmpX protein. This scheme represents the hypothetical case in which the extra hairpin is folded.

**Figure 3.4** 2D-[¹⁵N, H]-TROSY-HSQC of a [U-²H, ¹⁵N] 500 µM sample of BamA stabilized with the last hairpin of OmpX measured at 37°C on a 700 MHz Bruker spectrometer in 20 mM NaPi pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl 0.1 % LDAO. As the BamA β-barrel is elongated with extra residues, its spectral quality drastically improves. Many peaks become visible, and the intensity distribution of the peaks is more homogeneous.
Extensive crystallization attempts of the BamA β-barrel were led without success but the increase in quality of the spectrum of the BamA-OmpX B7B8 construct provided confidence that the sample was more stable that the unextended barrel, and therefore easier to crystallize.

However, a HNCA experiment of the BamA-OmpX B7B8 protein was recorded by Irena Burmann (Figure 3.5 A), and a portion of residues from the OmpX substrate was assigned. We located those assigned peaks around 8 ppm in the proton dimension, indicating those residues to be in an unstructured region of the protein. It was then clear that those amino acids did not contribute to the observed stabilization of the BamA β-barrel. Unfolded tails are known to hamper crystallization, but the exact sequence of residues to promote the stabilization was unknown. Several constructs were designed with the end of the extended barrel truncated at various positions (Figure 3.5 B) and measured by NMR spectroscopy (Figure 3.6) in order to find the minimal number of residues responsible for the stabilization effect. To accurately determine the spectral quality of those different constructs, the intensity of each peak was measured and the intensity distribution was compared for each spectrum (Figure 3.7). For the peaks of the BamA β-barrel the distribution is widely spread between large and low intensities. On the other hand, for the BamA-OmpX B7B8 protein, the distribution is narrower with a much higher average intensity indicating a higher signal-to-noise over a larger number of residues.

Then, we compared the distribution of intensities of the constructs where the C-terminal extension was iteratively shorter. The distribution of intensities for the construct BamA-OmpX B7B8 A815stop was similar to the one of the BamA β-barrel, whereas the distribution from the constructs BamA-OmpX B7B8 Y820stop, BamA-OmpX B7B8 S827stop and BamA-OmpX B7B8 T832stop was similar to the construct BamA-OmpX B7B8. The conclusion was that only nine additional residues from OmPX were required at the C-terminus of BamA β-barrel to stabilize it.
Figure 3.5 A) Strips of the 3D-HNCA experiment recorded on the BamA barrel extended with the last hairpin of OmpX. Those peaks were found in the unfolded region of the spectrum, showing that the residues from OmpX were not forming an extra hairpin at the end of the barrel. B) Representation of the C-terminus of the BamA-barrel, four truncated constructs were measured to determine the minimal number of residues required to stabilize the BamA β-barrel.

In a collaboration with Michael Zahn, crystals of the minimally stabilized construct of BamA β-barrel C-terminally extended with MENVALDS in LDAO/C8E4 micelles were grew (Figure 3.8). The crystals diffracted at 2.5 Å and the structure was solved (Figure 3.9 A, B). It showed that the additional residues MENVALDFS were able to tighten the interaction between strand 1 and 16 by forming four additional hydrogen bonds. This construct would then require more energy in order to fully open its gate as compared to the non-extended version. It was then evident that the effects observed in the NMR spectrum of the extended construct were explained by the stabilization of its seam region. While the BamA β-barrel only is in a dynamic conformational ensemble, the formation of additional interactions between residues of the first and last strand in the extended construct, favors the closed conformation over the other ones, consequently improving the quality of the NMR spectrum.
Figure 3.6 Top) $^{[15}\text{N},^{1}\text{H}]$-TROSY-HSQC of $[^{2}\text{H},^{15}\text{N}]$-BamA $\beta$-barrel stabilized with the last hairpin of OmpX (black) and $[^{2}\text{H},^{15}\text{N}]$-BamA $\beta$-barrel extended with 9 residues (MENVALDFS) of OmpX (orange). The spectra overlaps very well. Bottom) $^{[15}\text{N},^{1}\text{H}]$-TROSY-HSQC of $[^{2}\text{H},^{15}\text{N}]$-BamA stabilized with the last hairpin of OmpX (black) and $[^{2}\text{H},^{15}\text{N}]$-BamA $\beta$-barrel extended with 4 residues (MENV) of OmpX (purple).
Figure 3.7 A) Assigned residues from the last hairpin of OmpX (green). B) C-terminus of BamA extended with 9 residues from OmpX (gold). C) Distribution of intensities in the spectrum of BamA-OmpX B7B8 (blue) and in the spectrum of the BamA β-barrel (white) D) Distribution of intensities in the spectrum of BamA-OmpX B7B8 (blue) and from the BamA β-barrel extended with 9 residues (MENVALDFS) from OmpX. The distributions are very similar between the two spectra, showing that 9 residues are enough to yield a spectrum quality equal to the one brought by the two last strands of OmpX.
Figure 3.8 Crystals of BamA stabilized with 9 residues from OmpX. Crystallization condition: 22% (w/v) PEG 400, 0.07 M NaCl and 0.05 M sodium citrate pH 4.5.
The crystal structure of BamA (in blue) extended with 9 residues of OmpX (in orange). C) Gate region from the 5AYW BamA complex structure. D) E) The residues from the C-terminal extension form four additional hydrogen bonds with the first strand of BamA, favoring the closure of the gate region. The stabilized BamA β-barrel was measured at various concentrations and the signal-to-noise ratio did not increase for the peaks in the folded regions of the spectra over a molarity of 500 µM. This is still a challenging value to perform NMR backbone assignment for a membrane protein of this mass. To further stabilize the barrel and improve the quality of the sample for assignment, a point mutation screening was performed in the first and last strand of the BamA-OmpX B7B8 construct. The mutation G433A was found to improve the distribution of intensities across the spectrum compared to the BamA-OmpX B7B8 β-barrel (Figure 3.10). Additionally, 1D-experiments of the sample at different concentrations were measured to find that the signal-to-noise ratio of peaks in the folded region was increasing up to a sample concentration of 700 µM.
A possible explanation for this additional stability of the barrel might be explained by the mutation from a glycine to an alanine at the interface between the strand and the loop, reducing the conformational flexibility of the area.

**Figure 3.10** Distribution of intensities of assigned residues for the BamA-OmpX B7B8 (blue) and BamA-OmpX B7B8 G433A mutation (orange) for samples of 500 μM [U-2H, 15N] proteins measured with a [15N, 1H]-TROSY-HSQC experiment and the same parameters of acquisition on a 600 MHz Bruker spectrometer. Y686 and Y839 residues are unstructured regions of BamA, the other residues are located in β-strand regions.

As compared to the BamA-OmpX B7B8 construct, an approximate gain of 2-fold the signal-to-noise was observed for residues of the BamA-OmpX B7B8 G433A construct in the β-strand region. The concentration at which the signal-to-noise was maximal peaked at 700 μM for the BamA-OmpX B7B8 G433A construct, as compared to 500 μM for the BamA-OmpX B7B8 construct. This is a 1.4 fold increase in the signal-to-noise ratio. With this mutation, we gained a 2.8-fold increase in the signal-to-noise ratio for the residues found in the β-strand region, which in turn, greatly improved the signal-to-noise of peaks acquired from 3D-experiments.

In order to evaluate the impact of the POTRA domains on the stability of the BamA-OmpX B7B8, a construct encompassing the BamA β-barrel with POTRA domains 3 to 5, and the two hairpins B7 and B8 of OmpX was prepared (**Figure 3.11**) and the NMR spectrum of this protein was recorded. As compared to the BamA-OmpX B7B8 construct, many peaks were still visible, indicating that the protein was folded but the presence of multiplets of low intensities in the spectrum and the broadening of the peaks led to think that the protein dynamics had increased due to the addition of the three POTRA domains.
Figure 3.11 Effect on the POTRA3-5 domains the stability of the BamA-OmpX B7B8 protein in LDAO micelles. A) [$^{15}$N, $^1$H]-TROSY-HSQC of [U-$^2$H, $^{15}$N]-BamA C-terminally extended with the last hairpin of OmpX. B) [$^{15}$N, $^1$H]-TROSY-HSQC of [U-$^2$H, $^{15}$N]-BamA-POTRA3-5 C-terminally extended with the last hairpin of OmpX. C) Crystal structure of BamA with POTRA3-5, from PDB structure 5D0O (Gu, Y. et al., 2016).
3.3 Discussion

The BamA β-barrel was shown to be a very dynamic protein, resulting in an NMR sample that was not favorable for assignment as shown in the [15N, 1H]-TROSY-HSQC spectrum. Optimization of the conditions of buffer and temperature, and more importantly, the addition of nine extra residues from OmpX at the end of the barrel, allowed to greatly reduce the dynamics of the barrel. In combination with this extension, the screening of mutants in the gate region allowed to identify the G433A point mutation as having an important effect on the stability of the β-barrel. This point mutation permitted to reach a concentration of 700 µM with a 2.8-fold increase in the signal-to-noise ratio for folded residues over the non-mutated stabilized barrel. This jump in the quality of the sample enabled the possibility to acquire 3-dimensional experiments for backbone assignment. By obtaining the crystal structure of the BamA β-barrel C-terminally extended with nine residues from OmpX, we showed that the extension stabilized the gate-region by increasing the hydrogen bond count between the first and last strand. Hence, the stabilization of the gate-region triggered a global reduction dynamics in the entire BamA β-barrel. On the basis of the previously stabilized BamA OmpX B7B8 construct, the addition of three POTRA domains to the N-terminus seems to reverse the stabilization acquired from the extra C-terminal residues.

3.4 Materials and methods

Expression and purification

All the BamA constructs were expressed in inclusion bodies in E.coli BL21 (DE3) Lemo cells in LB media in the presence of 100 µg/ml ampicillin (pET15b). Cells were grown at 37° C until and OD600 of 0.8 and induced with 1 mM Isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). Cells were harvested after 5 h of expression and resuspended in buffer A (50 mM TRIS–HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl) in the presence of lysozyme, DNase I and EDTA-free complete protease inhibitor (Roche). Cells were lysed by sonication on ice and centrifuged for 30 min at 16,000 g. Inclusion bodies were solubilized in buffer A with 6 M guanidine hydrochloride. After 1 h of centrifugation at 16,000 g, supernatant was mixed with 5 mL of nickel-charged beads (Genescript) for 1 h at room temperature. Protein was eluted with buffer A supplemented of 6 M Gdm-HCl and 200 mM imidazole. Eluate was dialyzed against miliQ H2O overnight with a snakeskin membrane of 3.5 kDa cutoff and precipitate was resuspended in Buffer A + 6 M Gdm-Hcl. Bam A was diluted to 5 mg/mL and DTT was added to a final concentration of 10 mM prior to refolding.
Refolding was performed at 4° C by dropwise addition of 20 mL of the BamA solution to a final concentration of 1 mg/mL into 80 mL of the refolding buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8, 300 mM NaCl, 500 mM Arginine, 0.5 % LDAO, DTT 10 mM) while stirring the refolding solution. The solution stirred for 24 h and dialyzed against 20 mM Tris pH 8.0. BamA was applied to a HiTrap Q HP ion exchange column (GE). Buffer A: Tris pH 8.0, LDAO 0.1 %. Buffer B: Buffer A + 500 mM NaCl. The protein was eluted with a step gradient of buffer B (0 % B, 40 % B, 65 % B, 100 % B). Well-refolded protein eluted between 40-65 %B. When analyzed on a gel filtration column, the sample displayed a monodisperse profile.

**NMR Spectroscopy**

Samples were concentrated using a 30 kDa Vivaspin (Sartorius) concentrator and buffer exchanged to 0.02 M NaPi pH 6.5, 150 mM NaCl, LDAO 0.1 %, 5 % D2O (NMR buffer). Sample were either measured right away or flash frozen at -80° C and thawed prior to measurement. For samples with volumes below 500 µL, Shigemi tubes were used to measure experiment in the NMR spectrometer. Samples were measured on Bruker 600 MHz and 700 MHz spectrometers equipped with cryogenic triple-resonance probes. The temperature was set to 37 °C. The 2D [$^{15}$N, $^1$H]-TROSY-HSQC experiment of the 500 µM [U-$^2$H, $^{15}$N]-BamA-OmpX B7B8 extended construct was recorded in the NMR buffer with 2048 points on the $^1$H dimension, 256 points on the $^{15}$N dimension, with 8 scans. Transmitter offset was set on 4.7 ppm for the $^1$H, 118 ppm for the $^{15}$N. Sweep width was 16ppm for the $^1$H and 30 ppm for the $^{15}$N. Interscan delay was set to 1 s. The 2D [$^{15}$N, $^1$H]-TROSY-HSQC experiment of the 150 µM [U-$^2$H, $^{15}$N]-BamA-POTRA35-OmpX B7B8 construct was recorded in the NMR buffer with 2048 points on the $^1$H dimension, 256 points on the $^{15}$N dimension, with 96 scans. Transmitter offset was set on 4.7 ppm for the $^1$H, 117 ppm for the $^{15}$N. Sweep width was 16 ppm for the $^1$H and 32 ppm for the $^{15}$N. Interscan delay was set to 1 s. The 2D [$^{15}$N, $^1$H]-TROSY-HSQC experiment of the 500 µM [U-$^2$H, $^{15}$N]-BamA β-barrel construct was recorded in the NMR buffer with 2048 points on the $^1$H dimension, 256 points on the $^{15}$N dimension, with 96 scans. Transmitter offset was set on 4.7 ppm for the $^1$H, 117 ppm for the $^{15}$N. Sweep width was 16 ppm for the $^1$H and 35 ppm for the $^{15}$N. Interscan delay was set to 1 s. The 2D [$^{15}$N, $^1$H]-TROSY-HSQC experiment of the 300 µM [U-$^2$H, $^{15}$N]-BamA β-barrel construct C-terminally extended with 9 residues of OmpX (MENVALDFS) was recorded in the NMR buffer with 2048 points on the $^1$H dimension, 256 points on the $^{15}$N dimension, with 128 scans. Transmitter offset was set on 4.7 ppm for the $^1$H, 117 ppm for the $^{15}$N. Sweep width was 16 ppm for the $^1$H and 32 ppm for the $^{15}$N. Interscan delay was set to 1 s. The 2D [$^{15}$N, $^1$H]-TROSY-HSQC experiment of the 270 µM [U-$^2$H, $^{15}$N]-BamA β-barrel construct C-terminally extended with 4
residues (MENV) of OmpX was recorded in the NMR buffer with 2048 points on the \( ^1 \)H dimension, 256 points on the \( ^{15} \)N dimension, with 152 scans. Transmitter offset was set on 4.7 ppm for the \( ^1 \)H, 117 ppm for the \( ^{15} \)N. Sweep width was 16 ppm for the \( ^1 \)H and 32 ppm for the \( ^{15} \)N. Interscan delay was set to 1 s. The 3D TROSY-HNCA experiment was recorded by Irena Burmann on a 500 µM sample of triple-labeled BamA-OmpX B7B8 extended construct with 2048 points on the \( ^1 \)H, 100 points on the \( ^{13} \)C dimension and 88 points on the \( ^{15} \)N dimension with 52 scans. Transmitter offset was set on 4.72 ppm for the \( ^1 \)H, 55 ppm for the \( ^{13} \)C and 118 ppm for the \( ^{15} \)N. Interscan delay was set to 0.7 s. All experiments were processed with TopSpin (Bruker BioSpin) and analyzed with CARA. (Keller, Rochus, 2004).

**Crystallization**

Michael Zahn performed the crystallization of the BamA β-barrel including nine additional amino acids at the C-terminus (MENVALDFS). The protein buffer was exchanged by gel-filtration into 20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% LDAO and 0.35% C₈E₄. Initial crystallization trials were performed at 293 K by sitting-drop vapor diffusion using MemGold- and MemGold2-Screen from Molecular Dimensions with a Gryphon robot (Art Robin Instruments). The initial hits were optimized by fine-screening with larger drops by hanging drop vapor diffusion. Crystals in space group P2₁2₁2 were grown in 22 % (w/v) PEG 400, 0.07 M NaCl and 0.05 M sodium citrate pH 4.5. Crystals were directly flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. A data set was collected at beamline X06DA (PXIII) at the Swiss Light Source (SLS, Paul Scherer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland). Phases were obtained by molecular replacement. The structure was deposited in the PDB with accession code 6FSU.
Chapter 4: Research publication

Johannes Thoma provided us with the double cysteine constructs used in the cysteine scanning experiments. Leonor Morgado cloned some of the BamA constructs used in this chapter (as listed in the appendix). Irena Burmann optimized the buffer conditions for NMR measurements of the BamA-OmpX B7B8 construct, and recorded the HNCA experiment to assign the C-terminal unfolded residues of BamA-OmpX B7B8. Michael Zahn crystallized and solved the structure of the BamA β-barrel C-terminally stabilized with 9 residues of OmpX. Stefan Bibow assembled the BamA samples in nanodiscs and recorded the relaxation experiments. All other work was performed by me.
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ABSTRACT: β-barrel outer membrane proteins (Omps) are key functional components of the outer membranes of Gram-
negative bacteria, mitochondria, and plastids. In bacteria, their biogenesis requires the β-barrel-assembly machinery (Bam)
with the central insertase BamA, but the exact translocation and insertion mechanism remains elusive. The BamA insertase
features a loosely closed gating region between the first and last β-strand. Here, we describe ~70% complete sequence-
specific NMR resonance assignments of the transmembrane region of the BamA β-barrel in detergent micelles. On the
basis of the assignments, NMR spectra show that the BamA barrel populates a conformational ensemble in slow exchange
equilibrium, both in detergent micelles and lipid bilayer nanodiscs. Individual conformers can be selected from the ensemble by the introduction of a C-terminal strand extension, single-point mutations, or specific disulfide cross-linkings, and these modifications at the barrel seam are found to be
allosterically coupled to sites at the entire barrel circumference. The resonance assignment provides a platform for mechanistic
studies of BamA at atomic resolution, as well as for investigating interactions with potential antibiotic drugs and partner
proteins.

INTRODUCTION
Gram-negative bacteria possess an outer membrane, composed
of an asymmetric lipid bilayer of phospholipids and lip-
oplysaccharides (LPS), which is spanned by β-barrel outer
membrane proteins (Omps). Omps mediate key cellular
functions as they mediate transport of metabolites across the
membrane, act as receptors, or are involved in bacterial
pathogenicity.1–4 They are produced by the ribosome in the
cytosol and then translocated by the SecYEG complex into the
periplasm, where they are stabilized by molecular chaperones,
such as SurA and Skp.5,6 In E. coli, the biogenesis and folding
of Omps requires the Omp85 protein BamA, the central
protein of the β-barrel assembly machinery (Bam) complex.7–11
BamA consists of a 16-stranded C-terminal β-barrel
domain and five N-terminal periplasmic polypeptide transport
domains (POTRA)12,13 POTRA5 is the only one required for
cell survival.14 BamA recognizes Omps by their C-terminal β-
sequence motif.15 Moreover, BamA is associated in a complex
with four other Lipoproteins, BamB, BamC, BamD, and
BamE,16,17 that are anchored in the inner leaflet of the
outer membrane. Crystal structures of E. coli BamA β-barrel
domain,18 full-length BamA of N. gonorrhoeae, and BamA
lacking the first three POTRA domains in H. ducreyi,19 as well
as the full E. coli Bam complex with the barrel in opened and
closed conformations and the homologue protein TamA have
been determined.18–23 The exact mechanism by which Bam
inserts the insertion of the Omps into the outer membrane
is still unknown.18–20 A special characteristic of the BamA23
barrel is the presence of a loose connection between its first
and last β-strand. This weak barrel closure results in a highly
dynamic region,24 and the formation of a disulfide bridge
between the strands of the gating region is lethal in vivo.25 The
dynamic behavior of the BamA barrel is therefore relevant to
understand its function. Solution NMR spectroscopy is a
method of choice for studying dynamic proteins in general and
thus for the BamA protein in particular.

Here, we present the sequence-specific NMR assignment of
approximately 70% of the transmembrane parts of the BamA β-
barrel. Specific labeling and unlabeing methods were used in
derive the sequence-specific NMR spectra quality sub-
stantially. The crystal structure of this construct reveals a
stabilization of the barrel at the gating region which
presumably reduces BamA dynamics. Based on the sequence-
specific resonance assignment of the stabilized version, we
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could then demonstrate that wild-type BamA barrel in
detergent micelles switches between different conformational
states at the gating region. Individual states can be isolated by a
terminal strand extension, single point mutations, or locking
the gating region with a disulfide bridge.

**Sequence-Specific NMR Resonance Assignments of**
BamA. A prerequisite to enable atomic resolution studies of
the BamA β-barrel in solution in detergent micelles is the
sequence-specific resonance assignment of the protein. Back-
bone assignments of a 44 kDa membrane protein are
particularly challenging, because the molecular weight of the
detergent micelle adds to the protein, thereby increasing the
nuclear spin relaxation rates. Previously published transverse
relaxation-optimized heteronuclear single quantum coherence
(TROSY-HSQC) spectra of the BamA barrel in different
membrane mimetics had shown relatively poor spectra
quality.4-7 Relative to this starting point, the use of a new
buffer condition (20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% N,N-
dimethylformamide N-oxide (LDAO)) improved the
spectral quality, but still, the spectrum of the wildtype protein
featured inhomogeneous peak intensities and line widths,
indicating the presence of conformational heterogeneity.

As a strategy to reach sequence-specific resonance assign-
ments, we thus aimed at developing a stabilized version of the
BamA barrel with high spectral quality and then to transfer
these assignments back to the wildtye protein. By serendipity,
we discovered such a construct in an attempt to create an
insertion-transition-state-mimetic, for which we added one β-
 hairpin of the protein OmpX to the BamA C-terminus. For this
"BamA<sup>ext</sup>
construct, a general improvement of the NMR
spectral quality was observed, suggesting the presence of some
kind of stabilizing effect arising from these 31 extra residues.
Sequence-specific resonance assignments showed that the C-
terminal portion of the extension was in a random-coil
conformation, flexibly attached to the barrel (Figure S1). Then,
we sequentially truncated residues from the C-terminal end of
BamA<sup>ext</sup>, until we found that the stabilizing effect was fully
preserved in a construct with nine additional amino acids
added to the BamA barrel (BamA<sup>9</sup>) but not with shorter
extensions. We determined the crystal structure of this BamA<sup>9</sup>
construct to a resolution of 2.6 Å (Table S1). The structure is
virtually identical to the available structure of the wild-type
barrel, with the exception that the β-strand 16 with its C-
terminal extension is forming multiple new backbone hydrogen
bond contacts to the first β-strand, thereby closing the BamA
lateral gate (Figure 1).

By testing some arbitrarily chosen glycine mutations in the
region we then also identified the mutation G433A to
improve the experimental sensitivity further by about 2-fold.
The mechanistic rationale behind this mutation effect remains
unclear, perhaps the mutant protein favors even more a single
conformation state. To check, if the mutation G433A or the C-
terminal extensions influence the function of BamA in vivo, we
carried out a complementation assay in living cells (Figure S2).
The data show that the mutation G433A has a detectable phenotype on E. coli, suggesting that the
stabilization effect seen in the NMR spectrum has no
significnt consequences for BamA function. In contrast,
however, any extensions of the C-terminus with more than
one additional amino acid are not tolerated and result in a
lethal phenotype (Figure S2).

Overall, the resulting BamA β-barrel construct (BamA<sup>ext</sup>)
consisting of residues 421 to 810, the C-terminal extension, and
mutation G433A yielded a spectrum of remarkably high
quality, opening the way for extensive sequence-specific
resonance assignments (Figure 2A). As a reference point for
a native like lipidic environment, we also reconstituted BamA<sup>ext</sup>
in MSP1Δ5 lipid bilayer nanodiscs, showing an overall well-
dispersed spectrum (Figure 2B). We measured the rotational
correlation time of BamA<sup>ext</sup> and BamA<sup>9</sup> in LDAO micelles via
TRACT and found a value in the range of τ<sub>c</sub> = 50–60 ms
(Figure S3).

Toward the assignment of the BamA<sup>ext</sup> construct, we
employed a structure-based assignment strategy. The strategy
comprised (i) classical TROSY-type triple-resonance experi-
ments,<sup>35-45</sup> (ii) amino-acid-selective labeled samples, and (iii)
generated structure-guided nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE) interpreta-
ation. A 3D TROSY-HNCA experiment showed substantial
amounts of intrareisual and sequential cross peaks and was
used as the main experiment to connect backbone amide
moieties (Figure S4). A 3D TROSY-HNCACB experiment in
turn, which is intrinsically less sensitive, yielded detectable
signals only for around 14% of the spin systems. In order to
override ambiguities in sequential connectivities and to
provide specific anchor points for the assignment, a total of 15
different BamA<sup>ext</sup> samples were prepared with amino acid-
specific labeling or unlaveling (Figure S5 and Table S2).
Initial trials with a more conventional specific labeling strategy in an E. coli BL21 expression strain, where additional amino acids were added to the medium to suppress scrambling, yielded good results for some but not all amino acid types. We then switched to E. coli expression strains that are auxotrophic for one or several amino acids and obtained good protein expression in M9 medium. These strains were used for both labeling as well as unlabeling schemes. For example, to uniformly label glutamate and glutamine on a [13C-15N]-background, we expressed the protein in the strain ML17, which is auxotrophic for these two amino acids, in a growth medium prepared from 13C-labeled algal extract (Isoglo) and a large excess of unlabeled glutamine.

A new way of amino acids cysteine, glutamine, asparagine, and tryptophan. Because glutamine is interconverting glutamate and because the backbone amide group of tryptophan is synthetized in E. coli from glutamine, the resulting sample is unlabeled for tryptophan, glutamate, and glutamine, as well as partially for aspartate and asparagine (Figure 3A). In combination with selectively labeled samples for either tryptophan or aspartate/asparagine, we could then identify the peaks of glutamate and glutamine residues. Similarly, for the selective labeling of aspartate and asparagine we expressed BamA" in strain RF4 (auxotropic for aspartate, phenylalanine and tyrosine) in a medium containing 15N-labeled isoglo and unlabeled asparagine. The resulting sample was completely unlabeled in aspartate and asparagine and did not show scrambling toward other amino acids, so that these residues could be identified (Figure 3B,C).

Figure 3. Amino acid-specific labeling and unlabeling of BamA". Overlays of 2D [15N, 1H]-TROSY spectra of (A) [13C-15N]-labeled BamA" (black) and of selectively unlabeled glutamine/glutamic acid (turquoise). (B and C) [13C-15N]-labeled BamA" (black) and with selectively unlabeled asparagine/aspartic acid (purple and red). (D) [13C-15N]-labeled BamA" (black) and selectively [15N]-labeled for glycine (blue), threonine (green), and threonine with scrambling to serine and glycine (orange).
Figure 4. Sequence-specific resonance assignment of BamA<sup>60</sup>. Unambiguously assigned residues are colored in green, and experimentally observed NOE connections between amino acids are displayed with red dashed lines. The C-terminal extension is outlined in gray.
Figure 5. Thermoshift assay of BamA cysteine mutants. (A) Design schemes for the cross-linked BamA variants in registers +1, 0, and +1. (B–D) Thermoshift assay curves. (B) Double cysteine constructs from the strand pair 9–10. (C–D) Double cysteine constructs from the strand pair 1–16 and single cysteine controls, as indicated. A high 440 nm fluorescence signal at 74 °C indicates the presence of free cysteines, i.e., the absence of a disulfide bond. (E–F) Location of the strand pairs 9–10 and 1–16 region in the canonical register.

Figure 6. Selection of conformers from the BamA ensemble. For three selected residues, as indicated, the peak patterns in 2D [15N,H]-TROSY-HSQC spectra are shown. In each row, the spectrum of 450 μM [15N,H]-labeled wild-type BamA barrel in LDAO micelles (red) is overlaid with BamA β-barrel in different preparations: (A) 90 μM wild-type BamA barrel in SDS–DMSO (purple). (B) 630 μM BamA(G431C, T809C) register –1 under reducing conditions (gold), 280 μM BamA(G431C, G807C, register 0) under reducing conditions (gold), 200 μM BamA(G431C, N805C, register +1) under reducing conditions (gold), 220 μM BamA under reducing conditions (gold). (C) 450 μM wild-type BamA β-barrel (red), 250 μM cross-linked BamA(G431C, T809C, register +1, black), 200 μM cross-linked BamA(G431C, G807C, register 0, black), 220 μM cross-linked BamA(G431C, N805C, register +1, black), and 700 μM BamA (black). (D) Location of the residues that were singled out in the extended and locked constructs mapped on the structure (PDB 4N7S).
residue M768, registers −1, 0 and BamA35° select the same state corresponding to the first peak, while register +1 selects the state corresponding to the second peak. Interestingly, in addition to the cross-linking, also the single point mutation G431C and the cysteine double mutants in their reduced forms single out double peaks from the wild-type spectrum, indicating that already slight changes in the amino acid composition at the gate region can have a significant effect on the conformational equilibrium (Figure 6B). For instance, when adding a second mutation toward the double mutant, BamA (G431C,G807C), some peaks remain multiconformational (T571, K644, Y609, and L716), while others are singled out. In the case of the same mutant, where the cross-links are formed, peaks T571, K644, and Y609 are single out (Figure 6C). These data thus show that the mutation of the residue 431 from a glycine to a cysteine triggers a conformational rearrangement over the barrel and changes the conformation of the second mutant, glycine 807 to cysteine, changes again the conformational equilibrium of the barrel. Finally, locking the barrel in register 0 selects a single state. Similarly, for the register +1 mutant BamA(G431C, N805C) in its reduced form, the peaks M768 and G636 are doubled while the other peaks are singled out as a consequence of the mutation (Figure 6B). After formation of the disulfide bridge, we observe single peaks for M768 and G636. Finally, for BamA(G431C, T809C) in the reduced form (register −1) all peaks but E717 were singled out, and this peak eventually single out when the disulfide lock is formed (Figure 6).

Overall, these data show that multiple different modifications in the gating region of BamA, including single point mutations, chemical cross-linking of the strand 16 affect the backbone conformational equilibrium of the entire barrel circumference of BamA. In the wild-type protein, the gating region is not statically adopting a single state but populates a set of different conformations that are coupled to structural effects across the whole protein. The cross-linked constructs in register −1 and 0, as well as the stabilized BamA35° favor the same conformation that is in turn different from the conformation of the +1 register. Notably, in the NMR spectra of the cross-linked gating regions, multiple peaks were missing compared to BamA35°, suggesting that the β-strand extension makes the barrel more rigid than a single cysteine-lock.

Discussion

Here, we have used solution NMR spectroscopy in combination with protein engineering and cysteine scanning experiments to characterize the conformational equilibrium of the E. coli BamA β-barrel gating region at the atomic level. The wild-type BamA barrel in detergent micelles and in MSPA/HS nanotubes populates a number of different conformational states in slow NMR equilibrium, i.e., with exchange rate constants ≲10 s⁻¹. By suitably engineered point mutations or disulfide bridge formations at the gating regions or by an extension of β-strand 16 (BamA35°), we could stabilize single BamA-barrel conformations from this ensemble. In agreement with published data,37 stable disulfide bonds could be formed for different registers between the first and last β-strand. By monitoring the barrel at the atomic level, we could now show that many residues are in slow exchange between several conformations in the unlocked barrel and that these can be singled out in the cysteine locked constructs. Notably, locking the gating region with a cysteine bond stabilizes the barrel less than forming additional hydrogen bonds between residues from the first and the last β-strand in the BamA35° construct. Interestingly, the gate dynamics appear to depend in a sensitive way on the individual amino acids in the gate regions, and it will be interesting to determine the impact of individual residues in the gate region on the conformational ensemble of the BamA barrel on the basis of the assignments established here.

Structures of BamA solved by X-ray crystallography and cryo-EM have shown an open and a closed conformation of the barrel but could not give hints about other states of the protein. We have demonstrated here that the barrel conformations are modulated in response to modifications at the gating region. During the folding and insertion process, an unfolded Omp might interact with the first β-strand of the BamA barrel by forming additional hydrogen bonds. We can speculate that, upon the folding of the Omp, the BamA barrel could open to incorporate the forming hairpins. The stability of the BamA hybrid barrel would then fluctuate until the release of the Omp into the outer membrane.

Notably, with 400 residues, the BamA35° protein studied here is the largest monomeric integral membrane protein for which extensive sequence-specific NMR resonance assignments have been obtained so far. Solid-state NMR spectroscopy in DLPC bilayers was previously used to assign a few residues from the sixth loop and transmembrane region of the BamA35° POTRA4–POTRA5 construct.59 When comparing the two sets of assignments, some of the chemical shifts are similar, except for residues 1601 and K793 which have significant differences (Table S5). As both residues are at the junction between a β- strand and a loop, the chemical shifts might arise from the difference in membrane mimetic. Previous solution NMR assignment of large membrane proteins include 280–385 residues OmpG, 283-residue VDAC-1, and 303-residue UCP2.57–59 By stabilization of the BamA barrel by β-strand extension and by making use of recent developments in auxotrophic strains, as well as by structure-based NOE interpretations, we managed to assign a major portion of the transmembrane part of the extended BamA barrel. The assignment paves the way for future NMR studies of the BamA protein with substrates, ligands, or partner proteins and for mechanistic studies of BamA and the potentially also the Bam complex.

Experimental Section

Cloning, Mutagenesis, and Expression. The pET15b-based plasmid for expressing BamA(421–810, C690S, C700S) with N- terminal TEV-cleavable His⁶ tag was described previously.51 On this template, individual or pairwise cysteine mutations following the scheme in Figure S7 or the mutation G433A were introduced using the Quickchange protocol. C-terminal extensions were introduced using restriction free cloning. The BamA35° construct consists of the template extended by the nine residues MENVADLS, while the BamA35° construct contains the G433A mutation and is extended by the residues MENVADLSFYEQRSRYSVQTVGTVGTVG. All BamA constructs were expressed in inclusion bodies in E. coli BL21 (DE3) Lemo25° cells in LB or M9 medium in the presence of 100 µg/ml ampicillin (pET15b). Cells were grown at 37 °C until an OD600 of 0.8 and then induced with 1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). Cells were harvested after 5 h at 410 expression and resuspended in buffer A (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 410 mM NaCl) in the presence of lysis enzyme, DNase I, and EDTA-free complete protease inhibitor (Roche). Cells were lysed by sonication on ice and centrifuged for 30 min at 16900g. Inclusion bodies were 411
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selenolized in buffer A with 6 M guanidine hydrochloride. After 1 h of
centrifugation at 10,000 g, supernatant was mixed with 5 ml of Ni-
beads (Grenstaff) for 1 h room temperature. Protein was eluted
with buffer A supplemented with 6 M Gdm-HCl and 200 mM
imidazole. Eluate was dialyzed against Milli-Q H2O overnight with a
molecular weight cutoff of 3.5 kD, and the precipitate was
resuspended in buffer A + 6 M Gdm-HCl. BmA was diluted to 5 mg/
ml and DTT was added to a final concentration of 10 mM prior to
refolding.

Refolding and Purification. Refolding was performed at 4 °C by
dropwise addition of 20 ml of the BmA solution to a final
concentration of 1 mg/ml into 80 ml of refolding buffer (50 mM
Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 500 mM imidazole, 5% DMSO, 5% DTT) while stirring the refolding solution. For the 3D-NMR
sample, [1H]-LDAO was used in all of the buffers. The solution was
stirred for another 24 h and dialyzed against 20 mM Tris pH 8.0.
BmA was applied to a HiTrap Q HP ion exchange column (GE;
Figure S11). Buffer A: Tris pH 8.0, LDAO 0.1%. Buffer B: Buffer A +
500 mM NaCl. The protein was eluted with a step gradient of buffer B
(0% B, 40% B, 65% B, and 100% B). Well-refolded protein eluted
between 40 and 65% B. When analyzed on a gel filtration column, the
protein displayed a monodisperse profile (Figure S11). Fractions were
concentrated using a 30 kDa Vivaspin concentrator and buffer
exchanged to 0.1 M NaPi, 150 mM NaCl, LDAO 0.1% (thermoshift
assay buffer) at 0.02 M NaPi, 150 mM NaCl, LDAO 0.1%, 5% D,O
(NMR Buffer). Samples were frozen at −80 °C and thawed prior to
to measurements. BmA (G431C, TR09C) (regist 1), BmA
(G431C, G087C) (regist 0), and BmA (G431C, N085C) (regist 1)
were transformed into LEMO(DE3) strains and expressed in LB
or M9 minimal media. Following a previously established
protocol,15 proteins were purified and refolded in the presence
of 10 mM DTT. For the targeted formation of disulfide bonds, DTT was
dialyzed out and 10 mM H2O2 were added.

Reconstitution in Nanodiscs. The plasmid PET-28a with the
coding sequence of truncated spoT-I, missing residues 1−54 and
121−142 (MSPAβH5), with an N-terminal TEV protease-clearable
histidine tag, was a gift of the Zerbe lab (University of Zurich) with
permission from Gerhard Wagner (Harvard University). MSPAβH5
was expressed in E. coli strain BL21(DE3) Lemo and purified as
described before.51 For the reconstitution of BmA into MSPAβH5
nanodiscs with the lipid 1,2-dimyristoyl-sn-glycerol-3-phosphocholine
(DMPC), a molar ratio of 1:6:32:264 of BmA:MSPAβH5:DMPC−
cholate was used (final sodium cholate concentration was
1.32 mM). In a first step, BmA was exchanged into DMPC, and finally
BmA was given in a glass vial and gently shaken for 1 h at 27 °C.
Subsequently, 1 g of Biobeads SM-2 (BioRad) per ml of assembly
solution was given to the mixture and shaken for 3−4 h at 27 °C.
Separation of the Biobeads was obtained by slow speed centrifugation.
The supernatant was centrifuged for 5 min at 15,000 g and was
purified using size exclusion chromatography (5000 10/300 GL) as
reported previously.63 The fractions were concentrated to a BmA
concentration of around 100 μM using an Amicon Ultra-4 10000 Da
molecular weight cutoff (MWCO) concentrator (Millipore).

Thermoshift Assay. Cystine mutants of the BmA barrel in the
strand 9/10 region and gate region were measured by a thermal
denaturation assay experiment with 7-dimethylamino-3-(4′-
iodoacyl)amino-phenyl)-6-methylcoumarin (DCLA).51−54 Samples
were thawed, and the disulfide bond formation was catalyzed by
addition of H2O2 to 10 mM final 1 h before measurement. For each
construct, 50 μl of sample was prepared with final concentrations of
25 μM of protein and 50 μM of DCLA dye (Anaspec). Controls
containing no protein, single cysteine, and cysteine-less BmA were
prepared. A RotorGene Q RT-PCR instrument (Qiagen) was used to
ramp the temperature from 20 to 95 °C, excite at 600nm and measure
the absorbance at 600nm, of the conjugate between the end protein.
As the protein unfolds, cysteines become accessible to react with DCLA.
Fluorescence intensity was reported at 74 °C, at maximal intensity.
Samples with intensity similar to the sample containing no cysteines
are identified as closed. Depending on their localization, the
accessibility of the cysteines to the reagent differs. The fluorescence
data were corrected with the values from the cysteines-less construct.

SDS-Gel/Mass Spectrometry. Samples were thawed, and the
reaction of cysteine bond formation was catalyzed with 10 mM H2O2.88
As a control experiment, we recorded an NMR spectrum of BmA
wild-type with and without H2O2 (Figure S15). H2O2 had no effect
on BmA chemical shifts. Samples were run on a nonreducing SDS-
gel and boiled for 30 min at 95 °C. Constructs found to contain the
disulfide bridge by thermostable assay displayed a second band that
migrated lower on the gel (Figures S11C and S12−S14 and Table
S2). For analysis of this band by mass spectrometry (MS), the bands
were cut into small pieces and placed into n-propanol droplets.86
Destaining was performed by washing the gel pieces five times with a
9:1 solution of 50% acetonitrile/0.1 M NH4HCO3. The gel pieces were
dried completely, 10 μl of a solution of 12.5 ng/μl chymotrypsin in
50 mM NH4HCO3 was added, and the solution was incubated for 4 h
at 37 °C. The supernatant was collected, and the gel pieces were
further extracted with 40 μl of 50% acetonitrile/1% TFA. The pooled
supernatant was dried and redisolved in 50 μl of 0.1% acetic acid. A
total of 2 μl of samples were subjected to LC-MS analysis using a
dual pressure LTQ-Orbitrap Elite mass spectrometer connected to an
electrospray ion source (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as recently specified56 and a custom-made column heater to set at 60 °C. Peptide
separation was carried out using an EASY nLC-1000 system (Thermo
Fisher Scientific) equipped with a RP-HPLC column (75 μm × 30
mm) packed in-house with C18 resin (Rheasol-Pur C18–AQ, 1.9 μm
(130 Å) (Rheasol-Pur C18–AQ, 1.9 μm (130 Å) (Rheasol-Pur
column; Macherey Nagel, Germany), using a linear gradient from 99%
solvent A (0.1% formic acid, 99.9% water) and 5% solvent B (80% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid, 99.9% water) to 28% solvent B over 75 min to 40% solvent B over 15 min to 95% solvent B over 2 min and 95%
solvent B over 18 min at a flow rate of 0.2 μl/min. The data acquisition mode was set to obtain one high resolution MS scan in the
FT part of the mass spectrometer at a resolution of 60,000 full width
at half-maximum (at 400 m/z, MS1) followed by MS/MS (MS2) scans in the linear ion trap of the 20 most intense MS signals. The
cust charged state screening module was enabled to exclude unsanitized
and singly charged ions and the dynamic exclusion duration was set to 30 s. The ion accumulation times were set to 200 ms (MS1) and 50 ms (MS2). MS1 and MS2 scans were acquired at a target setting of 16 ions
and 10,000 ions, respectively. The collision energy was set to 32−50
%, and one microscans was acquired for each spectrum. Peptide
identification was carried out by peptide mass fingerprinting using the
Skyline software (v4.1.0.11796, https://skyline.ms/) allowing all 27
theoretical precursor ion masses with up to three missed cleavages
and charge states ±2 to ±5. Mass tolerance was set to 10 ppm. The
experiment isolation pattern were manually checked to match the
expected values.

NMR Spectroscopy. Samples for NMR spectroscopy were
expressed in M9 medium, supplemented with deuterated water and
15 NH3 ammonium chloride. Additionally, the triple-labeled sample and 3D-NOESY sample were supplemented with 2 g/L of
[7,15N]cysteine and 2 g/L of [7,15N]glucose, respectively. The samples for specific labeling were supplemented according to Table
S2. Samples were concentrated and buffer exchanged to 20 mM NaPi-
phosphate, pH 7.5, NaCl 150 mM, LDAO 0.1% 2D 15N, 1H-NH-coupled
experiments of all samples were measured on 600, 700, and 900 MHz
spectrometers equipped with a cryo-probes. The TROSY-HNCA
experiment was recorded on a sample of 700 μM [15N,1H]-H2
labeled BmA in NMR buffer on a 900 MHz Bruker spectrometer
equipped with a cryo-probe. 64 scans were acquired at 37 °C with
2048, 88, and 80 increments in the δ1H, δ15N, and δ13C dimension, respectively, using deuteration decoupling (Figure S5). 56
TROSY-HNCA-CABC experiment was recorded on the same sample at
with a 900 MHz Bruker spectrometer equipped with a cryo-probe. A total
of 52 scans were acquired at 37 °C with 2048, 80, and 1489 increments in the δ1H, δ15N, and δ13C dimension, respectively, using deuteration decoupling. The 15N-TROSY-[7,15N]-H2
NOESY experiment was recorded on a sample of 700 μM [7,15N]cysteine labeled BmA in NMR buffer on a 900 MHz
Bruker spectrometer equipped with a cryo-probe. Sixteen scans were
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acquired at 37 °C with 2048, 100, and 320 increments in the \( \delta_{i}(\mathrm{H}) \),
\( \delta_{i}(\mathrm{N}) \), and \( \delta_{i}(\mathrm{H}) \) dimension, respectively, with a NOESY mixing
time of 100 ms (Figure S6). A figure containing a summary of the data
available for the assigned residues is shown in the Supporting
Information (Figure S6). The \( ^{15} \mathrm{~N} \), \( ^{1} \mathrm{H} \)-TRACT experiment \( ^{15} \)
and bulk R4 and R6 experiments \( ^{15} \) were recorded at 37 °C on a Bruker
600 MHz Avance III HD spectrometer equipped with a cryogenic
probe. The number of scans was adjusted to achieve a satisfactory
signal-to-noise ratio. From \( ^{15} \mathrm{~N} \)-TRACT the slow relaxing \( \zeta \)-spin
(\( R_{\zeta} \)) and fast relaxing \( \phi \)-spin state (\( R_{\phi} \)) of \( ^{15} \)N were measured
(incremental time was 600 ps). From single exponential fits the bulk
relaxation rates \( R_{4} \) and \( R_{6} \) of the corresponding spin states were
derived from an integral (\( 1 \) ppm range of 9.5 ppm to 8.5 ppm) and
converted to the rotational correlation time \( \tau_{c} \). \( ^{15} \) Bulk R4 (relaxation
delays: 0, 200, 400, 600, 800, 1000, 1200, and 1400 ms) and R6
(relaxation delays: 0, 4, 7, 10, 14, 17, 20, and 25 ms) relaxation rates
were derived from single exponential fits (\( 1 \) ppm range of 9.5 to 8.5
ppm) using intensities.

Specific Labeling. Specific labeling/unlabeling of samples was
conducted using the Biomag-\( ^{15} \)N method (Figure S5). \(^{15} \) A summary of the
strains and isotopes used for specific labeling
is given in Table S1. Arginine, glycine, histidine, isoleucine, leucine,
methionine, phenylalanine, threonine, tyrosine, or valine were
specifically labeled. Lysine and tryptophan were specifically unlabeled.
Lysine was additionally \( ^{13} \mathrm{C} \) labeled and a 2D-TROSY HNCO was
recorded. \(^{15} \) Aspartic acid/asparagine were unlabeled as well
as glutamine and glutamic acid. Serine was labeled using a controlled
scrambling strategy. Threonine was labeled and allowed to scramble
to serine and glycine. Using the identities from individual samples of
labeled threonine and glycine, serine identities were identified as the remaining
unidentified residues.

Structure Determination of C-Terminally Extended BamA
(BamA\( ^{15} \)). For the crystallization of the BamA barrel including nine
additional amino acids at the C-terminus (MENVALDFES), BamA\( ^{15} \),
the protein buffer was exchanged by gel-filtration into 20 mM Tris pH
7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% LDAO and 0.35% C\(_{12}\)E\(_{6}\). Initial
crystallization trials were performed at 293 K by sitting-drop vapor
diffusion using MenGold- and MenGoldScreen from Molecular
Dimensions with a Gryphon robot (Art Rob Instruments). The
initial hits were optimized by fine-screening with larger drops by
hanging drop vapor diffusion. Crystals in space group P2\(_{1}\)2\(_{1}\)2\(_{1}\) were
grown in 22% (w/v) PEG 400, 0.07 M NaCl and 0.05 M sodium
citrate pH 4.5. Crystals were directly flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. A
data set was collected at beamline X08DA (FXIII) at the Swiss Light
Source (SLS, Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland). Data were
integrated and scaled with XDS. \(^{15} \) Phaser for BamA \( ^{15} \) was obtained
by molecular replacement (MR) using MOLREP \(^{16} \) with PDB entry
4NTS as a search model. Model building was performed using
COOT \(^{17} \), and the structure was refined with REFMAC \(^{18} \). The
program MolProbity \(^{19} \) was used to evaluate the final model and
PyMOL \(^{20} \) for the visualization of the protein structure.

In Vivo Complementation Assay. The in vivo functional activity
of all BamA \( \beta \)-barrel mutants and C-terminal elongations were tested in
JCM166 cells which were transformed with a pET3b plasmid carrying
the full-length BamA versions with an N-terminal His\(_{6}\) of the
corresponding BamA barrel mutants. In JCM166 cells, the genomic
1 gene of BamA is under control of an arabinose promoter. In the
presence of 0.1% (w/v) arabinose, the BamA gene is expressed and the
cells show a normal phenotype. The absence of arabinose is lethal and
cells only survive by transformation with a BamA plasmid which is
able to complement native BamA function. Empty pET3b plasmid
and pET3b with wild-type BamA were used as negative and positive
control, respectively. All transformations were streaked out on agar
plates without and with 0.1% (w+) arabinose and incubated at 37 °C
overnight.
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**Table S1:** Data collection and refinement statistics for BamA\textsuperscript{19}. Values in parentheses refer to the highest-resolution shell.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Collection</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beamline</strong></td>
<td>SLS PXIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Space Group</strong></td>
<td>P2(_2)2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cell Dimensions</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a, b, c) (Å)</td>
<td>122.7, 159.4, 55.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) (°)</td>
<td>90.0, 90.0, 90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wavelength</strong> (Å)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resolution</strong> (Å)</td>
<td>66.84 – 2.60 (2.72 – 2.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R\text{free} [%]</strong></td>
<td>15.6 (107.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R\text{free} [%]</strong></td>
<td>11.1 (76.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CC(1/2)</strong></td>
<td>0.997 (0.647)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\langle f_{\sigma} \rangle)</td>
<td>7.7 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Completeness (%)</strong></td>
<td>99.8 (99.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Redundancy</strong></td>
<td>5.4 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Refinement**

| \(R_{	ext{work}} / R_{	ext{free}}\) | 26.9/29.8 |
| Ramachandran plot |  |
| **most favored [%]** | 89.6 |
| **allowed [%]** | 10.1 |
| **generously allowed [%]** | 0.0 |
| **disallowed [%]** | 0.3 |
| **No. atoms** |  |
| **Protein** | 6142 |
| **Water** | 53 |

| B-factors |  |
| **Protein** | 47.1 |
| **Water** | 35.8 |

**R.m.s. deviations**

| Bond lengths (Å) | 0.020 |
| Bond angles (°) | 2.11 |
| MolProbity clashscore | 4.85 |
| pdb-code | 6FSU |
Table S2. Expression conditions for specifically labeled NMR samples of Bam\textsubscript{A}\textsuperscript{ext}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>Strain (ref. 56)</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Label (\textsuperscript{15}N)</th>
<th>Additional a.a (\textit{I}) for scrambling control, auxotrophy supplementation, or unlabeling</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Lemo</td>
<td></td>
<td>Labeling (\textsuperscript{15}N)</td>
<td>274 mg \textsuperscript{15}N-alanine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+N</td>
<td>RF4</td>
<td>Unlabeling (\textsuperscript{15}N)</td>
<td>1 g \textsuperscript{15}N-HCl + 1 g \textsuperscript{15}N-L-isogro as background</td>
<td>2 g \textsuperscript{15}N-aspartic acid</td>
<td>D/N are both unlabeled as L-isogro does not contain asparagine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q+E</td>
<td>ML17</td>
<td>Unlabeling (\textsuperscript{15}N)</td>
<td>1 g \textsuperscript{15}N-HCl + 1 g \textsuperscript{15}N-L-isogro as background</td>
<td>2 g \textsuperscript{15}N-glutamine</td>
<td>Q/E are both unlabeled as well as W. L-isogro does not contain Q nor W. D and N were partially unlabeled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>RF1</td>
<td>Labeling (\textsuperscript{15}N)</td>
<td>390 mg \textsuperscript{15}N-glycine</td>
<td>0.5 g \textsuperscript{15}N-serine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>ML3</td>
<td>Labeling (\textsuperscript{15}N)</td>
<td>32 mg \textsuperscript{15}N-histidine</td>
<td>All \textsuperscript{15}N amino acids but histidine (molarity according to Lin, M.T. (52)).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>RF4</td>
<td>Labeling (\textsuperscript{15}N)</td>
<td>130 mg \textsuperscript{15}N-phenylalanine</td>
<td>1 g \textsuperscript{15}N-tyrosine and 1 g \textsuperscript{15}N-aspartic acid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>ML6</td>
<td>Labeling (\textsuperscript{15}N)</td>
<td>130 mg \textsuperscript{15}N-isoleucine</td>
<td>1 g \textsuperscript{15}N-leucine and 1 g \textsuperscript{15}N-valine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>ML6</td>
<td>Labeling (\textsuperscript{15}N)</td>
<td>260 mg \textsuperscript{15}N-leucine</td>
<td>1 g \textsuperscript{15}N-isoleucine and 1 g \textsuperscript{15}N-valine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>RF10</td>
<td>Unlabeling (\textsuperscript{15}N and \textsuperscript{13}C)</td>
<td>1 g \textsuperscript{15}N-HCl + 1 g \textsuperscript{13}C-glucose as background</td>
<td>1 g \textsuperscript{15}N-lysine</td>
<td>2D TROSY-HNCO was additionally measured on this sample.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>RF11</td>
<td>Labeling (\textsuperscript{15}N)</td>
<td>20 mg \textsuperscript{15}N-methionine</td>
<td>All \textsuperscript{15}N amino acids but methionine (molarity according to Lin, M.T. (52)).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>ML8</td>
<td>Labeling (\textsuperscript{15}N)</td>
<td>100 mg \textsuperscript{15}N-arginine</td>
<td>All \textsuperscript{15}N amino acids but arginine (molarity according to Lin, M.T. (52)).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>RF2</td>
<td>Controlled scrambling</td>
<td>Labeling (\textsuperscript{15}N)</td>
<td>162 mg \textsuperscript{15}N-threonine</td>
<td>No amino acid added Sample scrambled to serine and glycine. As glycines and threonines are identified from their respective samples, the remaining peaks are serines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>RF2</td>
<td>Labeling (\textsuperscript{15}N)</td>
<td>162 mg \textsuperscript{15}N-threonine</td>
<td>1 g \textsuperscript{15}N-serine and 1 g \textsuperscript{15}N-glycine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>RF12</td>
<td>Unlabeling ((^{15})N)</td>
<td>1g (^{15})NH(_4)Cl as background</td>
<td>2 g (^{15})N-tryptophan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>RF4</td>
<td>Labeling ((^{15})N)</td>
<td>20 mg (^{15})N-tyrosine</td>
<td>1 g (^{15})N-phenylalanine and 1 g (^{15})N-aspartic acid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>RF12</td>
<td>Labeling ((^{15})N)</td>
<td>195mg (^{15})N-valine</td>
<td>1g (^{15})N-isoleucine and 1g (^{15})N-leucine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table S3.** Chemical shift comparison between BamA–POTRA4–POTRA5 in lipid bilayers (58) and BamA<sup>ex</sup> in LDAO micelles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{solid-state}}$ [ppm]&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{solution}}$ [ppm]&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L581 N</td>
<td>121.3</td>
<td>122.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L581 CA</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>53.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T600 CA</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>61.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I601 N</td>
<td>130.1</td>
<td>121.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I601 CA</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>57.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I601 CB</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V628 CA</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>59.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V628 CB</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V629 N</td>
<td>126.7</td>
<td>127.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V629 CA</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>60.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V629 CB</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L630 N</td>
<td>130.5</td>
<td>131.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L630 CA</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L630 CB</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>42.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V733 CA</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>59.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K792 CA</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>55.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K793 N</td>
<td>122.2</td>
<td>119.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K793 CA</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>58.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K793 CB</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> from reference 58 (Sinnige et al., J. Biomol. NMR 61, 321–332 (2015))

<sup>2</sup> this work

<sup>3</sup> atoms with matching assignment are indicated bold ($\Delta \delta < 1.0$ ppm)
Figure S1. Overlay of 2D [15N, 1H]-TROSY-HSQC spectra of BamA\textsuperscript{ext} (red) and BamA\textsuperscript{19} (blue). The sequence-specific backbone amide resonance assignments of residues 830–841 are indicated. These are all located in the random coil region (7.5 ppm < \delta(\text{H}) < 8.5 ppm). A scheme of the C-terminal strand extension of BamA\textsuperscript{ext} is shown as an inset, with the assigned residues marked green. The construct BamA\textsuperscript{19} ends after residue 819 (red dashed line).
Figure S2. (A) *In vivo* functional assay of BamA β-barrel mutants and C-terminal extensions using JCM166 cells in the absence and presence of arabinose. (1) Empty vector and (2) wt-BamA serve as a negative and positive control, respectively. (3–6) Extension of the C-terminus of BamA by: +M (3), +ME (4), +MEN (5) and +MENVALDFS (6). Effect of the single amino acid mutations W810A (7) and G433A (8) on the background of wt-BamA.
Figure S3. Characterization of BamA<sup>md</sup> and BamA<sup>tg</sup> NMR relaxation. A) TRACT experiment of BamA<sup>md</sup> in LDAO micelles. The obtained $R_2^O$ and $R_2^\beta$ rates correspond to a rotational coorelation time $\tau_c$ of 55 ns. B) TRACT experiment of BamA<sup>tg</sup> construct in LDAO. The obtained $R_2^O$ and $R_2^\beta$ rates correspond to a rotational correlation time $\tau_c$ of 49 ns. C) Longitudinal $R_1$ bulk relaxation rates of the downfield amide region (8.5–9.5 ppm) of BamA<sup>md</sup> (black) and BamA<sup>tg</sup> (red) in LDAO micelles. D) Transverse $R_2$ bulk relaxation rates of the downfield amide region (8.5–9.5 ppm) of BamA<sup>md</sup> (black) and BamA<sup>tg</sup> (red) in LDAO. All experiments were measured at 600 MHz field strength.
Figure S4. Backbone assignment of BamA<sup>ext</sup>. Selected strips from a 3D TROSY-HNCA experiment recorded on a 700 µM sample of [U-<sup>15</sup>Н, <sup>13</sup>С, <sup>15</sup>N]-BamA<sup>ext</sup> in LDAO detergent micelles in 20 mM NaPi, 150 mM NaCl at 37 °C on a 900 MHz Bruker spectrometer with cryogenic probe.
Figure S5. Selective labeling of BamA<sup>ext</sup>. (A) [<sup>15</sup>N, <sup>1</sup>H]-TROSY-HSQC of a 100 µM sample of [U-<sup>2</sup>H,<sup>15</sup>N]-BamA<sup>ext</sup> (red) and [Gly-<sup>1</sup>H,<sup>15</sup>N] BamA<sup>ext</sup> (black) in LDAO detergent micelles, 20 mM NaPi, 150 mM NaCl. Minor scrambling is visible for high intensity ILV residues. (B) 2D [<sup>15</sup>N, <sup>1</sup>H]-TROSY-HSQC of a 100 µM sample of [U-<sup>2</sup>H,<sup>15</sup>N]-BamA<sup>ext</sup> (red) and [Ile-<sup>1</sup>H,<sup>15</sup>N] BamA<sup>ext</sup> in LDAO detergent micelles, 20 mM NaPi,150 mM NaCl. (C) [<sup>15</sup>N, <sup>1</sup>H]-TROSY-HSQC of a 100 µM sample of [U-<sup>2</sup>H,<sup>15</sup>N]-BamA<sup>ext</sup> (red) and [Val-<sup>1</sup>H,<sup>15</sup>N] BamA<sup>ext</sup> in LDAO detergent micelles, 20 mM NaPi,150 mM NaCl.
Figure S6. NOESY spectroscopy of BamA<sup>ext</sup>. Selected strips from a 3D $^{15}$N-TROSY-resolved-$[^1H,^1H]$-NOESY experiment recorded on a 700 μM sample of [U-$^2$H, $^{13}$C, $^{15}$N]-BamA<sub>ext</sub> in $[^2H]$-LDAO micelles in 20 mM NaPi, 150 mM NaCl. Measured at 37 °C on a 900 MHz Bruker spectrometer with cryogenic probe.
Figure S7. Sequence-specific resonance assignment of BamA<sup>ext</sup>. 2D [<sup>15</sup>N,<sup>1</sup>H]-TROSY-HSQC spectra of 700 μM [U-<sup>2</sup>H<sub>2</sub>]<sup>15</sup>N]-BamA<sup>ext</sup>. The peaks are individually labelled with their backbone assignment. The crowded center region was extended into six side panels 1-6.
Figure S8. Connectivity diagram representing the experimental data available for the assignment of BamA™. Lines between Cα/Cα+1 and Cα/Cα+1 represent residues that are were connected together by their respective Cα and Cα. Residues which identity was confirmed using specific labeling or unlabeling are illustrated with a blue circle. Residues which were identified as successors of lysines are pictured with an orange circle. Residues for which one or more NOE proton-proton crosspeaks have been measured have a light blue triangle.
Figure S9. Secondary chemical shift analysis of BamA. Output of a chemical-shift-based dihedral angle prediction by Talos-N and its comparison with the crystal structure of BamA\textsuperscript{10}. Residues where chemical shifts are in agreement with the structure are labeled green or yellow. Notably, for no residue a deviation between the prediction and the structure is obtained (would be shown in red color).
Figure S10. Design schemes for cross-linked BamA variants. The variants contain a pair of residues on two neighboring β-strands mutated to cysteines and resulting in the registers indicated. (A) the gate region strands 1–16 and (B) strands 9–10.
Figure S11. Purification of BamA constructs. (A) Elution profile of BamA(G431C,N805C) (register +1) from a HiTrap Q 5 mL HP column (GE). Buffer A: Tris 20 mM pH 8, LDAO 0.1%. Buffer B: BufferA + 500 mM NaCl. Well-folded protein elutes between 40%-65% of Buffer B. (B). Size exclusion chromatogram of BamA(G431C,N805C) on a S200pg 16/600 column (GE). Buffer: Tris 20 mM, NaCl 150 mM, LDAO 0.1%. (C). SDS-PAGE of single and double cysteine mutants of the BamA barrel. Samples were boiled for 30 min at 95 °C in non-reducing conditions. For registers -2 to +2 of the gate region, a faster migrating band is present indicating successfully crosslinked BamA.
Figure S12. Identification of chemical cross-links in BamA by peptide mass fingerprinting. For each of four samples, the elution profile of the cross-linked peptide is shown together with a comparison of the resulting signal distribution with expected values and a negative control. (A) BamA(G433C, T809C), cross-linked in register -2. (B) BamA(G431, T809C), cross-linked in register -1. (C) BamA(G431, G807C), cross-linked in register 0. (D) BamA(G431, N805C), cross-linked in register 1.
Figure S13. Reconstitution of wild-type BamA in lipid bilayer nanodiscs. (A) Size-exclusion chromatography profile (S200 10/300 GL) of wild-type BamA in MSPΔH5 lipid bilayer nanodiscs. From the initial chromatography run (black), the peak at 13.65 mL was pooled and re-run (red), demonstrating the stability of the assembly. The SDS-PAGE below shows the composition of the assembly. (B) 2D [$^{15}$N,$^1$H]-TROSY spectrum of 90 μM wild-type BamA reconstituted in MSPΔH5 nanodiscs in NMR buffer. The spectrum was recorded on a 600 MHz spectrometer.
Figure S14. Selection of conformers from the BamA ensemble. For five selected residues, as indicated, the peak pattern in 2D [\textsuperscript{15}N,\textsuperscript{1}H]-TROSY-HSQC spectra is shown. The top row shows a reference [U-\textsuperscript{2}H,\textsuperscript{15}N]-labeled wild-type BamA \(\beta\)-barrel in LDAO micelles. In subsequent rows, the wild spectrum (red) is overlaid with BamA \(\beta\)-barrel in different preparations, as indicated: BamA in MSP:H5 lipid bilayer nanodiscs (purple); BamA(G431C) under reducing conditions (gold); BamA(G431C, T809C, register -1) under reducing conditions (gold), BamA (G431C, G807C, register 0) under reducing conditions (gold), BamA(G431C N805C, register +1) under reducing conditions (gold), cross-linked BamA(G431C, T809C, register -1, black), cross-linked BamA(G431C, G807C, register 0, black), cross-linked BamA(G431C N805C, register +1, black), BamA\textsuperscript{exo} (black).
Figure S15. Effect of the oxidation agent H₂O₂ on the wild-type BamA conformational ensemble. Overlay of 2D [¹⁵N,¹H]-TROSY-HSQC spectra of 200 µM [U-²H,¹⁵N]-labeled BamA before (red) and after (blue) 10mM H₂O₂. While the overall spectral quality is slightly different, the conformational ensemble appears not to be affected by the oxidation.
Chapter 5: Methyl side-chain labeling strategy, single-domain antibody interactions, and attempts at forming a BamA-hybrid barrel

Michael Zahn titrated the BamA samples with the nanobodies and NMR spectroscopy, and I analyzed the data. Michael Zahn crystallized and solved the structures of the BamA samples with the nanobodies. All other work was performed by me.
5.1 Summary

The backbone assignments of the BamA β-barrel are a valuable platform to study the protein with NMR spectroscopy. However, the large size of the Bam complex, is beyond the limitations of what can offer TROSY-HSQC spectroscopy. In this scope, the methyl groups of a protein have different relaxations dynamics as the rest of the protein, due to the rotation of the methyl moiety around its axis. Hence, obtaining the methyl side-chain assignments of BamA could provide a basis to study the BamA β-barrel in a larger assembly. To this goal, we started to record methyl side-chain HMQC and methyl side-chain NOESY experiments of the BamA OmpX B7B8 G433A construct. With the help of available backbone assignments, those experiments will allow us to assign the methyl groups of the BamA β-barrel.

The discovery of new antibiotics is of critical importance in the pharmaceutical industry. The BamA β-barrel backbone assignment now provides a basis on which to perform screening, as a way to find potential binding sites on the surface of the protein. The NMR titration of the BamA-OmpX B7B8 G433A construct was performed with the nanobody F7, targeted against BamA. An interaction was observed between the extracellular loops of BamA and the nanobody. After crystallizing the nanobody F7 and other nanobodies with the BamA β-barrel, the structures were solved. They confirmed the binding site of the nanobodies to be located on the BamA extracellular loops. These findings show that a strategy to design new antibiotics, targeting BamA, could be designed, by mimicking the interactions between the nanobodies and the extracellular loops of BamA.

In order to understand the importance of the gate-region of the BamA β-barrel, and study the hypothetical hybrid barrel mechanism, several constructs were prepared, where BamA was C-terminally extended with strands from the outer membrane proteins OmpX, LamB and PhoE. NMR measurements of those hybrid proteins, could show the various effects of C-terminal extensions on the quality of the spectra and, indirectly, on the protein dynamics.
5.2 Results

Methyl side-chain HMQC and Methyl side-chain NOESY

In proteins, six amino acids have side chains containing methyl groups (alanine, valine, isoleucine, leucine, methionine and threonine). The peculiarity of the methyl group for liquid-state NMR is the fast rotation of this moiety around its axis (in the ps timescale). As such, experiments measuring the methyl side chains are much more sensitive than the one measuring the backbone (Tugarinov et al., 2003) and allow to study large complexes by solution NMR (Wiesner and Sprangers, 2015). Additionally, the side chain labeling of specific amino acids allows to reduce the spectral crowding but still permits to cover the protein with labeled probes. In the case of the BamA β-barrel, assigning the sidechains will open the door to study molecular mechanisms in various configurations of higher molecular weights. In example, one could measure the protein containing the POTRA domains, with associated proteins BamBCDE, and in different membrane mimetics such as nanodiscs as the molecular size-limitation for methyl side chain experiments is in the range of the mega Dalton. This method was applied to systems of high molecular weights such as in the peptidase TET2 study (Mas et al., 2013).

A 2D HMQC experiment was acquired to record the chemical shifts from the methyl sidechains of isoleucines, leucines, valines and methionines of the BamA-OmpXB7B8 G433A sample (Figure 4.1). Interestingly, for isoleucines and methionines, two peaks appeared for each residue. In the case of valines and leucines, the peaks for the two methyl groups are detected, but we detected twice the number of expected peaks. A 3D-HMQC NOESY was acquired to measure the correlations from the methyl groups of ILVA protons to the surrounding protons. Two peaks corresponding to isoleucines were identified as having cross-correlations with four peaks from the leucine region (Figure 4.2). Each of the isoleucine peak had correlations with two leucines peaks (one for each methyl group). Therefore, the BamA β-barrel populates at least two conformers in solution, each of them showing crosspeaks with residues from the same conformation. The intensity ratio between the peaks that could be identified as the same residue in two different conformations was approximatively 25%. This shows that there are two populations of the BamA-OmpXB7B8 G433A in solution, a main conformation and a secondary conformation with a 3:1 ratio. While the secondary conformation is invisible in 2D experiments performed on the backbone, the sensitivity of the methyl experiments, can explain its visibility in the 2D-HMQC experiment.
Figure 4.1  

A) 2D [$^1$H, $^{13}$C] HMQC spectrum of a sample of 700 µM [U-$^2$H, $^{15}$N], [Ileu-$^2$HC$_{\gamma}$-$^{13}$C$_{\delta}$], [Leu-$^{13}$C$_{\gamma}$], [Val-$^{13}$C$_{\gamma}$], [Met-$^{13}$C$_{\varepsilon}$] BamA-OmpXB7B8 G433A. B) Depiction of the methyl groups from Methionine, Leucine, Valine and Isoleucine residues. 

C) Thirteen peaks are expected from the methyl side-chains of isoleucines, at a high contour level, a set of peak corresponding to this number is visible. D) At a lower contour level, a second set of peak appear. The two sets represent two conformation of BamA which are only detected in the methyl side-chain experiment, due to its sensitivity. The [$^1$H, $^{15}$N] TROSY-HSQC spectrum recorded afterwards on the same sample was exactly the same as the reference spectrum used for assignment, showing only one conformation.

Labeled methyl groups that are close in space yield a crosspeak in the 3D [$^1$H, $^1$H, $^{13}$C]-HMOC NOESY experiment. Combined with the structural information, this method will assist assignment of the sidechains. Because we know which methyl groups are neighbors from the crystal structure, we can reconstitute the interaction map and analyze the crosspeak network to establish the identity of the methyl groups and assign them. Another possible strategy for the assignment of the methyl sidechains, is to transfer the magnetization from the $^{13}$C$_{\alpha}$ to the $^{13}$C sidechains using an HCCCH-COSY experiment (Bax et al., 1990). This method requires a specifically labeled sample where the entire side-chain is $^{13}$C labeled.
Figure 4.2 Strips from the 3D [$^1$H, $^1$H, $^{13}$C]-HMQC NOESY experiment recorded on a sample of 700 µM [U-$^2$H, $^{15}$N], [Ileu-$^2$HC$_\gamma$-$^{13}$C$_\delta$], [Leu-$^{13}$C$_\gamma$], [Val-$^{13}$C$_\gamma$], [Met-$^{13}$C$_\varepsilon$] BamA-OmpX B7B8 G433A. Two peaks are visible for the isoleucine δ-methyl, and four peaks for the leucine γ-methyl. Each of the isoleucine peaks correlate to two of the leucine peaks.
Interaction studies between BamA and nanobodies

A set of single-domain antibodies targeted against BamA, also known as nanobodies, were developed by Michael Zahn and collaborators. The interaction between those nanoparticles and the BamA β-barrel was studied with liquid-state NMR spectroscopy by titrating the wild-type as well as the stabilized BamA-OmpxB7B8 G433A protein with different nanobodies. The titration of BamA-OmpxB7B8 G433A with the nanobody F7 led to noticeable disappearing residues and important chemical shift differences for assigned residues of the extra-cellular loop regions (Figure 4.3 A, B). Interestingly as well, the titration of the wild-type BamA β-barrel with the nanobody F7 triggered the apparition of new peaks as well as many chemical shift differences across the spectrum (Figure 4.3 C). Then, Michael Zahn performed the crystallization of the complexes between the wild-type BamA β-barrel and three of those nanobodies (Figure 4.4). As expected, the solved structures displayed interactions between the extracellular loops of the BamA β-barrel and the single-domain antibodies (Figure 4.3 A, Figure 4.4). The crystal structure of BamA with F7 only displayed a single conformer, but the NMR spectra shows that F7 seems to stabilize the BamA β-barrel, in a comparable manner as the C-terminal addition of OmpX residues. Because the importance of the extracellular residues of BamA β-barrel to its dynamics are known, it is not surprising to observed that the binding of the nanobody F7 alters the conformational ensemble populated by the BamA β-barrel.

The structures of the BamA β-barrel with nanobody F7 (Figure 4.4 A) and B12 (Figure 4.4 B) are similar, as the nanobodies bind on the same side, involving loops 4, 5 and 6. However, the structure of the BamA β-barrel with the nanobody E6 shows an interaction involving only the loop 4 (Figure 4.4 C).
Figure 4.3 A) Structure of BamA β-barrel (orange) with the nanobody F7 (cyan). Assigned residues with the highest chemical shift difference, or that disappeared upon titration of BamA-Ompx B7B8 G433A with the nanobody F7, are mapped in blue. B) Portions of overlapped [¹H, ¹⁵N] TROSY-HSQC of [U-²H, ¹⁵N] BamA-Ompx B7B8 G433A in black, with equimolar amount of nanobody F7 in green. C) [¹⁵N, ¹H]-TROSY-HSQC of [U-²H, ¹⁵N] BamA β-barrel (black) with equimolar amount of nanobody F7 (blue).
Figure 4.4 Structures of BamA β-barrel with various nanobodies. A) Nanobody F7 (blue). B) Nanobody B12 (pink). C) Nanobody E6 (green).
Attempts at forming a folded BamA-OMP hybrid

Additionally to the BamA-OmpX B7B8 hybrid protein, several constructs were prepared where the BamA β-barrel was extended with four (BamA-OmpX B5B8), six (BamA-OmpX B3B8), and eight hairpins of Ompx (BamA-OmpX B1B8) (Figure 4.5) in order to test the possibility of forming a hybrid barrel observable by NMR spectroscopy. The NMR spectra of BamA-OmpX B5B8 and BamA-OmpXB3B8 showed resonances in the 8 ppm region, where are localized the chemical shifts of the residues from unstructured regions. However, the number of residues visible in those spectra does not match the number of residues expected for the unfolded protein (468 residues for BamA-OmpX B5B8, 509 residues for BamA-OmpX B3B8). Therefore, it is not to exclude, that most of the residues of those constructs are in intermediate exchange conformational state, and not visible for HSQC experiments. The spectrum of the BamA-OmpX B1B8 protein displays a fully folded and stable OmpX protein (Figure 4.5 C, D), which shows that the OmpX β-barrel is capable of forming, despite having the full BamA β-barrel residues tethered at its N-terminus. However the state of the BamA-β barrel within this construct is not known.

Two construct encompassing the BamA β-barrel C-terminally extended with one hairpin from the outer membrane protein LamB were prepared. One with two cysteines in the BamA β-barrel and LamB portions that could hypothetically be in contact in case of the formation of a hybrid barrel (Figure 4.6 A), and one without. The objective was to increase the stability, and therefore the observability of such a construct in the timescale of measurement by NMR spectroscopy. After catalysis of the cysteine-bond formation using H₂O₂, the double-mutant was purified with IEX chromatography and SEC and measured with nano differential scanning fluorimetry (nanoDSF) in the same buffer condition and concentration that were used for the following NMR experiment. The protein transition mid-point temperature (Tₘ) was measured at 66°C and the scattering curve indicated that the protein starts aggregating at 63.7°C (Figure 4.6 B, C). Both variants were measured by NMR spectroscopy (Figure 4.6 D). The spectra showed that resonances were mainly located in the 8 ppm region. While it is still required to measure whether the cysteine bond properly formed, the spectrum acquired by NMR spectroscopy at 37°C does not correspond to an aggregated or unfolded protein according to the nanoDSF experiment. Because the aggregation process starts at 63.7 °C and the protein is folded at 37 °C in this buffer condition it is then likely that most peaks of those hybrid constructs are invisible in the spectrum as a result of the peaks being in the intermediate exchange regime.
Another hybrid construct was prepared, where the BamA β-barrel was C-terminally extended with one hairpin from the outer membrane protein PhoE (BamA-PhoE B15B16. When measured by NMR spectroscopy, as compared to the BamA-OmpX B7B8 construct, the spectra missed resonances and had broader lines (Figure 4.7). However the spectrum was well dispersed and of much higher quality than the one of BamA-LamB B17B18.

**Figure 4.5** A) 2D-[\(^{15}\)N, \(^1\)H]-TROSY-HSQC of [\(^2\)H, \(^{15}\)N] BamA-OmpX B5B8. B) 2D-[\(^{15}\)N, \(^1\)H]-TROSY-HSQC of [\(^2\)H, \(^{15}\)N] BamA-OmpX B3B8. C) 2D-[\(^{15}\)N, \(^1\)H]-TROSY-HSQC of [\(^2\)H, \(^{15}\)N] BamA-OmpX B1B8. D) 2D-[\(^{15}\)N, \(^1\)H]-TROSY-HSQC of [\(^2\)H, \(^{15}\)N] BamA-OmpX B1B8 (purple), 2D-[\(^{15}\)N, \(^1\)H]-TROSY-HSQC of 100 µM [\(^2\)H, \(^{15}\)N] OmpX (black). Samples of BamA-hybrids at 500 µM measured at 37°C in buffer 20 mM NaPi pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% LDAO. The spectrum of OmpX is a courtesy of Parthasarathi Rath.
Figure 4.6 A) Scheme of the gate region from the BamA-LamB B17B18 hybrid construct. Two constructs were prepared, one without mutations, and one with mutations G431C and E868C (in yellow). B-C) NanoDSF experiment measured on the BamA-LamB B17B18 G431C, E868C construct in the oxidized form. B) Intrinsic fluorescence ratio (350 nm/330 nm) over a temperature gradient. The first derivative shows the transition mid-point temperature (Tm) at which half of the protein population is unfolded. C) Scattering curve of the NanoDSF experiment. D) 2D-[15N, 1H]-TROSY-HSQC of [U-2H, 15N] BamA-OmpX-LamB B17B18 at 190 µM in red and 2D-[15N, 1H]-TROSY-HSQC of [U-2H, 15N] BamA-LamB B17B18 G431C E868C in the oxidized form at 320 µM in blue. Samples measured at 37°C in buffer 20 mM NaPi pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% LDAO.
5.3 Discussion

The methyl side-chain assignment strategy will allow to study the BamA protein, within its complex, by NMR spectroscopy. However it will be required to obtain a sample which only populate a single visible molecular conformation in solution. The NOESY/HMQC approach could be completed using COSY-type schemes to transfer magnetization from the $^{13}$C$_{\alpha}$ to the sidechains.

The NMR titration of the stabilized BamA with nanobody F7, revealed a binding site on the extracellular loops of BamA. Additionally, large differences were noticed in the spectra of the wild-type BamA in the presence and absence of nanobody F7. It is likely to think that the conformational ensemble populated by BamA was rearranged upon binding to the nanobody F7, which suggest the importance of the extracellular loops on the dynamics of the BamA $\beta$-barrel. The binding sites observed with NMR spectroscopy were confirmed in the crystal structures of BamA $\beta$-barrel with various nanobodies showing interactions with the extra cellular loops of the
BamA β-barrel. This approach exemplifies how NMR spectroscopy can be used to discover the molecular mechanisms of binding of potential antibiotics with BamA.

The attempts at measuring a stable hybrid protein between the BamA β-barrel and hairpins from OmpX, LamB and PhoE by NMR did not succeed, however we noticed great differences in the quality of the NMR spectra obtained depending on the length, and sequence of the C-terminal extension. While, one hairpin from OmpX helped to stabilized the barrel (Chapter 2), the addition of subsequent hairpins, yielded spectra of poor quality, presumably due to the destabilization of the protein. The addition of a hairpin from PhoE, did not permit to reach the same spectral quality as for the BamA-OmpX B7B8 construct, but did not destabilized the BamA β-barrel further. Extending the BamA β-barrel with a hairpin from LamB, yielded a low quality spectrum. The BamA-LamB B15B16 construct was mutated to attempt its stabilization by forming a cysteine bond between the first strand of BamA and the last strand of LamB. However, the quality of the NMR spectra did not improve. Measuring this construct with nanoDSF, the protein was found to be folded and non-aggregated, indicating that the low number of peaks visible in the spectrum are likely to be caused by the protein dynamics, sampling a range of conformations. It is reasonable to think that possible hybrid proteins formed in vivo between BamA and its substrates are not stable assemblies because there is no energy source in the periplasm to trigger the separation of the BamA/substrate hybrid. It is thinkable that the constructs measured by solution NMR are indeed sampling a dynamic conformational range that include hybrid barrels, but other strategies and methods will have to establish their existence and measure their properties.

5.4 Material and methods

Expression and purification

The 3D-HHN NOESY/3D-NOESY HMQC sample of BamA-OmpX B7B8 with the G433A mutation was expressed in 1 L of perdeuterated M9 minimal media supplemented with 2 g of $^2$H, $^{12}$C glucose and 1 g of $^{15}$N NH$_4$Cl. The precursors for the $^{13}$C labeling of the methyl side-chains of ILVM were added 1 H prior to induction: 100 mg of 2-keto-3-(methyl $^{13}$C)-butyric-$^{13}$C for valine/leucine side-chain NOESY-type labeling, 100 mg of methyl $^{13}$C alpha-ketobutyric acid for isoleucine side-chain NOESY-type labeling and 100 mg of $^{13}$C CH$_3$-methionine. Refolding and following purification steps were performed in deuterated LDAO detergent with the same protocol as the Material and Methods section of Chapter 3. The final NMR buffer contained deuterated LDAO. BamA-LamB B17B18 G431C E868C was expressed and purified in the same manner as
in Chapter 3, but in the presence of 10 mM DTT during refolding. After refolding the DTT was dialyzed out and 10 mM of H$_2$O$_2$ was used to catalyze the formation of the cysteine bond. Other samples of BamA were prepared with the same protocol as in Chapter 3.

**NMR Spectroscopy**

The 2D-HMQC experiment of the 700 µM [U-$^2$H, $^{15}$N], [Ileu-$^2$HC$_\gamma$-$^{13}$C$_\delta$], [Leu-$^{13}$C$_\gamma$], [Val-$^{13}$C$_\gamma$], [Met-$^{13}$C$_\varepsilon$] sample of BamA-OmpXB7B8 G433A was recorded in the NMR buffer at 37 °C on a 900 MHz spectrometer with 4 scans. The transmitter frequency offset was set on 4.72 ppm for the proton and 17 ppm for the $^{13}$C with spectral widths of 13.7 ppm and 24 ppm for the first proton dimension and $^{13}$C respectively. The experiment was recorded with 2048, 184 and 300 points for the $^1$H, $^{13}$C and $^1$H. The interscan delay was set to 0.8 s. The 3D-NOESY HMQC experiment of the 700 µM [U-$^2$H, $^{15}$N], [Ileu-$^2$HC$_\gamma$-$^{13}$C$_\delta$], [Leu-$^{13}$C$_\gamma$], [Val-$^{13}$C$_\gamma$], [Met-$^{13}$C$_\varepsilon$] sample of BamA-OmpXB7B8 G433A in the NMR buffer was recorded at 37 °C on a 900 MHz spectrometer with 8 scans. The transmitter frequency offset was set on 4.72 ppm for the proton and 19 ppm for the $^{13}$C with spectral widths of 13.7 ppm, 18 ppm and 13.7 ppm for the first proton dimension, $^{13}$C dimension and second proton dimension respectively. The experiment was recorded with 2048, 184 and 300 points for the $^1$H, $^{13}$C and $^1$H. The interscan delay was set to 0.75 s. The NOE mixing time was set to 250 ms. The 100 µM sample of [U-$^2$H, $^{15}$N]-BamA-PhoE B15B16 in buffer 20 mM NaPi pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1 % LDAO was recorded at 30 °C with a 2D [$^1$H,$^{15}$N]-TROSY HSQC experiment on a 700 MHz spectrometer with 32 scans. The transmitter frequency offset was set on 4.74 ppm for the proton and 117 ppm for the $^{15}$N with spectral widths of 16 ppm and 32 ppm respectively and recorded with 256 points for the indirect dimension and 2048 points for the direct dimension. The interscan delay was set to 1 s. The 500 µM samples of [U-$^2$H, $^{15}$N]-BamA-OmpXB5B8, [U-$^2$H, $^{15}$N]-BamA-OmpXB3B8 and [U-$^2$H, $^{15}$N]-BamA-OmpXB1B8 in buffer 20 mM NaPi pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1 % LDAO were recorded at 37 °C with a 2D [$^1$H,$^{15}$N]-TROSY HSQC experiment on a 700 MHz spectrometer with 128 scans. The transmitter frequency offset was set on 4.72 ppm for the proton and 117 ppm for the $^{15}$N with spectral widths of 16 ppm and 32 ppm respectively and recorded with 256 points for the indirect dimension and 2048 points for the direct dimension. The interscan delay was set to 1 s. The 190 µM sample of [2$^H$, $^{15}$N] BamA-OmpX-LamB B17B18 in buffer 20 mM NaPi pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1 % LDAO was recorded at 30 °C with a 2D [$^1$H,$^{15}$N]-TROSY HSQC experiment on a 700 MHz spectrometer with 192 scans. The transmitter frequency offset was set on 4.72 ppm for the proton and 117 ppm for the $^{15}$N with spectral widths of 16 ppm and 32 ppm respectively and recorded with 256 points for the indirect dimension and 2048 points for the direct dimension. The interscan delay was set to 1 s. The 320
μM sample of the oxidized [U-²H, ¹⁵N] BamA-OmpX-LamB B17B18 G431C E868C in buffer 20 mM NaPi pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1 % LDAO was recorded at 37 °C with a 2D [¹H,¹⁵N]-TROSY HSQC experiment on a 600 MHz spectrometer with 120 scans. The transmitter frequency offset was set on 4.72 ppm for the proton and 117 ppm for the ¹⁵N with spectral widths of 16 ppm and 32 ppm respectively and recorded with 256 points for the indirect dimension and 2048 points for the direct dimension. The interscan delay was set to 1 s.

Michael Zahn performed the titration of BamA β-barrel and BamA-OmpX B7B8 G433A with the nanobody F7. The chemical shift difference Δδ_NH between the peaks of the apo and bound form of BamA was calculated using the following formula (Goldflam et al., 2012):

$$
\Delta \delta_{NH} = \left( \sqrt{\Delta \delta_H^2 + \left( \frac{\Delta \delta_N}{5} \right)^2} \right)
$$

Where δ_H is the proton chemical shift, δ_N the nitrogen chemical shift.

The OmpX spectrum was nicely provided by Parthasarathi Rath.

All the NMR samples contained 5 % D₂O. All spectrometers were equipped with a cryo-probe. All experiments were processed with TopSpin (Bruker BioSpin) and analyzed with CARA. (Keller, Rochus, 2004).

**Crystallization**

Michael Zahn performed the crystallization of the BamA β-barrel with the nanobodies F7, E6, B12. The protein buffer was exchanged by gel-filtration into 20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% LDAO and 0.35% C₈E₄. For BamA β-barrel + F7, crystals in the spacegroup P2₁2₁2₁ were grown in 22 % (w/v) PEG 400, 0.07 M NaCl and 0.05 M sodium citrate pH 4.5. For BamA β-barrel + E6, crystals in the spacegroup I2 were grown in 22 % (w/v) PEG 400, 0.1 M MgCl₂ and 0.1 M Tris pH 7.5. For BamA β-barrel + B12, crystals in the spacegroup P12₁ were grown in 32 % (w/v) PEG 400, 0.2 M (NH₄)H₂PO₄, 0.1 M (NH₄)₂SO₄ and 0.1 M Na Citrate pH 4.5. Crystals were directly flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. A data set was collected at beamline X06DA (PXIII) at the Swiss Light Source (SLS, Paul Scherer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland). Phases were obtained by molecular replacement.
**NanoDSF**

The oxidized form of the BamA-OmpX-LamB B17B18 G431C E868C protein at 300 µM in buffer 20 mM NaPi pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% LDAO was measured with a nanoTemper Prometheus instrument. The intrinsic fluorescence and scattering were measured at 330 nm and 350 nm over a temperature gradient of 15-90°C.
Appendix

List of protein sequences

1. **BamB (21-392)**, no signal-sequence with thrombine cleavable Nterm hexa His-Tag, plasmid pET 15b:

   MGSSHHHHHHSSGLVPRGSMSLFNSEEDEVKMSPLPTVENQFPTPTTAWSTSVGSGIGNFYSNLHPALADNVYVAADRLKLVKNADDGEIKWSVSLAEKDGWFSKPEALLSGGVTSGGHVY1GSEKAQVYALNTSDGTAVWQTKVAGEALSRPVSDGLVLHTSNQLQLAENADGAVKWTNLDMPSSLRGESAPTAFGAAVGGDNGRVSALMEQGQMIWQRISQATGSTEIDRLSDVTTTVNGVVFALAYNGLTALDLRSGQIMWKKRELGSVNDFIDGNRIYLDQNDVMAVTIDGVTLWTQSDLLHRLLTSPVLYNGNLVVGDEYGLHWINVEDGRFVAQQKVDSSGFQTEPVAA

2. **BamC (26-344)**, no signal-sequence with thrombine cleavable N-terminal hexa histidine tag, plasmid pET15b:

   MGSSHHHHHHSSGLVPRGSHMSSDRYKRQVSGDEAYLEAAPLAEHLHAPAGMLPVTSGDYAIPVTNSGAVGKALIRPPAPQQPLAVSGARTQFTGTASLLVENGRGWNTLWPQTVSVLQAKNYTITQRDAGQTTLTTDVQWNRLDEDEQRYGQYISVQPGYQVAVTKVLLNLEGAGKPVAD

3. **BamD (29-245)**, no signal-sequence with thrombine cleavable N-terminal hexa histidine tag, plasmid pET15b:

   MKGSSHHHHHHSSGLVPRGTMNDNPPNEYATAQKVLQDGNWRQAITEQOLEALDNRYPFGPYSQQVQLDIYAYNKADLPLAIAIDFIRFLNPTPHYVYMRLTGMLDASALQFQGVD

4. **BamE (21-113)**, no signal-sequence with thrombine cleavable N-terminal hexa histidine tag, plasmid pET15b:

   MGSSHHHHHHSSGLVPRGSHMSTLERVYRDPINQGYLTANDVSIRVGMPTQQVAYALGTPMSDPFGTNTWFYFQQPGHEVQPTQTLTTFNSSGVLTNIDKPALSGN

5. **BamC (26-217)-BamD(29-245)** hybrid construct with a linker, without signal sequence, plasmid pET15b:

   MKGSSHHHHHHSSGLVPRGSAASSDRYKRQVSGDEAYLEAAPLAEHLHAPAGMLPVTSGDYAI

   PTVNGASGVLKALDIAPQQPLAVSGARTQFTGTASLLVENGRGWNTLWPQTVSVLQAKNYTITQRDAGQTTLTTDVQWNRLDEDEQRYGQYISVQPGYQVAVTKVLLNLEGAGKPVADAA
6. **BamA β-barrel (421-810) C690S_C700S** with TEV cleavable N-terminal hexa histidine tag, plasmid pET15b (established by Leonor Morgado):

MGSSHHHHHHSSGENLYFQHMRNTGSFNFGIGYGTESEGVSFGQAGVQQDNWLGTYGAVING
TKNDYQTYAEVLTNPYTFVDGVSLGGRFLYNDQADDADLSDYTKSYGTVDTLGFPINEYN
SLRGLGYVHNSLNMOPQVAMWRYLGYMGEHPSTSDQDSDKEDFTFYNTYWNKLDRG
YFPTDGSRVNLTGKVTPGSDNEYKVTLDATYVPIDDHHKWWLGRTRWGYGDLGGKEM
PFYENFYAGGSSTVRGFQNSNTIGPKAVYFPHQASNYPDYDYESATQDGAKDLSKDDAVGG
NAMAVASLETPTFPFISDKYANSVRTSFFDWDMGTVWDTNWDSSQYSGYPDYSDNSRMSA
GIALQWMSPLGVLIFSQAQPDKKYDGDKAEQFQFNGKTW


MGSSHHHHHHSSGENLYFQHMRNTGSFNFGIGYGTESEGVSFGQAGVQQDNWLGTYGAVING
TKNDYQTYAEVLTNPYTFVDGVSLGGRFLYNDQADDADLSDYTKSYGTVDTLGFPINEYN
SLRGLGYVHNSLNMOPQVAMWRYLGYMGEHPSTSDQDSDKEDFTFYNTYWNKLDRG
YFPTDGSRVNLTGKVTPGSDNEYKVTLDATYVPIDDHHKWWLGRTRWGYGDLGGKEM
PFYENFYAGGSSTVRGFQNSNTIGPKAVYFPHQASNYPDYDYESATQDGAKDLSKDDAVGG
NAMAVASLETPTFPFISDKYANSVRTSFFDWDMGTVWDTNWDSSQYSGYPDYSDNSRMSA
GIALQWMSPLGVLIFSQAQPDKKYDGDKAEQFQFNGKTW

8. **BamA β-barrel (421-810) C690S_C700S + LamB B17-B18 (378-446)** with N-terminal TEV cleavable hexa histidine tag, plasmid pET15b (established by Leonor Morgado):

MGSSHHHHHHSSGENLYFQHMRNTGSFNFGIGYGTESEGVSFGQAGVQQDNWLGTYGAVING
TKNDYQTYAEVLTNPYTFVDGVSLGGRFLYNDQADDADLSDYTKSYGTVDTLGFPINEYN
SLRGLGYVHNSLNMOPQVAMWRYLGYMGEHPSTSDQDSDKEDFTFYNTYWNKLDRG
YFPTDGSRVNLTGKVTPGSDNEYKVTLDATYVPIDDHHKWWLGRTRWGYGDLGGKEM
PFYENFYAGGSSTVRGFQNSNTIGPKAVYFPHQASNYPDYDYESATQDGAKDLSKDDAVGG
NAMAVASLETPTFPFISDKYANSVRTSFFDWDMGTVWDTNWDSSQYSGYPDYSDNSRMSA
GIALQWMSPLGVLIFSQAQPDKKYDGDKAEQFQFNGKTWAGDSIWSRPAIRVFATYAKWDEKWGYDYGNGNANDNNANFGKAVAPDFNGGSFGRGDSDEWTFAQMEIWW
9. **BamA β-barrel (421-810) C690S_C700S G431C E868C + LamB B17-B18 (378-446) with N-terminal TEV cleavable hexa histidine tag, plasmid pET15b:**

MGSSHHHHHSSGENLYFQHMRNTGSFNFGICGYTGESGVSFQAGVQDNWNLGTGYAVGINGTKNDYQTAYAELSVTNPYFTVGVSLGRLFYNDQADDALDSYTNKSYGTDVTLGFPIEYNSLRAGLYHNSMNQPQVAMWRYLSEMGEHPSTSDQDNSFKTDDFTNFGWTYNKLDRGYPFTDGSVNLTKGVTIPGDSNEYYKVTLTDATYVIPIDDDHKWVVLGRTWYGDGDLGGKEMPFYENFYAGGSSSTVRFGQSNTIGPKAVYFPHQASONYDPDYDESEATQDGAKDLSDKSDDAVGGNAMAVASLEFIPTFPFISDKYANSVRTSFFWDMTGVTWDTNWDSQYGYPDDPSNIRMSEIALQWMSPLGLVFSAQPFKDYGDGKAEOFQFNNIGKTWAGDISWSRPAIRVFACTYAKWDEKWGYDYGTNADNNANFGKAVPADFNGGSFGRGDSCTWFGAQMEIWW

10. **BamA β-barrel (421-810) C690S_C700S + OmpX B7-B8 (141-171) with N-terminal TEV cleavable hexa histidine tag, plasmid pET15b (established by Leonor Morgado):**

MGSSHHHHHSSGENLYFQHMRNTGSFNFGICGYTGESGVSFQAGVQDNWNLGTGYAVGINGTKNDYQTAYAELSVTNPYFTVGVSLGRLFYNDQADDALDSYTNKSYGTDVTLGFPIEYNSLRAGLYHNSMNQPQVAMWRYLSEMGEHPSTSDQDNSFKTDDFTNFGWTYNKLDRGYPFTDGSVNLTKGVTIPGDSNEYYKVTLTDATYVIPIDDDHKWVVLGRTWYGDGDLGGKEMPFYENFYAGGSSSTVRFGQSNTIGPKAVYFPHQASONYDPDYDESEATQDGAKDLSDKSDDAVGGNAMAVASLEFIPTFPFISDKYANSVRTSFFWDMTGVTWDTNWDSQYGYPDDPSNIRMSEIALQWMSPLGLVFSAQPFKDYGDGKAEOFQFNNIGKTWAGDISWSRPAIRVFACTYAKWDEKWGYDYGTNADNNANFGKAVPADFNGGSFGRGDSCTWFGAQMEIWW

11. **BamA β-barrel (421-810) C690S_C700S G433A + OmpX B7-B8 (141-171) with N-terminal TEV cleavable hexa histidine tag, plasmid pET15b:**

MGSSHHHHHSSGENLYFQHMRNTGSFNFGICGYTGESGVSFQAGVQDNWNLGTGYAVGINGTKNDYQTAYAELSVTNPYFTVGVSLGRLFYNDQADDALDSYTNKSYGTDVTLGFPIEYNSLRAGLYHNSMNQPQVAMWRYLSEMGEHPSTSDQDNSFKTDDFTNFGWTYNKLDRGYPFTDGSVNLTKGVTIPGDSNEYYKVTLTDATYVIPIDDDHKWVVLGRTWYGDGDLGGKEMPFYENFYAGGSSSTVRFGQSNTIGPKAVYFPHQASONYDPDYDESEATQDGAKDLSDKSDDAVGGNAMAVASLEFIPTFPFISDKYANSVRTSFFWDMTGVTWDTNWDSQYGYPDDPSNIRMSEIALQWMSPLGLVFSAQPFKDYGDGKAEOFQFNNIGKTWAGDISWSRPAIRVFACTYAKWDEKWGYDYGTNADNNANFGKAVPADFNGGSFGRGDSCTWFGAQMEIWW

12. **BamA-POTRA3-5 (174-810) C690S_C700S + OmpX B7-B8 (141-171), plasmid pET15b:**

MKGSSHHHHHSSGLVPRGSHSAMAEIQINIVNGHAFTTDELISHFQLRDEPVWWNVGDRKYQKQKLADLTELRSYYLDRGYARFNISTDQVSLTPDGBKGIYVTNITEGDQYKLSGVEVSGLNAGHSAIEEOLTKIEPGEYNTKVTMEDIKLGLGKYAYPRQSMPEINADTKVLRNV:DAGNRFYVIRKIFNIGHTDSKADLVRREMRQMEGWALGSGLVDFQGKERLNRFFTFDVTDQVRPGSPDQVDVVYKYKVERNTGSFNFGICGYTGESGVSFQAGVQDNWNLGTGYAVGINGTKNDYQTAYAELSVTNPYFTVGVSLGRLFYNDQADDALDSYTNKSYGTDVTLGFPIEYNSLRAGLYHNSMNQPQVAMW
13. **BamA β-barrel (421-810) C690S_C700S** + **OmpX B7-B8 (139-171)**, plasmid pET15b:

MGSSHHHHHHSSGENLYFQHM**RTGSGNFGIGYGTEGVSQAGVQODNWLGTYGAVING TKNDYQTYAEELSVTNYPTVDGVQLGLRGLFNYDQADDADLSYDNTKSYGDVTQLGFPIINEYN SRLAGLYHNSLNNMQPVAMWRYLSMGEPSTDQDNSFKTDFTNYGWYTKLD**

**TPDGSRVNLTKVTIPGDNEYKTVLTDATYVPIDDHKWVVLGRTRWWYGDGLGKEMP**

**FYAGGSSTVRGFQSNLTIPKAVYFQASNYVDYFYESATQDGAKDLKSDDAV**

**GAMAVASLEFITTPFISDKYANSVRTPFMDTGTVTDWNSQSYGP**

**DYDPSNIRM**

**SGIALQWMSPGPLVFSYAQP**

**KDDKAEQFQFIN**

**KTMW**

**ENVALDSYEQSR**

**RSVDVG**

**TWIGV**

**GYRF**

14. **BamA β-barrel (421-810) C690S_C700S** + **OmpX B5-B8 (95-171)**, plasmid pET15b:

MGSSHHHHHHSSGENLYFQHM**RTGSGNFGIGYGTEGVSQAGVQODNWLGTYGAVING TKNDYQTYAEELSVTNYPTVDGVQLGLRGLFNYDQADDADLSYDNTKSYGDVTQLGFPIINEYN SRLAGLYHNSLNNMQPVAMWRYLSMGEPSTDQDNSFKTDFTNYGWYTKLD**

**TPDGSRVNLTKVTIPGDNEYKTVLTDATYVPIDDHKWVVLGRTRWWYGDGLGKEMP**

**FYAGGSSTVRGFQSNLTIPKAVYFQASNYVDYFYESATQDGAKDLKSDDAV**

**GAMAVASLEFITTPFISDKYANSVRTPFMDTGTVTDWNSQSYGP**

**DYDPSNIRM**

**SGIALQWMSPGPLVFSYAQP**

**KDDKAEQFQFIN**

**KTMWINWASYG**

**GYGVGYKFQTT**

**YPTYKHDTSYGF**

**GYAGLQF**

**NPMENVALDSYEQSR**

**RSVDVG**

**TWIGV**

**GYRF**

15. **BamA β-barrel (421-810) C690S_C700S** + **OmpX B3-B8 (54-171)**, plasmid pET15b:

MGSSHHHHHHSSGENLYFQHM**RTGSGNFGIGYGTEGVSQAGVQODNWLGTYGAVING TKNDYQTYAEELSVTNYPTVDGVQLGLRGLFNYDQADDADLSYDNTKSYGDVTQLGFPIINEYN SRLAGLYHNSLNNMQPVAMWRYLSMGEPSTDQDNSFKTDFTNYGWYTKLD**

**TPDGSRVNLTKVTIPGDNEYKTVLTDATYVPIDDHKWVVLGRTRWWYGDGLGKEMP**

**FYAGGSSTVRGFQSNLTIPKAVYFQASNYVDYFYESATQDGAKDLKSDDAV**

**GAMAVASLEFITTPFISDKYANSVRTPFMDTGTVTDWNSQSYGP**

**DYDPSNIRM**

**SGIALQWMSPGPLVFSYAQP**

**KDDKAEQFQFIN**

**KTMWINWASYG**

**GYGVGYKFQTT**

**YPTYKHDTSYGF**

**GYAGLQF**

**NPMENVALDSYEQSR**

**RSVDVG**

**TWIGV**

**GYRF**
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16. **BamA β-barrel (421-810) C690S_C700S** + **OmpX B1-B8 (24-171)**, plasmid pET15b:

MGSSHHHHHHSSGENLYFQHMRNTGSFNFGIGYGTESGVSFGAQVQDQDNLGTGYAVGINGTKNDYQTYAEVSNTYPYTFVGVSGLRGFLYNDFOADADLSYTYNKSYGTVDLTGFIPINEYNSLRAGLYVHNSLMQPVAVAMWRYLSMGEHPSTSDQDSFKTDDFTNYGWYTNKLRQYFPTDGSRVLNGKVTIPGDSNNEYYKVTLTDATYVPIDDDHKWWGLGRTRWYGDGGLGGKEMPFYENFYAGGSSTVRGFQSNITGKVAKVYFPHQASNYDPDYDEYSATQDGAKLSDDAVGNAMAUSLEFIPPFISDKYANSVRTSFWDGMVWDNTNDDQYSYGPDYSDNSIRMASAGIALQWMSPLGRLVFSYAQPFFKDYDKAEQFGFQFNGIYTW

17. **BamA β-barrel (421-810) C690S_C700S** + **OmpX B7-B8 (141-144)** with N-terminal TEV cleavable hexa histidine tag, plasmid pET15b:

MGSSHHHHHHSSGENLYFQHMRNTGSFNFGIGYGTESGVSFGAQVQDQDNLGTGYAVGINGTKNDYQTYAEVSNTYPYTFVGVSGLRGFLYNDFOADADLSYTYNKSYGTVDLTGFIPINEYNSLRAGLYVHNSLMQPVAVAMWRYLSMGEHPSTSDQDSFKTDDFTNYGWYTNKLRQYFPTDGSRVLNGKVTIPGDSNNEYYKVTLTDATYVPIDDDHKWWGLGRTRWYGDGGLGGKEMPFYENFYAGGSSTVRGFQSNITGKVAKVYFPHQASNYDPDYDEYSATQDGAKLSDDAVGNAMAUSLEFIPPFISDKYANSVRTSFWDGMVWDNTNDDQYSYGPDYSDNSIRMASAGIALQWMSPLGRLVFSYAQPFFKDYDKAEQFGFQFNGIYTW

18. **BamA β-barrel (421-810) C690S_C700S** + **OmpX B7-B8 (141-149)** with N-terminal TEV cleavable hexa histidine tag, plasmid pET15b:

MGSSHHHHHHSSGENLYFQHMRNTGSFNFGIGYGTESGVSFGAQVQDQDNLGTGYAVGINGTKNDYQTYAEVSNTYPYTFVGVSGLRGFLYNDFOADADLSYTYNKSYGTVDLTGFIPINEYNSLRAGLYVHNSLMQPVAVAMWRYLSMGEHPSTSDQDSFKTDDFTNYGWYTNKLRQYFPTDGSRVLNGKVTIPGDSNNEYYKVTLTDATYVPIDDDHKWWGLGRTRWYGDGGLGGKEMPFYENFYAGGSSTVRGFQSNITGKVAKVYFPHQASNYDPDYDEYSATQDGAKLSDDAVGNAMAUSLEFIPPFISDKYANSVRTSFWDGMVWDNTNDDQYSYGPDYSDNSIRMASAGIALQWMSPLGRLVFSYAQPFFKDYDKAEQFGFQFNGIYTW

19. **BamA β-barrel (421-810) C690S_C700S** + **OmpX B7-B8 (141-154)** with N-terminal TEV cleavable hexa histidine tag, plasmid pET15b:

MGSSHHHHHHSSGENLYFQHMRNTGSFNFGIGYGTESGVSFGAQVQDQDNLGTGYAVGINGTKNDYQTYAEVSNTYPYTFVGVSGLRGFLYNDFOADADLSYTYNKSYGTVDLTGFIPINEYNSLRAGLYVHNSLMQPVAVAMWRYLSMGEHPSTSDQDSFKTDDFTNYGWYTNKLRQYFPTDGSRVLNGKVTIPGDSNNEYYKVTLTDATYVPIDDDHKWWGLGRTRWYGDGGLGGKEMPFYENFYAGGSSTVRGFQSNITGKVAKVYFPHQASNYDPDYDEYSATQDGAKLSDDAVGNAMAUSLEFIPPFISDKYANSVRTSFWDGMVWDNTNDDQYSYGPDYSDNSIRMASAGIALQWMSPLGRLVFSYAQPFFKDYDKAEQFGFQFNGIYTW
20. **BamA β-barrel (421-810) C690S_C700S** + **OmpX B7-B8 (141-161)** with N-terminal TEV cleavable hexa histidine tag, plasmid pET15b:

MGSSHHHHHHHHSSGGGGLVPRFQHMRNAGITGVTVPRQTQKSDAEDLSZTVKSYGTNVTLGFPQINEYNSLRAGLGYVHNSLSNMQPVAMWRYLYSMGEHPSTDQDNSFKTDDFTFNHYGWYNYKLDQRG

YFPTDGSRVNLGTKVTIPGSDNEYYKVTLDATYVPIDDHKWVLGRTRWGYGDGLGGKEM

PFYENFYAGGSSTVRGFQNSNTIGPKAVYFPHQASNYDPPYDYESATQDGAKDLKQDDAVGG

NAMAVASLEFIPTPFSSDKYANSVRTSFFWDMGTWVWTNWDSSQYSGBPYSDPSNIRMSA

GIALQWMSPLGFLVSFAQPFKYYDKDKEAEQFQFNIGKTWMENVALDFSYEQRISVDDVG
Abbreviations and symbols

Å  Angstrom
α, β  Low and high-energy state of a half spin
B  Magnetic field
BAM  β-barrel assembly machinery
C8E4  Octyl Tetraethylene Glycol Ether
COSY  Correlation-spectroscopy
CPD  Composite pulse decoupling
Cryo-EM  Cryo-electron microscopy
CSA  Chemical shift anisotropy
CSP  Chemical shift perturbation
CW  Continuous wave
d₁  Interscan delay
Da  Dalton
DCIA  7-Diethylamino-3-[4’-(iodoacetamido)phenyl]-4-methylcoumarin
DD  Dipole-dipole
DLS  Dynamic light scattering
E. Coli  Escherichia coli
FT  Fourier transformation
Gdm-HCl  Guanidinium hydrochloride
NMR  Nuclear magnetic resonance
h  Planck constant divided by 2π
HSQC  Heteronuclear single quantum coherence
HMQC  Heteronuclear multiple quantum coherence
^nJ_{Is}  Scalar coupling constant between spins I and S, separated by n covalent bonds
I, S  Nuclear spins
INEPT Insensitive nuclei enhancement by polarization transfer
IM  Inner membrane
IPTG  Isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside
\( J(\omega) \) Spectral density function at the frequency \( \omega \)

\( k \) Chemical rate constant

\( K_D \) Equilibrium dissociation constant

\( \text{LamB} \) Maltoporine membrane protein

\( \text{LB} \) Lysogeny broth medium

\( \text{LDAO} \) N,N-Dimethyldodecylamine N-oxide

\( m \) spin quantum number

\( M \) Molar concentration (mole / L)

\( M_9 \) M9 minimal media

\( \text{MES} \) 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid buffer

\( \text{MST} \) Microscale thermophoresis

\( \text{MWCO} \) Molecular weight cut-off

\( \text{NaCl} \) Sodium chloride

\( \text{nanoDSF} \) Nano differential scanning fluorimetry

\( \text{NaPi} \) Sodium phosphate buffer

\( \text{N-ter, C-ter} \) N-terminus, C-terminus

\( \text{NOE} \) Nuclear overhauser effect

\( \text{NOESY} \) Nuclear overhauser effect spectroscopy

\( \text{OD} \) Optical density

\( \text{O/N} \) Overnight

\( \text{OM} \) Outer membrane

\( \text{Omp} \) Outer membrane protein

\( \text{OmpF} \) Outer membrane protein F

\( \text{OmpG} \) Outer membrane protein G

\( \text{OmpX} \) Outer membrane protein X

\( \text{pH} \) Potential of hydrogen

\( \text{PEG} \) Polyethylene glycol

\( \text{POTRA} \) Polypeptide transport associated (domains)

\( \delta \) Chemical shift

\( \gamma \) Gyromagnetic ratio
\(\sigma\) Average shielding constant of the nucleus
\(\sigma_{IS}\) Cross-relaxation rate constant between spins I and S
PDB Protein databank
ppm Part per million
\(\nu\) Frequency (Hz)
\(\Omega\) Offset frequency
\(R_1\) Longitudinal relaxation rate constant
\(R_{1i}, R_{1s}\) Self-relaxation rate constants of spins I and S
RT Room temperature
\(R_{IS}\) Distance between two spins
S/N Signal-to-noise ratio
SEC Bacterial protein-secreting translocation complex
Skp Seventeen-kilodalton protein chaperone
SurA Survival factor A chaperone
\(T_1\) Longitudinal relaxation time constant
\(T_2\) Transversal relaxation time constant
TET Tetrahedral aminopeptidase
\(T_m\) Transition mid-point temperature
TROSY Transverse relaxation-optimized spectroscopy
\(\tau_c\) Rotational correlation time
\(\tau_m\) NOE mixing time
\(\mu\) magnetic moment
\(\omega_0\) Larmor frequency
\(n_\alpha, n_\beta\) population of \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) state
\(W\) rate constant of transition between energy levels
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