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\textbf{Abstract} Growth factors have a significant impact not only on the growth dynamics but also on the phenotype of chondrocytes (Barbero et al., J. Cell. Phys. 204, pp. 830-838, 2005). In particular, as chondrocyte populations approach confluence, the cells tend to align and form coherent patches. Starting from a mathematical model for fibroblast populations at equilibrium (Mogilner et al., Physica D 89, pp. 346-367, 1996), a dynamic continuum model with logistic growth is developed. Both linear stability analysis and numerical solutions of the time-dependent nonlinear integro-partial differential equation are used to identify the key parameters that lead to pattern formation in the model. The numerical results are compared quantitatively to experimental data by extracting statistical information on orientation, density and patch size through Gabor filters.
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\section{1 Introduction}

In recent years, therapies for damaged tissue have experienced great progress through the possibilities offered by new methods of tissue engineering \cite{23}. Often these methods offer the only possibility for tissue regeneration, as in the case of cartilage, which is known to regenerate rather slowly, unlike other wound-healing processes. Most procedures pursued in tissue engineering start with a biopsy of a few cells, which are expanded \textit{ex vivo} making use of cytokines. Those are then injected into a patient to grow tissue \textit{in vivo}. Alternatively, scaffolds are used to provide mechanical support and structure for the tissue to be grown \textit{in vitro} which is directly implanted. Those procedures may involve tissue replacement using donor tissue or autologous cells for \textit{in vitro} cell-culture expansion, in order to regenerate tissue that matches the patient’s native tissue.
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Much research has already gone into the impact of combinations of growth factors on the proliferative capacity for a range of cell types, such as pancreatic endocrine cells, neural progenitor cells, muscle-derived stem cells [6, 7, 11, 29] and chondrocytes [3–5, 21]. For muscle-derived stem cells and chondrocyte cell cultures, mathematical models that establish characteristic kinetic parameters, such as the fraction of dividing cells and mean cell division-time have been developed [4, 11]. In addition, a logistic delay-differential model for proliferating chondrocyte cells was used to further include the effect of contact inhibition of proliferating cells upon confluence [4].

Apart from the impact of growth factors on kinetic parameters, an important focus of research concerns the effect of cytokines on the phenotype of individual cells and the resulting organizational structure of the cell culture. Both will influence the mechanical properties of the engineered tissue, which in the case of cartilage, is intended to sustain tensile stresses and compressive loads, just as native tissue does [28]. Therefore, it is important to understand the underlying processes leading to the formation of large-scale patterns of an evolving cell culture. Identifying the relevant parameters that control these structures is the focus of the present study, combining experimental and mathematical methods [8].

In [4], human articular chondrocytes (AHAC) were isolated from cartilage biopsies and then cultured in the presence of a combination of growth factors. The individual cells assume a phenotype that closely resembles fibroblasts and eventually self-organize into regions of aligned cells, making up the monolayer of the cell culture at confluence [5]. This phenomenon has been observed before for various cell types. In principle there are a number of mechanisms that may control the formation of such patterns, ranging from chemical, adhesive or other mechanical gradients, see e.g. Trinkaus [31] for an early but instructive discussion in the context of morphogenesis.

Even in the absence of exterior influences, however, Elsdale [14] discovered that proliferating fetal lung fibroblasts form parallel arrays during in vitro cell expansion. Similar results were found for BHK fibroblasts in the experimental study by Erickson [15]. In [14] Elsdale argued that the intrinsic property of fibroblasts is to move, unless prevented to do so by the environment, and hence that patterns form solely due to direct cell-cell interactions to enable maximal motility. Under the assumption of contact inhibition, Erickson [15] concluded from a series of cell-cell contact experiments that if the lamellipodium of a cell in ruffling mode contacts another cell at a certain angle, the direction of motion changes depending on that part of the leading edge of the lamellipodium which made contact and where ruffling is stopped. This mechanism is employed by Erickson to explain the existence of a critical angle above which cells cease to align. This critical angle seems to differ for different cell types, e.g. about 20° for fetal lung fibroblasts and approximately 50° for BHK cells. For fibroblasts the leading edge of the lamellipodium is much narrower than for the BHK fibroblasts. Hence, except for rather narrow contact events, motion will halt (else cells may even criss-cross other cells). Moreover, similar behaviour is observed for contact events of already established arrays of aligned cells. This behaviour is eventually reflected in the resulting patterns at confluence.

Mathematical modeling of the dynamical process of array formation of aligned cells started with the work by Edelstein-Keshet and Ermentrout [13]. The continuum models derived for pattern forming cell cultures assume random spatial and orientational distributions of the cells that are attracted (repulsed) and change their direction of motion as response to cell-cell interactions. Here the cell density depends on time, two-dimensional physical space and the angle of orientation. The range of interaction
is kept small in order to model the local character of cellular interactions. Apart from terms modeling the random motion in physical and angular space, the model includes a term that describes the probability of alignment of cells as a response to cell-cell contact, which vanishes outside the range of angles known to lead to alignment. In subsequent articles the resulting system of integro-differential equations for free cells and cells already bound to an array are discussed in various limiting cases and analysed with respect to their stability about the homogeneous state [25]. Similar models were also used for other pattern forming processes such as swarming or the dynamics of actin binding fibers [9, 25, 27].

Here we extend these models by including time-dependent logistic growth to account for the later stages of in vitro chondrocyte cell expansions. In fact, one important aspect of our study is to enable a direct comparison with our experimental results in section 2.1. The analysis of the experimental results and, in particular, the classification of the cells within angular space is realized by using two-dimensional Gabor filters [10] for the experimental images and is described in section 2.3. In section 3, we present our mathematical model, followed by analysis and the description of our numerical algorithm to solve the time-dependent nonlinear integro-partial differential equation in section 4. In section 5 we investigate the stability of the solution via a linear stability analysis about the homogeneous state and compare those findings to the results of the full nonlinear model. Finally, quantitative comparisons with experimental data are performed in section 6.

2 Biological background

2.1 The impact of growth factors

Depending on the cell type and the specific growth factors used, cytokine-induced proliferation of cells can generally be characterized by one or more parameters, such as a shorter cell division time, a shorter time until first cell division, or lower percentage of remaining quiescent cells [4, 11, 12]. Those key parameters can be obtained, for instance, by combining a logistic delay-differential model with the results from specific micro-colony experiments [4]. From that model, Barbero et al. established in the case of adult human articular chondrocytes(AHAC) expansion in a medium with added growth factors TFP that the time of first cell division is about 1.4 times shorter and the percentage of quiescent cells about 1.7 times smaller than in the absence of TFP.

Further characteristics observed in experiments [4] concern the elongated shape the cells assume when cultured in a medium with TFP. During the sigmoidal growth of the cell culture, individual cells are initially oriented at random. As the population approaches confluence, cells tend to locally align and form coherent structures. Those spatial patterns appear highly irregular while individual patches greatly vary both in shape and size, without clear boundaries between them — see Fig. 2.1.

2.2 Cell culture: isolation and expansion

To monitor patch formation and obtain quantitative experimental data on diffusion constants, we track the motion of an ensemble of individual AHAC cells up to con-
fluence. Here full-thickness human articular cartilage samples were collected from the femoral lateral condyle of two individuals (patient A: male, 18 years old; patient B: male, 66 years old), with no history and no radiographic signs of joint disease, after informed consent and in accordance with the local Ethical Commission. Human adult articular chondrocytes (AHAC) were isolated using 0.15% type II collagenase for 22 hours and cultured for one passage in Dulbeccos modified Eagles medium (DMEM) containing 10% foetal bovine serum, 4.5 mg/ml D-Glucose, 0.1 mM nonessential amino acids, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 100 mM HEPES buffer, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 g/ml streptomycin, and 0.29 mg/ml L-glutamine and supplemented with the 1 ng/ml of Transforming Growth Factor-b1 (TGF-b1), 5 ng/ml of Fibroblast Growth Factor-2 (FGF-2) and 10 ng/ml of Platelet-Derived Growth Factor-BB (PDGF-BB) (growth factor medium, TFP) in a humidified 37°C/5% CO2 incubator as previously described [4]. When cells were approximately 80% confluent, first passage (P1) cells were rinsed with phosphate buffered saline, detached using 0.05% trypsin/0.53mM EDTA and frozen in complete medium containing 10% dimethylsulfoxid. AHAC after thawing were then used for the studies described below.

Monitoring of cell expansion until confluence AHAC were re-plated in two wells of a 6 well plate at a density of 10000 cells/cm² and cultured in growth factor medium up to 10 days in a humidified 37°C/5% CO2 incubator with daily culture medium change. AHAC cultures were monitored by phase contrast microscopy and pictures were taken from random areas of the wells each day.

Study of cell movement AHAC were re-plated in a 6 well plate at different densities, which were 200, 3000, 10000, 15000 and 20000 cells/cm², and cultured in growth factor medium for 1 day in a humidified 37°C/5% CO2 incubator. Next, the plate was transferred to the incubator of the Olympus system. From a time-lapse microscope we obtained a sequence of frames which we used to monitor cell motion. Snapshots were taken at 15 minute intervals, which corresponds to an average travel distance of 9µm, to provide sufficient space-time resolution compared to the typical length of a
cell (50µm). With the software analySIS$^D$ we performed a manual tracking in all five wells (Fig. 2.2) to follow about 100 cells for each density, for 12 hours, a duration that allowed us to neglect cell doubling.

![Fig. 2.2 Tracking of individual cells at density 20000/cm$^2$.](image)

**Spatial diffusion** To estimate the spatial diffusion, we performed experiments at various densities (i.e. 200, 3000, 10000, 15000, 20000 cells/scm) and for each density we manually tracked individual cells in three different areas of the well. Assuming Brownian motion, the diffusion coefficient $D$ is related to the mean square displacement, $<X^2>$, through the relation $<X^2>=2Dt$. A linear least-squares fit of the time evolution of the mean square distance then yields $D$. From those estimates at varying density, shown in Table 2.1, we obtained the constant average diffusion coefficient $D = 0.29\mu m^2/s$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cells/scm</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>3000</th>
<th>10000</th>
<th>15000</th>
<th>20000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>coeff D1</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coeff D2</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coeff D3</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean ± SD</td>
<td>0.24±0.07</td>
<td>0.26±0.04</td>
<td>0.36±0.04</td>
<td>0.31±0.02</td>
<td>0.30±0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.1** Estimates of the diffusion constant $D$ at three different locations inside the well and at varying density, together with the mean values ± SD.
2.3 Image analysis of alignment

Standard image segmentation algorithms proved unable to distinguish between individual cells and the background. Thus to identify patches of alignment and estimate their size quantitatively, both in the numerical simulations and in the experiment, we proceed in two steps. First, we apply a special class of filters to images such as Fig. 2.1 that reveal the dominant local axis of orientation. Second, we estimate the average size of cell clusters with a common orientation through a discrete statistical measure, which is then used to compare numerical simulations with experiments.

To classify cells according to their orientation, we opt for Gabor filters \[16, 18\] which consist of a local Gaussian kernel of width \(\sigma\), multiplied by a plane wave with distinct orientation \(\theta\) and frequency \(\omega\):

\[
G(x', y') = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \left( \frac{x'}{\sigma} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{y'}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right) \right\} \cos(2\pi\omega x')
\]

\[
x' = x \cos(\theta) + y \sin(\theta), \quad y' = y \cos(\theta) - x \sin(\theta),
\]

where unit length in \(x\) (or \(y\)) corresponds to a single pixel. The typical width \(\sigma = 12\) and frequency \(\omega = 0.08\) for an array of aligned cells were determined a priori and remained fixed in all further analysis – see Fig. 2.3. Hence Gabor filters locally respond to patterns with spatial frequency \(\omega\) and orientation \(\theta\), within a subregion of size \(\sigma\). Their two-dimensional extension is commonly used in image analysis and computer vision; they were also proposed as a model for the spatial summation properties of simple cells in the visual cortex \[10\].

To any image we apply a suite of Gabor filters for varying orientation at 45° intervals and assign to each pixel location \((i, j)\) a distinct color \(c_{ij}\) that corresponds to the highest filter response. Hence \(c_{ij}\) reflects the dominant orientation at location \((i, j)\), and cells aligned with that particular orientation are thus revealed, as shown in Fig. 2.4.

Next, we estimate the typical cluster in a filtered image, such as in Fig. 2.4, either from experiment or numerical simulation. To do so, we assign to each pixel \((i, j)\) the value \(p_{ij}(s) = 1\) if it belongs to a cluster of size \(s\), that is if at least 50% of the points within distance \(s\) are of the same color; else, we set \(p_{ij}(s)\) to zero. Summation over all

**Fig. 2.3** Gabor filters with frequency \(\omega = 0.08\), scale \(\sigma = 12\), and orientations \(\theta = 0, \pi/4, \pi/2\).
pixels yields an estimate $r(s)$ of the number of pixels belonging to a cluster of size $s$ as

$$r(s) = \sum_{ij} p_{ij}(s), \quad p_{ij}(s) = \begin{cases} 1 \\ 0 \end{cases}.$$  

(2.1)

The intersection of $r(s)$ with the $s$-axis yields a reliable estimate for the typical patch size, i.e. the largest cluster size, as illustrated with synthetic black and white data in Fig. 2.5. Moreover, comparison of the left and right frames in Fig. 2.5 demonstrates that the intersection of $r(s)$ with the $s$-axis is rather insensitive to added random noise.

3 Mathematical Model

3.1 Formulation

Starting from the pioneering works of Edelstein-Keshet et al. [13, 25, 26], we now build a continuum model to describe the time evolution of a cell population of density $C(\theta, \mathbf{x}, t)$ in angle $\theta$ and two-dimensional space $\mathbf{x} = (x, y)$ at time $t$. During initial times the cells are essentially free to move in space and also turn their axis of orientation at random, similarly to fibroblasts. As the population density increases, however, cells come into contact. In [13–15] the underlying mechanism responsible for the directional motion and the resulting pattern formation is explained solely on the basis of single cell contact events for the case of related fibroblast cell cultures. This mechanism is a form of contact inhibition that cells experience when their lamellipodium touch. Indeed whenever mutual contact occurs within a small angle and hence only a portion of the lamellipodium touches, the cells alter their orientation accordingly and align, as observed by Elsdale [14].
Following [26] we now let $W(x - x', \theta, \theta')$ denote the rate at which a cell at $x'$ and $\theta'$ moves to $x$ and rotates to $\theta$ due to the impact of any surrounding cells. The angular velocity associated with this motion is then given by the gradient of $W$ at angle $\theta$ and position $x$, due to the cumulative interaction with all other cells:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (W \ast C)(x, \theta, t) := \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int W(x - x', \theta, \theta') C(\theta', x', t) \, d\theta' \, dx'.
$$

(3.1)

The gradient of the associated flux $C \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(W \ast C)$ then induces convective motion towards locations of higher concentration which corresponds to aggregation in space and alignment in angle; both compete with the inherent tendency of cells for random motion modelled by diffusive terms.

Next, the probabilities to align or to aggregate are assumed independent of each other, that is

$$
W(x - x', \theta, \theta') = W_1(\theta - \theta') W_2(x - x').
$$

(3.2)

Moreover, experiments suggest that the probability of alignment $W_1$ decreases as the relative angle between neighboring cells increases [14], whereas beyond a critical angle $\alpha$ cells no longer align; hence, $W_1$ is positive and non-increasing for $0 \leq \theta \leq \alpha$ but vanishes for $\alpha < \theta \leq \pi$. Since clockwise and anticlockwise turns are equally probable, $W_1$ must also be even. For simplicity, we assume that $W_1$ is Gaussian with mean zero and standard deviation $\sigma$, and set $\alpha = 2\sigma$; other choices are possible and discussed in [13]. After normalization, we thus obtain

$$
W_1(\theta) = \frac{1}{\alpha \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \quad |\theta| < \alpha.
$$

(3.3)

Since the strength of cell-to-cell interactions decreases with growing distance [13], we again choose a Gaussian kernel for $W_2$,

$$
W_2(x) = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2 \pi} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \quad x \in [-L_x, L_x] \times [-L_y, L_y].
$$

(3.4)
where $L_x$ and $L_y$ denotes the size of the domain.

Our previous experiments indicate that the growth rate slows down, as the cell density increases locally in space, and that it eventually vanishes when the carrying capacity is reached because of limited space [4]. Therefore, we model cell growth by a logistic term with growth rate $\rho$, where the growth rate reduction is determined by the population density at $x$ and $t$, that is by the marginal probability density $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} C(t, x, \theta) d\theta$. This leads to the full model equations:

$$
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \epsilon_1 \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial \theta^2} + \epsilon_2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} \right) - \gamma \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( C \left[ \frac{\partial W}{\partial \theta} \ast C \right] \right) - \gamma \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( C \left[ \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} \ast C \right] \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( C \left[ \frac{\partial W}{\partial y} \ast C \right] \right) \right\} + \rho C \left( 1 - \frac{L_x L_y}{K} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} C(t, x, \theta) d\theta \right).$$

Here $\epsilon_1$ and $\epsilon_2$ denote diffusion coefficients, while $\gamma$ denotes a drift coefficient.

4 Numerical Methods

We restrict the computations to a small subregion $\Omega$ inside the experimental well. Thus, boundary effects due to the finite size of the well are negligible and we may impose periodic boundary conditions at the boundary of the computational domain $\Omega = [0, L_x] \times [0, L_y]$. For the numerical approximation of (3.5) all spatial derivatives are approximated by second-order centered finite differences on a regular grid. The convolution integrals are computed by trapezoidal quadrature, which yields exponential convergence for periodic analytic functions [22]. Hence the numerical discretization error is second-order accurate in space and angle.

For parabolic problems standard explicit Runge-Kutta schemes impose rather stringent restrictions on the time-step for numerical stability, typically $\Delta t \leq C \Delta x^2$, and hence are notoriously inefficient [20]. In contrast, implicit methods waive those time-step restrictions but would require here the solution of a nonlinear integro-differential boundary value problem at every time step, a rather high price to pay.
To avoid the above mentioned difficulties, we opt for Runge-Kutta-Chebyshev methods instead, which are fully explicit while allowing larger time-steps. Instead of maximizing the accuracy, RK-Chebyshev methods maximize the interval $[-\ell, 0]$ of the negative real axis contained in the stability region $[20, 24]$. Because $\ell$ is proportional to $s^2$, for a fixed number of stages, $s$, any reduction of the mesh size $\Delta x$ can be counterbalanced by an equivalent increase of the number of stages while keeping the time-step $\Delta t$ fixed. Therefore RK-Chebyshev methods circumvent the crippling quadratic increase in the number of time-steps of traditional RK methods that results from any linear reduction of the mesh size [2, 17, 32].

For instance, the first-order $s$-stage RK-Chebyshev method for the initial-value problem

$$y'(t) = f(y), \quad y(0) = y_0,$$

is given by

$$g_0 = y_0, \quad g_1 = y_0 + \frac{1}{s^2} \Delta t f(g_0), \quad g_i = \frac{2}{s^2} \Delta t f(g_{i-1}) + 2g_{i-1} - g_{i-2}, \quad y_1 = g_s.$$  

In Figure 4.1 we observe that the stability regions of the 3-stage RK-Chebyshev method is about nine times larger than that of the standard fourth-order RK4. Following [19], we eliminate the two intersections where the stability region shrinks to zero by adding small damping of size $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $T_s(x)$ denote the Chebyshev polynomial of degree $s$ [1]. Then the damped RK-Chebyshev method for (4.1) is given by
\[ g_0 = g_0, \quad (4.6) \]
\[ g_1 = g_0 + \Delta t(w_1/w_0)f(g_0), \quad (4.7) \]
\[ g_i = \frac{1}{T_i(w_0)}[2w_1\Delta tT_{i-1}(w_0)f(g_{i-1}) + 2w_0T_{i-1}(w_0)g_{i-1} - T_{i-2}(w_0)g_{i-2}], \quad (4.8) \]
\[ y_1 = g_0. \quad (4.9) \]

where
\[ R_s(z) = \frac{1}{T_s(w_0)}T_s(w_0 + w_1 z), \quad w_0 = 1 + \frac{s}{s^2}, \quad w_1 = \frac{T_s(w_0)}{T_s'(w_0)} \quad (4.10) \]

As illustrated in Fig. 4.1 for \( \epsilon = 0.05 \), the stability domain is now slightly shorter (by a factor \( 4\epsilon s^2/3 \)), but its boundary remains at a safe distance from the real axis \[19\].

When the right-hand side in (4.1) explicitly depends on time, the terms involving \( f(g_i) \) in (4.6)–(4.9) are replaced by \( f(g_i, t_i) \). The precise times \( t_i \in [0, \Delta t] \) where \( f \) needs to be evaluated are determined by augmenting (4.1) with the trivial differential equation,
\[ z'(t) = 1, \quad z(0) = t_0 \quad (4.11) \]
and applying (4.6)–(4.9) to it. Thus for \( t \in [0, \Delta t] \) we have
\[ t_0 = 0, \quad (4.12) \]
\[ t_1 = \Delta t(w_1/w_0), \quad (4.13) \]
\[ t_i = \frac{1}{T_i(w_0)}[2w_1\Delta tT_{i-1}(w_0)] + 2w_0T_{i-1}(w_0)t_{i-1} - T_{i-2}(w_0)t_{i-2}, \quad (4.14) \]
and so forth during subsequent time steps.

5 Stability

Linear stability analysis Before investigating numerically the emergence of coherent patterns in the full nonlinear model (3.5), it is instructive to investigate the stability properties of the homogeneous state, i.e. the state, where the density of cells in angular and spatial space is equally distributed. Linear stability analysis characterizes the effect of small perturbations on the early time evolution in angular and spatial space. We therefore expect good agreement with the early stages of the numerical solution of the fully nonlinear model (3.5).

If \( \rho = 0 \), the homogeneous state \( C \equiv \bar{C} \) is an exact solution of (3.5), since we then have \( W \ast C = C \). In this case, linearization of (3.5) about \( C \equiv \bar{C} \) using the ansatz
\[ C(x, \theta, t) = \bar{C} + \delta C_{n,q}(x, \theta, t), \quad (5.1) \]
where the amplitude of the perturbation \( \delta \ll 1 \) is small, results in an eigenvalue problem for the integro-differential operator previously analyzed in \[13, 26\]. In particular, Mogilner et al. \[26\] showed for an unbounded spatial domain that the functions
\[ u_{q_1}(x) = e^{iq_1 x}, \quad u_{q_2}(y) = e^{iq_2 y}, \quad z_n(\theta) = e^{in\theta}, \quad q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{R} \quad (5.2) \]
form a complete set of orthogonal eigenfunctions for the spatial and angular Laplace operator with eigenvalues \( q_1, q_2 \) for the spatial and \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) for the angular diffusion operator, respectively. In addition, they proved that (5.2) are not only the
eigenfunctions of the Laplace operators, but also of the convolution operators $W_1^*$ and $W_2^*$, where the eigenvalues are the Fourier coefficients denoted by $\hat{W}_n$ and $\hat{W}_q = W_{q_1} W_{q_2}$, where $q = \sqrt{q_1^2 + q_2^2}$) and

$$\hat{W}_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} W_1(\theta) e^{-i n \theta} \, d\theta, \quad \hat{W}_q = \int_{0}^{L_x} W_2(x_j) e^{-i q_j x_j} \, dx_j,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3)

where $j = 1, 2$ and $x_1 = x, x_2 = y$. From the normal modes ansatz

$$C'_{n,q}(x, \theta, t) = e^{\lambda t} u_{q_1}(x) u_{q_2}(y) z_n(\theta)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.4)

the stability of the homogeneous state is then found from the solution of the equation

$$\lambda = -r + \overline{C} s,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.5)

for all $q_1, q_2$ and $n$. Hence the condition for instability of the homogeneous solution is given by $\lambda > 0$. Thus, any increase in the diffusion coefficients $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$ tends to stabilize the system, while the cell-to-cell interaction terms $\hat{W}_n$ and $\hat{W}_q$ tend to destabilize the system, for increasing values of $n, q$, unless $W_n$ is zero. Moreover, for any particular values of $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, n, q$, the constant state $\bar{C}$ becomes unstable at sufficiently high cell density, unless $W_n$ or $W_q$ vanishes.

For our extended model with logistic growth, where $\rho \neq 0$, the homogeneous state about which we linearize is now time-dependent, due to the slow mass increase. Thus we make the ansatz

$$C(\theta, x, t) = \overline{C}(t) + \delta C'_{n,q}(\theta, x, t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.7)

with $C'_{n,q} = \dot{C}_{n,q}(t) e^{i(qx + n \theta)}$, since now the standard normal modes ansatz may lead to non-normal linear systems with non-orthogonal eigenfunctions – see [30], for instance, for a more detailed discussion of such problems in the context of hydrodynamics. Our slightly more general ansatz for $C(\theta, x, t)$ then leads to the following differential equation for $\dot{C}_{n,q}$

$$\frac{dC_{n,q}}{dt} = \left[ - (\epsilon_1 n^2 + \epsilon_2 q^2) + \overline{C}(t) \hat{W}_q \hat{W}_n \gamma(n^2 + q^2) + \rho \left( 1 - \frac{\overline{C}(t)}{\kappa} \right) \right] C_{n,q}(t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.8)

where

$$\overline{C}(t) = \frac{\kappa}{1 + C_1 \kappa e^{-\rho t}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.9)

is the solution of the leading order problem and represents the slowly growing mass until the carrying capacity is reached. The constant $C_1 = 1/\overline{C}(0) - 1/\kappa$, where $\overline{C}(0)$ is chosen to be the same as $\bar{C}$ in the original problem and we denote $\kappa = K/(2\pi L_x L_y)$. Hence, the growth rate is given here by

$$\ln(\dot{C}_{n,q}(t)) = (-r + s \kappa) t + \frac{s \kappa - \rho}{\rho} \ln \left( 1 + C_1 \kappa e^{-\rho t} \right) + \text{const.}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.10)

We note that now the additional parameter $K$, the carrying capacity, will have a decisive impact on the stability properties of the solutions.
Comparison of the full model with linear stability. To compare the results from linear stability analysis to those from the numerical simulation of the full problem, we choose as an example the simple case for which $\rho = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$. We set the (constant) base state $\bar{C} = 25$, let $\epsilon_1 = 0.0025$ and $\epsilon_2 = 0.5$ so that for $n > 0$ and $q = 0$ the base state is unstable according to linear stability analysis. Now, we determine $n_{\text{max}}$ such that the growth rate is maximal, i.e. $\sigma_{\text{max}} = \sigma(n_{\text{max}}, q_{\text{max}})$ (here $q = q_{\text{max}} = 0$). Thus, we can find $n_{\text{max}}$ which is at most $O(1)$ with a $\sigma_{\text{max}}$ not too small, together with the corresponding eigenfunction $C_{n,q}'$ and a corresponding asymptotic growth rate $\lambda$.

Next, we initialize our nonlinear simulation with the initial data

$$\bar{C} + \delta C_{n,q}'$$

such that $\delta \leq \min \left(0.1, \frac{\sigma_{\text{max}}}{n_{\text{max}}} \right)$

to ensure that the correction term does not invalidate the original assumptions of linear stability analysis.

![Fig. 5.1](image)

**Fig. 5.1** Comparison of the growth rates for the fully nonlinear and the linearized models: Left $\rho = 0$: The dashed line is $\lambda t$, where $\lambda$ is given by the solution of the linear stability problem, equation (5.5). The curve with the circles denotes $\ln(||C||)$. Right $\rho = 0.2$: The dashed line shows the long-time behaviour of the solution of (5.10). The curve with circles results from the solution to the full problem (3.5).

In figure 5.1, log $||C||$ with

$$||C|| = \frac{\max_{\theta, x, y} |C(\theta, x, y, t) - \bar{C}|}{\delta}$$

is shown versus $t$, both for the solution of the fully nonlinear model and for that from linear stability. Note that the growth rate of the linearized problem for the extended model, i.e. where $\rho \neq 0$, now also depends on time. Once initial transients have died out, both models agree, as expected. As time progresses, however, the dynamics of the full model deviate from those of the linearized problem. Thus, the evolving patterns may deviate from those predicted by linear stability theory, in particular at later times, as the cell culture reaches confluence, depending on parameter values.

In figure 5.1 we show a comparison of the growth rates for the fully nonlinear and the linearized models, with the above set of parameters. This example illustrates the
following generic behaviour. For $\rho = 0$, we observe agreement right from the beginning, since the perturbation corresponds to an exact eigenfunctions, as in the linear stability problem. For the extended model with $\rho = 0.2$ and $K = 1220$, for instance, we observe that the long-time behaviour of the solution of (5.10) compares well with the solution to the full problem (3.5). Eventually though, the nonlinear terms come into play and the solution of the full model deviates from the prediction of the linear model.

6 Comparison of simulations with experiments

6.1 Parameter values

To compare computational results from any mathematical model with those from experiment, it is crucial to have accurate estimates of the parameter values. While the values of most parameters were determined quite accurately from experiment, uncertainties about some of them remained.

In table 6.1 we list the standard set of parameter values. Here $\epsilon_2$ was determined from experiment (table 2.1), which yielded the average spatial diffusion coefficient $\epsilon_2 = 0.29 \mu m^2/s = 0.025 mm^2/days$. Because cells do not change their orientation in a continuous way, $\epsilon_1$ could not be determined from experiment and we let $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2$, for simplicity. The values for $\rho$ and $K$, determined previously in [4], were used as initial guess for a nonlinear least-squares parameter fit to the time evolution of the total mass. The size of the domain $L_x$, $L_y$ was chosen to match the area observable under the microscope. In [14], the critical angle $\alpha$ was obtained for fibroblast cultures by inspection of relative angles between cells at confluence. Because of the strong similarity between cytokine cultured chondrocytes and fibroblasts, we used the same value here. Since chondrocytes only attach when they are very close to each other, we chose the standard deviation $\sigma$ for the spatial interaction kernel to be about the length of a single cell. The value of $\gamma$ essentially sets the convective time scale and was obtained by fitting the cluster size from the simulation to that obtained from experiment – see Section 2.3.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\epsilon_1 & \epsilon_2 & \gamma & \alpha & \sigma^2 & \rho & K & L_x [mm] & L_y [mm] \\
0.025 & 0.025 & 0.0005 & 20^0 & 0.01 & 1.2 & 40000 & 3.75 & 2.75 \\
\end{array}
\]

Table 6.1 Standard parameter values

6.2 Numerical simulations

Starting from a random initial distribution at $t = 0$, we solve (3.5) using the numerical method described in section 4 and the parameter values listed above. In Fig. 6.1, snapshots of the cell density at different times are shown. Here at each point $(x, y) \in \Omega$ the marginal spatial cell density of $C$, that is the integral of $C(x, y, \theta, t)$ over $\theta$, is displayed. The color used at any point $(x, y)$ corresponds to the angle, where $C(x, y, \theta, t)$ is maximal; hence, it represents the local dominant orientation of the cells. We observe
that the number of cells increases uniformly throughout the computational domain $\Omega$, yet past day 6 several patches of cells with a common orientation emerge and settle in a stationary configuration by day 9; note that the total number of cells hardly changes beyond day 6 anymore.

In Fig. 6.2 we compare the simulation with the experimental data using Gabor filters for post-processing both – see section 2.3. In doing so the spatial resolution of the microscope image was coarsened to match that of the simulation, while the angular dependence over $[0, \pi]$ was divided into four classes, that is sub-intervals of identical lengths, each one assigned with a different color. The cluster size (intersection of $r(s)$ with the $x$-axis, see Section 2.3) was calculated for three samples from the same donor. By fitting the average cluster size from the simulation to that from experiment, between 15 and 20 pixels or about 0.5mm, we determined the standard value of $\gamma$, as shown in Fig. 6.2.

Once the model has been validated through comparison to experiment, it is instructive to change the value of individual parameters to study their effect on the size and shape of the patterns at confluence. Thus we can also evaluate the parameter sensitivity of the model and address the uncertainties associated with some of the values obtained from experiment. For instance, the reduction of the angular diffusion coefficient $\epsilon_1$ has little effect on the size of the patterns, but the interfaces appear more well-defined in contrast to the standard case: compare Fig. 6.2 and Fig. 6.3. An increase in the critical
Fig. 6.2  Comparison of simulation (bottom) with experiment (top). Here color indicates the local dominant orientation of the cells. The cluster size for three samples from the same donor (top) and for the simulation (bottom) are shown on the right.

Fig. 6.3  The cell density is shown at confluence for the standard parameter values in table 6.1, but with smaller angular diffusion coefficient: $\epsilon_1 = 0.0025$.

angle $\alpha$ instead, results in larger and increasingly irregular patterns, while the uniform spatial population density is maintained, as shown in Fig. 6.4.
7 Concluding remarks

Starting from the classical models by Mogilner et al. [26], we have developed a mathematical model for proliferating chondrocyte cells, cultured with growth factors such as TFP, by including logistic growth and studied the patterns emerging at confluence through experiments and simulation. Most parameters in the model were obtained directly through independent experiments or from our previous micro-colony tests [4]. Guided by these parameter studies we arrived at reasonable parameter values for comparison to the experimentally observed cell patterns at confluence. Linear stability analysis was used as guidance through the range of unstable parameter values, as their interplay leads to pattern formation; their improved understanding and control will be useful in the future design of engineered tissue.

For the time integration of the nonlinear integro-partial differential equation, we opted for Runge-Kutta-Chebyshev methods which permit much larger time steps than standard Runge-Kutta methods while nonetheless remaining fully explicit. Quantitative comparison of experimental data with the numerical simulations was achieved in two steps. First, we visualized the orientation and alignment of the cells with Gabor filters. Then, we determined the average cluster size of the cell population both in the simulation and the experiment.

From the stability analysis and the simulations, we were able to determine key parameters for pattern formation. In particular, we find that the total number of dominant directions of alignment in a cell culture is mainly regulated by the critical angle, below which the probability that cells align is high. Indeed, smaller values in the critical angle \( \alpha \) for cell-cell interactions lead to arrays of aligned cells, as observed in experiments, whereas larger values lead to a single dominant direction of alignment.
Regarding the diffusion and drift coefficients, both tend to destabilize the homogeneous state and thereby lead to pattern formation. For fixed diffusion coefficients, the average pattern size typically scales with the drift coefficient, $\gamma$, although the number of dominant directions of alignment remains identical, as it is regulated by the critical angle.

While we think that continuum models in combination with some local experimental analysis yields convincing evidence to capture the large scale long-time structures of proliferating cell cultures, our work also leaves a number of open tasks and questions. Apart from the study of aggregation patterns, which has been left open, the experimental determination of the remaining parameters, in particular drift parameters will be an important future task. Through a new set of experiments one also needs to establish more accurately the critical angle for cell alignment for the particular cells under consideration, without relying on similar cases from the literature.
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