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Abstract

Modification of cell surfaces with synthetic polymers is a promising approach for regulating cellular behavior. Here we describe a genetically controlled strategy for selectively encapsulating single yeast cells in synthetic microniches comprised of cross-linked phenol-modified alginate and chitosan hydrogel capsules. Our system links inducible gene expression with enzyme-mediated hydrogel polymerization and provides a novel genotype-phenotype linkage whereby only cells carrying a requisite gene encoding a flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD)-dependent oxidoreductase undergo autonomous enzyme-mediated surface polymerization resulting in formation of hydrogel capsules. The composition of the hydrogel capsules is highly tunable and the capsule sizes are pH-responsive, allowing for control of capsule porosity and shell diameters over a range of 15-80 \textmu m. The hydrogel capsules prevent extracellular proteins from reaching the cell surface, thereby conferring cellular immunity to lytic enzyme cocktails and rendering the hydrogel capsules cytoprotective against osmotic shock. We demonstrate the utility of this genetically controlled artificial hydrogel-encapsulated cell phenotype by isolating and enriching uniform eukaryotic cell lineages from genetically heterogeneous cell mixtures at 95-100\% efficiency. The encapsulated cells remained viable and were capable of dividing and breaking free from their hydrogel capsules, allowing further propagation of selected cells. Our bottom-up approach to cellular compartmentalization links inducible intracellular genetic components with an artificial extracellular matrix that resists enzymatic lysis and mediates communication with the surrounding environment through a size-tunable and permeable hydrogel capsule.
Introduction

Compartmentalization is a defining feature of living systems. Cells, tissues and organs all exhibit an extraordinary degree of spatial segregation in form and function which is necessary for carrying out the daily activities of life. In an effort to advance synthetic biological systems, research has focused on developing compartmentalization strategies that function at the molecular-to-cellular length scales 1–6. Specifically, encapsulation of individual cells inside synthetic conformal hydrogels 7–9 has attracted significant attention. The ability of conformal synthetic hydrogels to serve as optical labels, act as steric protective layers, and separate distinct cell types make these systems potentially useful for rare cell isolation and in vivo cellular therapies 10,11.

Several early demonstrations of cellular encapsulation technology utilized formation of multicellular aggregates on the scale of 0.1-1 mm by relying on self-assembly of oppositely charged polyelectrolyte chains12,13 or incorporation of cells in agarose emulsions14. Polymerization-based approaches have further been developed which rely on cross-linking polymer materials from monomeric precursor solutions15–21. Photoinitiators have also been conjugated to biomolecules (e.g., antibodies)22 which specifically recognize target cells and generate free radicals upon light exposure. In such systems, target cells within a heterogeneous mixture can be selectively encapsulated by photo-initiated polymerization in the presence of monomers.

Enzymatic initiation systems for cellular encapsulation have also been demonstrated in several formats. For example, peroxidase-mediated dityrosine cross-coupling has been used to form hydrogels capsules around cells23–25. Sakai, Taya and co-workers demonstrated single-cell encapsulation based on horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-mediated polymerization, as well as cascade reactions involving glucose oxidase (GOx) as a source of H₂O₂ to feed HRP-mediated reactions18,26,27. Inspired by this prior work, we sought here to utilize the GOx/HRP cascade for cross-linking hydrogels, but instead of supplying the enzymes to cells from the outside, we sought to develop a system in which the polymerization enzyme itself was genetically encoded in DNA plasmids carried by the cells. Gene induction and expression inside the cells then resulted in protein synthesis of the enzymatic initiators. Using secretion and display pathways in yeast, the initiator enzymes were then displayed on the outer cell wall and able to trigger enzymatic cross-linking of hydrogels shells resulting in cell encapsulation. These new innovations rely on the presence or absence of a single copy of a gene inside the cell to produce single-cell hydrogel capsules which serve as an artificial phenotype linked with the genotype of the cells. Our approach which relies on synthesis of enzymatic initiators inside the cells is referred to as ‘bottom-up’ encapsulation, and can be contrasted with the prior systems in which cells were extrinsically labelled with initiator compounds that triggered polymerization/encapsulation reactions, which we refer to as ‘top-down’ encapsulation systems28–31. An additional level of novelty in the current work is the first demonstration of chitosan capsules for single-cell
encapsulation via an enzyme-mediated process, which is significant because the chitosan shells are size tunable depending on the pH of the surrounding media.

Pitzler et al\textsuperscript{32} also demonstrated a bottom-up polymerization system where expression of glucose phosphatase was coupled with Fenton chemistry to produce hydrogels at the \textit{E. coli} surface, however in that prior work the hydrogel served as a fluorescent tag and was not shown to be uniform, robust or sufficiently thick to confer altered physical properties to cells that could be used for large scale selections. Our hydrogels in contrast form uniform capsules, are physically robust, tens of microns thick, and capable of protecting cells from osmotic lysis. The shells shown here further impart new physico-chemical properties such as significantly increased cell size and pH-responsiveness that enable new cell isolation procedures (e.g., size-based filtration) that are useful for various synthetic biology applications. Our strategy therefore represents the first cell encapsulation system that utilizes inducible genes to create well-defined cytoprotective hydrogel enclosures around live eukaryotic cells. Such an approach has significant potential in cell screening, cell-based therapy and directed evolution applications where the cytoprotection and/or isolation of specific cell genotypes are of high interest.

\textbf{Experimental Section}

\textbf{Materials}. All the chemicals used in this work were purchased from Sigma Aldrich if not otherwise specified. The primary and secondary antibody were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Restriction enzymes were bought from New England Biolabs. Sodium Alginate (Viscosity 1\%: 100 - 200 mPa.s) was purchased from Duchefa Biochemie. Zymolyase 100T was purchased from Roth.

\textbf{Preparation of fluorescent alginate and chitosan with phenol groups}. Alginate and chitosan with phenol moieties and fluorescein were prepared through carbodiimide activation chemistry \textsuperscript{33}. Sodium alginate (avg. \textit{M}_W 70,000 Da) was dissolved in 50 mM MES buffer pH 6 at a final concentration of 10 mg/mL. After the alginate was completely dissolved, tyramine hydrochloride, NHS and EDC were added to the sodium alginate solution at 7, 1.2,3.9 mg/mL respectively. Finally, 6-aminofluorescein was added to a final concentration of 0.25 mg/mL. Chitosan (avg. \textit{M}_W 50,000-190,000 Da) was dissolved in a pH 2 solution of HCl at a final concentration of 10 mg/mL. After the chitosan was completely dissolved, the pH was raised to 4.5 by adding NaOH. Then phloretic acid, NHS and EDC were added to the chitosan solution at 7, 1.2,3.9 mg/mL respectively. Finally, fluorescein was added to a final concentration of 0.25 mg/mL. Both the reactions were incubated at room temperature for 16 to 20 hours with vigorous stirring and then precipitated dropwise into 80\% ethanol aqueous solutions. The alginate was then washed with 80\% ethanol and the final product dissolved in water before being lyophilized. Chitosan was then washed with 80\% ethanol and then acetone. The final product was dissolved in an acidic solution at pH 2. After dissolution of the modified chitosan, the pH was raised to 5 by adding NaOH before being lyophilized. The success of the conjugations was confirmed through \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR (\textbf{Figure S1}).
Amplification and cloning of the Wild-type GOx gene. The GOx wild type gene was amplified from the genome of *Aspergillus niger* strain 4247 (LGC Standards) using the primers F1 (5’-GCATACGGATCCATGCAGACTCTTGTGAGCTCG-3’) and R1 (5’-GCATACTCAGTCAGTACATGGAAGACATAATCTTCC-3’), and cloned using *Bam*HI and *Xho*I restriction sites into the yeast plasmid pYD1 for protein display (gift from Dane Wittrup, Addgene plasmid #73447)34. After sequence confirmation, the plasmid pYD1-GOx was transformed into *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* EBY100 following a typical lithium acetate transformation35 procedure and selecting the positive colonies on SD agar 2% (w/v) glucose plates lacking tryptophan (-Trp). Resulting colonies were cultivated in liquid SD -TRP liquid medium with 2% glucose for 24 hours at 30°C with continuous shaking at 200 rpm. Protein expression and display was then induced by transferring the culture to a fresh liquid medium lacking tryptophan containing 0.2% (w/v) glucose and 1.8% (w/v) galactose, and shaking for 24 hours at 30°C.

**Amplex Red enzymatic assay.** Yeast cells displaying Glucose Oxidase (GOx) were used to perform an Amplex Red enzymatic assay in order to test for the functionality of the expressed enzyme. The reaction mixture consisted of ~10⁶ yeast cells, 100 mM Glucose, 4.5 µM HRP and 10 µM Amplex Red in 50mM of Phosphate Buffer (pH 7.4). The fluorescence was read at 590 nm every 1 min for 10 min (Figure S2).

**GOx antibody labelling.** The expression and display of GOx was confirmed by antibody labelling. ~2 x 10⁶ induced yeast cells were washed with 1 mL PBS containing 0.1% BSA and then resuspended and incubated at room temperature for 30 min with 1/200 dilution of the primary anti-Xpress antibody (stock concentration of 1.2 mg/mL). After incubation, the cells were washed with 1 mL of ice-cold PBS + 0.1% BSA and then resuspended in ice cold buffer containing 1/200 dilution of the goat anti mouse IgG secondary antibody (stock concentration 2 mg/mL) conjugated with Alexa Fluor 555. After 20 min incubation on ice, the cells were pelleted, washed with cold buffer and resuspended just before flow cytometry. As a negative control, the same yeast cells carrying the plasmid pYD1-GOx wild type were treated only with the secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 555.

**Cell encapsulation in fluorescent alginate and chitosan.** After induction of protein expression, yeast cells displaying GOx were washed with 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.4 and resuspended in the same buffer at a final OD₆₀₀ of 0.2. Glucose, HRP and the modified alginate were added to the cell sample at final concentrations of 100 mM, 4.5 µM, and 0.125% (w/v), respectively. The reaction was gently mixed and incubated at room temperature. After 10 min, four volumes of 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.4 were added and the samples were analyzed through flow cytometry or used for single cell sorting. Cell encapsulation in chitosan was performed at the exactly same conditions as for the alginate but replacing the sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) with 50 mM MES buffer at pH 6. When used, mCherry was added to the encapsulation reaction mixture at a final concentration of 4.5 µM. SEM imaging was performed by first adhering the cells to a coverglass coated with concanavalin, followed by exposure of the coverglass to hydrogel reagents for 10 minutes. Encapsulated cell samples adhered to the coverglass were then fixed in
glutaraldehyde dried with acetone, and covered with an evaporated thin gold layer prior to SEM imaging using an FEI Versa3D microscope.

**Flow cytometry, FACS and downstream analysis.** All the single and double stained yeast cells were analyzed with the Attune NxT (Thermo Fisher Scientific) flow cytometer equipped with a 488 nm and a 561 nm laser. Yeast cells were sorted using a MoFLo XDP cell sorter from Beckman Coulter equipped with 488 nm and 561 nm lasers, and with a 100 µm nozzle. Prior to encapsulation and sorting, the yeast cells were washed and stained with Propidium Iodide (final concentration of 4 µg/mL). The cells positive for the encapsulation reaction and negative for the staining with Propidium Iodide were sorted in single cell mode.

**Genotype enrichment by cytoprotection against enzymatic lysis.** A mixture of yeast cells containing less than 10% pGAL-GOx genotype positive cells mixed together with 90% cells carrying an empty pYD1 plasmid was used to perform a standard cell encapsulation reaction in fluorescent alginate. After cell encapsulation, Zymolyase was added to the reaction mix to a final concentration of 300 U/ml together with 1 mM Dithiothreitol (DTT). The reaction was incubated at 35°C with shaking at 1000 rpm for 60 minutes. The cells were then transferred to a -Trp glucose liquid medium and grown for 40 hours before being used for single cell sorting onto -Trp agar plates containing 2% Galactose. After 48 hours, colonies derived from single cell sorted events were assayed for the expression of GOx through an ABTS top agar assay. Glucose (333 mM), ABTS (7 mM) and HRP (2U/ml) were mixed with 2% agar solution and poured on the plate containing the sorted colonies. After 2 to 5 minutes, green halos started to appear above the colonies expressing and displaying the GOx on the cell wall. As a negative control the same yeast population was processed in parallel but without treatment with the lytic enzymes mixture.

**Genotype enrichment by size-based filtration.** A yeast population containing less than 10% pGAL-Gox genotypic positive cells was used to perform a standard cell encapsulation reaction in fluorescent alginate. After encapsulation the sample was filtered through polycarbonate membranes with 10 µm pores sizes (Whatman) by applying vacuum. Afterwards the membrane was washed three times with 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer at pH 7.4. Finally the encapsulated cells retained by the filter were recovered by rinsing the membrane with sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and analyzed with flow cytometry.

**pH-responsive chitosan capsules.** Multiple samples of yeast cells displaying GOx were washed with 50 mM MES buffer pH 6 and resuspended in the same buffer at a final OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.2. Glucose, HRP and the fluorescent modified chitosan were added to the cells at final concentrations of 100 mM, 4.5 µM, and 0.125% (w/v), respectively. The reactions were gently mixed and incubated at room temperature. After 20 min, different samples were diluted respectively with four volumes of 50 mM MES buffer pH 6, 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4 or 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 8.2 to stop the encapsulation reaction. The pH of each sample was measured and if needed corrected to final pH values of 6, 7 or 8. The size of the capsules at each pH was analysed through microscopy by measuring the diameter of multiple randomly picked cell-hydrogel units (n>25 for each pH value).
Results and Discussion

Our reaction system relies on an enzymatic cascade involving glucose oxidase (GOx) and horseradish peroxidase (HRP) to cross-link phenol groups grafted onto various macromonomer species. GOx is a highly glycosylated homodimeric flavoprotein, 160 kDa in size that catalyzes the oxidation of β-D-glucose to D-gluconolactone and hydrogen peroxide using molecular oxygen as an electron acceptor. Recently we demonstrated the use of GOx in combination with Fenton’s reagent for quantifying enzyme activity based on fluorescent hydrogel formation. In the current system, GOx and HRP worked in tandem as a bi-enzymatic initiation system for polymerization of phenolated macromonomers.

To synthesize enzyme-crosslinkable species, macromonomers of alginate and chitosan were modified with phenol and fluorescein groups using carbodiimide activation chemistry. Sodium alginate was conjugated with tyramine and amino-fluorescein, and chitosan was coupled with fluorescein and phloretic acid. Following extensive washing and lyophilization, the presence of the aromatic groups of phenol and fluorescein moieties was confirmed using proton nuclear magnetic resonance (1H-NMR) spectroscopy. We tested the ability of the GOx/HRP cascade to cross-link phenolated monomers in free solution (i.e., without cells) by combining 10 mg/mL of modified alginate or chitosan macromonomers with 0.5 µM GOx and 4.5 µM HRP in sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). Upon addition of 100 mM D-glucose, the solution rapidly gelled within a few seconds. Negative controls lacking the HRP or GOx remained liquid. These observations confirmed that the modified macromonomers could be rapidly cross-linked through the GOx/HRP cascade.

We sought to adapt the enzyme-mediated polymerization system to modify, visualize, and isolate cells containing specific genes amidst genetically heterogeneous cell populations based purely on physical properties of the hydrogel capsules. In order to connect the hydrogel polymerization with the genotype of a specific cell line, we incorporated GOx into a eukaryotic cellular display system, and tested the ability of cells carrying the GOx gene to auto-encapsulate in hydrogel shells. HRP, phenolated fluorescent macromonomers, and glucose were presented in the medium to GOx-displaying cells to initiate the encapsulation reaction. To express GOx on the cell wall, a gene encoding Aspergillus niger GOx was cloned in frame with the yeast a-agglutinin subunit 2 (Aga2p) to create an artificial protein display construct.

Translocation and anchoring of Aga2p-GOx to a-agglutinin subunit 1 (Aga1p) on the cell wall were verified by immunostaining with Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated antibodies against a protein tag located between Aga2p and GOx in the fusion construct. Using analytical flow cytometry, we observed an increase in fluorescence for a major fraction of the cell population (~ 60%), indicating successful display of the enzyme. The activity of the displayed enzyme was tested using a coupled HRP/Amplex red assay, which confirmed GOx activity and therefore successful homodimerization of GOx on the cell wall.
Cells displaying functional GOx homodimers were next used to develop the cell encapsulation system. 2 x 10^6 yeast cells/mL displaying GOx were suspended in a solution of 100 mM glucose, 4.5 μM HRP, and 0.125% (w/v) of phenolated alginate. After 10 min. reaction at room temperature, the samples were analyzed and a significant percentage (~60%) of cells corresponding to the same percentage successfully stained for GOx exhibited strong green fluorescence derived from fluorescein, consistent with the formation of fluorescent hydrogel shells around the cells (Figure 2A, ii). We further tested the encapsulation procedure with a yeast population displaying GOx that had previously been stained with Alexa Fluor 555 for the display of GOx on the cell wall. As shown in Figure 2A, iii, after incubation in the reaction mixture a majority of the cell population prestained with red fluorescent antibodies targeting GOx also gained green fluorescence signal due to the formation of the fluorescent hydrogel. This result confirmed that cells expressing GOx were the same cells in the mixture capable of auto-encapsulating in fluorescent hydrogels.

To further prove the specificity of the cellular encapsulation system, we tested the encapsulation procedure using different cell reference mixtures containing diluted amounts of GOx-positive cells at a fixed total number of cells. After encapsulation, the flow cytometry plots showed a precise correspondence between the percentage of GOx positive cells present in the cell mixtures and the number of fluorescein stained cells detected after encapsulation (Figure 2B). Moreover, 25 gated cells sorted in single cell mode from a mixture containing 10% GOx positive events were re-cultured and singularly tested for GOx activity. All of them tested positive for functional GOx, indicating the fidelity of the encapsulation process despite the presence of a limited number of positive events in the starting sample (Figure S3).

We characterized the morphology of the alginate hydrogel capsules using confocal fluorescence microscopy. A well-defined conformal fluorescent alginate hydrogel was observed surrounding the cells (Figure 3A). The orthogonal views show a homogenous distribution of hydrogel surrounding the dark cell mass in the center. A 3D spatial representation is presented in Figure S4. We confirmed that only single cells and not clusters of multiple cells were being encapsulated using widefield and confocal fluorescence microscopy on a large number of encapsulated cell populations obtained through multiple independent experiments. We consistently observed dark spots (single cells) or budding yeast inside the green fluorescent capsules (Figure S5). The single cell nature of the encapsulation reaction was also confirmed using analytical flow cytometry plots of the scattered pulse height vs. scattered pulse width per event, which distinguished between single cells, budding yeast cells, and cell aggregates (Figure S6).

We investigated the kinetics of gel formation by measuring the thickness of the hydrogel shells using fluorescence microscopy and image analysis following incubation of the reaction for various lengths of time. Figure 3B shows that the alginate shells grew rapidly during the first few minutes, reaching a particle diameter of ~30 μm including the entrapped cell. This rapid growth was followed by a plateau phase wherein extended incubation times (>10 min) did not increase the size of the hydrogel shells. This is an advantageous feature of the system that we attribute to auto-inhibition of the reaction. Auto-inhibition is expected upon
formation of the alginate shell which provides a diffusion barrier that prevents new macromonomers from reaching the GOx/HRP enzymes at the cell surface. In this case, the reaction will only promote the formation of radical species in the proximity of the cell surface. The extremely short half life of the radical species does not allow the free radicals to encounter and react with unpolymerized monomers after the hydrogel reaches a critical thickness. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Figure 4A & B) was further used to visualize the cells, and confirmed the presence of singly encapsulated uniformly coated yeast cells. Due to the vacuum conditions, the hydrogel capsules appeared slightly smaller on the SEM images than in the confocal fluorescence images.

We tested whether the hydrogel shells could entrap proteins present in the medium and serve as a diffusion barrier for macromonomers and proteins. The cell encapsulation experiment was performed in the presence of mCherry, a ~29 kDa fluorescent protein. The results showed that mCherry was trapped and held within the gel network (Figure S7) over several hours. We expect that HRP and macromonomers, with their higher molecular weight of ~44 kDa and ~70 kDa respectively, are similarly limited in their diffusion by the forming gel. We note that in the kinetic growth experiments, it is not possible that the growth plateau is due to consumption of the substrate since the reaction is performed at saturating concentrations of glucose, HRP and macromonomer species. We tested the cytocompatibility of the alginate shells by measuring growth curves of yeast alone, in the presence of the reaction mixture but lacking HRP, and encapsulated in the hydrogel capsules. All three of these growth curves were found to be the same (Figure S8), demonstrating that the hydrogel capsules did not inhibit cell growth. The cells are able to grow and divide inside the capsules until they eventually break free from the alginate hydrogel capsules. Supplementary Movie 1 shows a single budding yeast cell growing and dividing inside the alginate capsule over the course of 15 hours.

The artificial alginate layer formed around cells displaying functional GOx also served as an enhanced safeguard against cytotoxic agents. The cytoprotective function of the alginate shell was tested through incubation of a heterogeneous population of yeasts containing less than 10% of GOx positive encapsulated cells with Zymolyase, which is a mixture of lytic enzymes that digests the yeast cell wall leading to osmotic lysis and cell death. After incubation with 300 U/ml of Zymolyase for 60 minutes, the cell mixture containing 10% GOX-positive cells was recultivated and sorted in single cell mode onto agar plates. The clonal colonies were then assayed for GOx activity and the fraction of GOx-positive colonies was found to be 100%. This result indicated that the hydrogel capsules conferred resistance to enzymatic lysis to GOx-positive cells (Figure 5A) with zero background level survival. Although the hydrogel capsule is porous and allows the diffusion of small nutrients and molecules, it acts as diffusion barrier for large macromolecules such as proteins and enzymes and therefore inhibited Zymolyase enzymes from attaching to and digesting the cell wall. The porosity of the alginate gel layer and as a consequence the efficacy of the diffusion barrier can be tuned by varying the concentration of crosslinkable moieties in the conjugation reaction.
The alginate layer formed around the cells carrying the pGAL-GOx genes provided new physical properties to the cells, a feature we refer to as an artificial phenotype. We exploited the significant increase in apparent cell size that occurs upon enzymatic encapsulation in a filtration process to separate encapsulated cells from non-encapsulated cells (Figure 5B). Heterogeneous populations of yeast cells where 10% of cells carried the pGAL-GOx gene were first encapsulated in fluorescent alginate and then filtered through 10 μm pores size filters. As expected, the bare yeast cells (2 to 5 μm in diameter) could easily pass through the filter and were discarded in the flow through. Encapsulated yeast cells (20 to 30 μm in diameter), however, were retained on the filter and could be recovered for further analysis. Figure 5B shows a micrograph of green fluorescent hydrogel capsules adhered onto the polycarbonate filter membrane. The dark spots in the image are the membrane pores. Following this filtration procedure, the yeast cell population encapsulated in fluorescent hydrogel and positive for the pGAL-GOx gene was enriched from 10% to 95% within two filtration steps, each requiring only 2 minutes of processing time (Figure 5C). Both the cell survival and filtration procedures have relevant applications for the enrichment of genetic libraries in directed evolution experiments, or in automated genomics applications where a specific genetically tagged cell line can be separated from genetically diverse mixtures, thus providing a rapid, high-throughput, scalable and affordable alternative to cell sorting.

As an alternative to alginate, we further investigated the use of the polysaccharide chitosan for encapsulation of cells. Chitosan has been used in biomedical applications and drug delivery systems due to its biocompatibility and its mechanical and chemical properties. Dissolution of chitosan in aqueous buffers is achieved only at acidic pH ≤ 6, when the amino groups of the polymer are protonated. This solubility switch in aqueous environments causes the swelling and deswelling of the polymer based on the pH. The pH sensitivity of chitosan hydration presented an interesting feature for cell encapsulation applications by providing a tool to tune the permeability and elasticity of the polymer and regulate the accessibility to the encapsulated cells. Chitosan with phenol and fluorescein moieties (Scheme 1B) was used for cell encapsulation using a slightly modified protocol at pH 6. Phenolated chitosan showed similar behavior to the modified alginate, however, the chitosan shells showed higher stability and less tendency to form non-specific interactions with filter membranes as compared to alginate. Chitosan capsules were found to be less prone to aggregation and the gel capsules did not break under mechanical stress such as during centrifugation and filtration.

The pH sensitivity of the chitosan capsules was investigated by varying the pH of the solution containing encapsulated cells from 6 to 8 and observing the behavior of the hydrogel capsules using fluorescence microscopy. We note that yeast cells are stable and remain viable in a pH range of 6 to 8, therefore tuning the capsules diameter with pH is compatible with in vivo processing and propagation of the cells. Yeast cells encapsulated with fluorescent chitosan at pH 6 presented a well-defined and water swollen shell that was not visible in bright field mode but was clearly visible in fluorescence mode (Fig 6A upper panels). The chitosan capsule was not visible at pH 6 using bright field imaging because the
refractive index difference between the hydrogel and the surrounding water was too low, and the swollen hydrogel did not scatter sufficient light. Nonetheless, at pH 6, a diffuse bright water-swollen hydrogel was clearly observable using fluorescence imaging (Figure 6A, upper right panel). By resuspending the same cell sample in basic buffer (pH 8), we observed a radical reduction in the size of the gel layer around the cells. At pH 8, the chitosan capsules were clearly visible in both bright field and fluorescence modes (Figure 6A lower panels). The insolubility of the chitosan at pH 8 triggered dehydration and collapse of the capsule onto the yeast cell. The pH-tunable solubility of the chitosan is based on protonation/deprotonation of the amino groups which have a pKa of ~6.5. This mechanism allowed for visualization of the chitosan hydrogel layer surrounding the cells even bright field mode (Figure 6A, bottom left panel), eliminating the requirement of fluorescence microscopy to visualize the capsules. The fluorescence imaging at pH 8 also indicated a highly condensed hydrogel with much smaller diameter (Figure 6A, bottom right panel). This pH-responsive mechanism for visualization is beneficial because high energy light sources can cause cell damage under prolonged exposure. A movie of the chitosan coat shrinking upon increasing the pH is provided in the supplementary materials (Supplementary Movie 2). To correlate the size of the capsules with the solution pH, we incubated a population of chitosan encapsulated cells at increasing pH from 6 to 8 and imaged them using fluorescence microscopy. We found a clear decrease and narrowing of the size distribution from ~80 µm to ~15 µm upon increased the pH from 6 to 8. Collapse of the gel at pH 8 is expected to alter the elasticity and porosity of the gel. We expect the ability to finely control physical features of the artificial capsules can be relevant for different biochemical separation processes and controlled release of proteins from the cells in many biotechnological and medical applications.

Conclusions

Cell encapsulation systems are by now well established. Typically single-cell encapsulation systems rely on addition of initiating species from the external media. The novelty of the approach reported here is based on three aspects. Firstly, the initiating enzyme is synthesized by the cell itself and displayed on the cell wall, a process that originates from a plasmid-derived copy of the gene encoding the initiating GOx enzyme. This means that the presence of the hydrogel capsule qualifies as an artificial cell phenotype, providing a novel genotype-phenotype linkage. Secondly, the hydrogel shells reported here are robust and confer significantly altered physical properties to the cells that make them easily processable in bulk operations. We demonstrated isolation of the encapsulated cells using size-based filtration as well as immunity to enzymatic and osmotic lysis mixtures. And thirdly, chitosan has been used as a tissue engineering scaffold but was this far not utilized for enzyme-mediated single-cell encapsulation. The pH-responsiveness of chitosan capsules can provide pH-tunable cell phenotypes.

Our system as described is compatible with yeast cells with ease of genetic manipulation and protein display, however it is also generalizable to other cell types. Such an approach of displaying initiating enzymes on the cell surface to initiate cell encapsulation reactions could be readily adapted to E. coli or
mammalian cell display systems in the future. Such a system is also adaptable to other classes of bio-initiators, proteins and enzymes that can trigger radical polymerization providing a valuable tool for visualization, protection and isolation of genetically high value cells from heterogeneous cell populations. This new artificial eukaryotic cellular phenotype provides advantages for cell selection or evolution campaigns and points towards the development of synthetic extracellular matrices or artificial biofilms that can be programmed at the genetic level. This exciting area of bottom-up cell-directed materials synthesis is one of the areas where we expect our presented reaction system to find significant applications.
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Figure Captions

Scheme 1. Modification of macromonomers with phenols and fluorophores. (A) Reaction scheme for production of alginate macromonomer. (B) Reaction scheme for preparation of chitosan macromonomer.

Figure 1. Overview of genetically encoded hydrogel encapsulation system for single cells. Scheme depicting gene induction and yeast display of GOx homodimer, followed by enzyme-mediated cross-linking of phenolated fluorescent monomers into a conformal hydrogel surrounding the cell. Modified monomers carry fluorescein and phenol moieties are depicted as a green circle and yellow star, respectively.
Figure 2. Flow cytometry analysis of cells displaying GOx and enzymatically encapsulated in fluorescent hydrogel. (A) Flow cytometry analysis of (i) a yeast population displaying GOx and stained with anti-Xpress antibody; (ii) yeast enzymatically encapsulated in fluorescent alginate hydrogel; and (iii) doubly stained yeast population confirming surface display of GOx (Alexa fluor 555-labelled anti-Xpress antibody) and encapsulation in fluorescent hydrogel (fluorescein-modified alginate). (B) Cell reference mixtures used to test the fidelity of the encapsulation process. Decreasing amounts of GOx positive cells in the starting mixtures were detected through analytical flow cytometry following the encapsulation reaction. An example of a flow cytometry plot with the gate used for the analysis is shown on the right.

Figure 3. Fluorescence imaging and sizing of alginate capsules. (A) Confocal fluorescence microscopy images of yeast cells individually encapsulated in a conformal alginate shell following 10 minute reaction. Fluorescein incorporated in alginate capsules allowed direct visualization of the hydrogel shells in X, Y, and Z projections using confocal fluorescence microscopy. (B) Hydrogel particle diameters (including cell diameter) were measured at different reaction times (n=10 cells for each time point) by analyzing confocal fluorescence images.

Figure 4. (A) SEM micrograph showing an encapsulated budding yeast adjacent to an unencapsulated budding yeast cell. (B) SEM image of singly encapsulated yeast cells. Shell diameters are smaller under vacuum SEM imaging conditions.

Figure 5. Strategies for pGAL-GOx genotype enrichment. (A) Immunity to enzymatic lysis. Genetically heterogeneous yeast cell populations containing 10% cells carrying the pGAL-GOx gene were encapsulated in fluorescent alginate and treated with lytic enzymes. The fraction of pGAL-GOx genotype-positive colonies was quantified before and after enzymatic lysis. The recultivated population contained only pGAL-GOx positive cells which were protected from enzymatic lysis by the hydrogel layer. (B) Size exclusion by filtration. Encapsulated yeast cells in fluorescent alginate (green) were retained by the polycarbonate filter with 10 μm pores (dark spots). Scale bar indicates 100 μm. (C) A yeast cell population containing 10% cells carrying the pGAL-GOx gene was encapsulated in alginate and processed by filtration through a 10 μm pore filter membrane. The majority of non-encapsulated cells was removed, resulting in 95% pGAL-GOx positive cells retained on the filter.

Figure 6. pH-responsive chitosan capsules. (A, top) Single budding yeast cell encapsulated in a fluorescent chitosan capsule at pH 6. The chitosan hydrogel is swollen and invisible in bright field. (A, bottom) Single budding yeast cell encapsulated in fluorescent chitosan at pH 8. The polymer shell is collapsed around the cell. At pH 8, the chitosan layer around the cells is also visible in bright field mode as indicated by the red arrows. Scale bars indicate 10 μm. (B) Size distribution of chitosan-encapsulated single cells following resuspension in aqueous buffers with increasing pH from 6 to 8. Average capsule diameters and standard deviations: 67.6 ± 20.5 μm at pH 6, 27.8 ± 12.8 μm at pH 7, and 13.2 ± 4 μm at pH 8 (n>25 cells for each pH).
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