

# Associations between Dietary Patterns and Post-Bronchodilation Lung Function in the SAPALDIA Cohort

Nina Steinemann<sup>a, b</sup> Leticia Grize<sup>c, d</sup> Marco Pons<sup>e</sup> Thomas Rothe<sup>f</sup>  
Daiana Stolz<sup>g</sup> Alexander Turk<sup>h</sup> Christian Schindler<sup>c, d</sup> Christine Brombach<sup>a</sup>  
Nicole Probst-Hensch<sup>c, d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute of Food and Beverage Innovation, Zurich University of Applied Sciences, Life Sciences and Facility Management, Wädenswil, Switzerland; <sup>b</sup>Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Prevention Institute, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; <sup>c</sup>Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Basel, Switzerland; <sup>d</sup>University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland; <sup>e</sup>Division of Pulmonary Medicine, Regional Hospital of Lugano, Lugano, Switzerland; <sup>f</sup>Zürcher Höhenklinik Davos, Davos Clavadel, Switzerland; <sup>g</sup>Clinic of Pulmonary Medicine and Respiratory Cell Research, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland; <sup>h</sup>See-Spital Horgen, Horgen, Switzerland

## Keywords

Dietary pattern · Factor analysis · Lung function · Food frequency questionnaire · Epidemiological studies

## Abstract

**Background:** Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is not restricted to smokers. Dietary habits may contribute to the disease occurrence. Epidemiological studies point to a protective effect of fruit and vegetable intake against COPD.

**Objective:** To investigate the associations between dietary patterns and parameters of lung function related to COPD in the Swiss Cohort Study on Air Pollution and Lung and Heart Diseases in Adults (SAPALDIA). **Methods:** Data were included from the second follow-up assessment of the SAPALDIA cohort in 2010–2011 using a food frequency questionnaire. Principal component factor analysis was used to derive dietary patterns, whose association with FEV<sub>1</sub>, FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC, FEF<sub>2575</sub>, and COPD was investigated by applying multivariate regression analyses. **Results:** After adjustment for potential confounders, the “prudent dietary pattern” character-

ised by the predominant food groups vegetables, fruits, water, tea and coffee, fish, and nuts was positively associated with FEV<sub>1</sub> (increase of 40 mL per SD,  $p < 0.001$ ). Also for factor 3 (“high-carbohydrate diet”), we found a significant positive association with FEV<sub>1</sub> (with an increase per SD of 36 mL,  $p = 0.006$ ). **Conclusions:** The main results are consistent with a protective effect of a diet rich in fruits, vegetables, fish, and nuts against age-related chronic respiratory disease. If confirmed in prospective cohorts, our results may guide nutritional counselling towards respiratory health promotion.

© 2018 The Author(s)  
Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

## Introduction

Worldwide, the prevalence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is dramatically increasing. COPD will account for the third leading cause of death by 2020, thus representing a major public health issue [1–3].

Members of the SAPALDIA Team are listed in the Appendix.

Cigarette smoking has been established as the predominant risk factor for COPD, but not all smokers develop COPD. Furthermore, COPD also affects many never smokers. While environmental tobacco smoking, occupational inhalants and air pollutants originating from biomass burning and traffic exhaust are established COPD risk factors [4], dietary habits may also contribute importantly to disease aetiology. Epidemiological research points to a benefit of a diet rich in antioxidants and omega-3 fatty acids for protecting from loss of lung function and from COPD symptoms [5–12]. Protective effects of fruit and vegetable intake have been shown in several cohort studies [13–19]. In their review, Boeing et al. [20] also reported a preventive effect of COPD with increasing vegetable and fruit intake. In addition, in a case-control study from Japan, a significantly lower risk of COPD was observed with increasing total vegetable intake [21].

The independent effects of individual foods on health are difficult to establish because diets are eaten in specific combinations and contexts, i.e. strong correlations can exist between nutrients, foods, and also other lifestyle aspects. In order to get a broader picture of dietary behaviour, the authors suggested to assess dietary patterns rather than focus on nutrients [15, 22–24]. Apart from hypothesis-driven approaches, the application of “data-driven approaches,” i.e. exploratory approaches based on statistical dimension-reduction methods have been widely used to derive dietary patterns. In this case, dietary patterns are derived directly from the data and do not consider researchers assumptions. Principal component analysis and factor analysis are the most frequently applied dimension-reduction techniques in nutritional epidemiology [25–28].

The aim of this study was to derive dietary patterns for Swiss adults and to assess their association with lung function and COPD in the Swiss Cohort Study on Air Pollution and Lung and Heart Diseases in Adults (SAPALDIA). To focus on irreversible airway obstruction characterising COPD, we used data from post-bronchodilation measurements of lung function being used in the GOLD definition of COPD (i.e., requiring the ratio FEV1/FVC to be lower than 0.7 after bronchodilation).

## Material and Methods

### *Study Population*

The data used for the present analysis derive from the second follow-up assessment of the SAPALDIA study, the largest epidemiological cohort in Switzerland that integrates physiological assessments and bio samples. SAPALDIA was initiated in 1991 with

a baseline survey (SAPALDIA 1) to investigate the relationship between air pollution and lung diseases in adults recruited as random samples from inhabitant registries (18–60 years,  $n = 9,651$ ). The multi-centre cohort study includes 8 distinct urban and rural areas representing the demographic and environmental diversity of Switzerland (Aarau, Basel, Davos, Geneva, Lugano, Montana, Payerne, Wald). A first follow-up assessment of participants (SAPALDIA 2) was conducted in 2002. The methods and participation of SAPALDIA 1 and 2 have been described elsewhere [29, 30]. In SAPALDIA 3, which was conducted in 2010–2011, detailed information about dietary intake and physical activity (PA) was obtained in a random subset of participants.

Study approval was given by the central Ethics Committee of the Swiss Academy of Medical Sciences and the Cantonal Ethics Committees for each of the study areas. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to the execution of any of the health examinations.

For the current analysis, 2,178 SAPALDIA 3 participants with complete data on lung function, smoking history, PA, and dietary intake were considered.

### *Assessment of Dietary Intake and Identification of Dietary Patterns*

Dietary intake was collected using a paper form food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) designed to assess average food intake over the previous 4 weeks ([www.ernaehrungserhebung.ch](http://www.ernaehrungserhebung.ch)). The validated, 127-item, semi-quantitative paper form FFQ was handed out to SAPALDIA 3 participants after the conduct of a spirometry during an in-persons health examination. The FFQs were self-administered (detailed written instructions on how to handle the questionnaire were handed out to participants) [31].

Subjects were asked to indicate their consumption of each of the 127 food items during the past 4 weeks in terms of average frequency, portion size, and number of portions. The frequency was asked in 9 categories from “never” to “daily,” and the number of portions could be specified. The amounts of food were in gram or decilitre/centilitre, and as a measurement aid for estimating portion size, 3 pictures of each food item were shown. The FFQ additionally obtained information on preparation and cooking methods (using specific types of oil, butter and/or margarine), consumption of take-out foods, and the frequency of use of dietary supplements.

To prepare for dietary pattern analysis, the 127 food items listed in the FFQ were grouped into 25 predefined food groups on the basis of similarity of type of food and nutrient composition. The classification corresponded to a similar grouping already used in the National Nutritional Survey II in the Federal Republic of Germany [32, 33]. To identify food factors, principal component factor analysis was performed on the predefined food groups. Food group consumption (originally given in g/day) was expressed as a function of body weight (g food/kg body weight per day). To achieve better interpretability, the factors were transformed using Varimax rotation. Subsequently, the number of factors retained was based on the eigenvalues and interpretability. There were 6 factors with eigenvalues  $>1$ ; however, the 3 strongest factors were retained because of their clear interpretability. Their structure is summarised below in Table 1. The “predominant” food groups in factor 1 were vegetables, fruits, water, tea and coffee, fish, and nuts, in contrast to factor 2 where the dominant groups were meat, sausage, egg, fish, and alcohol. Factor 3 was characterised by sweet spreads, bread, dessert, and potatoes.

**Table 1.** Factor loadings estimated by factor analysis after extraction of three factors on 25 food groups

Food group	Factor loading		
	factor 1	factor 2	factor 3
Dairy products	0.0219	0.0977	0.1955
Cheese	0.1242	0.2350	0.2797
Meat	0.1005	0.7112	0.0245
Sausage	-0.2611	0.4973	0.2180
Fish	0.425	0.4269	-0.1444
Egg	0.0565	0.4595	-0.0194
Meat alternatives	0.1395	-0.1942	0.0402
Bread	0.0592	0.0769	0.6326
Cereals and grains	0.3725	0.1711	0.3331
Potato	0.1284	0.3286	0.4222
Legumes	0.1828	0.0899	-0.0564
Vegetables	0.7106	0.1761	0.0558
Fruits	0.6302	-0.1226	0.0892
Soup	0.3517	0.1188	0.0301
Sauce	0.2997	0.3631	0.2147
Dessert	0.2932	0.0525	0.4329
Nuts	0.3967	-0.1637	0.0966
Salty snacks	-0.0166	0.1788	0.0938
Composite foods	-0.1257	0.1157	0.3341
Water, tea and coffee	0.5271	-0.1110	0.1635
Soft drinks with sugar	-0.1151	0.0726	-0.0628
Soft drinks without sugar	-0.0215	0.0228	-0.1633
Alcohol	-0.2423	0.4183	-0.0780
Preparation fats and savory spreads	0.2259	0.2196	0.3484
Sweet spreads	0.0545	-0.1028	0.6661

Factors were interpreted based on variables with a factor loading of 0.40 or more. Factor 1: vegetables, fruits, water, tea and coffee, fish, nuts → “prudent pattern.” Factor 2: meat, sausage, egg, fish, alcohol → “traditional Western diet.” Factor 3: sweet spreads, bread, dessert, potato → “high-carbohydrate diet.”

Factor 1 seemed to represent vegetable foods and fish consumption, while factor 2 seemed to represent consumption of animal foods and alcohol. The characteristic features of factor 3 were foods rich in carbohydrates.

#### Assessment of Lung Function and Other Variables

In SAPALDIA 3, lung function was measured using the portable, ultrasonic EasyOne spirometer (nidd Medizintechnik AG, Zürich, Switzerland), which is widely used in epidemiological studies. In order to ensure strict quality control, field workers were trained to a standardised protocol, and the accuracy of the device was recorded and verified daily by using a 3-L syringe. Recalibrated lung function parameters were used for this analysis as previously described [34].

Spirometry was done before and after inhalation of a bronchodilator. For the present analysis, we considered lung function parameters that were assessed after the inhalation of salbutamol, fo-

cusing on the lung function parameters FEV1 (forced expiratory volume in 1 s), the ratio between FEV1 and FVC (forced vital capacity), and FEF25–75% (mean of the flow between the 25th and the 75th percentile of exhaled volume). In addition, we defined COPD as FEV1/FVC <0.7.

Other covariates considered for the present analysis were anthropometric data such as height and weight. Both were measured in the study centres, the latter by using calibrated scales (SECA 877, SECA GmbH & Co, Hamburg, Germany). In addition, several parameters were gathered in a computer-assisted interview based on a standardised questionnaire and led by trained field workers: sociodemographic variables such as educational level (low, medium, high), civil status (married, divorced, widowed, single) and employment status (employed, home, training/military/long vacation/unemployed, pension); detailed information on smoking status (never, former, current) and total amount of pack-years smoked, and the number of cigarettes per day, on exposure to environmental tobacco smoke in the last 12 months (yes/no), and on parental smoking in childhood (yes/no). Based on 4 short questions from the Swiss Health Survey questionnaire in 2012 [35] which concerned the frequency and duration of weekly PA, 2 PA variables were derived: one for the weekly number of minutes of moderate PA and the other one for the weekly time of vigorous PA.

#### Statistical Analyses

##### Data Pre-Processing

Prior to data entry, the FFQ paper forms were checked for completeness and possible errors. After scanning the FFQ paper forms, each questionnaire was checked for completeness, missing values, and structurally impossible answers (e.g., 2 boxes checked where only one was selectable). The following data management procedures were applied. If indications of frequency, portion size and number of portions were completely missing, the frequency information “never” was assigned to the respective food item. If at least one of frequency, portion size or number of portions was indicated, the following strategy was applied. Missing values of frequency or number of portions were imputed by the respective mean value for the given food item. Missing values of portion sizes were imputed by pre-set standard portion sizes.

In order to avoid bias from clearly wrongly reported food habits in the FFQ, the distribution of the total energy intake computed from the FFQ reports was considered. Upper and lower cut-offs for exceedingly high and low energy intakes, respectively, were defined at the 75th percentile plus 1.5 times the interquartile range (3,868.3 kcal) and the 25th percentile minus 1.5 times the interquartile range (242.3 kcal) [36]. Out of a total of 2,991 FFQs, 118 FFQs (3.9%) were excluded due to implausible energy intakes.

##### Statistical Methods

In the descriptive analyses, quantitative variables were described by their mean and standard deviation, and categorical variables by their frequency distribution. Principal component factor analysis was performed to identify dietary patterns.

In order to analyse the relationships between dietary patterns and lung function outcomes, multiple mixed linear and logistic regression models with random intercepts by study area were applied. All models contained the variables sex, age, and age squared as well as interactions between sex and the 2 age variables, and

**Table 2.** Characteristics of study participants in SAPALDIA 3 with food frequency questionnaire (*n* = 2,178)

Variable	All	Men	Women
Participants, <i>n</i> (%)	2,178 (100.0)	1,011 (46.4)	1,167 (53.6)
Age, years	58.6 (10.6)	58.5 (10.7)	58.7 (10.6)
Height, cm	168.8 (9.1)	175.6 (6.5)	162.8 (6.4)
Weight, kg	74.3 (15.0)	82.9 (12.3)	66.8 (13.0)
Body mass index <sup>a</sup>			
Underweight	28 (1.3)	2 (0.2)	26 (2.2)
Normal weight	963 (44.2)	334 (33.0)	629 (53.9)
Overweight	825 (37.9)	496 (49.1)	329 (28.2)
Obesity class I	289 (13.3)	152 (15.0)	137 (11.7)
Obesity class II	51 (2.3)	19 (1.9)	32 (2.7)
Obesity class III	22 (1.0)	8 (0.8)	14 (1.2)
Study area, <i>n</i> (%)			
Basel	310 (14.2)	147 (14.5)	163 (14.0)
Wald	391 (18.0)	188 (18.6)	203 (17.4)
Davos	238 (10.9)	113 (11.2)	125 (10.7)
Lugano	182 (8.4)	76 (7.5)	106 (9.1)
Montana	263 (12.1)	119 (11.8)	144 (12.3)
Payerne	274 (12.6)	126 (12.5)	148 (12.7)
Aarau	338 (15.5)	156 (15.4)	182 (15.6)
Geneva	182 (8.4)	86 (8.5)	96 (8.2)
Education, <i>n</i> (%)			
Low	130 (6.0)	32 (3.2)	98 (8.4)
Medium	1,452 (66.7)	622 (61.5)	830 (71.2)
High	596 (27.4)	357 (35.3)	239 (20.5)
Employment status, <i>n</i> (%)			
Employed	1,287 (59.1)	647 (64.0)	640 (54.8)
Home	138 (6.3)	11 (1.1)	127 (10.9)
Training, military, long vacation, not work	28 (1.3)	16 (1.6)	12 (1.0)
Pension	725 (33.3)	337 (33.3)	388 (33.3)
Civil status, <i>n</i> (%)			
Married	1,517 (69.7)	787 (77.8)	730 (62.6)
Divorced	268 (12.3)	98 (9.7)	170 (14.6)
Widowed	127 (5.8)	17 (1.7)	110 (9.4)
Single	266 (12.1)	109 (10.8)	157 (13.5)
Smoking status, <i>n</i> (%)			
Never	1,085 (49.8)	448 (44.3)	637 (54.6)
Former	749 (34.4)	398 (39.4)	351 (30.1)
Current	344 (15.8)	165 (16.3)	179 (15.3)
Pack years	11.7 (18.6)	14.6 (20.8)	9.1 (15.9)
Cigarettes per day	2.0 (5.9)	2.2 (6.6)	1.8 (5.2)
ETS/12 m <sup>b</sup> , <i>n</i> (%)			
No	1,925 (88.4)	890 (88.0)	1,035 (88.7)
Yes	253 (11.6)	121 (12.0)	132 (11.3)
Parental smoking, <i>n</i> (%)			
No	993 (45.6)	447 (44.2)	546 (46.8)
Yes	1,185 (54.4)	564 (55.8)	621 (53.2)
Lung function (post-bronchodilatation)			
FEV1	3.1 (0.8)	3.6 (0.8)	2.7 (0.6)
FEV1/FVC	0.8 (0.1)	0.8 (0.1)	0.8 (0.1)
FEF2575	2.7 (1.2)	3.1 (1.3)	2.4 (1.0)
COPD <sup>c</sup> , <i>n</i> (%)			
No	1,812 (83.2)	820 (81.1)	992 (85.0)
Yes	366 (16.8)	191 (18.9)	175 (15.0)

**Table 2** (continued)

Variable	All	Men	Women
Dietary intake			
Energy, kcal	2,038.8 (630.8)	2,172.3 (637.9)	1,923.1 (601.3)
Protein, g/day	97.6 (34.5)	106.1 (35.6)	90.2 (31.7)
Carbohydrates, g/day	268.2 (102.4)	281.7 (106.2)	256.5 (97.5)
Fat, g/day	76.2 (29.4)	81.6 (31.1)	71.5 (27.0)
Fibres, g/day	29.0 (12.3)	27.9 (11.4)	29.8 (12.9)
Physical activity			
Moderate physical activity, min/week	276.9 (303.9)	286.1 (314.5)	268.8 (294.4)
Vigorous physical activity, min/week	70.4 (91.9)	84.3 (101.5)	58.4 (80.8)

Data are presented as mean (SD) or as stated. <sup>a</sup> Underweight  $\leq 18.5$ , normal weight = 18.5–24.9, overweight = 25.0–29.9, obesity class I = 30.0–34.9, obesity class II = 35.0–39.9, obesity class III  $\geq 40.0$ . <sup>b</sup> Exposure to environmental tobacco smoke in the last 12 months. <sup>c</sup> Defined as FEV1/FVC  $< 0.7$ .

those for quantitative lung function variables also included height and an interaction between sex and height. The basic model (referred to as model 1) included these basic variables and the 3 dietary factors along with a priori selected potential confounder variables (smoking status, pack-years smoked, daily number of cigarettes smoked, exposure to passive smoking in the last 12 months, parental smoking in childhood, educational level, civil status, employment status, and PA, as described in the previous section). Model 2 (referred to as “main model”) was further adjusted for total energy intake, and model 3 additionally included body mass index (BMI). BMI was not included in the basic model because it may be both a confounder and an intermediate endpoint of dietary habits.

Results are expressed as mean change in the outcome per unit increment in the respective factor. As factors are z-standardised, one unit is equivalent to one standard deviation.

We conducted several sensitivity analyses. As the effects of smoking on lung function might not have been fully captured in our final model, we repeated all analyses in lifetime non-smokers. Moreover, to assess potential confounding by seasonal variations in diet and in lung function, we ran models, which additionally included the month of interview as categorical variable. Given previous findings suggesting a protective effect of omega-3 fatty acids [7] and fibre [37] on the risk of COPD, we added the 4 separate consumption variables for fatty and lean fish, and for whole grain and refined bread as additional covariates to the models to see whether associations with the 3 dietary patterns were robust to adjustment for these specific dietary items.

To address potential participation bias, additional analyses using inverse probability weighting were conducted [38]. For this purpose, the probability of being included in the present analysis was modelled using predictor variables assessed in the entire SAPALDIA 3 sample.

All statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software STATA (Release 13.1 Statistical Software; StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

## Results

### Study Population

The characteristics of the study population included in this analysis and answering an FFQ with complete data on all covariates in SAPALDIA 3 ( $n = 2,178$ ) are given in Table 2. Age ranged from 37.3 to 80.8 years, with a mean of 58.6 years; 53.6% were women. Considering anthropometric data of the participants, the mean height was 168.8 cm and mean weight was 74.3 kg. Their BMI ranged from 15.9 to 54.9, with a mean of 26.0. 82.1% had a BMI between 18.5 and 30 (Table 2). Two-thirds had a medium and 27.4% a high educational level. The majority of the subjects were employed at this period (59.1%) and one-third was retired. 69.7% of the study participants were married, followed by 12.1% singles, 12.3% divorced, and 5.8% widowed persons. Concerning smoking status, half of the participants were never smokers (49.8%), one-third were former smokers and 15.8% were current smokers at the time of the assessment. Males were more likely to have smoked than females (39.4 vs. 30.1%), and to smoke more heavily (14.6 vs. 9.1 mean pack years). 88.4% of the study group did not show an exposure to passive smoking in the last 12 months, but more than half of the participants (54.4%) affirmed parental smoking in childhood. In terms of lung function parameters, the study population showed a mean FEV1 of 3.1 L, a mean FEV1/FVC of 0.8 and a mean FEF2575 of 2.7 L/s. Males had higher levels in FEV1 and FEF2575 than females (3.6 vs. 2.7 and 3.1 vs. 2.4). 16.8% of the study participants had COPD according to the FEV1/FVC  $< 0.7$  cut-off. The prevalence of COPD was higher

**Table 3.** Independent associations between dietary patterns and FEV1, FEV1/FVC, and FEF2575, adjusted for different covariates ( $n = 2,178$ )

	Factor 1			Factor 2			Factor 3		
	Coeff	95% CI	<i>p</i> value	Coeff	95% CI	<i>p</i> value	Coeff	95% CI	<i>p</i> value
FEV1, mL									
Model 1 <sup>a</sup>	28.84	8.66 to 49.02	0.005	-10.06	-29.06 to 8.94	0.30	16.48	-2.83 to 35.79	0.09
Model 2 <sup>b</sup>	39.65	17.36 to 61.94	<0.001	3.56	-18.89 to 26.02	0.76	35.71	10.04 to 61.38	0.006
Model 3 <sup>c</sup>	22.52	-2.53 to 47.58	0.08	-11.17	-35.52 to 13.18	0.37	12.23	-18.93 to 43.38	0.44
FEV1/FVC, %									
Model 1	0.08	-0.22 to 0.39	0.60	-0.09	-0.38 to 0.20	0.56	-0.28	-0.57 to 0.01	0.06
Model 2	0.03	-0.31 to 0.37	0.87	-0.15	-0.49 to 0.19	0.38	-0.38	-0.76 to 0.01	0.06
Model 3	0.26	-0.12 to 0.63	0.19	0.03	-0.34 to 0.40	0.86	-0.02	-0.49 to 0.45	0.94
FEF2575, mL/s									
Model 1	28.60	-12.77 to 69.97	0.18	-7.13	-46.25 to 31.99	0.72	-19.61	-59.35 to 20.13	0.33
Model 2	30.22	-15.48 to 75.93	0.20	-5.10	-51.18 to 40.99	0.83	-16.73	-69.37 to 35.90	0.53
Model 3	39.73	-11.70 to 91.17	0.13	2.44	-47.64 to 52.52	0.92	1.63	-62.54 to 65.81	0.96

All three factors were included in the same model. The coefficients give the mean change in the outcome per unit increment in the respective factor. As factors are z-standardised, one unit is equivalent to one standard deviation. <sup>a</sup> Mixed linear regression models with random intercepts by study areas and adjusting for sex and interactions of sex with age, age<sup>2</sup> and height, for smoking status (never, former, current), pack-years smoked, daily number of cigarettes smoked, exposure to passive smoking in the last 12 months, parental smoking in childhood, educational level, civil status, employment status, and physical activity. <sup>b</sup> Further adjustment for total energy intake. <sup>c</sup> Model 2 with additional adjustment for body mass index as a categorical variable with 6 levels.

in males (18.9% vs. 15.0% in females). The mean energy and macronutrient intake was higher in males, except for fibres (29.8 g in females vs. 27.9 g in males). Male participants also tended to show a higher mean PA level than female participants (e.g., for moderate PA: 286.1 vs. 268.8 min/week).

The distributions of educational level, civil status, occupational categories, smoking categories, age, and BMI were comparable between participants included in the present analysis and SAPALDIA 3 participants not included due to incomplete data (e.g., because they were not randomly selected for answering the FFQ or did not have lung function testing ( $n = 2,574$ ) (see online suppl. Table S1; for all online suppl. material, see [www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000488148](http://www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000488148)). Women were over-represented in our analysis sample, and there was some heterogeneity in inclusion rates across study areas.

#### *Dietary Patterns and Lung Function Measurements*

Table 3 provides a summary of models 1–3 for the associations of lung function outcomes FEV1, FEV1/FVC, and FEF2575 with the 3 dietary factors combined. Factor 1 was positively associated with FEV1 in the models 1 and 2 (with increases per SD ranging between 29 and 40 mL, all  $p < 0.006$ ). After further adjustment for BMI, the as-

sociation decreased to 23 mL and was no longer significant ( $p = 0.08$ ).

Associations of FEV1/FVC with factor 1 were slightly positive but clearly non-significant. Regarding the relationship with the lung function parameter FEF2575, we found consistent positive associations with factor 1 (with estimated increases in FEF2575 between 29 and 40 mL/s per SD), but none of these associations was statistically significant. In contrast to FEV1, additional adjustment for BMI even increased the association of factor 1 with FEF2575 (to 40 mL/s per SD).

Factor 3 also showed a significant positive association with FEV1 in model 2 (with an increase per SD of 36 mL,  $p = 0.006$ ), whereas the associations were considerably smaller in model 1 (17 mL per SD,  $p = 0.09$ ) and in model 3 (12 mL per SD,  $p = 0.44$ ).

When analysing the independent relationship of factor 3 with FEV1/FVC, there was a borderline significant negative association in models 1 and 2 (-0.3 and -0.4% per SD, respectively).

Unlike in the case of FEV1, associations with factor 3 were negative across models 1 and 2 of FEF2575, without however reaching statistical significance. We found no association of factor 2 with any of the 3 lung function parameters.

**Table 4.** Independent associations between dietary patterns and COPD adjusted for different covariates ( $n = 2,178$ )

	COPD Gold		
	odds ratio	95% CI	<i>p</i> value
Factor 1			
Model 1 <sup>a</sup>	0.98	0.86–1.13	0.82
Model 2 <sup>b</sup>	0.97	0.84–1.13	0.70
Model 3 <sup>c</sup>	0.90	0.77–1.06	0.21
Factor 2			
Model 1	1.03	0.91–1.17	0.61
Model 2	1.02	0.88–1.18	0.83
Model 3	0.95	0.81–1.11	0.52
Factor 3			
Model 1	1.05	0.93–1.20	0.44
Model 2	1.03	0.87–1.21	0.76
Model 3	0.90	0.74–1.10	0.31

COPD defined as FEV1/FVC <0.7. All three factors were included in the same model. <sup>a</sup> Mixed logistic regression models with random intercepts by study areas and adjusting for sex and interactions of sex with age, age<sup>2</sup>, for smoking status (never, former, current), pack-years smoked, daily number of cigarettes smoked, exposure to passive smoking in the last 12 months, parental smoking in childhood, educational level, civil status, employment status, and physical activity. <sup>b</sup> Further adjustment for total energy intake. <sup>c</sup> Model 2 with additional adjustment for body mass index as a categorical variable with 6 levels.

In the subsample of never smokers, the effect estimates reported above tended to be larger (see online suppl. Table S2) and the estimated effect of factor 1 on FEV1 in model 3 was almost twice as high as in the entire sample and reached statistical significance ( $p = 0.02$ ).

Significant effect estimates did not show major changes when inverse probability weighting was applied (cf. see online suppl. Table S3). Most estimates of the effects of the 3 dietary factors were comparable between men and women (cf. see online suppl. Table S4).

#### *Dietary Patterns and COPD*

Table 4 presents the results of the multiple mixed logistic regression models estimating the independent associations of the 3 dietary factors with COPD. Associations with factor 1 were consistently negative, which is in line with the corresponding coefficients for FEV1. However, none of these associations was statistically significant.

Associations between COPD and factors 2 and 3 were positive in models 1 and 2 and negative in model 3, but highly non-significant throughout.

## Discussion

In the present study, we assessed the relation between dietary patterns and lung function outcomes. Three prominent food factors (dietary patterns) were derived by principal component factor analysis. Factor 1 reflected a “prudent pattern,” described by the predominant food groups vegetables, fruits, water, tea and coffee, fish, and nuts. Factor 2 could reflect a rather contrasting pattern, i.e. a traditional Western pattern characterised by a high intake of meat, sausage, egg, fish, and alcohol and thus likely representing a rather “unhealthy” diet. Factor 3 was characterised by a “high-carbohydrate diet,” i.e. a high intake of sweet spreads, bread, dessert, and potatoes.

Our major finding was the positive association of the “prudent pattern” (vegetables, fruits, water, tea and coffee, fish, and nuts) with FEV1. Associations with FEV1/FVC, FEF2575, and COPD were not statistically significant, but consistent with the FEV1 results.

In the case of FEV1, the statistical significance was lost, and the coefficient decreased after further adjustment for BMI. To what extent BMI is a confounder and/or a mediator of the associations between dietary habits and lung function could only be determined in a longitudinal study.

The findings are in line with other studies analysing the relationship of dietary patterns and dietary intake with lung function or COPD. Similar to our analysis, Shaheen et al. [13] showed in their cross-sectional cohort study a positive association between a prudent dietary pattern and FEV1. Our “prudent” pattern was very similar to theirs and differed only in terms of its wholemeal cereals content. Another recently published study found a lower risk of COPD with a higher intake according to a healthy diet [16]. In that prospective cohort study, associations between the risk of COPD and dietary patterns were analysed. A high Alternate Healthy Eating Index 2010 (AHEI-2010) diet score was reflecting a rather healthy diet, described by high intakes of whole grains, polyunsaturated fatty acids, nuts and long-chain omega-3 fats. In contrast to our “prudent pattern,” this pattern consisted of other food groups that are rich in dietary fibres, polyunsaturated fatty acids and long-chain omega-3 fats. Moreover, a cross-sectional study by Watson et al. [39] showed a specific protective effect of fruit and vegetable consumption on COPD. Other data of a prospective study of diet and decline in lung function in a general population also suggested a beneficial effect of a prudent pattern on the FEV1 level [40]. Finally, our findings add to the general evidence of a protective effect of antioxi-

dant intake in COPD, evidenced in part by previously reported negative associations of vitamin C with the prevalence of COPD. Cross-sectional studies have consistently shown that subjects with a high level of vitamin C intake have larger FEV1 than their counterparts [41, 42]. Oxidative stress and associated inflammation in the respiratory tract of COPD patients is well established [5].

A novel finding of our study was the positive association of factor 3 (“high-carbohydrate diet”) with FEV1, which disappeared though upon BMI adjustment. Given the opposite direction of the association with FEV1 and FEF2575, in the absence of previous evidence and in the light of the inconsistency of associations with other respiratory health indicators, this finding needs to be interpreted with caution, however.

Our results were consistent in men and women, but they tended to be stronger in never smokers. This may indicate the presence of some residual confounding from imperfect control of smoking effects or a stronger effect of nutritional factors in persons who had never smoked.

The strengths of this analysis include a large sample size ( $n = 2,178$ ) with rich information on lifestyle and environmental factors. Lung function data were obtained in the context of stringent spirometry protocols with well-trained field workers. Studying dietary patterns rather than single food items or nutrients has the advantage of addressing the influence of food habits in their lifestyle context. Knowledge of the effects of dietary patterns can be beneficial in designing preventive measures directed to stimulate alteration of dietary habits in specific subgroups of the population.

However, we need to address some potential limitations of these analyses. First, the dietary pattern analysis was based on a food group categorization of the 127-item FFQ into 25 food groups, which was done a priori and further evaluated with experts (see methods under assessment of dietary intake and identification of dietary patterns). Food attribution and categorization are influenced by cultural agreements and therefore differ, e.g. between European countries. Thus, despite being in accordance with cultural practice, food group categorization may be arbitrary to some extent, and this can pose limitations. Furthermore, the quality of the FFQ data is challenged by imperfect recall and difficulties in estimating portion size [43]. Despite the use of a validated FFQ, the study participants may have had difficulty recalling frequency and food portion size accurately. An additional challenge in the estimation of food intake could derive from the high level of detail in the food groups and the seasonality aspect, which could result in a tendency for over-reporting

or under-reporting of specific food groups. Yet, additional adjustment for seasonality aspects did not materially alter the reported associations.

Regarding social desirability, it is well known that women may be more likely to over-report food items related to a positive health image, e.g. fruits and vegetables, whereas sweets and cakes are usually associated with a rather negative health image and thus tend to be under-reported [44]. Moreover, for this analysis, dietary intake was measured only at one point in time, which introduces some random misclassification. However, this will affect intake of specific nutrients more than general dietary patterns. Also, it is well known that diet does track throughout a lifetime [45]. Despite these limitations, nutrition epidemiology presents an important research area because diet is a modifiable risk factor.

We also recognise that our study population could represent a group of people who differ from the general population in terms of health awareness, socioeconomic status and smoking behaviour. We therefore reran our models using inverse probability weighting. As all main findings could be confirmed, we think that these are unlikely affected by major selection bias.

The most important limitation is the cross-sectional study design as a result of the availability of detailed dietary information from the last SAPALDIA follow-up only, which limits our ability to infer a causal relationship between dietary intake and lung function and to differentiate between the 2 possible roles of BMI as a confounder and a mediator of this relationship.

In conclusion, our results are in line with a protective effect of a “prudent dietary pattern” against chronic respiratory disease. Apart from potential prevention benefits for cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, and cancer, a higher fruit and vegetables intake might also play a protective role in the pathogenesis of COPD through anti-inflammatory effects. For COPD prevention, smoking cessation is still the most relevant public health message. But our results point to diet as a modifiable potential risk factor of lung function decrease. Recommendations for high fruit and vegetable intake and low meat and alcohol intake may become an important pillar of respiratory disease prevention.

## Appendix

### *Current SAPALDIA Team*

*Study directorate:* N.M. Probst-Hensch (PI; e/g); T. Rochat (p), C. Schindler (s), N. Künzli (e/exp), J.M. Gaspoz (c).

*Scientific team:* J.C. Barthélémy (c), W. Berger (g), R. Bettschart (p), A. Bircher (a), C. Brombach (n), P.O. Bridevaux (p), L. Burdet

(p), D. Felber Dietrich (e), M. Frey (p), U. Frey (pd), M.W. Gerbase (p), D. Gold (e), E. de Groot (c), W. Karrer (p), F. Kronenberg (g), B. Martin (pa), A. Mehta (e), D. Miedinger (o), M. Pons (p), F. Roche (c), T. Rothe (p), P. Schmid-Grendelmeyer (a), D. Stolz (p), A. Schmidt-Trucksäss (pa), J. Schwartz (e), A. Turk (p), A. von Eckardstein (cc), E. Zemp Stutz (e). Scientific team at coordinating centers: M. Adam (e), I. Aguilera (exp), S. Brunner (s), D. Carballo (c), S.T. Caviezel (pa), I. Curjuric (e), A. Di Pascale (s), J. Dratva (e), R. Ducret (s), E. Dupuis Lozeron (s), M. Eeftens (exp), I. Eze (e), E. Fischer (g), M. Foraster (e), M. Germond (s), L. Grize (s), S. Hansen (e), A. Hensel (s), M. Imboden (g), A. Ineichen (exp), A. Jeong (g), D. Keidel (s), A. Kumar (g), N. Maire (s), A. Mehta (e), R. Meier (exp), E. Schaffner (s), T. Schikowski (e), M. Tsai (exp). a, allergology; c, cardiology; cc, clinical chemistry; e, epidemiology; exp, exposure; g, genetic and molecular biology; m, meteorology; n, nutrition; o, occupational health; p, pneumology; pa, physical activity; pd, paediatrics; s, statistics.

*Local fieldworkers:* Aarau: S. Brun, G. Giger, M. Sperisen, M. Stahel; Basel: C. Bürli, C. Dahler, N. Oertli, I. Harreh, F. Karrer, G. Novicic, N. Wyttenbacher; Davos: A. Saner, P. Senn, R. Winzeler; Geneva: F. Bonfils, B. Blicharz, C. Landolt, J. Rochat; Lugano: S. Boccia, E. Gehrig, M.T. Mandia, G. Solarì, B. Viscardi; Montana: A.P. Bieri, C. Darioly, M. Maire, Payerne: F. Ding, P. Danieli A. Vonnez; Wald: D. Bodmer, E. Hochstrasser, R. Kunz, C. Meier, J. Rakic, U. Schafroth, A. Walder. Administrative staff: N. Bauer Ott, C. Gabriel, R. Gutknecht.

## References

- Bridevaux PO, Gerbase MW, Schindler C, Felber Dietrich D, Curjuric I, Dratva J, et al: Sex-specific effect of body weight gain on systemic inflammation in subjects with COPD: Results from the SAPALDIA cohort study 2. *Eur Respir J* 2008;34:332–339.
- Bridevaux PO, Probst-Hensch NM, Schindler C, Curjuric I, Felber Dietrich D, Braendli O, et al: Prevalence of airflow obstruction in smokers and never-smokers in Switzerland. *Eur Respir J* 2010;36:1259–1269.
- Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K, Lim S, Shibuya K, Aboyans V, et al: Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012;380:2095–2128.
- Pauwels RA, Buist AS, Calverley PMA, Jenkins CR, Hurd SS: Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001;163:1256–1276.
- Joshi P, Kim WJ, Lee S-A: The effect of dietary antioxidant on the COPD risk: the community-based KoGES (Ansan-Anseong) cohort. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2015;10:2159–2168.
- Hirayama F, Lee AH, Binns CW, Hiramatsu N, Mori M, Nishimura K: Dietary intake of isoflavones and polyunsaturated fatty acids associated with lung function, breathlessness and the prevalence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: possible protective effect of traditional Japanese diet. *Mol Nutr Food Res* 2010;54:909–917.
- Shahar E, Folsom AR, Melnick SL, Tockman MS, Comstock GW, Gennaro V, et al: Dietary n-3 polyunsaturated acids and smoking-related chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Epidemiol* 2008;168:796–801.
- McKeever TM, Lewis SA, Cassano PA, Ocké M, Burney P, Britton J, et al: The relation between dietary intake of individual fatty acids, FEV1 and respiratory disease in Dutch adults. *Thorax* 2008;63:208–214.
- Thyagarajan B, Meyer KA, Smith LJ, Beckett WS, Williams OD, Gross MD, et al: Serum carotenoid concentrations predict lung function evolution in young adults: the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2011;94:1211–1218.
- McKeever TM, Lewis SA, Smit HA, Burney P, Cassano PA, Britton J: A multivariate analysis of serum nutrient levels and lung function. *Respir Res* 2008;9:67.
- Tsiligianni IG, van der Molen T: A systematic review of the role of vitamin insufficiencies and supplementation in COPD. *Respir Res* 2010;11:171.
- Agler AH, Kurth T, Gaziano JM, Buring JE, Cassano A: Randomised vitamin E supplementation and risk of chronic lung disease in the women's health study. *Thorax* 2011;66:320–325.
- Shaheen SO, Jameson KA, Syddall HE, Aihie Sayer A, Dennison EM, Cooper C, et al: The relationship of dietary patterns with adult lung function and COPD. *Eur Respir J* 2010;36:277–284.
- Varraso R, Camargo CA: More evidence for the importance of nutritional factors in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2012;95:1301–1302.
- Varraso R, Fung TT, Hu FB, Willett W, Camargo CA: Prospective study of dietary patterns and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among US men. *Thorax* 2007;62:786–791.
- Varraso R, Chiuve SE, Fung TT, Barr RG, Hu FB, Willett WC, et al: Alternate Healthy Eating Index 2010 and risk of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among US women and men: prospective study. *BMJ* 2015;350:h286.
- Varraso R, Fung TT, Barr RG, Hu FB, Willett W, Camargo CA: Prospective study of dietary patterns and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among US women 1–3. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2007;86:488–495.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the study participants, technical and administrative support, and the medical teams and field workers at the local study sites, the study could not have been done without their participation or help.

## Financial Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest

All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## Funding Sources

The study was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant No. 32 65896.01, NF 32 59302.99, NF 32 47BO 104283, NF3247BO 104288). This institution had no role in the design, analysis or writing of this article.

## Author Contributions

N.S., C.B., and N.P.H. designed the research, N.S., L.G., and N.P.H. conducted the research, N.S., L.G., and C.S. performed the statistical analysis and N.S., L.G., C.S. and N.P.H. wrote the paper. C.B., M.P., T.R., A.T., and D.S. provided guidance in drafting the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

- 18 Tabak C, Feskens EJM, Heederik D, Kromhout D, Menotti A, Blackburn HW: Fruit and fish consumption: a possible explanation for population differences in COPD mortality (The Seven Countries Study). *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1998;52:819–825.
- 19 Kaluza J, Larsson SC, Orsini N, Linden A, Wolk A: Fruit and vegetable consumption and risk of COPD: a prospective cohort study of men. *Thorax* 2017;72:500–509.
- 20 Boeing H, Bechthold A, Bub A, Ellinger S, Haller D, Kroke A, et al: Critical review: vegetables and fruit in the prevention of chronic diseases. *Eur J Nutr* 2012;51:637–663.
- 21 Hirayama F, Lee AH, Binns CW, Zhao Y, Hiramoto T, Tanikawa Y, et al: Do vegetables and fruits reduce the risk of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease? A case-control study in Japan. *Prev Med* 2009;49:184–189.
- 22 Varraso R, Garcia-Aymerich J, Monier F, Moual N Le, Battle J De, Miranda G, et al: Assessment of dietary patterns in nutritional epidemiology: principal component analysis compared with confirmatory factor analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2012;96:1079–1092.
- 23 McKeever TM, Lewis SA, Cassano PA, Ocké M, Burney P, Britton J, et al: Patterns of dietary intake and relation to respiratory disease, forced expiratory volume in 1 s, and decline in 5-y forced expiratory volume. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2010;92:408–415.
- 24 Mozaffarian D: Dietary and policy priorities for cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and obesity: a comprehensive review. *Circulation* 2016;133:187–225.
- 25 Hoffmann K: Application of a new statistical method to derive dietary patterns in nutritional epidemiology. *Am J Epidemiol* 2004;159:935–944.
- 26 Reedy J, Wirfält E, Flood A, Mitrou PN, Krebs-Smith SM, Kipnis V, et al: Comparing 3 dietary pattern methods – cluster analysis, factor analysis, and index analysis – with colorectal cancer risk: The NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2010;171:479–487.
- 27 Imamura F, Jacques PF: Invited commentary: dietary pattern analysis. *Am J Epidemiol* 2011;173:1105–1108.
- 28 Hu FB: Dietary pattern analysis: a new direction in nutritional epidemiology. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 2002;13:3–9.
- 29 Ackermann-Lieblich U, Kuna-Dibbert B, Probst-Hensch NM, Schindler C, Felber Dietrich D, Stutz EZ, et al: Follow-up of the Swiss Cohort Study on Air Pollution and Lung Diseases in Adults (SAPALDIA 2) 1991–2003: methods and characterization of participants. *Soz Präventivmed* 2005;50:245–263.
- 30 Martin BW, Ackermann-Lieblich U, Leuenberger P, Künzli N, Stutz EZ, Keller R, et al: SAPALDIA: methods and participation in the cross-sectional part of the Swiss Study on Air Pollution and Lung Diseases in Adults. *Soz Präventivmed* 1997;42:67–84.
- 31 Steinemann N, Grize L, Ziesemer K, Kauf P, Probst-Hensch N, Brombach C: Relative validation of a food frequency questionnaire to estimate food intake in an adult population. *Food Nutr Res* 2017;61:1305193.
- 32 Krems C, Bauch A, Götz A, Heuer T, Hild A, Möseneder J, et al: Methoden der Nationalen Verzehrsstudie II. *Ernaehrungs Umschau* 2006;53:44–50.
- 33 Heuer T, Krems C, Moon K, Brombach C, Hoffmann I: Food consumption of adults in Germany: results of the German National Nutrition Survey II based on diet history interviews. *Br J Nutr* 2015;113:1603–1614.
- 34 Bridevaux P-O, Dupuis-Lozeron E, Schindler C, Keidel D, Gerbase MW, Probst-Hensch NM, et al: Spirometer replacement and serial lung function measurements in population studies: results from the SAPALDIA study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2015;181:752–761.
- 35 Federal Statistical Office FSO: Swiss Health Survey 2012. Neuchâtel, FSO, 2013.
- 36 Melkonian SC, Daniel CR, Hildebrandt Ma, Tannir NM, Ye Y, Chow W-H, et al: Joint association of genome-wide association study-identified susceptibility loci and dietary patterns in risk of renal cell carcinoma among non-Hispanic whites. *Am J Epidemiol* 2014;180:499–507.
- 37 Varraso R, Willett WC, Camargo CA: Prospective study of dietary fiber and risk of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among US women and men. *Am J Epidemiol* 2010;171:776–784.
- 38 Hernan MA, Robins JM: Estimating causal effects from epidemiological data. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2006;60:578–596.
- 39 Watson L, Margetts B, Howarth P, Dorward M, Thompson R, Little P: The association between diet and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in subjects selected from general practice. *Eur Respir J* 2002;20:313–318.
- 40 McKeever TM, Scrivener S, Broadfield E, Jones Z, Britton J, Lewis SA: Prospective study of diet and decline in lung function in a general population. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002;165:1299–1303.
- 41 Romieu I: Nutrition and lung health. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2005;9:362–374.
- 42 Romieu I, Trenga C: Diet and obstructive lung diseases. *Epidemiol Rev* 2001;23:268–287.
- 43 Willett W: *Nutritional Epidemiology*, ed 2. New York, Oxford University Press, 1998.
- 44 Macdiarmid J, Blundell J: Assessing dietary intake: who, what and why of under-reporting. *Nutr Res Rev* 1998;11:231–253.
- 45 Post GB, Vente W de, Kemper HCG, Twisk JWR, Block G, Patterson B, et al: Longitudinal trends in and tracking of energy and nutrient intake over 20 years in a Dutch cohort of men and women between 13 and 33 years of age: The Amsterdam growth and health longitudinal study. *Br J Nutr* 2001;85:375.