Experimental setup and procedure for the measurement of the $^7\text{Be}(n,p)^7\text{Li}$ reaction at n_TOF$^\text{a}$
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1. Introduction

In July 2014 the second experimental area (EAR2) of the n_TOF spallation neutron-time-of-flight facility at CERN came into operation. The advantage of n_TOF, with respect to other neutron facilities in the world, is the extremely high instantaneous neutron flux delivered in a short time interval at the sample position. The still higher neutron flux features of the EAR2 facility and the n_TOF time-energy dynamic neutron energies well below those of the Li(p,n) reaction could easily interfere with background from other reaction channels on the sample backing or contaminants. The main requirements were thus to run the experiment on an as much as possible pure target and to detect the emitted proton in a very selective fashion.

The first experiment done at EAR2 was the measurement of the energy-dependent \(^7\)Be(n,\(\alpha\)) cross-section [4,5], of relevance for a possible nuclear solution to the cosmological Lithium abundance problem (CLIP) in the Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) framework [6,7]. Before the n_TOF measurement, the only existing data consisted in a single value measured in the 1960s at thermal neutron energy [8]. The new measurement indicated that the cross section of the \((n,\alpha)\) reaction was too low to significantly affect the abundance of primordial Lithium. Following that measurement, the last piece of information still missing in the BBN and CLIP scenario was an accurate measurement of the \(^7\)Be(n,p)\(^4\)Li cross-section. Indeed, the only two existing measurements on this reaction in the neutron energy range from thermal to \(10\) keV date back to the late 1980s and are in disagreement with each other [9,10]. Moreover, above approximately \(10\) keV the cross-section measurements on this reaction in the neutron energy range from thermal to \(400\) keV, and some preliminary data are shown.

Following the completion of the second neutron beam line and the related experimental area (EAR2) at the n_TOF spallation neutron source at CERN, several experiments were planned and performed. The high instantaneous neutron flux available in EAR2 allows to investigate neutron induced reactions with charged particles in the exit channel even employing targets made out of small amounts of short-lived radioactive isotopes. After the successful measurement of the \(^7\)Be(n,\(\alpha\)) cross section, the \(^7\)Be(n,p)\(^4\)Li reaction was studied in order to provide still missing cross section data of relevance for Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN), in an attempt to find a solution to the cosmological Lithium abundance problem. This paper describes the experimental setup employed in such a measurement and its characterization.

© 2017 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
2. Experimental setup

Contrary to the measurement of the $^7\text{Be}(n,\alpha)$ reaction, the high cross-section of the (n,p) channel allowed for a lower efficiency detection system that could be placed off-beam. The main advantages of such a setup were the reduction of: (i) the pile-up issues; (ii) the background of 478 keV $\gamma$-rays following the natural decay of the $^7\text{Be}$ target nuclei into $^7\text{Li}$; and (iii) the huge background due to the so-called $\gamma$-flash, i.e. the big prompt burst of $\gamma$-rays and relativistic charged particles produced by the n_TOF spallation target. These three issues would have posed severe limitations on the performance of an in-beam detector arrangement similar to the one exploited in Refs. [4,5].

In order to use the identification of the emitted 1.44 MeV protons as signature of the reaction under study, a silicon telescope detector was chosen. Besides providing an absolute energy response, silicon has a low sensitivity to $\gamma$-rays and scattered neutrons. Indeed, $\gamma$-rays and neutrons up to $\approx$1 MeV basically produce low amplitude signals, with an interaction probability $\approx 10^{-3} \div 10^{-4}$. Furthermore, they can practically interact only with one of the elements of a telescope, and are therefore efficiently rejected by the coincidence technique. To maximize the geometrical efficiency a reasonably wide detector was needed, and for this reason a 5 cm $\times$ 5 cm geometry was chosen. Finally, in order to circumvent the noise problem associated with large area silicon detectors, in particular for the thinner $\Delta E$ stage characterized by a large capacitance, it was decided to employ a strip-like geometry. Both $\Delta E$ and E stages were made of 16 strips 3 mm wide and 50 mm long, with an inter-strip gap of 0.1 mm. The thickness was respectively 20 and 300 $\mu$m, with a 7 mm distance between the two stages. The nominal energy loss of a 1.4 MeV proton impinging perpendicularly on the telescope is 0.86 MeV on the $\Delta E$ stage before it is stopped on the E stage. Additional benefits of the strip detectors are: (i) rejection of spurious background by means of a more selective geometrical choice of the $\Delta E$–E coincidences; (ii) a better tool to evaluate the geometrical efficiency and the target alignment from the data, which can be easily compared with numerical simulations; (iii) the possibility of roughly checking the expected isotropy of the angular distribution of the emitted protons.

In Fig. 1 a 3D sketch and a vertical profile of the detector setup are shown, not to scale and with exaggerated thickness of the E and $\Delta E$ silicon detectors for better clarity. In Fig. 2 we show the scattering chamber installed on the vertical neutron beam line in EAR2. The front-end electronics boxes are visible, whereas the target and the telescope detector are inside.

A standard commercial front-end and readout electronics was chosen for the setup. The preamplifiers consisted of 16 units of CAEN-A1422H-F3 [13] for the $\Delta E$ stage (90 mV/MeV gain), and 16 units of CSPA-03M.02a [14] for the E stage (50 mV/MeV gain). The rise time of the signals from the preamplifiers for the $\Delta E$ and E stages were respectively 100 and 50 ns. Two 16-channel CAEN-N568B modules were used as shaping amplifiers, and their shaping time was optimized at 0.2 $\mu$s by means of calibration $\alpha$-sources. The analog signals were then digitized using Acqiris flash ADCs with up to 14 bit resolution and up to 2 GHz sampling rate.

The $\Delta E$ and E silicon detectors, along with the front-end electronics, were tested on the bench by means of $\alpha$-sources. The behavior was quite uniform strip-by-strip, as can be seen in Fig. 4 showing the FWHM...
resolution for each $\Delta E$ and $E$ detector strip, tested with a pulser and with $^{238}\text{U}$ (for the $\Delta E$ detector) and $^{241}\text{Am}$ (for the $E$ detector).

Fig. 5. Insertion of the $^7\text{Be}$ radioactive target in the setup, with a support independent of the telescope detector installed from the opposite side of the chamber.

Fig. 6. Detection efficiency of the setup normalized to unity area, as a function of strip number, obtained in the GEANT4 simulation (isotropic emission was assumed) and with $^6\text{LiF}$ target (triton detection in the validation test).

Fig. 7. Detection efficiency of the setup normalized to unity area, as a function of strip number, obtained in the GEANT4 simulation (isotropic emission was assumed) and with $^7\text{Be}$ target (proton detection).

The $\alpha$ particles were stopped in the $\Delta E$ detector layer, therefore they could not be registered in coincidence mode. Conversely, the tritons

3. The validation test

In order to assess the feasibility of the measurement the $^6\text{LiF}$ sample was inserted in the setup on the EAR2 beam line. The exploited test reaction was the well known

$$^6\text{Li} + n \rightarrow t (2.73 \text{ MeV}) + \alpha (2.05 \text{ MeV})$$

(1)
crosed the $\Delta E$ and were stopped in the E layer, releasing respectively 1 and 1.7 MeV (nominal values when tritons are emitted from the very front face of the sample and impinge perpendicularly on the detector). Fig. 8 shows the $\Delta E$-E scatter plot measured in the test reaction (1). The triton pattern is clearly visible and its wide energy spread in both directions is due to variable energy loss in the target, depending on the emission depth and angle, and to the spread of incidence angles on the detector.

By selecting the events falling within the triton locus, and profiting by the information about the hit strip, one can evaluate the relative detection efficiency for the tritons produced in the $^6$Li$(n,\alpha)$ reaction as a function of strip number. The related plot in Fig. 6 shows the measured distribution for low-energy neutrons ($E_n < 50$ keV), as compared with a GEANT4 simulation assuming isotropic emission from the target (the triton emission in the $^6$Li$(n,\alpha)$ reaction is isotropic for neutron energies below 50 keV). The slight difference between data and simulation in Fig. 6 indicates a small misalignment between the beam and the target center. Nonetheless, the total detection efficiency as a function of the incident neutron energy was evaluated by means of a GEANT4 simulation and the results are shown in Fig. 9 (continuous line, right-hand axis).

The $^6$Li$(n,\alpha)$ data represent a very reliable absolute reference to normalize the $^7$Be$(n,p)^7$Li data, as the cross-section is an international standard from thermal up to 1 MeV neutron energy [19]. In order to prove their reliability, these data were also used to explicitly reconstruct the $^6$Li$(n,\alpha)$ cross-section, by making use of the known neutron flux in EAR2 which had been determined by means of a set of independent measurements based on different reference reactions and employing several different detector technologies [20]. The good agreement between the so obtained cross-section and the standard one reported in the ENDF database [21] is shown in Fig. 9, where the dip in the detection efficiency corresponds to the well-known resonance in the $^6$Li$(n,\alpha)$ cross-section which has a mostly p-wave forward–backward distribution [22]. A final redundant check was also performed with respect to the independent neutron beam monitor SIMON2, which is permanently installed in the beam line and which is based on another $^6$LiF target coupled to four silicon detectors [22]. Also in this case a good agreement was obtained within the experimental uncertainties.

4. Preliminary data

A preliminary test run was done with the lower activity $^7$Be target (20 MBq), to further prove the overall feasibility of the measurement. The test was followed by the final measurement with the 1.1 GBq activity target, and both results were in agreement within the statistical uncertainties. In Fig. 10 we show the distribution of the time interval between corresponding $\Delta E$ and E strips in coincidence events as a function of the neutron time-of-flight. The higher statistics region around 5÷8 ms corresponds to the thermal neutron energy range. Fig. 11 shows the projection of Fig. 10 onto the Y-axis, that represents the overall coincidence time distribution. One can see that it is centered around 100 ns, due to delays introduced by electronics and cabling, with a FWHM resolution around 23 ns. The two signals from a coincidence, produced by a proton crossing the $\Delta E$ detector and stopped in the E detector, are shown in Fig. 12.

The width of the time coincidence window between the strips in the $\Delta E$ detector and the corresponding strips in the E detector was chosen as 100 ns. Signals on corresponding $\Delta E$ and E strips within such a time window were assumed to be proton candidate events. For such events a $\Delta E$-E scatter plot was built, and the geometrical locus ascribed to protons is clearly visible (Fig. 13). By selecting the events falling within the proton locus, and profiting by the information about the hit strip, the relative detection efficiency for the protons produced in the $^7$Be$(n,p)^7$Li reaction was evaluated as a function of strip number. The related plot is reported in Fig. 7 and compared to the simulation results, where isotropic emission from the target was assumed. Even
Fig. 11. Overall distribution of the coincidence time between corresponding $\Delta E$ and $E$ strips, obtained by projecting the scatter plot of Fig. 10 on the $Y$-axis.

Fig. 12. Snapshot of the $\Delta E$ and $E$ signals produced by a proton in a strip–strip coincidence event.

Fig. 13. $\Delta E$-$E$ scatter plot measured from the $^7$Be($n$,p)$^7$Li reaction, with the proton locus clearly visible.

Fig. 14. $\Delta E$-$E$ scatter plot measured with a dummy target consisting of the aluminum backing alone.

Fig. 15. The signal-to-background ratio in eight neutron energy bins. The signal was the number of proton events in the $^7$Be($n$,p)$^7$Li reaction. The background data were produced using a dummy target with only the aluminum backing.

in this case the difference between data and simulation indicates a small misalignment between the beam and the target center, which does not affect significantly the evaluation of the total efficiency and consequently of the cross section.

A run with a dummy target, consisting of an aluminum backing without $^7$Be, was performed to evaluate the background contribution due to the target support as a function of neutron energy. The corresponding scatter plot in Fig. 14, with a much larger bin size in order to highlight the overall shape in spite of the very low statistics, demonstrates the very low background level achieved by the $\Delta E$-$E$ coincidence technique. The signal-to-background ratio, normalized to the same number of incident neutrons, was plotted in Fig. 15. The signal is represented by the number of proton events measured in the $^7$Be($n$,p)$^7$Li reaction, and the background is the corresponding number measured with the dummy target. Even up to several 100 keV the signal-to-background ratio remains of the order of 10, thus implying that the cross section under investigation could be measured up to this energy range.

5. Conclusion

In order to fit one last missing piece of information into the BBN scenario, an accurate measurement of the $^7$Be($n$,p)$^7$Li cross-section was planned and performed, as the only two existing measurements from thermal to keV energies on this reaction date back to the 1980s and are in disagreement with each other. The experimental setup described in this paper proved to be reliable and perfectly suited for the task, fulfilling all the expectations and featuring an outstanding signal-to-background performance in a wide energy range, including the one of interest for BBN (i.e. 20 ÷ 100 keV). The absolute normalization to the $^6$Li(n,$\alpha$) international standard cross-section, and the redundant normalization to the known neutron flux in EAR2, strongly support the
reliability of the resulting cross-section in the neutron energy range from thermal up to \approx 400 \text{ keV}, whose detailed data analysis and physical implications will be discussed in a separate forthcoming paper [12].
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