

Eitan Grossman, Peter Dils,
Tonio Sebastian Richter & Wolfgang Schenkel (eds.)

Greek Influence on Egyptian-Coptic:
Contact-Induced Change in an Ancient African Language

Lingua Aegyptia
Studia Monographica

Herausgegeben von
Frank Kammerzell, Gerald Moers und Kai Widmaier

Band 17

Institut für Archäologie
Humboldt Universität
Berlin

Widmaier Verlag
Hamburg

Institut für Ägyptologie
Universität Wien
Wien

Greek Influence on Egyptian-Coptic:
Contact-Induced Change
in an Ancient African Language

DDGLC Working Papers 1

edited by

Eitan Grossman, Peter Dils,

Tonio Sebastian Richter & Wolfgang Schenkel

Widmaier Verlag · Hamburg

2017

Titelaufnahme:
Eitan Grossman, Peter Dils, Tonio Sebastian Richter & Wolfgang Schenkel (eds.)
Greek Influence on Egyptian-Coptic:
Contact-Induced Change in an Ancient African Language
Hamburg: Widmaier Verlag, 2017
(Lingua Aegyptia – Studia Monographica; Bd. 17)
ISSN 0946-8641
ISBN 978-3-943955-17-0

© Widmaier Verlag, Kai Widmaier, Hamburg 2017
Das Werk, einschließlich aller seiner Teile, ist urheberrechtlich geschützt.
Jede Verwertung außerhalb der engen Grenzen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes ist ohne Zustimmung
des Verlages unzulässig und strafbar. Das gilt insbesondere für Vervielfältigungen, Übersetzungen,
Mikroverfilmungen und die Einspeicherung und Verarbeitung in elektronischen Systemen.
Gedruckt auf säurefreiem, archivierfähigem Papier.
Druck und Verarbeitung: Hubert & Co., Göttingen
Printed in Germany

www.widmaier-verlag.de

CONTENTS

PREFACE	vii–viii
---------------	----------

1 LINGUISTIC INTRODUCTION

Pieter Muysken Using Scenarios in Language Contact Studies: Linguistic Borrowing into Coptic	3–16
--	------

2 VIEWS ON LANGUAGE CONTACT IN ROMAN AND BYZANTINE EGYPT

Roger S. Bagnall Zones of Interaction between Greek and Egyptian in Roman Egypt.....	19–26
---	-------

Joachim Friedrich Quack How the Coptic Script Came About.....	27–96
--	-------

Sofia Torallas Tovar The Reverse Case: Egyptian Borrowing in Greek	97–113
---	--------

Ewa D. Zakrzewska “A Bilingual Language Variety” or “the Language of the Pharaohs”? Coptic from the Perspective of Contact Linguistics.....	115–161
---	---------

3 BORROWING FROM GREEK INTO COPTIC: ISSUES AND FINDINGS

Parts of Speech

Mathew Almond An Introduction and Overview to Greek Adjectives in Coptic	165–194
---	---------

Barbara Egedi Remarks on Loan Verb Integration into Coptic.....	195–206
--	---------

Eitan Grossman & Tonio Sebastian Richter Dialectal Variation and Language Change: The Case of Greek Loan-Verb Integration Strategies in Coptic.....	207–236
---	---------

Andrea Hasznos	
Syntactic Patterns Used after Verbs of Exhorting	237–264
Matthias Müller	
Greek Connectors in Coptic. A Contrastive Overview I	265–315
Elsa Oréal	
Greek Causal Discourse Markers in Coptic Letters: A Case Study in the Pragmatics of Code-Switching	317–333
Eitan Grossman & Stéphane Polis	
Polysemy Networks in Language Contact: The Borrowing of the Greek-Origin Preposition κατά/κατα in Coptic	335–367

Borrowing and Dialectal Variety of Coptic

Wolf-Peter Funk	
Differential Loan across the Coptic Literary Dialects.....	369–397
Nathalie Bosson	
Loanwords in Early Bohairic (B4): Problematics and Main Features	399–421
Anne Boud'hors	
Greek Loanwords in Fayyumic Documentary Texts.....	423–439

Author and Genre

Ariel Shisha-Halevy	
A Structural-Interferential View of Greek Elements in Shenoute.....	441–455
Heike Behlmer	
Differentiating Lexical Borrowing according to Semantic Fields and Text Types – A Case Study	457–478

4 BORROWING FROM SEMITIC LANGUAGES INTO EGYPTIAN-COPTIC

Jean Winand	
Identifying Semitic Loanwords in Late Egyptian	481–511
Tonio Sebastian Richter	
Borrowing into Coptic, the Other Story: Arabic Words in Coptic Texts.....	513–533

Greek Connectors in Coptic. A Contrastive Overview I

Matthias Müller¹

Abstract

The present paper presents an overview on the use of coordinating connectors of Greek etymology in Coptic. However, the use of connectors of Coptic etymology is contrasted to these so as to receive a fuller picture. The data are mainly taken from Sahidic and Bohairic texts with occasional reference to other varieties of Coptic. The second part of this paper, which treats the subordinating connectors, appeared as Müller 2012.

1 Introduction

I had initially been asked to provide an overview on the topic of Greek conjunctions in Coptic. It soon turned out, however, that Coptic presents some problems in defining such a category precisely. Neither morphology nor syntax supplies enough features to differentiate true conjunctions from conjunctive adverbs or prepositions used as conjunctions. Therefore, I decided to broaden the scope of the paper by taking the larger category of connectors into consideration.

In addition, I have chosen a wider perspective on the matter, so as to contrast the attested Greek connectors with the native counterparts found in Coptic. The usual lists only present the attested Greek connectors without any relation to indigenous patterns. This makes it impossible to ascertain whether the former are typical or marginal patterns. Further research is needed, specifically with regard to a quantitative approach to the various patterns as well as preference in different textual sorts or in the specific style of certain authors. While a couple of patterns can be found in documentary texts, others seem confined to translational literature or are specific to certain Coptic writers, such as Shenute.

Although the paper focusses primarily on the use of Greek connectors, I have added short descriptions of the use of indigenous Coptic connectors if these are underrepresented in the descriptions of modern Coptic grammars. Generally, references to the standard descriptions of Coptic are given. These are Stern 1880 for Sahidic and Bohairic, Mallon

1 Universität Basel (<matthias.mueller@unibas.ch>).

I wish to express my gratitude to Christian Askeland/Münster, Heike Behlmer/Göttingen, Anne Boud'hors/Paris, Jennifer Cromwell/Copenhagen, Andrea Hasznos/Berlin, Jürgen Horn/Göttingen, Elsa Oréal/Paris and Sami Uljas/Uppsala for comments and data they supplied. The research for this paper has been carried out within the project *Diachronic Grammar of Egyptian & Coptic* of the Egyptological Seminar in Basel.

For the glossing see below *Abbreviations used in glossing*.

⁴1956 for Bohairic as well as Reintges 2004 and Layton ³2011 for Sahidic.² Of these, only Reintges 2004 at first seems suitable for typologists (i.e., for a readership lacking specific knowledge of Coptic looking for glossed examples), but in matters of terminology Stern 1880 and Till 1970 are easier to access even without glossing.

In agreement with the editors of the conference volume, the paper has been divided into two parts. The first one presented here deals with the patterns of coordination; what was originally the second part, devoted to patterns that in Western European languages would usually be subordinated clauses, has already appeared, as Müller 2012. Following a suggestion of the peer-reviewers, examples for Coptic patterns that do not use Greek connectors and were only referred to in footnotes in Müller 2012 have here been moved to the main text as glossed examples.

2 The category *connector*

From the standpoint of Greek etymology, in Coptic we encounter Greek connectors that are classifiable as particles (e.g., =ΔΕ =*de* “yet”), conjunctive adverbs (e.g., ΠΛΗΝ *plên* “nevertheless” or ΕΤΙ *eti* “still”) or prepositional phrases (e.g., ΚΑΤΑΘΕ *kata-t-he* “like”).

In Coptic, these connectors may consist of a single element such as ΔΛΛΑ *alla* “but”, ΠΛΗΝ *plên* “nevertheless”, =ΔΕ =*de* “yet”, ΖΟΜΩΣ *homôs* “likewise”, ΠΑΛΙΝ *palin* “again”, Η Ê “or”, etc., or of several elements such as ΟΥΤΕ ... ΟΥΤΕ ... *ute ... ute* “neither ... nor”, ΟΥΔΕ ... ΟΥΔΕ ... *ude ... ude* “neither ... nor ...”, ΟΥΜΟΝΟΝ(ΔΕ) ... ΔΛΛΑ ... *u-monon(=de)* ... *alla* “not only ... but ...”, ... =ΜΕΝ ... =ΔΕ =*men ... =de* ... “on the one hand ..., on the other hand ...”, ΚΑΝ ... ΚΑΝ ... *kan ... kan* ... “whether ... whether ...”, ΕΙΤΕ ... ΕΙΤΕ ... *ite ... ite* ... “be it ... be it...” In both categories, particles as well as adverbs can be found. In terms of syllable structure we find monosyllabic (such as Η Ê, =ΔΕ =*de*, =ΓΑΡ =*gar* “for”, ΖΩΣ *hôs* “like”), bisyllabic (such as ΠΑΛΙΝ *palin*, ΚΑΙΓΑΡ *kaigar* “for”, ΕΤΙ *eti* “still”, ΖΑΜΑ *hama* “at the same time”) and polysyllabic structures (such as ΜΑΛΙΣΤΑ *malista* “moreover”, ΕΦΟΣΟΝ *ephoson* “as long as”, ΕΙΜΗΤ *imêti* “unless”). Although connectors, being part of the morphological residual category of particles, have a tendency to shorter structures, we find monosyllabic adverbs (ΠΛΗΝ *plên*) as well as bisyllabic conjunctions (ΔΛΛΑ *alla*).

Furthermore, the syntactic position of the borrowed connector gives no clue as to whether it belongs to the class of ‘real’ conjunctions or conjunctive adverbs, as all of these are almost always in initial position, except for a couple of second-position clitics (such as =ΔΕ =*de* or =ΓΑΡ =*gar*). (By contrast, Coptic adverbs or adverbial expressions of native origin would normally appear in a position towards the right periphery of the clause in unmarked use.) Non-initial employment of first-position connectors is so rare that it could³ be considered quantitatively irrelevant; some of the few examples to be found are given below as ex. 1 & 2 with ΛΟΙΠΟΝ *loipon* “then, therefore, further”:

2 Although it supplies plenty of material for the dialects Sahidic & Bohairic as well as Fayumic and Akhmimic, I have refrained from adding references to Chaîne’s grammar (1933) for reasons of accessibility and terminology.

3 A possible explanation might be sought in a diachronic development, which could be outlined along the following possible stages (see also Müller & Uljas 2016): 1) use of the Greek adverb in initial

- (1) After condemning the *tomus Leonis*, the author assures the audience that his hero, Apa Macarius of Tkow, believed properly in the Nicean creed. So he continues:

ΜΑΡΕΝΚΤΟΝ	ΛΟΙΠΟΝ	ΕΧΗΝΕΣΟΜ	ΜΗΝΕΩΠΗΡΕ	ΝΤΑΥΑΔΥ
<i>mare-n-kto-n</i>	loipon	<i>ečn-en-com</i>	<i>mn-ne-špêre</i>	<i>nt-a-f-aa-u</i>
JUSS-1P-turn-1P	then	upon-DEF.P-power	and-DEF.P-wonder	REL-PST-3MS-do-3P
ΝΤΕΝΩΔΑΞΕ	ΕΡΟΟΥ	ΚΑΤΑΘΕ	ΝΤΑΝΕΡΗΤ	ΝΗΤΝ
<i>nte-n-šače</i>	<i>ero-u</i>	<i>kata-t-he</i>	<i>nt-a-n-erêt</i>	<i>nê-tn</i>
CNJ-1P-say	OBJ-3P	like-DEF.F-way	REL-PST-1P-promise	to-2P
ΖΝΤΑΡΧΗ	ΜΠΙΕΓΚΩΜΙΟΝ			
<i>hn-t-arkhê</i>	<i>m-pi-egkômion</i>			
in-DEF.F-beginning	of-DEM.M-panegyric			

“Therefore, let us turn to the mighty works and wonders that he performed, and let us narrate them in the way that we promised to you in the beginning of this panegyric.”

^sDioscuros of Alexandria, *A Panegyric on Macarius III*.13 (Johnson 1980: 21b, 8–12)

- (2) The fame of St Simeon spreads throughout the area:

ΟΥΘ	ΝΑΥΝΗΟΥ	ΖΑΡΟΥ	ΛΟΙΠΟΝ	ΝΧΕΟΥΜΗΘ
<i>uoh</i>	<i>na-u-nêu</i>	<i>haro-f</i>	loipon	<i>nče-u-mêš</i>
con	PRT-3P-come.STA	to-3MS	then	PTC-IDF.S-crowd
ΕΦΟΥ	ΕΒΟΛ	ΖΙΦΟΥΕΙ		
<i>e-f-oš</i>	<i>ebol</i>	<i>hi-p^h-uei</i>		
DEP-3MS-grow.STA	out	from-DEF ₁ .M-far		

“And then an ever-growing crowd came to him from afar.”

^B*Life & Miracles of St Simeon Stylites* (Chaîne 1948: 36, 8–11)

Probably the most basic definition for connectors would be that they “connect words, phrases, or clauses” and are usually distinguished into coordination and subordinating connectors (Schachter & Shopen 2007: 45). Other definitions, such as the one given in Pasch et al. (2003), are often too language specific.

Resulting from the above-sketches, I follow within the present paper a functional approach to coordination (Mauri 2008: 23–48). Hence two (or more) grammatical entities considered to be in a functional parallelism are analysed as coordinated, irrespective of their possibly different grammatical structures. This functional parallelism entails semantic, conceptual, and pragmatic parallelism (Mauri 2008: 32–41).

position as it would appear in Greek, 2) full incorporation of the adverb in the language system and thus moving it rightwards in the clause. For the latter stage, one might quote the treatment of adverbs and connectors independently of their origin in the late indigenous grammars, such as that by Athanasius of Qus (Bauer 1971: 283–289).

3 Semantically coordinating connectors (*conjunctions*)

3.1 Additive/connective

Coptic origin	Greek origin
Connective	
^{SB} JUXTAPOSITION “and”	^S kai- “and”
^S auô / ^B uoh “and”	
^S mn- / ^B nem- “and”	
^{SB} hi- “both ... and ...”	
^{SB} Conjunctive “and then/thus”	
Additive	
	<i>u-monon(-de) ... alla ...</i> “not only ... but ...”

The pattern of *juxtaposition* (Ernst 1994; ^{SB}Stern 1880: §591) is possibly the most widespread one (see examples 3b and 7 below). It is regularly employed on the phrasal as well as on the clausal level and is the unmarked pattern for the coordination of main clauses in past states of affairs. All other indigenous coordinators are monosyndetic (Haspelmath 2007: 8–10) and prepositive (*A co B*) and are usually repeated with multiple coordinands, i.e., coordinator omission is usually not licensed (thus *A co B ... co N* instead of *A B ... co N*, see the examples given in 3 and 4). No special patterns for representative or augmentative coordination exist and inclusory conjunction is not specifically marked, while emphatic coordination, in addition to ϩi- *hi-* (see below), can be expressed by the additional ἄπερναϩ *m-pe-snau* “both together (lit: as the two)”; see Haspelmath 2007 for terminology and typological patterns.

(3a) Jesus addresses the Pharisees as:

ἄσοϩ	αϩω	ἄβλλε
<i>n-soc</i>	<i>auô</i>	<i>n-blle</i>
DEF.P-stupid	CON	DEF.P-blind

(3b) As above:

ἄσοϩ	ἄβλλη
<i>n-sac</i>	<i>n-bllê</i>
DEF.P-stupid	DEF.P-blind

(3c) As above:

ἄσοϩ	οϩοϩ	ἄβελλε
<i>ni-soč</i>	<i>uoh</i>	<i>m-belle</i>
DEF ₂ -P-stupid	CON	as-blind
“You stupid and blind!”		

Matthew 23:17 (a ^SAranda Perez 1984: 241;

b ^MSchenke 1981: 109; c ^BHorner 1898–1905: I 210)

- (6) With the help of the Lord, St Philotheos ordered pagan idols to kill their priest and afterwards made the idols descend into Hell:

ΝΤΕΛΕΦΝΕΥΔΕ ΕΝΕΙ ΝΧΕΔΙΟΚΛΗΔΙΑΝΟΣ
nte-le-f-neu=de e-nei nče-dioklēdianos
 TMP-3MS-see=yet OBJ-DEM.P PVS-N
 ΑΦΤΙΤΑΑΤΦ ΕΤΦΠΟΡΦΗ ΑΦΠΕΖ
a-f-ti-taat-f e-t-f-porphē a-f-peh-s
 PST-3MS-give-hand-3MS to-POSS.F-3MS-purple PST-3MS-tear-3FS
 ΑΥΩ ΑΦΩΩΠΙ ΕΦΤΕC ΕΞΟΥΝ ΕΜΠΦΖΑ ΜΜΙΝ ΜΜΑΦ
auō a-f-šōpi e-f-ti-es ehun hm-p-f-ha mmin mma-f
 CON PST-3MS-be DEP-3MS-give-blow into in-POSS.M-3MS-face self-3MS
 “When Diocletian beheld this, he grasped his purple mantle, tore it and then began to slap himself in his face.”
^F*Mart. Philotheos* (Girard 1923: 107 vs a 3–8)

- (7) After Jesus has taught the little children, they learned about the forms of the father. Then:

ΑΥCΑΥΝΕ ΑΥCΟΥΩΝΟΥ ΑΥΧΙΕΑΥ ΑΥΤΕΑΥ
a-u-saune a-u-suōn-u a-u-či-eau a-u-ti-eau
 PST-3P-know PST-3P-know-3P PST-3P-receive-glory PST-3P-give-glory
 “They perceived and they were perceived; they were glorified and they glorified.”
^L*Gospel of Truth* chap. 10 (Attridge & MacRae 1985: 86 19, 32–34 = Nagel 2014: 48)

- (8) The hero’s mother adheres still to her pagan faith and hence is called:

ΟΥΦΑΜΦΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ ΤΕ ΟΥΟΖ ΝΑΓΑΘΑΡΤΟC
u-šamše-idōlon te uoh n-agathartos
 IDF.S-serve.PPA-idol SE.F CON as-unclean
 “She is an idolatress and unclean!”
^BTheodore of Ancyra, *On the Theodores*
 (Balestri & Hyvernats 1924: 126, 12–13 = Winstedt 1910: 38, 24–25)

Both comitative ^SΜΝ- *mn-* / ^BΝΕΜ- *nem-* “and” (Ernst 1994: 93–96; ^{SB}Stern 1880: §591) and ^{SB}ΖΙ- *hi-* “and” (Ernst 1994: 90–93; ^{SB}Stern 1880: §591) are etymologically prepositions and thus are still bound morphemes that are preposed to the second (and any following) coordinated element. While both are used only for NP-coordination (including infinitives), *hi-* is subject to a specific syntactic constraint: the coordinated NPs must be used without prefixed determiners (definite or indefinite article, demonstratives):⁵

- (9) John preaches against apocryphal writings that should not be read by true adherers of the orthodox faith and calls these texts:

ΝΑΙ ΕΤΜΕΖ ΝCΑΖΟΥ ΖΙCΙΩΕ
nai et-meh n-sahu hi-siše
 DEM.P REL-fill.STA with-curse CON-bitterness
 “... those (books) filled both with curse(s) and bitterness...”

^SJohn of Parallos, *In Michaelēm* (van Lantschoot 1946: 304, a16–17)

5 See also Ernst 1994: 94 for ΖΙ- *hi-* coordinating attributes and ΜΝ- *mn-* coordinating genitives.

- (10) Christ is said to be:

ⲉϥϫⲟϥⲉ	ⲉⲁⲣϫⲏ	ⲛⲓⲙ	ϩⲓⲉϩⲟϥϫⲓⲁ	ⲛⲓⲙ	ϩⲓⲃⲟⲙ	ⲛⲓⲙ
<i>e-f-čose</i>	<i>e-arkhê</i>	<i>nim</i>	<i>hi-eksuia</i>	<i>nim</i>	<i>hi-com</i>	<i>nim</i>
DEP-3MS-be_high.STA	to-rule	QU	CON-might	QU	CON-power	QU
ϩⲓⲙⲛⲧⲭⲟⲉⲓϥ	ⲛⲓⲙ	ϩⲓⲣⲁⲛ	ⲛⲓⲙ	ⲉϩⲁϥⲧⲁϥⲟϥ		
<i>hi-mnt-čois</i>	<i>nim</i>	<i>hi-ran</i>	<i>nim</i>	<i>e-ša-u-tauo-f</i>		
CON-ABST-lord	QU	CON-name	QU	REL-AOR-3P-put_forth-3MS		
ⲟϩⲙⲟⲛⲟⲛ	ϩⲓⲙⲡⲉⲓⲁⲓⲟⲛ	ⲁⲗⲗⲁ	ϩⲓⲙⲡⲓⲕⲉⲟϩⲁ			
<i>u-monon</i>	<i>hm-peī-aiōn</i>	<i>alla</i>	<i>hm-p-ke-ua</i>			
NEG-only	in-DEM.M-age	but	in-DEF.M-other-one			

“He is far above every rule and authority and every power and dominion and every name that is named, not only in this world, but also in the one to come.”

^SEphesians 1:21 (Thompson 1932: 199)

Both ^S*mn-/^Bnem-* and ^{SB}*hi-* “and” may co-occur with *auō*, see below 3.8 “Explanatory clause”. The use of *hi-* can denote emphatic coordination (“both ... and ...”). As *mn-* seems to create closer coordination than *auō*, the difference between the two, at least in Sahidic, might be one between natural (*mn-*) and accidental coordination (*auō*).

The verbal form of the Conjunctive (Shisha-Halevy 1995; Richter 2016) is a deranked verbal form that is used in non-parallel constructions, typically in modally marked clauses. Its major (but not sole) function is to mark the clause as sequential to the state of affairs in the initial clause.

The Greek *kai* “and” (Förster 2000: 363) is primarily only attested in specific Greek formulae or phrases copied *en bloc* in legal documentary texts in Sahidic (Richter 2008) or in translated literary texts in Bohairic Coptic.⁶ However, occasionally it seems to appear as an elevated mode of expression:

- (11) The letter from Ali to his dear son Ahmed opens with the words:

ϩⲓⲙⲡⲓⲗⲉⲛ	ⲉⲡⲛⲟϩⲧⲓ	ⲛⲟϩⲁⲣⲉⲡ	ⲁⲛⲁⲕ	ⲡⲉ	ⲁⲗⲓ	ⲉⲓϥϩⲉⲓ
<i>hm-p-len</i>	<i>e-p-nuti</i>	<i>nšarep</i>	<i>anak</i>	<i>pe</i>	<i>ali</i>	<i>e-i-shei</i>
in-DEF.M-name	of-DEF.M-god	first	1s	COP.M	N	DEP-1s-write
ⲉⲓϩⲓⲛⲓ	ⲡⲁⲙⲉⲗⲓⲧ	ⲟⲩⲏⲗⲓ	ⲁϩⲙⲏⲧ	ⲕⲉ	ⲧⲉⲃⲙⲉⲟϩ	
<i>e-i-šini</i>	<i>(e)-pa-melit</i>	<i>(e)-šêli</i>	<i>ahmêt</i>	<i>ke</i>	<i>te-b-meu</i>	
DEP-1s-ask	for-POSS.M.1s-beloved	of-son	N	CON	POSS.F-3MS-mother	
[ⲕⲉ ⲛ]ⲉⲃⲥⲛⲉϥ	ⲧⲏⲣⲟϩ					
<i>ke ne-b-sneu</i>	<i>têr-u</i>					
CON	POSS.P-3MS-brother.P	all-3P				

“In the name of God. First, I am Ali, who is writing and greeting his beloved son

Ahmed and his mother and all his brethren.” ^F*P.Lond.Copt.* 580, 1–2 (Crum 1905: 275)

Additionally, *kai* appears in compounds such as ⲕⲁⲓⲡⲉⲣ *kaiper*, ⲕⲁⲓⲧⲟⲓ *kaitoi*, ⲕⲁⲓⲧⲁϥⲧⲁ *kaitauta* (see Müller 2012: 128–130). Greek *te* “and” might be hiding underneath the

⁶ See e.g., ^B*Life & Miracles of St Simeon Stylites* (Chaîne 1948: 36, 23) ⲏⲁⲗⲗⲟⲛ ⲕⲉ ⲏⲁⲗⲗⲟⲛ *mallon ke mallon* “more and more”.

Coptic graphematic representation $\Delta\epsilon$ *de*. However, it would be equally possible to assume that Greek *te* and *de* “yet” simply merged into the latter in Coptic (see also 3.3 below).

The polysyllabic as well as polymorphemic connector $\text{ΟΥΜΟΝΟΝ} \dots \alpha\lambda\lambda\alpha \dots$ *u-monon ... alla ...* “not only ... but ...” appears in initial position within the respective coordinands (each element in its clause or phrase). It usually coordinates clauses, but also sometimes phrasal entities (see 12 and example 10 above). The *u-monon* clause can be introduced with $\chi\epsilon$ - *če*:

- (12) Theodore calls for endurance in affliction and times of trouble, urging the fellow monks to happily accept whatever fate, since the reward will be inheritance of all the blessings of which the Scripture speaks, the breath of God, and the promises made to the fathers. He goes on to say, adjusting a quote from 2Tim 4:8:

ΟΥΜΟΝΟΝ	$\alpha\text{ΝΟΝ}$	$\alpha\lambda\lambda\alpha$	ΟΥΟΝ	ΝΙΜ	ΕΝΤΑΥΜΕΡΕΠΒΙΟC	ΕΤΟΥΑΑΒ
<i>u-monon</i>	<i>anon</i>	<i>alla</i>	<i>uon</i>	<i>nim</i>	<i>ent-a-u-mere-p-bios</i>	<i>etuaab</i>
NEG-only	1P	but	one	QU	REL-PST-3P-love-DEF.M-life	holy
Ν̄ΤΚΟΙΝΩΝΙΑ	Μ̄ΜΕ	Ν̄ΒΙ	ΖΑΠΝΟCΝΕC	Μ̄ΠΕΧC		
<i>n-t-koinōnia</i>	<i>m-me</i>	<i>n-f-bi</i>	<i>ha-p-nocnec</i>	<i>m-pe-kh(risto)s</i>		
of-DEF.F-congregation	of-truth	CNJ-3MS-carry	under-DEF.M-disgrace	of-DEF.M-Christ		
$\alpha\gamma\omega$	Ν̄ΦΥΠΟΜΙΝΕ	ΖΑΝ̄ΡΙCΕ	ΕΧ̄Ν̄ΗΤ	CΝΑΥ		
<i>auō</i>	<i>n-f-hupomine</i>	<i>ha-n-hise</i>	<i>ečn-hêt</i>	<i>snaυ</i>		
CON	CNJ-3MS-suffer	under-DEF.P-pain	upon-heart	two.M		

“Not only we, but whoever has loved the holy life of the true *koinōnia*, has endured the disgrace that was Christ’s and put up with sufferings without wavering.”

⁵Theodore, *Instruction Three* §7 (Lefort 1956: 43, 23–26)

- (13) Theodore tries to console his desperate fellow monks with a parable about a man who is the friend of a ruler and therefore does not fear anyone:

$\alpha\gamma\omega$	ΟΥΜΟΝΟΝ	$\chi\epsilon\text{ΜΕΡΕΛΑΑΥ}$	ΝΡΩΜΕ	ΕΩΡΠΕΘΟΥ	ΝΑΥ
<i>auō</i>	<i>u-monon</i>	<i>če-mere-laau</i>	<i>n-rōme</i>	<i>eš-r-p-et-hou</i>	<i>na-f</i>
and	NEG-only	CMP-NEG.AOR-any	of-man	can-do-DEF.M-REL-bad	for-3MS
$\alpha\lambda\lambda\alpha$	ΦΑΡΕΚΕΜΗΗΦΕ	ΤΟCΟΥ	ΕΡΟΥ	ΝΚΑΡΠΑΖΕ	ΜΜΟΥ
<i>alla</i>	<i>šare-ke-mêêše</i>	<i>toc-u</i>	<i>ero-f</i>	<i>n-f-karpaze</i>	<i>mmo-u</i>
but	AOR-other-crowd	attach-3P	to-3MS	CNJ-3MS-harvest	OBJ-3MS
$\chi\epsilon\text{CΕCΟΥΝ}$	$\chi\epsilon\text{ΠΡΟ}$	ΜΕ	ΜΜΟΥ		
<i>če-se-soun</i>	<i>če-p-rro</i>	<i>me</i>	<i>mmo-f</i>		
for-3P-know	CMP-DEF.M-king	love	OBJ-3MS		

“And not only does nobody do him any harm, but many even ally themselves with him, and thus he obtains favours from them, for they know that the ruler loves him.”

⁵*Vita Pachonii*, 5th Sahidic Life (Lefort 1952: 175, 4–7)

- (14) In the Epilogue of the Life of St Anthony, the reader is urged to read the story on occasion to the pagans, so that they should understand:

ΟΥΜΟΝΟΝ	ΠΕΝΧΟΕΙC	ΙC	ΠΕΧC	ΟΥΝΟΥΤΕ	ΠΕ
<i>u-monon</i>	<i>pe-n-čois</i>	<i>i(ēsu)s</i>	<i>pe-kh(risto)s</i>	<i>u-nute</i>	<i>pe</i>
NEG-only	POSS.M-1P-lord	Jesus	DEF.M-Christ	IDF.S-god	COP.M

ἀλλὰ πατρὲς μπισοῦτε πῆ
alla p-šêre m-p-nute pe
 but DEF.M-son of-DEF.M-god SE.M

“... our Lord, Jesus Christ, is not only God, but the son of God.”

^S*Vita Antonii* (Garitte 1949: 101, 3–4)

- (15) Theodore says to a brother who wants to repent:

οὐνοσὸν μπισοῖς οὐμονον ἔτρεφκω νακ
un-com m-p-čois u-monon e-tre-f-kô na-k
 be-power OBJ-DEF1.M-lord NEG-only to-INFL-3MS-put for-2MS

ἀλλὰ νφκω ἐβολ μπκοσμοσ τήρφ
alla n-f-kô ebol m-p-kosmos têr-f
 but CNJ-3MS-put out OBJ-DEF1.M-world whole-3MS

“The Lord has power not only to forgive you, but to forgive the entire world.”

^S*Vita Pachomii*, 5th Sahidic Life (Lefort 1952: 194, 30–31)

- (16) Theodore leaves the archbishop to bring his letter to Horsiese and orders his brethren to keep a boat ready for Athanasius in case he may need it on his way south:

οὐμονον ἔεφερωι μπενκοῦχι νχοι
u-monon če-f-er-ši<ši> m-pe-n-kuči n-čoi
 NEG-only CMP-3MS-do-rule of-POSS.M-1P-little of-boat

ἀλλὰ οὐοντεφεζοῦσια ἡμαγ ὄν ἐπενκεσῶμα
alla uonte-f-eksusia mmau on e-pe-n-ke-sôma
 but have-3MS-power there again to-POSS.M-1P-other-body

“Not only does he have power over our little boat, but he also has authority over our bodies.”

^B*Vita Pachomii*, §203 (Lefort 1953: 201, 12–14)

In addition to its use within this construction, *monon* is also attested as an adverb:

- (17) St Pachomius advises the monk to set his hope in God, who will not only not forget each one’s achievements, but will return them in times of anguish and despair. Yet, Pachomius urges:

μονον ὀββῖοκ ἡνῆοβ νιμ καπεκωαχε ἡπαροῦ
monon thbbio-k hn-hôb nim ka-pe-k-šače m-pahu
 only humble.IMP-2MS in-thing QU put.IMP-POSS.M-2MS-word in-back

καν ἐκνοῖ ἡνῆοβ νιμ
kan e-k-noi hn-hôb nim
 even DEP-2MS-understand OBJ-thing QU

“Only humble yourself in all things, hold back your words, even if you understand the whole affair!”

^S*Pachom, Instruction Concerning a Spiteful Monk* §16 (Lefort 1956: 5, 28–29)

- (18) Diocletian loses his temper after Theodore declares in public to be a Christian. He allows him to take what he wants:

MONON ἠπερ-ἡκαρ ἠητ ηηι αν
monon mper-ti-mkah n-hêt nê-i an
 only NEG.IMP-give-sorrow of-heart for-1S NEG
 ἠτεκλω ἠπαῖραν χεῖρ ἠπαῖθo
n-te-k-čô m-pai-ran če-iê(su)s m-pa-mt^ho
 CNJ-2MS-say OBJ-DEM.M-name CMP-N in-POSS.M.1s-presence
 “... only do not grieve me and mention this name Jesus in my presence!”

^B*M. Theod. Strat.* (Balestri & Hyvernât 1907: 163, 11–12)

For the appearance of the negation *αν an* within a negated imperative, see Müller forthcoming.

3.2 Subtractive/exception

Coptic origin		Greek origin	
Subtractive			
^S <i>ačn-</i> / ^B <i>a(t)cne-</i>	“without”	<i>khôris</i>	“without”
^S <i>n-(p)-ueš-n-</i> / ^B <i>nuešen</i>	“without”		
Exception			
^S <i>nbl-</i>	“except”	<i>imêti</i>	“except”
^S <i>nsabêl e-</i> / ^B <i>ebêl</i>	“except”		

To express the absence of an entity, Coptic makes use of the indigenous preposition ^S*ačn-*/^B*a(t)cne* “without”:

- (19) Several persons sell some real estate and issue a statement for the current year:

ανογωω αυω ενπιθε αχνηλααυ ηκρουγ ηρηote
a-n-uoš auô e-n-pithe ačn-laau n-kruf hi-hote
 PST-1P-wish and DEP-1P-agree without-any of-guile and-fear
 ηληινσonc ηλαπατε
hi-či-n-cons hi-apate
 and-take-OBJ-violence and-deceit

“We are willing and agree without any guile, fear, force, and deceit.”

^SSale of real estate by Daniel and others to Solomon *P.KRU 3*

(Crum & Steindorff 1912: #3, 11–12)

- (20) St Paul reasons that Christ is a highpriest like Melchizedek. New things replace old ones once the latter have become useless. Similarly, a new hope is introduced:

αυω αχενανηω εννηνιγαρ αυωωπι ηογηηβ ησαενηεη
auô ačen-anêš e-nê=m(e)n=gar a-u-šôpi n-ueeb n-ša-eneh
 CON without-oath DEP-DEM.P=indeed=for PST-3P-be as-priest of-until-eternity

ⲁⲬⲈⲚⲀⲚⲎⲘⲓ

ačēn-anêš

without-oath

“... without oath, for those others have become priests without oath.”

^FHebrews 7:20 (Zoega 1810: 160)

- (21) Pharaoh said to Joseph:

ⲁⲚⲐⲚ	ⲡⲈ	ⲫⲁⲣⲁⲔⲔ	ⲁⲘⲚⲐⲘⲓ	ⲚⲎⲈⲒⲚⲒ	ⲒⲚⲒ	ⲚⲐⲦⲈⲘⲒⲬ
<i>anok</i>	<i>pe</i>	<i>pharaô</i>	<i>acnu-k</i>	<i>nne-hli</i>	<i>ini</i>	<i>n-te-f-čič</i>
1s	COP.M	Pharaoh	without-2MS	NEG.FUT-any	bring	OBJ-POSS.F-3MS-hand
ⲈⲬⲈⲚⲡⲎⲔⲁⲒⲒ	ⲐⲎⲢⲘ	ⲚⲎⲬⲎⲎⲒ				
<i>ečēn-p-kahi</i>	<i>têr-f</i>	<i>n-k^hêmi</i>				
upon-DEF ₁ .M-land	whole-3MS	of-Egypt				

“I am Pharaoh, and without you no one shall lift his hand in the whole of Egypt.”

^BGenesis 41:44 (de Lagarde 1867: 100)

Alternatively, one might choose the construction ^SⲚⲐⲘⲐⲘⲓⲚ̄- *n-(p)-ueš-n-* / ^BⲚⲐⲘⲐⲘⲓⲚ̄- *nuešen-* “without (*lit.* in (the) gap of ...)”, which is more grammaticalized in Bohairic.⁷

- (22) One of the Pachomian rules states:

ⲚⲎⲈⲢⲐⲘⲈ	ⲘⲐⲐⲐⲘⲈ	ⲒⲚⲐⲦⲐⲘⲐⲘⲓⲚ̄	ⲚⲐⲘⲐⲘⲓⲚ̄ⲢⲁⲒⲐⲘⲐⲘⲓ	ⲒⲒⲐⲐⲐⲐⲘⲐⲘⲓ
<i>nne-rôme</i>	<i>mooše</i>	<i>hn-t-souhs</i>	<i>n-ueš-n-rahtu</i>	<i>hi-tolomôn</i>
NEG.FUT-man	walk	in-DEF.F-congregation	in-lack-of-goat_skin	and-hood
ⲈⲒⲦⲈ	ⲈⲢⲐⲘⲐⲘⲓⲚ̄	ⲈⲒⲦⲈ	ⲈⲢⲘⲁⲚ̄ⲐⲘⲐⲘⲓ	
<i>ite</i>	<i>e-p-sôuh</i>	<i>ite</i>	<i>e-p-ma-n-uôm</i>	
whether	to-DEF.M-gather	whether	to-DEF.M-place-of-eat	

“No one shall walk in the congregation without both a goat-skin and a hood, whether to the place of gathering or the dining hall.”

^SPachomian Rule #91 (Lefort 1956: 31, 1–2)

- (23) Although at first wroth about the disciples asking yet another question, Jesus tells them to ask whatever they wish to know and says:

ⲁⲚⲁⲔ	ⲒⲐⲘⲐⲘⲓⲚ̄	ⲐⲚⲁⲬⲐⲐⲐ	ⲁⲢⲐⲘⲐⲘⲈ	ⲐⲘⲐⲘⲓⲚ̄ⲢⲐⲦⲐⲘⲐⲘⲓ
<i>anak</i>	<i>huu-t</i>	<i>ti-na-coo-f</i>	<i>arô-tne</i>	<i>uš-n-r-phthoni</i>
1s	self-1s	1s-FUT-say-3MS	to-2P	lack-of-do-envy

“... I myself will tell it to you without envy.”

^A*Conversations between Jesus and his disciples* (Schmidt 1919: 11* XVIII, 4–5)

⁷ However, the Sahidic form shows that it is a compound even in that dialect, as ⲐⲘⲐⲘⲓⲚ̄ *ueš* is the destressed form of ⲐⲘⲐⲘⲓⲚ̄ *uōš* and hence must be part of a longer word.

- (24) The martyrdom starts with the following description of the historical-political setting:
 ἀσσηπιδε ερεπθρονος ννιρωμεος χη εβολ νογεαυενογρο
a-s-šopi=de ere-p-thronos n-ni-rômeos k^hê ebol nuešn-uro
 PST-3FS-happen=yet DEP-DEF₁.M-throne of-DEF₂.P-romans AUX out without-king
 “It came to pass that, while the Byzantine throne was empty without a king, ...”

^B*Martyrdom of Theodore, the Oriental, et al.* (Balestri & Hyvernat 1907: 34, 13–14)

The two patterns can be found in mutual variation, as a comparison of the following instances in different dialects shows:

- (25a) The Psalmist describes the situation of the sinner, who provoked the Lord. Defiled are his ways and, since God turned away from him, his opponents rule over him, for the sinner had said boldly in his heart:

ñ†νακιμαν χινογχομ οαογχομ αχñπεθοογ
n-ti-na-kim=an čin-u-čôm ša-u-čôm ačñ-pethou
 NEG-1S-FUT-shake=NEG from-IDF.S-generation until-IDF.S-generation without-bad

- (25b) As above:

ñνακιμ ιχχενχοογ οαχοογ νογεαυνερπετχοογ
nn-a-kim isčen-čôu ša-čôu nuešn-er-pethôu
 NEG.OPT-1S-shake from-generation until-generation without-do-bad

“I shall not be shaken from generation to generation without adversity.”

Psalm 9[10]:27[6] (a ^SBudge 1899: 10–11; b ^BBurmester & Devaud 1925: 9)

- (26a) St Paul reasons about the justification of humans through faith and expresses his conviction that:

πρωμε νατμαειο χñτπιστις νογεαυñνερβχογε ñπνομος
p-rôme na-tmaio hn-t-pistis nuešn-ne-hbêue m-p-nomos
 DEF.M-man FUT-be_justified in-DEF.F-faith without-DEF.P-thing.P of-DEF.M-law

- (26b) As above:

φναμαι χενφναχ† ατσνενιρβχογι ñτεπιννομος
f-na-mai xen-p^h-nahti atcne-ni-hbêui nte-pi-nomos
 3ms-FUT-be_justified in-DEF₁.M-faith without-DEF₂.P-thing.P of-DEF₂.M-law

“The individual will be justified through the faith without the things of the law.”

Romans 3:28 (a ^SThompson 1932: 94 & b ^BHorner 1898–1905: III 24)

A third pattern is the employment of the Greek preposition *khôris* “without”. Note that in the Coptic New Testament this is the only function of *khôris*. It never appears with clausal structures. Both of the indigenous constructions introduced above are used in translated literature as equivalents of *khôris* in the Greek *vorlage*.⁸

8 E.g., *ačn-* in James 2:20 (^SSchüssler 1991: 61) and *nuešn-* in Hebrews 7:20 (^SThompson 1932: 175 vs. *ačn-* in ex. 20 above and *atcne-* in the Bohairic version, see Horner 1898–1905: III 504).

ΟΥ ΠΕ ΠΙΝΕΖ ΕΒΟΛ ΖΗΝΟΥΠΕΤΡΑ ΝΣΙΒΤ
u pe p-neh ebol hn-u-petra n-sibt
 what COP.M DEF.M-oil out in-IDF.S-rock of-hill
 ΕΙΜΗΤΕΙ ΕΤΕΧΑΡΙΣ ΝΤΑΝΕΧΡΙΣΤΙΑΝΟΣ ΟΥΧΑΙ ΝΖΗΤΣ
imêti e-te-kharis nt-a-ne-khristianos uçai nhêt-s
 except to-DEF.F-mercy REL-PST-DEF.P-christian be_safe inside-3Fs

“Now, what is the honey except the word and teaching of the Scripture? What rock except the Christ, God? What is the oil out of a rocky hill except the mercy in which the Christians were safe?” ⁸Shenute, *As I Sat On A Mountain* (Leipoldt 1908: 52, 2–5)

- (30) The Gospel of Truth states that Truth appeared and joined the father. The father manifested what was hidden and explained it. A narrative interpolation states:

ΝΙΜΓΑΡ ΠΕΤΩΩΠ ΕΙΜΗΤΙ ΔΠΙΩΤ ΟΥΑΕΕΤΓ
nim=gar p-et-šôp imêti a-p-iôt uaeet-f
 who=for COP.M-REL-receive except to-DEF.M-father alone-3MS

“For who contains, if not the father alone?”

¹*Gospel of Truth* §29 (Attridge & MacRae 1985: 96 27, 9–10 = Nagel 2014: 58–59)

- (31) Life and death of everyone are in Jesus’ hands, say the Manichean Bema-psalms. He knows who might be half-dead, lying on the way:

ΑΥΧΩΒΕ ΜΜΑΦ ΤΗΡΟΥ ΜΠΕΛΑΥΕ ΝΑΕ ΝΕΦ
a-u-çôbe mma-f têr-u mpe-laue nae ne-f
 PF-3P-pass OBJ-3MS all-3P NEG.PST-any pity for-3MS
 ΣΑΒΛΛΕΜΠΙΣΤΟΣ ΜΜΕΤΕ ΝΕΤΑΥΣΝΟΥΩΝ ΝΤΟΥΥΓΧΗ
sable-m-pistos mmete n-et-a-u-snuôn n-t-u-psukhê
 except-DEF.P-faithful only DEF.P-REL-PST-3P-know OBJ-POSS.F-3P-soul

“They all passed him by and none pitied him save only the faithful, those who knew their soul.”

¹*Psalms of Bema* 239 (Allberry 1938: 40, 27–28)

- (32) Jesus preaches in the synagogue at Nazareth and says that there were many lepers in Israel in the times of the prophet Elisa:

ΜΠΕΖΛΙ ΝΪΗΤΟΥ ΤΟΥΒΟ ΕΒΗΛ ΕΝΕΜΑΝ ΠΙΣΥΡΟΣ
mpe-hli nxêt-u tubo ebêl e-neman pi-suros
 NEG.PST-any inside-3P be_cleansed except to-N DEF₂.M-N

“... yet none of them was cleansed except Naaman the Syrian.”

^BLuke 4:27 (Horner 1898–1905: II 52)

- (33) John addresses the audience to introduce to them St Anthony, saying that he was of Egyptian descent:

ΑΥΩ ΕΡΕΠΡΗΘΕ ΝΑΩΑ ΕΤΩΝ ΕΙΕΜΗΤ ΠΣΑ ΝΤΑΝΑΤΟΛΗ
auô ere-p-rê-ce na-ša e-tôn iemêti^{sic} p-sa n-t-anatolê
 and FOC-DEF.M-sun-now FUT-rise to-where except DEF.M-side of-DEF.F-east
 ΑΥΩ ΕΚΟΥΩΩ ΕΤΡΕΑΝΤΩΝΙΟΣ ΠΙΡΕ ΤΩΝ ΕΙΕΜΗΤ ΖΗΚΗΜΕ
auô e-k-uôš e-tre-antônios pire tôn iemêti^{sic} hn-kême
 and FOC-2MS-wish to-INFL-PN shine where except in-Egypt

ⲡⲙⲁ	ⲚⲦⲁⲡⲚⲟⲃⲉ	ⲁⲪⲁⲓ	ⲚⲒⲬⲦⲩ̄
<i>p-ma</i>	<i>nt-a-p-nobe</i>	<i>ašai</i>	<i>nhêt-f</i>
DEF.M-place	REL-PST-DEF.M-sin	multiply	inside-3MS
ⲁⲡⲉⲒⲙⲟⲦ	ⲠⲒⲐⲐⲉⲁⲪⲁⲓ	ⲚⲒⲬⲦⲩ̄	
<i>a-pe-hmot</i>	<i>r-hue-ašai</i>	<i>nhêt-f</i>	
PST-DEF.M-grace	do-more-multiply	inside-3MS	

“Now where will the sun rise except in the east? And where would you wish Anthony to shine except in Egypt, wherein sin abounded, but grace much more.”

^sJohn the Hermit, *Panegyric on St. Anthony*, §6 (Garitte 1943: 118, 16–19)

For other functions of *imêti*, see Müller 2012: 127 (condition) & 144–146 (exception & restriction).

3.3 Adversative

Coptic origin	Greek origin	
^S <i>ntof</i> / ^B <i>nt^hof</i>	“rather (lit.: he)”	<i>alla</i> “but”
^S <i>hôô-f</i> / ^B <i>hô-f</i>	“yet (lit.: self-3MS)”	<i>imêti</i> “but”
^B <i>m-p^h-rêti</i>	“but (lit.: in the manner)”	= <i>de</i> “yet”
		<i>palin</i> “again; on the other hand”
		<i>plên</i> “however”
		<i>homôs</i> “nevertheless”
		= <i>men</i> ... = <i>de</i> ... “on the one hand ... on the other hand; although ..., yet ...”
		<i>ouk-hoti=ce</i> ... <i>alla</i> ... “not that ... but ...”

The first two adversative elements of Coptic origin, ^SⲚⲦⲟⲩ / ^BⲚⲞⲐⲒ *nt^hof* “rather (lit.: he)”, being the absolute third person singular masculine pronoun (^SShisha-Halevy 1986: 175–178; ^BMallon 1956: §343) and ^SⲒⲠⲠⲟⲩ / ^BⲒⲠⲟⲩ *hô(ô)f* “yet (lit.: self-3MS)” (Depuydt 2009, ^SShisha-Halevy 1986: 173–175), were originally agreement-sensitive, but later grammaticalized as 3rd person singular forms (cf. ex. 35 and 36 as well as 37 and 38). They both take second position in the clause and can appear with any sentence pattern.

(35) The righteous has to suffer, but the Lord is with him, says the Psalmist, and goes on:

ⲡⲙⲟⲩ	ⲚⲦⲟⲩ	ⲚⲚⲣⲉⲩⲠⲚⲟⲃⲉ	ⲒⲐⲐⲟⲩ
<i>p-mu</i>	<i>ntou</i>	<i>n-n-ref-r-nobe</i>	<i>hou</i>
DEF.M-death	rather(3P)	of-DEF.P-AGT-do-sin	be_bad.STA

“... but the sinners’ death is wretched.” ^SPs 33[34]:22[21] (Budge 1899: 36)

(36) An angel guides Paul through the netherworld. Entering a city, he beholds some people underneath trees without fruit. He starts to cry and asks the angel who these would be. Yet, the angel only replies that these should not be wept for at all. So Paul asks again:

ΟΥ ἢτοϩ ΝΕ ΝΑΙ
u ntof ne nai
 what rather(3MS) COP.P DEM.P

“But what are these?”

[§]*Apocalypse of Paul* (Budge 1915: 565, 8)

- (37) The Lord sends the fifth plague over Egypt and all the livestock and cattle of the Egyptians dies:

ἔβολαδε ἢθοϩ ἕννιτεβνωϩι ἵτενενοϩηρι ἢπιϯ
ebol=de ntof xen-ni-tebnōui nte-nen-šēri m-p-is(raē)l
 out=yet rather(3MS) in-DEF₂-P-animal.P of-DEF₁-P-child of-DEF.M-N
 ἢπερλι μοϩ
mpe-hli mu
 NEG.PST-any die

“... but out of the animals of the children of Israel nothing died.”

^BExodus 9:6 (de Lagarde 1867: 145)

- (38) The apostle asks whether God is only the god of the Jews.

ΟΥϩ φανικεεθνος ΝΕϩΟΥ ΔΝ ΠΕ
uoh p^ha-ni-ke-ethnos nthōu an pe
 CON POSS.M-DEF₁-P-other-gentils yet(3P) NEG SE.M

“But is he not of the gentiles too?”

^BRomans 3:29 (Horner 1898-1905: III 24)

The use of ^Bἢφρητ *m-p^h-rēti* “as, like (*lit.* in the way/manner)” (see Müller 2012: 147 for the more common subordinating use as introducing a similarity) seems rare and limited to Bohairic:¹⁰

- (39) Cyril introduces a story about God’s forgiveness with the assertion that:

φοϩωϩαν ἵτεπεφθαμιό ϩε ἐπτακο
f-uōš=an nte-pe-f-t^hamio še e-p-tako
 3MS-wish=NEG CNJ-POSS.M-3MS-creation walk to-DEF.M-perdition
 ἢφρητ ἵτεφκοτϩ ἵτεφερμετανοιν ἵτεφωνῃ
m-p^h-rēti nte-f-kot-f nte-f-er-metanoin nte-f-ōnx
 in-DEF₁.M-way CNJ-3MS-turn-3MS CNJ-3MS-AUX-repent CNJ-3MS-live

“He does not want that his creation should go to perdition, but that he should turn around, repent, and live.” ^BCyril of Alexandria, *On Repentance* (Chaîne 1913: 509, 3–5)

The Greek *ἀλλὰ* *alla* “but (< *ἀλλά*)” (Layton 2011: §493.1) is the Coptic default adversative coordinator, even if, as shall be seen later, the discourse marker =Δε =*de* appears in higher frequency. It appears in clause-initial position with any sentence pattern and is used for all types of contrast, i.e., contrast with positive assertion, contrast with negation in clause 2, contrast with negation in clause 1, and contrast with negation in clause 1 & clause 2, as well as correction (see examples 43 and 44 for the latter), and as a discourse marker.

¹⁰ Additional examples can be found in the same sermon (Chaîne 1913: 500, 13–14 & 502, 7–10), all referring to Ezra 33:11. Another possibility would be to analyse *m-p^h-rēti* as adverbial expression “thus”, which, however, would have to assume a missing connector then.

- (40) The author of a letter complains about taxes:

ἀλλὰ ἐρταγάπη ντεκςζαῖ ἀβαλ ναφ ζαροῖ εμπαιεφμογτ μμοῖ
alla er-t-agapê nte-k-shai abal na-f haro-i empae-f-mout mmo-i
 but do-DEF.F-love CNJ-2MS-write out to-3MS about-1S TEMP-3MS-kill OBJ-1S
 “But be so kind and write to him about me, before he kills me.”

^{5a}*O. Vind. Copt.* 154, 24–26 (Till 1960: 39)

- (41) After he created man, God says:

ννανογπλωμιεν ετρεβωωδτ ογαεετβ
n-nanu-p-lōmi=en e-tre-b-cōôt uaeet-b
 NEG-be_good-DEF.M-man=NEG to-CAUS-3MS-stay alone-3MS
 ἀλλὰ μαλενταμία νογβωθία νηβ καταλλαβ
alla male-n-tamia n-u-bōithia nê-b kata-la-b
 but JUSS-1P-form OBJ-IDF.S-helper for-3MS like-3MS

“It is not good that man stays alone, but let us create for him a female helper like him.”

^F*Installation of Archangel Michael* §3 (Müller 1962: 11, 3–4)

- (42) The hero, John son of Mark from Panicôit, is introduced into the story:

ογορ φαι νεογδιὰκων πε ογορ νκρηστιανος ἱρωμι
uoh p^hai ne-u-diakôn pe uoh n-khrêstianos n-rōmi
 CON DEM.M PRT-IDF.S-deacon SE.M CON as-Christian of-man
 ἀλλὰ αφμογτ νεμνιεθνος ἱσμαῆλιθς ἱλαμιθς
alla a-f-mušt nem-ni-ethnos n-smaêlitês n-lamitês
 but PST-3MS-visit with-DEF₂.P-people of-Ishamélite of-Islamic

“He was a deacon and a Christian, but he mixed with the Ishmaelite Islamic people.”

^B*Martyrdom of John of Pancôit* §32 (Zaborowski 2005: 58, 232–236)

- (43) Barnabas suggests to take Mark along with them into town and to preach the gospel. St Paul, however, is reluctant. He argues that Mark has been neglectful and that it is thus not right to give him another chance. Paul asks what the other apostles had quarrelled about with each other:

ἀναγ χενταγμιδε εχνογ μη εχνηενηγπαρχοντα εγνατακο
a-nau ce-nt-a-u-miše ecn-u mê ecn-hen-huparkhonta e-u-na-tako
 IMP-see CMP-FOC-3P-FIGHT upon-what IRP upon-IDF.P-property DEP-3P-FUT-perish
 μη εχνογεογ ντεπειμα εφναογωσφ
mê ecn-u-eou nte-peï-ma e-f-na-uôsf
 IRP upon-IDF.S-glory of-DEM.M-place DEP-3MS-FUT-be_idle
 μμον ἀλλὰ εχνηωβ σναγ ενανογογ
mmon alla ecn-hōb snau e-nanu-u
 no but upon-thing two.M DEP-be_good-3P

“See what they have been fighting about! About perishable properties, was it? About an idle glory of this place, was it? No, but about two good things!”

^SJohn of Shmun, *On S Mark Evangelist* (Orlandi 1968: 30, 9–13)

- (44) Although Sarah denies to have laughed behind the curtain when she heard that, despite her advanced age, she would be pregnant in a year's time, the Lord says:

ἴΜΜΟΝ ἄΛΛΑ ἄΡΕCΩΒΙ
mmon alla are-sôbi
 no but PST.2FS-laugh
 “No, but you laughed.”

^BGen 18:15 (de Lagarde 1867: 35)

The adversative use of *imêti* is restricted to expressions of contrariety with a negated state of affairs in the first clause (as in German “nicht ..., sondern ...”) and is derived from its use as an exception marker (see 3.2 above):

- (45) Jesus reproves the Pharisees, asking whether they had not read what David and those with him did when they were hungry:

ΝΘΕ	ΝΤΑΦΒΩΚ	ΕΖΟΥΝ ΕΠΗΙ	ΜΠΝΟΥΓΤΕ		
<i>n-t-he</i>	<i>nt-a-f-bôk</i>	<i>ehun e-p-êi</i>	<i>m-p-nute</i>		
in-DEF.F-way	REL-PST-3MS-go	into	to-DEF.M-house	of-DEF.M-god	
ΑΦΟΥΩΜ	ΝΝΟΕΙΚ	ΝΤΕΠΡΟΘΕCΙC	ΝΑΙ	ΕΤΕΜΕCΩΕ	ΕΡΟΦ
<i>a-f-uôm</i>	<i>n-n-oik</i>	<i>n-te-prothesis</i>	<i>nai</i>	<i>ete-me-šše</i>	<i>ero-f</i>
PST-3MS-eat	OBJ-DEF.P-bread	of-DEF.F-show	DEM.P	REL-NEG-fitting	to-3MS
ΕΟΥΟΜΟΥ	ΟΥΔΕ	ΝΕΤΝΜΜΑΦ	ΕΙΜΗ†	ΝΟΥΗΗΒ	ΜΑΥΑΑΥ
<i>e-uom-u</i>	<i>ude</i>	<i>n-et-nmma-f</i>	<i>imêti</i>	<i>n-uêêb</i>	<i>mauaa-u</i>
to-eat-3P	nor	DEF.P-REL-with-3MS	except	DEF.P-priest	self-3P

“How he entered the house of God and ate the showbread, which befitted neither him nor the ones with him to eat, but only the priests themselves.”

^SMatthew 12:4 (Aranda Perez 1984: 161)

The adversative connector with the highest frequency is the discourse marker $\Delta\epsilon = de$ “yet (< $\delta\acute{\epsilon}$)” (Reintges 2001). It is cliticized to the first phonetic word, with only a few exceptions (Reintges 2001 for Bohairic indirect object). However, simple contrast is rarely attested.

- (46) Eudoxia asks her brother the emperor Constantine to give orders for an Easter-celebration:

ΕΠΕΙΔΗ	ΑΝΖΕ	ΕΠΤΑΦΟC	
<i>epeidê</i>	<i>a-n-he</i>	<i>e-p-taphos</i>	
for	PST-1P-find	to-DEF.M-tomb	
Μ̄Π̄ΝΕΙΜΕΔΕ	ΧΕΕΦΤΩΝ	ΠΕΦΡ̄Θ	
<i>mp-n-ime=de</i>	<i>če-e-f-tôn</i>	<i>pe-f-ro</i>	
NEG.PST-1P-know=yet	CMP-FOC-3MS-where	POSS.M-3MS-mouth	

“... for we found the tomb, yet we do not know where its entrance is.”

^SEudoxia §79 (Orlandi, Pearson & Drake 1980: 68, 24)

- (47) The Proverbs state:

ΞΑΡΕΤΜ̄ΝΤΑΘΗΤ	Μ̄ΠΡΩΜΕ	ΤΕΚΟ	Ν̄ΝΕΦΖΩΟΥ
<i>xare-t-mnt-at-hêt</i>	<i>m-p-rôme</i>	<i>teko</i>	<i>n-ne-f-xôu</i>
AOR-DEF.F-ABST-un-heart	of-DEF.M-man	destroy	OBJ-POSS.P-3MS-way.P

Ⲫⲁⲣⲉϥⲟⲩⲛⲁⲣⲕⲉⲗⲉ	ⲁⲡⲒⲛⲟⲩⲮⲉ	ⲪⲙⲡⲓⲚⲉⲛⲧ
<i>xare-f-cn-arke=de</i>	<i>a-p-nute</i>	<i>xm-p-f-hêt</i>
AOR-3MS-find-fault=yet	to-DEF.M-god	in-POSS.M-3MS-heart

“A man’s folly corrupts his ways, yet in his heart he blames God.”

^AProverbs 19:3 (Böhlig 1958: 94)

- (48) After a couple of days, Papnute reaches a cave. He thinks it is inhabited and in a display of humility and endurance keeps on knocking until the middle of the night. As still nobody answers, he concludes that it must be empty:

ⲁⲛⲟⲕⲗⲉ	ⲁⲓⲙⲟⲩⲱⲓ	ⲉⲃⲟⲩⲛ	ⲉⲡⲓⲤⲓⲮⲓⲗⲉⲟⲛ	ⲉⲙⲟⲩⲮⲓ	ⲃⲁⲪⲱⲓ
<i>anok=de</i>	<i>a-i-moši</i>	<i>exun</i>	<i>e-pi-spuleon</i>	<i>e-<i>-muti</i>	<i>xačô-i</i>
1S=yet	PST-1S-go	into	to-DEF ₂ .M-cave	DEP-1S-call	forward-1S
ⲪⲉⲤⲙⲟⲩ	ⲉⲣⲟⲓ	ⲡⲁⲓⲱⲧ			
<i>če-smu</i>	<i>ero-i</i>	<i>pa-iôt</i>			
CMP-bless.IMP	to-1S	POSS.M.1S-father			

ⲉⲧⲁⲓⲱⲉⲗⲉ	ⲉⲃⲟⲩⲛ	ⲁⲓⲟⲙⲥ	ⲁⲓⲛⲁⲩ	ⲉⲟⲩⲥⲟⲛ
<i>eta-i-še=de</i>	<i>exun</i>	<i>a-i-soms</i>	<i>a-i-nau</i>	<i>e-u-son</i>
TMP-1S-walk=yet	into	PST-1S-look	PST-1S-see	OBJ-IDF.S-brother
ⲉϥⲉⲙⲥⲓ	ⲉϥⲭⲱ	ⲛⲣⲱϥ		
<i>e-f-hemsi</i>	<i>e-f-k^hô</i>	<i>n-rô-f</i>		
DEP-3MS-sit	DEP-3MS-keep	OBJ-mouth-3MS		

“So I went into the cave, announcing myself with the words: ‘Bless me, my father!’ When I went in, I looked around and saw a brother sitting in silence.”

^BPapnute, *Story of Onnophrius* (Amélineau 1885: 168, 9–11 coll.)

The situation is somewhat complicated, as Coptic ⲗⲉ *de* can represent both Greek δέ “yet” and τε “and” (Joussen 1969: 99–102). It is difficult to discern whether these two Greek words simply merged into Coptic ⲗⲉ *de* or whether they were actually still differentiated. A clear case in which *de* (< τε) seems to have been mistaken for δέ is provided by the following example. The copyist has introduced into the text of the Henoticon a line-break that would be fitting if *de* was analyzed as δέ; but this would result in losing the textual coherence.

- (49) The text of the Henoticon is headed by the titles of the emperor and the list of the people addressed, i.e., the inhabitants of Alexandria, Libya, and the Pentapolis. It then begins:

ⲧⲁⲣⲕⲙⲉ	ⲛⲉⲙⲡⲓⲧⲁⲪⲣⲟ	ⲧⲪⲟⲙⲗⲉ	ⲛⲉⲙⲛⲓⲣⲟⲡⲓⲗⲟⲛ
<i>ti-arkhê</i>	<i>nem-pi-tačro</i>	<i>ti-čom=de</i>	<i>nem-ni-hoplon</i>
DEF ₂ .F-origin	CON-DEM ₂ .M-strength	DEF ₂ .F-power=CON	CON-DEM ₂ .P-arm
ⲛⲁⲧⲱⲧⲟⲩⲃⲛⲕ	ⲛⲧⲉⲧⲉⲛⲙⲉⲧⲟⲩⲣⲟ		
<i>n-at-š-ti-ubê-f</i>	<i>n-te-te-n-met-uro</i>		
of-NEG-can-give-against-3MS	of-POSS.F-1P-ABST-king		
ⲧⲉⲛⲉⲙⲓ	ⲉⲣⲟϥ	Ⲫⲉⲣⲱⲟⲡ	ⲉⲃⲟⲗ
<i>ten-emi</i>	<i>ero-f</i>	<i>če-f-šop</i>	<i>ebol</i>
1P-know	OBJ-3MS	CMP-3MS-happen.STA	out
			by-DEF ₂ .M-faith
			of-orthodox

ΟΥΟΞ	ΝΘΜΗΙ	ἸΜΑΥΑΤΥ
<i>uoh</i>	<i>n-t^h-mēi</i>	<i>mmauat-f</i>
CON	of-DEF ₁ .F-truth	self-3MS

“Knowing that the origin and strength, the power and the irresistible arms of our empire exist only through the orthodox and righteous faith ...”

^B*Correspondence of Peter Mongus & Acacius of Constantinople XI.2*
(Amélineau 1888: 216, 12–217, 1 coll.)

Less frequently attested are the Greek connectors πλὴν *plên* “however (< πλῆν)” (^SShisha-Halevy 1986: 60), ὅμως / ὁμός (h)omôs “nevertheless (< ὁμῶς)”¹¹ (also written ζωμος as in ex. 53 below) and πάλιν *palin* “again; on the other hand (< πάλιν)”. All are generally employed as discourse markers, with πάλιν *palin* usually expressing repetition and thus often co-occurring with Coptic ον *on* “also”, but sometimes also as simple adversatives. While πλὴν *plên* and πάλιν *palin* always occur in sentence-initial position, ὅμως *homôs* does so only if not used with another adversative connector such as ἀλλὰ *alla*:

- (50) After an interlude, the story continues with the narration of further marvels and wonders achieved through the faith of Macarius:

ἸΛΗΝ	†ΝΑΧΩ	ἘΡΩΤῆΙ	ἸΤΕΩΠΗΡΕ	ἸΤΑΩΩΠΕ
<i>plên</i>	<i>ti-na-čô</i>	<i>erô-tñ</i>	<i>n-te-špêre</i>	<i>nt-a-s-šôpe</i>
however	1S-FUT-speak	to-2P	OBJ-DEF.F-wonder	REL-PST-3FS-happen

“However, I shall tell you about the wonder which occurred.”

^SDioscurus of Alexandria, *A Panegyric on Macarius V.1* (Johnson 1980: 29b, 1–2)

- (51) Upon Andrew’s question, whether those atoned for their sins would be brought, Jesus answers that each one is brought where she or he belongs:

ΠΛΗΝ	ΑΥ†	ΜΠΟΥΕΙ	ΠΟΥΕΙ	ΚΑΤΑΝΕΦΡΒΗΥΙ
<i>plên</i>	<i>a-u-ti</i>	<i>m-p-uei</i>	<i>p-uei</i>	<i>kata-ne-f-hbêui</i>
however	PST-3P-give	for-DEF.M-one	DEF.M-oneXDIST	like-POSS.P-3MS-thing.P

“... yet each one was placed according to his deeds.”

^F*Installation of Archangel Michael §16* (Müller 1962: 47, 21–22)

- (52) A Saracene ruler visits Simeon Stylites and, while talking to him, a worm drops to the ground from Simeon’s thigh:

ΟΥΟΞ	ΑΥ†	ΝΙΑΤΥ	ἸΜΟΥ	ἸΧΕΟΥΡΟ
<i>uoh</i>	<i>a-f-ti</i>	<i>n-iat-f</i>	<i>mmo-f</i>	<i>nče-<pi>-uro</i>
CON	PST-3MS-give	OBJ-eye-3MS	OBJ-3MS	PVS-DEF ₂ .M-king

ΠΛΗΝ	ΝΑΦΕΜΙΑΝ	ΠΕ	ΞΕΝΟΥΤΑΧΡΟ
<i>plên</i>	<i>na-f-emi=an</i>	<i>pe</i>	<i>xen-u-tačro</i>
however	PRT-3MS-know=NEG	PTC	in-IDF.S-strength

11 The connector (h)omôs is of even lower frequency in Bohairic, except for two instances from the Scripture, it appears mainly in the Bohairic version of the *Life of St Pachom* (Lefort 1953). It seems unattested in the other dialects so far.

ἄϥΟΥΕΒΟΛ ΘΩΝ ΠΕ ΦΗ ΕΤΑΦΖΕΙ ΕΠΕΧΗΤ
če-u-ebol *t^hôn* *pe* *p^hê* *et-a-f-hei* *e-p-esêt*
 CMP-IDF.S-out where COP.M DEF₃.M REL-PST-3MS-fall to-DEF₁.M-ground

“And the king looked at it, but did not understand properly from where that which fell to the ground had come.” ^B*Life & Miracles of St Simeon Stylites* (Chaîne 1948: 52, 1–4)

- (53) On his deathbed, Pachom wants the monks of the *Koinonia* to choose a new leader, but the brethren claim that this is impossible. Therefore, he tells them that the Lord pointed out Petronios to him in a vision. However, he adds that:

†ΜΕΕΥΕ ἄΕΝΤΟΥ ΖΩΩΦ ΟΝ ΦΩΩΝΕ
ti-meue *če-ntof* *hôô-f* *on* *f-šône*
 PRS.1s-think CMP-3MS self-3MS again PRS.3MS-ill
 ἄΛΛΑ ΖΩΜΟC ΕΦΩΔΑΝΩΝΖ ΝΤΟΥ ΠΕ ΠΕΤΝΕΙΩΤ
alla *hômos* *efšan-ônh* *ntof* *pe* *pe-tn-iôt*
 but nevertheless CND.3MS-live 3MS COP POSS.M-2P-father

“I think he is ill as well. Nevertheless, if he lives, then he is your father.”

^S*Vita Pachomii*, 7th Sahidic Life (Lefort 1952: 93, 11–13)

- (54) Pachom returns to Palamon and reports about the divine afflatus to found a monastery of Tabenese. His old master is first not amused, feeling abandoned by Pachom, but says:

ἄΛΛΑ ΟΜΟC ΦΟΥΩΦ ἸΠΩC ΜΑΡΕΦΩΩΠΙ ἸΝΧΟΥ ΝΙΒΕΝ
alla *omos* *p^h-uôš* *m-p-c(ô)i/s* *mare-f-šôpi* *n-sêu* *niben*
 but nevertheless DEF₁.M-will of-DEF₁.M-lord JUSS-3MS-happen in-time QU

“However, may the Lord’s will be done always.”

^B*Vita Pachomii* §17 (Lefort 1953: 18, 27–28)

- (55) Shenute addresses the word of God and begs it to cease in its admonitions of him, because he is fearful of the final judgement that awaits him due to his sins:

ΠΑΛΙΝ ΟΝ ἸΠΡΩΠ ἸΝΕΤΡΝΟΥΡΕ ΕΝΕΤΟΥΩΦ ΕCΩΤἸ
palin *on* *mpr-hôp* *n-n-et-r-nofre* *e-n-et-uôš* *e-sôtm*
 again also NEG.IMP-hide OBJ-DEF.P-REL-AUX-good to-DEF.P-REL-wish to-listen
 ΕΝΕΚΩΔ.ΞΕ
e-ne-k-šače
 to-POSS.P-2MS-word

“On the other hand, do not withhold your useful (admonitions) from those who want to listen to your words.” ^SShenute, *De iudicio* = A26 (Behlmer 1996: 20, b26–21, a5)

- (56) Theodore, troubled by the riches that the *koinonia* is acquiring, pays a visit to Horsiese.

ΕΤΑΦΦΟΖΔΕ ΕΡΟΥ ἈΦΕΡΑCΠΑΖΕCΕΕ ἸΜΟΥ ΠΑΛΙΝ ἈΦΡΙΜΙ
eta-f-p^hoh=de *ero-f* *a-f-er-aspazesthe* *mno-f* *palin* *a-f-rimi*
 TEMP-3MS-say=yet OBJ-3MS PST-3MS-AUX-kiss OBJ-3MS again PST-3MS-cry

ἦΤΟΥΝΟΥ ΞΕΝΟΥΝΙΩΤ ἦΡΙΜΙ ΟΥΟΞ ΔΑΡΙΜΙ ΖΩΦ ἸΧΕΑΠΑ ΖΩΡΣΙΗΙ
n-ti-unu xen-u-ništi n-rimi uoh a-f-rimi hō-f nče-apa hōrsiēsi
 in-DEF₂.F-hour in-IDF.S-great of-cry CON PST-3MS-cry self-3MS PVS-Apa PN
 “When he reached him he kissed him, but suddenly he burst into abundant tears, and
 also the venerable Horsiese wept.” ^B*Vita Pachomii*, §197 (Lefort 1953: 191, 30–192, 3)

The polymorphemic connector =ΜΕΝ =*men* ... =ΔΕ =*de* ... , wherein both elements cliticize to the first phonetic word in their respective clause or phrase, is mainly used to introduce two alternatives with the semantics of “on the one hand ... on the other hand”, but it can also be used to express a concessive contrast. The second element is mainly =*de* but ἄλλα *alla* or other adversative connectors such as *hō*-PRN are attested as well. The major function is that of a discourse marker to structure the text.

- (57) (Cotext fragmentary); Pantoleon asks his father:

Π[Δ]ΙΩΤ ΕΤΒΕΟΥ ΖΟΙΝΕΜΕΝ ΖἸΝἸΝΟΥΤΕ ΕΥΑΞΕΡΑΤΟΥ
pa-iōt etbe-u hoine=men hn-n-nute e-u-aherat-u
 POSS.M.1s-father because-what some-indeed in-DEF.P-god FOC-3P-stand-3P
 ἸΝΑΥ ΝΙΜ ΕΜΕΥΕΩΖΜΟΟC
n-nau nim e-me-u-eš-hmoos
 of-time QU DEP-NEG.AOR-3P-can-sit
 ΖΕΝΚΟΥΥΕΔΕ ΕΥΖΜΟΟC ΕΜΕΥΕΩΤΩΟΥΝ ΕΠΤΗΡῪ
hen-koue=de e-u-hmoos e-me-u-eš-tōun e-p-tēr-f
 IDF.P-others.P-yet REL-3P-sit FOC-NEG.AOR-3P-can-rise to-DEF.M-all-3MS
 “My father, why, on the one hand, are some of the gods always standing and unable to
 sit down, whereas, on the other hand, others that are seated are not able to rise at all?”
^S*Martyrdom of St Pantoleon* (Quispel & Zandee 1962: vi, 1–9)

- (58) The Psalms of Bêma tell how the Holy Spirit revealed the natures of Light and Darkness to the faithful:

[ΤΜ]ἸΤῚΡΟΜΕΝ ἸΠΟΥΑἸΝΕ ΝΕCΩΟΠ ΖἸἸ[Ε Μ]ἸἸΤΗΔC ...
t-mnt-rro=men m-p-uaine ne-s-šoop hn-tie m-mnt-nac ...
 DEF.F-ABST-king=indeed of-DEF.M-light PRT-3FS-be.STA in-five.F of-ABST-great ...
 ΤΜἸΤῚΡΟ ΖΩC ἸΠΚΕΚΕ ΕCΩΟΠ ΖἸἸΟΥ ΝΤΑΜΙΟΝ ...
t-mnt-rro hō-s m-p-keke e-s-šoop hn-tiu n-tamion ...
 DEF.F-ABST-king self-3MS of-DEF.M-darkness DEP-3FS-be.STA in-five.M of-magazine ...
 “The realm of Light, on the one hand, consisted in five Greatnesses, ..., but the realm
 of Darkness consists in five storehouses, ...”

^L*Psalms of Bêma* 233 (Allberry 1938: 9, 12–13 & 17–18)

- (59) Macarius recounts how he met two ‘real’ monks in the desert who had been dwelling there for forty years:

ΠΟΥΑΙΜΕΝ ΝΕΟΥΡΕΜἸΧΗΜΙ ΠΕ
pi-uai=men ne-u-rem-n-k^bēmi pe
 DEF₂.M-one=indeed PRT-IDF.S-man-of-N SE.M

ΠΙΧΕΤΔΕ	ΝΕΟΥΦΑΙΑΤ	ΠΕ
<i>pi-k^het=de</i>	<i>ne-u-phaiat</i>	<i>pe</i>
DEF ₂ .M-other=yet	PRT-IDS.S-N	SE.M

“One was an Egyptian, the other a Libyan.”

^B*Sayings of Macarius the Great #21* (Amélineau 1894: 219, 10–11 coll.)

- (60) Besa makes a pun on the name of the nun to whom he is writing (Aphthonia = *the unenvious*):

ΣΕΜΟΥΤΕΜΕΝ	ΕΡΟ	ΖἸΠΟΥΡΑΝ	ΧΕΑΦΘΟΝΙΑ			
<i>se-mute=men</i>	<i>ero</i>	<i>hm-pu-ran</i>	<i>če-aphthonia</i>			
3P-call=indeed	to.2FS	in-POSS.M.2FS-name	CMP-N			
ΤΕΦΘΟΝΕΙΔΕ	ΕΡΟ	ΜΑΥΑΑΤΕ	ΑΥΩ ΤΕΟ	ἸΒΑΣΚΑΝΟΣ	ΕΡΟ	ΜΜΙΝ ΜΜΟ
<i>te-phthoni=de</i>	<i>ero</i>	<i>mauaat-e</i>	<i>auō te-o</i>	<i>n-baskanos</i>	<i>ero</i>	<i>mmin mmo</i>
2FS-envy=yet	to.2FS	self-2FS	CON 2FS-do.STA	as-mean	to.2FS	self.2FS

“Although they call you by your name Aphthonia, yet you envy yourself and are mean to yourself.” ^SBesa, *To Aphthonia* I.3 (Kuhn 1956: 37, 33–38, 2)

Further examples can be found below with *sop ... sop ...* (see 3.4 below).

Much rarer is the use of οὔχοϝ *oukhoti* (οὐκ ὄτι), seemingly attested only in the Scripture and in Bohairic¹². The clause is introduced by *če*:

- (61) Jesus teaches in the temple and says about the circumcision handed down by Moses:

ΟΥΧΟΤΙ	ΧΕΟΥΕΒΟΛ	ΖἸΜΩΨΧΗΣ	ΠΕ
<i>ouk-hoti</i>	<i>če-u-ebol</i>	<i>hm-mōusēs</i>	<i>pe</i>
NEG-that	CMP-IDS.S-out	from-PN	SE.M

ΑΛΛΑ	ΟΥΕΒΟΛ	ΖἸΝἸΟΤΕ	ΠΕ
<i>alla</i>	<i>u-ebol</i>	<i>hn-n-iote</i>	<i>pe</i>
but	IDS.S-out	from-DEF.P-fathers.P	SE.M

“... – not because it is of Moses, but of the fathers – ...” ^SJohn 7:22 (Quecke 1984: 122)

- (62) St. Paul assures the community of Corinth:

ΟΥΧΟΤΙ	ΧΕΑΝΟΙ	ἸΘῚ	ΕΠΕΤΕΝΝΑΖἸ
<i>ouk-hoti</i>	<i>če-a-n-oi</i>	<i>n-c(ōi)s</i>	<i>e-pe-ten-nahti</i>
NEG-that	CMP-FOC-1P-do.STA	as-lord	to-POSS.M-2P-faith

ΑΛΛΑ	ΤΕΝΟΙ	ἸΨΦΗΡ	ἸΡΕΦΕΡΖΩΒ	ΕΠΕΤΕΝΡΑΨΙ
<i>alla</i>	<i>ten-oi</i>	<i>n-šp^hēr</i>	<i>n-ref-er-hōb</i>	<i>e-pe-ten-raši</i>
but	1P-do.STA	as-friend	of-AGT-do-work	to-POSS.M-2P-joy

“Not that we have dominion over your faith, but we are helpers of your joy.”

^{B2} Corinthians 1:24 (Horner 1898-1905: III 236)

For the use of *hoti*, see Müller (2012: 159).

12 Note that the Old Bohairic version of the Gospel of John (Kasser 1960) always has *ukhoci*, cf. John 6:46, 7:22 & 12:6. Stern (1880: §606) also refers to simple *oti* but I have not been able to find examples.

3.4 Disjunctive

Coptic origin	Greek origin	Graeco-Coptic
simple alternative		
^B <i>ie</i> “or”	^S <i>ē</i> “or”	
	<i>ite ... ite</i> “either ... or ...”	^{SB} <i>sop=men ... sop=de/on ...</i> “at one time ... at another time ...”
	negated clause ... <i>ute/ude ...</i> or <i>ute ... ute ..., ude ... ude ...</i> “neither ... nor ...”	
choice-aimed alternative		
^S <i>čīn-</i> , <i>čn-</i> ≠ ^B <i>šan-</i> “or”		

Both disjunctive connectors of Coptic origin, ^S*χn-* *čn-* (also appearing as *χen-* *čen-* or *χin-* *čin-*, or even only *χε-* *če-* preceding a nasal consonant and occasionally elsewhere as in example 66) ≠ ^B*σαν-* *šan-* “or” (^SLayton 2011: §493.44; ^BStern 1880: §593), are etymologically prepositions and hence cliticise to the left of the second coordinated element. They are both used on the phrasal and on the clausal level. Their primary functional domain is the expression of a “choice-aimed alternative” (Mauri 2008: 154–193) in interrogative constructions (^BMallon 1956: §375) or in the construction ^S*χ(t)-nmmon* *č(i)n-mmon* / ^B*σανnmmon* *šan-mmon* “or not” (Horn 1972) for the present and ^S*χ(t)-nmpe* *č(i)n-mpe* / ^B*σανmpe* *šan-mp^hē* “or not” for the past; sometimes, however, both *ie* and *šan* are employed (see example 69). However, Akhmimic Coptic seems to attest only *n ē* in both functions, i.e., simple and choice-aimed alternative (see Till 1928: 249 §219e).

- (63) St. Menas appears in front of the hegemon to confess in public his Christian faith. After he did, the hegemon Pyrrhus asks him:

^{NT}ΚΟΥΨΜΩ ^ΧΕΨΤΚΟΥΡΕΜΤΕΙΠΟΛΙΣ
ntk-u-šmmo *če-ntk-u-rem-tei-polis*
 2MS-IDF.S-stranger or-2MS-IDF.S-man-DEM.F-town
 “Are you a stranger or are you of this city ...?”

^SJohn of Alexandria, *Encomium on St Menas* (Drescher 1946: 50a, 5–7)

- (64) Pilate asks the crowd:

^ΧΕΤΕΨΘΩΨ ^ΕΤΡΑΚΑΝΙΜ ΝΗΤΨ ΕΒΟΛ ΒΑΡΑΒΒΑΣ ^ΧΝΙΨ
če-(e)tetn-uōš *e-tr-a-ka-nim* *nē-tn* *ebol* *barabbas* *čn-i(ēsu)s*
 CMP-(FOC-)2P-wish to-CAUS-1S-put-who to-2P out N or-N
 “Whom do you want me to give to you? Barabbas or Jesus (who is called Christ)?”

^SMatthew 27:17 (Aranda Perez 1984: 278)

- (65) In the night after the leaders of the congregation have taken some members of the congregation into custody, Shenute struggles with a man whom he considers to be a higher force in disguise sent to earth and yells at him to tell him:

ΕΝΕΝΤΟΥ	ΠΕΝΤΑΥΡΝΟΒΕ	ΕΠΝΟΥΓΕ
<i>ene-ntou</i>	<i>p-ent-a-u-r-nobe</i>	<i>e-p-nute</i>
IRR-2P	COP.M-REL-PST-3P-do-sin	to-DEF.M-god
ⲬΝΑΝΟΝ	ΠΕΝΤΑΝΡΝΟΒΕ	ΕΡΟΦ
<i>ĉn-anon</i>	<i>p-ent-a-n-r-nobe</i>	<i>ero-f</i>
or-1P	COP.M-REL-PST-1P-do-sin	to-3MS

“Could it have been them who have sinned against God or was it us who sinned against him?”
^SShenute, *In the Night* (Leipoldt 1907: 38, 21–22)

- (66) St. Peter ask Jesus whence all the affliction and ill in the world comes:

ΜΗ	ΔΛΕΝΕΙ	ΤΗΡΟΥ	ϺΑΑΠ	ΕΤΒΕΠΛΩΜΙ	ⲬΕΕΤΒΕΜΑΣΤΗΜΑ
<i>mê</i>	<i>ale-nei</i>	<i>têr-u</i>	<i>šaaρ</i>	<i>etbe-p-lômi</i>	<i>ce-etbe-mastêma</i>
IRP	FOC-DEM.P	all-3P	happen.STA	because-DEF.M-man	or-because-N

“Do all these happen because of man or because of Mastema?”

^F*Installation of Archangel Michael* §3 (Müller 1962: 7, 8–9)

- (67) Theodore the Eastern searches for his father in Egypt, asking around for him in the latter’s hometown Paphor. An old man replies:

ΙΩΑΝΝΗΣ	ΝΙΜ	ΕΤΕΚΩΙΝΙ	ΝΣΩΦ	ΙΩΑΝΝΗΣ	ΠΙΤΥΡΩΝ	ΠΕ
<i>iôannês</i>	<i>nim</i>	<i>ete-k-šini</i>	<i>nsô-f</i>	<i>iôannês</i>	<i>pi-turôn</i>	<i>pe</i>
N	who	REL-2MS-ask	after-3MS	N	DEF ₂ ,M-recruit	SE.M

ϺΑΝΚΕΟΥΑΙ ΠΕ
šan-ke-uai pe
 or-other-one SE.M

“Which John are you looking for? Is it John the recruit or another one?”

^BTheodore of Ancyra, *On the Theodores*

(Balestri & Hyvernât 1924: 124, 11–12 = Winstedt 1910: 36, 23–24)

While in Sahidic (and the other Southern dialects such as Akhmimic and Lycodiospolitan, in Mesokemic and also in Fayumic Coptic) the Greek η ἐ “or” (^SLayton 2011: §145(b); ^SGregorius 1991: 82 §161) is the default disjunctive connector, the Bohairic element ιε ie “or” (^BMallon 1956: §344; ^BGregorius 1991: 82 §161; also attested in Fayumic, see also below example 77), which is of Coptic origin, is everywhere the Bohairic equivalent to ^Sη ἐ.¹³ It appears in clause-initial position with any sentence pattern and is used for all types of contrast, as well as a discourse marker. The syntactic position of both ἐ and ιε is between the coordinated elements as a free morpheme. Both ἐ and ιε can apply either on the phrasal or on the clausal level. Their main functional domain is a simple alternative, although examples of a choice-aimed alternative can be found.

- (68) The devil is attracted by everything that stinks:

ΠΜΑΣΕ	ἢ	ΠΕΣΟΥ	ἢ	ΝΤΟΦ	ΚΕΖΩΝ	ΕϺΑΥΟΥΜḲ
<i>p-mase</i>	<i>ê</i>	<i>p-esou</i>	<i>ê</i>	<i>ntof</i>	<i>ke-zôon</i>	<i>e-ša-u-uom-f</i>
DEF.M-bullock	or	DEF.M-sheep	or	3MS	other-animal	REL-AOR-3P-eat-3MS

13 For rare attestations in Sahidic, see Crum (1939a: 75a sub e).

εφϞανῖροϞαϞη	ἡῖροϞυ	εφονῖ	χωρις	τρεφμοϞυ
<i>eḡsan-r-u-ašê</i>	<i>n-hou</i>	<i>e-f-onh</i>	<i>khôris</i>	<i>tre-f-mu</i>
COND.3MS-do-IDF.S-multitude	of-day	DEP-3MS-live	except	INFL-3MS-die
ἦ ἡτεπεθηριον	τακοϞ	μεϞκνοϞ		
<i>ê nte-pe-thêrion</i>	<i>tako-f</i>	<i>me-f-knos</i>		
or CNJ-DEF.M-beast	destroy-3MS	NEG.AOR-3MS-stink		

“The bullock or the ram or even any other beast that is eaten, if it lives a long time before it dies or a wild animal kills it, it does not stink.”

^SShenute, *You, O Lord* from Discourses 5 (Young 1993: 30–31 v^o 10–18)

- (69) A Manichean Psalm to Jesus bewails the soul’s ignorance of its own approaching death, caring for bodily matters only. It goes on to say:

Ϟαρεριμε	ἡτεῖρῖῖη	ῖαοϞϞηρε	η οϞϞβηρ	εϞμοϞ
<i>ša-re-rime</i>	<i>nte-θ-ti-rmiê</i>	<i>ha-u-šêre</i>	<i>ê u-šbêr</i>	<i>e-f-mu</i>
AOR-2FS-cry	CNJ-2FS-give-tear	under-IDF.S-son	or IDF.S-friend	DEP-3MS-die
τεῖνιει	αβαλ	[ρ]ω οϞαετε	μαρετεϞη	τελο
<i>te-θ-cin-i</i>	<i>abal</i>	<i>rô uaet-e</i>	<i>mare-te-s-hê</i>	<i>telo</i>
POSS.F-2FS-AGT-come	out	PTC alone-2FS	JUSS-POSS.F-3FS-thought	enter
απερητ				
<i>a-pe-θ-hêt</i>				
to-POSS.M-2FS-heart				

“You weep and you are shedding tears for a son or a friend, who die, yet you should let the thought of your own departure enter your heart.”

^L*Psalms to Jesus* 265 (Allberry 1939: 82, 21–23)

- (70) St. Paul praises the ways and plans of the Lord, which are unknown to mere mortals:

νιμῖαρ	πετεαϞιμι	ερητ	μῖοῦ	
<i>nim=gar</i>	<i>p-ete-a-f-imi</i>	<i>e-p-hêt</i>	<i>m-p-c(ô)i/s</i>	
who=for	COP.M-REL-PST-3MS-know	OBJ-DEF.M-heart	of-DEF.M-lord	
πει	ετνασεβιητῖ	εβολ	ἱε νιμ πετεαϞωῖπι	νηϞ
<i>pei</i>	<i>et-na-seb-iêt-f</i>	<i>ebol</i>	<i>ie nim p-ete-a-f-šôpi</i>	<i>nê-f</i>
DEM	REL-FUT-teach-eye-3MS	out	or who COP.M-REL-PST-3MS-be	for-3MS
νλεϞϞιϞαϞνι	ἱε νιμ πετεαϞιλι		νηϞ	νϞαρεπ
<i>n-lef-çi-šačni</i>	<i>ie nim p-ete-a-f-ili</i>		<i>nê-f</i>	<i>n-šarep</i>
as-AGT-take-counsel	or who COP.M-REL-PST-3MS-do		for-3MS	as-first
νταλεϞτοϞια	νηϞ			
<i>ntale-f-tuia</i>	<i>nê-f</i>			
FCNJ-3MS-repay	for-3MS			

“For who has known the mind of the Lord who would instruct him? Or who has been his counsellor? Or who has first given to God so that he needs to repay him?”

^FRomans 11:34–35 (Wessely 1908: 8)

- (71) As the sultan asks John to re-convert to Islam, he replies: *I am a man full of pollution.*

ΜΑΤΟΥΒΟΙ ἔβολ ἕντεκχϥ
ma-tubo-i *ebol* *xen-te-k-sēfi*
 give.IMP-purify-1s out with-POSS.F-2MS-sword
 ἰε ἀρηοϥ ἵντεκερϣμοτ νηι ἡπαναναϣϥ
ie *arēu* *nte-k-er-hmot* *nē-i* *m-pa-na-nahti*
 or maybe CNJ-2MS-do-favour for-1s in-POSS.M-POSS.P.1s-faith

“Purify me with your sword or perhaps grant me the favour of one of my faith.”

^B*Martyrdom of John of Pancôit* §93 (Zaborowski 2005: 102, 747–748)

- (72) Pachom at first refuses to accept a man from Alexandria, because he had lived in impurity, but finally agrees, urging him to follow the rules. If he should feel sick he is to call Pachom first:

ἵνταεραδοκιμαζιν ἡμοϥ χεοϥεβολ ϣιτενϥϥϥ πε
nta-er-dokimazin *mmo-f* *če-u-ebol* *hiten-ph-(nu)ti* *pe*
 CNJ.1s-AUX-test OBJ-3MS CMP-IDF.S-out by-DEF₁.m-God SE.M
 ἰε ϣανοϥεβολ πε ἕννιαδεμων πε ετχορϣ εροκ
ie *šan-u-ebol* {*pe*} *xen-ni-demôn* *pe* *et-čorč* *ero-k*
 or or-IDF.S-out {SE.M} from-DEF₂.P-demon SE.M REL-hunt OBJ-3MS

“... and I will find out whether the thing is from God or from those demons, who set a snare for you”

^B*Vita Pachomii*, §107 (Lefort 1953: 146, 11–14)

Especially, the Coptic writer Shenute of Atripe uses *η* in fashion of ‘or rather’, known as *disiunctio sinuthiana* (Shisha-Halevi 1976: 37–39 with a lot of further examples):

- (73) Shenute relates how he wrangled with a man in the appearance of an official authority, whom he, however, spotted to be a demon in disguise. The other started to raise his hands against the abbot, yet he, he says:

ἀνοκ ϣωωτ ἀιϥοϥβηϥ ϣωστε ετραωστ ἡμοϥ
anok *hōō-t* *a-i-ti-ubê-f* *hōste* *e-tr-a-ōct* *mmo-f*
 1s self-1s PST-1s-give-against-3MS so_that to-CAUS-1s-choke OBJ-3MS
 ϣμπερνααϥ ετκτηϥ επεϥμακϣ
hm-pe-hnau *et-ktêu* *e-pe-f-makh*
 in-DEF.M-thing REL-surround.STA to-POSS.M-3MS-neck
 η ετϣιτεϥναϣβ
ê *et-hi-te-f-nahb*
 or REL-on-POSS.F-3MS-shoulder

“I too fought against him, so that I might choke him with the thing that was around his neck or rather his shoulders.”

^SShenute, *In the Night* (Leipoldt 1907: 38, 14–16)

The polymorphemic connector ^Sερτε ... ερτε ... / ^Bιτε ... ιτε ... *ite* ... *ite* “either ... or ...” (^SLayton 2011: §145(b); ^{SB}Gregorius 1991: 79 §155; < Greek εἶτε ... εἶτε ...) appears

“Either on Saturday or on Sunday, I shall come to Tmu.”

O.CrumVC 48, 3 (Crum 1939b:18)

- (78) Somebody sends in a letter some orders pertaining to sheep. The addressee is to get hold of someone else and:

ΛΟΙΠΩΝ	ΙΕ	ΝΚΙ	ΙΕ	ΝΚΟΥΑΤΕΘΑΝΑΗΛ	ΕΒΙ	ΕΒΠΩΤ
<i>loipōn</i>	<i>ie</i>	<i>n-k-i</i>	<i>ie</i>	<i>n-k-uate-thanaēl</i>	<i>e-b-i</i>	<i>e-b-pōt</i>
then	or	CNJ-2MS-come	or	CNJ-2MS-send-N	CNJ-3MS-come	CNJ-3MS-run
ΕΒΞΕΤΟΥ						
<i>e-b-het-u</i>						
CNJ-3MS-flay-3P						

“Then either you come or you send Thanael and he comes and goes and skins them.”

^FP.Lond.Copt. I 585, 12–14 (Crum 1905: 278)¹⁵

- (79) Once the children of Israel have returned to the Lord in repentance, they will recognize those who led them astray and who said:

ΦΑΙ	ΠΕ	ΠΙΜΩΙΤ	ΜΑΡΕΝΜΟΥ	ΧΙΩΤΥ
<i>p^hai</i>	<i>pe</i>	<i>pi-mōit</i>	<i>mare-n-moši</i>	<i>hiōt-f</i>
DEM.M	COP.M	DEF ₂ .M-way	JUSS-1P-walk	upon-3MS
ΙΤΕ	ΕΟΥΙΝΑΜ	ΙΤΕ	ΕΧΑΘΗ	
<i>ite</i>	<i>e-uinam</i>	<i>ite</i>	<i>e-čacē</i>	
whether	to-right	whether	to-left	

“This is the way; let us walk in it, whether to the right or to the left.”

^BIsaiah 30:21 (Tattam 1852: 120)

Negative alternatives are expressed by the polymorphemic connector ΟΥΔΕ ... ΟΥΔΕ ... *ude* ... *ude* ... or ΟΥΤΕ ... ΟΥΤΕ ... *ute* ... *ute* ... “neither ... nor ...”¹⁶ (^SLayton 2011: §145(b); ^BMallon 1956: §344), which appear in front of the coordinated elements.

- (80) John describes the abode of the myriads of angels and their occupation:

ΟΥΔΕ	Ν̄ΣΕΟΒ̄Θ̄ΑΝ	Ν̄ΣΙΝΕΤ̄Μ̄ΑΥ	ΟΥΔΕ	Ν̄ΣΕ̄Ν̄ΚΟΤ̄Κ̄ΑΝ	
<i>ude</i>	<i>n-se-obš=an</i>	<i>nci-n-etmmau</i>	<i>ude</i>	<i>n-se-nkotk=an</i>	
and_not	NEG-3P-forget=NEG	PVS-DEF.P-those	and_not	NEG-3P-sleep=NEG	
ΟΥΔΕ	Ν̄ΣΕ̄Χ̄ΚΟΔ̄Ν	ΟΥΔΕ	Ν̄ΣΕ̄ΕΙΒ̄ΕΑΝ		
<i>ude</i>	<i>n-se-hko=an</i>	<i>ude</i>	<i>n-se-ibe=an</i>		
and_not	NEG-3P-hunger=NEG	and_not	NEG-3P-thirst=NEG		
ΟΥΔΕ	Ν̄ΣΕΟΥΩΜ̄\ΑΝ/	ΕΠ̄ΤΗΡ̄	Χ̄ΕΝΠ̄Ν̄Δ̄ΓΑΡ	Ν̄ΟΥΟΕΙΝ	ΝΕ
<i>ude</i>	<i>n-se-uōm=an</i>	<i>e-p-tēr-f</i>	<i>hen-pn(eum)a=gar</i>	<i>n-uoin</i>	<i>ne</i>
and_not	NEG-3P-eat=NEG	to-DEF.M-all-3MS	IDF.P-spirit=for	of-light	SE.P

15 I follow a suggestion of Anne Boud’hors/Paris to see the *e-b*-patterns as conjunctives, see Richter 2016: 367 with note 36 for late Sahidic texts.

16 Distinguishing between *ude* and *ute* is generally impossible in Coptic due to the lack of phonemic contrast between voiced and unvoiced stops, see Peust 1999: 79–95 esp. 84; Müller 2011: 518–519.

ΟΥΔΕΓΑΡ ΜΝΟΥΩΗ ΝΤΕΝΕΤΜΗΔΥ ΟΥΔΕ ΜΝΟΥΖΟΥ ΟΥΔΕ ΜΝΟΥΚΙΟΥ
ude=gar mn-ušê nte-n-etmmau ude mn-u-hou ude mn-siu
 and_not=for NEG-night with-DEF.P-those and_not NEG-IDF.S-day and_not NEG-star
 ΟΥΔΕ ΜΝΟΟΖ ΟΥΔΕ ΜΝΡΗ ΟΥΔΕ ΜΝΧΑΦ ΟΥΔΕ ΜΝΚΑΥΚΩΝ
ude mn-ooz ude mn-rê ude mn-čaf ude mn-kausôn
 and_not NEG-moon and_not NEG-sun and_not NEG-frost and_not NEG-heat
 “Neither do those forget, nor do they sleep, neither do they have hunger nor do they
 have thirst, neither do they eat at all, for they are sparkling spirits, for they have
 neither night nor day, neither star nor moon nor sun, neither frost nor heat”

^SJohn of Parallos, *In Michaelem* (van Lantschoot 1946: 309, a14–b10)

- (81) In a letter to an ecclesiastic superior, a man complains about an assault on him by a drunken man and two of the latter’s henchmen:

ΜΠΟΥΚΩ ΖΙΚΩΝ ΖΙΩΤ ΟΥΤΕ ΟΥΡΗΤΕ ΟΥΤΕ ΟΧ
mp-u-kô hikôn hiô-t ute urête ute c(i)č
 NEG.PST-3P-let image upon-1s and_not foot and_not hand
 “They left no resemblance to myself, neither foot nor hand.”

^SO.Mich.Copt. 4, 19–20 (Worrell 1942: 224–226)

- (82) In a contract about the purchase of a bull, the seller confirms:

ΟΥΔΕ ΑΝΑΚ ΟΥΔΕ ΛΟΜΙ ΝΤΕΙ ΝΕΟΥΤΟΥΥΙ ΒΑΛ ΕΠΕΙΜΕΣΙ
ude anak ude lomi nte-i ne-š-t-u-ši bal e-peimesi
 and_not 1s and_not man of-1s NEG-CAN-CNJ-3P-come out to-DEM.M-calf
 ΝΩΔ(Ε)ΝΕΖ ΧΕΑΤΕΒΤΙΜΗΝ Ι ΤΑΑΤ ΝΑΤΑΜΦΙΒ
n-ša-eneh če-a-te-b-timên i taa-t n-at-amphib(olia)
 in-for-eternity for-PST-POSS.M-3FS-price come hand-1s as-un-strife
 “Neither I nor any person belonging to me will ever be able to come back to that calf
 since I received its payment without objection.”

^F*SB Kopt.* II 949, 8–10 (Hasitzka 2004: 86)

- (83) A man comes with his crying child to Pisenthius to receive the latter’s blessings. He says that the little one is constantly crying now already for a fortnight. He continues:

ΟΥΔΕ ΦΧΗΜΑΝ ΟΥΔΕ ΜΜΟΝΠΛΥΓΗ ΟΥΟΝΖ ΕΒΟΛ ΑΝ
ude f-xêm=an ude mmon-plugê uonh ebol an
 and_not 3MS-be_hot.STA=NEG and_not NEG-blow reveal out NEG
 ΞΕΝΠΕΦΩΜΑ ΝΤΕΝΕΜΙΑΝ ΧΕΑΦΩΩΝΙ ΕΟΥ
xen-pe-f-sôma n-ten-emi=an če-a-f-šôni e-u
 in-POSS.M-3MS-body NEG-1P-know=NEG CMP-FOC-3MS-be_sick to-what
 “Neither has he fever nor does his body show any blow. We don’t know from what he
 suffers.” ^BMoses of Quft, *On Pisenthius of Quft* (Amélineau 1889: 114, 10–12 coll.)

More common is the construction of an initial negated clause extended by the alternative introduced with *ude* (or *ute*).

- (84) After Macedonius has killed the divine falcon, the two sons of the priest ran away. Their father looks for them everywhere, but to no avail, and he says to himself:

ΟΥ ΠΕΝΤΑΦΩΠΕ ΕΒΟΛΧΕΜΠΕΙΡΕ ΕΝΑΦΗΡΕ
u p-ent-a-f-šôpe ebolče-mpi-he e-na-šêre
 what DEF.M-REL-PST-3MS-happen because-NEG.PST.1s-find OBJ-POSS.P.1s-son

ΟΥΔΕ ΠΚΕΝΟΥΤΕ ΠΒΗΘ
ude p-ke-nute p-bêc
 and_not DEF.M-other-god DEF.M-falcon

“What might have happened? For I can neither find my sons, nor the god, the falcon!”

^s*Life of Apa Aaron* (Budge 1915: 446, 18–19)¹⁷

- (85) God showed his mercy to the world by sending his own son for its salvation from captivity:

ἸΠΤΑΜΕΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ ΕΦἸΝΝΗΥ ΦΑΡΑΝ ΟΥΔΕ ΑΡΧΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ
mp-f-tame-aggelos e-f-nnêu šara-n ude arkhaggelos
 NEG.PST-3MS-inform-angel DEP-3MS-come.STA to-1P and_not archangel

ΟΥΔΕ ΛΑΥΕ ΝΑΡΧΗ
ude laue n-arkhê
 and_not any of-power

ΑΛΛΑ ΑΦΩΒΤΥ ΝΤΡΕ ΝΟΥΡΩΜΕ ΕΦἸΝΝΗΥ ΦΑΡΑΝ
alla a-f-šbt-f n-t-xe n-u-rôme e-f-nnêu šara-n
 but PST-3MS-change-3MS in-DEF.F-way of-IDF.S-man DEP-3MS-come.STA to-1P

“He neither informed an angel when he came to us, nor an archangel nor any power, but changed himself into human form when he came to us, ...”

[^]*Apocalypse of Elijah* (Steindorff 1899: 68, 6–9)

- (86) Timothy tells Papnute his story and says about his dwelling:

ἸΜΟΝΕΖΛΙ ΦΟΠ ΞΕΝΠΑΙΜΑΝΦΩΠ
mmon-ehli šop xen-pai-ma-n-šopi
 NEG-any be.STA in-DEM.M-place-of-dwell

ΟΥΔΕ ΤΡΟΦΗ ΝΩΙΚ ΕΠΤΗΡΥ
ude trophê n-ôik e-p-têr-f
 and_not food of-bread to-DEF₁.M-all-3MS

“There is neither any person nor any bread in this dwelling place.”

^BPapnute, *Story of Onnophrius* (Amélineau 1885: 172, 2 coll.)

The polymorphemic connector expressing “at one time/once ... at another time ...” appears either as Coptic ^{SB}ϥΟΠ ... ϥΟΠ ... *sop ... sop ...* (see below under 3.6.2 for an example) or as the Graeco-Coptic blend ^{SB}ϥΟΠΜΕΝ ... ϥΟΠΔΕ ... *sop=men ... sop-de/on ...* (^SLayton 2011: §493.44; Müller 2016). It can be used as a singular (with or without a determiner) or as a plural additionally marked with the indefinite plural article (^SΞΕΝϥΟΠ *hen-sop* / ^BΞΑΝϥΟΠ *han-sop*). In the Graeco-Coptic form, it consists of the Coptic word ϥΟΠ *sop* “occasion, time” and the Greek elements =ΜΕΝ =*men* “indeed” and =ΔΕ =*de* “yet” (the latter can be replaced by the Coptic ΟΝ *on* “also” instead). Both elements appear in initial position within the coordinated clauses, which can appear as main or dependent clauses.

17 I am grateful to Jitse Dijkstra/Ottawa, who communicated the results of his collation of the text to me.

- (87) Shenute condemns the Jews:

ΔΥΧΩΟΥΖΓΑΡ ΝΑΜΕ ΕΧΜΠΧΘΕΙC ΖΝΤΕΥΜΝΤΟΥΑΖΙΡΗΤ
a-u-sôuh=gar name ečm-p-čois hn-te-u-mnt-uahi-hêt
 PST-3P-gather=for truly upon-DEF.M-lord in-POSS.F-3P-ABST-bitter-heart
 CΟΠΜΕΝ ΕΥΧΙCΟΛ ΕΡΟC CΟΠΔΕ ΟΝ ΕΥCΚΩΠΤΕΙ
sop=men *e-u-či-col* *ero-f* **sop=de on** *e-u-skôptei*
 time=indeed DEP-3p-take-lie to-3MS time=yet again DEP-3P-mock
 ΕΥΧΩ ΝΖΕΝΩΔΑΞΕ ΝΜΝΤΑCΕΒΗC ΕΥΚΙΜ ΝΝΕΥΑΠΗΥΕ
e-u-čô n-hen-šaçe n-mnt-asebês e-u-kim n-ne-u-apêue
 DEP-3P-say OBJ-IDF.P-word of-ABST-impious DEP-3P-move OBJ-POSS.P-3P-head.P
 ΕΥΧΩ ΜΜΟC ΧΕΟΥΑ ΠΕΤΒΩΛ ΕΒΟΛ ΜΠΕΡΠΕ
e-u-čô mmo-s če-oua p-et-bôl ebol m-p-erpe
 DEP-3P-say OBJ-3FS CMP-ah DEF.M-REL-loosen out OBJ-DEF.M-temple

“For truly they ganged up against the Lord in their cruelty, at one time telling lies against Him, at other times mocking, uttering impious words, shaking their heads and saying: ‘Ah, you who destroys the temple’ (*Mk 15:29*).”

^sShenute, *The Idolatrous Pagans* (Leipoldt 1913: 9, 23–27)

- (88) Shenute says that certain people are always searching for something:

ΖΕΝΡΩΜΕ ΝΤΕΙΡΕ ΕΥΧΩΝΤ CΟΠΜΕΝ ΟΥΑΥΘΝΠΕΤΟΥΩΙΝΕ
hen-rôme n-tei-he e-u-čônt sop=men ša-u-cn-p-et-u-šine
 IDF.P-man of-DEM.F-way DEP-3P-test time=indeed AOR-3P-find-DEF.M-REL-3P-look
 ΝCΩC CΟΠ ΗΕΥΘΝΤC
nsô-f sop me-u-cnt-f
 after-3P time NEG.AOR-3p-find-3MS

“Testing people of that kind – sometimes they find what they are looking for, sometimes they don’t.”

^sShenute, *Some Kind Of People Sift Dirt* (Amélineau 1914: 75, 3–4)

- (89) Pisura replies to the suggestions to believe in the pagan deities with the question how Christians could possibly believe in pagan religion while it invents false stories contradicting themselves and goes on:

CΟΠΜΕΝΓΑΡ ΕCΧΩ ἸΜΟC ΧΕΙΒ ἸΝΟΥΤ ΕΤΩΟΠ ΖΙΧΕΝΠΤΗΡC
sop=men=gar *e-s-čô* *mmo-s* *če-12* *n-nuti et-šop* *hičen-p-têr-f*
 time=indeed=for DEP-3FS-say OBJ-3FS CMP-12 of-god REL-be.STA over-DEF₁.M-all-3MS
 ΟΥCΟΠ ΟΝ Ζ ΝΕ ΚΕCΟΠΔΕ ΟΝ ΧΕΔ ΚΕCΟΠΔΕ ΟΝ ΧΕΓ
u-sop **on** *7* *ne* **ke-sop=de** **on** *če-4* **ke-sop=de** **on** *če-3*
 IDFS-time again 7 SE.P other-time=yet again CMP-4 other-time=yet again CMP-3

“At one time, it says there are twelve deities ruling over the whole of creation, at other times again they are seven; yet again at other times four, and yet again three.”

^B*Martyrdom of St Pisura* (Hyvernat 1886: 127,18–128,2)

- (90) After Theodore’s return, he and Pachomius pray for seven hours and the throne of the Lord appears above them:

[ϣΔ]ΝCΟΠΜΕΝ	ϣΔϩϮΙCΙ	ΕΡΡΗΙ	ΝΧΕΠΘΡΟΝΟC	
han-sop=men	<i>ša-f-cisi</i>	<i>ehrêi</i>	<i>nče-pi-thronos</i>	
IDF.P-time=indeed	AOR-3MS-raise	above	PTC-DEF ₂ .M-throne	
ϣΩCΔΕ	ΝCΕΚΗΝ	ΕΥΝΑΥ	ΕΡΟϩ	
<i>hôsde</i>	<i>n-se-kên</i>	<i>e-u-nau</i>	<i>ero-f</i>	
so_that	CNJ-3P-stop	DEP-3P-see	OBJ-3MS	
[ϣ]ΔΝCΟΠΔΕ	ΟΝ	ϣΔϩΙ	ΕΠCΗΤ	ΕΧΩΟΥ
han-sop=de	<i>on</i>	<i>ša-f-i</i>	<i>e-p-esêt</i>	<i>ečô-u</i>
IDF.P-time=indeed	again	AOR-3MS-come	to-DEF ₁ .M-ground	above-3P
ϣΩCΔΕ	ΠΑΡΑΚΕΚΟΥΧΙ	ΝCΕCΟϩ	ΕΡΟϩ	ΝΝΟΥΧΙΧ
<i>hôsde</i>	<i>para-ke-kuči</i>	<i>n-se-coh</i>	<i>ero-f</i>	<i>n-nu-čič</i>
so_that	for-other-little	CNJ-3P-touch	OBJ-3MS	with-POSS.P.3P-hand

“At times the throne went up so that they could not see Him; at times it went down to them, so that they could almost touch it with their hands.”

^BVita Pachomii, §76 (Lefort 1953: 81, 17–21)

3.5 Causal

Coptic origin	Greek origin	Graeco-Coptic
^S če / ^B če-uêi “for”	=gar “for”	^{SA} če-... / ^B če-uêi ... =gar “for”
	^S kai=gar / ^B ke=gar “for”	

The Coptic connector *če* is the most common element used to link a causal clause, while =gar typically functions as a discourse marker (see Oréal’s detailed study in this volume), though a linkage function is attested for =gar as well.¹⁸ Whereas *če* always appears in clause initial position, the connector =gar is a second position or *Wackernagel*-clitic.

- (91) After walking in the desert for a couple of days, Papnute looks back and says:
- | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| ΔΙΝΑΥ | ΕΟΥΡΩΜΙ | ΕΦΜΟΥΙ | ΕΡΕΠΕϩϩΩΙ | ΦΟΡΩ | ΕΒΟΛ |
| <i>a-i-nau</i> | <i>e-u-rômi</i> | <i>e-f-moši</i> | <i>ere-pe-f-fôï</i> | <i>p^horš</i> | <i>ebol</i> |
| PST-1s-see | OBJ-IDF.S-man | DEP-3MS-walk | DEP-POSS.M-3MS-hair | stretch | out |
| ΕΧΕΝΠΕϩCΩΜΑ | ΗΦΡΗ† | ΝΟΥΠΑΡΔΑΛΙC | ΝΑϩΒΗΩΓΑΡ | ΠΕ | |
| <i>ečen-pe-f-sôma</i> | <i>mp^hrêti</i> | <i>n-u-pardalis</i> | <i>na-f-bêš=gar</i> | <i>pe</i> | |
| upon-POSS.M-3MS-body | like | of-IDF.S-panther | PRT-3MS-naked.STA=for | PTC | |
- “I saw a man walking with his hair stretched out over his body like a panther, for he was naked.”
- ^BPapnute, *Story of Onnophrius* (Amélineau 1885: 174, 8–9)

Mainly a discourse marker, but also attested with linkage use, is the Greek ^Sκαίγαρ *kai=gar* / ^Bκεγαρ *ke=gar* “for” (for a corpus-based study on *καίγαρ* vs. *γαρ*, see Boud’hors 2016). It appears in initial position.

18 See also above 3.1 the last *u-monon* example.

- (92) Besa calls for continuous vigilance among the brethren:

καίγαρ εἰσαρεῖλλησθησὶς σῶτῆραν εὐοῦνη
kai-gar e-šare-n-léstēs cōth=an e-u-ēi
 and-for FOC-AOR-DEF.P-robber pierce=NEG to-IDF.S-house

ἢ οὔμα ἐμνήχρημα νῆτῆ
ē u-ma e-mn-khrēma nhēt-f
 or IDF.S-place REL-NEG-wealth in-3MS

ἀλλὰ εἰσαῦσῶτῆρῆ ἐπιμα εἰτοῦνασῆνῆχρημα ἴμμαγ
alla e-ša-u-cōth e-p-ma et-u-na-cn-khrēma mmau
 but FOC-AOR-3P-pierce to-DEF.M-place REL-3P-FUT-find-wealth there

“For indeed robbers do not break into a house or some place, where there is nothing of worth, but they break into the place where one would find riches.”

⁵Besa, *On Vigilance* (Kuhn 1956: 3, 3–5)

- (93) The Gospel of Truth reasons that the name of the father is the son. It was the father who begot and named him and thus his is the son and the name. Yet, the name is invisible, being the mystery of the invisible:

καίγαρ πῶτ ἡσεχοῦ ἡπεφρεν ἐν
kai-gar p-iōt n-se-cu m-pe-f-ren en
 and=for DEF.M-father NEG-3P-say OBJ-POSS.M-3MS-name NEG

φουανῆδε ἀβαλ ἡνοῦφρη
f-uanh=de abal hn-u-šēre
 3MS-reveal.STA=yet out in-IDF.S-son

“For indeed, the Father’s name is not uttered, but it is apparent through a son.”

¹*Gospel of Truth* chap. 50 (Attridge & MacRae 1985: 110 38, 21–24 = Nagel 2014: 76)¹⁹

- (94) In the evening, the brother who dwells in the cave before which Papnute is waiting returns amidst a herd of antelopes. Seeing Papnute, he is frightened and mistakes him for an apparition:

ἀφὸρῆ ἐρατῆ ἀφῶληλ κεγαρ ἀγερπυραζιν ἴμοφ
a-f-ohi e-rat-f a-f-šlél ke-gar a-u-er-purazin mmo-f
 PST-1s-stand to-foot-3MS PST-3MS-pray and=for PST-3MS-AUX-tempt OBJ-3MS

ἰοῦμηῶ νσοπ ἡξεῖανπῆῶ κατατῆε ἐταφχοσ
n-u-mēš n-sop nče-han-pn(eum)a kata-ti-xe et-a-f-čo-s
 in-IDF.S-multitude of-time PVS-IDF.P-spirit as-DEF₂.F-way REL-PST-3MS-say-3FS

“He stood and prayed, for spirits had tempted him often, as he told (later).”

^BPapnute, *Story of Onnophris* (Amélineau 1885: 169,12–170,1 coll.)

In the Graeco-Coptic blend ^Sχε- *če-* / ^Bχεοῦνη *če-uēi* ... =γαρ =*gar* “for”, the clause is introduced by the Coptic χε- *če-* “for” while the Greek =γαρ =*gar* “for” appears within the clause after the first word.

19 Note that the text follows Nagel (2014: 76 verse 196).

- (95) The Saviour explains that knowledge will only be given to those worthy of it: *It will be given to those who were not brought forth by the polluted sowing of the occupation, i.e., intercourse, but by the first one who has been sent:*

χεπαῖγαρ ογατμοῦ πε ρνητητε ἡνετεωδαμοῦ
če-pai=gar u-at-mu pe hn-t-mête n-n-ete-ša-u-mu
 for-DEM.M=for IDE.S-NEG-die SE.M in-DEF.F-middle of-DEF.P-REL-AOR-3P-die
 ἡρωμε
n-rôme
 DEF.P-man

“... for he is the one who is immortal among the mortals, the humans.”

^s*Sophia of Jesus Christ* (Till & Schenke 1972: 204, 16–19)

- (96) Shenute argues that nobody would choose a death in sin over a life in righteousness. Yet, people choose the sickness of the sin of Satan in their free will. To those, Shenute will say in anger:

φογορῷ ἡσιμηεγε ετσοῦ ἡτεπσατανας
f-shuort nci-p-meue et-hou nte-p-satanas
 3MS-curse.STA PVS-DEF.M-thought REL-bad of-DEF.M-N
 ετῶω εἰμοῦ ερῶν επρητ ἡρωμε
et-hōš mmo-f ehun e-p-hêt m-p-rôme
 REL-be_in_distress OBJ-3MS into to-DEF.M-heart of-DEF.M-man

χεετβηητῆγαρ εγριμε τενοῦ ἡσινετῆἡαἡἡτε
če-etbêêt-f=gar e-u-rime tenu nci-n-et-hn-amnte
 for-because-3MS=for DEP-3P-cry now PVS-DEF.P-REL-in-N

“Cursed is Satan’s evil thought which secures itself into the heart of man, for because of it those in Hell cry now”. ^sShenute, *De iudicio* =A26 (Behlmer 1996: 29, b2–12)

- (97) On the eve of the Sabbath Gessios and Isidoros hear again the voice calling out: *You must not take your brother’s wife*. Gessios then asks the voice:

αχις χενιμ πενταγοτολμα ειρε ηπεινοβε ητειμινε
a-či-s če-nim pe-nt-a-f-tolma e-ire m-pei-nobe n-tei-mine
 IMP-say-3FS CMP-who COP.M-REL-PST-3MS-dare to-do OBJ-DEM.M-sin in-DEM.F-way
 χερνοῦμοῦγαρ φηπαδα μπιμοῦ ηπιτο εβολ
če-hn-u-m{u}<e>=gar f-mpša m-p-mu m-p-mto ebol
 for-PRP-IDF.S-truth=for 3MS-be_worthy of-DEF.M-death in-DEF.M-front out
 ηνεφσνη
n-ne-f-snêu
 of-POSS.P-3MS-brother.P

“Pray tell who dared to commit such a sin, for truly he is worthy of death before his brothers.”

^s*Gessios & Isodoros* (Steindorff 1883: 156, 1–3)

- (98) In the introduction to the Apocalypse of Elijah, the word of God is issued to the prophet. He is told to tell the people: *Love not the world nor the things that are in the world* (1 John 2:15):

ⲭⲉⲡⲟⲩⲟⲩⲟⲩⲩⲁⲣ ⲙ̀ⲡⲔⲐⲐⲐⲐⲐⲐⲐⲐⲐ ⲡⲁⲡⲁⲓⲁⲃⲟⲗⲟⲥ ⲡⲉ
če-p-šūšū=gar *m-p-kosmos* *pa-p-diabolos* *pe*
 for-DEF.M-pride=for of-DEF.M-world POSS.M-DEF.M-devil SE.M
 ⲙ̀ⲡⲡⲓⲠⲓⲃⲟⲗ ⲁⲃⲁⲗ
mn-p-f-ból *abal*
 and-POSS.M-3MS-loose out

“... for the pride of the world belongs to the devil, and its destruction.”

^A*Apocalypse of Elijah* (Steindorff 1899: 66, 7–9)

- (99) At night, the Saviour appears to Theodore in his tent, asking him whether he knows who He is. Frightened, he denies and begs to know who the visitor, appearing in such awe-inspiring glory, would be:

ⲭⲉⲐⲟⲩⲏⲓⲩⲁⲣ ⲬⲈⲚⲡⲔⲒⲚⲐⲚⲟⲣⲏⲁⲩⲩⲁⲩ ⲉ̀ⲣⲟⲕ
če-uêi=gar *xen-p-čin-t^hri-nau* *ero-k*
 for-really=for in-DEF1.M-NOM-INFL.1s-see OBJ-2MS
 ⲁⲓⲟⲩⲱⲡⲓ ⲬⲈⲚⲐⲚⲟⲩⲏⲱⲧⲓ ⲏⲣⲟⲧⲓ ⲟ̅ ⲡⲁⲥⲟ̅ⲥ
a-i-šôpi *xen-u-ništi* *n-hoti* *ô* *pa-c(ôis)*
 FOC-1s-happen in-IDF.S-great of-fear VOC POSS.M.1s-lord

“... for since I behold you, I am filled with great terror, my Lord.”

^B*Mart. Theodore Stratelates* (Balestri & Hyvernat 1907: 159, 1–2)

3.6 Comparative

Coptic origin	Greek origin
Equality	
^S <i>n-t-he</i> ≠ ^B <i>m-p^h-rêti</i> “like”	^{SB} <i>kata-/katáro-</i> “according to, like, as”
	^{SB} <i>hôs</i> “like, as”
Inequality	
^S <i>e-</i> “(relating) to”	^{SB} <i>para-/paráro-</i> “(relating) to”

3.6.1 Equality

Comparison of equality can be introduced either by the Coptic expression ^Sⲛⲟⲉ *n-t-he* ≠ ^Bⲙ̀ⲡⲣⲏⲧⲓ *m-p^h-rêti* “as, like (*lit.* in the way/manner)” or by the Greek preposition ^{SB}ⲕⲁⲧⲁ-/ⲕⲁⲧⲁⲣⲟ- *kata-/katáro-* “according to, as, like” (apparently so common in use that some varieties of Coptic coined a specific form of the preposition when followed by a pronoun after the model of similar Coptic prepositions like *e-N* vs. *ero-PRN*; see the study by Grossman & Polis in this volume). Sometimes, although less than the first pair, other words of similar semantics are employed such as ^Sⲙⲟⲩⲧ *smot* “pattern”, ^Sⲙⲏⲉ *mine* “sort, quality, manner”, ^Sⲟⲩⲧ *cot* “size, form”, or ^Bⲙ̀ⲁⲓⲏ *maiê* “kind”.

καταφρη†	ἰπσοῶπι	ἠνικεῖθνος
<i>kata-p^h-rêti</i>	<i>m-p-sôçp</i>	<i>n-ni-ke-ethnos</i>
according-DEF ₁ ,M-manner	of-DEF ₁ ,M-rest	of-DEF ₂ ,P-other-gentiles
“...that I might have some fruit among you also, like even among the rest of the Gentiles.”		
		^B Romans 1:13 (Horner 1898–1905: III 4)

Yet, it is more often employed with a following relative clause expressing a subordinate clause of comparison, see Müller 2012: 147–149.

When used alone, the Greek preposition *kata/kataro-* has the basic semantics of “according to”. Only occasionally can a semantic overlap with equiative meaning be discerned.

The Greek preposition *hôs* (ὡς) “like, as” often appears with an immediately following undetermined noun. It can be negated as οὐχὼς *ouk-hôs* ‘not like’ (see example 108):

- (105) Eusebius urges Cyprian to repent and God will forgive him:

ἀγὼ τότε κναεῖμε	μαυαακ	ἄεκναῶπι	ῥωσῶιρε
<i>auô tote k-na-ime</i>	<i>mauaa-k</i>	<i>če-k-na-šôpe</i>	<i>hôs-šêre</i>
CON then 2MS-FUT-know	self-2MS	CMP-2MS-FUT-be	as-son
ἀγὼ κληρονομος	ντεφμντερο		
<i>auô klêronomos</i>	<i>n-te-f-mnt-ero</i>		
CON heir	of-POSS.F-ABST-king		

“... then you will understand yourself that you will be like a son and heir of his kingdom.” ^S*Repentance of Cyprian of Antioch* (von Lemm 1899: 24 a2–8)

- (106) The Psalms to Jesus compare the righteous and the sinner. If someone is a sinner, he will depart fear and will be cast into the fire:

ῥωσῶγῶν	βωων	εφῥῶεγεν
<i>hôs-caun</i>	<i>bôôn</i>	<i>e-f-r-šeu=en</i>
as-servant	wicked	DEP-3MS-do-worth=NEG

“... as a wicked and unprofitable servant.”

^L*Psalms to Jesus* 265 (Allberry 1939: 81, 26–27)

- (107) The son of the Persian emperor has been taken prisoner of war by the Romans and is handed over to the archbishop of Antioch:

εαφτηιφ	ετοτφ	ῥωσπαρθηκη
<i>e-a-f-têi-f</i>	<i>e-tot-f</i>	<i>hôs-parathêkê</i>
DEP-PST-3MS-give-3MS	to-hand-3MS	as-hostage

“... being given to him as a hostage, ...”

^B*Mart. Theodore, Leontius & Panigerus* (Balestri & Hyvernât 1907: 40, 3–4)

- (108) The disciples wish to know how something that was dissolved and destroyed could be healed again, but stress the fact:

οὐχὼς	ενε	ἡαπιστος	εν[χ]νογ	ἡμακ
<i>ouk-hôs</i>	<i>ene</i>	<i>n-apistos</i>	<i>e-n-čnu</i>	<i>mma-k</i>
NEG-like	IRR	as-unbeliever	DEP-1P-ask	OBJ-2MS

“... not like unbelievers we are asking you ...”

^A*Conversations between Jesus and his disciples* (Schmidt 1919: 11* XVII, 13–14)

For a Sahidic example from Shenute’s writings, see Müller 2012: 151.

3.6.2 Inequality

For a comparison of inequality, the entity of comparison must be introduced either by the Coptic preposition ^{SFMB}ε-/^{AL}α- *e-/a-* (before noun) and ^{SB}ερο-/^Fελα-/^Mερα-/^{AL}αρα- *ero-/ela-/era-/ara-* (before pronoun) “(relating) to” (^BMallon 1956: §170–174) or the Greek preposition ^{SB}παρα-/παραρο- *para-/pararo-* “to” (Till 1970: §154). The latter is rather underrepresented in Bohairic Coptic. Noteworthy is the double marking in example 113, with the Coptic preposition *e-* and the Greek *para-*:

(109a) The Samaritan woman at the well remarks that Jesus has nothing to draw water with and asks him whence he would have the living water. She thus wants to know:

MH	ἸΤΟΚ	ΕΝΑΔΑΚ	ΕΠΕΝΕΙΩΤ	ἰΑΚΩΒ
<i>mê</i>	<i>ntok</i>	<i>e-naa-k</i>	<i>e-pe-n-iôt</i>	<i>iakôb</i>
IRP	2MS	FOC-be_great-2MS	to-POSS.M-1P-father	N

(109b) As above:

MH	ΕΝΕΕΚ	ἸΤΑΚ	ΑΠἸΕΙΩΤ	ἰΑΚΩΒ
<i>mê</i>	<i>e-nee-k</i>	<i>ntak</i>	<i>a-p-n-iôt</i>	<i>iakôb</i>
IRP	FOC-be_great-2MS	2MS	to-POSS.M-1P-father	N

(109c) As above:

MH	ἸΘΟΚ	ΟΥΝΙΩ†	ἸΘΟΚ	ΕΠΕΝΕΙΩΤ	ἰΑΚΩΒ
<i>mê</i>	<i>nt^hok</i>	<i>u-ništi</i>	<i>nt^hok</i>	<i>e-pe-n-iôt</i>	<i>iakôb</i>
IRP	2MS	IDF.S-great	2MS	to-POSS.M-1P-father	N

“Are you then greater than our father Jacob ...” John 4:12 (^SQuecke 1984: 93;
^LThompson 1924: 3; ^BHorner 1898–1905: 364)

(110) Ptolemaios and Soterichus started to quarrel over their inheritance. They hence go to Antioch to have the emperor settle the case. The narrator then adds a biographical detail:

ΠΤΟΛΟΜΑΙΟΣΔΕ	ΠΕΙΩΤ	ἸΑΠΑ	ΚΛΑΥΔΙΟΣ	ΟΥΝΟΠΕ	ΖἸΠΕΧΡΟΝΟΣ
<i>ptolomaios=de</i>	<i>p-iôt</i>	<i>n-apa</i>	<i>klaudios</i>	<i>u-noc=pe</i>	<i>hm-pe-khronos</i>
N=yet	DEF.M-father	of-Apa	N	IDF.S-great=SE.M	in-DEF.M-time
ΠΑΡΑΣΟΤΕΡΙΧΟΣ	ΠΕΙΩΤ	ἸΑΠΑ	ΘΕΟΔΩΡΟΣ		
<i>para-soterikhos</i>	<i>p-iot</i>	<i>n-apa</i>	<i>theodôros</i>		
to-N	DEF.M-father	of-Apa	N		

“Ptolemaios, the father of the venerable Claudius, was older than Soterikhos, the father of the venerable Theodore.”

^STheodore of Antioch, *On Theodor General* (ed. Budge 1915: 31–33)

- (111) The disciples have asked Jesus how he will return in the end of days. He answers:

†ΝΕΥΓΑΡ ἦΤΞΕ ἦΠΡΙ ΕΤΠΡΙΩΟΥ
ti-neu=gar n-t-xe n-p-ri et-priōu
 1s-come.STA=for in-DEF.F-way of-DEF.M-sun REL-go_forth.STA
 ΔΟΥ ΕΕΙΕ ἸΟΥΔΕΙΝΕ ἸΣΑΞΙ ἸΚΩΒ ΠΑΡΑΡΑΦ ΞἸΠΑΕΔΥ
au e-i-e n-uaine n-saxf n-kōb parara-f xn-pa-eau
 CON DEP-1s-be.STA as-light in-seven of-times to-3MS in-POSS.M.1s-glory
 “... for I will come like the sun that comes forth, being seven times brighter than her
 (the sun) in my glory.”

^A*Conversations between Jesus and his disciples* (Schmidt 1919: 6* XI, 6–8)

- (112) The author praises Macarius, arguing that the saying of Psalm 1:1 is fulfilled in him for he never walked in the counsel of the wicked or stood in the path of sinners. He asks rhetorically:

ΜΗ ΟΥΟΝΚΕΟΩΝΙ ΕΦΩΟΥ ΠΑΡΑΠΙΤΟΜΟΣ ΝΛΕΩΝ
mê uon-ke-socni e-f-hōu para-pi-tomos n-leōn
 IRP EX-other-counsel DEP-3MS-be_bad.STA to-DEF₂.M-tome of-N
 “Is there a counsel more wicked than the Tome of Leo?”

^BDioscuros of Alexandria, *A Panegyric on Macarius* III.11
 (Amélineau 1888: 105, 2–3 coll.)

- (113) The emperor says to his daughter Hilaria, who is disguised as a monk and hence he does not recognize her, that he heard about the monks that they hate the female gender:

Ε[Τ]ΒΕΟΥ ΝΤΟΚ ΞΥΛΛΑΡΙΟΝ ΤΕΚΜΕ ΝΜΟΥ ΕΠΑΡΑΟΥΟΝ ΝΙΜ
etbe-u ntok hullarion te-k-me nmo-u e-para-uon nim
 because-what 2MS N CNJ-2MS-love OBJ-3P to-to-any QU
 “Why, Hilarion, do you love them more than anything else?”

^S*Song of Hilaria* (Till 1941: 131, II 7–8)

Often these patterns are enforced by an expression involving^{SB} ζογο *huo* “more” (Till 1970: §153) or ^Bζοτε *hote* “more” (Stern 1880: §520), because Coptic has no morphological categories like comparative, superlative, or elative:

- (114) Shenute argues against Manichaean writings neglecting the Old Testament:
- Listen to what the Scripture says!*

СОΠ ΞΕΤΝΝΑΜΕΡΕΝΟΥΕΚΙΒΕ ΝΖΟΥ ΕΠΗΡΙ
sop çe-tn-na-mere-nu-ekibe n-huo e-p-êrp
 time that-1P-FUT-love-POSS.P.2FS-breast as-more to-DEF.M-wine
 ΕΤΕΤΠΑΛΑΙΑ ΤΕ ΜΝΤΚΑΙΝΗ ΜΠΦΧΟΟΣ ΞΕΤΟΥΕΚΙΒΕ
ete-t-palaia te mn-t-kainê mp-f-çoo-s çe-tu-ekibe
 REL-DEF.F-old SE.F and-DEF.F-new NEG.PST-3MS-say-3FS CMP-POSS.F.2FS-breast
 “At one time: ‘We will love your breasts more than wine’ (Song of Songs 1:4), that is
 to say, the Old and New Testament. He did not say ‘your breast!’”

^SShenute, *And We Will Also Say This Other Thing That We Found*
 (Zoega 1810: 420, 14–15)

- (115) After a young servant has survived an attempted suicide, he tells his master what has happened. After he let a dish fall into the water the boy said to himself:

ΟΥΖ[Η]Υ ΝΑΙ ΠΕ Ν̄ΤΑΕΝΠΑΜΟΥ ΝΑΙ ΜΑΥΔΑΤ
u-hēu na-i pe nta-en-pa-mu na-i mauaat-ø
 IDE.S-profit to-1S COP.M CNJ.1S-bring-POSS.M.1S-death to-1S self-1S
 ΝΖΟΥΟ ΕΡΟC ΝΤΑΕΙ ΕΞΡΑΙ ΕΝΕΚΓΙΧ
n-huo ero-s nta-i ehrai e-ne-k-cič
 in-more to-3FS CNJ.1S-go down to-POSS.P-2MS-hand

“It is better for me that I kill myself than fall into your hands.”

^{S3rd} *Miracle of St Menas* (Drescher 1946: 21, a29–b1)

- (116) When the Pharisees ask Jesus for a sign, he likens a man once possessed by a demon to the wicked present generation of mankind. Once the demon is cast out, it sojourns through the wastelands and decides to return home. As it arrives, it finds it empty and void:

ΤΟΤΕ ΤΑΦΑΕ ΝΕΦ Ν̄ΦΧΙ Ν̄ΚΕCΕΩΦ Μ̄ΠΝ̄Α
tote ša-f-še ne-f n-f-čī n-ke-sešf m-pn(eum)a
 then AOR-3MS-go for-3MS CNJ-3MS-take OBJ-other-seven of-spirit
 ΕΞΡΕΦ ΕΥΖΔΥ Ν̄ΖΟΥΕΡΑΦ
ehre-f e-u-hau n-hue-(e)ra-f
 towards-3MS DEP-3P-be_bad.STA in-more-to-3MS

“Then it goes and takes seven other spirits with him, more wicked than itself.”

^MMatthew 12:45 (Schenke 1981: 81)

- (117) In the Song of Songs, the female lover starts to praise the beauty of her male lover, wishing to be kissed by him:

ΧΕΝΑΝΟΥΝΕΚΙΚΕΙΒΕΙ ΕΖΟΥΔΑΤ ΕΟΥΗΛΠ
ce-nanu-ne-k-ikibi ehuašt e-u-ēlp
 for-be_beautiful-POSS.P-2MS-breast more than-IDE.S-wine
 “... for your breast is more beautiful than wine.”

^FSong of Songs 1:2 (Diebner & Kasser 1989: 150)

- (118) The hegemon asks the Christian soldiers: *Do you compare me to dogs and pigs?* And they reply:

ΑΛΗΘΩC CΕΤΑΙΗΟΥΤ ΕΖΟΤΕΡΟΚ
alēthōs se-taiēut ehote-ro-k
 truly 3P-honor.STA beyond-to-2MS

“Truly, they are more honoured than you.”

^B*Mart. Papnute* (Balestri & Hyvernmat 1907: 115, 8)

- (119) A Christian man’s son went to Egypt to renounce the faith of his father. When his father finds him he says:

ΑΦCΩΤΠ ΝΑΦ Ν̄Τ̄ΤΟΙ Ν̄ΤΕΙΟΥΔΑC ΕΖΟΤΕΠΧ̄C
a-f-sōtp na-f n-ti-toi nte-iudas ehote-p-kh(risto)s
 PST-3MS-choose for-3MS OBJ-DEF₂-F-way of-N more-than-DEF₁-M-Christ

“He had chosen for himself the part of Judas instead of Christ.”

^BMêna, *Life of Isaac* (Porcher 1914: 369, 3–4)

- (120) Archbishop Peter I, being freed from prison by night by his followers, says to them:

ΝΑΝΕC	ΝΤΑΤΗΙΤ	ΜΗΔΥΑΤ	ΕΞΟΤΕ	ΝΤΑΤΑΚΟ	ΜΠΙΛΑΟC
<i>nane-s</i>	<i>nta-têi-t</i>	<i>mmauat-ø</i>	ehote	<i>nta-tako</i>	<i>m-pi-laos</i>
good-3FS	CNJ.1s-give-1s	self-1s	beyond	CNJ.1s-destroy	OBJ-DEF ₂ .M-people
	ΤΗΡΦ				
	<i>têr-f</i>				
	all-3MS				

“It is better that I deliver myself than destroy all the people.”

^B*Mart. Peter I, Archbishop of Alexandria* (Hyvernat 1886: 273, 3–4)

A similar effect is achieved by prefixing ^SζΟΥΕ- *hue-* to a noun or by using ^Bεζογο *huo* in a genitive expression:

- (121) Gregory likens Georg to a beast appearing in Cappadocia:

ΟΥΠΟΝΗΡΟC	ΠΕ	ΖΗΠΕΦΚΕΝΟC
<i>u-ponêros</i>	<i>pe</i>	<i>hm-pe-f-kenos</i>
IDF.S-wicked	SE.M	in-POSS.M-3MS-people
ΟΥΖΟΥΕΠΟΝΗΡΟC	ΠΕ	ΖΗΠΕΦΖΗΤ
<i>u-hue-ponêros</i>	<i>pe</i>	<i>hm-pe-f-hêt</i>
IDF.S-more-wicked	SE.M	in-POSS.M-3MS-heart

“He is wicked in his race, more wicked in his heart.”

^SGregory of Nazianz, *On St Atanasius*, XVI (Orlandi 1970: 356, 5–6)

- (122) The Book of Numbers narrates the successful campaign against the Midianites:

ΟΥΟΖ	ΑΦΘΩΠΙ	ΝΧΕΠΙΞΟΥΟ	ΝΤΕΝΙΩΔΛ
<i>uoh</i>	<i>a-f-šôpi</i>	<i>nçe-pi-huo</i>	<i>nte-ni-šôl</i>
CON	PST-3MS-happen	PVS-DEF ₂ .M-more	of-DEF ₂ .P-booty

“And the abundance of the plunder ... was: ...”

^BNumbers 31:32 (de Lagarde 1867: 397)

In Bohairic εζοτε *ehote* “more” (from *ehote e-*) can sometimes be reinforced by the Greek *mallon*.

- (123) The Lord, angered at the behaviour of his chosen flock, tells Moses that He is going to wipe them out by pestilence and disinherit them:

ΟΥΟΖ	ΝΤΑΔΙΚ	ΝΕΜΠΗΙ	ΜΠΕΚΙΩΤ	ΝΟΥΝΙΩΤ
<i>uoh</i>	<i>nta-ai-k</i>	<i>nem-p-êi</i>	<i>m-pe-k-iôt</i>	<i>n-u-ništi</i>
CON	CNJ.1s-do-2MS	and-DEF ₁ .M-house	of-POSS.M-2MS-father	in-IDF.S-strong
	ΝΕΘΝΟC	ΟΥΟΖ	ΕΝΑΩΔΦ	ΜΑΛΛΟΝ
	<i>n-ethnos</i>	<i>uoh</i>	<i>e-našô-f</i>	<i>mallon</i>
	of-people	CON	DEP-be.many-3MS	<i>ehot-e-p^hai</i>
			more	more-than-DEM.M

“And I will turn you and the house of your father into a stronger and greater nation than this one.”

^BNumeri 14:12 (de Lagarde 1867: 349)

3.7 Incrementive/Decrementive

For the expression of this function, no fully grammaticalized pattern seems to have existed in Coptic. Both Sahidic and Bohairic use the infix *ke-* “other” in front of a noun with affirmative clauses for the incrementive (“even”) and negative ones for the decrementive functions (“not even”):

- (124) Paul recounts how he went up to Jerusalem with Barnabas and Titus:

αλλα	πκετιτος	ετνημαϊ	εγρηλλην	πε
<i>alla</i>	<i>p-ke-titos</i>	<i>et-nmma-i</i>	<i>e-u-hellên</i>	<i>pe</i>
but	DEF.M-other-N	REL-with-1S	DEP-IDF.S-Greek	SE.M
εμπουαναγκαζε	μημοφ	εσβητηφ		
<i>emp-u-anagkaze</i>	<i>mno-f</i>	<i>e-sbbêt-f</i>		
PST-3P-compel	OBJ-3MS	to-circumcise-3MS		

“Yet not even Titus who was with me was, though Greek, compelled to be circumcised.”

^sGalatians 2:3 (Thompson 1932: 190)

- (125) Jesus preaches to love your neighbour and reasons what one would gain who would love those who love him:

ουχι	ηκετελωνης	σειρε	μηπει
<i>uči</i>	<i>n-ke-telônes</i>	<i>se-ire</i>	<i>m-peï</i>
NEG	DEF.P-other-tax_collector	3P-do	OBJ-DEM.M

“Even the tax-collectors do this, do they?”

^MMatthew 5:46 (Schenke 1981: 63)

- (126) On their way to Scetis, a man possessed by an evil spirit is brought to Maximus and Domitian. Still far away from them, he cries aloud and curses St. Macarius, saying:

ουορ	ιζηπηε	ις	νεκωληλ	σετηξι	ναν	μπακεμα
<i>uoh</i>	<i>ishêppe</i>	<i>is</i>	<i>ne-k-šlêl</i>	<i>se-ti-xisi</i>	<i>na-n</i>	<i>m-pai-ke-ma</i>
CON	look	lo	POSS.P-2MS-prayer	3P-give-pain	to-1P	in-DEM.M-other-place
εκτ	ντοτκ	νεμναιρωμεοσ				
<i>e-k-ti</i>	<i>n-tot-k</i>	<i>nem-nai-rômeos</i>				
DEP-2MS-give	OBJ-hand-2MS	with-DEM.M-Byzantines				

“Look, your prayers hurt us even here, while you help these Byzantines.”

^B*Life of Maximus & Domitian* (Amélineau 1894: 270, 15–16)

The element *-ke-* can be used also with infinitives:

- (127) Paul tells the Ephesians to uncover the deeds of darkness:

νετουειρεγαρ	μημοου	νηχιουε	ζηωλοφ	ερπκεχοου	νε
<i>n-et-u-eire=gar</i>	<i>mno-u</i>	<i>n-čiuē</i>	<i>hen-šlof</i>	<i>e-r-p-ke-čo-u</i>	<i>ne</i>
DEF.P-REL-3P-do=for	OBJ-3P	as-stealth	IDF.P-shame	to-do-DEF.M-other-say-3P	COP.P

“For the things they do in secret are shameful even to mention.”

^sEphesians 5:12 (Thompson 1932: 204)

For the negative, decrementive function, the Greek *oude* ‘not even’ can be found as well:

- (128) Jesus says that the flowers on the field are clad in the most splendid clothing, even though they neither work nor spin:

ΟΥΔΕ	СОΛΟΜΟΝ	ΞΕΝΠΕΦΩΟΥ	ΤΗΡΦ	ΜΠΕΦΤ	ΖΙΩΤΦ
<i>ude</i>	<i>solomon</i>	<i>xen-pe-f-ôu</i>	<i>têr-f</i>	<i>mpe-f-ti</i>	<i>hiôt-f</i>
neither	N	in-POSS.M-3MS-glor	all-3s	NEG.PST-3MS-give	upon-3MS
ΜΦΡΗΤ	ΝΟΥΔΙ	ΝΝΔΙ			
<i>m-p^h-rêti</i>	<i>n-uai</i>	<i>n-nai</i>			
in-DEF.M-manner	of-one	of-DEM.P			

“Not even Solomon in all his glory was clad like one of these.”

^BMatthew 6:29 (Horner 1898–1905: I 30)

3.8 Appendix: Explanatory clause

Explanatory clauses may be seen as occupying an intermediate stage. On the one hand, they are not really coordinated elements if we assume as a basic definition that the coordinated elements or state of affairs would be parallel (see above, following Mauri 2008: 23–48). On the other hand, they are not fully subordinate clauses, especially when used in an appositional manner: *the man who saved me, i.e., John, ...* (on Coptic apposition patterns see Callender 1981). However, many languages, including Coptic, express this function with the help of a relative clause.

Only Coptic connectors are attested for the functional domain of explanatory clauses (“namely”). The constructions employed are rather similar, consisting of a relativized clause of nominal predication. The first pattern is ^{SB}ετε-X πε *ete-X pe* “that is to say; namely” (^SLayton 2011: §410; ^{SB}Stern 1880: §409):

- (129) Shenute tells his audience:

ΑΝΟΝΔΕ	ΖΩΩΝ	ΝΕCΝΗΥ	ΕΝΖΟCΟΝ	ΕΝΟ	ΝΚΟΙΝΩΝΟC
<i>anon=de</i>	<i>hôô-n</i>	<i>ne-snêu</i>	<i>enhoson</i>	<i>e-n-o</i>	<i>n-koinônos</i>
1P=yet	self-1P	DEF.P-brother.P	as_long_as	DEP-1P-be.STA	as-partner
ΜΝΝΕΝΕΡΗΥ	ΑΝΟΝΝΩΗΡΕ	ΝΟΥΡΩΜΕ	ΝΟΥΩΤ	ΕΤΕΠΝΟΥΤΕ	ΠΕ
<i>mn-ne-n-erêu</i>	<i>anon-n-šêre</i>	<i>n-u-rôme</i>	<i>n-uôt</i>	<i>ete-p-nute</i>	<i>pe</i>
with-POSS.P-1P-fellow	1P-DEF.P-son	of-IDF.S-man	of-single	REL-DEF.M-god	SE.M
ΑΥΩ	ΑΝΟΝΝΩΗΡΕ	ΝΟΥΜΑΔΥ	ΝΟΥΩΤ	ΕΤΕΘΙΛΗ̄	
<i>auô</i>	<i>anon-n-šêre</i>	<i>n-u-mau</i>	<i>n-uôt</i>	<i>ete-t-hi(rusa)lêm</i>	
CON	1P-DEF.P-son	of-IDF.S-mother	of-single	REL-DEF.F-Jerusalem	
ΝΤΠΕ	ΤΕ	ΚΑΤΑΝΕΓΡΑΦΗ			
<i>n-t-pe</i>	<i>te</i>	<i>kata-ne-graphê</i>			
of-DEF.F-heaven	SE.F	as-DEF.P-script			

“Yet also we, O brethren, as long as we share community with each other, are children of a single man, namely God, and we are children of a single mother, namely heavenly Jerusalem, according to the Scriptures.”

^SShenute, *Acephalous work A22* (Leipoldt 1913: 129, 5–8)

- (130) Paul tells the Ephesians to take the shield of faith, the helmet of salvation, and the sword of the Spirit:

ετεπισαχι	ντεφ̄τ	πε	
<i>ete-pi-sači</i>	<i>n-te-p^h-(nu)ti</i>	<i>pe</i>	
REL-DEF ₂ .M-word	of-DEF ₁ .M-god	SE.M	

“... that is to say, the word of God.” ^BEphesians 6:17 (Horner 1898–1905: III 374)

The second pattern is ^{SB}*ete*-DEM₁ COP₁ X₁ “that is to say; namely” (^SLayton 2011: §410; ^{SB}Stern 1880: §409).

- (131) Shenute quotes from the Song of Songs (2:3) *His fruit is sweet in my throat*:

ετεπαι	πε	πλογος	μντεσβω	αυω	τεντολη
<i>ete-pai</i>	<i>pe</i>	<i>p-logos</i>	<i>mn-te-sbô</i>	<i>auô</i>	<i>t-entolê</i>
REL-DEM.M	SE.M	DEF.M-word	CON-DEF.F-teaching	CON	DEF.F-law

“... that is to say, the word and the instruction as well as the law.”

^SShenute, *As I Sat on a Mountain* (Amélineau 1907: 353, 10–11)

- (132) It is said that the demonic father of Diocletian pays him a visit. The former is revealed as:

ετεφαι	πε	πδιαβολος	φιδτ	νανομια	νιβεν
<i>ete-p^hai</i>	<i>pe</i>	<i>pi-diabolos</i>	<i>p^h-iôt</i>	<i>n-anomia</i>	<i>niben</i>
REL-DEM.M	SE.M	DEF ₂ .M-devil	DEF ₁ .M-father	of-lawlessness	QU

πχαχι	ν†μεθμη
<i>p-čaci</i>	<i>n-ti-met^h-mêi</i>
DEF ₁ .M-enemy	of-DEF ₂ .F-ABST-truth

“... that is to say, the devil, the father of every lawlessness and the enemy of the truth.” ^B*Mart. Theodore, Leontius & Panigerus* (Balestri & Hyvernat 1907: 41, 11–12)

A specific explanatory function is assumed for *μαλιστα* *malista* “in particular” used with the verbal form of the conjunctive (^SLayton 2011: §493 sub 32A). However, seeing that *malista* appears most often as an adverb (Shisha-Halevy 1986: 57–58), it is probably easier to analyse the instance quoted below within that usage rather than as a connector, as suggested:

- (133) Shenute metes out punishment to some nuns for their misdemeanours. A nun called Tsansô shall receive 40 blows with the rod, for it is reported to him that she followed carnal desires as well as that she had lied about worthless perishable things:

ετρεσ†οσεδε	ζωωφ	ντεσψυχη			
<i>e-tre-s-ti-ose=de</i>	<i>hôô-f</i>	<i>n-te-s-psykhê</i>			
to-CAUS-3FS-give-loss=yet	self-3MS	for-POSS.F-3FS-soul			

ται	ετεῖπεκοσμος	τηρ̄	ῃπωα	μμοc	αν
<i>tai</i>	<i>ete-m-pe-kosmos</i>	<i>têr-f</i>	<i>mpša</i>	<i>mmo-s</i>	<i>an</i>
DEM.F	REL-NEG-DEF.M-world	all-3MS	worthy	OBJ-3FS	NEG

μαλιστα	ογιπιναξ	ῃ	οχσοπ	μῃνογχοῶ	ν̄χισολ	ετβηητογ
<i>malista</i>	<i>u-pinaks</i>	<i>ê</i>	<i>u-čop</i>	<i>mn-u-čô</i>	<i>n-s-či-col</i>	<i>etbêêt-u</i>
especially	IDF.S-plate	or	IDF.S-bowl	and-IDF.S-cup	CNJ-3FS-lie	because-3P

“... so that she might loose her soul – something which the whole world is not worth, let alone a plate or a bowl and a cup – so that she lies about them.”

^sShenute, *Why O Lord* (Young 1993: 105, 18–31)

For the use of *malista* plus dependent or complement clause as subordinate causal clause, see Müller 2012: 124–125.

4 Conclusion

As can be seen from the material collected above only very few and very specific functions show a single pattern in use. Instead it is common practice in Coptic texts to have a choice between several patterns. Whereas the Greek elements are limited to a peripheral appearance in some categories, they seem central and even basic in others (such as e.g., the adversative patterns). Yet again, for other categories, no Greek elements at all seem to have been employed.

However, further research is needed both into the semantics and pragmatics as well as the quantitative aspects of the use of the respective connectors. Some seem to belong to the inventory of personal style of a certain author while others are in general use, some appear so often that they should be considered the unmarked pattern, while others are encountered only rarely.

Abbreviations used in Glossing

The glossing follows a simplified version of that proposed by Di Biase-Dyson, Kammerzell & Werning 2009 and Grossman & Haspelmath 2014.

All examples are introduced by a context description followed by a Coptic line, a line with analysed text with morpheme division, a morpheme-by-morpheme glossing line, and a translation. These equal the lines (1), (4), (5), and (6) in the glossing proposal of Grossman & Haspelmath (2014: 148–149). As I dispensed with their transliteration line, I choose to represent the digraphs *ei* and *oy* in Coptic words as *i* and *u* only, and not as suggested by Grossman & Haspelmath (2014: 147) as *ei* and *ou*, if other Coptic dialects represent them as single letters (hence, e.g., the demonstrative ^sⲡⲉⲓ- is given as *pei-* due to ^Bⲡⲁⲓ- *pai-*, but ^sⲉⲓ ‘to come’ appears as *i* only due to ^Bⲓ *i*). Finally, following good use of papyrology, I continue using round brackets to dissolve abbreviations, such as *p-c(ôis)* for ⲡⲟϥ, instead of the suggested pointed parentheses, i.e., *p-c<ôis>* (Grossman & Haspelmath 2014: 148).

Note that Coptic grammatical forms or patterns are distinguished by italics below, e.g., *Conditional*.

∅	zero	AOR	aorist
1,2,3	number	AUX	auxiliary
^A	Akhmimic dialect	^B	Bohairic dialect
ABS	absolute	CAUS	causative
ABST	abstract morpheme	CMP	complement clause marker

CMPL	<i>Completive</i>	M	Mesokemic dialect
CND	<i>Conditional</i>	M	masculine
CNJ	<i>Conjunctive</i>	N	name (personal, toponym, etc.)
CO	connector	NCPL	<i>Negative Completive</i>
CON	conjuncting element	NEG	negation
COP	copula	OBJ	object marker
DEF	definite article	OPT	<i>Optative</i>
DEF ₁	definite, generic (^B only)	P	plural
DEF ₂	definite, phoric (^B only)	POSS	possessive
DEF ₃	definite of REL-clause (^B only)	PROH	prohibitive
DEM	demonstrative	PRP	preposition
DEP	dependent clause ('circumstantial')	PRT	preterite
EX	existential	PST	past (' <i>Perfect I</i> ')
F	Fayumic dialect	PTC	particle
F	feminine	PVS	post-verbal subject marker
FCNJ	<i>Future conjunctive</i>	QU	quantifier
FIN	<i>Finalis</i>	REL	relative marker/clause
FOC	focus	S	Sahidic dialect
FUT	future	S	singular
IDF	indefinite	SE	subject element
IMP	imperative	STA	stative
IRP	interrogative particle	TERM	<i>Terminative</i>
IRR	irrealis marker (i.e., DEP-PRT)	TEMP	<i>Temporal</i>
JUSS	<i>Jussive</i>	VOC	vocative
L	Lycopolitan dialect	XDIST	distributive (by iteration)
LIM	<i>Limitative</i>		

Bibliography

- Amélineau, Emile. 1885. Voyage d'un moine égyptien dans le desert, in: *RT* 6, 166–194.
- 1887. *De Historia Lausiaca quænam sit hujus ad monachorum ægyptiorum historiam scribendam utilitas*, Paris.
- 1888. *Monuments pour servir à l'histoire de l'Égypte chrétienne aux IV^e et V^e siècles*, MMAF IV/1, Paris.
- 1889. Étude sur le Christianisme en Égypte au septième siècle, in: *Mémoires de l'Institut Égyptien* 2, 261–424 (quoted according to a separate print with separate pagination).
- 1894. *Histoire des Monastères de la Basse-Égypte. Vies des Saints Paul, Antoine, Macaire, Maxime et Domèce, Jean le Nain, &c., Texte copte et traduction française*, AMG 25, Paris.
- 1907 & 1914. *Œuvres des Schenoudi I & II. Texte copte et traduction française*, Paris.
- Aranda Perez, Gonzalo. 1984. *El evangelio de San Mateo en Copto Sahídico, Texto de M 569, estudio preliminar y aparato crítico*. CSIC.TE 35. Madrid.
- Askeland, Christian. 2012. *John's Gospel. The Coptic Translation of its Greek Text*, AZNT 44, Berlin.
- Attridge, Harold W. & George W. MacRae. 1985. The Gospel of Truth (1,3:16.31–43.24), in: Harold W. Attridge (ed.), *Nag Hammadi Codex I (The Jung Codex), Introductions, Texts, Translations, Indices*, Nag Hammadi Studies XXII, Leiden, 55–122.
- Balestri, Guisepppe & Henri Hyvernat. 1907 & 1924. *Acta Martyrium I & II*, CSCO 43 & 86/SC 3 & 6, Paris.
- Bauer, Gertrud. 1971. *Athanasius von Qūs, Qilādāt at-tahrīr fī ʿilm at-tafsīr. Eine koptische Grammatik in arabischer Sprache aus dem 13./14. Jahrhundert*, Dissertation Tübingen.

- Behlmer, Heike. 1996. *Schenute von Atripe: De Iudicio*, Catalogo del Museo Egizio di Torino, Serie Prima: Monumenti e Testi VIII, Turin.
- Böhlig, Alexander. 1958. *Der achmimische Proverbientext nach Ms. Berol. Orient. oct. 987* Teil I: *Text und Rekonstruktion der sahidischen Vorlage*, mit einem Beitrag von Hugo Ibscher, Studien zur Erforschung des christlichen Ägyptens 3, München.
- Boud'hors, Anne. 2016. Les particules ϣⲁⲓⲛⲓ und ⲕⲁⲓⲣⲁⲓⲛⲓ dans les textes documentaires coptes, in: N. Bosson, A. Boud'hors & S. Aufrère (eds.), *Labor Omnia Uicit Improbis. Miscellanea in Honorem Ariel Shisha-Halevy*, Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta 256, Leuven, Paris & Bristol 2016, 93–110.
- Budge, Ernest Alfred Wallis. 1899. ⲡⲗⲟⲩⲟⲙⲉ ⲛⲏⲉϥⲗⲁⲙⲟⲥ – *The Earliest Known Coptic Psalter. The Text, in the Dialect of Upper Egypt, edited from the Unique Papyrus Codex Oriental 5000 in the British Museum*, London.
- 1915. *Miscellaneous Coptic Texts in the Dialect of Upper Egypt*, Coptic Texts V, London.
- Burmester, Oswald H. E. & Eugène Dévaud. 1925. *Psalterii versio memphitica e recognitione Pauli de Lagarde. Reedition avec le texte copte en caracteres coptes*, Louvain.
- Callender, John. 1981. Appositive Constructions in Sahidic, in: D. W. Young (ed.), *Studies presented to Hans Jakob Polotsky*, East Gloucester/MA, 69–79.
- Černý, Jaroslav. 1976. *Coptic Etymological Dictionary*, Oxford.
- Ciasca, Augustino. 1885. *Sacrorum Bibliorum Fragmenta Copto-Sahidica Musei Borgiani I*, Rome.
- Chaîne, Marius. 1913. Sermon sur la pénitence attribué à Saint Cyrille d'Alexandrie, in: *Mélanges de la Faculté Orientale de l'Université Saint-Joseph Beyrouth* 6, 493–528.
- 1933. *Eléments de grammaire dialectale copte. Bohairique, Sahidique, Achmimique, Fayoumique*, Paris.
- 1948. *La vie et les miracles de Saint Syméon stylite l'ancien*, BEC III, Cairo.
- Crum, Walter Ewing. 1905. *Catalogue of the Coptic Manuscripts in the British Museum*, London.
- 1939a. *A Coptic Dictionary*, Oxford.
- 1939b. *Varia Coptica. Texts, Translations, Indexes*, Aberdeen.
- & Georg Steindorff. 1912. *Die koptischen Rechtsurkunden des achten Jahrhunderts aus Djéme (Theben) I: Texte und Indices*, Leipzig.
- Diebner, Bernd Jörg & Rodolphe Kasser (eds.). 1989. *Hamburger Papyrus Bil. 1: Die alttestamentlichen Texte des Papyrus Bilinguis 1 der Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek Hamburg – Canticum canticorum (Copticae) – Lamentationes ieremiae (Copticae) – Ecclesiastes (Graece et coptice)*, Cahiers d'Orientalisme XVIII, Geneva.
- Drescher, James. 1946. *Apa Mena. A Selection of Coptic Texts Relating to St. Menas*, PSAC.T, Cairo.
- Erichsen, Wolja. 1932. *Fajjumische Fragmente der Reden des Agathonicus Bischofs von Tarsus*, Det Kgl. Danske Videnskabernes Selskab. Historisk-filologiske Meddelelser XIX/1, København.
- Ernst, Ralf. 1994. NP-Koordination im Koptischen & Neuägyptischen, in: *Lingua Aegyptia* 4, 89–115.
- Förster, Hans. 2002. *Wörterbuch der griechischen Wörter in den koptischen dokumentarischen Texten*, TU 148, Berlin & New York.
- Gabra, Gawdat. 1995. *Der Psalter im oxyrhynchitischen (mesokemischen/mittelägyptischen) Dialekt*, ADAIK.Koptische Reihe 4, Heidelberg.
- Garitte, Gérard. 1943. Panégyrique de saint Antoine par Jean, évêque d'Hermopolis, in: *OCP* 9, 100–134 & 330–365.
- 1949. *S. Antonii vitae versio Sahidica*, CSCO 117/ SC 13, Louvain.
- Girard, Louis Saint-Paul. 1922. Un fragment fayoumique du martyr de saint Philothée, in: *BIFAO* 22, 105–113.
- Gregorius, Anba. 1976–1978. Greek Loan Words in Coptic, in: *BSAC* 23, 199–222.
- 1991. Greek Loan Words in Coptic: Greek Conjunctions in Coptic, in: *BSAC* 30, 77–92.
- Haspelmath, Martin. 2007. Coordination, in: Timothy Shopen (ed.), *Language Typology and Syntactic Description II: Complex Constructions*, 2nd edition, Cambridge, 1–51.
- Horn, Jürgen. 1972. S ⲕⲏ ⲛⲏⲟⲛ / B ⲕⲁⲛ ⲛⲏⲟⲛ in der Doppelfrage. Eine lexikographische Bemerkung, in: *GM* 1, 28–29.

- Horner, George. 1898–1905. *The Coptic Version of the New Testament in the Northern Dialect, otherwise called Memphitic & Bohairic, with Introduction, Critical Apparatus & Literal English Translation*, 4 vols., Oxford.
- Hyvernât, Henri. 1886. *Les Actes des Martyrs de l'Égypte tirés des manuscrits coptes de la Bibliothèque Vaticane et du Musée Borgias*, Paris [reprint Hildesheim & New York 1977].
- Johnson, David W. 1980. *A Panegyric on Macarius Bishop of Tkôw Attributed to Dioscorus of Alexandria*, CSCO 415 & 416/SC 41 & 42, Leuven.
- Joussen, Anton. 1969. *Die koptischen Versionen der Apostelgeschichte (Kritik und Wertung)*, BBB 34, Bonn.
- Kasser, Rodolphe. 1960. *Papyrus Bodmer III: Évangile de Jean et Genèse I–IV,2 en bohairique*, CSCO 177 & 178/SC 25 & 26, Louvain.
- Kuhn, Karl-Heinz. 1956. *Letters & Sermons of Besa*, CSCO 157/SC 21, Leuven.
- de Lagarde, Paul. 1867. *Der Pentateuch koptisch*, Göttingen.
- 1883. *Aegyptiaca*, Göttingen.
- van Lantschoot, Arnold. 1946. Fragments coptes d'une homélie de Jean de Parallos contre les livres hérétiques, in: *Miscellanea Giovanni Mercati I: Bibbia & Letteratura Christiana Antica*, Studi e Testi 121, Vatican, 296–326.
- Layton, Bentley. 2011. *A Coptic Grammar, with Chrestomathy & Glossary, Sahidic Dialect*, Porta Linguarum Orientalium 20, 3rd ed., Wiesbaden.
- Lefort, Louis Théophile. 1952. *S. Pachomii Vitae, Sahidicae Scriptae*, CSCO 99 & 100/ SC 9 & 10, Louvain [reprint of the 1933/34 ed.].
- 1953. *S. Pachomii Vita, Bohairice Scripta*, CSCO 89/SC 7, Louvain [reprint of the 1925 ed.].
- 1956. *Œuvres de S. Pachôme et de ses disciples*, CSCO 159/SC 23, Louvain.
- Leipoldt, Johannes. 1908 & 1913. *Sinuthii archimandritae vita & opera omnia III & IV*, CSCO 42 & 73/ SC 4 & 5, Paris.
- Von Lemm, Oskar. 1899. *Sahidische Bruchstücke der Legende von Cyprian von Antiochien*, Mémoires de l'Académie impériale des Sciences de St.-Petersbourg VIII^e série, Classe historico-philologique Vol. IV/N^o 6, St.-Petersbourg.
- Mallon, Alexis. 1956. *Grammaire Copte, Bibliographie, Chrestomathie & Vocabulaire*, 4th ed., Beirut.
- Mauri, Caterina. 2008. *Coordination Relations in the Languages of Europe and Beyond*, Empirical Approaches to Language Typology 42, Berlin & New York.
- Müller, Matthias. 2009. Contrast in Coptic I: Concessive Constructions in Sahidic, in: *Lingua Aegyptia* 17, 139–182.
- 2012. Greek Connectors in Coptic. A Contrastive Overview II. Semantically subordinating Connectors, in: *Lingua Aegyptia* 20, 111–164.
- 2016. 'Now this, now the other'. Coordination of temporal alternative clauses in Coptic and beyond, in: N. Bosson, A. Boud'hors & S. Aufrière (eds.), *Labor Omnia Uicit Improbis. Miscellanea in Honorem Ariel Shisha-Halevy*, Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta 256, Leuven, Paris & Bristol 2016, 263–304.
- forthcoming. Negation in Bohairic Coptic and the antics of =an, in: Elsa Oréal & Jean Winand (eds.), *Negation in Ancient Egyptian*, The Mouton Companions to Ancient Egyptian 3, Berlin & New York.
- & Sami Uljas. 2016. 'He almost heard'. A Case Study of Diachronic Reanalysis in Coptic Syntax, in: Philippe Colombert, Dominique Lefèvre, Stéphane Polis & Jean Winand (eds.), *Aere perennius. Mélanges égyptologiques en l'honneur de Pascal Vernus*, Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta 242, Leuven, Paris, Bristol/CT, 465–491.
- Orlandi, Tito. 1968. *Studi copti: 1) Un encomio di Marco Evangelista; 2) Le fonti copte della Storia dei Patriarchi di Alessandria; 3) La leggenda di S. Mercurio*, Testi e documenti per lo studio dell'antichità XXII, Milan.
- 1970. La traduzione copta dell'Encomio di Atanasio di Gregorio Nazianzeno, in: *Le Muséon* 83, 351–366.

- , Birger A. Pearson & Harold A. Drake. 1980. *Eudoxia & the Holy Sepulchre. A Constantine Legend in Coptic*, Edited by Tito Orlandi, Introduction & Translation by Birger A. Pearson & Historical Study by Harold A. Drake, Testi e documenti per lo studio dell'antichità LXVII, Milan.
- Quecke, Hans. 1984. *Das Johannesevangelium Saidisch. Text der Handschrift PPaulau Rib. Inv.-Nr. 183 mit den Varianten der Handschriften 813 und 814 der Chester Beatty Library und der Handschrift M 569*, PapyCast 11, Barcelona.
- Quispel, Gilles & Jan Zandee. 1962. Some Coptic Fragments from the Martyrdom of St Pantoleon, in: *Vigiliae Christianae* 16, 42–52.
- Pasch, Renate, Ursula Brauße, Eva Breindl & Ulrich Hermann Waßner. 2003. *Handbuch der deutschen Konnektoren. Linguistische Grundlagen der Beschreibung & syntaktische Merkmale der deutschen Satzverknüpfers (Konjunktionen, Satzadverbien & Partikeln)*, Schriften des Instituts für Deutsche Sprache 9, Berlin & New York.
- Porcher, Etienne. 1914. Vie d'Isaac, Patriarche d'Alexandrie de 686 à 689, écrite par Mina, évêque de Pchati, in: *PO* 11/3: 299–390.
- Reintges, Chris. 2001. Code-Mixing Strategies in Coptic Egyptian, in: *Lingua Aegyptia* 9, 193–237.
- 2004. *Coptic Egyptian (Sahidic Dialect). A Learner's Grammar*, Afrikawissenschaftliche Lehrbücher 15, Köln.
- Richter, Tonio Sebastian. 2008. Lexical borrowing into Coptic. A case study in loanword typology. Handout for a paper presented at the conference Language Typology and Egyptian-Coptic Linguistics, Leipzig October 5th, 2008.
- 2016. Coniunctivus multiformis. Conjunctive morphology in Late Coptic Recipies, in: Philippe Colombert, Dominique Lefèvre, Stéphane Polis & Jean Winand (eds.), *Aere perennius. Mélanges égyptologiques en l'honneur de Pascal Vernus*, Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta 242, Leuven, Paris, Bristol/ct, 625–662.
- Schachter, Paul & Timothy Shopen[†]. 2007. Parts-of-speech systems, in: Timothy Shopen (ed.), *Language Typology and Syntactic Description I: Clause Structure*, 2nd edition, Cambridge, 1–60.
- Schmidt, Carl. 1919. *Gespräche Jesu mit seinen Jüngern nach der Auferstehung. Ein katholisch-apostolisches Sendschreiben des 2. Jahrhunderts nach einem koptischen Papyrus des Institut de la Mission archéol. Française au Caire unter Mitarbeit von Herrn Pierre Lacau und derzeitigem Generaldirektor der Ägypt. Museen, Übersetzung des äthiopischen Textes von Dr. Isaak Wajnborg*, TU 43, Leipzig.
- Schüssler, Karlheinz. 1991. *Die katholischen Briefe in der koptischen (sahidischen) Version*, CSCO 528/SC 45, Louvain 1991.
- Shisha-Halevy, Ariel. 1986. *Coptic Grammatical Categories: Structural Studies in the Syntax of Shenoutean Sahidic*, Analecta Orientalia 53, Rome.
- 1995. Some Reflections on the Egyptian Conjunctive, in: Cäcilia Fluck, Lucia Langener, Siegfried Richter, Sofia Schaten & Gregor Wurst (eds.), *Divitiae Aegypti. Koptologische und verwandte Studien zu Ehren von Martin Krause*, Wiesbaden.
- Steindorff, Georg. 1883. Gesios und Isidoros. Drei sahidische Fragmente über „die Auffindung der Gebeine Johannes des Täufers“, in: *ZÄS* 21, 137–158.
- 1899. *Die Apokalypse des Elias. Eine unbekannte Apokalypse & Bruchstücke der Sophonias-Apokalypse*, Leipzig.
- Stern, Ludwig. 1880. *Koptische Grammatik*, Leipzig.
- Tattam, Henri. 1852. *Prophetae maiores in dialecto linguae aegyptiacae memphitica seu coptica I: Esaias et Jeremias cum Lamentationes Jeremiae*, Oxford.
- Thompson, Sir Herbert. 1932. *The Coptic Version of The Acts of the Apostles & The Pauline Epistles in the Sahidic Dialect*, Cambridge.
- Till, Walter Curt. 1928. *Achmîmisch-koptische Grammatik mit Chrestomathie und Wörterbuch*, Leipzig.
- 1941. Ein koptisches Lied, in: *MDAIK* 10, 129–135.
- 1960. *Die koptischen Ostraka der Papyrussammlung der Österreichischen Nationalbibliothek*, Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Phil.-hist. Klasse, Denkschriften 78/1, Wien.

- 1961. *Koptische Dialektgrammatik*, 2nd edition, München.
- 1970. *Koptische Grammatik (Säidischer Dialekt), mit Bibliographie, Lesestücken & Wörterverzeichnis*, Lehrbücher für das Studium der orientalischen & afrikanischen Sprachen I, 4th ed., Leipzig.
- & Hans Martin Schenke. 1972. *Die gnostischen Schriften des koptischen Papyrus Berolinensis 8502*, 2., erweiterte Auflage, TU 60², Berlin.
- de Vis, Henri. 1922 & 1929. *Homélie coptes de la Vaticane I & II*, Coptica I & V, Hauniae.
- Vycichl, Werner. 1983. *Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue copte*, Leuven.
- Wessely, Karl. 1908. *Ein Sprachdenkmal des mittelägyptischen (baschmurischen) Dialekts*, Sitzungsberichte der Kais. Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien, Phil.-Hist. Klasse 158/1, Wien.
- Winstedt, Eric Otto. 1910. *Coptic Texts on Saint Theodore the General, St. Theodore the Eastern, Chamoul and Justus*, London.
- Worrell, William H. 1942. (ed.). *Coptic Texts in the University of Michigan Collection*, Ann Arbor & London.
- Young, Dwight W. 1993. *Coptic Manuscripts from the White Monastery: Works of Shenute*, MPSW NS XXII, 2 vols., Vienna.
- 2000. Five Leaves from a Copy of Shenoute's Third Canon, in: *Le Muséon* 113, 263–294.
- Zaborowski, Jason R. 2005. *The Coptic Martyrdom of John of Phanijoit, Assimilation & Conversion to Islam in Thirteenth-Century Egypt*, The History of Christian-Muslim Relations 3, Leiden & Boston.
- Zoega, Georg. 1810. *Catalogus codicum Copticorum manu scriptorum qui in Museo Borgiano Velitris adservantur*, Rome.