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Abstract

Chapter 1 represents brief introduction into the field of molecular electronics, its main scientific branches and aspects related to both, chemistry and physics which are highlighted within this work. Beyond omnipresent analytical toolkit, great deal of effort is put to magnify an application of tetraphenylmethane based tripodal structures, discussing structural features, which warranted strong contact of the adsorbate to the metal surface. Furthermore, solid background about history of tetraphenylmethane is given. Its syntheses, as well as ways of their applications are covered, ranging from dendrimer compounds, ending up at organic frameworks.

Chapter 2 described the concept of molecular tripods, terminated directly in a para and meta position of tetragonal stands by the sulfur anchoring groups, molecular design and synthesis of target molecules. Moreover, molecular design and the synthesis of funcional molecules addressed for the surface deposition and charge transport inspection were presented.
Chapter 3 presents physical investigations of a short tripodal nanoobjects, importance of anchoring groups and their position as well as phenomenon arising from their surface deposition. Indeed, oligoarylenes decorated platforms are widely explored in terms of charge transport properties.

Chapter 4 shows molecular design and synthetic pathways towards chemically tuned tetragonal, bidente monomer, appropriate for self-polymerisation. Moreover, the light is shed on its identification and properties.
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## List of Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Å</td>
<td>Angstrom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcCl</td>
<td>Acetyl chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFM</td>
<td>Atomic force microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aq.</td>
<td>Aqueous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BET</td>
<td>Braunauer-Emmer-Teller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJ</td>
<td>Break junction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boc</td>
<td>tert-butyloxy carbonyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Bpin)$_2$</td>
<td>Bis(pinacolato)diboron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>br</td>
<td>Broad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brine</td>
<td>Saturated aqueous NaCl solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Celsius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calcd.</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBS</td>
<td>Corey-Bakshi-Shibata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Charge Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>Doublet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dba</td>
<td>Dibenzylideneacetone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>Dichloromethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMAc</td>
<td>$N,N$-Dimethylacetamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>Dimethylformamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>Dimethyl sulfoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>Deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dpff</td>
<td>1,1′-Bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSC</td>
<td>Differential scanning calorimetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Elemental analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EI</td>
<td>Electron impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eq.</td>
<td>Equivalents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESI</td>
<td>Electron spray ionization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et</td>
<td>Ethyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et$_2$O</td>
<td>Diethyl ether</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOAc</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fcc</td>
<td>Face-centered cubic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT IR</td>
<td>Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCMS</td>
<td>Gas chromatography - mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hcp</td>
<td>Hexagonal close packed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDT</td>
<td>Hexadecanethiol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMO</td>
<td>Highest occupied molecular orbital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOPG</td>
<td>Highly oriented pyrolytic graphite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR-ESI-MS</td>
<td>High-resolution electron spray ionization mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i-Pr</td>
<td>Isopropyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Kelvin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUMO</td>
<td>Lowest occupied molecular orbital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>Multiplet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m/z</td>
<td>Mass per charge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAS</td>
<td>Magic angle spinning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCBJ</td>
<td>Mechanically controllable break junction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>Methyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m.p.</td>
<td>Melting point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>Molecular orbital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBS</td>
<td>N-bromosuccinimide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIS</td>
<td>N-iodosuccinimide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-BuLi</td>
<td>n-Butyllithium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEXAFS</td>
<td>Near Edge X-Ray Absorption Fine Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLO</td>
<td>Non-linear optical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMR</td>
<td>Nuclear magnetic resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODT</td>
<td>Octadecanethiol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTf</td>
<td>Trifluoromethanesulfonate (triflate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG</td>
<td>Protecting group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Phenyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ppm</td>
<td>Parts per million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>Quartet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>Room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>Singlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM</td>
<td>Self-assembled monolayer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBU</td>
<td>Secondary building block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_E$</td>
<td>Electrophilic substitution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Scanning electron microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPM</td>
<td>Scanning probe microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPS</td>
<td>Spatially resolved sensing spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-Phos</td>
<td>2-Dicyclohexylphosphino-2′,6′-dimethoxybiphenyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STM</td>
<td>Scanning tunneling microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>Triplet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TADDOL</td>
<td>$a,a,a^{'},a^{'}$-Tetraaryl-1,3-dioxolan-4,5-dimethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBAB</td>
<td>Tetrabutylammonium bromide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBME</td>
<td>Tertiary butyl methyl ether</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$-Bu</td>
<td>Tertiary butyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM</td>
<td>Transmission electron microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFA</td>
<td>Trifluoroacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tf$_2$O</td>
<td>Trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THF</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>Thin layer chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS</td>
<td>Trimethylsilyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ToF</td>
<td>Time of flight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPM</td>
<td>Tetraphenylmethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tr</td>
<td>Trityl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHV</td>
<td>Ultra-high vacuum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPS</td>
<td>Ultraviolet photoemission spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV/vis</td>
<td>Ultraviolet/visible spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-Phos</td>
<td>2-Dicyclohexylphosphino-2′,4′,6′-triisopropylbiphenyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray</td>
<td>X-ray spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xantphos</td>
<td>4,5-Bis-9,9-dimethylxanthene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPS</td>
<td>X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 Introduction

Chapter I was created to throw light onto the inception of molecular electronics, its conceptual base, main directions, the most powerful and prevalent physical tools, nature of molecules and their functionalities compatible with available techniques handled for nanotechnology. Afterwards we focused our attention on the broadly presented history of tetraphenylmethane, which becomes the main motif of this work, exposing its structural privilege, path of modifications. A broad array of applications was emphasized ranging from compounds served for surface decoration, branched architectures and omnipresent supramolecular chemistry.
1.1 Molecular Electronics

The goal of this introductory chapter is to clearly elucidate the background of molecular electronics, showing the current interest of the scientific community and further motivations stemmed from the development of the broad analytical tools and wide array of available chemicals. Moreover, fundamentals of the physical methods relaying on immobilization of molecules onto metal electrodes, and the nature of the contacts are underlain.

Since a few decades the concept of unimolecular electronics\(^1\) has enduringly assumed downsizing of conventional electronic devices (Figure 1). However, its technological progress is also supported by commercial requirements which were expressed in Moore’s empirical law.\(^2,3\) Accordingly, the minimum distance between the components in integrated circuits is supposed to shrink by a factor two every two years. Hence, the speed of the digital circuit has to be improved at least by a factor of two. Furthermore, following the “design rule” by means of inorganic materials would be rather complicated and expensive because the cost of integration increases exponentially.

Quantum mechanics and thermodynamics set a fundamental limit for downsizing standard silicon circuits. Thus, there are even more important points which support the foregoing statement, indicating the advantage of molecular electronics. The smaller size of the molecules and higher density of packing may lower the cost. Subsequently, the time needed for an operation in transistors is reduced, well-organized structures exhibit better switching and sensing abilities, while geometry, conformational flexibility, variety of synthetic attributes lead to govern new electronic functions in common solid state devices.
Figure 1 The Evolution in semiconductor devices as a function of size [nm] with time. The graph underscores the progress in miniaturization of electronic circuits from conventional silicon chips to the prospective, based on graphene.

Despite the fact that silicon-based materials have given invaluable input into development of technologies used in broadly known devices, they possess certain limitations. Namely, in badly insulated silicon layers with a thickness of three atoms, charge leakage has occurred, while the quantum tunneling effect is induced by a “14 nm resolution limit”.\(^4\,^5\) In order to circumvent these difficulties, organic molecules may be examined as a silicon chip equivalent. The famous thesis presented at Caltech in 1959 by Richard Feynman who delivered a passionate speech, stating “There is plenty of room at the bottom”\(^6\) found a great reflection in single-molecule devices and self-assembled nano objects dedicated to tunable electronic features.\(^7\) The so-called “bottom-up” approach led to a reduction in the size of traditional devices to the nanometer scale, being opposed to the “top-down” approach where macroscopic systems and components are decreased in size. However, a lot of effort has been put in understanding the fundamentals of electron transport through the molecules, which is essential for the development and exploration of possible electronic components. To get more insight into these principles two major conditions have to be fulfilled. First of all, integration of functional building blocks into electronic circuits and their enclosing by the macroscopic electrodes, that ensures strong contact-giving anchoring groups, which are suitable for specific type of electrodes. Thereby, high measurement accuracy, electrical conductance of the functional core molecules and the effect of anchoring groups on electron transport.
through individual molecules gives a warranty to achieve the control over the electronic properties of single-molecule devices. \(^8\) Currently, a broad array of molecular resistors, wires, rectifiers, capacitors, switches, and field-effect transistors/gates have been reported. Nonetheless Kuhn and Möbius\(^9\) placed the cornerstone of molecular electronics with early experimental contributions and later from the theoretical viewpoint, Aviram and Ratner,\(^10\) went on to wire molecules and explore their potential use as electronic devices, rectifiers, paving the way for further performance of novel logic gates or sensing entities.\(^11,12,13\) Rapid progress became possible due to scanning probe microscopy (SPM) development (1982) and nanolithography enabling the study of electrical properties of single molecules.\(^14,15,16,17\)

Current methods and approaches employed to characterize the behavior of single molecules in metal-molecule-metal junctions \(^18\) are varied. (Figure 2) The most common measurements on single molecular junctions are based on either the electrochemical break junction\(^19,20,21\) or the mechanically controlled break junction (MCBJ) as well as on the scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) \(^15,22,23\) electrochemical depositions and others.

**Figure 2** Illustration of commonly applied experimental setup in molecular electronics.

**1.1.1 Image and Contact to Metal Electrodes**

Physical measurements are indispensable for continued performance of molecular electronics. Therefore, to fully introduce the area of molecular electronics, two important physical techniques have to be discussed. Primarily, scanning probe microscopy (SPM) with particular attention to scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) as well as mechanically controllable break junctions (MCBJ) techniques, both in solid state and in solution will be outlined as an inherent analytical toolkit.
1.1.1.1 Scanning Probe Microscopy

Fundamental studies describing the first surface analysis of molecular structures at the atomic level were carried out by Binning and Rohrer who designed and fabricated the first STM.\textsuperscript{24} The crowning moment in the efforts of principal investigators were greatly appreciated in 1986 by awarding the Nobel Prize in Physics.\textsuperscript{25} This milestone in molecular electronics has been further improved giving an open field for engineering and research striving for higher atomic resolution. In general, SPM characteristic boil down to the surface projection through its scanning by means of a metallic tip, controlling the interaction between the tip and surface. SPM techniques are distinguished among two of the most common branches. One of them is AFM, where van der Waals forces between the cantilever and substrate positioned on the surface are involved. The second is the STM where the tunneling current between the metallic tip and substrate occurs without any physical contact.\textsuperscript{26,27,28,29,30}

The general working concept of STM-assumes the usage of a sample (mainly conductive metal species like Ag, Au or Cu, graphene or dichalcogenides layers like MoS\textsubscript{2} are employed, where inorganic or organic molecules are immobilized depending on chemical affinity, usually under UHV conditions) and a sharp probe tip (approaching the surface) typically made of tungsten by electrochemical etching, either platinum-iridium alloy (mechanical shearing) or gold. The precise vertical and lateral positioning of the tip requires a piezoelectric scanning unit (control vertical and lateral movement of the tip), a \textit{x,y}-scanner, coarse sample-to-tip control, a vibration isolation system (initially magnetic levitation, nowadays mechanical spring and gas spring have prevented STM against vibrations, needed due to the precise control over the tip position regarding to the surface) and a computer (Figure 3).\textsuperscript{31} Basically, the tip is mounted to the \textit{piezodrive} which comprises three perpendicular piezoelectric transducers. Subsequently these elements are broadened or shortened, while a voltage ramp is applied to the \textit{x} and \textit{y} piezo tubes respectively to force the tip to scan the \textit{xy} plane. Tip and sample are brought into a distance of less than one nanometer by a rough positioner so that a tunneling current is evoked through an applied bias voltage between tip and the sample. Electrons are moved from the occupied state of the tip into empty state of the samples ($V > 0$) or vice versa ($V < 0$). This tunneling current depends exponentially on the width of the tunneling barrier that is the distance between tip and sample. Typically, reduction of the tip-sample separation to 1 Å results in an increase of the tunneling current by about one order of magnitude. This way, a STM is able to detect height
variations in the range of picometers. A high gain current amplifier converts tunneling current (typically pA to nA) to a voltage that is referred to the standard value.

Figure 3 Common assembly of STM (I) where constant tunnelling current (restrained by a z piezo tube) is triggered by bias voltage between the tip and the sample, the z-value represents in fact the topography across the sample deposited on the surface. (II) Tunnelling is shown by d as a distance gap where electrons flow between sample and the tip. (III) Physical expression of the tunnelling and the distance d, increase of the gap accompanies exponential decay (k and K are constants, U is the tunneling bias).

When the tip passes over the xy plane, the changes in the height and density of the state are reflected in current changes and the tunneling-current surface is represented as contour plot (local density of state as a function of energy within the sample), combined, integrated and displayed on the computer, generating a three dimensional picture of the surface.
Alternatively, the distance between tip and sample, that is the voltage applied to the $z$ piezo is controlled by a feed-back loop that keeps the absolute value of the tunneling current constant (constant height modes). In this mode, the tip scans a contour of constant density of states above the surface and the position of the tip reflects the topography of the sample. Besides its capability of imaging the sample, the STM tip can also be used to manipulate and to contact the sample in a controlled way. In this way, electronic properties such as the conductance of single molecules can be studied with spatial resolution. Hence, STM found several applications in catalysis, optoelectronics, stereoelectronics, and nanolithography.

1.1.1.2 Mechanically Controllable Break Junction

Investigation of charge transport (CT) through the molecules at the nanoscale has still remained a challenge. The bloom of the MCBJ was initiated by Moreland and Elkin (1985) who proved the phenomena of electron tunneling within using a thin wire of Sn-Nb attached to a flexible glass beam, while in the very early stage of conductance measurements, Muller and Ruitenbeek established metallic nanojunctions (1989). However, the prime experiment utilized a simple organic molecule (1,4-benzenedithiol, 1997) fixed into two electrodes, allowing the formation of molecular junctions by opening/closing of the nanogap. The molecules are immobilized by drop-casting using a liquid cell filled with non-conductive solvent and target, which also forms a junction right away during the gap opening/closing process.
Figure 4 Illustration presents typical MCBJ setup; displacement ratio between $\Delta d$ (horizontal movement of nanogap) and $\Delta z$ (vertical movement of the pushing rod) allows precise control of the gap range [pm].

Standard MCBJ consists of two nano-spaced electrodes (made of lithography or fabricated from thin metal wire attached to the substrate and suspended middle part). Between them, flexible polyimide substrate is pushed from the top by two stable rods (counter support) and movable rod right above. Next (mechanical actuator, usually piezoelectric transducer or stepper motor) proceeds selective bounding of the substrate during opening/closing of the gap among the electrodes. Consecutively, displacement of the pushing rod exerts rapture of the metal wire, resulting in a well-controlled nanometer gap. Upon opening of the nanogap, the pushing rod is stimulated to move down, simultaneously triggering the nanogap closing. A voltage is applied between the two electrodes and the conductance of the junction is monitored as function of the displacement of the pushing rod (conductance–displacement curve). Metallic nanocontacts typically exhibit characteristic conductance steps in multiples of $G_0 = 2e^2/h$ (where $G_0$ conductance of the last gold atom before the wire breaks) before the contact breaks and the conductance drops to the tunneling conductance. This allows the distance between the two electrodes to be defined. Eventually a molecule still bridges the junction after breaking the metal contact. This is reflected in a conductance which is lower
than $1 \, G_0$ but higher than the typical tunneling conductance (Figure 4). $^{39,40,41,42}$ As break junction measurements do not allow imaging of the sample, the nature of the junction is inferred from a statistical approach: Repetition of the described process leads to various different junction configurations and thus to different conductance–displacement curves. Typical conductance values of the deposited molecule result in a higher probability for this particular conductance value and appear as a conductance peak in a 1D histogram or as a conductance plateau in a 2d histogram. This situation is exemplified in Figure 5. Histogram I depicts the measurement of ODT, where $A_1$ represents low conductance, while $A_2$ corresponds to the conductance of two molecules in the junction, sharped and well specified. Taking into account the broad shape of histogram, it attributes to the variety of molecular conformations appearing during the measurement. In terms of 2D histogram the ellipsoid region features the distance information.

**Figure 5** Conductance histograms obtained for octanedithiol (ODT).$^{43}$

Certainly, the resistance of the MCBJ setup against vibrations is considered an advantage of this method. Indeed, the number of created junctions considerably enhances the statistical analysis, reducing the risk of faults. Nevertheless, drawbacks arise from the unidentified shape of the electrodes most likely because of the breaking process itself. Up to now MCBJ is excluded from industrial applications.

**1.1.1.3 STM break junction**

In 2003 Xu and Tao showed the first successful formation of single molecule junctions by means of the STM-BJ technique.$^{15}$ Essentially, the sample is immobilized on the gold surface being in or out of contact with the tip of the STM.
Inertness of the gold substrate in conjunction with well-defined metal thickness is required to identify an accurate molecular resistance. Hence, conductive features of molecules may be estimated by accumulating attitudes of high resolution images with spatially resolved sensing spectroscopy (SPS). The experiment boils down to the formation and breakage of the junction among STM tip and sampled metal electrode. The illustration of the experiments is depicted on Figure 6. As was already mentioned, in the first stage of the common STM-BJ measurement a molecule equipped with chemically tuned anchoring group creates a robust contact being adsorbed on the gold surface. While a tunneling current (active feedback) is applied to control the STM tip position over the molecular adlayer. Later on, the active feedback is switched off to enable inception of the BJ cycle. Therefore, a piezoelectric transducer is used to perform a controlled STM tip movement along the x-y plane until an assumed, ultimate current value is obtained, evidencing a stable metal-metal contact. At this value the tip leaves the substrate. Through this operation the sample is placed into the nanogap constructed by the tip and substrate. Subsequently, the tip is lifted and the junction is fractured, leading the cycle to the end. High accuracy of statistical analysis needed to carry out hundreds of thousands of cycles. Measurements may be done by using an electrochemical setup (conductive cantilever is employed).

1.1.1.4 Nature of the metal-molecule bonding

A molecule can exhibit various conductance values, and its interface with the electrodes, which is usually determined by chemical anchoring groups, can have a large influence on its electrical properties. For instance, transistor-type devices from the same molecule may display fundamentally different transport characteristics. The organization of the molecules within the junction is usually based on some sort of self-assembly using chemisorption or physisorption methods to form monomolecular layers between both
electrodes. In many cases, either self-assembled monolayers (SAMs)\textsuperscript{48} or Langmuir-Blodgett films (LB)\textsuperscript{49,50} provide the order at the molecular level inside the junction. Preparation of self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) on a solid surface by the tight covalent bonding of organic molecules is a powerful way to accomplish interface functionalization. The anchoring group, responsible for the direct contact between metal and the functional molecule, needs to be considered in terms of its mechanical stability and also regarding its electronic transparency (weak or strong coupling). An ideal molecular anchoring group is expected to provide well-defined and reproducible binding, sufficient strong anchoring between a molecule and metal surfaces, and should maintain sufficient electron density of states close to the Fermi level to pass an electron or hole through the molecule (electronically transparent nature with relatively high conductance). Furthermore, in order to design tailor-made functional devices, it must be possible to achieve well-ordered molecular orientations.

**Figure 7** Concept of anchoring groups over conductance measurements. Functional groups are applied to terminate organic molecules ensuring appropriate contact between an electrodes and analyzed species.

So far, many anchoring groups, including thiols (-SH)\textsuperscript{51,52,53,54}, amines (-NH\textsubscript{2})\textsuperscript{21,51,55}, phosphines\textsuperscript{56}, pyridines\textsuperscript{15,57,58,59,60}, selenols (-SeH)\textsuperscript{61,62,63,64}, fullerenes\textsuperscript{65,66,67}, isocyanides (-NC)\textsuperscript{55,68,69}, nitriles (-CN)\textsuperscript{70,71}, nitro (-NO\textsubscript{2})\textsuperscript{71}, isothiocyanides (-NCS)\textsuperscript{72}, methyl sulfide (-SCH\textsubscript{3})\textsuperscript{56}, dithiocarbamates (-NCS\textsubscript{2})\textsuperscript{73}, carbodithiolates (-CS\textsubscript{2}H)\textsuperscript{74,75}, hydroxyl (-OH)\textsuperscript{76}, N-heterocyclic carbenes\textsuperscript{77,78}, and carboxylic acids (-COOH)\textsuperscript{51,79} have been investigated and used to form electronic devices, and also the influence of anchoring groups on single molecule conductance has been examined (Figure 6). Different anchoring groups possess
different coupling strengths and contact geometries, which significantly affect the charge transport properties of the molecular junctions. \textsuperscript{80} Nevertheless, these anchoring groups have been explored most frequently when attached to non-highly conjugated core structures (e.g. saturated alkanes). \textsuperscript{51,55,81} that are showing significantly poor conductivity, rather than in highly conjugated systems \textsuperscript{82,83} which are more promising candidates for molecular electronic wires, which is evident from a few studies of comparison of anchoring groups in conjugated systems. \textsuperscript{58,69,71,76} In saturated structures, the resistance from the core molecule is higher and thus the anchoring effect is reduced. While organic π-conjugated systems are capable of more efficient charge transport along the molecular backbone due to the electron delocalization. This fundamental phenomenon is induced by the difference in the energy gap between the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) and highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) that is smaller (~3 eV) than the HOMO-LUMO gap of saturated molecules (~7 eV), increasing electron transport properties through the conjugated molecule. \textsuperscript{23} Conductance of a conjugated system depends on several factors and not only the length of the conjugated system and its anchoring groups have a large influence on the conductance of the molecule, but also other factors like e.g. the topological connection (ortho-, meta- or para-) or the torsion angle between subunits are important. \textsuperscript{84,85,86,87}
1.2 Tetraphenylmethane.

The aim of this chapter is to provide a concise background of tetraphenylmethane (TPM) chemistry. Apart from the structural properties and geometry of the core units, a brief overview based on the preparation method starting from the initial approach and its evolution within modifications of TPM units will be given. Moreover, the most prominent derivatizations will be shown discussing its substitution abilities toward highly reactive precursors dedicated for widely spread cross-coupling reactions, giving an excess to the variety of applications.

1.2.1 Tetraphenylmethane: structure and historical synthetic approaches

TPM consists of a central $sp^2$-hybridised carbon atom linked fourfold with aromatic phenyl rings affording a specific tetragonal core structure through molecular rigidity with high symmetry. Crystallographic study of TPM single crystals led to determine the right configuration assigning it to the $P4_2_1c$ space group. While the distances between $C1/C2$ and $C2/C3$ were founded as 1.49Å and 1.37Å respectively.\(^8\) (Figure 8)

![Figure 8](image)

**Figure 8** Model of a single tetraphenylmethane molecule.

The first successful synthesis of TPM was reported by Gomberg\(^8\) in 1898. The preparation method relayed on substitution of bromotriphenylmethane (1) with phenylhydrazine. Tetrahedral product 2 was consecutively oxidized obtaining diaza-derivative (3). Subsequently, it thermal cleavage with simultaneous liberating of nitrogen let to provide TPM (4) in 2-5% yield. Fife years later Ullmann and Münzhuber\(^9\) significantly improved the synthetic route involving trityl chloride 5 as a starting material profiting from its usefulness toward Friedel-Crafts reaction. The reaction with aniline at 200°C, followed by diazotation of 4-tritylaniline 6 and its subsequent reduction allowed to obtain TPM (Scheme 1). Through
several years, the overall yield was elevated to 93%.\textsuperscript{91} Owing to TPM susceptibility towards electrophilic substitution ($S^2E_2$) in the \textit{para} (4) position of each aromatic ring, symmetric derivatives have become one of the most prevalence tetrahedral scaffolds paving the way for their further modification throughout abundance of functional groups. Highly useful and explored aromatic bromides and iodides were obtained by aforementioned electrophilic substitution applying iodine\textsuperscript{92,93} or bromine.\textsuperscript{94,95,96}

Scheme 1 Illustration of the pristine strategies of TPM synthesis, (I) Gomberg protocol, (II) Ullmann methodology

Besides halogenated products, Bernhardt demonstrated synthesis of tetrakis(4-nitrophenyl)methane which was subsequently reduced to \textit{exo}-amine entity (A)\textsuperscript{97} capable of several other transformation. Bearing in mind how powerful halogenated building blocks are, bromo-terminated TPM was converted to cyano derivatives (B).\textsuperscript{96} Its further hydrolysis allows to attain ubiquitous carboxylic acids that have warranted versatile non-planar scaffold particularly appealing for supramolecular chemistry. Moreover TPM draws up the attention of cross-coupling reactions driven by palladium chemistry. It is worthy to notice the synthesis of the very first vinyl terminated moieties as an intriguing candidate for its polymerization (C).\textsuperscript{98} Stille coupling with tributyl(vinyl)stannane gave an opportunity to prepare the desired
Figure 9 Library of functionalities issued on the early stage of TPM chemistry.

cross-linking agent. Furthermore, extension of molecular arms was realized owing to Sonogashira coupling, enabling formation of “tripodophrins”. Easley available trimethylsilyl acetylene led to linking the tetrahedral moiety with electron-rich porphyrin building blocks (D) dedicated for nanofabrication experiments. Other process involved organometallic agents (lithiation, Grignard reaction) which were highly efficacious towards formation of molecular constructions. Taking an advantage of rapid halogen-metal exchange reaction Wilson and Griffin performed synthesis of a boronic acid (E) as a resourceful functional group prominent for Suzuki cross-coupling reaction. These were elongated yielding bi or-terphenyl molecular arms. On the other hand, Wuest demonstrated an efficient synthetic approach to the formation of several molecular building blocks based on phenol, toluene, benzylic alcohol (chloride), ketone, aldehyde and isocyanate termini creating a library of modular cores (F, G). Notably, thiolated and azide terminated ligands were very
recently reported as well (H), tackling a new challenge of supramolecular chemistry (Figure 9). 104,105

1.2.2 Applications of Tetraphenylmethane

The three dimensionality of TPM together with its easy functionalization of protruded symmetrical aromatic rings offer a wide range of modifications, tuned chemically properties of synthesized materials. By means of modern chemical tools TPM found great variety of applications represented by rigid molecular platforms, metal and covalent organic frameworks (MOFs, COFs), dendrimers and hyperbranched oligo- and polymers (HBPs), supramolecular architectures, porous organic polymers (POPs), hyper cross-linked polymers (HCPs).

1.2.2.1 Rigid self-assembled molecular platforms

Among helming amounts of molecular devices their major part suffers from unwanted neighboring interactions, which unfavorably suppress or diminish expected effects. A solution that may tackle these problems is embodied in the bulky carbonated scaffolds affecting molecular repulsion. Thereupon, TPMs size and structural arrangements fostering the tetrahedral synthon to act as a tripodal foot, organizing and controlling the spatial arrangement of molecules in self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) on flat substrates was investigated. For that purpose, three of the four phenyl units are usually decorated with anchor groups interacting with the substrate while the fourth one is expected to protrude from the surface and might be further substituted. SAMs of tetraphenylmethane model compounds exposing three functional groups comprising sulfur atoms (e.g. by –CH$_2$SH, -SAC, -SR, and –SH) to profit from their chemisorption on gold substrates were already reported. Depending on the type of the substrate (silver, titanium oxide, indium-tin oxide), alternative anchor groups have been considered (selenium, pyridine, benzyl amine, alkyl ester, phosphonate, tertiary ammonium salts). In order to provide binding on graphene surfaces by $\pi$-$\pi$ stacking, tetraphenylmethane based model compounds functionalized with three “pyrene-feet” were investigated. Structure stabilization by van der Waals forces in monolayers, and efficient packing in SAMs, have been reported for backbones consisting of C$_3$ tetrahedral symmetric units, equipped with C cores. Due to the multifaceted properties, several structural impacts and broad applicability of these platforms will be described in detail in this work.
1.2.2.2 Metal and covalent organic frameworks (MOFs, COFs)

Concept of reticular chemistry gained momentum throughout rational design and synthesis of self-assembled objects at the atomic level. Inorganic and organic building blocks, the influence of their bonding features and geometry of selected spacers triggered the fascination and the considerable breakthrough reflected in the synthesis and directional amplifications of multi-pronged metal and covalent organic frameworks. Since Omar Yaghi\textsuperscript{124,125} reported the very first original papers demonstrating TPM involved, well-established formation of three dimensional topologies for MOFs and COFs (Figure 10), great numbers of crystalline porous materials dedicated for gas storage, molecular recognition, catalysis, and drug delivery applications have been presented. Because of their paramount importance both types of polymeric materials will be discussed in separate paragraphs.

**Figure 10** Graphical presentation of basic elements typically used to build up MOFs (metal cation is applied as inorganic component) and COFs spanning solely organic, light filaments.

1.2.2.3 Dendrimers and Light emitting materials

Over the last few decades many research groups sought for highly conjugated materials, exhibiting excellent optical properties with simultaneously discouraged crystallization tendency. Despite numerous coupled aromatic hydrocarbon backbones, previously designed molecules tend to self-associate, suppressing fluorescence intensity because of π-π stacking interactions. Stilbene bridged TPM exhibited a great relation between features of selected “small molecules” and structurally well-defined conjugated materials, comparing charge transport properties with morphology of explored molecules. Orientation of diverse TPMs terminated by stilbene and rods led to restrict intramolecular π-stacking effects preventing formation of unwanted excimers.\textsuperscript{126,127,128,129,130,131,132} A series of size-
distinguished dendrons typified by DSC technique revealed a length-dependence increase of glass transition temperatures instead of melting transition temperatures. Investigating monovinylated species by optical methods, excimer sites were evidenced as an attribute of stilbene arms self-interaction. While measurement of elongated stilbene units displayed opposite effect elevating their film forming properties. Moreover, similar approach was proposed in oxadiazole-containing TPM cores confined by different peripheral donor/acceptor substituents pointed both in para- and meta- of electroactive components. These steric reasons were taken in account to prevent molecular packing and increase quantum efficiency impacted by strong π-π* excitation of fluorine brunches. These blue light emitters upon their photo-and electroluminescence survey (PL, EL), together with oxidation potential characterized by cyclic voltammetry (CV) have suggested their utility for optoelectronic applications. Hole transporters were resulted by tetrakis(triaryl)amine ingredient spanned to TPM displaying thermal and radical stability as an candidate for EL devices. Almost the same concept was employed for construction of non-linear optical devices (NLO) exploring tolane based π-system with ionic acceptors mastering their maximal blue transparency.
Figure 11 Prominent cores of TPM-consist dendrimers exemplified by different peripheral groups.

Noteworthy, a brilliant example engaging a tetragonal TPM node was reported by Müllen. Orthogonal assembly of the triphenylene overbranched dendritic structure rules out fused rings aggregation and its fluorescence quenching, causing a rise of quantum yield with a growth of dendritic emitter’s generation. These motifs were examined as a specific chemical chamber and accomplished selective molecules binding. Tunable properties were adjusted through the side groups of the dendrimers (Figure 9). Among several tested groups, notable polar frames (A) were more prone to encapsulate and shuttle polar molecules (carboxylic acids interacted by hydrogen bonding with proflavin hydrochloride, while nitrile group interacted with a pinacyanol dye). So affinity of deployed units give an application for molecular recognition (explosive detection, volatile organic compounds) enhanced by electron-rich species. The other family of half-fluorinated, Janus type dendrimers exhibit nanofiber formation, whereas presence of poly(L-lysine) termini reinforced hydrophilicity, stimulating α-helical alignment (B), and a blended approach led to reach surface amphiphilicity implementing it for drug delivery applications. Furthermore, bio functions of TPM were extended owing glucosamine entities making their as a center that may contact enzymes (C).

Figure 12 Graphical illustration of radical complexation (a) as well as electronanopatterning (b) process fabricated by means of Yamamoto’s dendrimers.

It’s worthy to note other classes of organic hybrid architecture constructed on repeating phenazomethine, ensuring radical complexation with SnCl₂. Spherical structures doped by a carbazole moiety have provided a source of hole transporting and photo-cross linking
material. Hence its electropolymerization, dendrimers are considered as promising photopatternable hole-transporting objects (Figure 12).

1.2.2.4 Supramolecular architectures - molecular tectonics

Naturally occurring phenomena have recently gained considerable attention throughout imitation of bio-features in the artificial systems. Widely-known donor-acceptor interactions driven by hydrogen bonding affected by amines, alcohols etc. imply directionally specific arrangement of supramolecular components attributed also by structural factors as angle between donor-acceptor bonds. Those non-covalent associations in conjunction with firm, tetragonal skeletons have fixed a well-packed three-dimensional lattice. Seminal work devised by Wuest showed pyridone-composed 3D framework stabilized by small carboxylic acid guests even upon guest molecule removal. At this circumstance a sequence of hydrogen bonded stitches was produced (Figure 13).92,152,153,154

Figure 13 Hydrogen bonded model with triaminotriazene exploited as donor-acceptor unit (I) view of boronic acid mediated diamond structure (II).

A zeolite assembled aggregate mediated by diaminotriazene offers outstanding access to a hydrogen-bond intertwined network, which in spite of the weak nature of the hydrogen bond (~ 7 kcal/mol) may even surpass covalent bonds due to a strengthening synergic effect. Extensive aniline studies reveal significant diminishing of hydrogen bond numbers per tecton ranging from 8-20 for triazene ending up on 3-8 for the aniline based assembly. Furthermore there have been other reported diamondal structures stabilized by boronic acids,155 isothiocyanates,156 phenols157 and phosphonic acids158 comprising sticky sites, building up 3D porous hydrogen bonded system. Very recently, the first chiral tecton based on TPM core
modified by S-(α)-methylbenzyl amine or alcohol, capable of CBS-assisted asymmetric reduction of 1,4-diacyltolylene, was reported. 159

1.2.2.5 Porous Organic Polymers (POPs)

Several factors have impacted the formation of diamonded POPs. The selection of appropriate chemical tools is considered as the most crucial, emphasizing importance of Sonogashira and metathesis reactions. However since Wang (Figure 14) subjected tetrakis(bromophenyl)methane 7 to a Yamamoto homo-coupling reaction, conducted by Ni(cod)2, reaching beautiful micro porosity of 8, several other approaches have been elaborated and intensively studied. Omnipresent acetylene pitches were rapidly explored in 3D materials, permitting linear as well as star-shape linkers, forcing dynamic development of gas storage materials. 161,162 Beyond Sonogashira reactions, also Glaser-homo-coupling163 and “clickable” products were implemented.164 Well-established synthetic protocols gave a boost to expand properties of those textures. As is depicted in Figure 15, engaged polymers improve methane165 and carbon dioxide capture166 (A) and trigger its further conversion to carbonates (compelling the reaction with epoxides).167 Whereas change of classical morphology to a tubular one by structural variation of connectors was also noted (B). 168

Figure 14 Pioneering Wang160 co-polymerization of 7
Figure 15 Products of Sonogashira coupling between iodo or bromo terminated components 10, 12 and fourfold ethynylated species 9 yield polymeric structures, having found an application in catalysis (A) and materials chemistry (B).

1.2.2.6 Hypercross-linked polymers. (HCPs)

Other classes of materials possessing very intriguing properties are HCPs defined as non-crystalline but highly porous units. Regarding their application, bridging units may be designed and adjusted. Fields of interests were covered by a family of size-dependent diimides. Seminal work dealing with new n-type semiconductors suitable for optoelectronic devices showed the open area with respect to extended π-electron systems comprising polyimides. It was found that to the enormous affinity of porous tetrahedral polyimides to reversibly uptake CO$_2$ arises from π-π electron rich heteroaromatic linkage, pyrometallic (I), naphthalene (II) and perylene (III) fragments are particularly appealing to store common gases (Figure 16). Their contribution evoked narrow pore size distribution, high thermal and chemical stability as well as catalytic usefulness.
Figure 16 Illustration of polyimides tetrahedral structures displayed linkers I-V interspersed in TPM cores let to affect desired features.

Notably benzobisimidazole IV and thiazolothiazole V were adopted as imide analogous being eligible to achieve similar chemical and physical advantages. Apart from the envisaged porosity of other cross linking materials, several active ingredients were exploited to hone broad spectrum of heterogeneous organic transformations (Figure 17 I). Herein, intensively studied light harvesting Ru and Ir complexes were incorporated into TPM scaffolds accelerating photoredoxaza-Henry reactions, and a Jørgensen-Hayashi catalyst with (L)-proline fused to the polymer handling it for asymmetric Michael additions, reaching a compromise between reaction yield and high enantioselectivity. TADDOL-constructed chiral catalysts were also enclosed into three dimensional TPM platforms, assisting zinc constrained asymmetric reduction of aldehydes (Figure 17, II).
norbonene-2,5-diene embedded into porous HCPs, performed asymmetric enone 1,4-additions to arylboronic acids, as reported by Cui.\textsuperscript{185}

\textbf{Figure 17} Schematic representation of chiral heterogeneous catalysts marked as red bowls ((L)-proline), whereas TADDOL’s pocket is clearly shown.
1.3 Self-assembled monolayers - tripodal aromatic platforms.

Flat delocalized π-systems have the tendency to spread with the entire π-surface over the substrate driven by van-der-Waals interactions. While delocalized π-systems are the ideal model compounds of numerous electronic and optical applications, a more perpendicular arrangement with the surface would be desired to profit from their properties. In optical experiments the quenching of molecular excited states is reduced by a perpendicular arrangement and in electronic applications a perpendicular arrangement is required to separate the π-system from the substrate and to profit from the entire dimension of the molecule. While for most optical set-ups the perpendicular arrangement is the only prerequisite, in electronic applications also the contact point of the molecule with the substrate, which defines the coupling between molecule and electrode (substrate), must be controlled.

![Diagram of a SAM structure](image)

**Figure 18** The general view of SAM relies on alkenothiols assembled on the gold surface.

One of the most important class of SAMs is based on the strong chemisorption of organosulfur compounds (thiols, disulfides), and related moieties on coinage metals, particularly Au (111), Ag, Cu as well as Pt, Hg, GaAs (100) and InP (100) surfaces. Most frequently used for anchoring of organic molecules are gold surfaces. Figure 18 displays an illustration of SAMs created on the gold surface. Owing to aforementioned elements, surface properties can be adjusted by their chemical tuning. Moreover physical parameters are matched by the choice of the spacers determining their thickness, affecting conductivity and optical features. There are several reasons why gold surfaces are so popular. One of them is that gold can form atomically flat surfaces suitable for STM techniques. Another reason is that gold is a reasonable inert material not prone to impurities by reaction with oxygen and can be handled at ambient conditions while it can still be efficient and selectively modified.
by organosulfur compounds. The Au-S bond forms a good molecular junction between adsorbates and gold electrodes, providing reasonable electrical conductivity and rapid interfacial electron transfer. Since the early stages of molecular electronics, most studies deal with molecules attached to the gold surface through one thiol (SH) group. While the details of the adsorption mechanism are still under debate, it is widely believed that the hydrogen of the thiol group is eliminated in contact with gold and that a covalent Au-S bond is formed. This bond possesses a dissociation energy around 2.1 eV with the nominal strength of Au-S bonds (~ 50 kcal·mol⁻¹), which is large enough to ensure the thermal stability of thiol monolayers up to 80 °C. Furthermore, it is stronger than the Au-Au bond with the dissociation energy around 0.8 eV, which can lead to the removal of small gold clusters by desorbing thiols. The versatility of the thiol anchoring guarantees a dense coverage of both flat and rough gold surfaces. Substrate atoms on bare Au(111) surfaces compact slightly, forming a reconstruction with both face-centered-cubic (fcc) and hexagonal close-packed (hcp) zones and a (22 × √3) unit cell relative to the Au(111) lattice, referred to as the herringbone reconstruction. When sulfur containing molecules (e.g. thiol, disulfide) bind to Au(111) the formation of Au-S bonds removes the reconstruction, a phenomenon observed in STM experiments. This ability to remove the reconstruction can be used as a qualitative descriptor of molecular-substrate bond strengths. For instance, sulfides (R-S-R) have weaker molecule-substrate bonds than thiols; however, SAMs of sulfides nonetheless lift the Au(111) herringbone reconstruction. On the Au(111) surface thiols can bind to three sites, the so-called top, bridge, and hollow site. In these configurations, the sulfur atom of the thiol is bound to one, two, and three gold atoms, respectively. Furthermore, the high reactivity of the thiol group not only guarantees a robust functionalization of gold electrodes; it can also lead to complication during the self-assembly process. The intermolecular linking of bifunctional dithiols due to disulfide formation in the presence of trace amounts of oxygen may cause multilayer formation and, in electrical measurements, the probing of oligomers. To address that problem the in-situ formation of thiols from thioacetates with a deprotection agent can reduce the risk of multilayer formation significantly. Although thiol monolayers have received considerable interest in the scientific community, the stability of these SAMs and the poor tolerability of Au in CMOS technology, reduces considerably the application potential. In particular these organic films exhibit only moderate stability under ambient conditions and decompose at elevated temperatures. One of the drawbacks of the gold-thiol protocol is also that the adsorbates possess inclined configurations. With increasing complexity of the SAM constituents another drawback of densely packed SAMs, is the close
contact of component molecules, which causes significant steric and/or electrostatic interaction. To circumvent these problems, researchers have explored several strategies for generating thermally and chemically stable SAMs. A popular strategy to circumvent this problem has been preparation of mixed SAMs composed of alkenothiols of specific chain length and longer thiols carrying a functional unit. Although this approach is efficient for molecules that form tightly packed monolayers, it is not convenient for the positioning and control of individual molecules. Alternative approaches have been suggested, such as increasing the free volume of molecules in the self-assembled monolayers by integrated bulky spacer group or employing large molecular multipods or platforms (Figure 19). This architecture provides more space for sterically demanding functional tail groups and has, potentially, the additional advantage of a more stable connection by multiple sulfur-gold interactions.

![Figure 19 Schematic drawing of a molecule attached to the surface via a tripodal structure.](image)

In order to also control the molecule’s spatial arrangement rigid molecular architectures with multiple anchor groups are particularly appealing. Thus, the motivation for employing multipodal structures was to make a strong contact and to enforce an orientation of the molecules at a fixed distance from the surface. For the multipodal systems, the minimum number of the anchoring groups that would guarantee stable arrangement of the molecular structures on the surface is three and they should not be in a line. The basic platform that fulfills this criterion is a tripod, which is common structure in chemistry. The chemical structures containing $sp^3$ hybridized core carbon and silicon atoms themselves represent a tripod with the fourth bond positioned perpendicular to the surface. The remaining three substituents of the tetrahedral core should be as rigid as possible to form stable contact to the surface. Consequently, these legs usually contain rigid aromatic units or phenyleneethylene species if greater length is desired. So far, a number of $C_3$-symmetric tripods incorporate a
carbon atom (e.g. tetraphenylmethanes), a silicon atom (e.g. tetraphenylsilanes), or adamantane as the branching unit decorated with three identical sulfur-containing termini (thiol, thioacetate, sulfides), selenol-containing termini or pyridine have been described and chemisorbed on gold surfaces. In these molecular tripods forming stable and perpendicular chemisorption of molecules, however, little or no attention has been paid to maintaining the functionality of anchored molecules to obtain a strong and defined electronic coupling with a gold electrode, which yields fast electron transfer. We note that tripodal adsorbates reported so far adopted non-π-conjugated aliphatic thiol groups, such as benzylthiol and adamantylthiol anchors. While some synthetic papers focused mainly on the concept, initial studies revealed an increased stability of the tripodal contact and surface analysis by scanning probe methods or X-ray absorption techniques displayed an enlarged separation due to the increased footprint of the tripod. Further evidences for a perpendicular arrangement of separated molecules were obtained by optical and electrochemical analysis of the samples. In addition, taking into account well-defined alignment of the multipodal platforms on the surface, several groups have got more insight into its possible applications as a tip for scanning probe microscopy, a crosslinker for the creation of an arrays of gold nanoparticles, and to anchor several active tail-molecules as complex ligands, fullerences, rotaxanes, pseudorotaxanes and artificial molecular rotors to the surface. Although the most commonly used immobilization chemistry on gold electrodes is the formation of covalent bonds between thiols and gold substrates, also a few examples even profit from the interaction of delocalized π-systems with the flat substrate to arrange a subunit perpendicular to the surface like e.g. the triazatriangulenium platforms from Herges and coworkers or the tris(4-pyridyl-\textit{p}-phenyl)methyl platform from Aso and coworkers. While several of these multipods enable a perpendicular arrangement of rod-type molecular structures, the electronic coupling of the rod’s π-system to the metal states is limited due to the multipodal architectures comprising \textit{sp}^2 hybridized atoms. This electronic decoupling of the functional subunit is on the one hand desired to profit from the subunit’s optical properties but on the other hand it represents a considerable handicap for molecular electronic applications.
1.3.3 Aromatic tripodal adsorbates

The synthesis of tetraphenylmethane-based anchor with three sulfanylmethyl feet was pioneered by Aso and co-workers. They designed and studied fullerene-linked oligothiophene tetramer and octamer derivative bearing a tripodal rigid anchor 13 (Figure 20), allowing such molecules to be well-organized on the metal surfaces to construct highly efficient photovoltaic cells. This strategy to employ a tetraphenylmethane tripodal rigid anchor has led to remarkable enhancement of the photocurrent generation, as compared to the reference systems with one-armed anchoring compounds, which was determined by photoelectrochemical measurements.

![Diagram of functionalized tetraphenylmethane tripods 13-15.](image)

**Figure 20** Functionalized tetraphenylmethane tripods 13-15.

Further electrochemical study of SAMs of two tripodal shaped oligothiophene-bearing thiols 13 (Figure 20) on Au(111) indicated that the packing within the SAM of shorter oligothiophene terminated tripod \((n=1)\) is more compact than that for the longer one. Both the much increased number of oligothiophene repeat units in the tail, and the higher number of \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{13}\) side substituents causes its SAM to be less compact, and make a perfect standing of
the molecules on gold more difficult. Consequently these features were identified as unfavorable factors for charge transport through the SAM. In the case of shorter oligothiophene tail in 13, the \( \pi \)-conjugated tail has an appropriate length, allowing compact packing of the molecules. A structural feature reflected in a greatly enhanced charge transport through the SAM. Organic light-emitting devices have been fabricated by using the SAM-coated Au electrode as the anode. In comparison with the bare-Au device, the electroluminescence performance of the device comprising the tripod decorated Au electrode is improved considerably reflected in reduced operating potentials, yielding much greater maximum brightness, permitting much higher currents, and better stability. Aso and co-workers have also synthetized selenium-terminated tetraphenylmethane tripods 15 bearing three selenocyanate or selenol arms as anchoring groups (Figure 20). CV, XPS and ultraviolet photoemission spectroscopy (UPS) measurements of their monolayers on a gold surface were investigated and the results were compared with those obtained from the thiol terminated analogues 16 (Figure 20). \(^{64}\) They found that all three selenol groups of the tripod are bound to gold surface and the selenol monolayer is electrochemically more stable than the thiol one. In a comparison of the gold-sulfur and gold-selenium terminated tripodal interfaces, they found from the UPS measurements that the charge injection barrier from gold to the frontier molecular orbitals of the molecule was smaller in the gold-selenium interface, from which they expected that a large electric current could be obtained at a lower voltage in the gold-selenium interface. The Se-Au bond has a better suited electronic state to perform molecular conductance and is thus more appropriate for the molecule/electrode interface in molecular devices than the S-Au connection. These results are consistent with the trends reported recently.\(^{63}\) Aso and co-workers also recently designed and synthesized the [4-(4-pyridyl)phenyl]methyl tripodal platforms 17, 18 (Figure 21) to realize robust single-molecule junction with a gold electrode and to achieve effective hybridization of the pyridine \( \pi \) orbitals with the gold electrode.\(^{60}\)
SAMs of 4-pyridyl terminated tripodal 17 as well as monopodal platforms bearing both redox-active oligothiophene tail for CVs, and (triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl tail group for XPS measurements were evaluated. CVs with the redox active tripodal-modified gold electrodes displayed a reversible one-electron redox wave with the surface coverage $7.1 \times 10^{-11}$ mol*cm$^{-2}$. This surface coverage remains at 30% of the original value after 10 scans within the range 0-0.55 V, which indicate that junctions, which are indispensable for the fabrication of molecular devices, were formed. XPS Measurements revealed that the $\pi$ orbitals of the pyridines contributed to the physisorption of tripodal platform on gold. Measurements of single-molecule conductance were successfully carried out using modified STM techniques for single-molecule junctions that consisted of the tripodal anchors and diphenyl acetylene linker 18. The obtained conductance of $5 \pm 1 \times 10^{-4}$ G$\text{o}$ is substantially higher than that of previously reported shorter $\pi$-conjugated chains with monopodal pyridine anchors 19 (3.5 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ G$\text{o}$, Figures 22c, 22d). Theoretical analysis based on ab initio calculations clearly supported the experimental results and the hypothesis of $\pi$ channel
conductance of the pyridine anchor moieties. The calculated results indicate an electron-transport mechanism in which the LUMO dominates the transport. In the pyridine-based tripodal anchors, the $\pi^*$ orbital of the pyridine part could directly interact with the gold electrode (Figures 22a, 22b). The pyridine-based tripodal structure is expected to form a robust junction via the three anchoring units, and pyridine is predicted to achieve effective $\pi$-channel electric transport.

**Figure 22** Structures of the junctions used for the ab initio transport calculations. (a) 18 (111) model and (b) 18 (001) model, respectively. The left panels show side views of each system, whereas the middle panels show views from the top. The right panels give the details of the parameters to identify the conformations for 3Py, such as dihedral and bending angles. (c) Conductance traces measured when breaking the Au point contacts in solutions with (red) and without (black) 18. (d) Corresponding conductance histograms constructed without data selection from 1000 traces. Each histogram is normalized by the number of traces used to construct the histogram. The bin size is $10^{-5}G_0$.

Lindsey, Bocian and co-workers synthetized several redox-active molecules bearing a tether composed of a tripodal tetraphenylmethane with three acetylsulfanylmethyl groups 20 (Figure 23) for surface attachment to examine the effects of spatial arrangement of the molecular structure on charge storage in SAMs. The redox active molecules include ferrocene, zinc porphyrins, magnesium phthalocyanine, and triple-decker lanthanide sandwich complexes.
The electrochemical characteristics of each compound were examined in solution and in SAMs on gold and indicate that the tripodal tether provides a more robust anchor to the gold surface than does a monothiolated anchoring. However, the electron-transfer and charge-dissipation characteristics of the tripodal thiolated molecules and monopodal thiolated species are generally similar. These facts that acetylsulfanylmethyl terminated tripodal tethers offers superior stability characteristics without sacrificing electrochemical performance renders these tripods attractive candidates for incorporation in molecular-based information storage media. SAMs of two tripodal thiol-terminated metalloporphyrins 20 (Zn and Cu) and three benchmark tripods were further studied by XPS and FT-IR measurements on gold substrates. The benchmark molecules 21 (Figure 23) include (1) two tripods containing a...
bromo atom at the perpendicular position of the apical phenyl ring and sulfanylmethyl or acetylsulfanylmethyl feet, (2) acetylsulfanylmethylated tripod terminated in the perpendicular position with a phenylethylene unit. Together, the spectroscopic studies revealed that none of the five tripodal molecules bond to the gold surface via all three sulfur atoms, where the average number of bound thiol range from 1.5 to 2. This nonuniformity of binding through the different SAMs might arise from steric interaction between co-deposited molecules. As well as similar surface binding found for the S-acetyl protected and free thiol terminated benchmark tripods, indicating that the presence of the protecting group does not influence the binding and is probably cleaved during deposition. Furthermore, the surface binding characteristics of the SAMs are not sensitive to deposition conditions such as solvent type, deposition time, or temperature of the solvent, which was determined by IR measurements. Recently, Dong and co-workers have synthetized a self-decoupled porphyrin with a tetraphenylmethane tripod anchor 22 (Figure 24) and deposited it on Au(111) using different wet-chemistry methods in order to assemble a single molecule electroluminescence STM experiment (Figure 25). The rigid tripod anchor in this molecule not only acts as a robust decoupling spacer but also controls the orientation of the porphyrin molecule in the desired up-right standing position along the tip axial direction.

![Figure 24](image-url) Self-decoupled porphyrin with a tetraphenylmethane scaffold 22.
STM image has revealed formation of dispersed bright spots (~3-5 nm), fitted to the single or aggregated molecule, placed perpendicularly to the Au (111) surface. Molecular electroluminescence from single porphyrin molecules or aggregates of 22 on gold has been realized by tunneling electron excitation in the STM-junction. Moreover, the following molecule makes the tip-molecule-gold junction highly asymmetric, which enables the observation of molecular electroluminescence phenomena such as the unipolar performance. The unipolar performance of the nanoscale electroluminescence from the tripodal structure 22 suggests that the porphyrin molecule is predominantly excited by the hot electron injection, and the excited molecules then decays radiatively back to the ground state via Frank-Condon $\pi^*-\pi$ transitions, producing enhanced molecular electroluminescence. These results are of interest as fundamental studies of electrically driven single-molecule light sources helping to analyze and improve the mechanisms in molecule-electrode junction in organic light-emitting diodes.

Figure 25 Schematic configuration of 22 on Au(111) and localized electrical excitation from a nanotip.

Tetraphenylmethane-based tripodal platform was also employed to immobilize oligonucleotide probes perpendicularly to the gold surface of DNA chips. To realize a reliable DNA arrays for a reproducible, inexpensive, and high-throughput detection system for genetic analyses in clinical diagnostics, particular attention must be paid to form stable molecules with precise control over the spatial arrangement of oligonucleotide probes immobilized on a surface. Moreover, electrochemically controlled and potentially switchable tripodal [2]rotaxanes incorporating a viologene moiety, a crown ether, and
sulfanylmethyl-terminated extended tetraphenylmethane anchoring group \(23\) (Figure 26) have been prepared and their SAMs on gold have been studied by cyclic voltammetry. \(^{119}\) The thiol terminated tripodal viologens formed oriented SAMs on gold surface, and threaded crown ethers to form a hetero [2]rotaxanes with a surface coverage in the range \(10^{-10} - 10^{-11}\) mol.cm\(^{-2}\).

\[\text{Figure 26} \text{ Structure of tripodal [2]rotaxanes 23.}\]
1.4 Covalent and coordination bonds served for 3D organic frameworks (MOFs and COFs).

Undoubtedly, widespread linear polymers brought tremendous structural diversification offering several advantages and applications available over the whole planet. However, the challenges resulted from the consequent technological progress imposed the scientific environment to seek new materials aside from conventional polymerization methods for the novel linkage of repeating units. For many years people have asked how to store energy, how to cram unwanted products into easily accessible and disposable materials. The answer, as usual, has been inspired by the nature. Naturally occurring zeolites are tetrahedral porous aluminosilicate solids absorbing water, binding inorganic cations, explored in catalysis and fine chemicals synthesis. These were mimicked by the concept of coordination chemistry transferring it slick to the class of ever lastly growing crystalline and porous materials, based on the metal cation and organic bridging ligands. Robson’s, Moore’s, Yaghi’s or Zawrotko’s approaches pointed out infinitive abilities of the novel coordination polymers. Furthermore, over the last three decades an overwhelming amount of work dealing with simple nets appeared, highlighting treasures of functionalities in gas separation, alcohol adsorption, luminescent behavior, thin films, biomedicine and heterogeneous catalysis. Therefore here only diamond-like structures will be profoundly discussed. Taking into account covalent bond energy and their reversible feature, organic building blocks, merged by versatile linkers, in conjunction with well-fitted geometry are pertinent to construct one, two or three dimensional multifunctional individuals. The groundwork was laid by Yaghi who is the world pioneer in the field of organic frameworks. Simple dehydration of linear 1.4-benzenediboronic acid against hexahydroxylphenylene guided formation of the first honeycomb 2D-COF. These motifs circumscribe all of the COF attributes like the nature of covalent bonding, structure and topology of the linkers and desired dimensionality, which will all be discussed here in detail.

1.4.1 3D metal organic framework based on tetraphenylmethane core structure.

This well-established class of hybrid inorganic-organic materials is composed of metal cations and organic electron donors (usually amines or carboxylates). The geometry of the desired materials is relevant to the structural features of both inorganic and organic components, so-called secondary building blocks (SBU, Figure 27). In principle, beyond a wide spectrum of the metals, “infinitive” numbers of ligands may be prepared, however they are rather limited to small molecules or enlarged rods, reassembling a kind of
Engineering. Formation of the polymeric ensembles must be thermodynamically controlled due to several site possibilities, rooted in the cation reactivity as well as ligand flexibility, manifested through plenty of conformations that may stimulate formation of more than one type of frameworks. One of its solutions was realized through a rigid scaffold of TPM affording one type of net. Manipulation of shoulders length and the donor distinction prove to be a leading motif in all studies sacrificed for seeking new polymers, bridged by tetr dentate segments with exceptional pore size distribution.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 27** The Illustration shows the relationship between vacancy of selected metal ion and geometry of organic ligand enabling tunable synthesis of MOFs.

The very first adamantane-like cavities were proposed by Robinson and based on copper (I) complexation with tetrakis-(4-cyanophenyl)methane as a cornerstone of 3D-type architectures. The carrier of the simple, symmetrically substituted tetratopic ligand was developed by Yaghi who primarily demonstrated implementation of the firm tetrakis-(4-carboxyphenyl)methane assembling it with the double paddle-wheel units formed by Zn$^{2+}$ cation forcing PtS topology essential for these classes of materials. Wherefore its zirconium(IV) MOFs (MOF 812/841) crystalized in C2/c and I4/m space group with itu and flu topology respectively, bearing permanent porosity what jointly with great permeability may render it water absorbers (potential dehumidifiers). Predictable extension of molecular struts was realized fixing to the core structure biphenyl, stilbene and phenyl-acetylene units, affording copper paddle-wheel SBU's abbreviated as \([\text{Cu}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CR})_4]\) (where R is given carboxylic acid), representing tetragonal space groups. Unique freeze-drying
methodology reinforced the porosity, eliciting a larger surface area, increasing it up to 1725 m²/g, providing channels for hydrogen uptake and dye inclusion. The same kind of topology is given among tetradeutate pyridine moieties. Heterocyclic species were mounted to the rigid core, distinguishing them by the type of carbon-carbon bond (by the analogy to aforementioned acids). Anion-dependent and temperature resistant MOFs, built on NiX₂ and CuX₂ were obtained with the variety of shape, serving them to study morphological alteration with uniform crystals size distribution. Meanwhile, Fe (II) porous complex polymer was evaluated as prospective SCO material. The influence of the guest molecules (cyclohexane) onto SCO phenomena was deemed as molecular bracket of HS state (Figure 28). Moreover, few other ligands envisaged for MOFs synthesis and their further applications were explored including tetrazol, terpyridine and phosphonic acid.

Figure 28 Illustration of the most outstanding MOFs engaged tetratopic ligands emerging as paddle-wheel units in MOF-36, zirconium coordination polymers (MOF-812/841), pyridine represented ligands (Lin’s and Tao’s MOFs).
1.4.2 COF: type of bonding, synthesis, structural variations and its applications.

Pivotal drawbacks of MOFs are lack of self-healing features, low stability of coordination bonds, vulnerability to harsh conditions (typically structure collapse), and lower chemical and thermal durability. They way to omit this inconvenience is delineated by organic chemistry which proposes a broad assortment of dynamic covalent bonds that have reversible and irreversible nature. However, a great deal of effort has been put to show ability of common functional groups toward regular and well structurally defined polymers. Among many others, boroxine and boronic esters have become one of the most precious linkers, created by self-condensation of boronic acids or their co-condensation with catechols either with silanol, that produce borosilicates. In consecutive steps, trimerisation of aromatic nitriles was used to form highly robust triazene-based COFs, with enormous stability and a significant for conjugation degree (Figure 29). The tremendous development of imines and their derivatives found reflection in the chemistry of COFs as well. Similarly, condensations of aldehyde and imine moieties allow to obtain a family of Schiff-base consisting polymers, with prospective self-healing properties. In order to facilitate an access to the properties that are tuned in COFs, the library of the connectors was established mutually with the choice and synthesis of building blocks, guaranteeing their rigidity. These have affected a highly predictable geometry of performing ensembles. Thus, the length and width of organic skeletons, physical and chemical robustness and conformational stability turn out to have a decisive impact in the choice of the ingredients. The most prevalent units are pointed out in Figure 30 evidencing their direct influence onto COF shape due to the numbers of possible combinations. Depending on linkage, geometry and final structure, different preparation methods were applied. Moreover the equilibrium, being the driving force of all thermodynamic transformations, helps to assemble organic frameworks. Therefore, particular synthetic protocols have to be deployed to rule out linear products or other unwanted processes. Hence, solvothermal synthesis was carried out in a sealed pyrex tube which is considered as a suitable tool to overcome solubility problems, reaction rate, prompting the growth of crystals, preventing a left-side shift of the equilibrium.
Figure 29 Graphical illustration of the most common organic bonds applied in the construction of COFs

Under water free conditions and a temperature oscillating around 85-120 °C, different solvents mixtures were utilized ranging from DMAc-o-dichlorobenzene, THF-methanol ending up on dioxane-toluene (mesitylene) dedicated for boron or imine mediated COFs respectively. Microwave irradiation is also an alternative for upscaling synthesis. Nonetheless, as a major part of 2D drafted materials, based on the strong π-π interaction triggered by overlapping electron rich layers, molecular sheets rather need to adopt considerably disparate techniques. Hereby, in the nitrile cyclotrimerization, zinc dichloride plays a twofold role, being simultaneously the solvent and catalyst (ionothermal synthesis). The following protocols assume surface assisted synthesis to hamper defects over monolayers. The nano-assemblies are manufactured onto an Ag (111) surface at UHV conditions, monitored by STM.
Figure 30 Illustrations show different class of the building blocks and their strong effect on the topology of newly constructed COFs.

Non-metallic surfaces as HOPG or neat graphene were exploited to form other stacked layers. In the first example powdered copper sulfate as a water trapping agent dramatically accelerates the reaction ratio enabling highly ordered COFs. In the second case, graphene under solvothermal conditions led to the desired boron subunits, creating films. A major part of obtained crystals or powders are insoluble in common organic solvents, thus there are
arrays of priceless analytical tools making their identification way easier like (powder) X-ray diffraction supported by theoretical simulations, MAS-NMR spectroscopy ranging from proton, carbon, boron nitrogen to oxygen isotopes, and SEM measurements to get more insight of COF morphology.248

Figure 31 Applications of COF represented by selective ammonia uptake (I), cross-section of COF explained the possible catalytic center for Suzuki-Miyaura reaction.

The honeycomb structure typical for main 2D COF, built-up on highly conjugated backbones allows to discover beautiful applications as conductive materials in optoelectronics. Aromatic linkers embodied by pyrene, porphyrins, (metal)phthalocyanine with maximal π-orbital overlap, among many others prove to be p-type semiconductive materials with absorption bands reassemble the values near to the solar spectrum. Besides, abundance of the channels enhanced the whole transport realized with notably high mobility.249 Whereas, the nanoporosity serves the opportunity to store gases (methane, hydrogen, carbon dioxide) and ammonia bringing new cavities addressed for heterogeneous catalysis.250 The last two seem to be really outstanding because of the linkers and their accepting and donating character. Namely, the electron pure boron atom, when incorporated into the five-member-ring of a boronic ester affords bounding of the ammonia through common donor-acceptor interaction, with the total uptake approximately 15 mol/kg at room temperature and 1 bar (Figure 31, I).251 Secondly, a palladium complex of imine-bonded 1D COF is rendered useful in Suzuki-Miyaura or Sonogashira cross-coupling reactions,252 giving exceptional yield values being recyclable for the further transformations (Figure 31, II). Beyond that, a couple of the other catalytically active species accelerate conversion of following functional groups including thioethers oxidation, styrene epoxidation, reduction of Shiff-bases and amine oxidation.253
1.4.2.1 3D covalent organic framework based on tetraphenylmethane core structure.

As was demonstrated above, 2D organic building blocks may be easily extended by the selection of proper sub-components getting tailor-made 3D diamond frameworks, particularly keen to form highly porous and crystalline materials.\textsuperscript{254} This strategy was applied by Yaghi in 2007, where boronic acid was subjected to self- and co-condensation resulting 3D COFs called COF 102, COF 105. Powder diffraction studies revealed the cubic space groups of both nets (\textit{I43d-ctn}, \textit{P43m-bor}), however the tetrahedral side view point \(4=S_4/4m2= D_2\) indicates lower structural strain of COF102 with respect to COF105. Taking advantage of Yaghi’s invention, Dichtel reported boroxine linked frameworks with the post synthetic approach facing truncated mixed linkers (TML) what allows to functionalize additionally COF102.\textsuperscript{255}

![Figure 32](image-url)

\textbf{Figure 32} The evolution COFs made of TPM depicted in 3D projection, underscoring the diamond shape of polymers, ranging from boron-consisted porous structures (MOF102, 105, 102-tosyl), passing through imine-bridged ensembles (COF-300,320, PPF 2-4, 3D-Py-COF) ending up to imide-bonded (PI-COF-5).

Tetrakis aryl boronic acid underwent co-crystallization in presence of trigonal tris(boronic acid) with one head group substituted by the dangling octyl, dodecyl and allyl terminus, owing to the rapid framework increment with respect to the boroxine hydrolysis. The
percentage content of doped materials was judged quantitatively by NMR revealing one-third of the inoculated moieties into the COF102 network. Moreover, OsO₄ driven epoxidation evidenced the alkene existence in the analog structure being finally decolorized resin. These trifunctional co-monomers were replaced by series of simple arylboronic acids ranging from p-tolyl to 4-nonylphenyl, 4-vinylphenyl, 4-formyl boronic acids. The huge excess of the loaded ingredients affected similar contributions to initial trigonal ingredients (independently 33-37% units were uptake) giving more insight into the upper limit of truncated defects of Yaghi’s COF. Furthermore, vinyl chains gave a rise to the next transformation. Reaction with propanothiol provided corresponding thiol-ene product delineating the way to manipulate the morphology and crystallinity of 3D future materials (Figure 32). From the other hand [2+4] imine condensation accelerated by water sequestration let to obtain crystalline material made of ditopic aldehydes as an connection patterns (terephthaldehyde and 4,4’-biphenylaldehyde) and a tetratopic core structure embedded by tetra-(4-anilyl)methane producing rod-like linked diamond framework (its synthesis was also conducted by surface assisted method). XPRD studies of the shortest arrangement showed remarkably high symmetry in the $P4_2/mmm$ space group, while in the elongated adamantane-like cage with precise atomic system microcrystalline features facilitate the structural analysis owing to RED measurements. Temperature-dependent experiments affirmed possible space groups classified as $I4_{1}md$ or $I4_{2}d$ as well as $Imma$ in room temperature with dia topology. Simplicity of applied molecular shoulders has prone to tune the properties of Shiff-bases getting highly ordered 3D nets accomplished by library of aldehydes. Theretoo, trifold starshape intermediates with sizes oscillating between 4.99-12.37 Å were employed and coupled with the same tetrahedral component. The size-depended study suggested strong relationship between growths of the pores with respect to the diameter of linkers. Very recently, rectangle geometry of pyrene aromatic bridging moiety was explored over [4+4] condensation as a crystalline material with $Cmmm$ space group and pts interpenetrated net. Emitting properties of pyrene units encompassed whole polymeric structure, bringing about intense green luminescence in solid state, which implies its potential usage in explosive detection. Moreover, tetraphenylmethane was incorporated as precursor of luminescence behavior yielding porous, dynamic covalent aerogel which emission was proportional to the degree of gelation. Furthermore, covalent gel bridged by ($R$,$R$)-Mn-salen complex, fused onto silica capillary was capable of enantioselective kinetic resolution of secondary alcohol, whereas catalytic activity of palladium-COF300 complex was examined against Suzuki and Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction as an efficient, alternative heterogeneous
catalyst. In addition, some other works involving tetra-(4-anilyl)methane emerged, profiting mainly form easy exo-amine group functionalization. Consequently, covalently spanned dynamic systems have been reported as polyimide polymers with relatively high thermal stability, suitable for the drug delivery with perfectly supervised loading and discharging process. Furthermore, azo-linked nets were constructed from the same components utilizing symmetric and asymmetric synthetic approach (one amino, second nitro TPM derivatives), serving it for CO$_2$ sequestration because of dipole-quadruple interaction bearing Lewis base/acid (azo and carbon dioxide respectively). Last but not least, trimerisation of tetrakis(4-cyanophenyl)methane afforded synthesis of triangular triazene-connected nodes as a thermally robust product based on ctn net with determined $I43d$ and $P43m$ space groups, ensuring benzene sorption due to $\pi-\pi$ interaction. However, one of the most prominent examples of COF was issued by Wuest assuming reversible [2+2] dimerization of nitrososyls to azodioxides as novel linkage grafted to dynamic covalent frameworks. Thus, tetrakis-(4-nitrosophenyl)methane was transferred by Fetizon reagent spontaneously producing tetrahedral azodioxy-merged COF (Figure 33, II) in the large, diffractable crystalline form (Figure 33, I). This modification has permitted the growth of the defect-free crystals in $P462$ space group typical for isostructural adamantine-like networks (Figure 33, III). Unfortunately, its thermal instability caused the pores to collapse under relatively mild conditions. Nevertheless, these tetragonal individuals fulfill perfectly criterion of the host-guest motif using intrinsic free space and specific chemical interaction. As was mentioned above the medium pore size of chemical stitches range from 9-19 Å and may be regulated by the volume of the linker. Therefore all exemplified materials contain modular pockets available for small molecules as gases, thanks to the microporous nature of described polymers that exhibit high storage capacity during their physical sorption. Indeed, tips typified the physical parameters of an ideal chemical storage have been well-defined for technological applications of future materials.
Figure 33 The photograph of COF crystals (I) ensued by nitrosyl polymerization (II) forming fourfold interpenetrated net (III).

Namely, great adsorption permeability, sufficient charge/discharge ratio, appropriate adsorption enthalpy and established heat capacity have reasoned the need of synthesized materials. Narrow pore distributions and extremely high surface area characteristic for COFs (founded for COF 103 value of 3620 m$^2$/g employing BET model) encouraged many research groups to investigate quantified and qualitative aspects of gas sorption and its storage inside the porous material. It has substantial meaning to address the realm of porous organic polymers to the uptake of environmentally harmful carbon dioxide that contributes annually more and more to global warming, and the prospective fuel components as hydrogen and methane, encapsulating them selectively and releasing their afterwards under full control. Relevant experiments estimated utility of demonstrated nodes towards gas saturation, putting an emphasis onto CO$_2$, H$_2$ and methane gating. Theoretical consideration helped to predict 2-3 times higher abilities of 3D COFs against gas squeezing comparably to the lower dimensionality, exceeding unsurpassed numbers of manufactured MOFs.\textsuperscript{273,274,275} Taking into account their capacities as a function of pores, they afforded magnificent hydrogen uptake (70-82 mg/g), CH$_4$ above 20 wt% (178 mg/g), and about 1200 mg/g of carbon dioxide for COF 102, what was in total agreement to prior calculations.\textsuperscript{276} Afterwards, in spite of excellent surface area values achieved for imine-bounded COF fluctuating in between 2400-1000 m$^2$/g and the others, the porous materials rather remain in scope of boron materials being often unable to conquer the score settled by boroxine nets.\textsuperscript{277} The compromise between the rigid cores, size of the linker, and effective binding side have still remained a challenge.
2. Synthesis of tripodal architectures, from the basics to functionality

Chapter 2 consists of tripodal, sulphur decorated modular platforms, their chemical design, synthetic strategies that were undertaken to pave the way to their successful preparation and subsequent modifications. Paramount importance of palladium-catalyzed reactions was magnified, particularly in terms to carbon-carbon bonds formation thanks to Suzuki cross-coupling, extremely precious in the synthesis of ”molecular towers”.
2.1. Synthesis of modular assemblies

2.1.1 Molecular Design

The rigid and well-defined tetrahedral geometry of tetraphenylmethane is suitable for tripodal foot architecture. Three phenyl rings can be decorated with the anchor group of interest (sulfanyl), and the fourth one is arranged perpendicular to the surface if all three anchor-group-decorated subunits interact equally with the surface. Owing to the nonconjugated character of the central $sp^3$ hybridized carbon, the molecular structures mounted on such foot architectures are expected to be arranged in a spatially well-controlled, but electronically decoupled, manner. To guarantee a tight contact of the foot structure and consequently good control over its spatial arrangement on the substrate, we decided to use aryl thiol anchor groups. The remaining question from a molecular design viewpoint is whether the para or the meta position is better suited to provide an efficient footing architecture. To address this question, we synthesized both structures (33 and 50), and studied their self-assembling behavior on gold substrates. The spacing of the anchoring group as well as the size of these specific nanostructures is a compromise between tightly packed mono- and dipodal systems and large multipodal platforms with low surface coverage. Even though functional groups allowing modular decoration of the structures (e. g., boronic acid derivatives or halides) would be very appealing from a synthetic strategy viewpoint, our initial focus was set on tripods decorated with nitrile group in para positions as spectroscopic marker for the study of their behavior in SAMs.

![Figure 34](image.png)

**Figure 34** Structure of molecular tripods (33, 50), and proposed arrangement of 50 on a gold substrate
2.1.2 Retrosynthetic analysis

Our retrosynthetic approach is displayed in Figure 35, and consists of the assembly of the tetraphenylmethane structure in two steps. First, the three identical phenyl subunits decorated with the masked anchoring group (or a substituent enabling the later introduction of the anchoring group) are introduced by nucleophilic addition of the lithiated species to diethyl carbonate, providing the suitably substituted trityl alcohol. The second step is a Friedel-Crafts alkylation with aniline and/or phenol, providing the tetraphenylmethane derivatives with one phenyl ring substituted either with a primary amine or phenolic hydroxyl group in the para position. Functional group transformation chemistry subsequently allows both the establishment of the acetyl-protected thiol anchor groups and the transformation of the phenolic hydroxy group into the protruding functional group of choice. The same retrosynthetic strategy can be applied for both model compounds as the position of the anchor groups is selected by the choice of the starting materials. Interestingly, in spite of the self-evident molecular design and the straightforward synthesis, none of the subunits assembled here have been reported previously.

![Figure 35 Retrosynthetic analysis of tetraphenylmethane platforms](image)

2.1.3 Synthesis of short tripods library

The synthetic sequence yielding the para-substituted tetraphenylmethane derivative 33 was successfully accomplished in six steps and is outlined in Figure 35. The synthesis started with the thiol protection of commercially available 4-bromothiophenol with trimethyl(vinyl)silane through a radical reaction in the presence of 2,2'-azobis(2-methylpropionitrile) (AIBN) as a radical initiator to provide 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl (TMSE) derivative 24 in 86% yield.\(^{279,280}\) Subsequent addition of lithiated aryl bromide 24 to propylene carbonate gave the expected product in poor yield. The next attempt, involving less bulky carbonate (dimethyl carbonate) enables formation of TrOH 26 in modest 28% yield.
**Scheme 2** Preparation of para-substituted tetraphenylmethane derivative 33

Reagents and conditions: (i) trimethyl(vinyl)silane, AIBN; (ii) 24, tert-BuLi, (EtO)₂CO,THF; (iii) 24, tert-BuLi, THF; (iv) PhNH₂, glacial AcOH, toluene; (v) HCl\(_{\text{aq}}\), EtOH; (vi) PhOH, HCl, toluene; (vii) Tf₂O, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂; (viii) Zn(CN)₂, CuCN, Pd(PPh₃)₄, DMF; (ix) AgBF₄, AcCl, CH₂Cl₂.
Hence, diethyl carbonate which exhibits the highest reactivity among so far applied carbonates was chosen to improve the yield of target triphenylmethanol 26. The reaction with diethyl carbonate gave a mixture of benzophenone derivative 25 (24% yield) as well as the desired trityl alcohol 26 in 60% yield. Consequently, the isolated benzophenone derivative 25 was again reacted with an excess of lithiated aryl bromide 24 to obtain a second crop of trityl alcohol 26 in a yield of 83%. Then, trityl alcohol 26 was subjected to the acid-catalyzed Friedel-Crafts alkylation with freshly distilled aniline in the presence of glacial acetic acid to get the mixture of aniline 28 and acetaldehyde 29 terminated derivatives in 43%, 48% yield respectively. Acetaldehyde 29 was hydrolyzed quantitatively to aniline derivative 28.

Unfortunately, all attempts failed to prepare nitrile and iodo species (32, 35 respectively) by Sandmayer reaction due to the acidic reaction environment (mineral and Lewis acids at 0°C-78°C) that was applied over the course of Sandmayer reaction (Scheme 2 and 3) that caused the partial cleavage of thiol protecting group.

Therefore, the strategy was slightly changed and phenol was used for the acid catalyzed Friedel-Crafts alkylation to provide tetraphenylmethane derivative 30 in 84% yield. The resulting phenol derivative 30 was esterified with triflic anhydride to afford triflate 31 in almost quantitative yield.

Scheme 3 Preparation of aryl halides 36, 38

Reagents and conditions: (i) bis(pinacolato)diboron, Pd(dppf)Cl₂, KOAc, dioxane; (ii) CuI, NIS, toluene, DMF; (iii) CuBr, NBS, toluene, DMF; (iv) AgBF₄, AcCl, CH₂Cl₂; (v) H⁺, NaNO₂, I₂, KI, water

Subsequently, the palladium-catalyzed cyanation of the triflate 31 with zinc and copper cyanide at 140°C provided the corresponding nitrile 32 in 93% yield. Final
transprotection of the thiols was performed successfully using AgBF₄ and acetyl chloride (AcCl) in dichloromethane to afford the desired thioacetate 33 in 54% yield. The acetyl protection group provides improved storing and handling features of the compound, as it prohibits polymerization through intermolecular disulfide formation. Its lability allows mild and efficient deprotection prior to or during the self-assembly process on gold substrates.

Triflate 31 was converted to the more reactive iodo derivative 36 (as outlined in Scheme 3) to have in hand the modular tripodal platform bearing labile thioacetates for further functionalization through the Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction. The Miyaura borylation of aryl triflate 31 with bis(pinacolato)diboron in the presence of Pd(dppf)Cl₂ provided pinacol boronate 34 in 71% yield. This pinacol boron ester itself is an interesting building block, as it is suitable for the further functionalization of the tetraphenylmethane platform through Suzuki cross-coupling reactions. Then, the corresponding pinacol boronate 34 was treated with copper iodide and N-iodosuccinimide in anhydrous DMF and toluene (2:1, v/v) using the recently reported Osuka protocol²⁸¹ to afford the aryl iodide 35 in 91% yield. In parallel, N-bromosuccinimide was used as bromination reagent providing the aryl bromide 37 in 73% yield. These aryl halides are ideal precursors to be explored for wide array of palladium catalyzed reactions, opening the doors to tune physical properties of the future nano objects deposited on gold surfaces. The transprotection of the thiols using AgBF₄ and acetyl chloride (AcCl) in dichloromethane provided the desired thioacetate 36 in 87% yield and its analogous bromide 38 in 97% yield. Both derivatives 36 and 38 were not only interesting synthetic precursors of more complex nano-objects, but were also envisaged to study the influence of the head group onto the self-assembly behavior and related surface phenomena of tripod derivatives.

The same synthetic strategy was applied for the preparation of the regioisomeric meta-substituted tetraphenylmethane derivative 43, which is shown in Scheme 4. The thiol of 3-bromothiophenol was protected as 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl derivative 39 in 73% yield. Subsequent lithiation of aryl bromide 39 with tert-BuLi and stepwise addition to diethyl carbonate provided the mixture of benzophenone 40 in 39% yield and trityl alcohol 41 in 44% yield. Isolated benzophenone 40 was again treated with lithiated species to obtain a second crop of trityl alcohol 41 in 76% yield. However, subsequent acid-catalyzed Friedel-Crafts alkylation of phenol with trityl alcohol 41 did not afford the pure single tetraphenylmethane product 43. We used several Brønsted (HCl, CH₃SO₃H) and Lewis (BF₃·Et₂O) acids in combination with co-solvents (toluene, xylenes) at different temperatures
(80-140°C), but all these attempts gave a complex mixture comprising regioisomers and side products, instead of the desired molecule. Even though NMR analysis indicated the presence of the desired tetraphenylmethane derivative 43, its similarity with the side products did not allow its separation.

**Scheme 4** Synthetic attempts toward the *meta*-substituted tetraphenylmethane 43

![Scheme 4](image)

**Reagents and conditions:** (i) trimethyl(vinyl)silane, AIBN; (ii) tert-BuLi, (EtO)$_2$CO, THF; (iii) 39, tert-BuLi, THF; (iv) PhOH, HCl, toluene.

We assumed that the increased proximity of the TMS-ethyl-protected sulfanyl group in the *meta* position of the trityl alcohol handicaps its reactivity sterically as well as electronically, and therefore, we decided to employ less sterically bulky substituents. The
new synthetic strategy leading to the *meta*-substituted tetraphenylmethane derivative 47 is outlined in Scheme 5. The synthesis started with halogen-lithium exchange of five equivalents of 1,3-dibromobenzene and its subsequent addition to diethyl carbonate to provide the desired benzophenone 44 in 93% yield. It should be noted that in the case of 1,3-dibromobenzene, the formation of the trityl alcohol derivative 45 was not observed and the reaction mixture contained almost pure benzophenone derivative 44. Additional lithiation of 1,3-dibromobenzene and subsequent addition to the benzophenone derivative 44 afforded tris(3-bromophenyl)methanol 45 in 82% yield. After Friedel-Crafts alkylation of 45 with phenol, the *meta*-brominated tetraphenylmethane derivative 46 was obtained in 88% yield. Subsequent protection of phenol as trimethylsilyl ether provided 47 in 89% yield, and allowed the further introduction of protected thiol moieties through the palladium-catalyzed reaction.

**Scheme 5** Synthesis of the *meta*-brominated tetraphenylmethane 47

**Reagents and conditions:** (i) *n*-BuLi, (EtO)$_2$CO, THF; (ii) 1,3-dibromobenzene, *n*-BuLi, THF; (iii) PhOH, HCl; (iv) Et$_3$N, TMSCl, Et$_2$O.

The thiol anchor groups were introduced in their TMS-ethyl-protected form. Therefore, the palladium-catalyzed reaction of the aryl bromide with the corresponding alkyl
The meta-brominated tetraphenylmethane derivative 47 was treated with 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethanethiol in the presence of Pd\(_2\)(dba)\(_3\), Xantphos, and Hüning base to afford the deprotected phenolic species 43 in 92% yield upon acidic workup, as shown in Scheme 6. After triflation of 43 with triflic anhydride, the corresponding triflate 48 was isolated in 83% yield. The palladium-catalyzed cyanation of 48 yielded nitrile 49 in 74% yield and subsequent transprotection of the thiols provided the target thioacetate 50 in 77% yield.

**Scheme 6** Synthesis of the meta-substituted tetraphenylmethane 50

![Chemical structures](image)

**Reagents and conditions:** (i) Pd\(_2\)(dba)\(_3\), Xantphos, diisopropylethylamine, TMSCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)SH, dioxane, H\(^+\); (ii) Tf\(_2\)O, Et\(_3\)N, CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\); (iii) Zn(CN)\(_2\), CuCN, Pd(PPh\(_3\))\(_4\), DMF; (iv) AgBF\(_4\), AcCl, CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)).

Analogously to the isomeric para-substituted platform, triflate 48 was converted to the bromo and iodo derivatives 53, 55 (Scheme 6), which is more reactive in palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions than the triflate 48. The Miyaura borylation of aryl triflate
48 provided the corresponding pinacol boronate 51 in 82% yield. With accordance to prior described synthesis of para terminated tripods, iodo and bromo functionalized derivatives were made. Therefore, the aryl boronate 51 was converted to the aryl iodide 52 and bromide 54 in 83% and 74% yield respectively. Finally, the previously mentioned transprotection of the thiols provided the desired thioacetates 53 (55% yield) and 54 (94% yield).

**Scheme 7** Preparation of the aryl halides 53, 55

Reagents and conditions: (i) bis(pinacolato)diboron, Pd(dppf)Cl$_2$, KOAc, dioxane; (ii) CuI, NIS, toluene, DMF; (iv) Cu, NBS, toluene, DMF; (iii) CuI, AcCl, CH$_2$Cl$_2$. 
2.2 Synthesis of tower shaped molecules

2.1.1 Molecular Design

The rigid and well-defined geometry of tetraphenylmethane based tripodal unit suits perfectly to the role of molecular spacer laterally separating the subunits from each other when deposited on a surface and thus, the motive is also perfectly suited as modular platform. Based on these considerations, we decided to investigate the ability of the platform to arrange extended rigid-rod type structures perpendicular to the surface. For that purpose series of oligo-phenylenes of varying length comprising reactive termini were mounted on these platforms.

Of particular interest was the influence of the organic substituent mounted to the protruding aromatic ring on the structures that exhibit self-assembly behaviour. Using a more descriptive picture, we were interested in how big a mounted substituent is allowed to be maintaining an immobilization with all three anchor groups attached to the surface and thus showing an arrangement of the mounted substituent perpendicular to the surface. To the best of our knowledge, there are just a few studies in the literature dealing with stability of functionalized tripods on metal substrates. We thus became interested in the tripod functionalized molecular rods of various length displayed in Figure 36 as model compounds for STM-UHV experiments.

The tripodal building blocks developed in the previous chapter are ideally suited to mount suitably functionalized oligophenyl subunits by Suzuki coupling. Again, we first focused on nitrile terminated oligophenyls, mainly based on the experiences we recently made with alternative tripodal structures where the additional interactions of this group with the STM tip enabled the perfect localization of the molecule and its spatial arrangement on the substrate. However, the modular synthetic strategy allows for a large variety of functional groups terminating the oligophenylene rigid rod which is only limited by the stability of the protection groups masking the thiol- anchor groups. As second approach we also report the syntheses of oligophenylenes comprising a tetraphenylmethane foot and exposing a terminal acetyl protected thiol group. The motivation for this series is based in the mechanical strength of the bond formed to the counter electrode (e.g. a gold tip in a STM experiment) which makes these model compounds also suitable for STM-BJ experiments.
2.2.2 Retrosynthetic analysis

Figure 36 Retrosynthetic analysis of aromatic rods rooted to tetraphenylmethane modular platform

The retrosynthetic approach is outlined in Figure 36 showing two-steps assembly of desired tower shaped structures that are constructed from rod-like molecules and tripodal platforms. In the initial step molecular rods are synthesised by Suzuki cross-coupling reaction between aryl boronic acids and aryl bromides, providing biphenyl and terphenyl precursors with the desired para substitution pattern. Also the second step involves the Suzuki-type palladium-catalysed cross-coupling reaction between the tripodal platform and the molecular rods, providing the target tower shaped tripodal platforms.

The same strategy was applied for both regioisomeric tripodal platforms with the acetyl-protected thiol anchoring groups in meta- or para- position, with respect to the central carbon atom.

The shortest members of the second series, namely tetraphenylmethanes exposing an additional acetyl-protected thiol group in para-position of the fourth phenyl arm were synthesized via direct substitution either of the bromine atoms of tetrakis(4-bromo-phenyl)methane to provide tetrakis(4-acetyl sulfanlylphenyl) methane 74 after functional group transformations, or of the triflate of tris(3-bromophenyl)-4’-trifluoroxyphenylmethane 75 to yield in tris(3-acetyl sulfanlylphenyl)-4-acetlysulfanlylphenyl-methane 77 after
transprotection of the trimethylsilylethyl groups of 76. The synthetic strategy is detailed in Scheme 10.

2.2.3 Synthesis of nitrile terminated “tower-shaped” molecules.

**Scheme 8** Applied synthetic pathway for the formation of the rod precursors 56, 58, 59

Reagents and conditions: (i) [Pd(PPh₃)₄], K₂CO₃, dioxane, water (ii) bis(pinacolato)diboron, Pd(dppf)Cl₂, KOAc, dioxane; (iii) KIO₃, I₂, AcOH, H₂SO₄(conc.); (iv) [Pd(PPh₃)₄], K₂CO₃, toluene, THF, water.

The synthetic strategy to the molecular rods 56-59, required for the assembly of molecular towers, comprising tetraphenylmethane foots exposing thioacetate anchoring group is outlined in Scheme 8. The shortest biphenyl derivative 56 was prepared according to a slightly modified procedure of Farahat et al., where 4-bromophenylboronic acid was reacted with an excess of 4-iodobenzonitrile in the presence of a palladium catalyst to obtain 4′-bromo-(1,1′-biphenyl)-4-carbonitrile in 83% yield. Subsequent classical Miyaura borylation afforded pinacol boronic ester 57 in 94% yield. Thanks to the versatility of π-conjugated oligo-p-phenylenes, several protocols dealing with various terphenylenes were reported. Bearing in mind that suitably halide substituted bi- and terphenylenes can be obtained by selective iodination in para position, it is tempting to create these highly reactive iodinated species for their subsequent transformation in palladium catalyzed coupling reactions. Guided by this rational, 4-bromobiphenyl and 4-cyanophenylboronic acid were coupled via Suzuki reaction giving 58 in 79% yield. The obtained terphenyl 58 was found to be an ideal candidate for the subsequent iodination. Adapting a procedure reported by the Michl group, 58 was exposed to iodination conditions. Unfortunately the obtained crude
iodinated material refused to dissolve in common organic solvents disabling it further purification, analysis and further processing by wet chemistry. To circumvent this obstacle, an alternative pathway was applied based on comprehensive studies by Guillen and co-workers,\textsuperscript{286} dealing with the synthesis of \textit{p}-bromophenylenes. The authors examined the influence of functional groups onto the efficiency of C-C biaryl bonds formation by Pd catalyzed coupling reaction ranging from mild (exploiting Ag\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} as a base in RT) to the typical reaction conditions. Adaptation of the above procedure let to the successful synthesis of 59, though longer reaction time was required. Hence, 4,4′-dibromobiphenyl and 2 equivalents of the boronic acid were refluxed under inert atmosphere providing the 4”-bromo-[1,1′:4′, 1′′-terphenyl]-4-carbonitrile skeleton 59 in reasonable 44\% yield considering the statistical nature of the Suzuki reaction. The assembly of the “molecular towers” comprising a tetraphenylmethane footing structure was mainly based on Suzuki cross-coupling reactions. Thus prepared rod precursors enabled the synthesis of designed tower shaped molecular platforms from the moderate to high yield, what is displayed in both variants in Scheme 9.
Scheme 9 Synthesis of para (left column, 61, 63, 65) and meta (right column, 67, 69, 71) nitrile terminated molecular towers.

**Reagents and conditions:** (i) 4-cyanophenylboronic acid, [Pd(PPh₃)₄], K₂CO₃, THF, water; (ii) 57, [Pd(PPh₃)₄], K₂CO₃, dioxane, water; (iii) 59, [Pd(PPh₃)₄], K₂CO₃, dioxane, water; (iv) AgBF₄, AcCl, 0°C-RT; (v) 4-cyanophenylboronic acid, [Pd(PPh₃)₄], Cs₂CO₃, dioxane, water; (vi) 57, [Pd(PPh₃)₄], Cs₂CO₃, dioxane, water; (vii) 59, [Pd(PPh₃)₄], Cs₂CO₃, dioxane, water.

Notably, biphenyl, terphenyl and quarterphenyl towers comprising trimethylsilylethyl masked anchoring groups were obtained in yields between moderate and very good, pointing at the chemical robustness of the masking group. The required key intermediates, namely the tetraphenylmethane building blocks with either a triflate or pinacol boronic ester were already discusses in the previous chapter. Triflates of both foot structures, the meta- and para-regioisomers were reacted with 4-cyanophenylboronic acid affording the “biphenyl-towers” (n=1) 60 and 66 in 83% and 70% yield respectively. For the assembly of the “terphenyl-towers” (n=2), the pinacol boronic esters 34, 51 underwent Suzuki coupling with aryl...
bromide 56. Very similar Rf values of the biphenyl starting material and the freshly formed tetraphenylmethane products made their separation quite challenging. As alternative approach the positions of the functional groups involved in the Suzuki coupling were exchanged. Thus, bromide 56 was converted into pinacol boronic ester 57 via Miyaura coupling and subsequently reacted with both triflates 31, 48, leading to the “terphenyl-towers” (n=2) 62 and 68 in 89% and 83% yield respectively. This conversion constitutes a significant improvement in comparison with the initially implemented approach (Scheme 9). In order to check the influence of used base on the efficiency of Suzuki cross-coupling reaction, we firstly reacted para-terminated tripods with potassium carbonate, while for the meta-substituted analogues stronger base was employed - caesium carbonate. Nevertheless, we did not notice considerable difference in the yield of conducted reactions. Therefore all further couplings were done with commonly exploited potassium carbonate. The last member of the series, the “quarterphenyl-towers” (n=3) were made by the palladium-catalysed coupling reaction between terphenyl 59 and the pinacol boronic esters 34 or 51, providing the compounds 64 and 70 (53%, 59% yield). Readily synthesized derivatives were subjected to transprotection of thiol protected anchoring groups with AgBF₄ and AcCl in CH₂Cl₂, converting the S-trimethylsilylthethyl moiety into thioacetals in moderate to good yields, providing para (61, 63, 65 in 47%, 48% and 65% respectively) and meta analogous (67, 69, 71 in 77%, 68% and 69% respectively).
2.2.4 Synthesis of Acetylsulfanyl (-SAc) terminated tower-shaped molecules.

Synthesis of fully acetylsulfanylated tripods started from tetrphenylmethane assemblies as the key building blocks and is outlined on the Scheme 10. The first proposed strategy is based on palladium-catalyzed substitution of aryl halides by thioacetate moiety under the microwave conditions published by Lai and Backes. Therefore, potassium thioacetate was employed as an inexpensive and robust reagent, which was supposed to form the desired S-aryl thioacetate derivatives (74, 77) within one synthetic step. For the synthesis of para substituted analogue 74, commercially available tetrakis(4-bromophenyl)methane was used, whereas the second precursor which is necessary for the synthesis of the asymmetric (meta) building block in a form of aryl triflate (75) was readily synthesized from phenol 46 (as was shown and discussed in the previous chapter). Thus, phenol 46 was converted to triflate 75 in 85% yield. Both required building blocks were subsequently reacted with potassium thioacetate under microwave conditions, always leading to an inseparable mixture of products. Chromatographic separation on silica provided the anticipated thioacetates 74, 77 in a low few percent yield. Due to unsatisfying results of the previous method, we searched for another thiol to yield the final thioacetate with better efficiency. We had to change our synthetic approach, implementing thiol protected group with two synthetic steps. The question was which kind of protecting group would be one hand stable, but on the other hand would allow us the transprotection step to the desired S-acetyl moiety. We examined cheap and robust 1-butanol, which was firstly coupled with tetrakis(4-bromophenyl)methane, thus providing tetrakis[(4-butylsulfanyl)phenyl]methane 72 in excellent 95% yield. Unfortunately, the transprotection of butyl protected thiol to thioacetates using procedure published by Pijper with TiCl₄ as a Lewis acid and acetyl chloride failed (reactants proved to be too weak to enable cleavage of thioethers) and starting material was recovered. Therefore, thiols had to be introduced in a more labile form, thus were masked with 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl groups as we demonstrated in the previous paragraphs. Accordingly, palladium catalyzed substitution of tetrakis(4-bromophenyl)methane and triflate 75 with 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethanethiol led to protected compound 73, and 76 in a very good 94% and 71% yield respectively. These compounds were finally transprotected to acetyl protected moieties 74 and 77 in 85% and 80% yield respectively.
Scheme 10 Synthesis of thioacetate compounds 74, 77

Reagents and conditions: (i) Pd₂(dba)₃, Xantphos, diisopropylethylamine, CH₃COSK, dioxane; (ii) Pd₂(dba)₃, Xantphos, diisopropylethylamine, n-Butylthiol, dioxane; (iii) TiCl₄, AcCl, toluene; (iv) Pd₂(dba)₃, Xantphos, diisopropylethylamine, TMSCH₂CH₂SH, dioxane; (v) AgBF₄, AcCl, CH₂Cl₂; (vi) Tf₂O, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂.

The approach to the synthesis of molecular rods 78-81, which are necessary to complete preparation of the second family of molecular towers is shown in Scheme 11. The shortest building block (78) that comprises masked thiol was synthesized according to the procedure reported by Grunder.²¹⁵ Previously shown aryl bromide 24 was lithiated with tert-BuLi in metal-halogen exchange reaction. Lithiated species was quenched with tris(isopropyl) borate, giving boronic acid 78 in 40% yield.
Scheme 11 Synthesis of molecular rods 78-81 served for the construction of elongated tripods.

Reagents and conditions: (i) tert-BuLi, B(O-iPr)_3, Et_2O; (ii) [Pd(PPh_3)_4], K_2CO_3, toluene, methanol, water; (iii) [Pd(PPh_3)_4], K_2CO_3, THF, water; (iv) bromine, chloroform; (v) [Pd(PPh_3)_4], K_2CO_3, toluene, THF, water.

Subsequently boronic acid 78 was considered to react with 1,4-dihalo benzene to obtain biphenyl precursor 79, since it was reported by Sinclair and co-workers that it is possible to perform Suzuki reaction with diiodinated and dibrominated aryls in the chemoselective manner. Herein however, independently from the applied conditions diiodo species underwent solely double coupling contrary to dibrominated derivative where selectivity was found to be controllable, thus the decision was made to utilize 1,4-dibromobenzene. Regarding this report, Suzuki reaction with boronic acid 78 with large excess (10 fold) of 1,4-dibromobenzene let to successful synthesis of biphenyl component 79, getting it in 35% yield, acceptable for statistical Suzuki reaction. As was discussed before (see the synthesis of compound 58), terphenylenes can be synthesized by applying selective halogenation.
Scheme 12 Synthetic strategy towards thiol terminated para- 83, 85, 87 (left column) and meta-molecules 89, 91, 93 (right column) tower-shaped tripods.

Reagents and conditions: (i) 24, [Pd(PPh₃)₄], K₂CO₃, toluene, ethanol, water; (ii) 79, [Pd(PPh₃)₄], K₂CO₃, toluene, ethanol, water; (iii) 81, [Pd(PPh₃)₄], K₂CO₃, dioxane, water; (iv) AgBF₄, AcCl, 0 °C-RT; (v) 78, [Pd(PPh₃)₄], K₂CO₃, toluene, ethanol, water; (vi) 79, [Pd(PPh₃)₄], K₂CO₃, toluene, ethanol, water; (vii) 81, [Pd(PPh₃)₄], K₂CO₃, dioxane, water.

In spite of above findings, we had to discard iodination protocol due to being non-selective. This reaction is made under acid conditions, which are not compatible with applied thiol-protecting group, rendering it deprotected. To overcome this problem, the attempt of bromination was made, therefore boronic acid 78 was subjected to the reaction with 4-bromobiphenyl providing terphenyl unit 80 in good yield (90%).
Prepared terphenyl 80 was subjected to the following published protocol, with the aim of synthesizing 81. Unfortunately, proposed straightforward attempt of halogenation was unsuccessful showing plenty of side products, as manifested by TLC, thus making the separation difficult. Terphenyl rod-like molecule 81 had to be obtained in an alternative way. By adapting the method, which was discussed in a previous paragraph, 81 was prepared in similar fashion to that described for analogues 59. Thus, boronic acid 78 was coupled with 4,4′-dibromobiphenyl and after SiO₂ purification and recrystallization from hot toluene gave target terphenyl 81 in sufficient 44% yield. As was already mentioned formation of the “molecular towers” built on tripodal skeleton was carried out by using palladium catalyzed cross-coupling reaction. Owing to prior synthesized molecular rods, synthesis of all envisaged thioacetate decorated molecules can be performed, providing all variants in a good yield and is presented in Scheme 12. Hereby, target “tower shaped” molecules were formed within two synthetic steps. For the synthesis of all regioisomeric analogues we exploited known building blocks. Namely, triflate and pinacol boronic ester were employed (both precursors were described detail in paragraph 2.3). The para- terminated “biphenyl tower” was assembled starting from pinacol boronic ester 34 and aryl bromide 24, providing the desired product 82 in moderate 80% yield. The meta- substituted triflate 48 was coupled with 78 to give biphenyl tower 88 in excellent 98% yield. For the latter one we did not apply boronic ester 51 (as we presented for para derivative), because we observed a very little difference in a polarity of applied rod and anticipated product, what did not allow for effective isolation of the desired compound. Please note that was the same problem was encountered for derivatives 62 and 68 (Scheme 9). In order to reach “terphenyl towers”, pinacol boronic ester 34 and 51 were utilized and subjected to the Suzuki reaction with aryl bromide 79 affording expected product 84 in good 80% yield, while separation of 90 proved to be troublesome, and the crude product was used directly in to the next step. Considering this problem for future actions, triflate has to be coupled with the biphenyl rod functionalized with pinacol boronic ester, what can significantly improve purification of the desired product. The last and the longest batch of “molecular towers” was synthesized in the same fashion, starting from corresponding pinacol boronic ester 34 and 48 providing compounds 86 and 92 in acceptable 65% and 75% yield. To convert all synthesized molecular towers into thioacetate terminated derivatives, capable of binding to a gold surface, commonly exploited transprotection protocol was used. Therefore, “biphenyl towers” (n=1) 83, 89 were obtained in a very good 98% and 73% yield. Moreover, “quarterphenyl and terphenyl towers” (n=2
and 3 respectively) were synthesized in similar way, providing expected products 84, 86 (para) and 90, 92 (meta) in acceptable 71%, 64%, yield and 48%, 75% yield respectively.
2.3 Solid state analysis

Figure 37 Solid-state structures of 51 (top), 74 (down) intermediates obtained from library of tripodal molecules.
Table 1 Data extracted from X-ray analysis of 51, and 74.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identification code</th>
<th>51</th>
<th>74</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Empirical formula</strong></td>
<td>C_{46}H_{67}BO_{2}Si_{3}</td>
<td>C_{33}H_{28}O_{3}S_{4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formula weight</strong></td>
<td>843.25</td>
<td>616.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temperature/K</strong></td>
<td>150.15</td>
<td>180.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crystal system</strong></td>
<td>triclinic</td>
<td>tetragonal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Space group</strong></td>
<td>P\bar{T}</td>
<td>I4/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>a/Å</strong></td>
<td>13.4071(13)</td>
<td>20.2681(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b/Å</strong></td>
<td>13.8537(15)</td>
<td>20.2681(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c/Å</strong></td>
<td>14.6265(13)</td>
<td>7.1102(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>α/°</strong></td>
<td>82.533(8)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>β/°</strong></td>
<td>83.494(8)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>γ/°</strong></td>
<td>68.612(8)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume/Å³</strong></td>
<td>2501.8(4)</td>
<td>2920.8(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Z</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ρ_{calc}/g/cm³</strong></td>
<td>1.119</td>
<td>1.403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>μ/mm⁻¹</strong></td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F(000)</strong></td>
<td>908.0</td>
<td>1288.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crystal size/mm³</strong></td>
<td>0.38 × 0.31 × 0.16</td>
<td>0.37 × 0.09 × 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Radiation</strong></td>
<td>MoKα</td>
<td>MoKα</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(λ = 0.71073)</td>
<td>(λ = 0.71073)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2Θ range for data collection/°</strong></td>
<td>3.66 to 49.998</td>
<td>4.02 to 51.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reflections collected</strong></td>
<td>20059</td>
<td>13369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Independent reflections</strong></td>
<td>8302</td>
<td>1375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goodness-of-fit on F²</strong></td>
<td>0.859</td>
<td>1.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å⁻³</strong></td>
<td>1.55-0.57</td>
<td>0.26-0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The growth of all presented crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis was initiated by evaporation from dichloromethane solution. All single crystal X-ray diffraction data are combined and specified in Table 1. We initially hoped to crystallize both thiol protected compounds (2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl, SAc), however their crystallization turned out to be
troublesome - presumably due to alkyl-silicon mediated PGs and non-favorable π-stacking mismatching. Thus, the first building block 51 tends to crystalize in triclinic crystal system in P-1 space group. Presumably, presence of the entire molecule in asymmetric unit and merely 2 molecules per unit cell results in broken symmetry of 51, where all C1-C2 bonds are not uniform ranging from 1.547-1562 Å. Two different short contacts formed between thioether and one of the proton from the methyl group (S1···H44 and S···H43 d = 2.976, 2.881 Å were found respectively), as well as weak interaction from S1···C39 was recognized (d = 3.352 Å). Major part of tetraphenylmethane structures show propeller conformation, being energetically more favored than opposite to V-shaped one, which occurs immensely rare (Δ = 4.5 kcal/mol). Nevertheless, contribution of hydrogen bonding may stabilize the structure and thus favor the latter conformation (Figure 37). Solid state studies of 74 shows that this specific tetraphenylmethane structure crystalized in V-shaped conformation in tetragonal crystal system in I41/a space group. Despite that the unit cell is occupied by 6 molecules, asymmetric unit is filled with ¼ of 74 which has simultaneously equal length of C1-A2 bond (d = 1.550 Å). These arguments match perfectly with high symmetry of perpendicularly assembled tetraphenylmethane 74. Deeper analysis allows to typify hydrogen bonds. These are affected through interactions with the so called para and meta aromatic protons giving C5-H···O1 and C4-H···O1···C4 contact (d = 2.566, 2.881 Å respectively). Noteworthy, the angle between C5 atom of the protruding ring of derivative 51 decorated by pinacol boronic acid, central sp3 C1 atom and S1 atom is found to be 93.85°, whereas analogous value for para terminated species 74 was determined as 113.76°. These findings suggest that fourth aromatic ring of meta species 51 exhibits tilted conformation, while para’s one (74) is perpendicularly oriented. In a crystal structure of the meta isomer 51 one of the sulfur anchored ring is twisted, therefore we had to make an approximation that H40 reflects the location of anchor group upon surface deposition. Distances calculated between sulfur atoms S1-S2 (d = 6.7 Å) as well as S1-H40 (d = 8.464 Å) and S2-H40 (d = 5.944) match very well with the value found over the sequence of physical measurements (vide chapter 3). The length between sulfur atoms for para species 74 was found as 9.459 Å (S1-S2) and 10.252 Å (S2-S3, S1-S3), being considerably larger than for asymmetric meta regioisomer, what is reflected in results of the surface-confined deposition studies.
2.4 Conclusions and outlook

In summary, two regioisomeric tripodal model compounds consisted of a tetraphenylmethane backbone and three acetyl-protected thiol substituents directly mounted on the phenyl ring in either \textit{para}-(33) or \textit{meta}-position (50) have been synthesized and fully characterized. However in order to obtain both modular platforms, diverse synthetic pathways had to be employed due to unexpected hindrance in the key synthetic step for the preparation of \textit{meta}-substituted tripods, so the reaction conditions were varied considerably. Furthermore, the modular syntheses, introducing the protruding functionality in the \textit{para} position of the fourth phenyl ring late in the sequence, enabled the provision not only of the model compounds 33 and 50 exposing a nitrile group, but also tripodal derivatives exposing other functional groups, like for instance aryl bromides, iodides or esters of boronic acid. These are eligible to perform manifold transition-metal-catalyzed coupling reactions leading to a library of functional molecules. Therefore, profiting from available building blocks, we designed and constructed the library of new 14 derivatives to study their charge transport properties. We were interested to compare the conductivity of the basic unit, comprised tetraphenylmethane foots regarding to the length and pendant head group. Hence, apart from the family of nitrile terminated “molecular towers” (61-71), we found the thioacetate decorated “molecular towers” (74-93) to be an ideal candidate for charge transport studies. We are able to synthesize all designed molecules from moderate to very good yield.

Indeed, halogen-terminated platforms seem to be an ideal candidate dedicated for the synthesis of other tailor-made molecules. It would be particularly tempting to have in a hand holder linked with electro-active tail groups, sensitive against light pulse that can play a role of molecular machine on the surface.
3 Characterization of tripods on gold surface

In Chapter 3 were shown all, so far chemisorbed and investigated molecular tripods on gold surfaces and their surface behavior. Results of self-assembly were evaluated upon their deposition on the Au(111). Full characterization of molecular platform on surfaces is presented and discussed with respect to measurements done by means of X-ray based techniques, STM-UHV spectroscopy and surface electrochemistry. Conductive measurements of some of these molecules were examined using STM-BJ experiment.
3.1 SAMs analysis based on X-ray techniques

Self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) formed by tunable molecular tripods, based on tripodal organic ligand, capable of multiple contact with the metal surfaces can be thus employed as molecular spacer, which prevents an interaction between active-tail group, mounted to the top of the tripod and surface. Therefore, in this subchapter physical measurements based on the surface spectroscopy are described in details in order to compare the anchoring behavior of meta- and para-tripodal platforms (50, 33). Target molecules were immobilized onto gold coated silicon wafers to form their SAMs, in order to determine the quality of SAMs formed by 33 and 50 as well as to get more insight into their molecular arrangement on the surface. Applied XPS and NEXEFAS methods were used to determine the percentage population of both tripodal species so as to prove their capability of surface confinement via all three legs (or fewer than is assumed). The measurement of films of 33 and 50 were developed at the University of Heidelberg in group of Prof, Michail Zharnikov and done by Tobias Wächter together with profound interpretation of results.

3.1.1 Preparation of SAMs of 33 and 50

The samples for the spectroscopic characterization were prepared on freshly annealed gold-coated Si(100) wafers (typically 10 × 5 mm) with 5 nm Ti and 100 nm Au. These substrates were purchased from Georg-Albert-PVD, Germany. The substrates were immersed in 0.25 mM solution of meta- (50) or para- (33) tripodal molecules in the mixture of methanol/THF (3:1, v/v) containing 30% of 1M solution of ammonia in methanol as cleaving agent, at room temperature for 48 h. Consequently, samples were used for the further HRXPS and NEXAFS experiments at the synchrotron. Along with the SAMs of the tripodal molecules 33 and 50, monolayers of hexadecanethiol (HDT) and 4′-(pyridin-4-yl)biphenyl-4-yl)methanethiol (PyPP1) were prepared on Au(111) substrate using the literature procedures.291,292 These monolayers were used as references to calculate the effective thickness (HDT) and packing density (HDT and PyPP1) of the triad films. Significantly, the PyPP1 monolayer has a nitrogen atom in the terminal position, similar to the triad films in the proper adsorption geometry. The structure of this monolayer is similar to the basic $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ arrangement in the alkanethiolate SAMs,291 with a packing density close to 4.63 $\times$ 10$^{14}$ molecules/cm$^2$, corresponding to 0.216 nm$^2$/molecule.301 The SAMs of the meta- (50) and para- (33) tripodal molecules were characterized by laboratory X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), high-resolution X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (HRXPS) and near-edge X-ray absorption fine structure (NEXAFS) spectroscopy.
3.1.2 High-resolution X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy

The laboratory XPS measurements were used for a preliminary screening only to optimize the preparation procedure. All experiments were performed at room temperature. The spectroscopic measurements were conducted under UHV conditions at a base pressure $<1.5 \times 10^{-9}$ mbar. Special care was taken to avoid damage induced by X-rays. Laboratory XPS measurements were performed using a Mg Kα X-ray source (1253.6 eV) and a dedicated spectrometer (MAX200, Leybold-Heraeus). The acquisition of spectra was carried out in normal emission geometry with an energy resolution of $\sim$0.9 eV. The X-ray source was operated at a power of 200 W and positioned $\sim$1.5 cm away from the samples. The recorded spectra were normalized to the transmissions function of the spectrometer, and the binding energy scale was referenced to the Au 4f$_{7/2}$ peak of clean gold at 84.0 eV. The HRXPS experiments were performed at the D1011 beamline (bending magnet) at the MAX II storage ring of the MAX-IV synchrotron radiation facility in Lund, Sweden. The spectra were acquired in normal emission geometry at photon energies of 350 and 580 eV, depending on the acquisition range. The energy resolution was better than 100 meV allowing a clear separation of individual spectral components. The binding energy scale was referenced to the Au 4f$_{7/2}$ peak of clean gold at 84.0 eV. XP and HRXP spectra were fitted by symmetric Voigt functions and a Shirley-type background. To fit the S 2p$_{3/2,1/2}$ doublet we used two peaks with the same full width at half-maximum (fwhm), the standard spin-orbit splitting of $\sim$1.18 eV (verified by fit), and a branching ratio of 2 (S2p$_{3/2}$/S2p$_{1/2}$). The fits were performed self-consistently: the same fit parameters were used for identical spectral regions.

HRXPS data were used to calculate the effective thickness of the triad films. A standard procedure was used, based on the C 1s/Au 4f intensity ratio und a SAM (HDT/Au) with the well-defined thickness (1.84 nm) as a reference. Attenuation length values characteristic of densely packed alkanethiolate SAMs on Au(111) were used.

The Au 4f$_{7/2}$, C 1s, S 2p, and N 1s HRXP spectra of the meta- (50) and para- (33) films are presented in Figure 38, along with fitting and decomposition of these spectra by characteristic emissions and doublets. The Au 4f$_{7/2}$ spectrum in Figure 38(a) shows noticeably lower intensity for 33/Au as compared to 50/Au, suggesting a higher film thickness in the former case. Indeed, according to the evaluation of the HRXPS data, the effective thicknesses of the para- (33) and meta- (50) films were estimated at 2.68 and 1.83 nm, respectively. Note that the above thickness difference is much less pronounced in
the C 1s spectra of the tripods’ films in Figure 38(b) due to the strong self-attenuation of the photoemission signal at the given kinetic energy. These spectra are dominated by an intense emission at a binding energy of ~284.7 eV accompanied by a weak shoulder at ~286.5 eV. The dominant emission stems from the aromatic backbone while the shoulder can be assigned to the nitrile carbon. It cannot be excluded however that the shoulder contains a small contribution from CO, at least in the case of 33/Au, where a small signal at ~288.9 eV (COO) is observed as well.

Figure 38 Au 4f\(_{7/2}\) (a), C 1s (b), S 2p (c), and N 1s (d) HRXP spectra of the meta- (50) and para- (33) films acquired at photon energies of either 350 or 580 eV as marked in the panels (open circles). The fitting and decomposition of the spectra is shown (thin red and blue solid lines and thick black solid line), including the respective background (thin black solid lines).

The S 2p XP spectra of the tripod films in Figure 38(e) exhibit two doublets at ~162.0 eV and 163.6-163.9 eV (S 2p\(_{3/2}\)) assigned to the thiolate species bound to noble metal surfaces and disulfide or unbound sulfur, respectively. The relative weights of the both components are distinctly different for 50/Au and 33/Au. Whereas the spectrum of 50/Au is dominated by the thiolate-related doublet (75%), the opposite is the case for 33/Au, where the disulfide/unbound sulfur doublet is the dominant feature (85%).

This suggests that the meta- (50) film represents a monolayer, with most of the terminal -SAc moieties being deprotected and bound to the substrate as the thiolates. The respective signal
is then strongly attenuated by the hydrocarbon overlayer, resulting in its comparably low intensity. In contrast, the \textit{para}-(33) film represents presumably a multilayer, with some unbound sulfur moieties buried not deep in the matrix or even close to the film-ambience interface. Accordingly, the total intensity of the S 2p signal is significantly higher than in the 50/Au case. These findings support the hypothesis of dimer formation in the case of the \textit{para}-(33) submonolayer film prepared on a Au(111) single crystal studied by low temperature UHV STM (see Figures 41(e)/(f)).

The N 1s XP spectra of the tripod films in Figure 38(d) exhibit a single emission at ~399.1 eV assigned to the nitrile nitrogen. The intensity of this emission is much lower in the case of 50/Au as compared to 33/Au suggesting much higher molecular coverage in the latter case, in agreement with the analysis of the S 2p spectra. Significantly, in the case of SAM, the nitrile group is located at the film-ambience interface in the upright adsorption geometry, so that the respective signal is not affected by the attenuation and can be taken as a measure of the surface coverage. With respect to 4′-(pyridin-4-yl)biphenyl-4-yl)methanethiol (PyPP1)/Au, which also has nitrogen in the terminal position (see the Experimental Part), the intensity of the N 1s signal in 50/Au and 33/Au was estimated at ~14% and 59%, respectively. Accordingly, in view of the known parameters of PyPP1/Au, viz. a packing density of $4.63 \times 10^{14}$ molecules/cm$^2$ corresponding to a molecular footprint of 0.216 nm$^2$,\textsuperscript{301,292} the effective packing density of 50/Au and 33/Au could be estimated at $\sim0.65\times10^{14}$ and $\sim2.75\times10^{14}$ molecules/cm$^2$, corresponding to a molecular footprint of $\sim1.5$ nm$^2$ for 50/Au (due to the multilayer character of the sample, an estimation of the molecular footprint for 33/Au is not possible). An alternative evaluation of the effective packing density of 50/Au, based on the S 2p/Au4f intensity ratio and using the well-defined hexadecanethiolate (HDT) SAM on Au(111) as a reference,\textsuperscript{302,303} resulted in an effective packing density of $\sim1.1\times10^{14}$ molecules/cm$^2$ corresponding to a molecular footprint of 0.9 nm$^2$. These values are somewhat different from the N 1s derived ones which is presumably related to the limitations of both evaluation procedures. But, on the other side, the values are not that far from each other, giving a reasonable estimate of the packing density in the SAM-like 50/Au.
3.1.3 NEXAFS spectroscopy

The NEXAFS measurements were performed at same beamline as the HRXPS experiments. The spectral acquisition was carried out at the carbon and nitrogen K-edges in the partial electron yield mode with retarding voltages of −150 and −300 V, respectively. Linear polarized synchrotron light with a polarization factor of ~95% was used. The energy resolution was better than 100 meV. The incidence angle of the primary X-rays was varied from 90° (E-vector in the surface plane) to 20° (E-vector nearly normal to the surface) in steps of 10°-20° to monitor the orientational order within the tripod films. This approach is based on the linear dichroism in X-ray absorption, i.e., the strong dependence of the cross-section of the resonant photoexcitation process on the orientation of the electric field vector of the linearly polarized light with respect to the molecular orbital of interest.\(^{305}\) The raw NEXAFS spectra were normalized to the incident photon flux by division through a spectrum of a clean, freshly sputtered gold sample. The energy scale was referenced to the most intense \(\pi^*\) resonance of highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) at 285.38 eV.\(^{304}\)

NEXAFS spectroscopy provides complementary information about the tripod films, in addition to HRXPS. C and N K-edge NEXAFS spectra of the meta-(50) and para-(33) films acquired at an X-ray incident angle of 55° are presented in Figures 39 and 40, respectively. At this particular orientation, denoted as the "magic angle", the spectra are unaffected by orientational effects and are, therefore, exclusively representative of the electronic structure of unoccupied molecular orbitals.\(^{305}\)

The C K-edge spectra of the tripod films in Figure 39 exhibit the characteristic absorption structure of the phenyl rings. They are dominated by a pronounced absorption resonance at ~285.1 eV (\(\pi_1^*\)), accompanied by several weaker and broader resonances at ~287.6 eV (mixture of R* and C−H*), ~288.4 eV (\(\pi_2^*\)), ~292.5 eV (\(\sigma_{C\cdots C^*}\)), ~297.0 eV (\(\sigma_{C\cdots C^*}\)), and ~305.0 eV (\(\sigma_{C\cdots C^*}\)).\(^{305,306,307}\) The characteristic \(\pi^*\) resonance of the nitrile moiety at ~286.7 eV\(^{298,308}\) can only be traced in the spectrum of 33/Au, as a shoulder at the absorption edge. The resonance at ~288.4 eV can also contain some contributions from the \(\pi^*\) feature of COOH.\(^{305}\)
Figure 39 C K-edge NEXAFS spectra of the meta- (50) and para- (33) films acquired at an X-ray incident angle of 55°. The characteristic absorption resonances are marked.

The C K-edge spectra of the meta- (50) and para- (33) films differ to some extent regarding the intensity and exact shape of the absorption resonances. This difference is not surprising in view of the different coverage and distinctly different character of these films. In particular, a spectrum similar to that of benzene\textsuperscript{305,306} or long-chain thioligophenyl SAMs\textsuperscript{307} can be expected for the multilayer para- (33) film, as indeed observed in Figure 39. In contrast, the $\pi^*$ resonances can be quenched to some extent in the monolayer-like meta- (50) films, as observed in the respective spectrum, similar to the SAMs of phenylthiol on Au(111).\textsuperscript{307} In addition, there can be a featureless-like absorption edge contribution from contamination which is presumably present to some extent in the meta- (50) and para- (33) films but is more pronounced in the former case, because of the lower coverage of the target molecules. Note that, at the ambient conditions, contamination is always present on the surface of Au substrate but is mostly removed upon the efficient self-assembly. The respective "self-cleaning" is, however, presumably less efficient in the case of tripod precursors, due to the comparably weak interaction between the molecular backbones, so that contamination cannot be avoided completely.

Whereas the features associated with the nitrile group are hardly visible in the C K-edge NEXAFS spectra of the tripod films, they are well perceptible in the N K-edge spectra of these films in Figure 40, evidencing the intact character of the adsorbed molecules. Indeed, these spectra are dominated by the characteristic double resonance of benzonitrile at ~398.8 eV and ~399.75 eV. The same feature is observed in the spectra of molecular
benzonitrile in gas phase, adsorbed benzonitrile, and well-defined benzonitrile-terminated SAMs. The appearance of the double resonance stems from the conjugation between the π* orbitals of the nitrile group and the adjacent phenyl ring. The degeneracy of the former orbital is consequently lifted and it splits into two states with different energies, oriented either perpendicular (π1*) or parallel (π3*) to the plane of the adjacent ring. The delocalization of the π1* orbital over the entire benzonitrile moiety leads to the lower intensity of the respective resonance as compared to π3*, corresponding to the orbital localized at the nitrile group.

Figure 40 N K-edge NEXAFS spectra of the meta- (50) and para- (33) films acquired at an X-ray incident angle of 55° (black solid curves), along with the respective difference between the spectra collected under the normal (90°) and grazing (20°) incidence geometry (gray solid curves). The spectra of the meta- (50) films are zoomed by a factor of 5. The characteristic absorption resonances are marked. The horizontal dashed lines correspond to zero.

Along with the identification of the adsorbed species, NEXAFS data provide information of their orientation, based on the linear dichroism in X-ray absorption. The intensity of an absorption resonance is maximal if the orientation of the electric field vector of the linearly polarized light coincides with the transition dipole moment (TDM) of a molecular orbital of interest and is zero if the electric field vector is perpendicular to the TDM. The TDMs of the π1* and π3* orbitals are perpendicular to the axis of the benzonitrile moiety and should be directed parallel to the substrate at the upright orientation of the tripod molecules. This is indeed the case for 50/Au, where the difference between the NEXAFS spectra collected
under the normal (90°) and grazing (20°) incidence geometry exhibit positive peaks at the positions of the π₁* and π₃* resonances (Figure 40), corresponding to the higher intensity at 90° (E || to the surface). In contrast, these peaks are negative in the difference spectrum of 50/Au, corresponding to the higher intensity at 20° (E almost ⊥ to the surface). This suggests a strong molecular inclination in the multilayer para- (33) film (on the average), having probably a certain supramolecular arrangement involving such an inclination.
3.2 STM analysis of spray-deposited tripods

Potential of tetraphenylmethane as a core structure featured with thiol-based anchoring moiety resulted in better control of the spatial arrangement. Stability of molecular platform on the metal surfaces and its specific foot imprint can be determined by UHV-STM experiments. Hence, comprehensive studies dealing with deposition of S-acetyl terminated tripodal architectures, appropriated for Au(111) surface were broadly described and discussed in the current chapter. Indeed, direct deposition methods involving single gold crystals Au (111) allow to gain insight into properties and the binding affinity of the tripods to the gold surface at low temperature. We have strived to deeply analyze all discovered effects even at the single molecule level. All of the STM, low temperature experiments were performed at Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, in the group of Prof. Wulf Wulfhekel emphasizing the way of the samples preparation prior to the deposition technique and their surface behavior under UHV conditions. The experiments were handled by Dr. Lukas Gerhald and master student Jan Homberg. Apart from the profound characterization of the shortest analogues 33, 50 and their surface phenomena on the gold surface, preliminary results upon adsorption of 63, 65 and 67 on metal surfaces are showed and discussed hereafter.

3.2.1 Experiments performed at 77K

Films of the tripodal platforms 33 and 50 for the STM investigation were prepared by immersing a sample of freshly prepared gold on mica in a solution of either meta- (50) or para- (33) tetraphenylmethane derivatives in a mixture of methanol/THF (3:1, v/v) containing 30% of 1 M solution of ammonia in methanol as a cleaving agent, at room temperature for 48 h. After rinsing, the roughness of the prepared films was measured by STM at 77K in UHV. In the case of the para derivative 33, no signal of the tunneling current could be detected, pointing to an insulating multilayer of molecules. In contrast, in the case of the meta derivative 50, STM imaging could be performed, albeit without molecular resolution. Figure 41(a) shows a large-scale STM image of the meta derivative 50 obtained at 77K in UHV. Here, atomic steps of the Au(111) surface can be seen, separating flat terraces of about 150 nm in width. The inset shows a cross section of a monoatomic step with a measured height of 229 pm, close to the expected step value of 235 pm. This clearly indicates 2D growth of the molecular layer. This is in perfect agreement with the HRXPS and CV data, which indicated multilayer adsorption in the case of the para derivative 33 and a well-
ordered monolayer in the case of the meta- derivative 50. However, molecular resolution could not be achieved, presumably owing to contamination on top of the relatively open surface of the tripod layer.

3.2.2 Experiments performed at 5.3 K

Hereby, in order to get insight in the adsorption geometry of the tripods on a well-defined surface, the low-coverage samples of meta- and para- molecules on a clean Au(111) single crystal were prepared. The tripods were deposited by spraying the dissolved molecules through a pulsed valve onto the Au(111) surface and, after an annealing procedure, the sample was cooled to 5.3 K for the UHV STM investigations. The advantage of this method described in detail in our recent publication over the deposition of a droplet lies in the reduced total amount of solution that is deposited. Using higher concentrations of molecules in the deposition solution allows to further reduce the influence of possible contamination of the solvent. We used 0.16 mM (for 50, meta-) and 0.20 mM (for 33, para-) solution in dichloromethane. After deposition, the surface was transferred into the UHV chamber (p < 5 × 10⁻10 mbar) and mildly heated to about 400 K for 60 min in order to remove remnants of the solvent and to promote the deprotection of the acetyl groups masking the three thiol anchor groups, in order to promote the immobilization of the tripodal molecules on the surface. Subsequently low-temperature STM investigations at about 5.3 K were performed in order to characterize the meta- and para- molecules on the Au(111) surface deposited by this method.

As can be seen in Figure 41(b), deposition of a submonolayer amount of para-molecules 33 leads to islands with long-range order and an apparent height of about 500 pm (see Figure 41(c)). The hexagonal order agrees both with the threefold symmetry of the molecule and the underlying lattice. Figure 41(d) shows an enlarged view of a unit cell (marked in black) with a lattice parameter of 3.25 nm and an area of (¾)⁰.⁵ × (3.25 nm)² = 9.16 nm². Assuming that each bright blob corresponds to one molecule, the unit cell of this hexagonal superstructure comprises six molecules, corresponding to a molecular footprint of 1.53 nm². The precise adsorption configuration, however, cannot be inferred from the STM measurements. As will be revealed in the discussion of the HRXPS results, for the film of the para- 33 derivative the disulfide/unbound sulfur doublet in the S 2p XP spectrum is the dominant signal. This indicates the possible formation of dimers (among other adsorbed species) and thus leads to the interpretation of two molecules forming one bright blob in the
STM image and thus to a molecular footprint of 0.77 nm$^2$ for one molecule. After further annealing at 450 K for another 60 min, the molecular islands rearrange and form a slightly more densely packed film (see Figures 41(e)/(f)). Here, the lattice parameter of the unit cell amounts to 3.12 nm corresponding to an area of 8.43 nm$^2$, with the unit cell comprising dimers. Thus, the area occupied per molecule is 0.71 nm$^2$. The precise adsorption configuration, however, cannot be inferred from the STM measurements. In contrast to the *para-* derivative 33, spray deposition of the *meta-* derivative 50 never led to ordered molecular films or islands. Instead, these molecules preferably adsorb along the step edges of the gold substrate as can be seen in Figure 41(g). We propose an array of identically adsorbed *meta-* derivatives equally spaced with a periodicity of 1.15 nm (see enlarged view Figure 41(h)). From the periodicity of 1.15 nm, we can estimate the molecular footprint as the area of an equilateral triangle to $\frac{1}{4} \times (1.15 \text{ nm})^2 \times (3)^{0.5} = 0.57 \text{ nm}^2$. This model is supported by the high resolution image of a single molecule shown in Figure 41(i) and intuitively agrees with the assumption of dimer formation and a slightly larger footprint of 0.77 nm$^2$ in the case of the *para-* derivative 33. Here the molecule can be identified by two brighter lobes directed towards the gold step edge and a third lobe that is slightly darker. The head group appears as a brighter protrusion in the center that jumps from the left to the right during the scan with the tip close to the sample.

In summary, the low temperature UHV studies demonstrate that both tetraphenylmethane derivatives 33 and 50 can be deposited in sub-monolayer concentration by “spraying” techniques, and after an annealing procedure, both derivatives form considerably different ordered molecular assemblies, with large islands for the *para-* derivative 33, and rows at the step edges for the *meta-* molecule 50. While the dimensions of the structures’ subunits match with the molecules’ sizes and corroborate the molecular origin of the structures, the investigations do not allow conclusions about the precise arrangement of the individual molecule on the substrate. While the observed patterns match with tripodal structures immobilized with all three anchor groups, the experiments are not able to proof this hypothesis as the tetrahedral shape of the model compounds allows for various arrangements which is beyond the resolution of the experiment.
Figure 41 (a) Large scale overview of the SAM of *meta*-molecule 50 (77 K). The inset shows the cross section along the white dashed line with a step height of 230 pm. (b) Ordered island of *para*-molecules 33 deposited on clean Au(111) by spray deposition. (c) Cross section along the white line in (b). (d) Enlarged view of the area marked in (b) with the unit cell marked in black. (e) Well-ordered arrangement of *para*-molecules 33 after prolonged heating. (f) Enlarged view of the area marked in (e) with the unit cell marked in black. (g) *Meta*-molecules 50 lined-up at the step edges of the Au(111) substrate. (h)/(i) Enlarged view of the area marked in (g)/(h) with the proposed adsorption model of the *meta*-molecule superimposed to scale. Tunneling parameters: (b)/(d): 2 V, 5 pA, (e)/(f): 2 V, 10 pA, (g)/(h): 2 V, 20 pA, (i): 0.05 V, Feedback off.

3.2.3 Switchable molecules of the *para*-decorated tripodal 33 on the surface

Reversible switching of a molecule between two or more stable states is a phenomenon that is particularly interesting with regard to possible future applications in molecular electronics. In this work tetraphenylmethane molecules deposited on a Au(111) surface were studied at a low temperature. By using the tip of our scanning tunneling microscope, we were able to induce transitions between six different metastable conformational states noticed for 33. An elaborate analysis of this switching behavior allows us to propose a well-founded model of the adsorption geometry and the conformational switching mechanism.
Here we present a low-temperature scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) study of tetraphenylmethane derivative 33 deposited on an Au(111) surface. These molecules intrinsically have a high number of degrees of freedom due to their four C-C single bonds. Adsorbed on the surface, six different metastable conformers were found and we managed to induce fully reversible transition between these states. On the basis of a detailed study of adsorption configuration and the observed switching behavior, we developed a model that explains the transitions between the six different conformational states. We were able to identify different critical parameters that influence the energy of the different states, which emphasizes the importance of the local environment of the molecule on its behavior.

**Figure 42** (a) Constant current STM of single tetraphenylmethane molecules adsorbed on a Au (111) surface. (b) Scheme showing the six existing different orientations

We deposited a tetraphenylmethane-based molecule 33 shown in Figure 42(a) onto a clean Au(111) single crystal using a spray deposition method. The sample was then transferred into our ultra-high vacuum chamber and annealed to 150°C for about 60 min in order to promote deprotection of the thiol legs and proper adsorption of the molecules. Besides, the amount of possible contamination or solvent remnants is reduced. All STM experiments presented were carried out at 5 K. Figure 42(b) shows a typical STM of four isolated molecules apparently adsorbed in different orientations but having the identical triangular shape with one edge being brighter than the other two. For all molecules observed in our experiments, only six different orientations with respect to the Au(111) lattice were found as is depicted in Figure 42(b). The probability to find a molecule in one of the six different states depends on the adsorption site. While on the fcc areas of the reconstructed Au(111) surface, the orientation with the molecular triangle pointing to the right (states 2, 4, 6 in Figure. 42(b)) is more likely (N(246)/N(135) = 172/42 = 4.1), on the hcp domains the molecular triangle is found more often (N(246)/N(135) = 30/68 = 0.44) to point to the left.
(states 1, 3, 5 in Figure 42(b)). As fcc and hcp areas only differ in their subsurface layer, this indicates that the molecule interacts with the second layer of the substrate. Together with the fact that the molecules are isolated and randomly distributed even after the annealing process, this indicates that there is only one well-defined strongly bonded adsorption configuration of the molecule. We therefore assume that the molecule is bonded to the Au(111) surface with all its three thiol groups. Before we can discuss possible adsorption scenarios in detail, we shall present the switching behavior. In the presence of the STM tip and at sufficiently high bias voltage and set point current, the molecules show transitions between the different orientations. One typical series of images of the same two molecules is shown in Figure 49(a). These images were recorded at a voltage of 120 mV and set point current of 50 pA. In between two images one scan at a voltage of 700 mV was performed in order to induce transitions. It can be seen that both molecules seem to rotate by 120° around the center of the triangle, which is equivalent to the bright protrusion switching from one edge to another. Rarely, rotations of 60° or 180° can be observed. A displacement of the molecule with respect to the surface in the sense of classical diffusion has never been observed. Therefore, a real rotation of the molecule including breaking of all three thiol-gold (S-Au) bonds at the same time can safely be excluded. Further, a rotation around one or two of its thiol-gold (S-Au) can be excluded as this would also involve a certain displacement of the molecular triangle which would have easily been seen in STM. This switching can not only be induced by scanning above the molecule but also with the STM tip held at a fixed position. The corresponding time trace of the tunneling current shown in Figure 49(b) exhibits six different plateaus which represent the six different orientations of the molecule. Altogether, this leads us to the assumption that the observed transitions are based on an internal reconfiguration of the molecule and all three thiol-gold (S-Au) bonds remain fixed to the substrate.

The threefold switching that occurs more frequently and does not change the perimeter of the molecular triangle is obviously related to a rotation by 120° of the molecular head group that is pointing upwards at an angle of about 80°. The three metastable states are then defined by the positions of the three phenyl groups attached to the substrate. The explanation of the apparent rotation by 60° or 180° is less obvious: we assume a chiral configuration of the three phenyl rings pointing to the surface that is induced by steric hindrance of neighboring phenyl groups. There are two possibilities for this configuration, corresponding to right and left-handed helicity. Then there are for each ring two carbon atoms that are closer to the surface and two that are farther away. In this picture, the three bright protrusions that form the molecular triangle are then constituted by the upper parts of
the phenyl rings rather than by the centers of the phenyl rings as might intuitively be expected. This scenario is depicted in Figure 43(a). Then an apparent rotation by 60° can straightforwardly be explained by a tilt of the phenyl rings around their single bonds towards the sulfur and towards the central $sp^3$-hybridized carbon atom, thereby switching the chirality of the adsorbed tetraphenylmethane.

**Figure 43** (a) constant current images recorded at $U = 120$ mV and $I = \mu$A, with a scan at 700 mV in between two images. (b) Current trace at fixed tip position with the six different current levels shown in color.

The six different states, in particular the two different chiralities, are not equal in energy, and a clear difference between the molecules 33 adsorbed on fcc domains and those adsorbed on hcp domains can be observed. This is represented in the switching statistics of individual molecules, (see Figure 43) and is also seen in the statistics of the initial adsorption configuration mentioned above. Besides, it can be seen that molecules adsorbed on elbow sites of the herringbone reconstruction do not show any transitions (see red crosses in Figure 43). Boltzmann statistics allows to relate the measured population ratios to thermal energy.
\[ k_B T = 0.42 \text{meV} @ 5K \]

\[ \Delta E = k_B T \cdot \ln \frac{N(2,4,6)}{N(1,3,5)} \]

With this, we get energy differences of

\[ \Delta E_{fcc} = E_{fcc}^{1,3,5} - E_{fcc}^{2,4,6} = 0.11 \text{ to } 0.68 \text{meV} \]

and

\[ \Delta E_{hcp} = E_{hcp}^{1,3,5} - E_{hcp}^{2,4,6} = -0.22 \text{ to } -0.48 \text{meV} \]

This makes the adsorption configuration of the molecules a sensitive indicator of the stacking order of the underlying substrate. Interestingly, the chirality also depends on neighboring molecules. After analyzing a series of about 2000 scans of the two molecules presented in Figure 42(a), we found ratios of N(246):N(135) = 85:15, 86:14 and 87:13 for the second molecule when the first molecule is in state 1, 3, and 5, respectively. Ratios of 80:20, 80:20, and 78:22 are found for the second molecule with the first molecule being in state 2, 4, and 6, respectively.

**Figure 44** Polar graphs representing the population of the six different states of each molecules from a series of 420 scans at U = 120 mV and I = 50 pA, a can at 700 mV in between two images. Molecules adsorbed on fcc areas are shown in white, those adsorbed on hcp areas shown in green. The image is rotated by 60o for convenience
This is equivalent to an increase of the energy difference $\Delta E_{mol} = E_{mol}^{1,3,5} - E_{mol}^{2,4,6}$ by 35% from 0.56 to 0.75 meV. Although this effect is superimposed by the dominant influence of the stacking of the underlying substrate, this experiment shows that the chiralities of neighboring molecules (33) are coupled and underlines the importance of the local environment of a molecule on its behavior.\textsuperscript{315}

Considering these findings, we can discuss the adsorption configuration in detail. Six apparent orientations of the adsorbed molecules 33 can be observed. Each molecule can be switched between the same six orientations without diffusion as discussed above.

\textbf{Figure 45} (a) Supposed adsorption configuration with semitransparent STM topography superimposed to scale (b-f) Possible orientations of the molecule with respect to the Au(111) lattice as discussed in the text

This suggests that the molecule 33 has only one adsorption configuration with lowest energy and is centered on a high-symmetry position of the Au(111) lattice (see Figure 45(a) and (b)). Then, the three legs of the molecule were aligned with the mirror planes of the substrate lattice and the two helicities of a particular molecule would have same energy, which is in contradiction to our observations that three of the six states are preferred over the other three. Furthermore, in this case the edges of the triangle would correspond to the centers of the phenyl rings in order to fit to the apparent orientations and the observed switching by angles of 60° or 180° could not be explained. Therefore we exclude the models depicted in Figure 45 (a) and (b) and suppose an alignment of the legs of the molecule with the [110] directions of the surface depicted in Figure 45 (c) and (d). In general, sulfur adsorbs preferentially on threefold hollow sites\textsuperscript{316,317} although it might depend on the bond angle.\textsuperscript{318} Thus, we focus on the possible configuration depicted in (d) where the sulfur atoms bind to fcc sites. Then, our
findings described above can be explained as follows: The two helicities of a particular molecule differ in energy as the three phenyl rings touch the surface at two different lattice sites depending on the helicity.

**Figure 46** (a) Distribution of the measured residence time of the statistic switching. (b) Statistic switching as a function of the setpoint current at the voltage of 400 mV. (c) Current time traces as a function of the applied bias voltage at fixed z position. (d) Switching rate as a function of the applied voltage. (e) Tracking curve of the STM tip following the molecular head group
For the molecule depicted in Figure 45 (d) that is adsorbed on an fcc domain of the surface a configuration with the phenyl rings touching the hollow sides forms a left-handed thread. The same adsorption configuration (that is the sulfur atoms are sitting on fcc sites and the phenyl rings are touching the surface on hollow sites) but on a hcp domain of the substrate, the molecule forms a ride-handed thread (see Fig. 45 e)). Figure 45 (f) shows the molecular configuration overlaid with the corresponding STM image. The three possible states that can be accessed by rotation of 120° of the molecular head group are indicated by solid (dotted) black circles in f (h), the three states that result from a change in helicity by a collective flip of the phenyl rings are indicated as dotted (solid) red circles. The mirrored adsorption orientations of f) and h) are energetically equivalent and result in the same apparent orientation but the opposite helicity as is depicted in Figure 45 (g) and (i). This means that the observed imbalance in the distribution of the apparent orientation for fcc and hcp domains of the substrate does not comprise an imbalance of the helicities of the adsorbed molecules. However, an apparent rotation of 60° or 180° of a particular molecule implies a change in helicity.

In the following, we further analyze the switching process. To this end, the residence times of the molecule 33 in one of the states were plotted as is shown in Figure 46(a) and fitted with an exponential decay. From this, the mean lifetime of a state and its inverse, the transition rate, can be determined. This transition rate was then determined as a function of the tunneling current with the bias voltage set at 0.4 V. This dependency is shown in Figure 46(b) in double logarithmic plot. The transition rate increases with the increasing current, and a fit to a power law (red line in Figure 46b)) gives an exponent of 0.25. An inelastic single electron process would be characterized by an exponent close to 1.319 The voltage dependence of the switching is shown in Figure 46 as a single current time trace. Obviously, at low voltages no switching takes place and higher voltages of both positive and negative polarity lead to higher transition rates. The value of the threshold voltage above which switching occurs is determined from a fit to the switching rate measured as a function of the voltage (see Figure 46d)). We chose a logistic growth function multiplied by a linear term which reproduces the observed onset of the switching rate and the further increase for even higher voltages. With this we get a threshold voltage of 430 mV. So far, our discussion focused on the switching frequency, but it neglected the switching direction although this system with its rotational transitions suggests itself as molecular motor. Therefore we recorded the sense of rotation of the switching by making use of a built-in function of our
scanning electronics named atom tracking. In doing so, the tip oscillates laterally above the molecule following the position of maximum apparent height, which allows to track the rotational-like switching and to distinguish the six different states as is shown in Figure 46(e). We indeed find a slightly preferred direction of rotation which, however, does not depend on the chirality or the polarity of the applied bias. Therefore, we think that it is simply related to the circular modulation of the tip in the atom tracking mode.

3.2.4 Surface deposition of towers-shaped molecules 61, 63, 65

Hereafter, surface properties of the first batch of elongated, symmetric tripods, decorated by nitrile on the top of each nanostructure are revealed (33, 61, 63, and 65 respectively). Thus, a self-assembled template of tetraphenylmethane-based variants on a Au(111) surface which feature an acetyl protected thiol anchoring group that sticks out of the molecular film are presented. Using the tip of a scanning tunneling microscope, this acetyl group can be removed in a controlled way without destroying the remaining molecule. The modified molecule can readily be distinguished from the original ones such that information can be engraved in the molecular film. We show that the mesh size of this molecular graph paper can be tuned by varying the length of the molecular spacer group so that writing and reading information on the nanoscale with variable letter size is possible.

Here, low-temperature STM study of specifically designed tetraphenylmethane-based molecules 33, 61, 63, 65 deposited on a Au(111) surface which arrange in regular patterns where the mesh size is controlled by the length of the molecular spacer group. This spacer group consists of a \(n\)-phenyl rod (where \(n\) is represented by bi-, ter-, and quarterphenyl) with a terminal nitrile group as specific marker dedicated for the tip of STM. We show that one of thioacetate-based anchoring groups of the tripod is protruding perpendicular to the surface. It can be thus cleaved by application of voltage pulses with the STM tip positioned above the acetyl protected thiol group. The molecules upon thiol deprotection appear darker in STM which allows an easy identification and opens up the possibility of writing information on the nanoscale with a predefined spacing of the mesh. The contrast of this molecular graph paper is based on the cleavage of bond between thiol and acetyl protecting group and thus it is very likely to persist up at room temperature conditions.

Four derivatives of tetraphenylmethane-based molecular tripods 33, 61, 63, 65 were deposited from a dichloromethane solution that was sprayed onto clean Au(111) surfaces as described previously.\(^3\)\(^2\)\(^0\) In order to promote proper arrangement in regular patterns, the samples were then transferred into the ultra-high vacuum (UHV) chamber, mildly annealed to
165 °C and transferred in situ to the STM chamber. All experiments were carried out at 5.3 K. All four variants arrange in regular islands with almost square-like unit cells (see Figure 47 (a)) and an apparent height of 520 pm. As can be seen in close-up STM images (column a2-e2 in Figure 47), the appearance of this brightest spot in the unit cell can take three different basic motifs (and their mirrored counterparts) while all four variants show the very same three motifs. The size of the unit cell varies with the number of phenyl rings in the spacer and is indicated by a black rhomboid in the columns a3/b3 and a4/b4 in Figure 47. One side of the unit cell is aligned parallel to a closed-packed direction of the Au(111) lattice in all four cases (Figure 47 a3-d3). We propose the following adsorption model which is supported by superposition of our STM measurement with the molecular models and which assumes a molecular film that is commensurate with the Au(111) lattice (see Figure 47, column a4-e4): The brightest spot within the unit cell can be identified with the protruding acetyl group. More precisely, it is formed by two acetyl groups of two molecules aligned back to back (see Figure 47, columns a3-e3, a4-e4). The spacing between two bright blobs is then given by the length of the spacer group.
Figure 47 Configuration of adsorption of the tetraphenylmethane variants with different spacer groups: (a) monophenyl 33, (b) biphenyl 61, (c) terphenyl 63, (d-e) quaterphenyl 65. The first column shows a large scale overview image, column 2 shows 7x7 nm images with the motifs of individual acetyl groups of the corresponding variant, column 3 and 4 show 5x5 nm STM images with the molecular models superimposed to scale and the corresponding model of the Au(111). The size of the unit cell is given in fourth column a4-e4.
Figure 48 (a) Writing “K” in negative contrast on a 12 x 12 nm extract of tetraphenylmethane molecules 61 with a biphenyl spacer group. (b) Molecular Graph Paper (MGP), written on quaterphenyl derivatives 65. (c), (d) “KIT” written on an array of the quaterphenyl/biphenyl variants (65/61). The width of both images is 17 nm. e) Island of terphenyl derivatives after heating to 200°C.

As the acetyl groups are pointing away from the surface, they can be freely addressed with the STM tip. Because this part of the molecule is decoupled from the metal surface, it is particularly suited for electron-induced single molecule chemistry. It is known that in thiol-based molecules which are lying flat on a metal substrate, voltage pulses from the STM tip can trigger breaking of the bond between the thiol and its acetyl protecting group. We show that also freestanding acetyl groups can be removed by selective bond dissociation with voltage pulses of -3 V applied to the STM tip. This process is highly reproducible such that the self-assembled molecular layers can be modified molecule by molecule. Figure 48(a) shows a sequence of four images of the very same area of a layer of the tetraphenylmethane variant 61 with a biphenyl spacer group. Step by step, acetyl groups are removed in order to print a negative of the letter “K”. Clearly, the effect is highly localized such that indeed individual molecules can be addressed without influencing their neighbors. The self-assembled template functions as a molecular graph paper (MGP) that allows us to write information on the nanoscale in a well-controlled manner as is shown in Figure 48(b) writing “MGP”. The grid spacing of the molecular graph paper depends on the length of the spacer group of the involved tetraphenylmethane variant. Figure 48(c,d) show the initials of our university KIT, written on quaterphenyl derivative 65 (Figure 48(c), grid
spacing 1.77 x 2.25 nm²) and on biphenyl derivative 61 (Figure 48(d), grid spacing 1.47 x 1.78 nm²). This chemical modification of the molecular pattern is highly stable and heating of the sample to temperatures of 200°C removes the acetyl groups only at the borders of the extended islands (see Figure 48(e)). Within the islands, the acetyl groups still remain, which indicates that the chemical contrast that we rely on to write on the molecular graph paper is likely to withstand room temperature without degradation.
3.3 Surface electrochemistry

Self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) consisted of the redox-active molecular platform, with well-defined geometry and the distance between active-tail group as well as gold surface serves a great opportunity to be explored by electrochemical techniques. Therefore, characteristic of regioisomeric tripods 33 and 50 can be carried out. Rate of determined electron transfer is directly attributed to the number of molecular feet, which are fixed to the gold surface. It can be thus proven whether tripods are adsorbed via all three either less contacts than expected. Cyclic voltammetry experiments were done by Dr. Viliam Kolivoška at the J. Heyrovský Institute of Physical Chemistry, Czech Academy of Science.

For electrochemical desorption studies, the monolayers of meta- 50 and para-derivative 33 were prepared on gold bead electrodes (area 0.17 – 0.21 cm²) by deposition from 0.2 mM solution in ethanol containing triethylamine (10% v/v ratio) at 60 °C for 16 hours. Subsequently, the electrodes were copiously rinsed by pure ethanol. Cyclic voltammograms were measured using Potenciostat/Galvanostat PGSTAT12 at v = 0.10 V/s. Desorption was performed in 0.5 M NaOH in ultrapure water in a three-electrode system containing bead gold working electrode in a hanging meniscus arrangement and pseudo-reference and auxiliary (both gold) electrodes.

Chemisorbed meta- (50) and para- (33) molecules were desorbed from gold substrate at negative applied potential during the cathodic voltammetric scan giving a corresponding desorption peak (see Figure 49). Integration of the electric current gives the electric charge $Q$, which according to the Equation 1 provides information on the surface concentration of the adsorbed molecules $\Gamma$.

$$\Gamma = \frac{(Q - Q_{dl})}{nFA}$$

For the meta- (50) derivative, assuming negligible double-layer charging current ($Q_{dl} = 0$) and the number of transferred electrons $n$ equal to three (one for each thiolate anchor), one obtains a value of $(4.9 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-10}$ mol/cm² for the surface concentration $\Gamma$. This represents $(3.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{14}$ molecules/cm² and leads to the area occupied by one molecule equal to $3.4 \times 10^{-15}$ cm²/molecule. This value is in good agreement with that obtained from an STM image of individual molecules $(5.7 \times 10^{-15}$ cm²/molecule) as well as with the area of a triangle made by three outer para- hydrogen atoms H17, H28, H39 $(3.2 \times 10^{-15}$ cm²/molecule) of the similar meta- derivative 51 obtained from single-crystal X-ray diffraction (for more detail of X-ray data see paragraph 2.3) and would provide even better agreement once the value of the double layer charge $Q_{dl}$ is known and taken into account. In
In any case, the above result unequivocally confirms that *meta-* (50) is anchored to the gold substrate via three thiolate bonds forming a chemisorbed compact monolayer (consistent with STM images in Figure 41(a)). This finding is crucial if the well-ordered SAMs of *meta-* (50) tripods were to be used in further applications.

Integration of the total voltammetric response obtained upon the desorption of the *para-* (33) derivative led to the surface concentration value of \((5.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-10}\) mol/cm\(^2\) or \((3.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{14}\) molecules/cm\(^2\), which is slightly higher than the value obtained for *meta-* (50) derivative \((3.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{14}\) molecules/cm\(^2\) using the same assumptions for the \(\Gamma\) calculation. This value is in reasonable agreement with that obtained from the HRXPS measurements (~2.7 \times 10^{14}\) molecules/cm\(^2\)). Moreover, a small voltammetric prewave at -0.85 V was reproducibly observed during desorption of the *para-* (33) derivative (Figure 49). This could indicate the presence of an additional, physically adsorbed adlayer on the chemisorbed *para-* (33) monolayer, but more likely desorption of the disulfide dimers of the *para-* (33) bound to the gold surface by free thiol(s). At this point we cannot tell how many electrons are being transferred during desorption of the dimer and thus provide additional information on the composition of the film from the desorption data.

**Figure 49** Cyclic voltammograms of *meta-* (50) (left) and *para-* (33) (right) self-assembled monolayer on gold electrode in 0.5 M NaOH aqueous electrolyte scan rate 0.10 V/s (black curves). Grey curves show the response of the bare gold electrode (i.e. with no adlayer) under otherwise the same conditions.
3.4 Preliminary results of STM-BJ measurements on the series of tower-shaped molecules.

As we have already mentioned, regarding to the position of anchoring groups, mounted to the skeleton of tetr phenylmethane platform and exposed tail group, tripods can exhibit variable values of one specific feature such as for instance charge transport (CT). Therefore, conductivity of molecular tripods can be studied by subjecting individual molecules between two metallic electrodes. It allows to form single molecular junctions to determine the impact of molecular structure (geometry, symmetry, nature of anchoring groups) on the charge transport mechanism and its value. Thus, STM-BJ experiments were performed investigating whole array of tripods terminated either by nitrile or thioacetate head groups on the top of each analyzed platform functionalized with anchoring groups in a meta- and para- positon as well. Measurements were successfully performed at the J. Heyrovský Institute of Physical Chemistry, Czech Academy of Science in the group of Dr. Magdaléna Hromadová, whereas all experiments were done by Dr. Viliam Kolivoška.

Single molecule conductance was measured using an original STM tubular scanner Agilent 5500 Scanning Probe Microscope with an in-house implemented current-to-voltage converter circuit with a wide dynamic range.\(^{323}\) Current-time curves were converted to conductance-distance curves and corresponding histograms using a combination of an in-house developed software\(^{324}\) and OriginPro were constructed. Logarithmic bin size of 0.005 was used for the construction of 1D histograms of \(\log(G/G_0)\) values from the original data without any selection, where \(G\) is the conductance, presented in units of quantum conductance \(G_0 = 77.5 \mu S\).\(^{325}\) To get more insight into charge transport characteristic for whole library of synthesized tripods the solution of each molecules in mesitylene was prepared, ranging from 0.2 mM concentration for all nitrile terminated species, while for thioacetate terminated molecules the diluted solution for these molecules was done (0.01 mM), because of formed multilayers at the substrate/mesitylene interface (if \(c = 0.2\) mM).

Hereafter, single molecule conductance measurements based on nitrile and -SAc decorated molecular platforms are presented. Demonstrated findings are taken up from STM-BJ accurate studies, involving 16 tetr phenylmethane derivatives differ from each other through the nature head groups (one batch contains nitrile, the second SAc featured terminus). Experimental results are assisted and exclusively corroborated by DFT calculations.
Figure 50 shows 1D, 2D and plateau length histogram of the junctions containing molecules 33, 61, 63, 65, serving as a representative example of nitrile terminated molecules. All nitrile terminated molecules showed two molecular conductance features (denoted as $G_H$ - the highest conductance and $G_L$ - the lowest conductance). In the 2D histogram, the $G_H$ feature shows up as a highly inclined region of increased data density. It stems from the dominant contribution of the solvent through tunnelling in early stages of the molecular junction evolution, as already observed for other nitrile terminated molecules reported in the literature.\(^{41}\) The $G_H$ feature is followed by the $G_L$ feature, being less inclined and considered as the true molecular conductance signature. In 1D histograms, the two features manifest themselves as regions with increased data density, allowing average molecular conductance values and distributions to be extracted. Quantized maxima appearing at $\log(G/G_0) \geq 0$ reflect the existence of gold-gold nanocontacts between the two electrodes, known to precede the molecular junction formation. Increased data density below $\log(G/G_0) = -6$ pertains to the noise level of the experimental setup. It is recorded upon breaking of the molecular junction in its $G_L$ state. The data in the 2D histograms were further employed to investigate the stability of molecular junctions by means of the analysis based on molecular plateau length histograms. The latter are constructed by plotting the data density along the $\Delta z$ scale at a certain conductance value between molecular conductance features and the noise level. The common value of $\log(G/G_0) = -5.5$ was chosen for all nitrile terminated compounds (see dashed line in the 2D histogram in Figure 50, middle panel). For all para nitrile terminated molecules (para- 33, 61, 63, 65), found $\Delta z$ distributions could be fitted by two maxima, denoted as $\Delta z^0$ and $\Delta z^*$ in Figure 50, right panel. The former maximum reflects the existence of measurement cycles, in which no molecules were trapped between the electrodes in the course of the junction evolution and is therefore not considered in further analysis. The latter maximum pertains to molecular junctions and is considered further. The determination of the absolute experimentally obtained molecular junction length $\Delta z_{exp}$, taken as a measure of the molecular junction stability, requires the snap-back correction of the distance scale to be performed. This correction is needed due to the plastic character of the junction deformation that occurs upon breaking of the last gold-gold atomic contact. In particular, the correction term $z_{corr} = 0.4$ nm needs to be added to the $\Delta z^*$ value, obtaining the value of $\Delta z_{exp}$.\(^{41}\)
Figure 50 1D (left panel), 2D (middle panel) and molecular plateau length (right panel) histogram obtained for junctions containing the molecule of 33, 61, 63, 65.

While, Figure 51 shows the molecular junction length as a function of the number of repeating phenylenes units ($n$) constructed for meta- (left panel) and para- (middle panel) nitrile terminated molecules. For the shortest homolog of the meta- series, the $G_L$ value is in a very good agreement with theoretically predicted junction conductance ($G_T$). The corresponding molecular junction configuration considered in the theoretical calculations is shown in the right panel of Figure 51. $G_T$ values of all molecules (both nitrile and thioacetate terminated) were obtained by density functional theory approach considering the electron tunnelling as the charge transport mechanism. The theoretical value obtained for the shortest member of the para- series is quite off trend set by $G_T$ values of longer molecules.
Molecular conductance as a function of $n$ shown for meta- 50, 67, 69, 71 (left panel) and para- 33, 61, 63, 65 (middle panel) series of nitrile terminated molecules. Right panel shows molecular junction of meta- 50 as an example considered in the theoretical calculations.

This is most likely due to the proximity of molecular orbitals within theoretical calculation, causing an overestimation of the $G_T$ value. Importantly, for $n \geq 2$ in both series, experimentally obtained molecular conductance values are systematically higher than theoretical predictions, which follow a clear exponential decay. The latter is a signature of electron tunnelling mechanism. Interestingly, the values of $G_H$ and $G_L$ are virtually independent of $n$. This independence indicates that the electron hopping might be the dominant mechanism of charge transport through the molecules. To confirm the latter, temperature resolved conductance measurements were carried out. However, they showed that the junction conductance is independent of temperature for both series of nitrile terminated molecules (see Figure 52), ruling out the possibility of the electron hopping.
Figure 52 Molecular conductance as a function of temperature for *meta* - 69 (left panel) and *para* - 63 (right panel). For both molecules any relation between increasing of temperature and the increment of conductivity was found. This trend is common attribute of electron tunneling through the whole molecule.

The electron tunnelling is most likely the operative charge transport mechanism, despite the length independence of the molecular conductance. Molecular junctions attain only relatively high conductance states that break thermally and fully elongated molecular junctions are never reached. This is corroborated by the fact that experimentally obtained molecular junction length values ($\Delta z_{exp}$) are (for $n \geq 2$) systematically lower than corresponding theoretical predictions ($L_m$) (Figure 53). Nevertheless, $\Delta z_{exp}$ values slightly increase with increasing $n$ (the effect being more pronounced for *meta* - molecules), confirming that the principal molecular axis is involved in the charge transport through the molecule.

Figure 53 Theoretically predicted ($L_m$) and experimentally obtained ($\Delta z_{exp}$) molecular junction length as a function of $n$ shown for *meta* - (left panel) and *para* - (right panel) series of nitrile terminated molecules 50, 67, 69, 71 (meta) and 33, 61, 63, 65 (para).

Figure 54 shows 1D (left panels), 2D (middle panels) and molecular plateau length (right
panels) histogram for the phenyl (n = 1, upper panels) and the quaterphenyl (n = 4, lower panels) member of the meta- molecules terminated by the thioacetate group at the top. The shortest member of the series shows only one conductance feature (G_H), while all longer three homologues (n = 2) show an additional feature at lower conductance values (G_L). The latter is assigned to the charge transport through the top thiol group. The G_H feature most likely stems from the charge transport via arms of the tripod. The same conclusions were drawn for the para- series of thiol terminated molecules (data not shown). For molecules possessing meta-tripodal platforms the experimentally obtained values of G_L are in a very good agreement with theoretical predictions (Figure. 55, left panel). Both G_L and G_T values decrease exponentially with molecular length, confirming the operation of the electron tunneling through the molecule. For para- tripod based molecules, the G_L and G_T values differ by one to two orders of magnitude, but the same data trend may be noticed. While theoretically predicted junction conductance decreases strictly exponentially with the number of repeating units, certain scattering in the experimental data may be noticed. This is most likely caused by higher variation of geometric configurations of para- tripod attached to the gold electrode compared to the meta- tripod. Variation of configurations possibly decreases the electronic coupling between the molecule and the electrode, which may explain lower experimentally found conductance values compared to theoretical predictions.
Figure 54 1D (left panels), 2D (middle panels) and molecular plateau length (right panels) histogram for the shortest phenyl (n = 1, first raw), biphenyl (n = 2, second raw), quaterphenyl (n = 3, first raw) and the quaterphenyl (n = 4, fourth raw) member of the meta-molecules terminated by the thiol group at the top.
The independence of $G_H$ values on $n$ indicates that this feature is indeed related to the charge transport through the arms of the tripod. Such molecular junction configurations were observed in early stages of their evolution (for molecules with $n \geq 2$) and were followed by configurations corresponding to the $G_L$ region, where the charge transport through the top thiol group takes place.

**Figure 55** Molecular conductance as a function of $n$ shown for meta- 77, 89, 91, 93 (left panel) and para- 74, 83, 85, 87 (right panel) series of thiol terminated molecules. Right panel shows molecular junction of meta-77 as an example considered in the theoretical calculations.

**Figure 56** Theoretically predicted ($L_m$) and experimentally obtained ($\Delta z_{\text{exp}}$) molecular junction length as a function of $n$ shown for meta- 77, 89, 91, 93 (left panel) and para- 74, 83, 85, 87 (right panel) series of thiol terminated molecules.

For both meta- and para- thiol terminated molecules, theoretically predicted and experimentally obtained molecular junction length values are in a very good agreement, as demonstrated in Figure 56. This confirms that the top thiol group is involved in the charge transport through the molecule, in junction configurations corresponding to the $G_L$ state. Unlike nitrile terminated molecules, fully elongated molecular junctions are formed and broken by force exerted by the tip during its retraction.
3.5 Conclusions

Self-assembly behavior of the modular platforms presented in the chapter 2, namely 33 and 50 has been investigated in different coverage and preparation regimes through a variety of experimental techniques, including low temperature UHV-STM, HRXPS, NEXAFS spectroscopy, and reductive voltammetry desorption experiments. The experimental data obtained from the different techniques, and above all, the predominant thiolate signal in the S 2p XP spectra, suggest consistently that the adsorption of the meta substituted model compound 50 on Au(111) by the standard immersion procedure results in the formation of a well-defined SAM-like film with the majority of its constituents attached with all three legs to the gold surface. Other findings supporting a monolayer character for this compound are the intensity of the Au 4f<sub>7/2</sub> HR XPS signal, the UHV-STM data, the N1s XP data, and the results of the electrochemical experiments. This interpretation was also supported by the N K-edge NEXAFS data, suggesting an upright orientation for the nitrile groups in 50/Au. Sub-mono layer samples prepared by spraying solutions of the 50 into the UHV showed parallel rows of paired molecules at the gold step edges in the STM analysis, which allowed experiments of the dimensions of the deposited molecules. The observations for the regioisomer 33 with the thiol anchor groups in the para position suggest the formation of molecular multilayers, with a lower degree of orientational order, in comparison with 50. The multilayer nature of these films is reflected in their thickness, as follows from the analysis of the intensity of the Au 4f<sub>7/2</sub> HRXPS signal as well as from the insulated character of these films as observed in the UHV-STM experiments. A large fraction of the unbound docking groups was observed in the S2p XP spectrum, and no preferred orientation of the nitrile group was found in the NEXAFS data. However, deposited as a sub-monolayer in the UHV-STM experiment, large ordered domains consisting of molecules ordered in hexagonal tiles were observed. Although the experiment does not allow conclusions concerning the orientation of the molecule at the surface, the dimensions of the molecular footprint were obtained. Single- molecule conductance was measured for both tripod 33 and 50 through STM break junction experiments. Comparable values were obtained, which might point to the central sp<sup>3</sup>-hybridized C atom as the structural feature controlling the electronic coupling irrespectively of the connection of the structure to the substrate.

Furthermore, over the course of the surface deposition investigated by low-T STM, we have shown that for tetraphenylmethane 33, owing to four C<sub>sp<sup>3</sup></sub>-C<sub>Ar</sub> bonds, six stable conformational isomers may exist in the adsorbed state. With the STM tip we are able to
induce a fully reversible switching. The population of these states, which is related to their energies via Boltzmann statistics, was found to minutely depend on the surface and subsurface atomic configuration of the substrate. Moreover, the chirality of the neighboring molecules has been shown to interact with each other, probably via steric hindrances of the phenyl rings. This emphasizes the crucial role that is played by the local chemical and physical environment of a single molecule adsorbed on a surface. However, this phenomenon is still to be investigated.

To study the dimensionality of the deposited structure a first crop of nitrile terminated tower shape molecules (61, 63, 65) were deposited onto Au (111) surface and preliminary explored. Interestingly - with the currently used deposition method - all these tower-shaped structures are laying on the substrate exposing acetyl protected thiol groups. We have shown that we are able to remove locally the exposed acetyl-protection group by a voltage pulse applied by the STM tip. This STM based molecular manipulation is to the best of our knowledge the first reported room temperature stable “writing” at the nanoscale.

Various numbers of aromatic rings mounted to the core structure, decorated by nitrile and -thioacetate active-tail moieties were suitable for STM-BJ experiments. Therefore, all homologues of molecules containing meta- and para- regioisomeric tripods were found to form molecular junctions between two gold electrodes. Charge transport through the molecules was found to decisively depend on the character of the top anchoring group (nitrile or thioacetate). This clearly indicates that molecular junctions are broken at the top side of the molecule, confirming the chemical and mechanical robustness of the both meta- and para-tripods. While nitrile capped derivatives form highly conductive but not stable molecular junctions that break thermally, thiol terminated molecules are capable of forming fully elongated junctions that break mechanically by the probe retraction. Thiol terminated molecules were further found to show an additional molecular feature, the conductance of which is nearly independent of the molecular length. This feature was assigned to the charge transport through the legs of the tripod in the early stages of the molecular junction evolution. Importantly, thiol capped homologues of meta- and para- tripodal platforms were found to show junction characteristics that are in a very good agreement with theoretical predictions, pointing to the favourable attachment of this newly designed platform to the gold electrode. In all meta- and para-, nitrile and thioacetate – tailor-made molecules, electron tunnelling was found to be the dominant charge transport mechanism, corroborated by the observed temperature independence of the transport measurements.
4 Porous organic polymers

This Chapter described results on synthetic methodologies used for the preparation of imine-linked 3D porous organic frameworks comprised of tetraphenylmethane core and the most important analytical techniques employed for characterization of this new covalent organic framework. (COF)
4.1 Synthesis of monomer for polymerization

4.1.1 Molecular Design

The three dimensional topology of organic molecules with available internal cavities has gained particular attention due to the number of potential applications. Diamond-type network could be essentially obtained from tetrahedral components, thus affording porous material with well-defined tetragonal shape. Nevertheless, the design and selection of the right building block, which combines the required geometry with readily availability by utilization of modern tools of organic chemistry has still remained a challenge. Broadly discussed (in this thesis) a tetraphenylmethane node was adapted to the following project. A central $sp^3$-hybridized carbon atom decorated with four aromatic rings shall provide precise control of the geometry of synthesized material. Chemical modification at the para-position of each aromatic ring gives the opportunity to promote the assembly towards highly ordered, covalent material. We were particularly interested in monomers appropriate for further polymerization by reversible imine condensation reactions.  

![Molecular design](image)

**Figure 57** Molecular design of proposed 3D polymeric tetrahedral framework that comprises central $sp^3$ carbon atom (indicated by purple arrow). Green arrow pinpoints the para position of aromatic rings whereas tetraphenylmethane is terminated with amine and aldehyde groups.

Up to date, only homoleptic monomers have been reported, which showed formation of covalent organic frameworks (COFs) composed on four times terminated tetrakis(4-aminophenyl)methane and various aldehyde linkers. This class of materials exhibit excellent permanent intrinsic porosity and narrow pore distribution which can be tuned by the length of linkers. Thus, the shorter connector is used, the better porosity may be expectably
reached. To the best of our knowledge, there is only one reported prominent example of molecular system based on tetraphenylmethane skeleton that forms COFs, profiting from boroxine condensation of \((\text{methanetetrayltetra-4,1-phenylene})\text{tetraboronic acid}\). Therefore, our novel approach is based on the synthesis of the first linker free, 3D Schiff base polymer that contains solely light elements (C, H, and N). This time, we designed monomer combining two amine FGs (red bond) and two formyl FGs (blue bond) attached symmetrically to tetraphenylmethane core (see Figure 57). These should be prospectively an ideal candidate to undergo \([2+2]\) self-polymerization toward imine-bonded material, reducing to the minimum the spacing among adjacent tetraphenylmethane components.
4.1.2 Retrosynthetic analysis

Figure 58 Retrosynthetic analysis of tetraphenylmethane monomer for the formation of COF.

Synthesis of tetraphenylmethane core structure runs smoothly in most cases irrespectively of the electronic nature of functional groups as well as position of the substituents located in each of aromatic rings attached to \( \text{sp}^3 \)-hybridized central carbon atom. What is noteworthy, there was no advantage that could be taken up from the known literature procedures dealing with the synthesis of doubly substituted tetraphenylmethane entities. Thereupon, considerable efforts were developed to assemble synthetic protocols for the synthesis of tetragonal nodes featured with two different functional groups. Considering previously combined experience (see Chapter 2 dealing with chemistry of molecular tripods), synthetic pathways in all presented instances start from benzophenone (displayed on Figure 58), which undergoes smooth addition of metalorganic species and consecutively leads to the construction of trityl alcohol. In the next step, Friedel-Crafts reaction delineates the path to obtain tetragonal building blocks. Synthetic transformations based on the broad scope of palladium-catalyzed reactions allow the synthesis of monomer that is capable of imine self-condensation.
4.1.3 Synthesis, results and discussion

In order to synthesize the target monomer 125 several approaches were proposed. In the first synthetic approach we assumed to start the synthesis from the building block, which contains masked amine group (in a form of imine). This would allow to introduce formyl groups within few transformations and gives the desired product in a simple manner. Therefore, the first synthetic strategy started from commercially available 4, 4'-diaminodiphenylmethane as outlined in Scheme 13. The synthesis started with TiCl$_4$-DABCO mediated dehydration$^{328}$ of amine with benzophenone to form imine 94 in 90% yield. This bulky protecting group is stable enough against mild acidic conditions (therefore its purification onto silica gel becomes possible), oxidizing agents and, notably, is resistant against organometallic nucleophilic reagents. Therefore, oxidation of diphenylmethane derivative 94 proceeded cleanly in the presence of KMnO$_4$ and TBAB to form benzophenone 95 in 82% yield. Subsequent nucleophilic addition of monolithiated 1,4-dibromobenzene to carbonyl group provided trityl alcohol TrOH 96 in 86% yield.

Scheme 13 The first attempt to the synthesis of target 126 from symmetric amine precursor.

Reagents and conditions: (i) benzophenone, TiCl$_4$, DABCO, PhCl; (ii) KMnO$_4$, TBAB, 1,2-dichloroethane; (iii) 1,4-dibromobenzene, $n$-BuLi, THF; (iv) PhOH, HCl, toluene

Unfortunately, subsequent acid catalyzed Friedel-Crafts alkylation of phenol with trityl alcohol 96 did not afford the target tetraphenylmethane derivative compound - precursor of target monomer 126 - presumably due to acid-catalyzed deprotection of imines.
The results of the first failed approach, suggested to introduce the amine group rather in one of the final steps of the proposed synthetic strategy. Therefore, we decided to prepare symmetric intermediate that is initially functionalized with two formyl groups ready for further functionalization with two amine moieties, what is presented in Scheme 14. Towards this strategy, 4,4'-dimethoxybenzophenone was acquired and subjected to the nucleophilic addition in a similar fashion as described above, thus giving trityl alcohol 97 (96% yield). Consecutive Friedel-Crafts alkylation with phenol in the molten state allows to attain tetragonal species 98 in 63% yield. Consecutively, synthesized phenol moiety 98 was esterified with triflic anhydride to afford trflate 99 in 93% yield. Both bromide and triflate leaving groups were transformed via the palladium-catalyzed cyanation to the corresponding nitrile 100 in 98% yield. Its reduction with DIBAL-H solution in THF at low temperature let to dialdehyde 101 in 46% yield. In the next synthetic step we intended to convert compound 101 into corresponding phenol. The cleavage of methyl ether is usually done with BBr₃, however the aldehyde is not compatible with this reagent and could be transformed to the undesired carboxylic acid.

Scheme 14 Alternative route to the target molecule via dialdehyde 101 scaffold.

Reagents and conditions: (i) 1,4-dibromobenzene, n-BuLi, THF; (ii) PhOH, HCl; (iii) Et₃N, Tf₂O; CH₂Cl₂; (iv) Zn(CN)₂, CuCN, Pd(PPh₃)₄, DMF; (v) DIBAL-H, THF; (vi) n-octanethiol, NMP

Considering the application of an alternative cleavage agent that is capable of mild demethylation in the presence of aldehydes, we employed cleavage of methyl ether under reported conditions 329,330,331, where 1-octanethiol in NMP was used for demethylation of
broad array of examined molecules, successfully providing phenol derivatives from moderate up to excellent yields. Consequently, starting material \textbf{101} was heated overnight under inert atmosphere at 200°C. Nevertheless, inseparable mixture of products was obtained, thus described method did not cleanly afford to get the desired product and other synthetic approach had to be considered.

**Scheme 15** Alternative strategy via dihalogenated species \textbf{107}, \textbf{113}
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Reagents and conditions: For \textbf{X} = \textbf{I}: (i) \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{conc}), \text{NaNO}_2, \text{KI}, \text{I}_2, \text{H}_2\text{O}; \text{For} \textbf{X} = \textbf{I}: \text{HBr}, \text{NaNO}_2, \text{CuBr}, \text{H}_2\text{O}; (ii) \text{CrO}_3, \text{AcOH}, \text{H}_2\text{O}; (iii) \text{p-bromoanisole}, \text{n-BuLi}, \text{THF}; (iv) \text{PhOH}, \text{HCl}; (v) \text{BBr}_3, \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2; (vi) \text{TMSCl}, \text{Et}_3\text{N}, \text{Et}_2\text{O}; (vii) \text{n-BuLi}, \text{DMF}, \text{THF}.

Alternative pathway for the synthesis of functionalized tetraphenylmethane, based on well-established halogen-metal exchange reaction of halogenated derivative followed by electrophilic quenching with DMF is outlined in Scheme 15. New strategy employs diiodinated and dibrominated tetraphenylmethanes \textbf{107} and \textbf{113} as the main synthetic intermediates in the presence of protected phenolic groups that would allow the subsequent conversion to the Boc-protected amine derivative \textbf{125}. Regarding this, syntheses of...
diiodinated and dibrominated species were initiated from the commercially available 4,4'-diaminodiphenylmethane building block and are shown on Scheme 15. Diazotation with concentrated sulphuric acid and sodium nitrite as well as it further iodination with iodine and sodium iodide (Sandmayer reaction) lead to the crude product, which was purified on silica gel, giving 4 4'-diiododiphenylmethane 102 in 40% yield. Analogously, product 108 was obtained using Sandmayer reaction with the same efficiency. Consequently, both iodinated and brominated species 102 and 109 were clearly oxidized by CrO₃ to corresponding benzophenones 103 and 109 (70% and 86% yield respectively). Simplicity of this reaction allowed for up scalable synthesis of benzophenones even up to 5g. In the next step, commercially available p-bromoanisole was lithiated and further reacted with dry benzophenone 103 to give trityl alcohol 104, unfortunately in a very poor yield (23%). The reason for this may be extremely low solubility of the benzophenone 103 in THF (great amounts of starting material were recovered) as well as the nature of carbon-iodine bond itself. It could be due to the high lability of the C₅-I bond, therefore trans-metalation might occur, being competitive against desired nucleophilic addition, what could sufficiently reduce the yield of obtained trityl alcohol 104. We did not observe this problem during the synthesis of brominated analogue 110. Halogen-metal exchange reaction led to obtain triphenylmethanol derivative 110 in 75% yield. Nonetheless, isolated amount of 104 was sufficient to employ Friedel-Crafts alkylation with phenol to get tetraphenylmethane derivative 105 in 55% yield. Similarly, bromo decorated species 111 was synthesized in 83% yield. The next reaction with the excess of BBr₃ in dry dichloromethane allows to reach diiodinated phenol 106 quantitatively. Dibrominated diol 112 was isolated quantitatively as well. Subsequently, phenol derivatives 106 and 112 were consequently protected as silyl ethers (TMS) providing 107 in 95% yield and 113 in 99% yield. Several reactions to perform lithiation of protected 107 and its reaction with DMF to the crucial aldehyde were made. Both halogen-decorated key building blocks 107 and 113 were reacted with an excess of n-BuLi or tert-BuLi and quenched with an excess of dry DMF. Nevertheless, independently from applied organometallic reagent, we observed a number of side products and this was noticed when we controlled the progress of reactions by means of TLC. These findings can be explained in the following manner. Under the applied reaction conditions aryl silyl ethers are prone to undergo directed ortho methalation, which apparently runs faster than lithiation of aryl halides (107 or 113). As a result, selective synthesis of the desired product was not possible.
After many unsuccessful attempts to prepare the target monomer 125 for the final polymerization, we decided to change the synthetic strategy, which is now based on the synthesis of tetraphenylmethane derivative 117. The latter bears two different leaving groups that allow us to control the regioselective introduction of the amino and aldehyde moieties in the final steps. Thus, the synthetic protocol outlined in Scheme 17, has been developed to obtain the key intermediate 117 for the preparation of the target compound 125. The synthesis started from 4,4'-dichlorobenzophenone, which reacted with an excess of lithiated p-bromoanisole to afford trityl alcohol 114 in 99% yield. Consecutively, Friedel-Crafts alkylation with phenol provided tetraphenylmethane 115 in 74% yield. Subsequent demethylation with BBr3 gave almost quantitatively diol 116, which was then triflatated with triflic anhydride. The corresponding ditriflate 117 was isolated in 93% yield. The first approach to accomplish the synthesis of the desired compound 125 via chemoselective lithiation of 119 or 120 is described in Scheme 17. The key intermediate 117 was subjected to Miyaura borylation providing selectively boronic ester 118 in 91% yield. Subsequent halogenation led to dichlorodihalo tetraphenylmethane scaffold 119 in 73% yield and 120 in 78% yield. Both derivatives 119 and 120 were thus reacted with organometallic agents (n-BuLi, to the next attempts we employed tert-BuLi and “Turbo Grignard” reagent335) to accomplish halogen-metal exchange reaction. Lithiated tetraphenylmethane was then quenched with DMF to introduce aldehyde to the skeleton of tetraphenylmethane. However, attempts of chromatographic purification of the crude material turned out to be unsuccessful (we think that for both derivatives, main products were scarcely soluble polymers, therefore we could not elute these materials from the chromatographic column). It was reasoned that newly formed aldehyde immediately reacted with lithiated species or, coupling of lithiated species occurred; both processes would lead to polymeric material.
Scheme 16 Synthesis of the key intermediate 117.

Reagents and conditions: (i) p-bromoanisole, n-BuLi, THF; (ii) PhOH, HCl; (iii) BBr$_3$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$; (iv) Et$_3$N, Tf$_2$O, CH$_2$Cl$_2$; (v) bis(pinacolato)diboron, Pd(dppf)Cl$_2$, KOAc, dioxane; (vi) CuI, NIS, toluene, DMF; (vii) CuBr, NBS, toluene, DMF; (viii) n-BuLi, DMF, THF.

When the synthetic methodology based on direct formylation of dichlorodihalo tetraphenylmethane derivatives 119 and 120 failed, we finally used the strategy outlined in Scheme 18, which allowed us to successfully synthesize key component of the project: 125. Crucial ditriflate 117 was converted to aldehyde terminated species 121. This would afford insertion of Boc-protected amine in the one of the next synthetic steps and thus give the desired monomer 125 in a simple manner. First transformation that involved palladium-catalyzed cyanation of triflate 117 led to the synthesis of the nitrile terminated species 122 in 98% yield. In the next step nitrile 122 had to be reduced by DIBAL-H to enable formation of dialdehyde 121. The tools of classic organic chemistry imply to carry out reduction of nitrile
by means of DIBAL-H at low temperature to avoid side products, stemming from post-reduction toward benzylic amine. Surprisingly, in THF and the temperature ranging from -78°C up to -20°C the nitrile derivative 122 did not interact with reducing agent and it was mainly the starting material that was recovered. Only when the reaction was conducted at 0°C, application of DIBAL-H allowed to obtain compound 121 in 65% yield.

Scheme 17 Successful synthesis of the monomer 125.

Reagents and conditions: (i) Zn(CN)₂, CuCN, Pd(PPh₃)₄, DMF; (ii) DIBAL-H, THF; (iii) ethylene glycol, p-TSA, toluene; (iv) benzophenone aldimine, Ni(cod)₂, BINAP, Cs₂CO₃, dioxane; (v) NHBOc, Pd(OAc)₂, SPhos or XPhos, Cs₂CO₃, dioxane; (vi) EtOAc, HCl.

Subsequently, the protection of aldehydes was carried out with ethylene glycol over the course of three days and gave 1,3-dioxalan derivative 123 almost quantitatively (95% yield). Such masked aldehyde 123 was subjected to catalytic amination. This key synthetic step was
carried out initially using SPhos (structure of used ligands are shown in Figure 59) as a phosphine ligand (yield = 50%), nonetheless it was reported that utilization of XPhos can improve the yield of the reaction considerably. Therefore, upon applying XPhos we observed formation of product 126 in 70% yield.\(^{336}\) (it should be noted as well, that we performed Buchwald amination under reported condition for aryl chlorides and we did not observed any progress of the reaction, isolating solely starting material 125).\(^{337}\)

![Phosphine ligands applied for catalytic amination.](image)

**Figure 59** Phosphine ligands applied for catalytic amination.

In the last two synthetic steps, we planned to selectively deprotect acetal and carbamate groups, thus leading to the aldehyde and amine functionalized tetraphenylmethane that is suitable for *self*-condensation. The deprotection of 1,3-dioxalan terminated derivative 124 provided compound 125 quantitatively (the *Boc* protected amine stayed intact). As we discussed before, *Boc*-protected amine should be deprotected before polymerization. In order to employ relatively mild method for the cleavage of *Boc*, which would selectively provide monomer (bis(4-aminophenyl)-bis(4’-formylphenyl)methane), we decided to use method which is commonly utilized in protein chemistry and involves TFA as the cleaving agent. Therefore, TFA in dry dichloromethane was employed to hydrolyze carbamate 125.\(^{338}\) However we did not observe formation of the desired monomer, yielding flake-like material that was insoluble in common organic solvents. This can be explained in the following manner. First of the amine group is deprotected, but it forms the imine bond faster than deprotection of the second *Boc*-protected amine takes place, thus disfavoring isolation of bis(4-aminophenyl)-bis(4’-formylphenyl)methane as intermediate suitable for it direct polymerization. In view of the above results, we decided to apply acid free conditions, which can afford the synthesis of the desired monomer and its further polymerization in controllable way. A very few methods dealing with inorganic bases, which are able to catalyze deprotection of *Boc*-protected amine were reported. Therefore, sodium tert-butoxide in anhydrous THF\(^{339}\), potassium phosphate in dry MeOH\(^{340}\) or sodium carbonate in DME\(^{341}\)
were employed but unfortunately all above-mentioned reaction failed. First one did not bring any progress with the Boc deprotection and starting 125 was recycled. In the second instance we applied microwave irradiation with K₃PO₄ as cleavage agent. Unfortunately, this protocol provided mono-deprotected species, as monitored by TLC of crude reaction mixture. When the time of the reaction was prolonged the insoluble material was again isolated, which we considered to be a polymer. This outcome may again confirm our speculation that compound 127 exhibits a large inclination to undergo spontaneous polymerization, affording once again material, which is not well-defined.

Based on previous unsuccessful attempts to perform selective deprotection of compound 125 toward synthesis of bis(4-aminophenyl)-bis(4'-formylphenyl)methane, we prepared set of model, easily accessible Boc-protected amines (126, 127). This strategy was used in order to optimize reaction conditions for thermally driven Boc-cleavage, which can be prospectively applied for our target, more sophisticated system. The synthesis started from commercially available p-phenylenediamine where mono- (126) or di- (127) protected amines were obtained, and formation of products was controlled with the stoichiometry of used di-tert-butyl dicarbonate. When twofold excess of starting amine was applied, mono-protected amine intermediate 126 was exclusively obtained in 90% yield. While, utilizing of an excess of Boc₂O resulted in the synthesis of di-protected amine 127 in 97% yield.

**Scheme 18** Synthesis of short molecules 126-129.

![Scheme 18](image)

**Reagents and conditions:** (i) Boc₂O, CH₂Cl₂; (ii) LiCl, p-tolyl aldehyde, toluene; (iii) AcCl, MeOH

Compound 127 was thus used to examine thermal stability of Boc protecting group. As can be easily noticed, tested molecule 127 contains two Boc-protected amines, similar to previously shown 127. The experiment in NMR tube seems to be the most effective to
determine the limiting temperature at which both Boc-protected amines are decarboxylated. The tube was loaded with 10 mg of 127. It was dissolved in 0.6 mL of the deuterated DMSO (d₆). The tube was sealed and put into an oil bath to reach the required temperature. The solution was gradually heated up, starting from 155°C, increasing the temperature every hour about 10°C and the ¹H-NMR spectrum was measured straightforward. The progress of the reaction controlled by ¹H-NMR as function of temperature is presented on Figure 60, where all spectra are stacked. It is shown that starting material 127 is stable up to 165°C, whereas at 175°C formation of mono-protected species 126 is observed. By elevating the temperature up to 185°C, we reasoned that applied protecting group was cleft providing solely p-phenylenediamine. This is evidenced by the lack of tert-Bu moiety (marked as violent dot) and the presence of broad amine protons (pink dot).

Figure 60 ¹H-NMR study of Boc cleavage under elevated temperature.

Considering results of NMR controlled experiment, we tried to scale up examined procedure by charging 50 mL pressure tube with our template 127. Nonetheless, we used toluene instead of dimethyl sulfoxide as a solvent to ensure its brief removal (DMSO is unfortunately highly boiling solvent, hence to remove this solvent higher temperature has to be applied than the one needed for deprotection of Boc, what can favor rapid polymerization of compound 125). Despite the fact that we applied the required temperature, we could not achieve the same effect as in NMR experiment, recycling every time compound 129. These findings also suggested to modify the structure of the model compound in such a way to examine the stability of imine bond and Boc-protecting group under in situ formed HCl (this might happen during polymerization of the target system, once imine bond is formed, the second amine is
deprotected etc.) and are demonstrated on Scheme 19. The synthesis was carried out starting from mono-protected compound 126, which was reacted with p-tolyl aldehyde (LiCl was exploited as a water trapping agent) leading to imine 128 in 88% yield. Subsequently, this imine was dissolved in dry MeOH and AcCl and dropwise added to generate HCl in situ. This reaction afforded anticipated compound 128 in 80% yield, however the presence of the starting material was detected as well (according to the $^1$H, $^{13}$C NMR of the crude product, the 128:129 ratio was assessed by the integration of imine protons). Therefore we decided to reflect described strategy into our target molecule 125. The molecule 125 was thus dissolved in a mixture of anhydrous methanol and dichloromethane (used as co-solvent) and addition of AcCl resulted in formation of HCl in situ. As a result of this reaction, Boc protecting group was removed from 125, as expected. Indeed, applied methanol interacted with aldehydes, causing their conversion to diacetal 130. $^1$H-NMR spectrum of crude product 130 was compared with spectrum of the starting material 125 and is shown on Figure 61. It is clear, that both formyl groups of the compound 125 were fully transformed to diacetal terminated species 130 and it can be explained as following. Cleavage of carbamate is recognized by the absence of methyl groups, which were marked as green spot on spectrum of compound 125 (formyl groups were marked as red dot on this spectrum). While existence of acetals is manifested by singlet that was marked as a brown dot on spectrum of the product 130 (in this case singlet of aldehydes obviously was not observed). The attempt of chromatographic purification of obtained crude material 130 resulted in its rapid polymerization. The same happened when deacetylation toward controlled synthesis of bis(4-aminophenyl)-bis(4'-formylphenyl)methane was performed, even though diluted acid was applied.
Profiting from so far conducted reactions and experiments we realized that compound 125 is the best candidate to be subjected to imine poly-condensation. Moreover, in order to find and optimize reaction conditions suitable for polymerization of target monomer 125, we designed short molecular analogues of 125. For this reason we used biphenyl skeleton tailored with Boc-protected amine, which is placed into para position of one ring as well as formyl group that is mounted on the second ring. The synthesis was accomplished in the following manner, starting from 4-iodoaniline which was protected by Boc, providing the desired carbamate 131 in moderate 60% yield (Scheme 19). Subsequently, iodinated species 131 was reacted with commercially available 4-formylbenzboronic acid leading to 132 in 64% yield. Consecutively, obtained biphenyl 134, exploited as pattern of tetraphenylmethane 125, was subjected to the self-polymerization and this is shown in Scheme 19. We examined three different conditions under which the formation of linear polymer became possible. Therefore, microwave driven reaction was heated up to 230°C over 1h for each sample of 132 in a mixture of o-1,2-dichlorobenzene/decanol (10/1), with addition of 1% AcOH/H₂O or only 1% of pure water (v/v). Upon irradiation process of all three samples, formation of yellowish gel was observed only in the first reaction setup. Thus, newly formed material was filtered, sonicated at first with hexane, afterwards with ethyl acetate to get rid of the remaining solvents. The new powder was readily analyzed by IR spectroscopy, which shows vibrations characteristic for Schiff base 133, so the isolated

Figure 61 ¹H-NMR spectrum of 125 and 130, which were measured in deuterated dichloromethane (d₂).
product was thus confirmed to be an imine-bonded polymer (all IR spectra will be discussed in detail in the next paragraph).

**Scheme 19** Synthesis of molecular analogue 132

![Scheme 19](image)

**Reagents and conditions:** (i) Boc₂O, Et₃N, MeOH; (ii) p-formylbenzboronic acid, K₂CO₃ Pd(PPh₃)₄, toluene, EtOH, H₂O; (iii) o-1,2-dichlorobenzene/decanol (10/1), 230°C.

As we discussed and proved in a previous paragraph, the conditions employed to reach linear polymer 133 can be exploited to synthesize 3D polymer (134), based on tetraphenylmethane core structure. The synthesis of the desired polymer was performed in an analogous manner in microwave reactor, utilizing molecule 125 as a monomer and is presented in Scheme 20. Starting material was dissolved in a mixture of o-1,2-dichlorobenzene/decanol (10/1), loaded to the microwave and heated over 2h.

**Scheme 20** Imine condensation of monomer 125 accelerated by microwave irradiation.

![Scheme 20](image)

**Reagents and conditions:** (i) o-1,2-dichlorobenzene/decanol (10/1), 230°C, 2h
The yellow gel was filtered and washed well with diethyl ether, ethyl acetate and hexane. Subsequently, solid material was sonicated with diethyl ether and ethyl acetate and dried afterwards. Isolated powder was subjected to all possible and available analyses to corroborate formation of 3D polymeric structure and to get more insight in the properties of the material 134.
4.2.1 Identification and analysis of obtained materials (133, 134)

As was aforementioned, both polymers 133 and 134 are insoluble in common organic solvents including acetone, methanol, DMF, dichloromethane or DMSO, so the X-ray powder diffraction (XPRD) is the first method of choice to confirm the nature of synthesized materials. In both instances no features of crystallinity of 133 and 134 were detected. It may be explained that presumably due to the nature of the polymerization process, which herein undergoes rapidly rather without kinetic control (contrary to solvothermal reaction) giving structurally disordered material. Next we checked the thermal stability of polymeric materials 133 and 134. Thermogravimetric analysis TGA was made under argon atmosphere and it revealed that linear polymer 133 is stable up to 333°C, while 3D polymer 134 exhibits thermal stability up to 517°C, which is common for this class of polymers.259, 261, 262

Figure 62 FT-IR spectra of monomer 132 and linear polymer 133.
Due to insolubility of both obtained materials (133, 134), powders were as well subjected to the FT-IR analysis. Owing to well-defined vibrations in organic compounds and their characteristic absorption frequencies, both monomers (132, 125) and solid polymer (133, 134) may be characterized profoundly with particular emphasis put on imine C=N stretching vibrations. Notably, before polymerization of target monomer 125 was made, we ensured that applied protocol could be reflected for the synthesis of 3D polymer 134, determining at first the structure of obtained yellowish powder, which prospectively was converted to the desired model linear polymer 133. In order to do so, the IR spectrum (in KBr) of the linear polymer 133 was stacked with spectrum of biphenyl monomer 132, pointing out the most characteristic vibrations that allow for recognition of the imine-bridged polymer. Major evidence of the linear polymer formation 133 is manifested by the C=N imine vibration and the absence of vibrations characteristic for Boc protected amine and aldehyde. Table 4 shows all paramount bands which were accurately assigned as vibrations of bonds in a skeleton of analyzed molecules. Therefore, the most informative bands are indicated with vibration maxima screening structural features of carbamate. Thus, apart from the bands of tertiary butyl (for the detail see Table 4), the most informative bands were found as vibration of carbonyl group with maximum at 1700 cm$^{-1}$, secondary and primary amide band at 1602 and 1422 cm$^{-1}$. Moreover, features of the aldehyde were reflected in maxima at 2734 cm$^{-1}$ (Fermi’s vibration) and aforementioned carbonyl stretching vibrations at 1700 cm$^{-1}$ (in this instance it is overlapped by $\nu$(C=O) stemming from carbonyl group of the carbamate).

Furthermore, beyond several bands arising from vibrations of biphenyl aromatic backbone, the most critical ones that are a fingerprint for amine and aldehyde were emphasized. Therefore, striking difference in both spectra was manifested by decline of all pinpointed bands. In addition, appearance of typical bands characteristic for –C=N- and –C-C=N-C- is disclosed by stretching vibrations with maxima at 1621 and 1170 cm$^{-1}$. These are considered as representative and indicative of a polymeric Schiff-base material. Analogous analytical path confirms the existence of three dimensional, tetraphenylmethane based polymer, determining the nature of substitution on tetraphenylmethane rings. Due to the absence of -NHBoc and formyl group vibrations and formation of –C=N- and -C-C=N-C- moieties, stretching vibrations occurred with maxima at 1622 and 1210 cm$^{-1}$ respectively. One may notice that in this type of covalent polymer, we may discriminate two different imines that are manifested by two neighboring vibrations on the spectrum of 134 (see Table 4). In spite of the applied drying procedure as in case of 134, the other vibrations indicate remaining solvents (weak vibrations, minor contribution of solvents), which both most likely play a role.
of host molecules being strongly trapped along the channels of this 3D cavity, that may also suggest porous character of described material.

Table 2 Summary of peaks extracted from FT-IR spectra with overall interpretation, emphasizing structural differences in between monomers and polymers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bands (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Assignments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (-\text{NH}), \nu (=\text{NH}))</td>
<td>(132)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (-\text{CH}_{\text{Ar}}))</td>
<td>(133)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu_{\text{as}} (-\text{CH}<em>{3})</em>{\text{t-Bu}})</td>
<td>(134)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu_{\text{as}} (-\text{CH}<em>{3})</em>{\text{t-Bu}})</td>
<td>(3355) (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu_{\text{s}} (-\text{CH}<em>{3})</em>{\text{t-Bu}})</td>
<td>(3430) (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (-\text{H-C=N}))</td>
<td>(2975) (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{CHO}))</td>
<td>(2976) (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C}=\text{O}))</td>
<td>(2923) (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C}=\text{N}))</td>
<td>(2854) (w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C}=\text{N}))</td>
<td>(2852) (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu_{\text{s}} (-\text{CH}<em>{3})</em>{\text{t-Bu}})</td>
<td>(1700) (w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C}=\text{N}))</td>
<td>(1700) (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C}=\text{C}))</td>
<td>(1725)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C}=\text{C}))</td>
<td>(1702) (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C}=\text{N}))</td>
<td>(1621) (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C}=\text{N}))</td>
<td>(1622)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C}=\text{N}))</td>
<td>(1620) (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu_{\text{s}} (\text{HNC}=\text{OR}))</td>
<td>(1588) (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C}<em>{\text{Ar}}=\text{C}</em>{\text{Ar}}))</td>
<td>(1584) (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C}<em>{\text{Ar}}=\text{C}</em>{\text{Ar}}))</td>
<td>(1573) (w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C}<em>{\text{Ar}}=\text{C}</em>{\text{Ar}}))</td>
<td>(1523) (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C}<em>{\text{Ar}}=\text{C}</em>{\text{Ar}}))</td>
<td>(1508) (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C}<em>{\text{Ar}}=\text{C}</em>{\text{Ar}}))</td>
<td>(1493) (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C}<em>{\text{Ar}}=\text{C}</em>{\text{Ar}}))</td>
<td>(1495) (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C}<em>{\text{Ar}}=\text{C}</em>{\text{Ar}}))</td>
<td>(1455) (w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C}<em>{\text{Ar}}=\text{C}</em>{\text{Ar}}))</td>
<td>(1454) (w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C}<em>{\text{Ar}}=\text{C}</em>{\text{Ar}}))</td>
<td>(1408) (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C}<em>{\text{Ar}}=\text{C}</em>{\text{Ar}}))</td>
<td>(1391) (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C}<em>{\text{Ar}}=\text{C}</em>{\text{Ar}}))</td>
<td>(1367) (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C} \text{-N}))</td>
<td>(1320) (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C} \text{-N}))</td>
<td>(1237) (w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C} \text{-N}))</td>
<td>(1237) (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C} \text{-N}))</td>
<td>(1170) (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C} \text{-N}))</td>
<td>(1210)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C} \text{-N}))</td>
<td>(1173) (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C} \text{-N}))</td>
<td>(1190) (w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C} \text{-N}))</td>
<td>(1190) (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C} \text{-N}))</td>
<td>(1052) (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C} \text{-N}))</td>
<td>(1016) (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C} \text{-N}))</td>
<td>(844) (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C} \text{-N}))</td>
<td>(816) (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\nu (\text{C} \text{-N}))</td>
<td>(773) (m)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Compounds 125 and 134 were subjected to Raman spectroscopy as well. Spectra of both materials are depicted on Figure 66. Typically in this technique, the presence of carbonyl stretching vibrations can be identified in the 1680-1800 cm\(^{-1}\) range, what is marked in the spectrum of the monomer 125. Apart from carbonyl vibration at 1695 cm\(^{-1}\), vibrations of aromatic rings of tetraphenylmethane core structure were found at 1601 cm\(^{-1}\). The second spectrum recorded for 134 reveals the presence of vibrations common for aromatic rings (~1590 cm\(^{-1}\)). However, considerable difference is noted due to the specific imprint of imine bond, manifested by its stretching vibration. It can be demonstrated in range of 1610-80 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(\nu\text{(C=N)}\)). The Raman spectrum evidences anticipated stretching vibration, which stems
from imine bond of analyzed species and may be found at 1611 cm$^{-1}$ ($\nu$(C=N)). Moreover, we did not notice vibration of carbonyl group in referred spectrum of 134. For the sake of clarity, the rest of indicated bands correspond to aromatic ring vibrations and the residual hexane with varied intensity.

Figure 64 Raman spectra of monomer 125 and target polymer 134, specific vibrations are marked in red color (aromatic ring) and blue one (imine bond), brown one (carbonyl).
Furthermore, characterization of the novel, linker-free material 134 was followed with NMR study in the solid-state. The MAS $^{13}$C-NMR is a valuable method for identification of insoluble materials. Among many signals displayed on Figure 67, which were attributed to resonance of aromatic rings and central carbon atom (these are showed and assigned in detail in Table 5), the vital signal is recognized as a resonance of an imine bond at 159 ppm (see Figure 67). Beyond signals defined as peaks of the target polymer 134 residual signal of hexane was found (13-29 ppm), in spite of long-term drying of a representative sample. Surprisingly, signal of the aldehyde group was found as well (192.28 ppm, HC=O, no vibrations of such were detected on IR and Raman spectra) which may be assigned to the terminal polymer groups.

![Figure 65 Solid-state $^{13}$C CP-MAS spectrum of synthesized polymer 134](image)
Table 3 Description of signals founded in NMR spectrum of 134. Picks are assigned in accordance to $^{13}$C chemical shifts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signal (ppm)</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Signal description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>64.11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Aliphatic quaternary (alpha-aromatic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113.42</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Aromatic (beta-aliphatic, gamma-imine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114.99</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Aromatic (gamma -aliphatic, gamma-imine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129.25</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Aromatic (beta $C_{Ar}$= )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134.04</td>
<td>2, 10</td>
<td>Aromatic (alpha-aliphatic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138.95</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Aromatic (alpha $C_{Ar}$= )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144.75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Aromatic (beta-imine, gamma-aliphatic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150.81</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Aromatic (alpha-imine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159.50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Alkene (alpha-imine, alpha-aromatic)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2.2 Morphology of 3D polymer

In order to get more insight into surface morphology of obtained polymeric material 134 and utilize available analytical tools, we chose to find an additional method to detect diamondoid character of tetraphenylmethane based material, manifested by its intrinsic porosity. Therefore, powder was probed and inspected by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), operated by Dr. Di Wang from Institute of Nanotechnology (KIT, Germany). The TEM sample of the polymeric material 134 was prepared by focused ion beam (FIB) and the experiment was performed at a FEI Titan 80-300 in TEM and STEM mode (STEM contrary to TEM is more sensitive to the scattering of the sample, therefore better contrast can be obtained). Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) was used to detect the elements in the polymer.

Figure 66 (a) Left image corresponds to TEM while the right one (b) to the STEM measurement (c) lower chart affirms Ga and Cu contribution
Previously developed X-ray powder diffraction of analyzed material showed the amorphous character of the polymer. This feature was additionally corroborated in results of the TEM experiment. The first analysis, which was conducted by TEM is presented in Figure 68(a) and this measurement proved non-crystalline character of investigated structure. It resembles the view of charcoal, therefore we switched the mode from TEM to STEM so as to evidence the existence of porosity. Hence, we figured out that the area indicated by the circle has brighter contrast than the surrounding one, which could be attributed to the pores (Figure 68(a)).

![Figure 67 Zoom into the look of the surface, emphasizing its porous character](image)

Therefore, porous morphology was indeed confirmed by STEM images (Figure 68(b)). Black spots were found out to be Ga particles, which were introduced during FIB process, so they are not the attribute of investigated material (Figure 68(c)). Moreover, in a STEM image at higher magnification shown in Figure 69, it can be seen that in addition to the pores of size from a few nm to about 10 nm, the image also shows certain brightness variations (pores are shown as a darker area and pointed by the red circle). It indicates that the material forms nano-structures. Nevertheless, due to contamination and possible decomposition of the polymer under electron beam, it is difficult to characterize the fine structure further.
4.2.3 Gas sorption experiment

In terms to the previously discussed morphology of obtained material 134 where bright spots were defined as channels responsible for porosity of 134, we searched for the other analysis that may confirm the attributes of obtained material, which we found and discussed after TEM and STEM experiments. In order to get more insight in the porosity of 135, the gas sorption experiment was conducted to determine specific surface areas (SSA) of 3D polymer. The experiment was done by Dr. Peter Weidler at the Institute of Functional Interfaces (KIT, Germany). Prior to measurements, representative sample was dried overnight at 95°C to get rid of remaining solvents or adsorbed water and to make a whole process clear. Note that after the process of drying, the mass declined by about 13.2% in contrast to the initial state. Thus, measurements of sorption of the argon were performed at 87 K using a Quantachrome Autosorb1 MP. The samples were degassed at 368 K in vacuum for 24 h before measurements. SSAs were calculated by using the Brunauer Emmett Teller (BET) method.347 Alternatively, the SSA was estimated by applying a NLDFT equilibrium model with mixture of cylindrical and spherical shaped pores based on the DFT/Monte Carlo method.348 Whereby, the BET surface area was determined only by single-point BET at 0.3 p/p₀ and it oscillated around 1 m²/g, while multi point BET was unfortunately not applicable. A fit of the isotherm, which exhibited positive adsorption values with DFT models yielded 1.9 m²/g. These results overtly showed the poor character of the porosity (so far reported 3D COFs based on imine bonds showed the surface area in a 1000-3800 m²/g range)247 which is related directly to non-crystalline feature of obtained polymer and its extremely weak assembly. Therefore, more efforts have to be addressed to the polymerization process, which may give a boost to reach crystalline material with well-defined surface area.
4.3 Solid state analysis

Single crystal X-ray analysis of x-ray quality crystals obtained through the slow evaporation from the neat dichloromethane showed the molecules to be a series of tetraphenylmethane derivatives. The molecules in a solid state exhibit varied packing in the crystal lattice regarding on the FGs nature built on the tetraphenylmethane skeleton. Their structures are illustrated in Figures 62 and 63, while all data are summed up in the Table 2 and 3. Compounds 119 and 122 crystalized in tetrahedral crystal system in I-4 space group with 16 molecules per units cell, creating hexagonal motif along ab plane, and I4/a with 6 molecules per units cell respectively. High symmetry of 119 is reasoned by ¼ molecule in the asymmetric unit cell, contrary to 122, where the entire molecule is presented. Moreover, the length of the bond constituted by central carbon atom C1 and aromatic carbon C2 with uniform length of all four contacts ~1.545 Å (119) and distances oscillated between 1.543-1.552 Å for 122. The functional groups mounted to tetraphenylmethane core cause mutual interactions that may be exemplified as long halogen contacts I⋯Cl (d = 3.984Å), Cl-Cl (d = 3.814Å), Cl-Cl (d = 3.905 Å) disclosed for 119, while 122 exhibit intermolecular N-C=N interactions (d = 3.225 Å), as well as H3⋯Cl⋯H3 (d = 2.437 Å, d = 2.395 Å, 44°).

Furthermore, two other derivatives 120, 121 (see Figure 62 and Table 3) crystalized in monoclinic crystal system of lower symmetry being assigned to C2/c space group (120, 122). Both are packed with 6, whereas boronic ester derivatives with 4 molecules per unit cell. Homogeneous length of C1-C2 bonds corroborate high structural order of compounds 120 and 122, with identical length of 1.547 Å. Indeed, weak hydrogen bonding emerged in the tetraphenylmethane crystal structure and is detected in 121 involving formyl group as an acceptor and hydrogen donor from the aromatic ring. (C4-H⋯O1 (d = 2.357Å)). Considering the isostructural 120, halogen was involved in an interaction between bromide and two aromatic carbon atoms C4⋯Br1⋯C5 (d = 3.527 Å, d = 3.435 Å, 82°). The greatest amount of structural information was subtracted from crystallographic studies of single crystals of 116, which grow in orthorhombic crystal system and Pbcn space group. Likewise 119, diol 122 forms 3D crystal lattice bearing 16 molecules per unit cell and two molecules in asymmetric unit cell. Distances of C1-C2 range from 1.538-1.562 Å. With respect to the phenol as a feature of this FG, hydrogen bonds are formed between two hydroxyls of the adjacent tetraphenylmethane moieties, showing contrasted length of C4-O⋯H bond 1.933 and 2.664 Å respectively. Analogously to the arrangement of bromides discussed above, aromatic
chlorides exerts halogen bonding in similar fashion $C_4\cdots C_1 \cdots C_5$ ($d = 3.431\,\text{Å}, d = 3.362\,\text{Å}, 77^\circ$).
Table 4 Data extracted from X-ray analysis of 116, 119, 122.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>116</th>
<th>119</th>
<th>122</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Empirical formula</strong></td>
<td>C$<em>{25}$H$</em>{18}$Cl$_2$O$_2$</td>
<td>C$<em>{25}$H$</em>{16}$Cl$_2$I$_2$</td>
<td>C$<em>{27}$H$</em>{16}$Cl$_2$N$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formula weight</strong></td>
<td>421.29</td>
<td>641.08</td>
<td>439.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temperature/K</strong></td>
<td>180.15</td>
<td>180.15</td>
<td>150.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crystal system</strong></td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
<td>tetragonal</td>
<td>tetragonal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Space group</strong></td>
<td>Pbcn</td>
<td>I-4</td>
<td>I4$_1$/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>a/Å</strong></td>
<td>33.811(2)</td>
<td>12.7349(14)</td>
<td>17.4170(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b/Å</strong></td>
<td>7.4978(4)</td>
<td>12.7349(14)</td>
<td>17.4170(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c/Å</strong></td>
<td>32.666(2)</td>
<td>7.1256(9)</td>
<td>28.5647(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume/Å$^3$</strong></td>
<td>8281.1(9)</td>
<td>1155.6(3)</td>
<td>8665.2(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Z</strong></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$\rho_{calc}$/g/cm$^3$</strong></td>
<td>1.352</td>
<td>1.842</td>
<td>1.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>μ/mm$^2$</strong></td>
<td>2.965</td>
<td>2.962</td>
<td>0.317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F(000)</strong></td>
<td>3488.0</td>
<td>612.0</td>
<td>3616.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crystal size /mm$^3$</strong></td>
<td>0.41 × 0.11 × 0.03</td>
<td>0.28 × 0.09 × 0.08</td>
<td>0.35 × 0.18 × 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Radiation</strong></td>
<td>CuKα</td>
<td>MoKα</td>
<td>MoKα</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>β/° γ/°</strong></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2θ range for data collection/°</strong></td>
<td>5.41 to 125.874</td>
<td>4.524 to 51.086</td>
<td>2.738 to 52.258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reflexions collected</strong></td>
<td>27495</td>
<td>1706</td>
<td>14919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Independent reflections</strong></td>
<td>6450</td>
<td>1046</td>
<td>4261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data/restraints/parameters</strong></td>
<td>6450/0/528</td>
<td>1046/6/77</td>
<td>4261/6/307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goodness-of-fit on F$^2$</strong></td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td>1.104</td>
<td>0.949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å$^3$</strong></td>
<td>0.47/-0.45</td>
<td>1.02/-0.95</td>
<td>0.32/-0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 68 Solid-state structures of tetraphenylmethane derivatives 116, 119, 122.
Table 5 Data extracted from X-ray analysis of 120, 121.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>120</th>
<th>121</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Empirical formula</strong></td>
<td>C₁₂₅H₁₆Br₂Cl₂</td>
<td>C₂₇H₁₈Cl₂O₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formula weight</strong></td>
<td>547.10</td>
<td>445.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temperature/K</strong></td>
<td>180.15</td>
<td>180.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crystal system</strong></td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Space group</strong></td>
<td>C2/c</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/Å</td>
<td>19.0239(12)</td>
<td>19.190(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b/Å</td>
<td>7.6754(3)</td>
<td>7.4542(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/Å</td>
<td>19.1893(13)</td>
<td>16.959(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α/° β/° γ/°</td>
<td>90/128.683(4) /90</td>
<td>90/115.84(3) /90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume/Å³</strong></td>
<td>2187.2(2)</td>
<td>2183.3(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Z</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ρcalc/g/cm³</strong></td>
<td>1.661</td>
<td>1.355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>μ/mm⁻¹</strong></td>
<td>3.960</td>
<td>0.319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F(000)</strong></td>
<td>1080.0</td>
<td>920.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crystal size /mm³</strong></td>
<td>0.48 × 0.21 × 0.12</td>
<td>0.28 × 0.22 × 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Radiation</strong></td>
<td>MoKα (λ = 0.71073)</td>
<td>MoKα (λ = 0.71073)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2Θ range for data collection/°</strong></td>
<td>4.73 to 51.356</td>
<td>4.716 to 54.682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reflections collected</strong></td>
<td>5370</td>
<td>5088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Independent reflections</strong></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data/restraints/parameters</strong></td>
<td>2013/0/139</td>
<td>2433/0/169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goodness-of-fit on F²</strong></td>
<td>1.058</td>
<td>0.971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å⁻³</strong></td>
<td>0.44/-0.71</td>
<td>0.16/-0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 69 Solid-state structures of tetraphenylmethane derivatives 120, 121.
4.4 Conclusions and outlooks

We presented and discussed various approaches of the double functionalization of tetraphenylmethane core structure that was supposed to function as precursor of COF material. We examined four different synthetic strategies, however only the last one, which involved ditriflate 117 lead to successful synthesis of the desired monomer 125. By using molecular analogs (126, 127, 128), we carried out complementary studies to get more insight in the Boc cleavage that is vital to obtain the monomer, which contains deprotected amine and aldehyde within the same molecule, appropriate for classical imine-condensation. Therefore, a number of molecular analogues were synthesized and investigated as well, and the obtained results were applied for target tetraphenylmethane system. Since we considered that deprotection of Boc with in situ formed HCl unexpectedly leads to diacetal 130, instead of the desired compound, we decided to conduct final polymerization by utilizing monomer 125. In order to optimize the polymerization conditions, the complexity of the model system was reduced by studying the biphenyl 132 as functional proxy of tetraphenylmethane 125, with the advantage of forming a processable linear polymer instead of an insoluble 3D network. We decided to investigate microwave driven polymerization. This allowed us to synthesized linear polymer 133 based on imine bonds. Is it thus clearly shown that established procedure can be effectively imitated for polymerization of target monomer 125. Hence, reflection of previous conditions gives the opportunity to synthesize and then identify 3D polymeric structure 134. Unfortunately, the obtained material was found to be non-crystalline with poor porosity, presumably due to the nature of microwave accelerated poly-condensation which, contrary to the solvothermal synthesis, does not ensure reversible equilibrium conditions probably required to form crystalline material.

Scheme 21 Imine condensation initiated from acetal 130.
Thus, in order to considerably improve properties of expected material in terms of its crystallinity and porosity, more attention shall be paid to acetal comprising tetraphenylmethane monomer 125 (see Scheme 22). As was recently reported, 2D COF can be reached from diacetal terminated rod and diamine, which were successfully subjected to imine condensation, and this reaction was developed by applying solvothermal synthesis. Therefore, diacetal 130 may be analogously used to synthesize 3D polymeric material according to the recently published protocol.\(^{349}\)
5 General conclusions and outlooks

In this thesis, first part concerns the library of molecular architectures based on tetraphenylmethane building block which were synthesized and handled for nanotechnology, thus exploiting their pivotal areas with the potential of conferring additional knowledge to the general understanding of this domain. The second part was addressed to dynamic covalent chemistry, which demonstrates linker-free approach and was embodied in the synthesis of 3D COFs, which are supposed to act as a new generation of functional porous materials.

In the chapter 2 we demonstrated extensive synthetic pathways for the preparation of both regioisomeric molecular holders within 6 steps for para and 7 synthetic steps for meta decorated platforms. The modular character of newly prepared platforms is emphasized by the contribution of versatile leaving groups, attached to each of the presented tripods. This manipulation allowed us to construct full array of size-dependent tower-shaped molecules with the sequence of Suzuki-cross coupling reaction getting 12 elongated, target molecules from moderate to excellent yield.

![Figure 70 Illustration of successfully synthesized surface addressable molecular tripods](image)

In the chapter 3 we prove the concept of molecular platforms constituted on tetraphenylmethane tetragonal skeleton by immobilization of the tripods on the gold interface. Created films of tripods and their direct deposition on single gold Au(111) allowed
to gain more insight into the self-assembly features of conceptual tetraphenylmethane motifs, recognizing their surface properties as switching phenomena attributed to the head group. While having in hand a series of oligoarylenes embedded to the tripodal core structure (33, 61, 63, 65), molecular grafting capability was shown. Moreover, STM-BJ experiments helped to elucidate and understand charge-transport mechanism for the whole bunch of designed and successfully synthesized molecules, showing that thioacetate tripods (74, 77, 83, 85, 87, 89, 91, 93) exhibit significantly higher conductivity, contrary to nitrile decorate platforms (33, 50, 61, 63, 65, 67, 69, 71).

In the chapter 4 several of tetraphenylmethane synthetic modifications were performed in order to reach innovative, highly functionalized monomer 125 capable of imine self-condensation, providing to novel 3D COF material. We applied tools of modern organic chemistry, aided by Pd-catalyzed transformations to feature tetraphenylmethane core simultaneously substituted with carbamates and aldehydes. It must be noted that such bis-substituted tetraphenylmethane building blocks are reported for the first time. These are prone to form 3D polymer linked by dynamic imine bond. Formation of polymeric Shiff-base 134 was corroborated by accessible tools including IR, Raman Spectroscopy, MAS-NMR, and TEM characteristics. Analysis of obtained material 134 revealed its amorphous character and low porosity what may be right away reasoned by the lack of crystallinity.

Taking into account tunable properties and robustness of protecting groups applied over the course of presented synthetic transformations, molecular tripods may be decorated with unlimited numbers of active tail-groups profiting from chemistry of transition metal-complexes which improved considerably insertion of functional moieties via for instance widely exploited Suzuki reaction. Therefore functional, molecular machines based on tripods may be design and produced, exploring it properties on metal surfaces. Moreover, we have already suggested, that polymerization initiated from diacetal terminated tetraphenylmethane derivatives could promote the formation of crystalline polymer with higher intrinsic porosity.
6 Experimental Section

6.1 General Remarks

Reagents and Solvents

All starting materials were obtained from commercial suppliers (Acros Chemicals (Geel, Belgium), Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), ABCR (Karlsruhe, Germany), Alfa Aesar (Karlsruhe, Germany), Sigma-Aldrich (Schnelldorf, Germany), Synchem OHG, (Felsberg, Germany), TCI Europe (Zwijndrecht, Belgium), VWR (Karlsruhe, Germany), Fluorochem (Hadfield, United Kingdom)) and used without further purification if nothing else is explicitly remarked. Degassed solvents were obtained by three cycles of the freeze-pump-thaw or purged with argon or nitrogen for at least 15 min. Dry DMF and dioxane were purchased from Acros Chemicals and Sigma-Aldrich, these are stored over 4 Å molecular sieves, and handled under inert atmosphere, while the others as diethyl ether, THF, toluene (Na/benzophenone), CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (CaH$_2$) were distilled under nitrogen atmosphere prior to use. Normal phase column chromatography (CC) was performed on silica gel 60 (230-240 mesh) obtained from Merck. Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) was performed using Silica gel 60 F$_{254}$ aluminium plates with a thickness of 0.25 mm purchased from Merck and spots were detected by fluorescence quenching under UV light at 256 or 366 nm.

$^1$H-Nuclear Magnetic Resonance ($^1$H-NMR)

Bruker Avance NMR (500 MHz) spectrometer was used to record the spectra. Chemical shifts (δ) are quoted in parts per million (ppm) relative to residual solvent peaks (CDCl$_3$: 7.26 ppm, CD$_2$Cl$_2$: 5.32 ppm, MeOD: 3.30 ppm) or trimethylsilane (TMS: 0.00 ppm), and coupling constants (J) are reported in Hertz (Hz). The bond distance of the coupling constant is stated with a superscript number ($^nJ$). The measurements were performed at room temperature. The multiplicities are denoted as s = singlet, d = doublet, dd = doublet of the doublets t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet.
**13C-Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (13C-NMR)**

Bruker Avance-NMR (126 MHz) spectrometer was used to record the spectra. Chemical shifts (δ) are quoted in parts per million (ppm) relative to residual solvent peaks (CDCl₃: 77.16 ppm, CD₂Cl₂: 53.84 ppm, MeOD: 40.84 ppm, either TMS: 0.00 ppm), and coupling constants (J) are reported in Hertz (Hz). The measurements were carried out at room temperature.

**Mass Spectrometry (MS)**

High-resolution mass spectra were recorded with a Bruker Daltonics (ESI microTOF0-QII) mass spectrometer, Electron impact (EI) mass spectra were recorded with a Thermo Scientific Trace 1300 GC/MS instrument with single quadrupole ISQ.

**Fourier Transfer Infrared Spectrometry (FT-IR)**

Infrared spectra were recorded with a Perkin Elmer GX spectrometer in KBr pallets. The intensities of bands are denoted as w = weak, m = medium, s = strong and br = broad.

**Elemental Analysis (EA)**

Elemental analyses were obtained using a Vario MicroCube GHNS analyzer and measured by S. Stahl. The values are presented in mass percentage values.

**Ultraviolet Spectroscopy (UV-Vis)**

UV-Vis-spectra were recorded with a Varian Cary 500 Scan spectrophotometer in optical quartz 114-QS Hellma cuvettes (10 mm light path) at room temperature.

**Microwave Reactions**

Microwave reactions were carried out in a CEM Discover SP microwave system in capped vials.

**Melting Points**

Melting points (m.p.) were measured with a Büchi Melting Point B-540 and Opti Melt, Stanford Research System apparatus and are not corrected.
Thermogravimetric Analysis

Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) was carried out in a Thermobalance STA 409 with the dynamic helium gas flow (25 mL/min) as well as TGA 209 F1 LIBRA Netzsch with the dynamic nitrogen gas flow (20 mL/min) and done by S. Stahl and S. Leuthner.
6.2 Synthetic Procedures

4-Bromo-1-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]benzene (24)

Compound 24 was synthesized adapting the published procedure.\textsuperscript{280} Commercially available 4-bromothiophenol (10.30 g, 54.5 mmol), vinyltrimethylsilane (10.30 g, 109 mmol), and azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) (0.45 g, 2.73 mmol) were charged to 50 mL pressure tube under inert atmosphere. Afterwards, the tube was sealed and vigorously stirred overnight at 100 °C. The reaction was cooled down, the crude mixture was mixed with 8% solution of NaOH\textsubscript{aq} over 1 h and then extracted with Et\textsubscript{2}O (2 x 200 mL). Organic layers were combined, dried over MgSO\textsubscript{4}, filtrated and solvents were removed. Obtained yellow oil was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (700 g) in pure hexane providing 13.54 g of 24 in 86% yield as a clear oil. \((R_f = 0.33, \text{hexane})\); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\) ppm, 0.07 (s, 9H, CH\textsubscript{3}), 0.92-0.96 (m, 2H, CH\textsubscript{2}), 2.94-2.98 (m, 2H, CH\textsubscript{2}), 7.17-7.20 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.40-7.43 (m, 2H, Ar-H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\) ppm -0.6, 17.9, 30.8, 120.5, 130.8, 131.6, 132.9, 137.6

Tris{4-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]phenyl}methanol (3)

**Method A**: A dry and argon-flushed 100 mL Schlenk flask was charged with 24 (3.76 g, 13 mmol) and anhydrous THF (35 mL) was added. The solution was cooled to -78 °C and tert-BuLi (17.8 mL, 26 mmol, 15% in pentane) was added dropwise over 20 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h under argon. In a second 100 mL Schlenk flask, diethyl carbonate (0.44 mL, 3.71 mmol) was diluted with anhydrous THF (5 mL) under inert atmosphere and cooled to -78 °C. The solution of lithiated species was slowly added via a
cannula into the flask containing diethyl carbonate solution, after 1 h, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 16 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated NH₄Cl solution (100 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (300 g) in hexane/EtOAc (9:1) to afford pure 26 (1.46 g) as a yellowish solid in 60% yield ($R_f$ = 0.45, hexane/EtOAc = 9:1) and pure 25 (0.4 g) as a white solid in 24% yield ($R_f$ = 0.54, hexane/EtOAc = 9:1);

For 26: m.p. 121-131 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 0.04 (s, 27H, CH₃), 0.92-0.96 (m, 6H, CH₂), 2.71 (s, OH), 2.94-2.96 (m, 6H, CH₂), 7.16-7.18 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.22-7.23 (m, 6H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm -1.5, 17.0, 29.4, 81.6, 128.0, 128.5, 136.9, 144.1; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 33446 (s, $\nu$(OH)), 3025 (w, $\nu$(=CH)), 2952 (s, $\nu$as(CH₂, CH₃)), 1594 (m, $\delta$as(CH₂, CH₃)), 1490 (s), 1417 (m, $\delta$as(CH₂, CH₃)), 1249 (s, $\delta$(CH₃, TMS)), 1161 (m), 838 (s, $\delta$as(CH₃, TMS)), 693 (w, $\nu$as(SiC₃)); UV-Vis (λmax[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 269 (43 186); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C₃₄H₅₄O₃S₁₃Na ([M+Na]+, 679.2289), found m/z 679.2380; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₃₄H₅₄O₃S₁₃ (656.25): C, 62.14; H, 7.98; found: C, 62.23; H, 8.05.

For 25: m.p. 71-74 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 0.02 (s, 18H, CH₃), 0.97-1.00 (m, 4H, CH₂), 3.02-3.06 (m, 4H, CH₂), 7.29-7.31 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.70-7.71 (m, 4H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm -1.5, 16.7, 28.4, 126.5. 130.7, 134.4, 144.5, 195.1; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 3037 (w, s $\nu$(C=CH)), 2958 (s, $\nu$as(CH₂, CH₃)), 2952 (s, $\nu$as(CH₂, CH₃)), 1597 (s, $\nu$(C=O)), 1553 (s, $\nu$(C=C)), 1401 (m, $\delta$(CH₂, CH₃)), 1288 (m, CH₃, TMS), 1088 (s), 830 (s), 692 (w, $\nu$as(SiC₃)); UV-Vis (λmax[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 329 (29245); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C₂₃H₂₅OS₂S₂Na ([M+Na]+, 469.1378), found m/z 469.1482; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₃H₂₅OS₂S₂ (446.16): C, 61.83; H, 7.67; found: C, 61.59; H, 7.88.

For 27: m.p. 123-124°C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 0.03 (s, 18H, CH₃), 0.91-0.93 (m, 4H, CH₂), 0.95 (s, 9H, CH₃), 2.21 (s, 1H, OH), 2.92-2.96 (m, 4H, CH₂), 7.15-7.19 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.28-7.30 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.50 (s, 2H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm -1.6, 17, 27.6, 29.3, 39.4, 82.7, 127.3, 129.1, 135.7, 143.4; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3415 ($\nu$(OH)), 3028 (w, $\nu$(=CH)), 2953 (s, $\nu$as(CH₂, CH₃)), 2922 (s, $\nu$as(CH₂, CH₃)), 2854 (m), 1590 (m, $\nu$(C=C)), 1488 (m, $\delta$as(CH₂, CH₃)), 1421 (w, $\delta$(CH₂, CH₃)), 1269(m), 1250 (s, $\delta$(CH₃, CH₃), 1161 (m), 838 (s, $\delta$as(CH₃, TMS)), 693 (w, $\nu$as(SiC₃));
(TMS)), 1161 (m), 1096 (m), 1010 (m), 893 (m), 860 (s), 841 (s, $\delta_{as}(CH_3$, TMS)), 828(s), 791(m), 696 (w, $\nu_{as}(SiC_3)$); UV-Vis ($\lambda_{max}$[nm], $\varepsilon$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) 267 (22553); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C$_{27}$H$_{44}$O$_2$Si$_2$Na ([M+Na]$^+$, 527.2270), found m/z 527.2131; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{27}$H$_{44}$O$_2$Si$_2$ (504.24); C 64.24 H 8.78, S 12.70; found: C 65.11, H 8.62.

Method B: In a 100 mL Schlenk flask, 24 (1.16 g, 4.01 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (12 mL) under argon, cooled to -78 °C and degassed. Then tert-BuLi (5.6 mL, 8.24 mmol, 15% in pentane) was added dropwise over 25 min and the resulting mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h. In a second 100 mL Schlenk flask, 24 (0.9 g, 2.02 mmol) was dissolved in THF (8 mL) under argon, and cooled to -78 °C. The solution of lithiated species was slowly added via a cannula into the flask containing solution of 25. The yellow solution was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h, then allowed to warm to the room temperature and stirred for an additional 12 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated NH$_4$Cl solution (100 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 x 150 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO$_4$, and filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (200 g) in hexane/EtOAc (9:1) to yield 1.1 g (83%) of 26 as a yellowish solid.
4-(tris[4-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]phenyl]methyl)aniline (28),
4-(tris[4-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]phenyl]methyl)acetanilide (29)

Method A  Trityl alcohol 26 (1 g, 1.22 mmol) was placed to a 250 mL three-neck round bottom flask, equipped with a refluxed condenser and dissolved in 12 mL of glacial acetic acid. Subsequently a catalytic amount of concentrated hydrochloric acid was added, forming a violent solution. Afterwards freshly distilled aniline (0.56 g, 6.1 mmol) was loaded at once. Reaction mixture was than vigorously stirred and heated at 140 °C overnight. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was neutralized with sodium carbonate (100 mL); aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane (3 x 200 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (400 g) in hexane/EtOAc (7:3) to obtain 0.48 g of aniline 28 (43%) as orange oil. (Rf = 0.25, hexane/EtOAc 7:3); and 0.57 g of acetanilide 29 as an creamy oil in 48% yield. (Rf = 0.77, hexane/EtOAc 7:3).

For 28: 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm 0.04 (s, 27H, CH3), 0.93-0.96 (m, 6H, CH2), 2.93-2.97 (m, 6H, CH2), 3.62 (s, 2H, NH2) 6.57 (d, JH,H = 8.65 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.92 (d, JH,H = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.09 (d, JH,H = 6.8 Hz, 1.75 Hz, 2H, Ar-H) 7.15 (d, JH,H = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); 13C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm -1.6, 16.9, 29.3, 63.3, 114.3, 127.4, 132, 135, 136.6, 144.4, 144.5, IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3374 (m, υ(NH2)), 3028 (w, υ(=CH)), 2950 (s, υas(CH2, CH3)), 2918 (s, υas(CH2, CH3)), 2854 (w), 1621 (s, υ(C=C)), 1592 (w), 1511 (s, δ(NH)), 1487 (s), 1419 (w, δas(CH2, CH3)), 1399 (w, δ(CH2, CH3)), 1248 (s, δ(CH3, TMS)), 1182 (m), 1162 (m), 1093 (m), 1013 (m), 858 (s), 839 (s, δas(CH3, TMS)) 810 (s), 753 (m), 692 (w, υas(SiC3)); UV-Vis (λmax[nm], ϵ, CH2Cl2) 270 (41711); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for
C₄₀H₅₇S₃Si₃NH ([M+H]⁺, 732.3039), found m/z 732.3007; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₄₀H₅₇S₃Si₃N (731.30) C 65.50; H 7.85, N 1.91; found: C 65.96, H 7.63, N 1.88.

For 29: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 0.03 (s, 27H, CH₃), 0.92-0.96 (m, 6H, CH₂), 2.16 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.93-2.96 (m, 6H, CH₂), 7.07 (dd, J_H-H = 6.8, 1.75 Hz, 6H, Ar-H), 7.11 (s, 2H, Ar-H), 7.15 (d, J_H-H = 8.5 Hz, 6H, Ar-H), 7.24 (s, 1H, NH), 7.37 (d, J_H-H = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm -1.6, 16.9, 24.7, 29.2, 63.6, 119, 127.4, 131.5, 131.6, 135.3, 135.9, 142.5, 143.9, 168.4; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3303 (m, υ(NH)), 3055 (w, υ(=CH)), 2951 (s, υ(CH₂, CH₃)), 2921 (s, υ(CH₂, CH₃)), 2852 (w), 1669 (m, υ(C=O)), 1601 (s, υ(C=C)), 1553 (s, δ(NH)), 1510 (s), 1489 (s), 1405 (w, δ(CH₂, CH₃)), 1371 (w, δ(CH₂, CH₃)), 1320 (m), 1249 (s, δ(CH₃, TMS)), 1190 (w), 1162 (w), 1094 (m), 1013 (m), 853 (s), 840 (s, δ(CH₃, TMS)) 754 (m), 693 (w, υ(as(SiC₃)); UV-Vis (λ_max[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 268 (28264); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C₄₂H₅₉S₃Si₃NK ([M+K]⁺, 812.2704), found m/z 812.2726; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₄₂H₅₉S₃Si₃N (773.31); C 65.14; H 7.68, N 1.81; found: C 62.84, H 7.16, N 1.68.

Method B (hydrolysis) Tetraphenylmethane derivatives 28 (0.57 g, 0.67 mmol) was dissolved in a 5 mL of hot ethanol and transferred to the 100 mL two-neck round bottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser. Resulted solution was treated with 0.1 mL of concentrated hydrochloric acid and then refluxed overnight under an inert atmosphere. The reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature, neutralized by saturated solution of sodium carbonate (50 mL), ethanol was removed and crude slurry was extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic layer were dried over magnesium sulfate and filtered, solvents were removed under vacuum providing the pure aniline 29 in an almost quantitative yield as a creamy oil.
4-(Tris-[4-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]phenyl]methyl)phenol (30)

[Chemical structure image]

A 100 mL two-neck round bottom flask was charged with 26 (0.69 g, 1.05 mmol), phenol (1.97 g, 21 mmol), toluene (10 mL) under argon, and a few drops of concentrated HCl were added. The reaction mixture was heated at 120 °C for 14 h under argon. After cooling to room temperature, the navy-blue reaction mixture was diluted with toluene (120 mL) and quenched with solution of NaOH (2 M, 160 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with water (150 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (150 g) in hexane/EtOAc (5:1) to afford 30 (0.65 g) as an orange solid in 84% yield ($R_f = 0.26$, hexane/EtOAc $= 9:1$); m.p. 98-101 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 0.03 (s, 27H, CH₃), 0.92-0.95 (m, 6H, CH₂), 2.93-2.96 (m, 6H, CH₃), 4.79 (s, OH), 6.70 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.6$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.01 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.65$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.06-7.08 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.14-7.15 (m, 6H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm -1.6, 17.0, 29.3, 63.4, 114.5, 127.4, 131.6, 132.4, 135.3, 139.0, 144.3, 153.8; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3403 (s, ν(OH)), 3022 (w, ν(CH)), 2951 (s, νas(CH₂, CH₃)), 2921 (s, ν(CH₂, CH₃)), 2852 (m), 1591 (m, ν(C=C)), 1488 (s), 1428 (m, δ(CH₂, CH₃)), 1352 (δas(CH₂, CH₃)), 1248 (s, (CH₃, TMS)), 837 (s), 692 (w, νas(SiC₃)); UV-Vis ($λ_{max}[nm]$, ε, CH₂Cl₂) 271 (74485); ESI (+) MS Calcd for C₄₀H₅₆OS₃Si₃Na ([M+Na]⁺, 771.2698), found m/z 771.2609; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₄₀H₅₆OS₃Si₃ (732.28): C, 65.52; H, 7.70; found: C, 65.30; H, 7.76.
Trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (0.22 mL, 1.3 mmol) was added dropwise to the solution of 30 (0.56 g, 0.76 mmol) in dry triethylamine (0.2 mL, 1.4 mmol) and CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) at -78 °C under argon atmosphere. The solution was stirred for 6 h at -78 °C, and then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 10 h, before quenching with water (100 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 150 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (130 g) with hexane/EtOAc (9:1) as an eluent to yield 0.64 g (97%) of 31 (Rf = 0.88, hexane/EtOAc = 9:1; m.p. 98-101 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 0.05 (s, 27H, CH₃), 0.93-0.96 (m, 6H, CH₂), 2.94-2.98 (m, 6H, CH₂) 7.04-7.05 (m, 6H, Ar-H) 7.14-7.17 (m, 8H, Ar-H), 7.28 (d, J_H,H = 8.85 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm -1.6, 16.9, 29.1, 63.7, 120.5, 127.4, 131.4, 132.9, 136.1, 143.1, 147.2, 147.8; ¹⁹F NMR (470.57 MHz, CDCl₃) -72.92; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3025 (w, ν(=CH)), 2956 (s, νas(CH₂, CH₃)), 2922 (s, νs(CH₂, CH₃)), 2853 (m), 1557 (w, ν(C=C)), 1490 (s), 1429 (s, δ(CH₂, CH₃)), 1363 (m, δas(CH₂, CH₃)), 1250 (s, (CH₃, TMS)), 1214 (s), 1141 (m), 812 (s), 694 (w, νas(SiC₃)) UV-Vis (λ_max[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 273 (33589); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C₄₁H₅₅O₃S₄Si₃FNa ([M+Na]+, 887.2186), found m/z 887.2121; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₄₁H₅₅O₃S₄Si₃F (864.23): C, 56.91; H, 6.41; found: C, 56.97; H, 6.55.
4-(Tris[4-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]phenyl]methyl)benzonitrile (32)

Caution! Inorganic cyanides are highly toxic compounds.

A 50 mL pressure tube was charged with triflate 31 (0.25 g, 0.29 mmol), zinc cyanide (0.14 g, 1.19 mmol), copper (I) cyanide (0.026 g, 0.29 mmol), Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.034 g, 0.029 mmol) and anhydrous DMF (8 mL) under argon. The tube was sealed and stirred at 140 °C for 16 h. After cooling to room temperature, the resulting solution was quenched with Na₂CO₃ (60 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 150 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (70 g) in the mixture of hexane/EtOAc (9:1) to get 0.2 g of 32 as a white powder in 93% yield (Rf = 0.44, hexane/EtOAc = 9:1); m.p. 156-158 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 0.04 (s, 27H, CH₃), 0.92-0.96 (m, 6H, CH₂), 2.93-2.97 (m, 6H, CH₂), 7.03-7.05 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.15-7.17 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.33 (d, J_H,H = 8.85 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.54 (d, J_H,H = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm -1.5, 16.9, 29.1, 64.3, 110.2, 119.0, 127.4, 131.4, 131.6, 131.8, 136.3, 142.7, 152.2; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3024 (w, ν(=CH)), 2953 (s, ν(CH₂(CH₂, CH₃))), 2927 (s, ν(CH₂(CH₂, CH₃))), 2854 (m), 2231 (m, ν(C≡N)), 1605 (w, ν(C=C)), 1489 (s), 1401 (s, δ(CH₂(CH₂, CH₃))), 1343 (m, δ(CH₂(CH₂, CH₃))), 1248 (s, (CH₃, TMS)), 1214 (s), 1141 (m), 1094 (s), 859 (s), 694 (w, ν(SiC₃)); UV-Vis (λᵥmax[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 224 (13100), 270 (23 341); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C₄₁H₅₅OS₃Si₃NNa ([M+Na]+, 764.2697), found m/z 764.2548; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₄₁H₅₅OS₃Si₃N (741.28): C, 66.34; H, 7.47; N, 1.89; found: C, 66.56; H, 7.61; N, 1.92.
In a 50 mL oven-flamed Schlenk flask, tetraphenylmethane 32 (150 mg, 0.20 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of dry CH₂Cl₂ (16 mL) and acetyl chloride (1.6 mL) under argon. The solution was treated with AgBF₄ (0.2 g, 1.02 mmol) and a green suspension was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. The completion of the reaction was checked by TLC (Hexane/EtOAc = 3:1). The reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane (100 mL) and slowly poured into the saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (60 mL). The precipitate was filtered through a pad of Celite, washed with CH₂Cl₂, and concentrated in a vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (150 g) with hexane/EtOAc (3:1) to yield 62 mg (54%) of 33 as a white powder (Rᶠ = 0.11, hexane/EtOAc = 3:1); m.p. 202-204 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 2.42 (s, 9H, CH₃), 7.21-7.22 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.32-7.34 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.37 (d, J_H,H = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.57 (d, J_H,H = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 30.47, 65.05, 110.7, 118.7, 126.9, 128.63, 128.69, 131.6, 131.7, 131.9, 134.05, 146.1, 150.9, 193.7; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3029 (w, ν(=CH)), 2920 (s, ν(CH₃)), 2931 (m, ν(CH₃)), 2854 (m), 2227 (m, ν(C≡N)), 1704 (s, ν(C=O)), 1603 (w, ν(C=C)), 1486 (m), 1396 (w, δ(CH₃)), 1343 (w, δ(CH₃)), 1194 (s), 1117 (m), 820 (s), 618 (s); UV-Vis (λ_max[nm], ε, CH₃CN) 223 (31640), 250 (27521); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C₃₂H₂₅NO₃S₃Na ([M+Na]⁺, 590.0889), found m/z 590.0883; elemental analysis calcld (%) for C₃₂H₂₅NO₃S₃ (567.10): C, 67.70; H, 4.44; N, 4.47; found: C, 67.89; H, 4.53; N, 2.58.
A 50 mL oven-flamed pressure tube was charged with triflate 31 (0.65 g, 0.75 mmol), anhydrous potassium acetate (0.22 g, 2.24 mmol), bis(pinacolato)diboron (0.29 g, 1.14 mmol), Pd(dppf)Cl$_2$ (0.061 g, 0.075 mmol) and dry dioxane (15 mL) under argon. The tube was sealed and stirred under at 120 °C for 16 h. After cooling, the reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane (200 mL) and washed with water (100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO$_4$ and filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (130 g) with hexane/EtOAc (9:1) to provide 0.45 g of 34 as a yellow powder in 71% yield ($R_f$ = 0.6, hexane/EtOAc = 9:1); m.p. 174-175 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm 0.03 (s, 27 H, CH$_3$), 0.91-0.95 (m, 6H, CH$_2$), 1.32 (s, 12H, CH$_3$), 2.92-2.96 (m, 6H, CH$_2$), 7.08-7.09 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.13-7.15 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.20 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.68 (d, $J_{HH} = 8$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm -1.5, 17.0, 25.1, 29.3, 64.3, 84.0, 127.5, 130.5, 131.5, 131.6, 134.2, 135.3, 143.9, 149.7; IR (KBr) ν cm$^{-1}$: 3059 (w, ν(=CH)), 2952 (s, νas(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 2924 (s, νs(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 2855 (m), 1730 (w), 1608 (w, ν(C=C)), 1486 (s), 1398 (s, δ(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1361 (s, δas(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1248 (s, CH$_3$, TMS)), 1214 (w), 1145 (m), 1091 (s), 860 (s), 837 (s), 808 (m), 751 (w), 695 (w, νas(SiC$_3$)); UV-Vis (λ$_{max}$[nm], ε, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) 271 (36961); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C$_{46}$H$_{67}$BO$_2$S$_3$Si$_3$Na ([M+Na]$^+$, 865.3365), found m/z 865.3076; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{46}$H$_{67}$BO$_2$S$_3$Si$_3$ (842.37): C, 65.52; H, 8.01; found: C, 65.74; H, 8.06.
1-Iodo-4-(tris[4-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]phenyl]methyl)benzene (35)

A 50 mL, two neck round-bottom flask was charged with pinacol ester 34 (0.15 g, 0.18 mmol), copper iodide (0.051 g, 0.27 mmol), N-iodosuccinimide (0.06 g, 0.27 mmol), anhydrous DMF (8 mL), and toluene (4 mL) under argon. The reaction mixture was stirred and heated at 110 °C for 24 h under argon atmosphere. After cooling, the reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane (200 mL) and washed with Na2SO3 solution (10%, 100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO4 and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100 g) with hexane/EtOAc (10:1) to obtain 0.14 g of 35 as a yellow powder in 91% yield (Rf = 0.92, hexane/EtOAc = 9:1); m.p. 70-71 °C; 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm 0.04 (s, 27 H, CH3), 0.92-0.95 (m, 6H, CH2), 2.93-2.96 (m, 6H, CH2), 6.92-6.93 (d, JH,H = 9 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.04-7.06 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.14-7.16 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.56 (d, JH,H = 8 Hz, 2H); 13C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm -1.5, 16.9, 29.2, 36.8, 92.1, 127.4, 131.5, 131.6, 133.2, 135.7, 136.9, 143.4, 146.5; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3023 (w, ν(=CH)), 2952 (s, νas(CH2, CH3)), 2923 (s, νs(CH2, CH3)), 2853 (m), 1739 (w), 1590 (w, ν(C=C)), 1484 (s), 1399 (s, δ(CH2, CH3)), 1248 (s, CH3, TMS)), 1194 (w), 1163 (m), 1093 (s), 1006 (m), 858 (s), 838 (s), 809 (m), 753 (w), 693 (w, νas(SiC3)); UV-Vis (λmax[mm], ε, CH2Cl2) 271 (38610); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C40H55IS3Si3Na ([M+Na]+, 865.1711, found m/z 865.1716; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C40H55IS3Si3 (842.18): C, 56.98; H, 6.57; found: C, 57.09; H, 6.65.
The desired product was prepared according to the method described for the preparation of 33, starting from 35 (0.11 g, 0.13 mmol), AgBF₄ (0.2 g, 1.05 mmol) in a mixture of dry dichloromethane (12 mL) and acetyl chloride (1.2 mL) under argon. The suspension was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (150 g) in hexane/EtOAc (5:1) to provide 0.076 g of 36 as a white powder in 87% yield ($R_f = 0.41$ hexane/EtOAc = 3:1); m.p. 272-273 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 2.42 (s, 9H, CH₃), 6.97 (d, $J_{HH} = 9.5$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.22-7.24 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.30-7.32 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.59 (d, $J_{HH} = 8$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 30.5, 64.6, 92.7, 126.4, 131.8, 131.9, 133.1, 133.9, 137.2, 145.5, 146.9, 194.0; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3039 (w, ν(=CH)), 2954 (s, νas(CH₃)), 2924 (m, νs(CH₃)), 2854 (m), 1741 (s, ν(C=O)), 1590 (w, ν(C=C)), 1485 (m), 1467 (w, νas(CH₃)), 1390 (w, δ(CH₃)), 1261 (m), 1092 (w), 817 (m), 616 (s); UV-vis ($\lambda_{max}$[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 251 (18696); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C₆₆H₇₅IO₃S₃Na ([M+Na]+, 690.9903), found m/z 690.9890; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₄₀H₃₅I₅S₅Si₃ (668.00): C, 55.69; H, 3.77; found: C, 55.52; H, 3.68.
Following the procedure reported for the synthesis of 35. Oven-flamed 100 mL single neck round bottom flask was charged with pinacol boronic ester 34 (0.19 g, 0.22 mmol) N-bromosuccinimide (0.06 g, 0.33 mmol), and copper bromide (0.095 g, 0.66 mmol) dissolved in the mixture of toluene (4 mL) and dimethylformamide (8 mL). The reaction mixture was purged by argon over 30 min, and then heated at 110 °C overnight. Upon cooling to room temperature solvents were evaporated. The residue was diluted with dichloromethane (150 mL) and washed with sodium sulfite solution (150 mL). Aqueous layer was separated and additionally extracted with dichloromethane (2 x100 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100 g) in hexane/ CH₂Cl₂ (2:1) to obtain 0.13 g of 37 (73%) as a yellow solid. (R_f = 0.23, hexane/ CH₂Cl₂ 2:1); m.p. 227-229 °C; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 0.04 (s, 27H, CH₃), 0.92-0.95 (m, 6H, CH₂), 2.94-2.98 (m, 6H, CH₂), 7.07-7.10 (m, 8H, Ar-H), 7.14-7.17 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.39 (d, J_H,H = 8 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); ^13C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 1.8, 17.1, 29.3, 63.9, 120.4, 127.5, 131, 131.6, 133.1, 136.1, 143.7 146.2; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3020 (w, (υ(C=C)), 2949 (s, ν_as(CH₂, CH₃)), 2918 (s, ν(CH₂, CH₃)), 2851 (w), 1588 (s, ν(C≡C)), 1484 (s), 1398 (m, δ(CH₂, CH₃)), 1248 (s, δ(CH₂, CH₃)), 1322 (w), 1248 (s, δ(CH₃, TMS)), 1195 (w), 1163 (w), 1145 (m), 1196 (m), 1164 (m), 1093 (s), 1010 (s) 859 (s), 839 (s, δ(CH₃, TMS)) 810 (m), 692 (w, ν(CH₃)), UV-Vis (λ_max[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 272 (29317); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C₄₀H₅₁S₃Si₃BrK ([M+K]^+, 831.1262), found m/z 831.0907; elemental analysis calced (%) for C₄₀H₅₁S₃Si₃Br (794.20): C 60.34; H 6.96; found: C 60.49, H 7.58.
A procedure for transprotection of 36 was adopted as follows. Compound 37 (0.09 g, 0.11 mmol) was loaded to an oven-flamed and argon flashed Schlenk flask and dissolved in dry dichloromethane (21 mL). Subsequently acetyl chloride (2.1 mL) was dropwise added under argon at 0 °C. The solution was treated with silver tetrafluoroborate (0.18 g, 0.91 mmol) and suspension was stirred overnight at room temperature. Afterward, the reaction was quenched with saturated solution of sodium hydrocarbonate (50 mL) and washed with dichloromethane (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over magnesium sulfate, passed through pad of Celite, and the residues were removed under vacuum. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (120 g), with Hex/EtOAc 3:1) providing 0.068 g (97%) of 38 as a white powder. (Rf = 0.56, hexane/EtOAc 3:1); m.p. 215-218 °C; 1H NMR (500 MHz, CD2Cl2) δ ppm 2.40 (s, 9H, CH3), 7.15 (d, J_H,H = 8.75 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.29 (d, J_H,H = 8.75 Hz, 6H, Ar-H), 7.34 (d, J_H,H = 8.7 Hz, 6H, Ar-H), 7.44 (d, J_H,H = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); 13C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD2Cl2) δ ppm 30.5, 64.8, 121, 126.9, 131.5, 131.8, 132.9, 132.9, 134.2, 145.1, 147.2, 193.9; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3060 (w, ν(=CH)), 2924 (s, νas(CH3)), 2854 (m, νa(CH3)), 1705 (s, ν(C=O)), 1584 (w, ν(C=C)), 1486 (m), 1393 (m, δas(CH3)), 1347 (m, δs(CH3)), 1122 (m), 1092 (m), 1011 (m), 954 (m), 819 (s), 617 (s, ν(C-Br)), UV-Vis (λmax[nm], ε, acetone) 212 (62093); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C31H25BrO3S3Na ([M+Na]+, 645.0027), found m/z 644.9997; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C31H25BrO3S3 (620.01): C 59.90; H 4.05; found: C 60.79; H 4.71.
3-Bromo-1-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]benzene (39)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Br} \quad \text{SH} \\
\text{AIBN}, \quad \text{SiMe}_3 \\
110 \degree C, 86\%
\end{array}
\quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{Br} \quad \text{S} \\
\text{TMS}
\end{array}
\]

Compound 39 was synthesized according to the published procedure.\(^{279}\) 3-Bromothiophenol (5 g, 26.4 mmol), vinyltrimethylsilane (5.55 g, 55.4 mmol), and azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) (0.22 g, 1.32 mmol) were charged to 50 mL pressure tube under inert atmosphere. Afterwards, the tube was sealed and vigorously stirred overnight at 100 °C. The reaction was cooled down, the crude mixture was mixed with 8% solution of NaOH\(_{aq}\) over 1 h and then extracted with Et\(_2\)O (2 x 120 mL). Organic layers were combined, dried over MgSO\(_4\), filtrated and solvents were removed. Obtained yellow oil was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (700 g) in pure hexane providing 6.59 g of 39 in 86% yield. (R\(_f\) = 0.29, hexane); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) ppm, 0.12 (s, 9H, CH\(_3\)), 0.98-1.01 (m, 2H, CH\(_2\)), 3.04 (m, 2H, CH\(_2\)), 7.17-7.20 (t, 1H, Ar-H), 7.25-7.27 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.32-7.34 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.47-7.48 (m, 1H, Ar-H); \(^13\)C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) ppm -0.7, 17.7, 30.3 123.8, 128.0, 129.5, 131.1, 131.8, 132.9, 141.0

Tris{3-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]phenyl}methanol (41)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
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\]
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\]

Method A: The desired product was prepared according to the method described for the preparation of 26, starting from 39 (3 g, 10.4 mol) in anhydrous THF (30 mL), tert-BuLi (14 mL, 21 mmol, 15% in pentane), and diethyl carbonate (0.36 mL, 2.97 mmol) in anhydrous THF (6 mL) under argon. After 16 h, the reaction mixture was quenched, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (350 g) with hexane/EtOAc
(9:1) to get 0.85 g of 41 as yellow oil in 44% yield and 0.52 g of 40 as a yellowish solid in 39% yield and side product 41 as an creamy oil in 15% yield.

For 41: \( R_f = 0.43, \) hexane/EtOAc = 9:1; m.p. 92-94 °C; \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) ppm 0.01 (s, 27H, CH\(_3\)), 0.85-0.89 (m, 6H, CH\(_2\)), 2.75 (s, OH), 2.86-2.89 (m, 6H, CH\(_2\)), 7.01-7.03 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.19-7.20 (m, 5H, Ar-H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) ppm -1.6, 16.9, 29.4, 81.9, 125.4, 127.6, 127.9, 128.6, 137.5, 147.2; IR (KBr) \( \nu \) cm\(^{-1}\): 3460 (s, \( \nu(\text{OH}) \)), 3029 (w, \( \nu(=\text{CH}) \)), 2950 (s, \( \nu_{\text{as}}(\text{CH}_2, \text{CH}_3) \)), 2922 (s, \( \nu_{\text{s}}(\text{CH}_2, \text{CH}_3) \)), 2854 (m), 1584 (w, \( \nu(=\text{CH}) \)), 1467 (s), 1411 (s, \( \delta(\text{CH}_2, \text{CH}_3) \)), 1370 (s, \( \delta_{\text{as}}(\text{CH}_2, \text{CH}_3) \)), 1247 (s, CH\(_3\), TMS)), 1114 (m), 1095 (s), 857 (s), 841 (w, \( \nu_{\text{as}}(\text{SiC}_3) \)); UV-Vis (\( \lambda_{\text{max}}[\text{nm}] \), \( \epsilon \), CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) 257 (25970); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C\(_{34}\)H\(_{54}\)O\(_2\)Si\(_3\)Na ((M+Na)\(^+\), 679.2380), found m/z 697.2307; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C\(_{34}\)H\(_{54}\)O\(_2\)Si\(_3\) (656.25): C, 62.14; H, 7.98; found: C, 62.29; H, 8.08.

For 40: \( R_f = 0.5, \) hexane/EtOAc = 9:1; m.p. 123-125 °C; \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) ppm 0.04 (s, 18H, CH\(_3\)), 0.93-0.96 (m, 4H, CH\(_2\)), 2.97-3.01 (m, 4H, CH\(_2\)), 7.36-7.39 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.49-7.50 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.53-7.64 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.69 (s, 2H, Ar-H), 7.70 (s, 1H), \(^{13}\)C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) ppm -1.6, 16.9, 29.4, 128.8, 129.5, 132.5, 138.2, 138.6, 196.1; IR (KBr) \( \nu \) cm\(^{-1}\): 3055 (w, \( \nu(=\text{CH}) \)), 2951 (s, \( \nu_{\text{as}}(\text{CH}_2, \text{CH}_3) \)), 2927 (s, \( \nu_{\text{s}}(\text{CH}_2, \text{CH}_3) \)), 2853 (m), 1658 (s, \( \nu(=\text{CH}) \)), 1561 (w, \( \nu(=\text{CH}) \)), 1431 (m, \( \delta(\text{CH}_2, \text{CH}_3) \)), 1414 (m, \( \delta_{\text{as}}(\text{CH}_2, \text{CH}_3) \)), 1260 (s, CH\(_3\), TMS)), 1145 (m), 1091 (s), 847 (s), 840 (s), 695 (w, \( \nu_{\text{as}}(\text{SiC}_3) \)); UV-Vis (\( \lambda_{\text{max}}[\text{nm}] \), \( \epsilon \), CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) 265 (23085); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C\(_{23}\)H\(_{34}\)O\(_2\)Si\(_2\)K ((M+K)\(^+\), 485.1221), found m/z 485.1247; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C\(_{23}\)H\(_{34}\)O\(_2\)Si\(_2\) (446.16): C, 61.83; H, 7.67; found: C, 61.67; H, 7.90.

For 42: m.p. 89-91 °C; \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) ppm 0.01 (s, 18H, CH\(_3\)), 0.86-0.88 (m, 4H, CH\(_2\)), 0.90 (s, 9H, CH\(_3\)), 2.25 (s, 1H, OH), 2.89-2.92 (m, 4H, CH\(_2\)), 7.18 (dd, \( J_{\text{HH}} = 6.75, 1.85 \) Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.42 (dd, \( J_{\text{HH}} = 6.65, 1.9 \) Hz, 4H, Ar-H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) ppm -1.6, 17.1, 27.7, 29.8, 39.4, 82.9, 126.2, 127.4, 127.4, 129.2, 136.2, 146.6; IR (KBr) \( \nu \) cm\(^{-1}\): 3415 (\( \nu(\text{OH}) \)), 3028 (w, \( \nu(=\text{CH}) \)), 2953 (s, \( \nu_{\text{as}}(\text{CH}_2, \text{CH}_3) \)), 2922 (s, \( \nu_{\text{s}}(\text{CH}_2, \text{CH}_3) \)), 2854 (m), 1590 (m, \( \nu(=\text{CH}) \)), 1470 (m, \( \delta_{\text{as}}(\text{CH}_2, \text{CH}_3) \)), 1404 (w, \( \delta(\text{CH}_2, \text{CH}_3) \)), 1249 (m, \( \delta(\text{CH}_3, \text{TMS}) \)), 1162 (m), 1105 (w), 1047 (m), 893 (m), 862 (s), 840 (s, \( \delta_{\text{as}}(\text{CH}_3, \text{TMS}) \)), 828 (s), 749 (m), 696 (w, \( \nu_{\text{as}}(\text{SiC}_3) \)); UV-Vis (\( \lambda_{\text{max}}[\text{nm}] \), \( \epsilon \), CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) 267 (22553); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for
C_{27}H_{44}OS_{2}Si_{2}K ([M+K]^+, 543.209), found m/z 543.2089; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C_{27}H_{44}OS_{2}Si_{2} (504.24); C 64.24 H 8.78; found: C 64.20, H 8.36.

Method B: The desired product was prepared according to the method described for the preparation of 26, starting from 39 (0.97 g, 3.35 mmol) in anhydrous THF (15 mL), tert-BuLi (4.55 mL, 6.71 mmol, 15% in pentane), and 40 (0.75 g, 1.6 mmol) in anhydrous THF (10 mL) under argon. After 12 h, the reaction mixture was quenched, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (200 g) in hexane/EtOAc (9:1) to yield 1 g (76%) of 41 as yellowish oil.

3,3’-dibromobenzophenone (44)

A flame-dried, argon-flushed 100 mL Schlenk flask was charged with 1,3-dibromobenzene (2 g, 8.47 mmol) and anhydrous THF (30 mL). The solution was cooled to -78 °C and n-BuLi (1.6 M in hexane, 5.3 mL, 8.48 mmol) was added dropwise over 20 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h under argon. In a second 100 mL Schlenk flask, diethyl carbonate (0.21 mL, 1.7 mmol) was diluted in anhydrous THF (10 mL) under an inert atmosphere and cooled to -78 °C. The solution of lithiated species was slowly added via a cannula into the flask containing carbonate solution, after 1 h, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 15 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated NH_{4}Cl solution (100 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with CH_{2}Cl_{2} (3 × 100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried
over MgSO₄ and filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (200 g) in hexane/EtOAc (20:1) to afford 0.53 g of 44 as a white solid in 93% yield (R_f = 0.4, hexane/EtOAc = 20:1); m.p. 123-125 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 7.38-7.41 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.68-7.69 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.75-7.77 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.91-7.92 (m, 2H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 123.0, 128.9, 130.5, 133.0, 136.0, 139.3, 193.8; IR (KBr) v cm⁻¹: 3061 (w, ν(=CH)), 2922 (s), 1651 (s, ν(C=O), 1563 (w, ν(C=C)), 1470 (m), 1417 (m); UV-Vis (λ_max[µm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 265 (9490); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C₁₃H₈Br₂O (M+Na⁺), 362.8814, found m/z 362.8802; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₁₃H₈Br₂O (337.89): C, 45.92; H, 2.37; found: C, 45.97; H, 2.34.

**Tris(3-bromophenyl)methanol (45)**

![Chemical structure of 45]

In a 100 mL Schlenk flask, 1,3-dibromobenzene (1.9 g, 8.1 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (30 mL) under argon, cooled to -78 °C and degassed. Then n-BuLi (1.6 M in hexane, 5.3 mL, 8.4 mmol) was added dropwise over 20 min and the resulting mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h. In a second 100 mL Schlenk flask, 44 (1.8 g, 5.33 mmol) was dissolved in THF (10 mL) under argon, and cooled to -78 °C. The solution of lithiated species was slowly added via a cannula into the flask containing the solution of 44. The yellow solution was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h, then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 12 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated NH₄Cl solution (100 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (300 g) in hexane/EtOAc (10:1) to provide 2.15 g of 45 as a yellow oil in 82% yield (R_f = 0.29, hexane/EtOAc = 10:1); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 3.07 (s, OH), 7.14 (d, J_H,H = 7.9 Hz, 3H, Ar-H), 7.19-7.22 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.45 (d, J_H,H = 7.85 Hz, 3H, Ar-H), 7.48 (s, 3H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 68.1, 122.9, 126.8
130.0, 130.8, 131.2, 148.1; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3442 (s, ν(OH)), 3061 (w, ν(=CH)), 2926 (s), 1564 (w, ν(C=C)), 1509 (s), 1470 (s), 1416 (m), 1416 (m), 1200 (m), 785 (w); UV-Vis (λmax [nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 267 (5540); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C₁₀H₁₃Br₃ONa ([M+Na]⁺, 518.8389), found m/z 518.8376; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₁₀H₁₃Br₃O (493.25): C, 45.92; H, 2.64; found: C, 45.99; H, 2.56.

4-[Tris(3-bromophenyl)methyl]phenol (46)

The desired product was prepared according to the method described for the preparation of 30, starting from 45 (2.13 g, 4.32 mmol), phenol (4.81 g, 51 mmol), and a few drops of concentrated HCl. The reaction mixture was heated at 140 °C for 14 h under argon. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (300 g) in hexane/EtOAc (10:1) to afford 2.17 g of 46 as a white solid in 88% yield (Rf = 0.35, hexane/EtOAc = 10:1): m.p. 178-180 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 5.17 (s, OH), 6.76 (d, JH,H = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.98 (d, JH,H = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.07 (d, JH,H = 8.05 Hz, 3H, Ar-H), 7.13-7.16 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.32 (s, 3H, Ar-H), 7.36 (d, JH,H = 9.25 Hz, 3H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 64.1, 115.1, 122.4, 129.5, 129.9, 130.0, 132.3, 133.5, 137.3, 148.4, 154.3; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3422 (s, ν(OH)), 3058 (w, ν(=CH)), 2922 (s), 1590 (w, ν(C=C)), 1468 (s), 1404 (m), 1215 (m), 832 (s), 782 (s); UV-Vis (λmax [nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 280 (4420); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C₂₅H₁₇Br₃ONa ([M+Na]⁺, 594.8682), found m/z 596.8680; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₅H₁₇Br₃O (569.88): C, 52.39; H, 2.99; found: C, 52.42; H, 2.88.
Trimethyl[4-[tris(3-bromophenyl)methyl]phenoxy]silane (47)

Trimethylsilyl chloride (0.50 g, 4.17 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of 46 (1.32 g, 2.32 mmol) in the mixture of anhydrous triethylamine (0.43 g, 4.17 mmol) and diethyl ether (11 mL) at 0 °C under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h, and then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 12 h. The resulting solution was quenched with water (100 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with diethyl ether (2 x 150 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (130 g) with hexane/EtOAc (9:1) to get 1.32 g of 47 as a white powder in 89% yield ($R_f = 0.84$, hexane/EtOAc = 9:1); m.p. 42–45 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 0.28 (s, 9H, CH₃), 6.76 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.8$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.97 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.8$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.08 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.05$ Hz, 3H, Ar-H), 7.13-7.17 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.32 (s, 3H, Ar-H), 7.37 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, 3H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 0.5, 64.1, 119.4, 122.3, 129.4, 129.8, 130.0, 132.1, 133.6, 137.7, 148.4, 153.9; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3034 (w, ν(=CH)), 2956 (s, $ν_{as}$(CH₃)), 2922 (s, $ν_{a}$(CH₃)), 2852 (m), 1585 (w, ν(C=C)), 1470 (s), 1406 (s, $δ_{a}$(CH₃)), 1352 (s, $δ_{as}$(CH₃)), 1248 (s, CH₃, TMS), 1215 (s), 832 (s), 782 (s), 683(w, $ν_{as}$(SiC₃)); UV-Vis ($λ_{max}$[nm]. $ε$, CH₂Cl₂) 274 (9059); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C₂₅H₁₇Br₃ONa ([M+Na - TMS]⁺, 596.8682), found m/z 594.8690; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₈H₂₅Br₃OSi (641.92): C, 52.12; H, 3.91; found: C, 52.34; H, 3.97.
A 25 mL pressure tube was charged with 47 (1.3 g, 2.03 mmol), Xantphos (0.094 g, 0.016 mmol), Pd$_2$(dba)$_3$ (0.093 g, 0.0089 mmol) and anhydrous dioxane (14 mL). The tube was evacuated and refilled with argon three times. Then N,N-diisopropylethylamine (2.3 g, 18 mmol) and 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethane thiol (2.5 g, 12 mmol) were added under argon and the tube was quickly sealed. The reaction mixture was heated at 120 °C for 24 h. After cooling, the reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane (200 mL) and washed with solution of HCl (1 M, 100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO$_4$ and filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (300 g) in hexane/EtOAc (10:1) to provide the title compound 43 (1.36 g) as a yellow oil in 92% yield ($R_f$ = 0.2, hexane/EtOAc = 10:1): $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm -0.02 (s, 27H, CH$_3$), 0.80-0.84 (m, 6H, CH$_2$), 2.77-2.81 (m, 6H, CH$_2$), 5.04 (s, OH), 6.70 (d, $J_{H,H}$ = 8.75 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.97 (d, $J_{H,H}$ = 8.54 Hz, 3H, Ar-H), 7.03 (d, $J_{H,H}$ = 8.75 Hz, 2H, Ar-H) 7.11-7.17 (m, 6H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm -1.6, 16.9, 29.5, 68.2, 114.6, 126.6, 128.1, 128.8, 131.2, 132.5, 136.7, 138.4, 147.4, 154.0; IR (KBr) ν cm$^{-1}$: 3435 (s, ν(OH)), 3055 (w, ν(≡CH)), 2951 (s, νas(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 2924 (s., νas(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 2852 (m), 1609 (w, ν(C≡C)), 1509 (s), 1471 (s), 1430 (s, δ$_s$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1368 (s, δ$_as$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1248 (s, CH$_3$, TMS)), 1214 (s), 1145 (m), 1091 (s), 857 (s), 840(s), 687 (w, νas(SiC$_3$)); UV-Vis ($\lambda_{max}$[nm], ε, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) 275 (51292); ESI(+) MS Caled for C$_{40}$H$_{56}$OS$_3$Si$_3$Na ([M+Na]$^+$, 755.2693), found $m/z$ 755.2515; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{40}$H$_{56}$OS$_3$Si$_3$ (732.28): C, 65.52; H, 7.70; found: C, 66.15; H, 7.81.
The desired product was prepared according to the method described for the preparation of 31, starting from 43 (0.3 g, 0.41 mmol), triflic anhydride (0.2 g, 0.71 mmol) in the mixture of anhydrous triethylamine (0.12 mL, 0.82 mmol), dichloromethane (25 mL) at -78 °C under argon. The reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h, and then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 10 h. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (150 g) in hexane/EtOAc (9:1) to provide the title compound 48 (0.29 g) as a yellowish oil in 83% yield (Rf = 0.81, hexane/EtOAc = 9:1): 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm -0.01 (s, 27H, CH3), 0.81-0.84 (m, 6H, CH2), 2.78-2.82 (m, 6H, CH2), 6.92-6.93 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.07-7.08 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.13-7.17 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.17-7.20 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.29 (d, JH,H = 3 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); 13C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm -1.6, 17.2, 29.7, 65.2, 120.9, 127.0, 128.7, 128.8, 131.2, 133.4, 137.9, 146.9, 147.3, 148.3; 19F NMR (470.57 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm -73.30; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3060 (w, ν(=CH)), 2953 (s, νas(CH2, CH3)), 2927 (s, νas(CH2, CH3)), 2854 (m), 1582 (m, ν(C=C)), 1497 (w), 1427 (s, δas(CH2, CH3)), 1380 (s, δas(CH2, CH3)), 1247 (s, CH3, TMS)), 1250 (s), 1213 (s), 1142 (s), 858 (s), 840 (s), 697 (w, νas(SiC3)); UV-Vis (λmax[nm], ε, CH2Cl2) 268 (25 170); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C41H55O2S4Si3Na ([M+Na]+, 887.2186), found m/z 887.2126; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C41H55O2S4Si3F (864.23): C, 56.91; H, 6.41; found: C, 57.03; H, 6.59.
The desired product was prepared according to the method described for the preparation of 32, starting from triflate 48 (0.15 g, 0.17 mmol), zinc cyanide (0.049 g, 0.42 mmol), copper (I) cyanide (0.015 g, 0.17 mmol), Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$ (0.029 g, 0.025 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (10 mL) under argon. After 16 h at 140 °C, the reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100 g) in the mixture of hexane/EtOAc (9:1) to obtain 0.13 g of 49 as an yellow oil in 74% yield ($R_f$ = 0.67, hexane/EtOAc = 9:1): $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm -0.01 (s, 27H, CH$_3$), 0.80-0.84 (m, 6H, CH$_2$), 2.78-2.81 (m, 6H, CH$_2$), 6.91-6.93 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.07 (s, 3H, Ar-H), 7.14 (d, $J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.17-7.20 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.35 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.55$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.55 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.6$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm -1.57, 16.84, 29.45, 65.29, 110.39, 118.87, 126.79, 128.43, 128.47, 130.83, 131.65, 131.87, 137.49, 154.96, 151.72; IR (KBr) ν cm$^{-1}$: 3059 (w, ν(=CH)), 2951 (s, νas(CH$_2$; CH$_3$)), 2924 (s, νas(CH$_2$; CH$_3$)), 2856 (m), 2228 (m, ν(C≡N)), 1582 (s, ν(C=C)), 1509 (s), 1471 (s), 1407 (s, δ$_s$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1344 (s, δas(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1248 (m, CH$_3$, TMS)), 1261 (s), 1145 (m), 1091 (s), 875(s), 860 (s), 696 (w, νas(SiC$_3$)); UV-Vis (λ$_{max}$[nm], ε, CH$_3$CN) 224 (19670); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C$_{41}$H$_{55}$S$_3$Si$_3$NK ([M+K]$^+$, 780.2436), found m/z 780.2485; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{41}$H$_{55}$OS$_3$Si$_3$N (741.28): C, 66.34; H, 7.47; N, 1.89; found: C, 66.49; H, 7.65; N, 1.91.
The desired product was prepared according to the method described for 33, starting from 49 (0.12 g, 0.16 mmol), AgBF₄ (0.22 g, 1.12 mmol), acetyl chloride (1.2 mL) in anhydrous dichloromethane (12 mL) under argon. After 12 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (150 g) in hexane/EtOAc (3:1) to give 0.07 g of 50 as an orange powder in 77% yield (R_f = 0.11, hexane/EtOAc = 3:1); m.p. 151-154 °C; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 2.35 (s, 9H, CH₃), 7.26 (s, 3H, Ar-H), 7.29 (dd, J_H,H = 4.25 Hz, 1.75 Hz, 3H, Ar-H), 7.35-7.38 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.44 (d, J_H,H = 8.35 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.60 (d, J_H,H = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); ^13 C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 30.4, 65.1, 110.6, 118.8, 128.2, 129.1, 131.6, 131.8, 132.0, 132.7, 137.2, 146.1, 151.0, 193.7; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3060 (w, ν(=CH)), 2923 (s, ν(CH₃)), 2853 (m, ν(CH₃)), 2228 (m, ν(C≡N)), 1705 (s, ν(C=O)), 1584 (w, ν(C=C)), 1470, 1416 (m), 1408 (w, δ(CH₃)), 1341 (w, δ(CH₃)), 1243 (m), 1098 (m), 1119 (s), 886 (w), 613(s); UV-Vis (λ_max[nm], ε, CH₃CN) 223 (48219); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C₃₂H₂₅NO₃S₃Na ([M+Na]^+), 590.0889, found m/z 590.0872; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₃₂H₃₅NO₃S₃: C, 67.70; H, 4.44; N, 2.47; found: C, 67.82; H, 4.49; N, 2.52.
The desired product was prepared according to the method described for 34, starting from triflate 48 (0.29 g, 0.34 mmol), anhydrous potassium acetate (0.14 g, 1.36 mmol), bis(pinacolato)diboron (0.13 g, 0.51 mmol), Pd(dppf)Cl$_2$ (0.028 g, 0.034 mmol) in anhydrous dioxane (15 mL) under argon. After 16 h at 120 °C, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (130 g) in hexane/EtOAc (10:1) to provide 0.23 g of 51 as a yellow powder in 82% yield ($R_f$ = 0.48, hexane/EtOAc = 10:1); m.p. 129-130 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm -0.02 (s, 27H, CH$_3$), 0.79-0.83 (m, 6H, CH$_2$), 1.32 (s, 12H, CH$_3$), 2.76-2.80 (m, 6H, CH$_3$), 6.97-6.99 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.10-7.11 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.13-7.16 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.22 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.25$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.68 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.4$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm -1.6; 16.9, 25.1, 29.5, 65.3, 83.9, 126.6, 127.8, 128.1, 128.7, 130.6, 131.2, 134.3, 136.8, 147.0, 149.3; IR (KBr) ν cm$^{-1}$: 3059 (w, $\nu$(=CH)), 2950 (s, $\nu$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 2921 (s, $\nu$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 2852 (m), 1733 (m), 1609 (m), 1580 (s, $\nu$(C=C)), 1470 (s), 1402 (s, $\delta$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1364(s, $\delta$as(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1247 (m, CH$_3$, TMS)), 1162 (s), 1143 (m), 1091 (s), 1017 (m), 860 (s), 838 (s), 693 (w, $\nu$as(SiC$_3$)); UV-Vis ($\lambda_{max}$[nm], ε, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) 265 (27425); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C$_{46}$H$_{67}$BO$_2$S$_3$Si$_3$Na ([M+Na]$^+$, 865.3605), found m/z 865.3226; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{46}$H$_{67}$BO$_2$S$_3$Si$_3$ (842.37): C, 65.52; H, 8.01; found: C, 65.73; H, 8.12.
1-Iodo-4-(tris[3-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]phenyl]methyl)benzene (52)

The desired product was prepared according to the method described for 35, starting from pinacol ester 51 (0.36 g, 0.43 mmol), copper iodide (0.12 g, 0.64 mmol), N-Iodosuccinimide (0.14 g, 0.64 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (13 mL) and toluene (6.5 mL) under argon. After 24 h at 110 °C, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (120 g) in hexane/EtOAc (10:1) to get 0.3 g of 52 as a yellow powder in 83% yield ($R_f = 0.75$, hexane/EtOAc = 10:1); m.p. 136-137 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ ppm 0.0 (s, 27 H, CH$_3$), 0.81-0.85 (m, 6 H, CH$_2$), 2.80-2.84 (m, 6 H, CH$_2$), 6.98-7.00 (m, 5 H, Ar-H), 7.11-7.14 (m, 6 H, Ar-H), 7.17-7.20 (m, 3 H, Ar-H), 7.60 (d, $J_{H,H} = 7.8$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) $\delta$ ppm -1.55, 17.27, 29.73, 65.27, 92.49, 126.86, 128.66, 128.72, 131.13, 133.55, 137.33, 137.77, 146.63; 147.12; IR (KBr) $\nu$ cm$^{-1}$: 3058 (w, $\nu$(=CH)), 2952 (s, $\nu$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 2921 (s, $\nu$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 2853 (m), 1581 (s, $\nu$(C=C)), 1473 (s), 1403 (s, $\delta$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1248 (s, CH$_3$, TMS), 1162 (s), 1104 (m), 1089 (s), 1006 (m), 862 (s), 840 (s), 695 (w, $\nu$(SiC$_3$)); UV-Vis ($\lambda_{max}$[nm], $\varepsilon$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) 267 (24704); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C$_{40}$H$_{55}$S$_3$Si$_3$I$_3$Na ([M+Na]$^+$, 865.1711), found m/z 887.1564; elemental analysis calcd (%): C, 56.98; H, 6.57; found: C, 57.11; H, 6.70.
The desired product was prepared according to the method described for 33, starting from 52 (0.29 g, 0.43 mmol), with AgBF₄ (0.4 g, 2.06 mmol) in the mixture of anhydrous dichloromethane (18 mL) and acetyl chloride (1.8 mL) under argon. The suspension was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (150 g) in hexane/EtOAc (5:1) to provide 0.13 g of 53 as a yellow powder in 55% yield ($R_f = 0.31$, hexane/EtOAc = 5:1); m.p. 122-125 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 2.37 (s, 9H, CH₃), 7.04 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.6$ Hz 3H, Ar-H), 7.26-7.27 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.27-7.29 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.32-7.35 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.62 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.6$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 30.4, 64.7, 92.7, 127.9, 129.0, 131.8, 132.6, 133.1, 137.2, 137.3, 145.5, 146.7, 193.9; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3056 (w, $\nu$(=CH)), 2924 (s, $\nu$_as(CH₃)), 2853 (m, $\nu$_s(CH₃)), 1702 (s, $\nu$(C=O)), 1583 (w, $\nu$(C=C)), 1467 (m, $\delta$_as(CH₃)), 1402 (w, $\delta$(CH₃)), 1344 (w), 1120 (m), 1098 (m), 1119 (s), 947 (m), 888 (w), 613 (s); UV-Vis ($\lambda_{max}$[nm], ε, CH₃CN) 209 (39207); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C₃₁H₂₅IO₃S₃Na ([M+Na]$^+$, 690.9903), found m/z 690.9909; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₃₁H₂₅IO₃S₃ (668.00): C, 55.69; H, 3.77; found: C, 55.58; H, 3.72.
The desired product was prepared according to the procedure reported for 37. Oven-flamed 100 mL two neck round bottom flask was charged with pinacol boronic ester 51 (0.13 g, 0.15 mmol) N-bromosuccinimide (0.042 g, 0.23 mmol), copper bromide (0.66 g, 0.46 mmol) and dissolved in in the mixture of toluene (3 mL) and dimethylformamide (6 mL). The mixture was purged with argon over 30 min, and consecutively heated at 110 °C overnight. Upon cooling to room temperature solvents were evaporated. Remaining solution was diluted with dichloromethane (150 mL) and washed with saturated solution of sodium sulfite (150 mL). Aqueous layer was separated and additionally extracted with dichloromethane (2 x 100 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate and filtered. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (200 g) in hexane/EtOAc (9:1) to obtain 0.09 g of 54 (74%) as a brown solid. (R_f = 0.87, hexane/EtOAc 9:1); m.p. 241-242 °C; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ ppm 0.01 (s, 27H, CH_3), 0.80-0.84 (m, 6H, CH_2), 2.77-2.81 (m, 6H, CH_2), 6.93-6.95 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.06-7.08 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.09 (s, 3H, Ar-H), 7.12-7.13 (m, 3H, Ar-H) 7.15-7.18 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.37 (d, J_H,H = 6.8 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); ^1^C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl_3) δ ppm -2.43, 16.01, 28.62, 63.89, 119.75, 125.79, 127.39, 127.73, 130.07, 130.10, 130.28, 136.27, 144.47, 145.78; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3024 (w, ν (=CH)), 2952 (s, ν_as(CH_2, CH_3)), 2924 (s, ν_(δ(CH_2, CH_3))), 2854 (w), 1608 (s, ν(C=C)), 1583 (m), 1486 (s), 1399 (m, δ_as(CH_2, CH_3)), 1362 (s, δ_(CH_2, CH_3)), 1322 (w), 1248 (s, δ_(CH, TMS)), 1195 (w), 1163 (w), 1145 (m), 1092 (m), 1013 (m), 859 (s), 839 (s, δ_as(CH_3, TMS)) 809 (m), 694 (w, ν_(as(SiC_3))), 660 (w, ν_(C-Br)); UV-Vis (λ_{max}[nm], ε, CH_2Cl_2) 270 (19496); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C_{40}H_{55}S_3Si_3BrNa ([M+Na]^+, 819.1836), found m/z 819.1786; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C_{40}H_{55}S_3Si_3Br (794.20): C 60.34; H 6.96; found: C 60.81, H 7.15.
Compound 54 (0.08 g, 0.1 mmol) was loaded to an oven-flamed and argon flashed Schlenk flask and dissolved in dry dichloromethane (12 mL). Subsequently acetyl chloride (1.2 mL) was dropwise added under argon at 0 °C. The solution was treated with silver tetrafluoroborate (0.16 g, 0.81 mmol) and suspension was stirred overnight at room temperature. Afterwards, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated solution of sodium hydrocarbonate (50 mL) and washed with dichloromethane (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, passed through a pad of Celite, and all volatiles were removed under vacuum. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (120 g), with Hexane/EtOAc 3:1 getting 0.065 g (94%) of 55 as a brown powder. ($R_f$ = 0.44, hexane/EtOAc 3:1); m.p. 160-161 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm 2.34 (s, 9H, CH$_3$), 7.13 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.6$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.23-7.26 (m, 9H, Ar-H), 7.29-7.32 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.39 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.6$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm 30.4, 64.5, 120.8, 127.9, 128.9, 131.2, 131.8, 132.5, 132.8, 137.2, 144.7, 146.7, 193.8; IR (KBr) ν cm$^{-1}$: 3058 (w, $\nu$=CH), 2924 (s, $v_{as}$(CH$_3$)), 2853 (m, $v_{as}$(CH$_3$)), 2225 (m, $\delta$(CH$_3$)), 1703 (s, $\nu$(C=O)), 1583 (w, $\nu$(C=C)), 1468 (m), 1401 (w, $\delta_{as}$(CH$_3$)), 1350 (w, $\delta_{as}$(CH$_3$)), 1120 (m), 1098 (m), 1009 (m), 948 (m), 888 (w), 796 (m), 612 (s, $\nu$(C-Br)), UV-Vis (λ$\text{max}$[nm], ε, acetone) 227 (15369); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C$_{31}$H$_{25}$BrO$_3$S$_3$Na ([M + Na]$^+$, 645.0027), found m/z 644.9972; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{31}$H$_{25}$BrO$_3$S$_3$ (620.01): C 59.90; H 4.05; found: C 60.05; H 4.19.
**4-bromobiphenyl-4’-carbonitrile (56)**

Biphenyl 56 was synthesized by using similar method described in the literature. 4-Bromophenylboronic acid (0.4 g, 1.99 mmol), 4-iodobenzonitrile (0.91 g, 3.98 mmol), potassium carbonate (0.55 g, 3.98 mmol) was loaded to a 100 mL two-neck round bottom flask and dissolved in dioxane (50 mL) and water (10 mL). Solution was flushed with argon (30 min) and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.023 g, 0.2 mmol) was added at once. The reaction mixture was refluxed overnight under argon atmosphere. Afterwards dioxane was removed in vacuo. Crude product was diluted with dichloromethane (200 mL) and extracted with brine (100 mL). Aqueous layer was separated and washed with dichloromethane (2 x 100 mL). Organic layers were combined, dried over magnesium sulfate and filtered. Solvents were evaporated and the crude product was purified on silica gel (250 g) in hexane/EtOAc (10:1) yielding the product 56 as a white solid (0.42 g, 83%). \((R_f = 0.46, \text{hexane/EtOAc 10:1})\); \(^{1}H\) NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\) ) \(\delta\) ppm, 7.43-7.46 (m, 2H, Ar-H) 7.59-7.63 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.63-7.66 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.71-7.74 (m, 2H, Ar-H); \(^{13}C\) NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl\(_3\) ) \(\delta\) ppm 111.5, 118.9, 123.3, 127.7, 128.9, 132.4, 132.9, 138.2, 144.6.

**4’-cyano-biphenyl-4-boronic acid pinacol ester (57)**

Pinacol boronic ester 57 was synthesized according to a modified literature procedure. 4-Bromobiphenyl-4’-carbonitrile (0.45 g, 1.75 mmol), bis(pinacolato) diboron (0.67 g, 2.63 mmol), potassium acetate (0.52 g, 5.25 mmol) was loaded to an oven-flamed pressure tube and dissolved in 50 mL of dry dioxane. A solution was flushed with argon for 30 min and bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene palladium(II) dichloride (0.09 g, 0.13 mmol) was added at once. The reaction mixture was refluxed overnight under argon atmosphere. Then dioxane was removed. The crude product was diluted with dichloromethane (200 mL) and extracted
with brine (100 mL). Aqueous layer was separated and washed with dichloromethane (2 x 150 mL). Organic layers were combined, dried over magnesium sulfate and filtered. Solvents were evaporated and crude product was purified on silica gel (100 g) in hexane/EtOAc (9:1) yielding the product 57 as a white solid (0.5 g, 94%). \((R_f = 0.51, \text{hexane/EtOAc 9:1; m.p. 189-191}^\circ\text{C); }^1\text{H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{)} \delta \text{ ppm, 1.37 (s, 12H, CH}_3\text{), 7.58-7.61 (m, 2H, Ar-H) 7.68-7.75 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.90-7.93 (m, 2H, Ar-H), }^{13}\text{C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{)} \delta \text{ ppm 25.2, 111.3, 119.1, 123.3, 126.7, 128, 132.8, 135.7, 141.8, 145.7.}\]

1,1’:4’,1”-Terphenyl-4-carbonitrile (58)

To an argon flushed 100 mL two-neck round bottom flask 4-bromobiphenyl (0.37 g, 1.59 mmol), 4-cyanophenyl boronic acid (0.28 g, 1.9 mmol), potassium carbonate (1 g, 7.6 mmol) were added and dissolved in dioxane (40 mL), water (10 mL). The reaction mixture was purged with argon for 40 min. Subsequently, tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.15 g, 0.13 mmol) was added at once and the reaction mixture was refluxed overnight. Afterwards the reaction mixture was cooled down and the solvents were removed. The residue was diluted with dichloromethane and washed with brine (100 mL). Aqueous layer was separated and extracted with dichloromethane (2 x 100 mL). Organic layers were combined, dried over magnesium sulfate and filtered. Solvents were evaporated and the crude product was purified on silica gel (150 g) in hexane/CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\text{ (1:2) affording product 58 as a white powder (0.32 g, 79%). } (R_f = 0.58, \text{hexane/CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\text{ 1:2; }^1\text{H NMR (500 MHz, CD}_2\text{Cl}_2\text{)} \delta \text{ ppm, 7.39-7.40 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.46-7.49 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.66-7.68 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.71-7.77 (m, 8H, Ar-H), }^{13}\text{C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD}_2\text{Cl}_2\text{)} \delta \text{ ppm 110.86, 118.81, 126.89, 127.43, 127.52, 127.60, 127.65, 128.83, 132.59, 137.88, 140.03, 141.28, 144.88.}
4’’-Iodo-1,1’:4’,1’’-terphenyl-4-carbonitrile

The iodination was carried out according to a modified literature procedure. A round bottom flask was charged with 58 (1 g, 0.39 mmol), potassium iodate (0.021 g, 0.098 mmol), iodine (0.043 g, 0.17 mmol), glacial acetic acid (15 mL), water (1.25 mL) and concentrated sulfuric acid (0.7 mL). The resulted solution was heated overnight and vigorously stirred at 90 °C. The crude mixture was cooled down to room temperature, precipitated solid was filtered and subsequently washed with 25 mL of AcOH, 20 mL of Na$_2$SO$_3$ (aq) and 50 mL of water. The obtained white powder was then treated with 100 mL of toluene to remove organic residues. The isolated white solid is insoluble in common organic solvents.

4’’-Bromo-1,1’:4’,1’’-terphenyl-4-carbonitrile (59)

In a 100 mL argon flushed two-neck round bottom flask 4,4’-dibromobiphenyl (1 g, 3.21 mmol), 4-cyanophenyl boronic acid (0.24 g, 1.6 mmol), potassium carbonate (0.44 g, 3.21 mmol) were added and dissolved in toluene (30 mL), tetrahydrofuran (10 mL) and water (10 mL). The solution was purged with argon for 40 min. Subsequently tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.13 g, 0.12 mmol) was added at once and the reaction mixture was heated overnight at 85 °C. The reaction mixture was cooled down and solvents were removed. The residue was diluted with dichloromethane and washed with brine (100 mL). Aqueous layer was separated and extracted with dichloromethane (2 x 150 mL). Organic layers were combined, dried over magnesium sulfate and filtered. Solvents were evaporated and crude product was at first purified on silica gel in hexane/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (1:2) and then recrystallized from hot toluene providing the desired product 59 as a white powder (0.24 g, 44%). ($R_f = 0.57$, hexane/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ 1:2); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm,
7.54-7.56 (d, 2H, Ar-H) 7.60-7.62 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.71 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.76 (m, 4H, Ar-H);

13C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD2Cl2) δ ppm 111.41, 119.2, 122.22, 127.85, 127.88, 128.08, 128.99, 132.34, 133.04, 138.72, 139.48, 140.43, 145.9.

4-(Tris[4-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]phenyl)methyl)biphenyl-4'-carbonitrile (60)

A 100 mL two neck round bottom flask was charged with 31 (0.19 g, 0.22 mmol), 4-cyanobenzenbornic acid (0.082 g, 0.36 mmol), potassium carbonate (0.099 g, 0.72 mmol), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.03 g, 0.0023 mmol). The reagents were dissolved in dioxane (50 mL) and water (10 mL), and the solution was purged with argon. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 24 h under argon atmosphere. After cooling down to room temperature, the crude mixture was diluted with dichloromethane (150 mL) and washed with brine (100 mL), aqueous layer was additionally extracted with dichloromethane (2 x 150 mL). The combined organic layer were dried over magnesium sulfate and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (300 g) in hexane/EtOAc (9:1) to obtain 0.15 g of 60 (83%) as a white powder. (RF = 0.55, hexane/EtOAc 9:1); m.p. 184-185 °C; 1H NMR (500 MHz, CD2Cl2) δ ppm 0.04 (s, 27H, CH3), 0.92-0.96 (m, 6 H, CH2), 2.95-2.98 (m, 6 H, CH2), 7.14-7.15 (m, 6 H, Ar-H), 7.15-7.18 (m, 6 H, Ar-H), 7.33 (d, JH-H = 7.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H) 7.53 (d, JH-H = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.71-7.72 (m, 4H, Ar-H); 13C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD2Cl2) δ ppm - 2.2, 16.7, 28.9, 63.7, 110.9, 118.9, 126.4, 127.1, 127.5, 131.3, 131.5, 132.6, 135.7, 136.7, 143.5, 144.8, 147.3; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3028 (w, ν(CH)), 2951 (s, νas(CH2, CH3)), 2921 (s, νs(CH2, CH3)), 2853 (m), 2227 (m, ν(C=N)), 1606 (m, ν(C=C)), 1590 (w), 1489 (s), 1439 (w, δas(CH2, CH3)), 1399 (w, δs(CH2, CH3)), 1271 (w), 1248 (s, δs(CH3, TMS)), 1094 (m), 1013 (m), 856 (s), 841 (s, δas(CH3, TMS)) 813 (s), 756 (m), 694 (w, νas(SiC3)); UV-Vis (λmax[nm],
\( \varepsilon, \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \) 273 (49183); ESI(+) MS Calcd for \( \text{C}_{47}\text{H}_{59}\text{S}_3\text{Si}_3\text{Na} ([\text{M+Na}^+] \text{, 840.3015}, \text{found } m/z \ 840.3275; \text{elemental analysis calcd ()} \% \text{ for } \text{C}_{47}\text{H}_{59}\text{S}_3\text{Si}_3\text{N} (817.31): \text{C} 68.97; \text{H} 7.27, \text{N} 1.71; \text{found}: \text{C} 69.48, \text{H} 7.48, \text{N} 1.80.

\[ S,S',S''-{4,4',4''-[(4-cyanobiphenyl) methanetriyl]} \text{tris(benzene-4,1-diyl)} \text{tris(thioacetate)} (61) \]

\[
\text{\begin{center}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node [draw] (60) at (0,0) {60};
\node [draw] (61) at (2,0) {61};
\draw [->] (60) -- (61);
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{center}}
\]

Compound 60 (0.15 g, 0.18 mmol) was added to an oven-flamed and argon flushed 50 mL Schlenk flask and dissolved in dry dichloromethane (20 mL). Subsequently acetyl chloride (2 mL) was dropwise added under argon at 0 °C. The solution was treated with silver tetrafluoroborate (0.28 g, 1.46 mmol) and the suspension was stirred overnight at room temperature. Afterwards the reaction was quenched with saturated solution of sodium hydrocarbonate (70 mL) and washed with dichloromethane (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, passed through a pad of Celite, and the volatiles were removed under vacuum. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (120 g), with hexane/EtOAc 3:1 providing 0.056 g (47%) of 61 as a white powder. \((R_f = 0.33, \text{hexane/EtOAc 3:1}); \text{m.p.} 160-161 \degree \text{C}; ^1H \text{NMR} \ (500 \text{MHz}, \text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2) \delta \text{ppm} \ 2.40 \ (s, 9H, \text{CH}_3), 7.33-7.36 \ (m, 12H, \text{Ar-H}), 7.40 \ (d, J_{H, H} = 8.55 \text{ Hz, 2H, Ar-H}), 7.58 \ (d, J_{H, H} = 8.55 \text{ Hz, 2H, Ar-H}), 7.72 \ (m, 4H, \text{Ar-H}); ^13C \text{NMR} \ (125.8 \text{MHz, CD}_2\text{Cl}_2) \delta \text{ppm} \ 30.07, 64.60, 111.0, 118.83, 126.46, 126.79, 127.57, 131.42, 131.46, 132.63, 133.81, 137.15, 146.67, 146.15, 147.07, 193.57; \text{IR} \ (\text{KBr}) \nu \text{ cm}^{-1}: \ 3061 \ (w, \nu(=\text{CH})), 2924 \ (s, \nu_{\text{as}}(\text{CH}_3)), 2854 \ (m, \nu_{\text{s}}(\text{CH}_3)), 2225 \ (m, \nu(\text{C=O})), 1710 \ (s, \nu(\text{C=O})), 1605 \ (w, \nu(\text{C=C})), 1395 \ (w, \delta_{\text{as}}(\text{CH}_3)), 1349 \ (w, \delta(\text{CH}_3)), 1117 \ (m), 1092 \ (m), 1014 \ (m), 953 \ (m), 817 \ (s), 617 \ (s); \text{UV-Vis} (\lambda_{\text{max}}[\text{nm}], \varepsilon, \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2) \ 271 \ (31342); \text{ESI(+) HRMS} \text{ Calcd for C}_{38}\text{H}_{29}\text{NO}_3\text{S}_3\text{Na} \]
([M+Na]+, 666.1207, found m/z 666.1199; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C_{38}H_{29}NO_3S_3 (643.13): C 70.89; H 4.54, N 2.18; found: C 71.08; H 4.68, N 2.28.

4''-{(Tris[4-[2-trimethylsilyl]-ethylsulfanyl]phenyl)methyl}-1,1':4',1''-{(4-cyano)-4-(terphenyl)-4-carbonitrile (62)

The product 62 was synthesized according to the method reported for 60. Namely, triflate 31 (0.25 g, 0.29 mmol), biphenyl 57 (0.12 g, 0.5 mmol), potassium carbonate (0.12 g, 0.88 mmol), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.003 mmol, 0.034 g) were added to the argon flushed 100 mL two-neck round bottom flask equipped with attached refluxed condenser and dissolved in the mixture of dioxane (40 mL) and water (8 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred under argon overnight and heated at 105 °C. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (200 g) in hexane/EtOAc (9:1) to yield 0.23 g of 62 as a white powder in 89% yield. \( R_f = 0.47 \), hexane/EtOAc 9:1; m.p. 168-171 °C; \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_2\)) \( \delta \) ppm 0.043 (s, 27H, CH\(_3\)), 0.93-0.96 (m, 6H, CH\(_2\)), 2.95-2.99 (m, 6H, CH\(_2\)), 7.16-7.19 (m, 12H, Ar-H), 7.32 (d, \( J_{1,1H} = 8.5 \) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.57 (d, \( J_{1,1H} = 8.5 \) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.69-7.75 (m, 8H, Ar-H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl\(_2\)) \( \delta \) ppm 2.14, 16.74, 28.9, 63.71, 110.94, 118.86, 126.11, 127.15, 127.48, 127.51, 127.61, 131.30, 131.38, 132.66, 135.56, 137.63, 137.94, 140.67, 143.72, 144.92, 146.24; IR (KBr) \( \nu \) cm\(^{-1}\): 3032 (w, \( \nu (=CH) \)), 2950 (s, \( \nu_{as}(CH_2, CH_3) \)), 2923 (s, \( \nu_{as}(CH_2, CH_3) \)), 2853 (w), 2229 (m, \( \nu (C=N) \)), 1606 (m, \( \nu (C=C) \)), 1591 (w), 1488 (s), 1439 (w, \( \delta_{as}(CH_2, CH_3) \)), 1398 (w, \( \delta (CH_2, CH_3) \)), 1248 (s, \( \delta (CH_3, TMS) \)), 1094 (m), 1012 (m), 859 (s), 840 (s, \( \delta_{as}(CH_3, TMS) \)), 811 (s), 692 (w, \( \nu_{as}(SiC_3) \)); UV-Vis (\( \lambda_{max} \)[nm], \( \varepsilon \), CHCl\(_3\)) 275 (45372); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for
C_{53}H_{63}S_{3}Si_{3}NNa ([M+Na]^+, 916.3328, found m/z 916.3697; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C_{53}H_{63}S_{3}Si_{3}N (893.34): C 71.16, H 7.10, N 1.57; found: C 71.12, H 7.46, N 1.69.

S,S',S''-{4,4',4''-[(4-Cyano-1,1':4',1''-terphenyl-4''-yl)methanetriyl]}tris(benzene-4,1-diy1} tris(thioacetate) (63)

The desired product 63 was obtained according to the method described for the preparation of 60. Oven-flamed 50 mL Schlenk flask was charged with 62 (0.18 g, 0.2 mmol), silver tetrafluoroborate (0.32 g, 1.62 mmol), and acetyl chloride (3.5 mL). All reagents were suspended in anhydrous dichloromethane (35 mL) under argon and stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched with NaHCO\textsubscript{3} (100 mL) and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100 g) in hexane/EtOAc (5:1) to provide 0.07 g of 63 as a white powder in 48% yield. (R\textsubscript{f} = 0.25, hexane/EtOAc 5:1); m.p. 261-262 °C; \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\) ppm 2.40 (s, 9H, CH\textsubscript{3}), 7.35-7.39 (m, 14H, Ar-H), 7.62 (d, \(J_{H,H} = 8.45\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H) 7.73 (d, \(J_{H,H} = 8.45\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.77-7.78 (m, 6H, Ar-H); \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\) ppm 30.84, 64.99, 111.35, 119.28, 126.78, 126.92, 127.90, 127.98, 128.04, 131.69, 131.91, 133.07, 134.20, 138.46, 138.50, 140.90, 145.32, 145.33, 147.67, 194.03, IR (KBr) \(\nu\) cm\textsuperscript{-1}: 3029 (w, \(\nu(=CH)\)), 2924 (m, \(\nu_{as}(CH_{3})\)), 2854 (w, \(\nu_{as}(CH_{3})\)), 2226 (m, \(\nu(C=N)\)), 1711 (w, \(\nu(C=O)\)), 1606 (m, \(\nu(C=C)\)), 1487 (s), 1394 (w, \(\delta_{as}(CH_{3})\)), 1351 (w, \(\delta_{s}(CH_{3})\)), 1119 (m), 1092 (m), 1015 (m), 948 (m), 813 (m), 616 (m); UV-Vis (\(\lambda_{max}[nm]\), \(\varepsilon\), CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}) 305 (38135); 258 (26508); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C\textsubscript{44}H\textsubscript{33}NO\textsubscript{3}S\textsubscript{3}Na ([M+Na]\textsuperscript{+}, 742.1520, found m/z 742.1418; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C\textsubscript{44}H\textsubscript{33}NO\textsubscript{3}S\textsubscript{3} (719.16): 73.41, H 4.62, N 1.95; found: C 73.37, H 4.71, N 2.01.
An argon-flushed two-neck round bottom flask was charged with pinacol boronic ester 34 (0.2 g, 0.24 mmol), 59 (0.1 g, 0.31 mmol), potassium carbonate (0.082 g, 0.59 mmol), and dissolved in the mixture of dioxane (55 mL) and water (12 mL). Then, the solution was purged with argon for 20 min., tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.023 g, 0.0024 mmol) was added at once and the reaction mixture was stirred under argon overnight and at 105 °C. After cooling, dioxane was removed and slurry was diluted with dichloromethane (3 x 150 mL). Organic layers were combined, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and volatiles were evaporated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (150 g) in hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (2:1) to obtain 0.12 g of 64 as a white powder in 53% yield. (Rf = 0.15, hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 2:1); m.p. 173-178 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 0.05 (s, 27H, CH₃), 0.89-0.93 (m, 6H, CH₂), 2.88-2.92 (m, 6H, CH₂), 7.17-7.18 (m, 12H, Ar-H), 7.32 (d, J_H,H = 8.35 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.58 (d, J_H,H = 8.45 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.71-7.78 (m, 12H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm -1.74, 17.14, 29.31, 64.11, 111.36, 119.28, 126.45, 127.56, 127.76, 127.77, 127.91, 128.06, 129.77, 131.72, 133.75, 133.08, 135.93, 138.32, 138.44, 139.36, 140.15, 144.16, 145.44, 146.40; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3030 (w, υ(=CH)), 2952 (m, υ(CH₂, CH₃)), 2924 (m, υ(CH₂, CH₃)), 2853 (m, υ(CH₂, CH₃)), 2226 (m, υ(C=N)), 1724 (w), 1604 (w, υ(C=C)), 1486 (m), 1398 (w, δ(CH₂, CH₃)), 1248 (m, δ(CH₃, TMS)), 1094 (m), 1014 (m), 858 (m), 838 (m, δ(CH₃, TMS)) 809 (s), 692 (w, νₐs(SiC₃)); UV-Vis (λ_max[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 315 (32257); 274 (22095); ESI(+) MS Calcd for
C_{59}H_{67}S_{3}Si_{3}NNa ([M+Na]^+, 992.3641), found m/z 992.3597; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C_{59}H_{67}S_{3}Si_{3}N (970.62): C 73.01, H 6.96, N 1.44; found: C 73.14, H 7.28, N 1.56.

$S,S',S''$-{4,4',4''-[(4-Cyano-1,1':4',1''':4'',1''''-quaterphenyl(-4''''-yl) methanetriyl](tris(benzene-4,1-diyl)} tris(thioacetate) (65)

The trans-protection was proceeded according to the method described for the preparation of 61. Starting material 64 (0.12 g, 0.12 mmol), silver tetrafluoroborate (0.19 g, 0.96 mmol), and acetyl chloride (1.8 mL) were suspended in anhydrous dichloromethane (18 mL) and stirred under argon overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (150 g) in hexane/CH_{2}Cl_{2} (1:4) to obtain 0.064 g of 65 as a yellow powder in 65% yield. ($R_f = 0.26$, hexane/CH_{2}Cl_{2} 1:4); m.p. 299-300 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD_{2}Cl_{2}) δ ppm 2.40 (s, 9H, CH_{3}), 7.37-7.39 (m, 14H, Ar-H), 7.62 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.5$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.72-7.74 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.77-7.78 (m, 6H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD_{2}Cl_{2}) δ ppm 30.07, 64.58, 110.95, 118.87, 126.35, 126.44, 127.36, 127.43, 127.50, 127.51, 127.65, 129.36, 131.25, 131.51 132.67, 133.78, 138.05, 138.37, 139.07, 139.57, 140.74, 144.88, 144.93, 193.64; IR (KBr) ν cm^{-1} 3030 (w. ν(=CH)), 2956 (m, ν_{as}( CH_{3})), 2925 (s, ν_{s}(CH_{3})), 2854 (w), 2226 (m, ν(C≡N)), 1711 (s, ν(C=O)), 1605 (m, ν(C=C)), 1486 (s), 1394 (w, δ_{as}( CH_{3})), 1351 (w, δ( CH_{3})), 1267 (w), 1117 (s), 1092 (m), 1015 (w), 811 (s), 616 (s); UV-Vis (λ_{max}[nm], ε, CH_{2}Cl_{2}) 316 (23003); 316 (11872); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C_{50}H_{37}NO_{3}S_{3}Na ([M+Na]^+, 818.1833), found m/z 818.1772; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C_{50}H_{37}NO_{3}S_{3} (796.03): C 75.44, H 4.68, N 1.76; found: C 74.58, H 4.79, N 1.85.
4’-(Tris[3-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]phenyl]methyl)-biphenyl-4’-carbonitrile (66)

![Chemical structure of 4’-(Tris[3-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]phenyl]methyl)-biphenyl-4’-carbonitrile (66)](image)

The desired product 66 was synthesized according to the method reported for the preparation of 60. A 100 mL two neck round bottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser was charged with triflate 48 (0.15 g, 0.18 mmol), 4-cyanobenzencarboxonic acid (0.082 g, 0.35 mmol), cesium carbonate (0.18 g, 0.53 mmol), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.021 g, 0.0018 mmol). The reagents were dissolved in dioxane (8 mL) and water (2 mL), and the solution was purged with argon for 20 min. The reaction mixture was heated at 105 °C for 24 h under argon atmosphere. After cooling to room temperature, dioxane was removed. The residue was diluted with dichloromethane (250 mL) and washed with brine (100 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100 g) in hexane/EtOAc (9:1) to obtain 0.1 g of 66 (70%) as pale yellow oil. (Rf = 0.56, hexane/EtOAc 9:1); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm -0.03 (s, 27H, CH₃), 0.81-0.84 (m, 6H, CH₂), 2.79-2.82 (m, 6H, CH₂), 6.99-7.00 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.13-7.26 (m, 9H, Ar-H), 7.32 (d, J_H,H = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.49 (d, J_H,H = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.67 (d, J_H,H = 8.45 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm -1.6, 16.80, 29.43, 64.89, 110.99, 119.11, 126.49, 126.54, 127.63, 128.20, 128.62, 131.00, 131.89, 132.74, 136.99, 145.02, 146.76, 146.90; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3030 (w, ν=(CH)), 2952 (s, νas(CH₂, CH₃)), 2924 (s, νs(CH₂, CH₃)), 2853 (m), 2226 (m, ν(C≡N)), 1733 (w), 1680 (m), 1261 (m), 1195 (m), 1170 (m), 839 (s, δs(CH₃, TMS)); 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm -0.03 (s, 27H, CH₃), 0.81-0.84 (m, 6H, CH₂), 2.79-2.82 (m, 6H, CH₂), 6.99-7.00 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.13-7.26 (m, 9H, Ar-H), 7.32 (d, J_H,H = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.49 (d, J_H,H = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.67 (d, J_H,H = 8.45 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm -1.6, 16.80, 29.43, 64.89, 110.99, 119.11, 126.49, 126.54, 127.63, 128.20, 128.62, 131.00, 131.89, 132.74, 136.99, 145.02, 146.76, 146.90; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3030 (w, ν=(CH)), 2952 (s, νas(CH₂, CH₃)), 2924 (s, νs(CH₂, CH₃)), 2853 (m), 2226 (m, ν(C≡N)), 1733 (w), 1680 (m), 1261 (m), 1195 (m), 1170 (m), 839 (s, δs(CH₃, TMS)), 816 (s), 698 (w, νas(SiC₃)) UV-Vis (λ_max [nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 271 (35395); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C₄₇H₅₉NSi₃S₃K ([M+K]^+, 856.2749), found m/z 856.2865; elemental analysis calcd (%) C, 68.97; H, 7.27; N 1.71; found: C, 69.20; H, 7.36; N 1.95.
The desired product 67 was obtained according to a method described for the preparation of 61. Thus, in a 25 mL Schlenk flask, compound 66 (0.084 g, 0.1 mmol) was dissolved in dry dichloromethane (12 mL), and subsequently acetyl chloride (1.2 mL) was added under argon at 0 °C. The solution was treated with silver tetrafluoroborate (0.16 g, 0.82 mmol) and suspension was stirred overnight at room temperature. Afterwards, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (70 mL) and washed with dichloromethane (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, passed through a pad of Celite, and all volatiles were removed under vacuum. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (120 g), with Hexane/EtOAc 3:1 providing 0.051 g (77%) of 67 as a yellow powder. (Rf = 0.31, hexane/EtOAc 3:1; m.p. 129-130 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 2.37 (s, 9H, CH₃), 7.27-7.29 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.29-7.29 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.32-7.35 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.40 (d, J_H,H = 8.45 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.53 (d, J_H,H = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.68 (d, J_H,H = 8.45 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.71 (d, J_H,H = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm - 29.85, 64.73, 111.02, 119.10, 126.88, 127.70, 127.85, 128.90, 131.78, 131.84, 132.47, 132.74, 137.24, 145.0, 146.32, 146.91, 193.91; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3059 (w, v(=CH)), 2956 (s, v_CH(CH₃)), 2929 (s, v_CH(CH₃)), 2854 (m), 2225 (m, v(C=O(ν))), 1702 (s, v(C=O(ν))), 1605 (w), 1583 (w, v(C=O)), 1491 (m), 1466 (m), 1400 (w, δ(CH₃)), 1378 (w), 1351 (w, δ(CH₃)), 1261 (w), 1121 (m), 1099 (m), 947 (m), 823 (m), 791 (m), 614 (m); UV-Vis (λ_{max}[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 283 (26206), 390 (3798); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C_{38}H_{29}NO_{3}S_{3}Na ([M+Na]^+, 666.1207), found m/z 666.1203; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C_{38}H_{29}NO_{3}S_{3} (643.13): C, 70.89; H, 4.54; N, 2.18; found: C, 71.08; H, 4.68; N, 2.50.
4’’-(Tris[3-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]phenyl]methyl)-1,1’:4’,1”-terphenyl-4-carbonitrile (68)

![Chemical Structure](image)

The product 68 was synthesized according to a method reported for the preparation of 62. Triflate 48 (0.17 g, 0.2 mmol), boronic ester 57 (0.078 g, 0.36 mmol), cesium carbonate (0.19 g, 0.61 mmol), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.021 g, 0.002 mmol) were charged to an argon-flushed two-neck round bottom flask and dissolved in the mixture of dioxane/water (12/3 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred under argon overnight and heated at 105 °C. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (120 g) in hexane/EtOAc (9:1) to yield 0.15 g of 68 as an orange waxy oil in 83% yield. (Rt = 0.55, hexane/EtOAc 9:1); 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm -0.02 (s, 27H, CH3), 0.83-0.87 (m, 6H, CH2), 2.79-2.85 (m, 6H, CH2), 7.01-7.03 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.13-7.22 (m, 9H, Ar-H), 7.29-7.31 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.52-7.55 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.68-7.69 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.72-7.74 (m, 4H, Ar-H); 13C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm -1.56, 16.94, 29.5, 64.95, 111.10, 119.17, 126.34, 126.63, 127.74, 127.78, 127.80, 127.95, 128.21, 128.78, 131.20, 131.78, 132.85, 136.95, 138.07, 141.0, 145.33, 145.83, 147.05; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3030 (w, ν(=CH)), 2952 (s, ν(CH2, CH3)), 2924 (s, ν(CH2, CH3)), 2853 (m), 2226 (m, ν(C≡N)), 1606 (m, 1581 (m, ν(C=C)), 1488 (m), 1470 (m, δ(CH2, CH3)), 1401 (m, δ(CH2, CH3)), 1260 (s, δ(CH3, TMS)), 1248 (s), 1102 (w), 1088 (w), 1005 (m), 859 (s), 839 (s, δ(CH3, TMS)), 816 (s), 698 (m, δ(CH3, TMS)); UV-Vis (λmax [nm], ɛ, CH2Cl2) 271 (31516); 303 (36844); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C53H63S3Si3Na ([M+Na]+, 916.3328), found m/z 916.3219; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C53H63S3Si3N (893.34): C, 71.16; H, 7.10; N. 1.57; found: C, 71.12; H, 7.46; N. 1.52.
The desired product 69 was obtained according to a method described for the preparation of 63. Starting material 68 (0.11 g, 0.13 mmol), silver tetrafluoroborate (0.25 g, 1.25 mmol), acetyl chloride (1.5 mL) were loaded to an oven-flamed 50 mL Schlenk flask, suspended in anhydrous dichloromethane (15 mL) under argon and stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction was quenched and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100 g) in hexane/EtOAc (5:1) to provide 0.06 g of 69 as a yellow waxy oil in 68% yield. ($R_f = 0.18$, hexane/EtOAc 5:1); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ ppm 2.37 (s, 9H), 7.28-7.29 (m, 3H), 7.34-7.38 (m, 11H, Ar-H), 7.58 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.4$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.70 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.3$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.71-7.74 (m, 6H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ ppm 29.91, 64.79, 111.10, 119.18, 126.7, 127.75, 127.80, 127.86, 128.92, 131.68, 132.0, 132.50, 132.86, 137.34, 138.11, 138.14, 140.92, 145.28, 145.32, 147.18, 194.05; IR (KBr) $\nu$ cm$^{-1}$: 3059 ($\nu$(=CH)), 2957 (m), 2929 (s), 2854 (m), 2225 (m), 1702 (s), 1605 (w), 1584 (w), 1488 (w), 1466 (m), 1400 (w, $\delta$(CH$_3$)), 1351 (w, $\omega$(CH$_3$)), 1261 (w), 1099 (m), 1022 (w), 947 (m), 817 (m), 613 (m); UV-Vis ($\lambda_{max}$[nm], $\varepsilon$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) 305 (23456); ESI$(+)$ MS Calcd for C$_{44}$H$_{33}$S$_3$O$_3$NNa ([M+Na]$^+$, 742.1520), found m/z 742.1508; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{44}$H$_{33}$NO$_3$S$_3$ (719.16): C, 73.41; H, 4.62; N, 1.95; found: C, 73.37; H, 4.71; N, 2.08.
Envisaged product 70 was synthesized according to a method reported for the preparation of 64. Pinacol boronic ester 51 (0.18 g, 0.21 mmol), terphenyl 59 (0.13 g, 0.4 mmol), cesium carbonate (0.28 g, 0.86 mmol), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.025 g, 0.0021 mmol) were charged to a argon-flushed two-neck round bottom flask, and dissolved in the mixture of dioxane/water (50/10 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred under argon overnight and heated at 105 °C. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (150 g) in hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (2:1) to obtain 0.12 g of 70 as yellow oil in 59% yield. (Rf = 0.88, hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 2:1); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 0.05 (s, 27H, CH₃), 0.89-0.93 (m, 6H, CH₂), 2.88-2.92 (m, 6H, CH₂), 7.12-7.13 (m, 3H), 7.20-7.22 (m, 3H), 7.26-7.29 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.40 (d, J_H,H = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.66 (d, J_H,H = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.78-7.84 (m, 12H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm -1.76, 17.09, 29.55, 65.14, 111.32, 119.26, 126.45, 126.55, 127.67, 127.76, 127.87, 128.02, 128.42, 128.65, 129.74, 131.07, 131.78, 133.05, 137.43, 138.40, 139.31, 140.03, 141.13, 145.31, 145.85, 147.39; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3029 (w, ν(=CH)), 2957 (m, ν_as(CH₂, CH₃)), 2924 (ν(=CH₂, CH₃)), 2226 (w, ν(C≡N)), 1698 (w), 1635 (w), 1652 (w), 1457 (w), 1398 (w, δ(CH₂, CH₃)), 1352 (δ(CH₂, CH₃)), 1262 (m, δ(CH₃)), 1117 (m), 1003 (m), 951 (w), 829 (w, δ(CH₃)), 816 (m), 611 (w, ν_as(SiC₃)); UV-Vis (λ_max[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 269 (31686); 316 (44199); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C₅₀H₆₇S₃Si₃NNa ([M+Na]+, 992.3641), found m/z 992.3564;
elemental analysis calcd (%) for C_{50}H_{67}S_3Si_3N (969.37): C, 73.01; H, 6.96; N, 1.44; found: C, 73.90; H, 7.56; N, 1.68.

\[ S,S',S''-\{3,3',3''-(4-Cyano-1,1':4',1''':4'',1''''-quaterphenyle-4-yl) methanetriyl\}tris(benzene-3,1-diyl)\}tris(thioacetate) (71) \]

The transprotection was developed according to a method described for the preparation of 65. Starting material 70 (0.095 g, 0.098 mmol), silver tetrafluoroborate (0.15 g, 0.78 mmol), acetyl chloride (1.5 mL) were charged to an oven-flamed 50 mL Schlenk flask and dissolved in anhydrous dichloromethane (15 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred under argon overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100 g) in hexane/CH_2Cl_2 (1:2) to obtain 0.055 g of 71 as a white solid in 69% yield. \( R_f = 0.24, \) hexane/CH_2Cl_2 5:1; \( m.p. \) 173-175 °C; \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) \( \delta \) ppm 2.37 (s, 9H, CH_3), 7.31-7.32 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.38-7.40 (m, 11H, Ar-H), 7.57-7.59 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.64 (d, \( J_{H,H} = 8.5 \) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.74-7.76 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.78-7.81 (m, 6H, Ar-H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) \( \delta \) ppm 30.4, 64.99, 111.33, 119.27, 126.78, 127.74, 127.77, 127.90, 128.04, 128.40, 129.03, 129.75, 131.07, 131.72, 132.78, 132.65, 133.06, 137.47, 138.42, 138.61, 139.42, 139.94, 141.12, 145.31, 147.47, 194.03; IR (KBr) \( \nu \) cm\(^{-1}\): 3430 (w, \( \nu(=CH) \)), 2924 (m, \( \nu_{as}(CH_3) \)), 2854 (w), 2227 (w, \( \nu(C≡N) \)), 1698 (m, \( \nu(C=O) \)), 1635 (m), 1558 (w), 1457 (m), 1398 (w, \( \delta(CH_3) \)), 1352 (w, \( \delta(CH_3) \)), 1266 (w), 1117 (m), 951 (w), 829 (w), 816 (m), 611 (m); UV-Vis (\( \lambda_{max} [nm] \), \( \varepsilon \), CH_2Cl_2) 315 (55161); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C_{50}H_{67}NO_3S_3Na ([M+Na]^+), 818.1833, found m/z 818.1794; elemental
Tetrakis[4-(n-butylsulfanyl)phenyl]methane (72)

Tetrakis(4-bromophenyl)methane (0.2 g, 0.31 mmol), Xantphos (0.027 g, 0.047 mmol), tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) (0.029 g, 0.047 mmol), anhydrous dioxane (14 mL), N,N-diisopropylethylamine (0.49 g, 3.77 mmol) were loaded to an oven-flamed 50 mL pressure tube and purged with argon, subsequently n-butylthiol (0.23 g, 2.51 mmol) was added. The tube was sealed and the reaction mixture was heated at 120 °C for 24 h. After cooling, dioxane was removed, crude product was diluted with dichloromethane and water and extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 x 150 mL). Organic layers were combined, dried over MgSO$_4$, filtrated and the volatiles were removed under vacuum. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (150 g) in hexane/EtOAc (20:1) to provide 0.2 g of 72 as a yellow powder in 95% yield. (R$_f$ = 0.56, hexane/ EtOAc 20:1); m.p. 272-273 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm 0.91-0.94 (m, 12H, CH$_3$), 1.42-1.48 (q, 8H, CH$_2$), 1.61-1.67 (m, 8H, CH$_2$), 2.89-2.92 (m, 8H, CH$_2$), 7.07 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.55$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.16 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.55$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm 13.8, 22.1, 31.3, 33.0, 63.6, 127.4, 131.5, 135.1, 143.8; IR (KBr) ν cm$^{-1}$: 3073 (w, $\nu(=CH)$), 2956 (s, $\nu_{as}(CH_2, CH_3)$), 2926 (m, $\nu_{s}(CH_2, CH_3)$), 2857 (m), 1626 (w, $\nu(C=C)$), 1590 (w), 1487 (m), 1434 (w, $\delta(CH_2, CH_3)$), 1400 (w, $\delta(CH_2, CH_3)$), 1095 (m), 1013 (m), 811 (m), UV-Vis (λ$_{max}$[nm], ε, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) 270 (30995); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C$_{41}$H$_{52}$S$_4$Na ([M+Na]$^+$, 695.2850), found m/z 695.2767; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{41}$H$_{52}$S$_4$(672.30): C 73.16, H 7.79; found: C 73.30, H 7.91.
Tetrakis{4-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]phenyl}methane (73)

The product 73 was synthesized according to a method reported for the preparation of 72. Tetrakis(4-bromophenyl)methane (0.12 g, 0.19 mmol), Xantphos (0.016 g, 0.028 mmol), tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) (0.02 g, 0.028 mmol), anhydrous dioxane (10 mL), N,N-diisopropylethylamine (0.26 g, 1.98 mmol) and 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethanethiol (0.24 g, 1.89 mmol) were heated at 105 °C for 24 h under argon atmosphere. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (150 g) in hexane/EtOAc (20:1) to obtain 0.15 g of 73 as a white powder in 94% yield. (Rf = 0.58, hexane/EtOAc 20:1); m.p. 183-185 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm 0.04 (s, 27H, CH$_3$), 0.92-0.95 (m, 8H, CH$_2$), 2.93-2.96 (m, 8H, CH$_2$), 7.07 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.35$ Hz, 8H, Ar-H), 7.15 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.55$ Hz, 8H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm -1.5, 17, 29.3, 63.7, 127.5, 131.6, 135.5, 143.9; IR (KBr) ν cm$^{-1}$: 3078 (w, ν($\equiv$CH)), 2952 (s, ν$_{as}$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 2924 (m, ν$_a$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 2854 (m), 1635 (w), 1590 (w, ν(C= C)), 1486 (m), 1417 (w, δ$_a$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1378 (w, δ$_a$(CH$_3$, TMS)), 1248 (s), 1093 (m), 1013 (m), 859 (s), 838 (m, δ$_a$(CH$_3$, TMS)), 810 (s), 693 (w, ν$_as$(SiC$_3$)); UV-Vis ($\lambda_{max}$(nm), ε, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) 271 (31876); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C$_{45}$H$_{68}$S$_4$Si$_4$Na ([M+Na]$^+$, 871.3179), found m/z 871.3186; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{45}$H$_{68}$S$_4$Si$_4$ 848.33): C 63.62, H 8.07; found: C 64.02, H 7.88.
The trans-protection was developed according to a method described for the preparation of 50. Starting material 73 (0.16 g, 0.19 mmol), AgBF₄ (0.37 g, 1.93 mmol), acetyl chloride (3 mL) were loaded to an oven-flamed 50 mL Schlenk flask and suspended in anhydrous dichloromethane (30 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred under argon overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100 g) in hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (1:2) to obtain 0.1 g of 74 as white solid in 85% yield. (Rf = 0.12, hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 1:2); m.p. 175-177 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 2.39 (s, 12H, CH₃), 7.32 (d, J_H,H = 8.6 Hz, 8H, Ar-H), 7.35 (d, J_H,H = 8.6 Hz, 8H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 30.5, 65.1, 126.9, 131.8, 134.2, 147.3, 194; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 3030 (w, ν(=CH)), 2957 (m, νas(CH₃)), 2924 (m, νs(CH₃)), 2854 (w), 1710 (s, ν(C=O)), 1486 (s), 1394 (m, δas(CH₃)), 1346 (m, δs(CH₃)), 1111 (m), 1092 (s), 1014 (m) 957 (m), 821 (s), 617 (s); UV-Vis (λmax[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 255 (21459); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C₃₃H₂₈O₄S₄Na ([M+Na]^+, 639.0768), found m/z 639.0667; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₃₃H₂₈O₄S₄ (616.09): C 64.26, H 4.58; found: C 64.80, H 4.83.
The previously reported derivative 46 (0.3 g, 0.53 mmol) was loaded to oven-flame Schlenk flask under argon atmosphere and dissolved in freshly distilled dichloromethane (40 mL). Afterwards triethylamine (0.11 mL, 0.79 mmol) was added at once and stirred over 2 h at room temperature. Subsequently the reaction mixture was cooled down to 0 °C and triflic anhydride (0.13 mL, 0.76 mmol) was dropwise added. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred overnight under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was quenched with water (100 mL). Resulted solution was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 x 100 mL). Organic layers were combined, dried over magnesium sulfate and filtrated. Filtrate was concentrated under vacuum. The residue was passed through a short silica pad providing desired product 75 in 85% yield (0.36 g) as a white powder. ($R_f$ = 0.62, hexane/ EtOAc 10:1); m.p. 146-147 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm 7.05-7.06 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.17-7.24 (m, 7H Ar-H), 7.27-7.28 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.39-7.41 (m, 3H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm 64.5, 121.2, 122.8, 128.5, 130, 130.5, 132.9, 133.6, 145.8, 147.5, 148.3; $^{19}$F NMR (470.57 MHz, CDCl$_3$) -72.80; IR (KBr) ν cm$^{-1}$: 3063 (w, ν(=CH)), 2925 (m, ν$_{as}$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 2854 (m, ν$_{s}$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1588 (m, ν(C=C)), 1561 (m), 1497 (w, δ$_{as}$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1470 (s), 1424 (s, δ$_s$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1304 (w), 1250 (m, δ$_s$(CH$_3$, TMS)), 1222 (s), 1139 (s), 1016 (m), 996 (m), 893 (m), 837 (m), 786 (s), 726 (m), 604 (s, ν(C-Br)); UV-Vis ($\lambda_{max}$[nm], ε, acetone) 212 (57404); ESI(-) MS Calcd for C$_{26}$H$_{16}$Br$_3$F$_3$O$_3$SK ([M+K]$^+$, 742.7763), found m/z 742.7346; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{26}$H$_{16}$Br$_3$F$_3$O$_3$S (701.83): C 44.28, H 2.29; found: C 44.81, H 2.65.
1-Tris[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]-4-(tris[3-(2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl phenyl]phenyl)methyl) benzene (76)

The reaction was developed according to a method described for the preparation of 73. An oven-flamed 25 mL pressure tube was charged with 75 (0.14 g, 0.2 mmol), Xantphos (0.017 g, 0.029 mmol), tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) (0.018 g, 0.02 mmol) and anhydrous dioxane (10 mL). The tube was evacuated and refilled with argon three times. Then N,N-diisopropylethylamine (0.26 g, 1.98 mmol) and 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethane thiol (0.16 g, 1.2 mmol) were added under argon and the tube was quickly sealed. The reaction mixture was heated at 105 °C for 24 h. After cooling, the reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane (150 mL) and washed with water (100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (200 g) in hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (2:1) to provide the title compound 76 (0.12 g) as a yellow oil in 71% yield (Rf = 0.48, hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 2:1); m.p. 294-297 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 0.82 (s, 27H, CH₃), 0.83 (s, 9H, CH₃), 0.84-0.92 (m, 6H, CH₂), 0.93-0.95 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.78-2.94 (m, 6H, CH₂), 2.94-2.96 (m, 2H, CH₂), 6.95-6.97 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.09 (d, J_H,H = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.11-7.17 (m, 11H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm -1.74, 16.8, 29, 39.4, 64.6, 126.5, 127.2, 128, 128.6, 131.1, 131.5, 135.6, 136.7, 143.2, 146.9; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3058 (w, υ(=CH)), 2953 (s, νas(CH₂, CH₃)), 2924 (m, νs(CH₂, CH₃)), 2854 (m), 1628 (w), 1582 (m), 1466 (s), 1414 (w, δ(CH₂, CH₃)), 1377 (w, δ(CH₃, TMS)), 1249 (m, δ(CH₃, TMS)), 1091 (w), 1013 (w), 858 (s), 840 (m, δas(CH₃, TMS)) 694 (w, νas(SiC₃)); UV-Vis (λ_max[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 268 (13106); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C₄₅H₆₈S₄Si₄Na ([M+Na]+, 871.3179), found m/z 871.3196; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₄₅H₆₈S₄Si₄ (848.33): C 63.61, H 8.07; found: C 63.14, H 8.62.
S,S',S''-{4-Acetysulfanylphenyl-[3,3',3'-(methanetriyl)tris(benzene-3,1-diyl)]}
tris(thioacetate) (77)

The transprotection was developed according to a method described for the preparation of 74. Starting material 76 (0.095 g, 0.11 mmol), silver tetrafluoroborate (0.22 g, 1.12 mmol), acetyl chloride (2 mL) were charged to an oven-flamed 50 mL Schlenk flask and suspended in anhydrous dichloromethane (20 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred under argon overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100 g) in hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (1:4) to obtain 0.055 g of 77 as a white solid in 80% yield. ($R_f = 0.29$, hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 1:4); m.p. 264-266 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 2.35 (s, 9H, CH₃), 2.40 (s, 3H, CH₃), 7.28-7.31 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.34-7.46 (m, 10H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 30.5, 30.5, 65.1, 126.9, 128.5, 129.1, 132, 132.8, 134.2, 137.5, 147.1, 147.3, 194, 194; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 3028 (w, ν(=CH)), 2956 (m, νas( CH₃)), 2924 (s, νs(CH₃)), 2854 (w), 1704 (s, ν(C=O)), 1584 (w), 1480 (s), 1405 (w, δas(CH₃)), 1351 (w, δs(CH₃)), 1269 (w), 1122 (s), 1100 (m), 948 (m), 812 (s), 793 (w), 615 (s); UV-Vis ($λ_{max}$[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 312 (26278); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C₃₃H₂₈O₄S₄Na ([M+Na]^+, 639.0768), found m/z 639.0665; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₃₃H₂₈O₄S₄ (616.09): C 64.26, H 4.58; found: C 64.71, H 4.91.
Compound 78 was prepared according to a procedure reported by Grunder. A dry and argon-flushed 250 mL oven-flamed Schlenk flask was charged with 24 (2 g, 6.91 mmol) and dissolved in a freshly distilled tetrahydrofuran (35 mL). The solution was cooled to -78 °C and tert-BuLi (10.6 mL, 18 mmol, 15% in pentane) was added dropwise over 20 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h under argon. In a second 100 mL oven-flamed Schlenk flask, triisopropylborate (8 mL, 34.51 mmol) was diluted with tetrahydrofuran (5 mL) under an inert atmosphere and cooled to -78 °C. The solution of lithiated species was slowly added via a cannula into the flask containing borate solution, after 1 h, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 16 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated NH₄Cl solution (100 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with tert-butyl methyl ether (2 × 100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the solid was recrystallized from hot hexane giving 78 as a white powder in 40% yield (1.09 g). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ ppm 0.04 (s, 9H, CH₃), 0.89-0.93 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.96-3.30 (m, 2H, CH₂), 7.20-7.21 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.63-7.65 (m, 2H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD₃OD) δ ppm -1.7, 17.7, 29.4, 102.3, 128.0, 135.4, 141.4.

4-Bromo-4'-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]biphenyl (79)

A 100 mL round bottom flask equipped with a refluxed condenser was charged with boronic acid 78 (0.48 g, 1.9 mmol), 1,4-dibromobenzene (4.84 g, 19.05 mmol), potassium carbonate
(0.4 g, 2.8 mmol), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.11 g, 0.095 mmol) and dissolved in a mixture of toluene, methanol and water (30/30/10 mL). Resulted mixture was flushed with argon and heated to 70 °C overnight. After cooling to room temperature solvents were removed, the residue was dissolved in dichloromethane (200 mL) and washed with water (100 mL). Aqueous layer was separated and washed again with dichloromethane (2 x 150 mL). Combined organic fraction was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (150 g, hexane) providing 0.24 g of 79 as a white powder in 35% yield. (Rf = 0.43, hexane); m.p. 198-199 °C; 1H NMR (500 MHz, CD2Cl2) δ ppm 0.06 (s, 9H, CH3), 0.94-0.98 (m, 2H, CH2), 2.99-3.03 (m, 2H, CH2), 7.35 (d, JH,H = 8.45 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.46-7.48 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.49-7.51 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.56 (d, JH,H = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); 13C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD2Cl2) δ ppm -1.72, 17.16, 29.53, 121,69, 127.56, 127.80, 129.13, 132.27, 137.30, 137.80, 139.84; IR (KBr) v cm⁻¹: 2952 (w, ν(CH)), 2924 (m, νas(CH2, CH3)), 2854 (m, νas(CH2, CH3)), 1634 (w), 1591 (w), 1474 (s), 1387 (w, δ(CH2, CH3)), 1100 (m), 1079 (m), 1006 (m), 859 (m), 839 (m, δas(CH3, TMS)) 804 (s), 688 (w, νas(SiC3)); UV-Vis (λmax[nm], ε, CH2Cl2) 296 (12813); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C17H22SSiNa ([M+Na - Br]+, 309.1109), found m/z 309.1999; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C17H21SSiBr (364.03): C 55.88; H 5.79; found: C 56.16, H 5.95.

4-[2-(Trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]-1,1′:4′,1′′-terphenyl (80)

A 100 mL round bottom flask was charged with boronic acid 78 (0.14 g, 0.56 mmol), 4-bromobiphenyl (0.1 g, 0.43 mmol), potassium carbonate (0.18 g, 0.11 mmol), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.043 g, 0.049 mmol) and dissolved in a mixture of tetrahydrofuran and water (50/10 mL). Resulted mixture was flushed with argon, and then heated at 75 °C overnight under an inert atmosphere. After cooling to room temperature the solvents were removed, the residues was extracted with dichloromethane and washed with
water (100 mL). Aqueous layer was separated and washed again with dichloromethane (2x 150 mL) Combined organic fraction was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtrated and concentrated in vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (150 g, hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (1:2) proving 0.14 g of 80 as a white powder in 90% yield. (Rᶠ = 0.52, hexane/ CH₂Cl₂ 1:2), m.p. 184-187 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 0.07 (s, 9H, CH₃), 0.96-0.99 (m, 2H, CH₂), 3.01-3.04 (m, 2H, CH₂), 7.36-7.39 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.45-7.48 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.51-7.54 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.59 (dd, J_H,H = 6.6, 1.9 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.65-7.69 (m, 3H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 0.26, 19.19, 31.64, 129.28, 129.45, 129.60, 129.78, 129.82, 131.23 139.27 140.05, 141.69, 142.34, 142.89; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3031 (w, ν(=CH)), 2951 (m), 2923 (m, νas(CH₂, CH₃)), 2854 (w, νas(CH₂, CH₃)), 1593 (m, ν(C=C)), 1481 (s), 1447 (m), 1396 (m, δ(CH₂, CH₃)), 1248 (w, δs(CH₃, TMS)), 1162 (m), 1098 (m), 1005 (m), 844 (m), 816 (m, δas(CH₃, TMS)) 761 (s), 691 (w, νas(SiC₃)); UV-Vis (λ_max [nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 320 (30012); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C₂₃H₂₆SSiNNa ([M+Na]⁺, 385.1422), found m/z 385.1389; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₃H₂₆SSi: 76.18, H 7.23; found: C 76.53, H 7.61.

4‴-Bromo-4-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]-1,1‴:4′,1′‴-terphenyl (81)

![Chemical Structure](image)

Compound 81 was prepared in a similar way as 79. A 100 mL round bottom flask was charged with boronic acid 78 (0.53 g, 0.21 mmol), 4,4‴-dibromobiphenyl (1.3 g, 4.17 mmol), potassium carbonate (0.57 g, 4.17 mmol), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.12 g, 0.011 mmol) and dissolved in a mixture of toluene, tetrahydrofuran and water (30/30/10 mL). Resulted mixture was flushed with argon, and then heated at 70 °C overnight under inert atmosphere. After cooling to room temperature solvents were removed, the residue was extracted with dichloromethane and washed with water (100 mL). Aqueous layer was separated and washed again with dichloromethane (2 x 100 mL). Combined organic fraction was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtrated and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (300 g, hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 5:1). Afterwards...
white powder was recrystallized from hot toluene getting 0.4 g of 81 as a white solid in 44% yield. \((R_f = 0.44, \text{hexane/CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 5:1)\); m.p. 294-297 °C; \(^1\text{H NMR}\) (500 MHz, CD\(_2\text{Cl}_2\) δ ppm 0.06 (s, 9H, CH\(_3\)), 0.96-0.99 (m, 2H, CH\(_2\)), 2.99-3.03 (m, 2H, CH\(_2\)), 7.39 (d, \(J_{\text{H,H}} = 8.25\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.50 (d, \(J_{\text{H,H}} = 8.5\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.57 (t, \(J_{\text{H,H}} = 8.45\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.62 (d, \(J_{\text{H,H}} = 8.45\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H); \(^{13}\text{C NMR}\) (125.8 MHz, CD\(_2\text{Cl}_2\) δ ppm -1.61, 17.0, 29.61, 121.74, 127.56, 127.43, 127.45, 128.70, 129.22, 132.06, 136.89, 137.89, 138.94, 139.68, 139.35; IR (KBr) \(\nu\) cm\(^{-1}\): 3027 (w, \(\nu\)(=CH)), 2920 (m, \(\nu\)as\((\text{CH}_2, \text{CH}_3\))), 2853 (m, \(\nu\)s\((\text{CH}_2, \text{CH}_3\))) 1906 (w), 1724 (w), 1649 (w), 1479 (s), 1383 (w, \(\delta\)(CH\(_2\), CH\(_3\))), 1349 (w, \(\delta\)(CH\(_3\), TMS)), 1071 (m), 999 (m), 827 (s), 688 (w, \(\nu\)as(SiC\(_3\)))); UV-Vis \(\lambda_{\text{max}}[\text{nm}]\), \(\varepsilon\), CH\(_2\text{Cl}_2\) 290 (35150); EI MS \(m/z\) (%) 333.08 (100), 253.15 (29), 226.14 (17), 163.01 (9), 133.07 (19), 119 (8), 103.03 (14); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C\(_{23}\)H\(_{25}\)SSi (385.14): C 62.57, H 5.71; found: C 62.74, H 5.89.

4-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]-4’-(tris{4-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]phenyl}methyl) biphenyl (82)

Compound 82 was prepared according to a procedure described for the synthesis of 60. Pinacol boronic ester 34 (0.17 g, 0.2 mmol), aryl bromide 24 (0.065g, 0.26 mmol), potassium carbonate (0.068 g, 0.49 mmol), and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.023 g, 0.019 mmol) were placed in 100 mL round bottom flask. Reagents were dissolved in the mixture of toluene (30 mL), ethanol (30 mL), water (10 mL) and degassed with argon. Subsequently, the reaction mixture was heated at 85 °C overnight under an inert atmosphere. After cooling, dioxane was removed. The crude product was diluted with water (100 mL) and dichloromethane (150 mL) and then extracted with dichloromethane (2 x 100 mL). Combined organic fraction was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtrated and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (200 g, hexane/EtOAc 40:1)
providing 0.15 g of **82** as a yellow powder in 80% yield. \( R_f = 0.39, \) hexane/EtOAc 40:1; m.p. 84-86 °C; \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) \( \delta \) ppm 0.04 (s, 27H, CH\(_3\)), 0.05 (s, 9H, CH\(_3\)), 0.93-0.97 (m, 8H, CH\(_2\)), 2.95-3.02 (m, 8H, CH\(_2\)), 7.15-7.19 (m, 12H, Ar-H), 7.27 (d, \( J_{HH} = 8.6 \) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.34 (d, \( J_{HH} = 8.5 \) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.50 (d, \( J_{HH} = 8.6 \) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.53 (d, \( J_{HH} = 8.5 \) Hz, 2H, Ar-H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) \( \delta \) ppm -1.73, 17.15, 17.18, 29.32, 29.62, 64.08, 125.82, 126.23, 127.56, 127.57, 129.19, 131.72, 135.90, 137.18, 137.93, 138.33, 144.19, 146.10; IR (KBr) \( \nu \) cm\(^{-1}\): 3075 (w, \( \nu (=CH) \)), 3024 (w, \( \nu (=CH) \)), 2950 (s), 2918 (m, \( \nu_{as}(\text{CH}_3) \)), 2852 (w, \( \nu_{s}(\text{CH}_3) \)), 1591 (m), 1485 (s, \( \delta_{as}(	ext{CH}_3, \text{CH}_3) \)), 1399 (m, \( \delta_{as}(	ext{CH}_2, \text{CH}_3) \)), 1247 (m, \( \delta_{as}(	ext{CH}_3, \text{TMS}) \)), 1163 (m), 1094 (m), 1012 (m) 859 (s), 837 (s, \( \delta_{as}(	ext{CH}_3, \text{TMS}) \)); UV-Vis (\( \lambda_{\text{max}} \)) [nm], \( \varepsilon, \) CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) 276 (26474); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C\(_{51}\)H\(_{72}\)S\(_4\)Si\(_4\)Na ([M+Na]\(^+\), 947.3492), found m/z 947.3330; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C\(_{51}\)H\(_{72}\)S\(_4\)Si\(_4\): C 66.17, H 7.84; found: C 66.47, H 8.01.

\( S,S',S''\)\{-4-Acetyl sulfanylbiphenyl-4'-yl\}-[4,4',4''-(methanetriyl)tris(benzene-4,1-diyl)] tris(thioacetate) (83)

The transprotection was conducted according to a method described for the preparation of 74. Starting material **82** (0.094 g, 0.01 mmol), silver tetrafluoroborate (0.2 g, 1.02 mmol) and acetyl chloride (2.5 mL) were placed to an oven-flamed 50 mL Schlenk flask and suspended in anhydrous dichloromethane (25 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred under argon overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100 g) in hexane/EtOAc (1:5) to obtain 0.07 g of **83** as a white solid in 98% yield. \( R_f = 0.22, \) hexane/CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) 1:4; m.p. 262-263 °C; \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) \( \delta \) ppm 2.40 (s, 9H, CH\(_3\)), 2.42 (s, 3H, CH\(_3\)), 7.34-7.37 (m, 14H, Ar-H), 7.46-7.48 (d, \( J_{HH} = 8.35 \) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.58 (d, \( J_{HH} = 8.5 \) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.66 (d, \( J_{HH} = 8.4 \) Hz, 2H, Ar-H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) \( \delta \) ppm 30.10, 30.47, 64.97, 126.77, 127.08, 127.64, 128.06, 131.66, 131.90, 134.18, 135.30, 138.41, 141.80, 145.65, 147.64; (KBr) \( \nu \) cm\(^{-1}\): 3025 (w, \( \nu (=CH) \)), 2952 (w, \( \nu_{as}(\text{CH}_3) \)), 2924 (s, \( \nu_{as}(\text{CH}_3) \)), 2854 (w), 1703 (s, \( \nu(C=O) \)), 1587 (w), 1457 (s, 1412 (w), 1373 (m), 1320 (s), 1279 (s), 1235 (s), 1160 (m), 1091 (m), 1014 (m), 858 (s), 726 (w), 623 (w), 523 (m), 426 (m), 320 (w), 217 (m).
1484 (s), 1490 (m, δ<sub>as</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)), 1348 (m, δ<sub>s</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)), 1117 (s), 1092 (w), 1014 (m), 1004 (m), 949 (s), 814 (s), 616 (s); UV-Vis (λ<sub>max</sub>[nm], ε, acetone) 212 (26485); ESI(+), MS Calcd for C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S<sub>4</sub>Na ([M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 715.1081), found m/z 715.0923; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S<sub>4</sub> (692.12): C 67.60, H 4.65; found: C 67.95, H 4.77.

**4-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]-4''-(tris[4-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]phenyl]methyl)-1,1':4'1''-terphenyl (84)**

Pinacol boronic acid 34 (0.18 g, 0.21 mmol), biphenyl precursor 79 (0.093 g, 0.26 mmol), potassium carbonate (0.71 g, 0.51 mmol) and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.025 g, 0.021 mmol) were placed in 100 mL round bottom flask, dissolved in a mixture of toluene, ethanol, water (30/30/10 mL) and degassed with argon. Subsequently, the reaction mixture was heated at 75 °C overnight under an inert atmosphere. After cooling, solvents were evaporated and the residue was diluted with water (100 mL) and dichloromethane (150 mL). The crude product was extracted with dichloromethane (2 x 100 mL). Combined organic fraction was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtrated and concentrated in vacuo. Crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (250 g, hexane/EtOAc (1:40) providing 0.17 g of 84 as white powder in 80% yield. (R<sub>f</sub> = 0.43, hexane/ EtOAc 1:40); m.p. 151-153 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) δ ppm 0.04 (s, 27H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.06 (s, 9H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.93-0.99 (m, 8H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.96-3.01 (m, 6H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.02-3.04 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.18 (dd, J<sub>H,H</sub> = 8.4, 1.9 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.38 (dd, J<sub>H,H</sub> = 8.8, 1.9 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.57 (d, J<sub>H,H</sub> = 8.75 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.59 (d, J<sub>H,H</sub> = 6.65 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.67-7.68 (m, 2H, Ar-H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) δ ppm -1.77, 17.11, 17.16, 29.28, 30.07, 64.07, 126.37, 127.44, 127.52, 127.54, 129.19, 131.69, 135.88, 137.26, 137.98, 138.38, 139.60, 139.65, 144.16, 146.22; IR (KBr) ν cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3077 (w, ν(=CH)), 3027 (w, ν(=CH)), 2950 (s), 2922 (m, ν<sub>as</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>)), 2853 (s, ν<sub>s</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>)), 1591 (w, ν(C=C)), 1484 (s, δ<sub>as</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>)), 1421 (w, δ<sub>s</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>)), 1248
The transprotection was developed according to a method described for the preparation of 74. Starting material 84 (0.15 g, 0.15 mmol), silver tetrafluoroborate (0.29 g, 1.5 mmol), acetyl chloride (2.5 mL) were charged to an oven-flamed 50 mL Schlenk flask and treated with anhydrous dichloromethane (25 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred under argon overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (150 g) in hexane/EtOAc (1:5) to obtain 0.082 g of 85 as a yellow solid in 71% yield. ($R_f$ = 0.29, hexane/EtOAc 1:5); m.p. 265-278 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm 2.41 (s, 9H, CH$_3$), 2.43 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 7.35-7.39 (m, 14H, Ar-H), 7.50 (d, $J_{H,H}$ = 8.3 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.62 (d, $J_{H,H}$ = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.70-7.71 (m, 6H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm 30.10, 30.47, 64.97, 126.74, 126.74, 126.87, 127.59, 127.82, 127.93, 128.00, 131.64, 131.92, 134.18, 135.37, 138.76, 139.37, 140.11, 142.04, 145.28, 147.71, 194.06, 194.38; IR (KBr) ν cm$^{-1}$ 3028 (w, ν(CH)), 2954 (m, νas(CH$_3$)), 2923 (s, νs(CH$_3$)), 2853 (w), 1704 (s, ν(C=O)), 1588 (w), 1483 (s), 1391 (w, δas( CH$_3$)), 1347 (m, δs( CH$_3$)), 1116 (s), 1092 (s), 1014 (m), 949 (s), 810 (s), 616 (s); UV-Vis ($λ_{max}$[nm], ε, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) 213 (24531); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C$_{45}$H$_{36}$O$_4$S$_4$Na ([M+Na]$^+$, 791.1394), found m/z 791.1317; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{45}$H$_{36}$O$_4$S$_4$ (768.15): C 70.28, H 4.72; found: C 70.88, H 4.91.
4-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]-4′′′-(tris[4-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]phenyl)methyl]-1′:4′:1′′:4′′′-quaterphenyl (86)

The product 86 was synthesized according the method reported for the preparation of 82. Pinacol boronic ester 34 (0.25 g, 0.3 mmol), terphenyl precursor 81 (0.14 g, 0.31 mmol), potassium carbonate (0.82 g, 0.59 mmol) and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.034 g, 0.03 mmol) were loaded to a two-neck, argon-flushed round bottom flask and dissolved in a mixture of dioxane/water (80/15 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred under argon overnight and heated at 105 °C. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (150 g) in hexane/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2:1) to provide 0.15 g of 86 as a white powder in 46% yield. ($R_f$ = 0.22, hexane/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ 2:1); m.p. 292-293 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm 0.04 (s, 27 H, CH$_3$), 0.07 (s, 9H, CH$_3$), 0.93-0.99 (m, 8H, CH$_2$), 2.95-3.05 (m, 6H, CH$_2$), 7.17-7.18 (m, 13H, Ar-H), 7.31 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.4$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.39 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.3$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.59-7.61 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.69-7.75 (m, 6H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm -1.75, 17.14, 17.20, 29.31, 39.63, 64.10, 126.42, 126.53, 127.27, 127.51, 127.56, 127.64, 127.66, 127.69, 129.17, 129.23, 131.64, 131.90, 135.90, 138.41, 139.71, 139.76, 139.78, 144.19; IR (KBr) $\nu$ cm$^{-1}$: 3028 (w, $\nu$(=CH)), 2950 (m), 2922 (s, $\nu$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1483 (w, $\delta_{as}$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1398(w, $\delta_{as}$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1285 (s, $\nu$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1591 (w), 1483 (w, $\delta_{as}$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1398(w, $\delta_{as}$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1248 (m, $\delta$(CH$_3$, TMS)), 1194 (w), 1164 (w), 1094 (m), 1012 (m), 858 (m), 839 (m, $\delta_{as}$(CH$_3$, TMS)) 807 (s), 692 (w, $\nu$(Si-C$_3$)); UV-Vis ($\lambda_{max}$[nm], $\varepsilon$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) 340 (87246); 275 (74121); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C$_{63}$H$_{80}$Si$_4$S$_4$K ([M+K]$^+$, 1115.3857), found m/z 1115.3866; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{63}$H$_{80}$Si$_4$S$_4$: C 70.70, H 7.48; found: C 70.96, H 7.69.
The transprotection was developed according to a method described for the preparation of 74. Starting material 86 (0.11 g, 0.1 mmol), silver tetrafluoroborate (0.2 g, 1.02 mmol) and acetyl chloride (2.5 mL) in anhydrous dichloromethane (25 mL) were stirred under argon overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100 g) in hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (1:4) to obtain 0.055 g of 87 as a white solid in 64% yield. (R_f = 0.38, hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 1:4); m.p. 289-291 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 2.40 (s, 9H, CH₃), 2.43 (s, 3H, CH₃), 7.34-7.39 (m, 14H, Ar-H), 7.51 (d, J_H,H = 8.35 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.62 (d, J_H,H = 8.45 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.71-7.77 (m, 10H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 30.07, 30.47, 64.98, 126.75, 126.83, 127.61, 127.73, 127.76, 127.78, 128.01, 131.63, 131.92, 134.17, 135.18, 139.37, 139.75, 140.35, 142.06, 145.20, 145.72, 194.04, 194.36; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 3028 (w, ν(CH)), 2957 (m, ν_as(CH₃)), 2925 (s, ν_s(CH₃)), 2855 (w), 1711 (s, ν(C=O)), 1483 (s), 1463 (w, δ_as(CH₃)), 1351 (w, δ(CH₃)), 1270 (w), 1119 (s), 1093 (w) 1015 (w), 950 (m), 810 (s), 732 (w), 616 (s); UV-Vis (λ_max[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 312 (38515); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C₅₁H₄₀O₄S₄Na ([M+Na]⁺, 867.1707), found m/z 867.1539; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₅₁H₄₀O₄S₄ (845.12): C 72.48, H 4.77; found: C 72.88 4, H 4.94.
4-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]-4′-(tris-[3-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]phenyl]methyl) biphenyl (88)

Triflate 48 (0.2 g, 0.23 mmol), boronic acid 78 (0.065g, 0.25 mmol), potassium carbonate (0.096 g, 0.069 mmol) and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.027 g, 0.023 mmol) were placed to 100 mL round bottom flask and dissolved in a mixture of toluene, ethanol, water (30/30/10 mL respect). The solution was degassed with argon, and then heated at 85 °C overnight under an inert atmosphere. After cooling, solvents were removed, the residue was diluted with water (100 mL) and dichloromethane (150 mL), the crude product was extracted with dichloromethane (2 x 100 mL). Combined organic fractions were dried over magnesium sulfate, filtrated concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (200 g, hexane/EtOAc (40:1) providing 0.21 g of 88 as a colorless oil in 98% yield. (Rf = 0.37, hexane/EtOAc 40:1), 1H NMR (500 MHz, CD2Cl2) δ ppm 0.02 (s, 27H, CH3), 0.05 (s, 9H, CH3), 0.82-0.85 (m, 6H, CH2), 0.95-0.97 (m, 2H, CH2), 2.80-2.85 (m, 6H, CH2), 2.99-3.03 (m, 2H, CH2), 7.04-7.06 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.14-7.15 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.19-7.20 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.30 (d, JH,H = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.34 (d, JH,H = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.52 (d, JH,H = 8.6 Hz 2H, Ar-H), 7.54 (d, JH,H = 8.45 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); 13C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD2Cl2) δ ppm -1.75, 17.10, 17.16, 29.56, 29.62, 65.13, 126.24, 126.57, 127.58, 128.41, 128.66, 129.20, 131.08, 131.76, 137.11, 137.42, 137.84, 138.42, 145.58, 147.41; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3058 (w, ν(=CH)), 3028 (w, ν(=CH)), 2951 (s), 2922 (m, νas(CH2, CH3)), 2853 (s, νs(CH2, CH3)), 1581 (s, ν(C=C)), 1483 (s, δas(CH2, CH3)), 1412 (m, δs(CH2, CH3)), 1248 (m, δs(CH3, TMS)), 1162 (m), 1096 (m), 1006 (m) 858 (s), 840 (s, δas(CH3, TMS)), 700 (w, νas(SiC3)); UV-Vis (λmax[nm], ε, CH2Cl2) 295 (28717); 270 (32756); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C51H72S4Si4Na ([M+Na]+, 947.3492), found m/z 947.4314; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C51H72S4Si4 (924.36): C 66.64, H 7.98; found: C 66.64, H 7.98.
The transprotection was conducted according to a method described for the preparation of 74. Starting material 88 (0.16 g, 0.18 mmol), silver tetrafluoroborate (0.34 g, 1.76 mmol) and acetyl chloride (3.2 mL) in anhydrous dichloromethane (32 mL) were stirred under argon overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100 g) in hexane/EtOAc (1:5) to obtain 0.088 g of 89 as a white powder in 73% yield. (Rf = 0.3, hexane/EtOAc 1:5); m.p. 235-236 °C; 1H NMR (500 MHz, CD2Cl2) δ ppm 2.35 (s, 9H, CH3), 2.42 (s, 3H, CH3), 7.29-7.30 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.35-7.38 (m, 11H, Ar-H), 7.47 (dd, J_H,H = 4.45, 1.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.58 (dd, J_H,H = 6.6, 1.8 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.66 (dd, J_H,H = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); 13C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD2Cl2) δ ppm 30.42, 30.45, 64.99, 127.01, 127.60, 128.01, 128.42, 129.03, 131.74, 132.07, 132.07, 132.07, 135.29, 135.29, 139.46, 138.27, 141.78, 145.67, 147.41, 194.00, 194.33; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 3057 (w, ν(=CH)), 3027 (w, ν(=CH)), 2954 (m, ν asym(CH3)), 2923 (s, ν sym(CH3)), 2854 (w), 1702 (s, ν(C=O)), 1583 (s), 1469 (s), 1408 (m, δ asym(CH3)), 1351 (m, δ sym(CH3)), 1120 (s), 1002 (w), 947 (s), 818 (s), 791 (w), 614 (s); UV-Vis (λ max[nm], ε, CH2Cl2) 213 (24531); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C39H32O4S4Na ([M+Na]+, 715.1081), found m/z 715.0966; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C39H32O4S4 (692.12): C 67.60, H 4.65; found: C 67.92, H 4.84.
4-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]-4''-(tris[3-[2-(trimethylsilyl)ethylsulfanyl]phenyl]methyl)-1,1':4',1'' terphenyl (90)

Pinacol boronic ester 51 (0.18 g, 0.21 mmol), biphenyl 79 (0.083 g, 0.23 mmol), potassium carbonate (0.086 g, 0.063 mmol), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.024 g, 0.021 mmol) were placed in 100 mL round bottom flask and dissolved in a mixture of toluene, ethanol, water (30/30/10 mL respectively). Resulting solution was deoxygenated for 30 min with argon, and subsequently heated at 85 °C overnight under an inert atmosphere. After cooling, solvents were removed under reduce pressure and the residue was diluted with water (100 mL) and dichloromethane (150 mL). Crude product was extracted with dichloromethane (2 x 100 mL). Combined organic fraction was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtrated and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (200 g, hexane/EtOAc 1:20) providing 0.14 g of a colorless oil, however the obtained material was always contaminated with starting rod 79, so the crude material was used for the next step without further purification ($R_f = 0.31$, hexane/ EtOAc 1:20).
The transprotection was conducted according to a method described for the preparation of 74. The crude material 90 (0.12 g, 0.12 mmol), silver tetrafluoroborate (0.24 g, 1.12 mmol), and acetyl chloride (3.5 mL) in anhydrous dichloromethane (35 mL) were stirred under argon overnight at room temperature. The reaction was quenched and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100 g) in hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 1:4) to obtain 0.07 g of 91 as a yellow solid in 48% yield after two steps. (Rf = 0.3, hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 1:4); m.p. 136-139 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 2.35 (s, 9H, CH₃), 2.43 (s, 3H, CH₃), 7.29-7.31 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.35-7.38 (m, 11H, Ar-H), 7.37 (d, J₉₁₂ = 8.25 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.50 (d, J₉₁₂ = 8.45 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.70-7.72 (m, 6H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 30.43, 30.47, 65.01, 126.71, 127.58, 127.58, 127.78, 127.92, 128.00, 128.42, 129.04, 131.73, 132.09, 132.67, 135.37, 137.49, 138.62, 139.34, 140.10, 142.05, 145.31, 147.49, 149.04, 194.04; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 3057 (w, ν(=CH)), 3027 (w, ν(=CH)), 2954 (w, ν(CH₃)), 2924 (m, ν(CH₃)), 2852 (w), 1703 (s, ν(C=O)), 1583 (m), 1480 (s), 1403 (m, δ(CH₃)), 1351 (m, δ(CH₃)), 1120 (s), 1002 (w), 948 (s), 814 (s), 791 (w), 614 (s); UV-Vis (λmax [nm], ε, acetone) 212 (73591); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C₅₁H₆₀O₄S₄Na ([M+Na]⁺, 791.1394), found m/z 791.1340; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₅₁H₆₀O₄S₄ (768.15): C 70.28, H 4.72; found: C 70.55, H 4.94.
Pinacol boronic ester 51 (0.21 g, 0.25 mmol), terphenyl rod 81 (0.11 g, 0.26 mmol), potassium carbonate (0.086 g, 0.063 mmol) and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.14 g, 0.12 mmol) were placed to a 100 mL two-neck round bottom flask and treated with the mixture of dioxane (50 mL), water (10 mL) and degassed for 30 min with argon. Subsequently the reaction mixture was refluxed overnight under an inert atmosphere. After cooling, solvents were evaporated. The crude product was diluted with water (100 mL) and dichloromethane (150 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane (2 x 100 mL). Combined organic fraction was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtrated and concentrated in vacuum. Crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (200 g, hexane/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2:1) providing 0.15 g of 92 as orange oil in 56% yield. ($R_f = 0.31$, hexane/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ 2:1), $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) $\delta$ ppm 0.02 (s, 27H, CH$_3$), 0.062 (s, 9H, CH$_3$), 0.82-0.85 (m, 6H, CH$_2$), 0.85-0.99 (m, 2H, CH$_2$), 2.79-2.82 (m, 6H, CH$_2$), 2.83-3.03 (m, 2H, CH$_2$), 7.01-7.03 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.17-7.19 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.28 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.5$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.38 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.45$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.54 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.55$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.58 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.66$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.70-7.73 (m, 4H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) $\delta$ ppm -1.59, -1.63, 16.84, 17.03, 29.68, 29.84, 64.87, 126.19, 126.56, 127.56, 127.36, 127.41, 127.47, 127.49, 128.10, 128.75, 129.29, 131.15, 131.63, 136.69, 138.12, 138.31, 139.54, 139.63, 145.30, 147.06; IR (KBr) $\nu$ cm$^{-1}$: 3028 (w, $\nu$(=CH)), 2923 (m, $\nu_{as}$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 2853 (s, $\nu_{a}$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1634 (w, $\nu$(C=C)), 1582 (w), 1486 (w, $\delta_{as}$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1403 (w, $\delta_{s}$(CH$_2$, CH$_3$)), 1248 (m, $\delta$(CH$_3$, TMS)), 1097 (m), 1005(m), 858 (m), 840 (m, $\delta_{as}$(CH$_3$, TMS)) 810 (s), 694 (w, $\nu_{as}$(SiC$_3$)); UV-Vis ($\lambda$$_{max}$[nm], $\epsilon$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) 318 (51578), 270 (27102); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C$_{63}$H$_{80}$Si$_4$S$_4$K
([M+K]$^+$, 1115.3857), found m/z 1115.3824, found m/z 1023.2446; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{63}$H$_{80}$S$_4$Si$_4$ (1076.42): C 70.70, H 7.48; found: C 70.99, H 7.83.

$S,S',S''$-\{(3,3',3''-[4-Acetyl sulfanylbiphenyl-1,1':4',1''':4'',1'''':-quaterphenyl-4''''-yl]-[3,3',3''-\{(methanetriyl)tris(benzene-3,1-diyl)]tris(thioacetate) (93)

The transprotection was conducted according to a method described for the preparation of 74. Starting material 92 (0.095 g, 0.088 mmol), AgBF$_4$ (0.17 g, 0.88 mmol) and acetyl chloride (2.5 mL) were suspended in anhydrous dichloromethane (25 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred under argon overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100 g) in hexane/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (1:4) to obtain 0.056 g of 93 as a white solid in 75% yield. ($R_f$ = 0.32, hexane/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ 1:4), m.p. 259-261 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm 2.36 (s, 9H, CH$_3$), 2.44 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 7.30-7.31 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.36-7.39 (m, 11H, Ar-H), 7.51 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.3$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.63 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.5$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.72-7.76 (m, 10H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm 30.11, 30.44, 65.02, 125.64, 126.79, 127.73, 127.76, 127.77, 127.97, 128.03, 128.44, 128.57, 129.05, 129.38, 131.74, 132.11, 132.68, 135.40, 137.51, 138.73, 139.76, 140.37, 142.08, 145.24, 147.52, 194.03, 194.37; IR (KBr) ν cm$^{-1}$ 3028 (w, ν(C=CH)), 2956 (m, νas(CH$_3$)), 2924 (s, νs(CH$_3$)), 2853 (w), 1703 (s, ν(C=O)), 1584 (w), 1479 (s), 1403 (w, δas(CH$_3$)), 1350 (w, δ(CH$_3$), 1264 (w), 1120 (s), 1001 (m) 947 (m), 811 (s), 792 (m), 694 (m); UV-Vis ($\lambda_{max}$[nm], ε, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) 312 (38515); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C$_{51}$H$_{40}$O$_4$S$_4$Na ([M+Na]$^+$, 867.1707), found m/z 867.1581; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{51}$H$_{40}$O$_4$S$_4$ (845.12): C 72.48, H 4.77; found: C 72.86, H 5.01.
**4,4’-Methylenebis[N-(diphenylmethylene)-benzeneamine (94) \(^{328}\)**

![Chemical structure of 4,4’-Methylenebis[N-(diphenylmethylene)-benzeneamine (94)](image)

Compound 94 was synthesized according to a reported protocol\(^{328}\). An oven-flamed, 500 mL three neck round bottom flask, equipped with refluxed condenser and dropping funnel was charged with 4,4’-diaminodiphenylmethane (1 g, 5.04 mmol), benzophenone (1.94 g, 10.6 mmol), and DABCO (1.44 g, 7.56 mmol). Reagents were dissolved in 15 mL of chlorobenzene under argon and TiCl\(_4\) (0.84 mL, 1.73 mmol) was dropwise added. Afterwards, the reaction mixture was vigorously stirred under an inert atmosphere overnight at 125 °C. Upon cooling to room temperature, precipitated solid was filtered and washed with chlorobenzene (100 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO\(_4\), and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residual yellowish residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (500 g) in hexane/EtOAc (10:1) to afford pure 94 (2.40 g) as a yellowish solid in 90% yield (\(R_f = 0.77\), hexane/EtOAc = 10:1); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) \(\delta\) ppm, 3.78 (s, 2H, CH\(_2\)), 6.66 (d, \(J_{H,H} = 8\) Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 6.89 (d, \(J_{H,H} = 8.5\) Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.14 (d, \(J_{H,H} = 7.55\) Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.27-7.31 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.42 (t, \(J_{H,H} = 8.55\) Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.49 (t, \(J_{H,H} = 8.55\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.57 (d, \(J_{H,H} = 7.75\) Hz, 4H, Ar-H); \(^1^3\)C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) \(\delta\) ppm 19.2, 40.0, 122.9, 125.5, 128.4, 129, 130.2, 140.4, 141.9, 142.0.

**Bis[4-[(diphenylmethylene)amino]phenyl] methanone (95)\(^{328}\)**

![Chemical structure of Bis[4-[(diphenylmethylene)amino]phenyl] methanone (95)](image)

A single neck, 100 mL round bottom flask was loaded with starting material 94 (0.35 g, 0.66 mmol), potassium permanganate (0.32 g, 2 mmol), TBAB (0.32 g, 0.99 mmol) and dissolved in 15 mL of 1,2-dichloroethane. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. Subsequently, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated solution of
NaHSO₃ (120 mL) and washed with dichloromethane (3 x 150 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried over MgSO₄, and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (300 g) in hexane/EtOAc (10:1) to afford pure 95 (0.29 g) as a yellow solid in 82% yield (Rf = 0.43, hexane/EtOAc = 10:1). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm, 6.79 (d, J₉₁,₉₂ = 8.45 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.14 (d, J₉₂,₉₃ = 6.9 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.27-7.31 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.44 (t, J₉₃,₉₄ = 7.55 Hz, 4H, Ar-H). All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (300 g) in hexane/EtOAc (10:1) to afford pure 95 (0.29 g) as a yellow solid in 82% yield (Rf = 0.43, hexane/EtOAc = 10:1). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm, 6.79 (d, J₉₁,₉₂ = 8.45 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.14 (d, J₉₂,₉₃ = 6.9 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.27-7.31 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.44 (t, J₉₃,₉₄ = 7.55 Hz, 4H, Ar-H)

4-Bromophenyl-bis{4-[(diphenylmethylene)amino]phenyl} methanol (96)

A dry and argon-flushed 50 mL Schlenk flask was charged with 1,4-dibromobenzene (0.29 g, 0.54 mmol) and anhydrous THF (8 mL) was added. The solution was cooled to -78 °C and n-BuLi (0.37 mL, 0.59 mmol, 1.6 M in hexane) was added dropwise over 20 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h under argon. In a second 50 mL Schlenk flask, benzophenone 95 (0.28 g, 0.52 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (7 mL) under inert atmosphere and cooled to -78 °C. The solution of lithiated species was slowly added via a cannula into the flask containing diethyl carbonate solution, after 1 h, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 16 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated NH₄Cl solution (100 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (200 g) in hexane/EtOAc (10:1) to afford pure 96 (0.29 g) as a dark-blue solid in 86% yield (Rf = 0.33, hexane/EtOAc = 10:1); m.p. 127-129 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 2.80 (s, 1H, OH), 6.66 (d, J₉₁,₉₂ = 8.45 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 6.91 (d, J₉₂,₉₃ = 8.5 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 6.99 (d, J₉₃,₉₄ = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.13 (dd, J₉₄,₉₅ = 6.9 Hz, 1.9 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.27-7.29 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.39 (d, J₉₅,₉₆ = 8.55 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.43-7.44 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.51 (t, J₉₆,₉₇ = 7.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.78 (d, J₉₇,₉₈ = 7.75 Hz, 2H, Ar-H).
Hz, 4H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 81.4, 120.6, 127.9, 128.1, 128.3, 128.8, 129.5, 129.7, 130.8, 136.2, 139.6, 141.3, 146.3, 150.7, 168.9; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 3367 (s ν(OH)), 3027 (w, ν(=CH)), 2952 (w, νas(CH₃)), 2934 (w, ν(CH₃)), 2853 (s, ν(CH₃-O)), 1655 (s, ν(C=N)), 1598 (s), 1577 (s), 1510 (s), 1393 (m, δ(CH₃)), 1362 (δas(CH₃)), 1301 (m), 1277 (s, ν(C=N)), 1178 (s, ν(3°-OH)), 1072 (w), 1007 (m), 917 (m), 822 (s), 763 (m), 700 (s), 637 (s, ν(C-Br)); UV-Vis (λmax[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 269 (43186); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C₄₅H₃₃BrN₂ONa ([M+Na]+, 721.1716), found m/z 721.1657; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₄₅H₃₃BrN₂O (696.18): C, 77.47; H, 4.77; N, 4.02; found: C, 77.56; H, 4.79; N, 4.23.

4-Bromophenyl-bis(4'-methoxyphenyl) methanol (97)

\[
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\]

A dry and argon-flushed 100 mL Schlenk flask was charged with 1,4-dibromobenzene (4.38 g, 18 mmol) and anhydrous THF (35 mL) was added. The solution was cooled to -78 °C and n-BuLi (12 mL, 19.1 mmol, 1.6 M in hexane) was added dropwise over 30 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h under argon. In a second 100 mL Schlenk flask, 4,4'-dimethoxybenzophenone (1.5 g, 6.18 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (30 mL) under inert atmosphere and cooled to -78 °C. The solution of lithiated species was slowly added via a cannula into the flask containing solution of benzophenone, after 1 h, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 16 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated NH₄Cl solution (200 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 200 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (300 g) in hexane/EtOAc (6:1) to afford pure 97 (2.38 g) as a reddish oil in 96% yield ($R_f = 0.33$, hexane/EtOAc = 6:1); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm, 2.86 (s, 1H, OH), 3.79 (m, 6H, CH₃), 6.83 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.85$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.15 (dd, $J_{HH} = 6.8$, 2.05 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.42 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.6$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 55.4, 81.2, 113.4, 117.3, 121.3, 129.2, 129.7, 131, 146.5, 158.9; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 3477 (s, ν(OH)), 3000 (w, ν(=CH)), 2954 (m, νas(CH₃)), 2932 (m, ν(CH₃)), 2835 (s, ν(CH₃-O)), 1606 (s, w, ν(C=C)), 1509 (s), 1393 (m, δ(CH₃)), 1301 (δas(CH₃)), 1250 (s, ν(C₆H₅-O-C)), 1154 (s, ν(3°-OH)), 1071 (s), 1033
(s), 1008 (s), 826 (s), 583 (s, ν(C-Br)); UV-vis (λ_{max}[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 269 (43186); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C₂₁H₁₉BrO₃Na ([M+Na]^+ 421.0415), found m/z 421.0405; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₁H₁₉BrO₃ (398.05): C, 63.17; H, 4.80; found: C, 62.70; H, 4.93.

4-[[4-Bromophenyl-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)]methyl] phenol (98)

A 100 mL two-neck round bottom flask was charged with 97 (0.5 g, 1.26 mmol), phenol (1.77 g, 18.84 mmol), and a few drops of concentrated HCl were added. The reaction mixture was heated at 140 °C for 14 h under argon. After cooling to room temperature, the dark-red reaction mixture was diluted with toluene (200 mL) and quenched with NaOH solution (2 M, 160 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with water (150 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (450 g) in hexane/EtOAc (3:1) to afford 98 (0.38 g) as a pale-yellow powder in 63% yield (R_f = 0.46, hexane/EtOAc = 3:1); m.p. 122-123 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 3.80 (s, 6H, CH₃), 5.51 (s, 2H, OH), 6.72 (d, J_{H,H} = 9.1 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.80 (d, J_{H,H} = 8.75 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.02 (d, J_{H,H} = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.06-7.08 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.36 (d, J_{H,H} = 8.55 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 55.5, 62.8, 113.1, 114.6, 120.1, 127.7, 130.7, 132.2, 132.4, 133.0, 139.2, 147, 153.9, 157.7; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 3392 (s, ν(OH)), 3032 (w, ν(=CH)), 2952 (m, ν as(CH₃)), 2932 (m, ν s(CH₃)), 2834 (s, ν(CH₃-O)), 1607 (s, (w), 1507 (s), 1441 (m, δ s(CH₂)), 1373 (w, δ as(CH₃)), 1249 (s, ν(C₆H₄-O-C)), 1179 (s, ν(C₆H₄-OH)), 1075 (m), 1034 (s), 1009 (s), 823 (s), 581 (s, ν(C-Br)); UV-vis (λ_{max}[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 271 (74485); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C₂₇H₂₃BrO₃Na ([M+Na]^+ 497.0620), found m/z 497.0728; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₇H₂₃BrO₃ (474.08): C, 68.22; H, 4.88; found: C, 68.64; H, 4.87.
Trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (0.33 mL, 1.94 mmol) was added dropwise to the solution of 98 (0.65 g, 1.29 mmol) in dry triethylamine (0.34 mL, 2.46 mmol) and CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) at 0 °C under argon atmosphere. The solution was stirred for 2 h at 0 °C, and then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 10 h, before quenching with water (100 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 250 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (130 g) with hexane/EtOAc (3:1) as an eluent to yield 0.77 g (93%) of 99 as a yellow oil (Rf = 0.68, hexane/EtOAc = 3:1); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 4.01 (s, 6H, CH₃), 7.02 (d, J₇,H,H = 8.9 Hz, 4H, Ar-H) 7.23-7.26 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.37 (d, J₇,H,H = 8.95 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.76 (d, J₇,H,H = 8.85 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.60 (d, J₇,H,H = 8.65 Hz, 2H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 55.3, 63.1, 113.2, 117.6, 120.4, 120.5, 130.9, 131.9, 132.7, 132.8, 138.0, 145.8, 147.5, 147.7, 158.0; ¹⁹F NMR (470.57 MHz, CDCl₃) -72.9; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 3035 (w, ν(CH)), 2957 (m, νas(CH₃)), 2927 (m, νs(CH₃)), 2856 (s, ν(CH₃-O)), 1704 (s), 1607 (s), 1509 (s), 1462 (m, δ(CH₃)), 1424 (s, νas(S=O)), 1252 (s, ν(C₆H₅-O-C)), 1208 (s, νs(S=O)) 1041 (m), 1036 (s, ν(C-F)), 1012 (m), 889 (s), 824 (s), 607 (s, ν(C-Br)); UV-Vis (λmax[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 273 (33589); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C₂₈H₂₂BrF₃O₅SNa ([M+Na]⁺, 631.0202), found m/z 631.0176; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₈H₂₂BrF₃O₅S (606.03): C, 55.36; H, 3.65; found: C, 55.90; H, 5.03.
**4,4’-[Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)methyl] dibzonitrile (100)**

An oven-flamed, 50 mL pressure tube was charged with triflate 99 (0.75 g, 1.24 mmol), zinc cyanide (0.23 g, 1.92 mmol), copper (I) cyanide (0.17 g, 1.92 mmol), Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.029 g, 0.025 mmol) and anhydrous DMF (10 mL) under argon. The tube was sealed and stirred at 140 °C for 16 h. After cooling to room temperature, the resulting solution was quenched with Na₂CO₃ (100 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (70 g) in the mixture of hexane/EtOAc (5:1) to get 0.52 g of 100 as a white powder in 98% yield (Rᶠ = 0.17, hexane/EtOAc = 5:1); m.p. 149-150 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 3.79 (s, 6H, CH₃), 6.81 (d, J_H,H = 8.85 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.01 (d, J_H,H = 8.85 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.31 (d, J_H,H = 8.5 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.56 (d, J_H,H = 8.45 Hz, 4H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 55.4, 64.2, 110.4, 113.6, 118.7, 131.5, 131.7, 131.8, 136.9, 151.8, 158.2; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 3037 (w, ν(=CH)), 2954 (w, ν(CH₃)), 2925 (m, ν(CH₃)), 2853 (s, ν(CH₃-O)), 2231 (s, ν(C≡N)), 1605 (s, ν(C=C)), 1508 (s), 1460 (m, δ(CH₃)), 1405 (w, δ(CH₃)), 1297 (m, ν(C-N)), 1252 (s, ν(C=O-C)), 1182 (s), 1118 (m), 1032 (s), 825 (s); UV-Vis (λ_max[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 224 (13100), 270 (23341); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C₂₉H₂₂N₂O₂Na ([M+Na]⁺, 453.1477), found m/z 453.1579; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₉H₂₂N₂O₂ (430.17): C, 80.91; H, 5.15; N, 6.51; found: C, 80.12; H, 5.51; N, 6.42.
4,4’-[Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)methyl] dibenzaldehyde (101)

A dry and argon-flushed 100 mL Schlenk flask was charged with 100 (0.52 g, 1.21 mmol) and anhydrous THF (40 mL) was added. The solution was cooled to 0 °C and DIBAL-H (2.9 mL, 2.9 mmol, 1 M solution in toluene) was added dropwise over 30 min. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at -40° C under argon. The reaction mixture was quenched with 2 M HCl solution (100 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (300 g) in hexane/EtOAc (3:1) to afford pure 101 (0.24 g) as a yellowish solid in 46% yield (Rf = 0.38, hexane/EtOAc = 3:1); m.p. 121-131 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 3.79 (s, 6H, CH₃), 6.81 (d, J_H,H = 8.95 Hz, 4H, Ar-H) 7.08 (d, J_H,H = 8.95 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.40 (d, J_H,H = 8.31 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.78 (d, J_H,H = 8.4 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 9.99 (s, 2H, H=CO); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 55.4, 64.5, 113.4, 129.2, 131.5, 132, 134.4, 137.6, 153.7, 157.1, 191.9; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 3033 (w, ν(=CH)), 2952 (w, ν(CH₃)), 2925 (m, ν(CH₃)), 2853 (s, ν(CH₃-O)), 2734 (w, ν(H-C=O)), 1699 (s, ν(C=O)), 1603 (s, ν(C=C)), 1508 (s), 1461 (m, δ(CH₃)), 1382 (w, δ(CH₃)), 1299 (w), 1251 (s, ν(C-O-C)), 1214 (s, ν(C-O-C)), 1182 (m), 1032 (s), 817 (s); UV-vis (λ_max[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 269 (43186); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C₂₉H₂₂O₄Na ([M+Na]⁺, 459.1572), found m/z 459.1711; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₉H₂₂O₄: C, 79.80; H, 5.54; found: C, 79.83; H, 5.85.
4,4’-Diiododiphenylmethane (102)\(^{332}\)

![Chemical structure of 4,4’-Diiododiphenylmethane (102)](image)

Compound 102 prepared according to a reported method.\(^{332}\) A 500 mL three neck round bottom flask, equipped with refluxed condenser and a dropping funnel was charged with 4,4’-diaminodiphenylmethane (8 g, 40 mmol), concentrated sulfuric acid (32 mL) and cooled down to 0 °C. Separately, sodium nitrate (7.94 g, 115.2 mmol) was dissolved in 16 mL of water, cooled down to 0 °C. Afterwards, solution of nitrite was transferred to a dropping funnel and dropwise added over 30 min. to the same solution. Consecutively, aqueous solution of potassium iodide (42 g, 250 mmol) in 150 mL of H\(_2\)O was dropped to ice-cold solution diazonium salt. The reaction mixture was heated up to 60 °C over 2 h. Upon cooling, the reaction mixture was quenched with 500 mL of Na\(_2\)SO\(_3\) and aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane (3 x 500 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO\(_4\), and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residual yellowish slurry was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (900 g) in hexane/EtOAc (10:1) to afford pure 102 (6.7 g) as a yellowish solid in 40% yield (\(R_f = 0.86\), hexane/EtOAc = 10:1); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) \(\delta\) ppm 3.78 (s, 2H, CH\(_2\)), 6.66 (d, \(J_{HH} = 8\) Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 6.89 (d, \(J_{HH} = 8.5\) Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.14 (d, \(J_{HH} = 7.55\) Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.27-7.31 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.42 (d, \(J_{HH} = 8.55\) Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.49 (d, \(J_{HH} = 8.55\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.57 (d, \(J_{HH} = 7.75\) Hz, 4H, Ar-H); \(^13\)C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) \(\delta\) ppm 41.0, 91.8, 131.0, 137.7, 140.1.

4,4’-Diiodobenzophenone (103)\(^{332}\)

![Chemical structure of 4,4’-Diiodobenzophenone (103)](image)

A single neck, 100 mL round bottom flask, equipped with a reflux condenser was loaded with starting material 102 (6.7 g, 15.95 mmol), chromium oxide (VI) (7.97 g, 79.75 mmol) and suspended in a mixture of glacial acetic (61 mL) acid and H\(_2\)O (24 mL). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 36 h. The crude mixture was cooled down to 0 °C and neutralized with NaOH (pellets). Subsequently, the precipitate was filtered through a fritted funnel, washed...
with water and dried. White powder was recrystallized from hot toluene, affording 4.84 g of the product 103 as white needles in 70% yield. \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) ppm, 7.45 (bm, 4H, Ar-H), 7.85 (bm, 4H, Ar-H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) ppm, 100.6, 131.6, 136.5, 137.9, 195.6.

**Bis(4-iodophenyl)-4-methoxyphenyl)methanol (104)**

A dry and argon-flushed 50 mL Schlenk flask was charged with 4-bromoanisole (0.7 mL, 5.53 mmol) and anhydrous THF (20 mL) was added. The solution was cooled to -78 °C and \(n\)-BuLi (3.6 mL, 5.76 mmol, 1.6 M in hexane) was added dropwise over 20 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h under argon. In a second 250 mL Schlenk flask, benzophenone 103 (1.8 g, 4.15 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (80 mL) under an inert atmosphere and cooled to -78 °C. The solution of lithiated species was slowly added via a cannula into the flask containing solution of benzophenone. After 1 h, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 16 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated NH\(_4\)Cl solution (100 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (2 x 200 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO\(_4\), and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (500 g) in hexane/EtOAc (15:1) to afford pure 104 (0.52 g) as a yellow oil in 23% yield (\(R_f\) = 0.08, hexane/EtOAc = 15:1); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) ppm 2.70 (s, 1H, OH), 6.82-6.85 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.01-7.04 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.09-7.12 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.62-7.65 (m, 4H, Ar-H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) ppm 55.5, 113.7, 129.2, 129.9, 137.3, 138.2, 146.4, 159.2; IR (KBr) \(v\) cm\(^{-1}\) 3463 (s, \(\nu\)(OH)), 3057 (w, \(\nu\)(=CH)), 2967 (w, \(\nu_{as}\)(CH\(_3\))), 2927 (w, \(\nu_{s}\)(CH\(_3\))), 2834 (s, \(\nu\)(CH\(_3\)-O)), 1643 (s), 1606 (s), 1579 (s), 1509 (s), 1481 (s), 1461 (w, \(\delta\)(CH\(_3\))), 1390 (\(\delta_{as}\)(CH\(_3\))), 1301 (m), 1250 (s, \(\nu\)(C\(_{Ar}\)-O-C)), 1178 (s, \(\nu\)(3\(^{\circ}\)-OH)), 1064 (w), 1032 (m), 1003 (m), 915 (s), 814 (s), 579 (s, \(\nu\)(C-I)); UV-Vis (\(\lambda_{max}\)[nm], \(\varepsilon\), CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) 238 (21345); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C\(_{20}\)H\(_{16}\)O\(_2\)I\(_2\)Na ([M+Na]\(^+\), 564.9212, found \(m/z\) 564.9171; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C\(_{20}\)H\(_{16}\)O\(_2\)I\(_2\) (541.92): C, 44.31; H, 2.97; found: C, 44.71; H, 3.15.
A 100 mL two-neck round bottom flask was charged with 104 (0.5 g, 0.92 mmol), phenol (0.9 g, 9.2 mmol), and a few drops of concentrated HCl were added. The reaction mixture was heated at 140 °C for 16 h under argon. After cooling to room temperature, the red reaction mixture was diluted with toluene (200 mL) and quenched with NaOH solution (2 M, 100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with water (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (400 g) in hexane/EtOAc (9:1) to afford 105 (0.31 g) as a yellow oil in 55% yield ($R_f = 0.11$, hexane/EtOAc = 9:1); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 3.78 (s, 3H, CH₃), 4.9 (s, 1H, OH), 6.70-6.72 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 6.77-6.79 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 6.91 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.6$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.98 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.6$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.01-7.03 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.05-7.13 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.19-7.24 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.54-7.57 (m, 2H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 55.4, 67.1, 92, 113.1, 114.6, 127.8, 131.9, 132.1, 132.3, 133.0, 136.7, 146.7, 153.8, 157.8; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 3357 (b, $\nu$(OH)), 3031 (w, $\nu$(=CH)), 2934 (m, $\nu$(CH₃)), 2853 (s, $\nu$(CH₂-O)), 1606 (s), 1509 (s), 1484 (m), 1439 (w, $\delta$(CH₃)), 1390 (w, $\delta$(CH₃)), 1250 (s, $\nu$(C=O-C)), 1179 (s, $\nu$(C=O)), 1094 (m), 1034 (m), 1004 (s), 816 (s); UV-vis ($\lambda_{max}$[nm], $\varepsilon$, CH₂Cl₂) 215 (53650); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C₂₆H₂₀I₂O₂Na ([M+Na]$^+$, 640.9450, found m/z 640.9403; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₆H₂₀I₂O₂ (617.96): C, 50.51.; H, 3.26; found: C, 50.88; H, 3.46.
4, 4’-[Bis(4-iodophenyl)methylene] diphenol (106)

An oven-flamed, 100 mL, two-neck round bottom flask, equipped with a dropping funnel was charged with starting material 105 (0.31 g, 0.51 mmol) and freshly distilled dichloromethane (20 mL) under argon. The reaction mixture was then cooled to -78°C and BBr₃ (1.5 mL, 1.5 mmol, 1 M solution in CH₂Cl₂) was dropwise added. Afterwards, the resulting mixture was stirred overnight under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturation solution of Na₂SO₃ (100 mL), the aqueous layer was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (100 g) in hexane/EtOAc (3:1) to afford pure compound 106 (0.3 g) as a reddish oil in 99% yield ($R_f = 0.26$, hexane/EtOAc = 3:1); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 5.16 (s, 2H, OH), 6.77-6.79 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.00 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.6$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.06 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.65$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.18 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.6$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.28-7.30 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.46 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 63.5, 92.0, 114.8, 132.2, 133.2, 136.8, 138.0, 147.1, 154.6; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 3298 (b, ν(OH)), 2924 (m), 2853 (w), 1592 (m), 1509 (m), 1483 (s), 1453 (m), 1384 (w), 1235 (s, ν(CAr-O)), 1179 (s, ν(C-OH)), 1004 (s), 823 (s); UV-vis (λₘₚₓ[nm], $\varepsilon$, CH₂Cl₂) 234 (10856); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C₂₅H₁₈I₂O₂Na ([M+Na]^+), 626.9294), found m/z 626.9275; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₅H₁₈I₂O₂ (603.94): C, 49.70; H, 3.00; found: C, 49.94; H, 3.05.
Trimethylsilyl chloride (0.1 mL, 0.65 mmol) was added dropwise to the solution of 106 (0.28 g, 0.46 mmol) in dry triethylamine (0.09 mL, 0.65 mmol) and freshly distilled Et₂O (20 mL) at 0 °C under argon atmosphere. The solution was stirred for 2 h at 0 °C, and then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 10 h, before quenching with water (100 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with Et₂O (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure affording the desired product 107 (0.33 g) in 95% yield as a white powder. \( R_f = 0.91, \text{hexane/EtOAc} = 5:1; \) m.p. 240-241 °C; \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 0.26 (s, 18H, CH₃), 6.70 (d, \( J_{H,H} = 8.7 \) Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 6.89 (d, \( J_{H,H} = 8.55 \) Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 6.95 (d, \( J_{H,H} = 8.75 \) Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.55 (d, \( J_{H,H} = 8.6 \) Hz, 4H, Ar-H); \(^13\)C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 0.04, 63.4, 92, 119, 132.1, 133.2, 136.7, 138.8, 146.8, 153.6; IR (KBr) ν cm\(^{-1}\) 3036 (w, \( \nu(=\text{CH}) \)), 2969 (m, \( \nu(\text{as}(\text{CH}_3)) \)), 2928 (m, \( \nu(\text{as}(\text{CH}_3)) \)), 1610 (s), 1508 (s), 1482 (s), 1399 (w), 1453 (s, \( \delta(\text{as}(\text{CH}_3)) \)), 1390 (\( \delta(\text{as}(\text{CH}_3)) \)), 1245 (s), 1179 (s, \( \nu(\text{C-O}) \)), 1004 (s, \( \nu(\text{C-O-Si}) \)), 1013 (s), 578 (m, \( \nu(\text{C-I}) \)); UV-Vis (\( \lambda_{max}[\text{nm}] \), \( \varepsilon, \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \)) 234 (25011); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C\(_{25}\)H\(_{18}\)I\(_2\)O\(_2\)Na ([M-TMS]\(^+\), 626.9265, found \( m/z \) 626.9294; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C\(_{31}\)H\(_{34}\)I\(_2\)O\(_2\)Si\(_2\): C, 49.74; H, 4.58; found: C, 49.97; H, 4.75.
**4,4’-Dibromodiphenylmethane (108)**

A 250 mL three-neck round bottom flask, equipped with a reflux condenser and dropping funnel was charged with 4,4’-diaminodiphenylmethane (10 g, 50 mmol), concentrated hydrobromic acid (90 mL) and cooled down to 0 °C. Separately, sodium nitrate (8.25 g, 120 mmol) was dissolved in 80 mL of water and cooled down to 0 °C. Afterwards, solution of nitrite was transferred to a dropping funnel and dropwise added over 40 min to the same solution. Consecutively, a 500 mL three-neck round bottom flask was charged with a solution of copper bromide (21.51 g, 150 mmol) in 80 mL of HBr and cooled down to 0 °C. Freshly formed diazonium salt was dropwise added to the CuBr solution. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at ambient conditions overnight. The reaction mixture was neutralized with 500 mL of saturated solution of Na$_2$CO$_3$ and aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane (3 x 500 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO$_4$, and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residual yellowish slurries were purified by column chromatography on silica gel (1000 g) in hexane/EtOAc (20:1) to afford pure compound 108 (6.5 g) as a yellowish solid in 40% yield ($R_f$ = 0.95, hexane/EtOAc = 20:1); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm, 3.88 (s, 2H, CH$_2$), 7.03 (d, $J_{H,H}$ = 8.45 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.41 (dd, $J_{H,H}$ = 6.5, 1.9 Hz, 4H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm 40.9, 120.4, 130.8, 131.9, 139.6, 139.6.

**4,4’-Dibromobenzophenone (109)**

Compound 109 was prepared adopting the protocol for the synthesis of 103. A single neck, 100 mL round bottom flask, equipped with a refluxed condenser was loaded with starting material 108 (6.45 g, 20 mmol), chromium oxide (9.8 g, 99 mmol), and suspended in a mixture of glacial acetic acid (90 mL) and H$_2$O (30 mL). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 36 h. The crude mixture was cooled down to 0 °C and neutralized with NaOH (pellets).
Subsequently, the precipitate was filtered through a fritted funnel and washed with an excess of water. White powder was recrystallized from hot toluene to afford 5.7 g of the product 109 as white needles in 86% yield. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm 7.64-7.69 (bm, 8H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm 128, 131.6, 131.9, 136, 194.7.

[Bis(4-bromophenyl)-4-methoxyphenyl]methanol (110)

A dry and argon-flushed 100 mL Schlenk flask was charged with 4-bromoanisole (0.81 mL, 6.47 mmol) and anhydrous THF (40 mL) was added. The solution was cooled to -78 °C and n-BuLi (4.3 mL, 6.76 mmol, 1.6 M in hexane) was added dropwise over 20 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h under argon. In a second 250 mL Schlenk flask, benzophenone 109 (2 g, 5.91 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (35 mL) under an inert atmosphere and cooled to -78 °C. The solution of lithiated species was slowly added via a cannula into the flask containing solution of benzophenone. After 1 h, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 16 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated NH$_4$Cl solution (100 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 x 200 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO$_4$, and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (700 g) in hexane/EtOAc (9:1) to afford pure 110 (1.96 g) as a dark-blue oil in 75% yield ($R_f$ = 0.29, hexane/EtOAc = 9:1); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm 2.83 (s, 1H, OH), 3.80 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 6.83-6.85 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 8.85 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.11 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 8.85 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.15 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 8.6 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.43 (d, $J_{HH}$ = 8.65 Hz, 4H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm 55.4, 81.2, 113.6, 121.7, 129.2, 129.7, 131.2, 138.3, 145.8, 159.1; IR (KBr) $\nu$ cm$^{-1}$ 3468 (s, $\nu$(OH)), 3000 (w, $\nu$(=CH)), 2954 (w, $\nu_\alpha$(CH$_3$)), 2928 (w, $\nu_\alpha$(CH$_3$)), 2836 (s, $\nu$(CH$_3$-O)), 1607 (s, $\nu$(C=C)), 1582 (s), 1509 (s), 1485 (s), 1461 (m, $\delta$(CH$_3$)), 1394 (m, $\delta$(CH$_3$)), 1299 (s, $\nu$(C-Br)), 1179 (s, $\nu$(3°-OH)), 1154 (m), 1073 (m), 1008 (s), 906 (s), 819 (s), 599 (s, $\nu$(C-Br)); UV-Vis ($\lambda_{max}$[nm], $\varepsilon$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) 233 (22297); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C$_{20}$H$_{16}$Br$_2$O$_2$Na ([M+Na]$^+$, 470.9340, found m/z 470.9395; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{20}$H$_{16}$Br$_2$O$_2$ (445.95): C, 53.60; H, 3.60; found: C, 53.85; H, 3.91.
A 100 mL two-neck round bottom flask was charged with 110 (1.89 g, 4.24 mmol), phenol (5 g, 51 mmol), and a few drops of concentrated HCl were added. The reaction mixture was heated at 140 °C for 16 h under argon. After cooling to room temperature, the red reaction mixture was diluted with toluene (400 mL) and quenched with NaOH solution (2 M, 200 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with water (100 mL), dried over MgSO4 and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (300 g) in hexane/EtOAc (5:1) to afford 111 (1.85 g) as a cream powder in 83% yield ($R_f$ = 0.33, hexane/EtOAc = 5:1); m.p. 94–96 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm 3.79 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 4.77 (s, 1H, OH), 6.72 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.75$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.78 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.9$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.99 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.02-7.10 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 7.21 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.7$ Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.36 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.7$ Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.37 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm 55.2, 63.0, 113.2, 114.7, 120.4, 127.9, 130.9, 132.0, 132.3, 132.4, 132.8, 146.1, 153.9, 157.9; IR (KBr) ν cm$^{-1}$ 3339 (b, $\nu$(OH)), 3031 (w, $\nu$(CH$_3$)), 2929 (m, $\nu$(CH$_3$)), 2835 (s, $\nu$(CH$_3$-O)), 1608 (m), 1509 (s), 1441 (m, $\delta$(CH$_3$)), 1374 (w, $\delta$_a(CH$_3$)), 1250 (s, $\nu$(C$_{Ar}$-O-C)), 1180 (s, $\nu$(C$_{Ar}$-O)), 1094 (w), 1009 (m), 819 (s); UV-vis ($\lambda_{max}$[nm], $\varepsilon$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) 233 (22297); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C$_{26}$H$_{20}$Br$_2$O$_2$Na ([M+Na]$^+$, 546.9670), found m/z 546.9709; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{26}$H$_{20}$Br$_2$O$_2$ (521.98): C, 59.57; H, 3.85; found: C, 59.85; H, 3.97.
4, 4’-[Bis(4-bromophenyl)methylene] diphenol (112)

An oven-flamed, 100 mL, two-neck round bottom flask, equipped with a dropping funnel was charged with starting material 111 (1.8 g, 3.45 mmol) and freshly distilled dichloromethane (30 mL) under argon. The reaction mixture was then cooled to -78 °C and BBr₃ (10.3 mL, 11 mmol, 1 M solution in CH₂Cl₂) was dropwise added. Subsequently, the resulting mixture was stirred overnight under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated solution of Na₂SO₃ (120 mL), the aqueous layer was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 200 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (200 g) in hexane/EtOAc (2:1) to afford pure 112 (1.73 g) as a white powder in 97% yield (Rf = 0.5, hexane/EtOAc = 2:1); m.p. 180-182 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 5.34 (s, 2H, OH), 6.71 (d, J_HH = 9.3 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 6.98 (d, J_HH = 8.8 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.09 (d, J_HH = 8.7 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.21 (d, J_HH = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.25 (d, J_HH = 8.75 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.31-7.33 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.63 (d, J_HH = 8.7 Hz, 4H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 68.2, 114.7, 120.4, 127.9, 130.8, 132.1, 132.2, 132.8, 146.1, 154; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 3264 (b, ν(OH)), 2854 (w), 1612 (m), 1594 (m), 1509 (s), 1486 (m), 1444 (m), 1367 (w), 1241 (s, ν(C₉H₈-O)), 1179 (s, ν(C-OH)), 1009 (s), 823 (s); UV-vis (λ_{max}[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 230 (13173); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C₂₅H₁₈O₂Br₂Na ([M+Na]+, 532.9572, found m/z 532.9742; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₅H₁₈Br₂O₂ (507.97): C, 58.85; H, 3.56; found: C, 58.98; H, 3.71.
Trimethylsilyl chloride (0.21 mL, 1.65 mmol) was added dropwise to the solution of 112 (0.21 g, 0.41 mmol) in dry triethylamine (0.23 mL, 1.65 mmol) and freshly distilled Et₂O (20 mL) at 0 °C under argon atmosphere. The solution was stirred for 2 h at 0 °C, and then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 10 h, before quenching with water (150 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with Et₂O (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (200 g) with hexane/EtOAc (5:1) as an eluent to yield 0.26 g (99%) of 113 as a white powder. (Rf = 0.93, hexane/EtOAc = 5:1); m.p. 102-103 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 0.26 (s, 18H, CH₃), 6.71 (d, J₁H,₁H = 8.75 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 6.96 (d, J₁H,₁H = 8.75 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.02-7.03 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.08 (d, J₁H,₁H = 8.7 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.21 (d, J₁H,₁H = 8.7 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.35-7.37 (m, 3H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 63.1, 118.9, 120.2, 127.6, 130.6, 132.0, 132.7, 138.8, 146, 153.5; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 3034 (w, ν(C=CH)), 2959 (m, νₚₚ(CH₃)), 2926 (w, νₐ(CH₃)), 2854 (m), 1600 (m), 1503 (s), 1408 (w, δ(CH₃)), 1395 (δₚ(CH₃)), 1267 (s, ν(C-O)), 1175 (s, ν(C-O)), 1010 (s, ν(C-O-Si)), 921 (s), 846 (s, ν(SiMe₃)) 694 (w, ν(C-Br)); UV-Vis (λ_max [nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 231 (12791); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C₃¹H₃₄Br₂O₂Si₂Na ([M+Na]+, 677.0344 found m/z 677.0220; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₃₁H₃₄Br₂O₂Si₂ (652.05): C, 56.88; H, 5.24; found: C, 56.92; H, 5.43.
Bis(4-chlorophenyl)-4-methoxyphenyl)methanol (114)

A dry and argon-flushed 100 mL Schlenk flask was charged with 4-bromoanisole (1.2 mL, 9.55 mmol) and anhydrous THF (20 mL). The solution was cooled to -78 °C and n-BuLi (6.2 mL, 9.95 mmol, 1.6 M in hexane) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h under argon. In a second 100 mL Schlenk flask, 4,4′-dichlorobenzophenone (2 g, 7.96 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (40 mL) under an inert atmosphere and cooled to -78 °C. The solution of lithiated species was slowly added via a cannula into the flask containing solution of benzophenone. After 1 h, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 16 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated NH₄Cl solution (200 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 200 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (500 g) in hexane/EtOAc (20:1) to afford pure 114 (2.84 g) as a reddish oil in 99% yield (Rf = 0.08, hexane/EtOAc = 20:1); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 2.80 (s, 1H, OH), 3.80 (m, 6H, CH₃), 6.84 (d, J₉H,₉H = 8.95 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.12 (d, J₉H,₉H = 8.95 2H, Ar-H), 7.21 (d, J₉H,₉H = 8.75 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.28 (d, J₉H,₉H = 8.7 Hz, 4H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 55.4, 81.1, 113.6, 128.2, 129.2, 129.3, 133.4, 138.4, 145.3, 159.1; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 3464 (s, ν(OH)), 3036 (w, ν(=CH)), 2956 (m, νas(CH₃)), 2930 (m, νs(CH₃)), 2837 (w, ν(CH₃-O)), 1608 (s), 1510 (s), 1489 (s), 1399 (m, δ(CH₃)), 1301 (m, δas(CH₃)), 1251 (s, ν(CAr-O-C)), 1179 (s, ν(3o-OH)), 1093 (s), 1013 (s), 908 (s), 824 (s); UV-vis (λmax[nm], ε, acetone) 207 (36762); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C₂₀H₁₆Cl₂O₂Na ([M+Na]+, 381.0425, found m/z 381.0400; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₀H₁₆Cl₂O₂ (358.05): C, 66.87; H, 4.49; found: C, 67.01; H, 4.78.
A 100 mL two-neck round bottom flask was charged with 114 (2.26 g, 6.3 mmol), phenol (7.11 g, 75.6 mmol), and a few drops of concentrated HCl were added. The reaction mixture was heated at 140 °C for 16 h under argon. After cooling to room temperature, the red reaction mixture was diluted with toluene (400 mL) and quenched with NaOH solution (2 M, 200 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with water (150 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (600 g) in hexane/EtOAc (5:1) to afford 115 (2.02 g) as a yellow powder in 74% yield \((R_f = 0.26, \text{hexane/EtOAc} = 5:1)\); m.p. 122-123 °C; \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 3.79 (s, 6H, CH₃), 4.96 (s, 2H, OH), 6.72 (d, \(J_{H,H} = 8.8\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.80 (d, \(J_{H,H} = 8.95\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.99 (d, \(J_{H,H} = 8.8\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.04 (d, \(J_{H,H} = 8.9\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.10 (d, \(J_{H,H} = 8.7\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.24 (d, \(J_{H,H} = 8.7\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H); \(^1^3\)C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 55.6, 63.1, 113.3, 114.8, 128, 132.2, 132.3, 132.4, 132.6, 138.6, 138.9, 145.8, 154.1, 158.1; IR (KBr) \(\nu\) cm⁻¹ 3357 (b \(\nu(OH))\), 3031 (w, \(\nu(=CH))\), 2931 (m, \(\nu(CH_3))\), 2836 (s, \(\nu(CH_3-O))\), 1608 (s), 1507 (s), 1441 (m, \(\delta(CH_3))\), 1368 (w, \(\delta(CH_3))\), 1251 (s, \(\nu(C_Ar-O-C))\), 1180 (s, \(\nu(C_Ar-OH))\), 1095 (m), 1035 (m), 1013 (s), 821 (s); UV-vis (λmax[nm], \(\varepsilon\), acetone) 208 (51635); ESI(-) MS Calcd for C₂₀H₁₉O₂Cl₂ ([M-H]⁻, 433.0762), found \(m/z\) 433.0527; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₀H₂₀Cl₂O₂ (438.04): C, 71.73; H, 4.63; found: C, 71.60; H, 4.71.
**4,4’-[Bis(4-chlorophenyl)methylene] diphenol (116)**

![Chemical Structure](image)

An oven-flamed, 100 mL, two-neck round bottom flask with attached dropping funnel was charged with starting material 115 (2.1 g, 4.79 mmol) and of freshly distilled dichloromethane (30 mL) under argon stream. The reaction mixture was then cooled to -78 °C and BBr₃ (22 mL, 22 mmol, 1 M solution in CH₂Cl₂) was dropwise added. Subsequently, the resulting mixture was stirred overnight under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturation solution of Na₂SO₃, the aqueous layer was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 200 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (500 g) in hexane/EtOAc (3:1) to afford pure 116 (1.99 g) as a reddish oil in 99% yield ($R_f = 0.14$, hexane/EtOAc = 3:1); m.p. 206-209 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta$ ppm, 5.04 (s, 2H, OH), 6.72 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.8$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 6.98 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.85$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.42 (dd, $J_{HH} = 4.7$, 1.95 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.21 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.75$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta$ ppm 62.9, 114.6, 127.8, 132.1, 132.2, 132.3, 138.6, 145.5, 153.9; IR (KBr) $\nu$ cm⁻¹ 3494 (b, $\nu$(OH)), 3027 (w, $\nu$(=CH)), 2926 (m, $\nu$(CH₃)), 2854 (s, $\nu$(CH₃-O)), 1653 (w), 1611 (m), 1509 (s), 1488 (s), 1433 (m, $\delta$(CH₃)), 1378 (w, $\delta$(CH₃)), 1243 (s, $\nu$(C-O)), 1179 (s, $\nu$(C=O)), 1096 (s), 1013 (s), 1008 (s), 822 (s); UV-vis ($\lambda_{max}$[nm], $\varepsilon$, acetone) 209 (21475); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C₂₅H₁₈O₂Cl₂Na ([M+Na]⁺, 443.0518), found m/z 443.0582; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₅H₁₈Cl₂O₂ (420.07): C, 71.27; H, 4.31; found: C, 71.52; H, 4.57.
4,4'-[Bis(4-chlorophenyl)methylene]-bis(phenyl trifluoromethanesulfonate) (117)

![Chemical Structure](image)

Trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (1.4 mL, 8.2 mmol) was added dropwise to the solution of 116 (2.1 g, 5 mmol) in dry triethylamine (1.15 mL, 8.26 mmol) and CH2Cl2 (20 mL) at 0 °C under argon atmosphere. The solution was stirred for 2 h at 0 °C, and then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for an additional 10 h, before quenching with water (150 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with CH2Cl2 (3 x 300 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO4 and filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (200 g) with hexane/EtOAc (5:1) as an eluent to yield 3.17 g (93%) of 117 as a white powder. ($R_f = 0.93$, hexane/EtOAc = 5:1); m.p. 123-124 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm 7.05 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.6$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.23 (m, 8H, Ar-H), 7.28 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.6$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm 63.6, 117.6, 121.0, 128.5, 132.1, 132.6, 133.2, 143.5, 145.9, 148.1; $^{19}$F NMR (470.57 MHz, CDCl3) -72.9; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 3036 (w, $\nu(=CH)$), 1593 (w), 1494 (s), 1423 (s), 1424 (s), 1251 (s, $\nu$(C=O)), 1216 (s, $\nu$(S=O)) 1141 (m, $\nu$(C-O-S)), 1015 (s, $\nu$(C-F)), 1012 (m), 889 (s), 819 (s), 753 (m, $\nu$(C-Cl)); UV-Vis (λmax[nm], ε, CH2Cl2) 212 (30096); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C27H16Cl2F6O6S2Na ([M+Na]^+), 706.9567, found m/z 706.9743; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C27H16Cl2F6O6S2 (683.97): C, 47.31; H, 2.35; found: C, 47.52; H, 2.61.
An oven-flamed 50 mL pressure tube was charged with triflate 117 (1 g, 1.46 mmol), anhydrous potassium acetate (1.15 g, 11.68 mmol), bis(pinacolato)diboron (1.11 g, 4.38 mmol), Pd(dppf)Cl$_2$ (0.012 g, 0.15 mmol) and dry dioxane (30 mL) under argon. The tube was sealed and stirred under at 110 °C for 48 h. After cooling, the reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane (300 mL) and washed with water (100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO$_4$ and filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (500 g) with hexane/EtOAc (5:1) to provide 0.85 g of 118 as a yellow powder in 91% yield ($R_f = 0.56$, hexane/EtOAc = 5:1); m.p. 210-212 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm 1.33 (s, 24 H, CH$_3$), 7.14 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.75$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.19 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.8$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.21 (dd, $J_{HH} = 6.15$, 1.95 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.69 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.25$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm 25.0, 64.7, 84.0, 128.0, 130.3, 132.3, 132.4 143.4, 144.8, 149.0; IR (KBr) ν cm$^{-1}$: 3028 (w, $\nu$(=CH)), 2955 (w, $\nu$_as(CH$_3$)), 2923 (m, $\nu$(CH$_3$)), 2852 (s, $\nu$(CH$_3$-O)), 1701 (b), 1651 (s), 1603 (s), 1493(s), 1451 (m), 1406 (w, $\delta$(CH$_3$)), 1366 (w, $\delta$_as(CH$_3$)), 1321 (m), 1229 (m, $\nu$(C-O)), 1157 (s, $\nu$(C-O-B)), 1094 (s), 1014 (w), 1004 (m), 817 (m), 753 (m, $\nu$(C-Cl)), 696 (v, (C-B)); UV-vis ($\lambda_{max}$[nm], ε, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) 224 (19207), 229 (21995); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C$_{37}$H$_{40}$B$_2$O$_4$Cl$_2$Na ([M+Na]$^+$, 663.2401, found m/z 663.2354; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{37}$H$_{40}$B$_2$O$_4$Cl$_2$ (640.25): C, 69.30; H, 6.28; found: C, 69.18; H, 6.54.
A 100 mL, two neck round-bottom flask was charged with pinacol ester \textbf{118} (0.84 g, 1.31 mmol), copper iodide (0.75 g, 3.94 mmol), \textit{N}-iodosuccinimide (0.88 g, 3.94 mmol), anhydrous DMF (30 mL) and toluene (15 mL) under argon. The reaction mixture was stirred and heated at 110 °C for 48 h under argon atmosphere. After cooling, the reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane (300 mL) and washed with Na$_2$SO$_3$ solution (10%, 200 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO$_4$ and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (200 g) with hexane/EtOAc (5:1) to obtain 0.61 g of \textbf{119} as a yellow powder in 73% yield ($R_f$ = 0.63, hexane/EtOAc = 5:1); m.p. 243-245 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) $\delta$ ppm 6.92 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.45$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.10 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.6$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.25 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.6$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.61 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.5$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) $\delta$ ppm 64.7, 93.2, 129, 133.1, 133.3, 133.7, 138, 145, 146.4; IR (KBr) $\nu$ cm$^{-1}$ 3058 (w, $\nu$(=CH)), 2958 (s), 2925 (m), 2854 (s), 1732 (w), 1640 (w, $\nu$(C=C)), 1572 (w), 1481 (m), 1395 (w), 1261 (s), 1006 (m), 810 (s), 702 (w, $\nu$(C-Cl)), 537 (w, $\nu$(C-I)); UV-vis ($\lambda_{\text{max}}$[nm], $\varepsilon$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) 212 (34771); ESI(−) HRMS Calcd for C$_{25}$H$_8$I$_2$Cl$_2$Na ([M+Na]$^+$, 654.8042, found m/z 654.7990; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{25}$H$_8$I$_2$Cl$_2$Na (639.87): C, 46.84; H, 2.52; found: C, 47.95; H, 3.05.
A 100 mL, two neck round-bottom flask was charged with pinacol ester 118 (0.5 g, 0.78 mmol), copper bromide (0.67 g, 4.68 mmol), N-bromosuccinimide (0.42 g, 2.34 mmol), anhydrous DMF (12 mL) and toluene (6 mL) under argon. The reaction mixture was stirred and heated at 110 °C for 48 h under argon atmosphere. After cooling, the reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane (300 mL) and washed with Na₂SO₃ solution (10 %, 200 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (200 g) with hexane/EtOAc (5:1) to obtain 0.33 g of 120 as a yellow powder in 78 % yield (Rf = 0.63, hexane/EtOAc = 5:1); m.p. 234-235 °C; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 7.02 (d, J_H,H = 8.7 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.07 (d, J_H,H = 8.7 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.24 (d, J_H,H = 8.7 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.39 (d, J_H,H = 8.65 Hz, 4H, Ar-H); ^13C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 83.1, 121.0, 128.3, 131.2, 132.2, 132.5, 132.7, 144.1, 144.7; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 3026 (w, ν(=CH)), 2924 (s), 2853 (s), 1484 (s), 1399 (w), 1188 (m), 1095 (m), 1034 (s), 1009 (m), 812 (s), 732 (w, ν(C-Br)); 540 (s, ν(C-Br)); UV-vis (λ_max[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 211 (26497); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C₂₅H₁₆Br₂ClNa ([M+Na]^+), 685.8514, found m/z 685.4587; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₅H₁₆Br₂ClNa (842.18): C, 54.88; H, 2.95; found: C, 54.92; H, 3.07.
4,4’-[Bis(4-chlorophenyl)methylene] dibzonitrile (122)

An oven-flamed, 50 mL pressure tube was charged with triflate 117 (1 g, 1.46 mmol), zinc cyanide (0.86 g, 7.3 mmol), copper (I) cyanide (0.4 g, 4.38 mmol), Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$ (0.17 g, 0.17 mmol) and anhydrous DMF (15 mL) under argon. The tube was sealed and stirred at 140 °C for 16 h. After cooling to room temperature, the resulting reaction mixture was quenched with saturated solution of Na$_2$CO$_3$ (100 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 x 150 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO$_4$ and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (200 g) in the mixture of hexane/EtOAc (3:1) to get 0.63 g of 122 as an orange powder in 98 % yield ($R_f = 0.64$, hexane/EtOAc = 3:1); m.p. 156-158 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm 7.05 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.6$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.28 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.85$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.30 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.55$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.60 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.4$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm 64.7, 111.1, 118.4, 128.4, 131.4, 131.9, 132.1, 133.4, 142.8, 150.3; IR (KBr) ν cm$^{-1}$ 3067 (w), 2923 (w), 2853 (s), 2233 (s, $\nu$(C≡N)), 1603 (m), 1487 (s), 1402 (m), 1093 (m, $\nu$(C-N)), 1012 (s), 817 (s), 739 (m, $\nu$(C-Cl)); UV-Vis ($\lambda_{max}$[nm]. ε, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) 224 (13100), 270 (23341); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C$_{27}$H$_{16}$Cl$_2$N$_2$Na ([M+Na]$^+$, 461.0588), found m/z 461.0533; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{27}$H$_{16}$Cl$_2$N$_2$ (439.34): C, 73.81; H, 3.67; N, 6.38; found: C, 73.20; H, 3.84; N, 3.69.
4,4’-[Bis(4-chlorophenyl)methylene] dibenzaldehyde (121)

A dry and argon-flushed 100 mL Schlenk flask was charged with 122 (1.98 g, 4.51 mmol) and anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL) was added. The solution was cooled to -78 °C and DIBAL-H (13.55 mL, 13.5 mmol, 1 M solution in toluene) was added dropwise over 30 min. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at 0 °C under argon. The reaction mixture was quenched with 2 M HCl solution (100 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 200 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (300 g) in hexane/EtOAc (4:1) to afford pure 121 (1.3 g) as a yellowish solid in 65% yield (Rf = 0.47, hexane/EtOAc = 4:1); m.p. 221-223 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 7.16 (dd, J_H,H = 6.75, 2.05 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.29 (dd, J_H,H = 6.75, 1.75 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.40 (d, J_H,H = 8.7 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.80 (dd, J_H,H = 6.75, 1.9 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 9.99 (s, 2H, H-CO); ¹³C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 65.2, 128.7, 129.6, 131.7, 132.5, 133.1, 135.2, 144, 152.3, 191.9; IR (KBr) v cm⁻¹ 3030 (w), 2924 (m), 2852 (m), 2737 (w, v(H-C=O)), 1702 (s, v(C=O)), 1603 (s), 1487 (m), 1311 (w), 1216 (s, v(C₆-C-O)), 1182 (m, v(C=O)), 1094 (s), 1013 (m), 811 (s); UV-Vis (λ_max[nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 267 (11579); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C₂₇H₁₈O₂Cl₂ ([M-4H]^⁺, 440.0371, found m/z 440.0303; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₇H₁₈O₂Cl₂ (445.34): C, 72.82; H, 4.07; found: C, 72.96; H, 4.09.
An oven-flamed two neck round bottom flask, equipped with reflux condenser and Dean-Stark trap was charged with starting material 121 (0.94 g, 2.1 mmol), ethylene glycol (0.7 mL, 12.6 mmol) p-TSA (0.05 g, 0.011 mmol), and 100 mL of freshly distilled toluene under argon stream. Upon cooling, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated solution of Na$_2$CO$_3$ (100 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 x 200 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO$_4$, and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure giving pure 123 (1.1 g) as a white powder almost quantitatively (97%). ($R_f$ = 0.28, hexane/EtOAc = 4:1); m.p. 256-258 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm 3.97-4.02 (m, 4H, CH$_3$), 4.06-4.10 (m, 4H, CH$_3$), 5.72 (s, 2H, CH), 7.16 (dd, $J_{H,H} = 8.75, 1.75$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H) 7.22 (dd, $J_{H,H} = 6.75, 1.95$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.24 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.45$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.36 (dd, $J_{H,H} = 8.75, 1.9$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm 64.4, 65.8, 103.8, 126.5, 128.2, 131.1, 132.4, 132.7, 136.7, 145.3, 147.3; IR (KBr) ν cm$^{-1}$ 3032 (w, $\nu$(=CH)), 2951 (m, $\nu$as(CH$_3$)), 2923 (m, $\nu$s(CH$_3$)), 2877 (s, $\nu$(CH$_3$-O)), 1702 (s), 1603 (s), 1507 (s), 1487 (m), 1401 (w, $\delta$(CH$_3$)), 1382 (w, $\delta$as(CH$_3$)), 1221 (s, $\nu$(C$_{Ar}$=O-C)), 1083 (s, $\nu$(C$_{Ar}$=O)), 1012 (m), 945 (m), 818 (s) 722 (w, $\nu$(C-Cl)); UV-Vis ($\lambda_{max}$[nm], ε, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) 227 (18624), 272 (20516); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C$_{31}$H$_{26}$O$_4$Cl$_2$Na ([M+Na]$^+$, 555.1106), found m/z 555.1064; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{31}$H$_{26}$O$_4$Cl$_2$ (533.44): C, 69.80; H, 4.91; found: C, 69.94; H, 5.11.
An oven-flamed, 50 mL pressure tube was charged with starting material 123 (0.5 g, 0.97 mmol), Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (0.91 g, 2.81 mmol), XPhos (0.11 g, 0.23 mmol), NH$_2$Boc (0.28 g, 2.34 mmol) and 30 mL of dry dioxane. Subsequently, solution was purged for 20 min with argon and Pd(OAc)$_2$ (0.021 g, 0.0094 mmol) was added. The tube was sealed and stirred at 110 °C for 16 h. After cooling to room temperature, dioxane was removed and the residue was washed with water (100 mL) and CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 x 150 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO$_4$ and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (200 g) in the mixture of hexane/EtOAc (7:3) to obtain 0.46 g of 124 as a yellow powder in 70% yield ($R_f$ = 0.25, hexane/EtOAc = 7:3); m.p. 175-176 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm 1.48 (s, 18H, CH$_3$) 3.97-4.00 (m, 4H, CH$_3$), 4.06-4.11 (m, 4H, CH$_3$), 5.72 (s, 2H, CH), 6.57 (s, 2H, NH), 7.16 (d, $J_{H,H}$ = 8.75 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.22 (dd, $J_{H,H}$ = 6.9, 1.95 Hz, 8H, Ar-H), 7.33 (d, $J_{H,H}$ = 8.4 Hz, 4H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm 28.4, 65.8, 80.7, 103.9, 117.9, 126.2, 131.2, 131.8, 135.2, 136.2, 136.9, 141.5, 148.4, 153.0; IR (KBr) ν cm$^{-1}$ 3333 (b, ν(NH)), 3032 (w, ν(=CH)), 2977 (m, ν$_{as}$(CH$_3$)), 2930 (m, ν$_{as}$(CH$_3$)), 2877 (s, ν(CH$_3$-O)), 1727 (s), 1594 (s), 1523 (s), 1487 (m), 1392 (m, δ(CH$_3$)), 1367 (w, δ$_{as}$(CH$_3$)), 1231 (s, ν(C$_{Ar}$-O-C)), 1163 (s, ν(C$_{Ar}$-O)), 1084 (m), 1052 (m), 1018 (m), 8198 (s); UV-Vis (λ$_{max}$[nm], ε, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) 254 (26961); ESI(+) HRMS Calcd for C$_{41}$H$_{46}$N$_2$O$_8$Na ([$M+Na$]$^+$, 713.3152, found m/z 713.3147; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{41}$H$_{46}$O$_8$N$_2$ (694.33): C, 70.87; H, 6.67; N, 4.03; found: C, 70.52; H, 6.61; N 3.93.
4,4’-{Bis[(carbamic acid-N-phenyl-1,1-dimethylethyl ester)-4’-diyl]} dibenzaldehyde (125)

A two-neck, round bottom flask was charged with starting material 124 (0.7 g, 1 mmol), and 10 mL of ethyl acetate. Consecutively, catalytic amounts of 12 M HCl were added and the reaction mixture was vigorously stirred overnight in room temperature. Afterwards, the reaction mixture was carefully neutralized with saturated solution of NaHCO$_3$ (100 mL). The resulting two layer system was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 150 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO$_4$ and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (100 g) in the mixture of hexane/EtOAc (1:1) to obtain 0.6 g of 125 as a yellow powder in 99% yield ($R_f =$ 0.33, hexane/EtOAc = 1:1); m.p. 248-250 °C; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm 1.40 (s, 18H, CH$_3$), 6.59 (s, 2H, NH), 7.13 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.75$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.28 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.7$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.43 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.35$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.77 (d, $J_{HH} = 8.45$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) δ ppm 28.4, 65.1, 80.6, 118.2, 129.4, 131.7, 131.8, 134.9, 137.4, 140.1, 153.0, 154.5, 192.1; IR (KBr) ν cm$^{-1}$ 3032 (w, ν(=CH)), 2951 (m, ν$_{as}$(CH$_3$)), 2923 (m, ν$_{as}$(CH$_3$)), 2877 (s, ν(CH$_3$-O)), 1702 (s), 1603 (s), 1507 (s), 1487 (m), 1401 (w, δ(CH$_3$)), 1382 (w, δ$_{as}$(CH$_3$)), 1221 (s, ν(C$_{Ar}$-O-C)), 1083 (s, ν(C$_{Ar}$-O)), 1012 (m), 945 (m), 818 (s) 722 (w, ν(C-Cl)); UV-vis ($\lambda_{max}$[nm], ε, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) 252 (31221); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C$_{37}$H$_{38}$O$_6$N$_2$Na ([M+Na]$^+$, 629.2628), found m/z 629.2554; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C$_{37}$H$_{38}$O$_6$N$_2$ (606.27): C, 73.25; H, 6.31; N, 4.62; found: C, 73.33; H, 6.70; N, 4.69.
4,4'-{bis[4-(dimethoxymethylphenyl)-1,1-diyl]} dianiline (130)

An oven flamed and argon flushed 25 mL Schlenk flask was charged with starting material 125 (0.03 g, 0.05 mmol), 2 mL of anhydrous MeOH and 5 mL of freshly distilled CH₂Cl₂ under argon stream. Solution was cooled down to 0 °C and AcCl (0.021 mL, 0.29 mmol) was dropwise added. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight under an inert atmosphere. Subsequently, the reaction was carefully neutralized with saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (50 mL) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 80 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (500 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. Crude product 130 was subjected to NMR analysis. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 3.34 (s, 12H, CH₃), 5.34 (s, 2H, CH), 6.54 (d, Jₜ₋ₗ₁ = 6.6 Hz, 4H, Ar-H); 6.93 (d, Jₜ₋ₗ₁ = 8.6 Hz, 4H, Ar-H); 7.19 (d, Jₜ₋ₗ₁ = 8.4 Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.26-7.29 (m, 4H, Ar-H), (Rₛ = 0.07, hexane/EtOAc = 3:7), product unstable.

(4-Aminophenyl)carbamic acid 1,1-dimethylethyl ester (126)

An oven-flamed, 250 mL two-neck round bottom flask, equipped with refluxed condenser was loaded with p-phenylenediamine (1 g, 9.25 mmol) and 60 mL of freshly distilled dichloromethane. The solution was purged with argon and cooled down to 0 °C. Precooled di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (0.91 g, 4.16 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) and dropwise added. Subsequently, the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Then, crude product was washed with water (200 mL) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 200 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash.
An oven flamed, 250 mL two-neck round bottom flask, equipped with refluxed condenser was charged with amine 127 (0.2 g, 0.96 mmol), p-tolualdehyde (0.24 mL, 2 mmol) and
catalytic amount of anhydrous LiCl under argon atmosphere. Subsequently freshly distilled toluene (30 mL) was added. Reaction mixture was refluxed overnight under argon atmosphere. Upon cooling, yellow product precipitated, which filtrated, and obtained material was washed with additional 50 mL of toluene giving 0.26 g of 128 as a yellow powder in 88% yield. M.p. 113-114 °C; 1H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 1.51 (s, 9H, CH₃), 2.44 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.66 (s, 1H, NH), 7.19 (d, J₉₈ = 8.45 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.29 (d, J₉₈ = 7.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.39 (d, J₉₈ = 7.75 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.78 (d, J₉₈ = 7.55 Hz, 4H, Ar-H); 13C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 21.7, 28.4, 80.7, 119.5, 119.9, 129.0, 129.8, 134.3, 137.2, 142.2, 147.3, 153.1, 159, 191.2; IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹ 3387 (m, υ(NH)), 3029 (w, υ(=CH)), 2985 (m, υ₃s(CH₃)), 2930 (m, υ₅(CH₃)), 2880 (s, υ(t-Bu-O)), 1702 (s, υ(C=O)), 1622 (w, υ(C=N)), 1589 (m, υ₃(νHNC=OR)), 1518 (s), 1408 (m, δ₃(CH₃)), 1388 (m, δ₅(CH₃)), 1309 (m), 1232 (s, υ(C=C-N-C)), 1160 (s, υ(C-O)), 1012 (m, υ(C-O-N)), 945 (m), 814 (s) 768 (w, ρ(HNC=OR)); UV-Vis (λmax [nm], ε, CH₂Cl₂) 275 (19969), 326 (15827); ESI(+) MS Calcd for C₁₉H₂₄N₂O₂Na ([M + Na]⁺, 333.1579), found m/z 333.1619; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₁₉H₂₄N₂O₂ (310.17): C, 73.52; H, 7.14; N, 9.03; found: C, 73.66; H, 7.38; N, 9.25.

N°-(Phenylmethylene)-1,4-benzenediamine (129)

An oven flamed and argon flashed 50 mL Schlenk flask was charged with starting material 128 (0.33 g, 1.6 mmol), and anhydrous MeOH (30 mL) under argon stream. Solution was cooled down to 0 °C and AcCl (0.8 mL, 11 mmol) was dropwise added. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight under inert atmosphere. Subsequently, the reaction was carefully neutralized with 80 mL of saturated solution of NaHCO₃ and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The yield of crude product was determined by NMR analysis and was found to be 80%, it was assessed according to the integration of the imine protons, 1H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 2.39 (s, 3H, CH₃), 5.30 (s, 2H, NH), 7.26-7.40 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 7.80 (d, J₉₈ = 7.95 Hz, 2H, Ar-H)), 8.48 (s, 1H, =NH); 13C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ ppm 21.2, 115.04, 121.6, 128.5, 129.3, 133.7, 141.8, 149.8, 156.2, 159.3.
[4-Iodo-(4′-carbamic acid-N-phenyl-1, 1-dimethylethyl ester)] (131)\(^ {344}\)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{I} \quad \text{NH}_2 \quad \xrightarrow{(\text{Boc})_2\text{O}, \text{Et}_3\text{N}} \quad \text{MeOH, } 50 \, ^\circ\text{C,} \\
\text{I} \quad \text{NH} \quad \text{O} \\
\text{MeOH, } 50 \, ^\circ\text{C,} \\
\end{array}
\]

An oven flamed, two-neck round bottom flask, equipped with reflux condenser was loaded with 4-iodaniline (2 g, 9.13 mmol), di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (3.98 g, 18 mmol), dry triethyl amine (4 mL) and anhydrous methanol (18 mL) under argon. The reaction mixture was heated at 50 °C and stirred overnight. After cooling, solvents were removed and the crude product was extracted with EtOAc (3x 200 mL) and with water (150 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO\(_4\) and filtered. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (500 g) in the mixture of hexane/EtOAc (1:1) to get 1.75 g of 131 as a white powder in 60% yield (\(R_f = 0.42\), hexane/EtOAc = 1:1); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) ppm 1.51 (s, 9H, CH\(_3\)), 6.45 (s, 1H, NH), 7.14 (d, \(J_{\text{H,H}} = 8.5\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H) 7.57 (dd, \(J_{\text{H,H}} = 6.9, 1.85\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) ppm 28.4, 81.4, 85.9, 120.5, 138, 138.3, 152.6.

Carbamic acid, N-[4′-formyl(1,1′-biphenyl)-4-yl]-1,1-dimethylethyl ester (132)\(^ {345}\)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{HO} \quad \text{O} \quad \xrightarrow{\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3, \text{Pd}((\text{PPh}_3)_4}} \quad \text{toluene/EtOH/H}_2\text{O,} \\
\text{O} \quad \text{NH} \quad \text{O} \\
\text{toluene/EtOH/H}_2\text{O,} \\
\end{array}
\]

Biphenyl 133 was synthesized by using similar method to the published procedure.\(^ {345}\) 4-Formylphenylboronic acid (0.95 g, 6.34 mmol), Boc-protected amine 131 (1.35 g, 4.23 mmol), potassium carbonate (1.75 g, 12.66 mmol), toluene (21 mL), ethanol (7 mL) and water (7 mL) were loaded to the 250 mL two-neck round bottom flask, equipped with reflux condenser. The Solution was flushed with argon and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.034 g, 0.29 mmol) was added. Reaction mixture was heated at 75 °C overnight under argon atmosphere. Afterwards solvents were removed. Crude product was diluted with dichloromethane (200 mL) and extracted with brine (100 mL). Aqueous layer was separated and washed with dichloromethane (2 x 200 mL). Organic layers were combined, dried over magnesium sulfate and filtered. Solvents were evaporated
and crude product was purified on silica gel (400 g) in hexane/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (1:2) yielding product 132 as a yellow solid (0.19 g, 64%). ($R_f$ = 0.19, hexane/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ 1:2); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ ppm 1.54 (s, 9H, CH$_3$), 6.61 (s, 1H, NH), 7.48 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.5$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.58 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.65$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.24 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.25$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.93 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.35$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H); $^{13}$C NMR (125.8 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ ppm 28.5, 81.0, 118.9, 127.3, 128.1, 130.5, 134.3, 135, 139, 146.7, 152.7, 192.1.

Polymer 133

Oven-flamed and argon flushed 20 mL tube was charged with monomer 133 (0.18 g, 0.61 mmol), and mixture of $\alpha$-$\beta$-dichlorobenzene/decanol (20/2 mL). The solution was purged with argon over 30 min, the tube was sealed and the reaction was carried out in microwave reactor over 1.5 h at 230 °C. Upon cooling, precipitated yellow solid was filtered and washed with 200 mL of Et$_2$O. Subsequently, crude product was sonicated 6h against EtOAc and the next 6 h against hexane. Orange powder was filtrated and dried under vacuum over 4 days providing 0.12 mg of polymer 133 in 71% yield. Elemental analysis calcd (%) for (C$_{13}$H$_9$N)$_n \cdot 3$ H$_2$O (179.07): C, 66.94; H, 6.48; N, 6.00: found: C, 67.65; H, 5.35; N, 5.35.

For the detail analysis see paragraph 4.3
Polymer 134

Oven-flamed and argon flushed 20 mL tube was charged with monomer 125 (0.15 g, 0.25 mmol) and the mixture of o-1,2-dichlorobenzene/decanol (4/0.4 mL). The solution was purged with argon over 30 min, the tube was sealed and the reaction was carried out in microwave reactor over 2 h at 230 °C. Upon cooling, precipitated yellow solid was filtered and washed with 200 mL of Et<sub>2</sub>O. Subsequently, crude product was sonicated 6h against EtOAc and the next 6 h against hexane. Orange powder was filtrated and dried under vacuum over 4 days getting 0.091 mg of polymer 134 in 65% yield. Elemental analysis calc'd (%) for (C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>·5 H<sub>2</sub>O (460.20): C, 70.42; H, 6.13; N, 6.08: found: C, 70.71; H, 5.41; N, 5.18.

For the detail analysis see paragraph 4.3
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8 Appendix

8.1 TGA charts of synthesized polymers.

**Figure 71** Stability of obtained polymer determined with TG analysis for 133 (upper chart), 134 (lower chart)
8.2 Contributions

All molecules presented in this work were synthesized and analyzed by Marcin Lindner in a Group of Prof. Dr. Marcel Mayor at the Institute of Nanotechnology (Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany). Showed and discussed results of chemical and physical measurements were carried out in the following groups:

- Prof. Dr. Wulf Wulfhekel at the Institute of Nanotechnology (UHV-STM experiments, KIT, Germany).
- Prof. Dr. Michail Zharnikov at Institute of Physical Chemistry (University of Heidelberg, Germany)
- Dr. Magdalena Hromadová at the J. Heyrovsky Institute of Physical Chemistry (Cyclic Voltammetry and STM-BJ experiments, Czech Academy of Science, Prague, Czech Republic)
- Dr. Olaf Fuhr at the Institute of Nanotechnology (X-ray single crystal, XPRD analysis, KIT, Germany)
- Dr. Sylvio Indris at the Institute of Applied Materials (MAS-NMR, KIT, Germany)
- Dr. Di Wang, at the Institute of Nanotechnology (TEM and STEM, KIT, Germany)
- Dr. Peter Weidler at the Institute of Functional Interfaces (Gas sorption, KIT, Germany)
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Rigid multipodal platforms for metal surfaces


Lindner, Marcin; Valášek, Michal; Homberg, Jan; Edelmann, Kevin; Gerhard, Lukas; Wulfhekel, Wulf; Fuhr, Olaf; Wächter, Tobias; Zharnikov, Michael; Kolivoška, Viliam; Pospíšil, Lubomír; Mészáros, Gábor; Hromadová, Magdaléna; Mayor, Marcel
Importance of the Anchor Group Position (Para versus Meta) in Tetraphenylmethane Tripods: Synthesis and Self-Assembly Features

Chemistry – A European Journal 2016, 22, 13218-13235
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