

This document is confidential and is proprietary to the American Chemical Society and its authors. Do not copy or disclose without written permission. If you have received this item in error, notify the sender and delete all copies.

**Formal Total Synthesis of (–)-Jiadifenolide and Synthetic Studies Towards *seco*-Prezizaane-Type Sesquiterpenes**

Journal:	<i>The Journal of Organic Chemistry</i>
Manuscript ID	jo-2016-02039f.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	07-Oct-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Gomes, José; University of Basel, Chemistry Daeppen, Christophe; Universitat Basel, Department of Chemistry; University of Zurich, Department of Chemistry Liffert, Raphael; University of Zurich, Department of Chemistry; Universitat Basel, Department of Chemistry Roesslein, Joel; University of Zurich, Department of Chemistry; Universitat Basel, Department of Chemistry Kaufmann, Elias; University of Zurich, Department of Chemistry; Universitat Basel, Department of Chemistry Heikinheimo, Annakaisa; Universitat Basel, Department of Chemistry Neuburger, Markus; University of Basel, Department of Chemistry Gademann, Karl; University of Zurich, Department of Chemistry; Universitat Basel, Department of Chemistry

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **Formal Total Synthesis of (–)-Jiadifenolide and Synthetic Studies Towards**  
9  
10 ***seco*-Prezizaane-Type Sesquiterpenes**  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20 José Gomes,<sup>†</sup> Christophe Daepfen,<sup>†,‡</sup> Raphael Liffert,<sup>†,‡</sup> Joel Rösslein,<sup>†,‡</sup> Elias


21 Kaufmann,<sup>†,‡</sup> Annakaisa Heikinheimo,<sup>†</sup> Markus Neuburger,<sup>†</sup> and Karl Gademann<sup>\*†,‡</sup>  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 <sup>†</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Basel, St. Johannis-Ring 19, CH-4056 Basel,  
30  
31 Switzerland  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 <sup>‡</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057  
37  
38 Zurich, Switzerland  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 karl.gademann@uzh.ch  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## TOC Graphic




## Abstract

Synthetic studies towards highly oxygenated *seco*-prezizaane sesquiterpenes are reported, which culminated in a formal total synthesis of the neurotrophic agent (-)-jiadifenolide. For the construction of the tricyclic core structure, an unusual intramolecular and diastereoselective Nozaki-Hiyama-Kishi reaction involving a ketone as electrophilic coupling partner was developed. In addition, synthetic approaches towards the related natural product (2*R*)-hydroxy-norneomajucin, featuring a Mn-mediated radical cyclization for the tricycle assembly and a regioselective OH-directed C-H activation are presented.

## Introduction

Natural products that induce or stimulate neuron differentiation and neurite outgrowth have been identified as promising small molecule alternatives to the nerve growth factor (NGF), a key protein mediating neuritogenesis in humans.<sup>1</sup> Since the early studies of Schreiber, Corey, and Danishefsky, many groups, including ours, have investigated small molecule neurotrophins.<sup>2</sup> The large variety of chemotypes that are able to stimulate neurite outgrowth suggests chemical interference with different pathways beyond NGF-mediated differentiation and outgrowth. While many pathways remain to be discovered, chemical synthesis might provide a leading role in mapping out these molecular mechanisms of a process central to memory and learning. An interesting class of compounds featuring strong activity as small molecule neurotrophins is represented by the *seco*-prezizaane-sesquiterpenes, in particular those of the majucin-type (Figure 1).<sup>3</sup> Several such compounds have been shown to be neurotrophically active,<sup>4</sup> such as jiadifenin (**1**),<sup>5</sup> (-)-jiadifenolide (**2**), (2*S*)-hydroxy-3,4-dehydroneomajucin (**3**),<sup>5</sup> jiadifenoxolane A (**4**)<sup>6</sup> and the norsesquiterpenoid (2*R*)-hydroxy-norneomajucin (**5**).<sup>7</sup> Furthermore, also the synthetic carboxylic acid derivative of jiadifenin (**6**) showed potent neurite outgrowth promoting activity in primary cultured rat cortical neurons.<sup>3b</sup> Biological studies on (-)-jiadifenolide (**2**) suggested that the observed neuronal dendritic outgrowth might involve MAP2 and PSD95 proteins,<sup>8</sup> and Shenvi raised the possibility that (-)-jiadifenolide might interact with the Cys-loop family of ion channels based on structural similarity to picrotoxinin.<sup>9</sup>

**Figure 1.** Neurotrophic *seco*-prezizaane-sesquiterpenes natural products.




The structurally complex framework of these terpenoids combined with their potent biological activity renders these compounds interesting targets for synthetic studies. A number of successful total syntheses have been reported for both jiadifenin (**1**)<sup>10</sup> and (-)-jiadifenolide (**2**),<sup>11</sup> culminating in the impressive eight-step, gram-scale synthesis of the latter compound by Shenvi and co-workers.<sup>12</sup> Our research group has been working towards this family of compounds over the past few years,<sup>13</sup> and in this publication, we report synthetic studies towards *seco*-prezizaane type sesquiterpenes, and a formal total synthesis of (-)-jiadifenolide (**2**), in full detail.

## Results and Discussion

(-)-Jiadifenolide (**2**) is a highly oxygenated sesquiterpene featuring a unique *seco*-prezizaane-type skeleton. Reported strategies for the assembly of the ABC-ring system<sup>10a-d, 11a-b</sup> involve formation of the rings in the order B→AB→ABC, A→AB→ABC, and C→BC→ABC. So far B-ring cyclization from an AC-ring system is known to proceed *via* samarium-mediated cross-coupling<sup>11c-d</sup> or *via* tandem Tsuji-Trost/lactonization reaction.<sup>10e</sup>

We envisioned a novel strategy by building up the B-ring *via* cyclization of the AC-ring system, where the A-ring is originally derived from readily available (*R*)-pulegone *via* methylester **7**<sup>14</sup> and the C-ring from tetronic acid (Scheme 1). For the connection of the A- and C-ring we envisioned a Knoevenagel condensation in order to form the AC-ring fragment **10**.<sup>2f</sup> The key step, viz. the aforementioned formation of the B-ring, was supposed to be performed by a Nozaki-Hiyama-Kishi (NHK) coupling.<sup>15</sup> The remaining methyl group at the quaternary stereogenic center C-5 was planned to be installed *via* 1,4-addition to the unsaturated  $\gamma$ -lactone, or a two-step procedure including Corey-Chaykovsky cyclopropanation and subsequent Birch reduction.<sup>16</sup> The bridged D- and E-ring was planned to be constructed by ester reduction and C1-homologation.<sup>17</sup>


**Scheme 1.** Retrosynthetic analysis of (–)-jiadifenolide.



Methylester **7** was synthesized according to a literature procedure<sup>14</sup> in two steps starting from inexpensive (*R*)-pulegone. Subsequent allylation of **7** yielded compound **8** in good

yield (92%) and diastereomeric ratio (11:1 in favor of the desired isomer, Scheme 2). Ozonolysis furnished the aldehyde **9**, which was coupled to tetronic acid with Hantzsch ester as reducing agent,<sup>18</sup> yielding the AC-ring fragment **10** in 81% yield. Triflation of the enol **10** led to vinyltriflate **11**, which was treated under NHK reaction conditions.<sup>15</sup> Pleasingly, diastereoisomer **12** was exclusively formed in 69% yield, and its constitution and configuration was subsequently confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis.

### Scheme 2. Synthesis of the tetracyclic precursor.




Reagents and conditions: (a) NaH, THF/DMPU (4:1), rt, 1 h, then allyl bromide, 0 °C, 13 h, 92%, dr = 11:1; (b) O<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH (5:1), -78 °C; Zn, AcOH, -78 °C to rt, quant.; (c) Tetronic acid, Hantzsch ester, L-proline (10 mol%), MeOH, 24 h, rt, 81%; (d) 2,6-Lutidine, Tf<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -78 °C to rt, 7 h, quant.; (e) CrCl<sub>2</sub>, NiCl<sub>2</sub> (10 mol%), 3 Å MS, DMF, 50 °C, 24 h, 69%; (f) BH<sub>3</sub>•DMS, THF, 2.5 h, 0 °C to 45 °C, 76%; (g) KCN, THF/H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1), rt, 2 d, 65%.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 The next challenge was to find an appropriate reducing agent for the transformation of  
7  
8 the methylester **12** to the corresponding aldehyde, and to install the D-ring later. The use  
9  
10 of LiAlH<sub>4</sub> proved to be too harsh as several products were detected by NMR analysis of  
11  
12 the reaction mixture after work-up, whereas reaction with NaBH<sub>4</sub> in ethanol at 60 °C and  
13  
14 superhydride (LiEt<sub>3</sub>BH) in THF at 0 °C selectively reduced the lactone moiety, while the  
15  
16 methylester remained intact. However, we were very pleased that reduction with borane  
17  
18 dimethyl sulfide was found to give aldehyde **13** in 76% yield, and initially expected over  
19  
20 reduction to the corresponding diol did not take place. For the introduction of the second  
21  
22  $\gamma$ -lactone, we planned to install the C-11 carbon by cyanide addition followed by  
23  
24 hydrolysis and lactonization. Cyanidation with TMSCN and Et<sub>3</sub>N did not lead to the  
25  
26 desired product.<sup>19</sup> Potassium cyanide in aqueous THF solution transformed the aldehyde  
27  
28 **13** to the tetracyclic dilactone **14** as a single diastereoisomer (65% yield) and the expected  
29  
30 cyanohydrin was not observed. The close juxtaposition of the neighboring C-4 hydroxy  
31  
32 group to the putative cyanhydrin intermediate could facilitate formation of the  
33  
34 corresponding imidate. As the reaction is conducted in aqueous organic solvent, the imine  
35  
36 is prone to undergo hydrolysis to form the  $\alpha$ -hydroxy lactone **14**. However, the exact  
37  
38 mechanism for this observation remains unclear, as multiple other factors might be  
39  
40 involved. Regarding the stereoselectivity, diastereoselective nucleophilic attack of the  
41  
42 cyanide to the aldehyde would explain the configuration observed. However, also here a  
43  
44 mechanism involving both epimeric cyanohydrins can be reasoned, which would be in  
45  
46 equilibrium with the aldehyde **13**, and subsequently, only one diastereoisomer would  
47  
48 react to the thermodynamic product **14**. Isomerization of the hydroxyl group due to steric  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 effects with the proximate methyl group at C-1 would also be possible, but rather  
4  
5 improbable due to the poor acidity at the C-10 position. To the best of our knowledge,  
6  
7 this is the first kind of reaction sequence featuring a cyanohydrin followed by  
8  
9 hydrolysis and  $\gamma$ -lactonization. While this route proved to be effective in installing the  
10  
11 ABCD ring system of these natural products, installation of the missing quaternary  
12  
13 methyl function on the tetracyclic structure **14** was challenging. 1,4-Addition<sup>20</sup> using  
14  
15 various conditions and Corey-Chaykovsky cyclopropanation appeared to be completely  
16  
17 unreactive. We assumed that the D-ring lactone in butenolide **14** might be too sterically  
18  
19 demanding or influenced the shape of the molecule, rendering a nucleophilic attack at the  
20  
21 appropriate position not feasible. In order to test this hypothesis, we applied the  
22  
23 conditions evaluated above on the simpler ABC-ring fragment (Scheme 3). Tertiary  
24  
25 alcohol **12** was treated with Burgess reagent and the obtained trisubstituted olefin **15** was  
26  
27 dihydroxylated to diol **16**. Once again, no reactivity towards cuprate addition<sup>21</sup> as well as  
28  
29 Corey-Chaykovsky conditions<sup>16</sup> was observed for both substrates **12** and **16**.  
30  
31 Additionally, Simmons-Smith conditions<sup>22</sup> were tested on the diol **16**, but even with the  
32  
33 allylic hydroxy group serving as a directing group,<sup>23</sup> we were not able to cyclopropanate  
34  
35 the butenolide moiety.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43


44 **Scheme 3. Methylation attempts on various substrates.**  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



Reagents and conditions: Burgess reagent, THF, rt, 3.5 h, 93%; (b) OsO<sub>4</sub> (5 mol%), NMO, *t*-BuOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (3:1), 0 °C to rt, 3 d, 84%, dr = 10:1.

Sampson and co-workers described a similar scenario and attributed the lack of reactivity to a competitive  $\gamma$ -deprotonation pathway, which affords an aromatic furan oxide rather than the conjugate addition.<sup>16</sup> In order to avoid the reactivity issues for the C-5 methylation we focused on a temporary opening of the A-ring to overcome reactivity issues in this critical methyl group insertion (Scheme 4).

#### Scheme 4: Synthesis of the aldehyde fragment.




Reagents and conditions: (a) Rh/C/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (10 mol%), H<sub>2</sub> (60 bar), EtOAc, rt, 3 h, quant.; (b) NaIO<sub>4</sub>, THF/H<sub>2</sub>O (2:1), rt, 1.5 h, quant.; (c) ethylene glycol, *p*-TSA • H<sub>2</sub>O, benzene, 90 °C, 2 h, quant.; (d) formaline, THF, pH 6, 40 °C, 14 h, 94%; (e) PPh<sub>3</sub>, imidazole, I<sub>2</sub>, benzene, rt, 12 h, 75%; (f) *n*-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH, AIBN, benzene, 85 °C, 3 h, 84%; (g) 1 M HCl, acetone, rt, 14 h, 99%; (h) NaBH(OCH(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, hexafluoro-2-propanol, rt, 16 h, 85%; (i) PPh<sub>3</sub>, imidazole, I<sub>2</sub>, benzene, rt, 16 h, 95%; (j) SmI<sub>2</sub>, HMPA, THF, rt, 1 h, 76%; (k) BH<sub>3</sub> • DMS, THF, 2.5 h, 0 °C to 45 °C, 66%.

Olefin hydrogenation on the diol **16** was carried out successfully in quantitative yield in a diastereoselective fashion and the configuration of the hydrogenated product **17** was

1  
2  
3 confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis.<sup>24</sup> The A-ring was then cleaved by oxidation  
4  
5 to the unstable ketoaldehyde **18** using sodium periodate<sup>25</sup> and protected to obtain the  
6  
7 corresponding acetal **19** in quantitative yield. Since methylation of compound **19** did not  
8  
9 yield the desired product due to decomposition under basic (MeI with DBU or LDA) and  
10  
11 acetal deprotection under acidic conditions, we performed a hydroxymethylation under  
12  
13 neutral conditions to install the additional C-5 carbon on substrate **19**. The stereochemical  
14  
15 outcome of the reaction was verified by 2D-NMR studies. Hydroxymethylation was  
16  
17 expected to be stereospecific due to the formation of the thermodynamically favorable  
18  
19 *cis*-fused bicyclic ring system.<sup>26</sup> A Garegg-Samuelsson iodination of the OH group in  
20  
21 compound **20**, followed by radical dehalogenation of the resulting iodide **21** using  
22  
23 tributyltin hydride gave the methylated intermediate **22**. The acetal was hydrolyzed under  
24  
25 acidic conditions to form the aldehyde **23**. Reduction of **23** using NaBH<sub>4</sub> was not  
26  
27 reproducible, but sodium tris(hexafluoroisopropoxy)borohydride, reported as a very  
28  
29 mild reducing reagent,<sup>27</sup> was found to be efficient (85%). Primary alcohol **24** was  
30  
31 subsequently iodinated applying Garegg-Samuelsson conditions and the structure of the  
32  
33 resulting iodide **25** was confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis. First attempts to  
34  
35 close the A-ring using organolithium reagents resulted in complex mixtures (*n*-BuLi)<sup>28</sup> or  
36  
37 formation of minor amounts of **26** (*t*-BuLi).<sup>2e</sup> Finally, SmI<sub>2</sub> mediated ring closing using  
38  
39 HMPA as an additive, which was found to be crucial, gave the desired tricycle **26** as a  
40  
41 single diastereomer in a remarkable yield of 76%.<sup>29</sup> We were pleased that the borane  
42  
43 dimethyl sulfide mediated reduction applied earlier on ester **12**, was reproducible for  
44  
45 intermediate **26** to yield the desired aldehyde **27** in 66%.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Our initial established strategy for the C1-homologation on the aldehyde **27** was resumed  
4  
5 to produce the tetracyclic structure **28** (Scheme 5). Selenoxide promoted elimination<sup>30</sup>  
6  
7 then formed an  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated intermediate which under the applied reaction conditions  
8  
9 cyclized to form the E-ring containing lactone **29**. Oxidation of lactone **29** in the presence  
10  
11 of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> led directly to pentacycle **33**. Unfortunately, the pentacycle **33** had shown to be  
12  
13 completely unreactive towards the final installation of the hydroxyl-group at C-10 using  
14  
15 various oxidation protocols<sup>31</sup> (Scheme 5). Aware of the difficulties accompanied with the  
16  
17 introduction of this critical hydroxyl group, intermediate **29** was treated under different  
18  
19 conditions using LiHMDS as base to obtain the  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated lactones **30** or **32**, which  
20  
21 should act as stable precursors for the final E-ring formation. However, treating  
22  
23 compound **32** under established epoxidation conditions yielded in desilylation and  
24  
25 subsequent formation of the aforementioned  $\alpha$ -hydroxy lactone **33**.<sup>4b</sup> Studies using the  
26  
27 more stable TES protecting group led to formation of pentacycle **33** as well. It was thus  
28  
29 decided to first oxidize the D-ring moiety at C-10 to overcome reactivity issues of  
30  
31 intermediate **33**. We oxidized compound **30** with DMP to the corresponding ketone **31**.  
32  
33 To our disappointment, the same epoxidation conditions culminated in decomposition of  
34  
35 the starting material. Presumably, the electrophilic properties of the ketone dominate over  
36  
37 the Michael acceptor capability, which results in decomposition of the starting material.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 **Scheme 5: Synthetic approaches towards (-)-jiadifenolide**  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Reagents and conditions: (a) KCN, THF/H<sub>2</sub>O, rt, 3 d (63% over two steps); (b) LDA, PhSeBr, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, THF, -78 °C to 0 °C, 1.5 h, 48%; (c) LiHMDS, -78 °C to rt, 2 h, n.d.; (d) DMP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt, 16 h, n.d.; (e) LiHMDS, TMSCl, THF, -78 °C to 0 °C, 1 h, n.d.; (f) NaOH, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, MeOH/THF (3:1), 0 °C to rt, 14 h.

As the introduction of the quaternary methyl group at C-5 proved cumbersome, and was only solved by a lengthy route, we directed our attention to alternative approaches towards the construction for the ABC-ring intermediate along with an earlier installation of this critical methyl group. At first, we aimed to construct the A- and B-ring *via* a diastereoselective inverse electron-demand Diels-Alder reaction or more likely to use a


stepwise approach by the combination of either 1,4- or 1,6-addition and subsequent aldol addition (Scheme 6).

**Scheme 6. Retrosynthetic analysis of the AB-ring system.**



Different strategies involving aldol cyclisation were evaluated. The 1,4-addition adduct **35** was synthesized by Michael addition of  $\beta$ -ketoester **7** to the literature known<sup>32</sup> benzyl protected hydroxy ketone **34** using potassium carbonate in acetone with 70% yield and a diastereoselectivity of 5:1 (Scheme 7). Neither for the benzyl protected alcohol **35** nor the deprotected alcohol **36** an aldol cyclisation took place by using different bases ( $\text{Cs}_2\text{CO}_3$ , KOH, DBU, NaOMe, *t*-BuOK) or enamine catalysis (pyrrolidine/AcOH, proline). Attempts forming the thermodynamic silyl enol ether suitable for Mukaiyama aldol reaction from protected  $\beta$ -hydroxy ketone **35** (TMSCl, NaI,  $\text{NEt}_3$  or TBSOTf,  $\text{NEt}_3$ ) or trapping the formed enolate after reaction of ester **7** with benzyl protected alcohol **34** (LDA, then TBSOTf) were not successful either. The  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated aldehyde **38** was synthesized by 1,6-addition of ester **7** to the known dienal **37**<sup>33</sup> with  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  in acetone at 30°C in 66% yield.

**Scheme 7: Synthesis of the AB-ring system.**



34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60


Reagents and conditions: a)  $K_2CO_3$ , acetone, 40 °C, o.n., 70%, dr = 5:1; b) 5% Pd/C, EtOH, rt, 2.5 h, quant.; c)  $K_2CO_3$ , acetone, 30 °C, o.n., 66%; d)  $Me_3Al$ , LiSPh, THF, 0 °C to 40 °C, 37%, dr = 9:5.

Cyclization of **38** via the enamine did not take place, most likely due to the challenges in the isomerization of the conjugated double bond. Nevertheless, the enamine derived from the condensation of the aldehyde moiety of **38** with piperidine (AcOH, 105°C) was detected via  $^1H$ -NMR spectroscopy. In order to circumvent the problems accompanied by this double bond we considered using a temporary protecting group for this critical functional group. Aldol reaction of aluminium enolates generated by 1,4-addition of organoaluminium or organoselenium reagents to  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated carbonyl compounds was described by Nozaki.<sup>34</sup> Following the cyclization protocol applied for the



oxahydrindene fragment from Danishefsky's synthesis of Avermectin A<sub>1a</sub>,<sup>35</sup> we treated aldehyde **38** with the species formed from the reaction of trimethylaluminium and lithium thiophenolate. Instead of the desired AB-ring product, Michael addition to form a diastereomeric mixture (dr = 9:5) of the bicyclo[3.2.1]octanone **39** in 37% yield was observed.

**Scheme 8: Hosomi-Sakurai approach.**




Reagents and conditions: a) acrolein, NEt<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt, o.n., 71%; b) isopropenylmagnesium bromide, THF, -78 °C, 3 h, 33%; c) vinylmagnesium bromide, THF, -78 °C, 4 h, 42%; d) DMP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt, 1 h, quant.; e) DMP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt, 2 h, quant.; f) allyltrimethylsilane, Grubb's II (5 mol%), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 40 °C, 90 min, 71%; g) allyltrimethylsilane, Grubb's II (5 mol%), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 40 °C, 90 min, 51%; h) PivCl, pyridine, DMAP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt to 40 °C, o.n. crude; i) BnO(NH)CCl<sub>3</sub>, Sc(OTf)<sub>3</sub> (5 mol%), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C, 90 min, 88%.

Due to the failure of the cyclization of the B-ring using aldol addition protocols, we planned to use a diastereoselective intramolecular Hosomi-Sakurai reaction<sup>36</sup> instead (Scheme 8). Different strategies to efficiently prepare the allylsilane precursor were

1  
2  
3 evaluated. Attempts to install the TMS group at C-7 using **7** and 2-  
4 (trimethylsilyl)acrylaldehyde or *via* introduction of the allylsilane part by Grubbs  
5 metathesis at the C-5 position proved to be unsuccessful. Finally, we were pleased to find  
6 a route to synthesize test substrates suitable for the Hosomi-Sakurai reaction but with a  
7 missing methyl group. The synthesis of these substrates started by Michael-addition of **7**  
8 to acrolein, followed by Grignard reaction of isopropenyl- or vinylmagnesium bromide to  
9 the formed aldehyde **40** to yield **41** and **42**, respectively. Cross metathesis using Grubbs  
10 2<sup>nd</sup> generation catalyst gave a moderate yield (51%) for the vinyl substrate **42** bearing the  
11 free alcohol. Higher yields (71%) were observed for the Dess-Martin periodinane  
12 oxidized substrate **45**, however for PMB protected substrate **43** no metathesis product  
13 was isolated. Neither the free alcohol **41**, nor the oxidized substrate **44**, derived from the  
14 reaction of **40** with isopropenyl Grignard reagent, were reactive in the metathesis reaction  
15 and only homocoupling of allyltrimethylsilane was observed using different reaction  
16 conditions. The Hosomi-Sakurai reaction was probed on the test substrates **46**, **47** and **48**,  
17 but even without bearing the sterically demanding methyl group at C-5 no reaction took  
18 place.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### Scheme 9. Formal synthesis of (-)-jiadifenolide



1  
2  
3 Reagents and conditions: (a) MeMgBr, Fe(acac)<sub>3</sub>, NMP, THF, -30 °C, 1 h, 96%; (b) SmI<sub>2</sub>, THF/H<sub>2</sub>O, rt, 13  
4 h, 50%, dr = 5:2; (c) BH<sub>3</sub>•DMS, THF, 6 h, 0 °C to 45 °C, 51%, dr = 5:1.  
5  
6  
7

8  
9 Zhang and co-workers recently published the protecting group free total synthesis of (-)-  
10 jiadifenolide (**2**).<sup>11d</sup> Their synthetic route lead through the same aldehyde intermediate **27**,  
11 which was constructed *via* a SmI<sub>2</sub> mediated closure of the B-ring and formed directly the  
12 critical methyl function bearing quaternary C-5 stereocenter. Radical cyclization of the B-  
13 ring of substrate **49** was investigated in our group as well, as the approaches to install the  
14 methyl group on the C ring proved cumbersome. β-Methylated lactone **49** was  
15 synthesized by applying Fürstner's conditions to vinyltriflate **11** (Scheme 9). To our  
16 disappointment, initial evaluation of standard conditions did not facilitate the desired  
17 cyclization of **49**. Reaction with SmI<sub>2</sub> in THF without any further additives led mostly to  
18 the formation of an unidentified side-product at 0 °C as well as at reflux. Additives such  
19 as HMPA and NiI<sub>2</sub> or the addition of protic additives such as MeOH and *t*-BuOH did not  
20 lead to the formation of the desired product **26**. Given the encouraging results reported by  
21 Zhang and co-workers on the addition of excess water being crucial for the SmI<sub>2</sub>-  
22 mediated radical cyclization, we tested these conditions on the substrate **49**. After small  
23 adaptations, we were able to isolate the desired cyclized product **26** in 50% yield as a 5:2  
24 mixture of inseparable diastereoisomers with the lactone ring on C-5/C-6 either on the  
25 same (major product as shown for compound **26**) or on the opposite (minor) face as the  
26 hydroxyl group on C-4, as confirmed by NOESY NMR spectroscopy. Additionally, a  
27 side-product where the keto group at C-4 was reduced to the OH function<sup>37</sup> could be  
28 isolated in 39% yield (dr = 13:1). Treating the diastereomeric mixture of **26** with  
29 BH<sub>3</sub>•DMS furnished intermediate **27** in a diastereomeric ratio of 5:1 (51% isolated yield)  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60


1  
2  
3 along with recovered starting material (15%) in a diastereomeric ratio of 4:3. Concerning  
4  
5 the increase of the diastereomeric ratio in the transformation from **26** to **27**, we suggest  
6  
7 that in the minor diastereomer of **26** the methyl group at C-5 *syn* to the ester residue has a  
8  
9 steric influence and slows down the reduction to the aldehyde. In contrast, a coordination  
10  
11 of the butenolide moiety in the major diastereomer of **26** to the BH<sub>3</sub> might support the  
12  
13 reduction to aldehyde **27**. In summary, aldehyde **27** was obtained from (*R*)-pulegone in a  
14  
15 nine step procedure and an overall yield of 10%.  
16  
17  
18

19  
20 To gain access to a variety of majucin-type sesquiterpenes *via* derivatization, we targeted  
21  
22 on a different synthetic approach with involving a similar precursor also represented by a  
23  
24 common ABC-ring fragment. Manganese induced radical cyclization, already applied in  
25  
26 our group and successfully utilized in the synthesis of endoperoxides,<sup>38</sup> might constitute a  
27  
28 feasible approach for the construction of the B-ring. Functionalization of the geminal  
29  
30 methyl functions is present in broad range of *seco*-prezizaane-type sesquiterpenes. The  
31  
32 known tetrasubstituted olefin **50** would be an ideal substrate for a regiospecific sp<sup>3</sup> C-H  
33  
34 oxidation of the geminal methyl group, which will be incorporated in the butenolide  
35  
36 moiety.  
37  
38  
39

40  
41 Starting from enantiopure (*R*)-pulegone, the known intermediate **50** was readily  
42  
43 accessible (Scheme 10).<sup>14a</sup>  $\alpha$ -Alkylation attempts using LiHMDS or LDA without  
44  
45 additives were found to only deprotonate one of the diastereomers, but addition of DMPU  
46  
47 triggered the reaction to full conversion and the desired alkylated ester **51** was obtained  
48  
49 as a single diastereomer. The TBS-protecting group was cleaved with TBAF/AcOH and  
50  
51 the formed alcohol **52** was further oxidized with DMP to the desired aldehyde **53** in 89%.  
52  
53  
54  
55 A Roskamp reaction<sup>39</sup> furnished the desired  $\beta$ -ketoester **54** in an excellent yield of 93%.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Initial screening for the manganese induced radical cyclization showed formation of the  
4 desired B-ring. However, the cyclized product **55** was isolated in low yield and along  
5 with side-products. Raising the temperature to 50 °C could reduce the reaction time but  
6 byproduct formation was not eliminated. Slow addition of the Mn(OAc)<sub>3</sub> or of the  
7 substrate had no influence and an average yield of 25-30%, with 39% as the best result  
8 was obtained. The byproducts were only isolated as complex mixtures, suggesting that  
9 several intermolecular radical recombination reactions occurred. Instead of the expected  
10 disubstituted compound, the trisubstituted olefin **55** was directly isolated, which might  
11 result from chloride elimination. Ketone **55** was reduced in the presence of NaBH<sub>4</sub> in a  
12 complete diastereoselective manner and alcohol **56** could be secured by X-ray  
13 crystallography analysis. With the desired hydroxy function in hand, a regioselective C-H  
14 functionalization to form the ether **57** was achieved in the presence of Pb(OAc)<sub>4</sub> in 81%  
15 yield.<sup>40</sup> The byproduct **58**, bearing an additional acetyl group at the carbon next to the  
16 ether,<sup>41</sup> was further isolated and successfully converted to the desired product **57** using  
17 BF<sub>3</sub>•OEt<sub>2</sub> and triethylsilane.<sup>42</sup> Both structures were confirmed by X-ray crystallographic  
18 analysis (Scheme 10).  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 **Scheme 10. Manganese-mediated cross-reaction to establish the AB-core**  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Reagents and conditions: (a) LDA, DMPU, THF, *tert*-butyl(2-iodoethoxy)dimethylsilane,<sup>43</sup>  $-78$  °C to rt, 77%; (b) TBAF, AcOH,  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , rt, 16 h, 79%; (c) DMP,  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , rt, 4 h, 89%; (d) ethyl 2-diazoacetate,  $\text{SnCl}_2$  (cat.),  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , 1 h, 93%; (e)  $\text{Mn}(\text{OAc})_3$ , LiCl, AcOH,  $50$  °C, 5 h, 39%; (f)  $\text{NaBH}_4$ , MeOH,  $0$  °C to rt, 4 h, 86%; (g)  $\text{Pb}(\text{OAc})_4$ , cyclohexane,  $\text{I}_2$ ,  $\text{CaCO}_3$ , hv, 3 d, 81% (**57**), 15% (**58**); (h)  $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ ,  $\text{Et}_3\text{SiH}$ ,  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ,  $-78$  °C to rt, 15 h, 88%.

To our surprise, the ether bridge was found to be stable under several conditions.<sup>44</sup>

Therefore, the chloride **57** was reduced by tributyltin hydride to form the ether **59** in 95% yield (Scheme 11). The bridged ether was then opened in the presence of  $\text{Ac}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{BF}_3$ -etherate<sup>45</sup> to give the diacetate **60** along with the elimination byproduct **61**, and the acetyl groups of **60** were cleaved with  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  in MeOH to form the corresponding diol **62**. The configuration of the OH group could not be assigned in compound **62**, and subsequent TPAP-mediated oxidation to the enolaldehyde **63** was carried out. Other oxidation conditions furnished enolaldehyde **63** as well, but were found to be not reproducible. Conditions using IBX showed traces of the overoxidized  $\alpha$ -hydroxylated product **64**, which indicated a general accessibility of the missing OH function insertion at this stage. Nevertheless, the method of choice for the preparation of **64** turned out to be exposure of **63** to  $\text{CeCl}_3$  and molecular oxygen to yield the  $\alpha$ -hydroxylated product **64** in 43%.<sup>46</sup> A

1  
2  
3 reductive cyclization of **64** formed the butenolide and also caused partially closure of the  
4 D-ring. This mixture was subjected to acid mediated lactonization involving *p*-TsOH to  
5 fully promote D-ring formation in compound **65**, however in a very low yield of 27%  
6 over the two steps. Final modifications of the A-ring are proposed in order to give access  
7 to the natural product (*2R*)-hydroxy-norneomajucin (**5**) from tetracycle **65**. This  
8 intermediate **65** might also serve as a common precursor to other *nor*-type *seco*-  
9 prezizaane sesquiterpenes.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20 **Scheme 11. Synthesis of the (*2R*)-hydroxy-norneomajucin precursor.**



1  
2  
3 Reagents and conditions: (a) Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH, AIBN, benzene, reflux, 2 h, 95%; (b) BF<sub>3</sub>•OEt<sub>2</sub>, AcOH, -20 °C to  
4 rt, 12 h, 60%; (c) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, MeOH, rt, 16 h, 98%; (d) TPAP, NMO, 4 Å MS, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt, 16 h, 68%; (e)  
5 CeCl<sub>3</sub>•7 H<sub>2</sub>O, O<sub>2</sub>, *i*-PrOH, rt, 24 h, 43%; (f) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, 0 °C to rt, 12 h, then *p*-TsOH, PhMe, 70 °C,  
6 μw, 2 h, 27% (2 steps).  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



## Conclusion

In conclusion, we present a new strategy to access the tricyclic core structure of (-)-jiadifenolide *via* a Nozaki-Hiyama-Kishi coupling, which set the stage for a diastereoselective, formal total synthesis of the natural product. Due to the challenging introduction of the methyl group in order to construct this quaternary stereogenic center after the B-ring closure, we elaborated a more efficient route to the tricycle by using a SmI<sub>2</sub>-mediated radical cyclization. Unfortunately, alternative strategies to close the B-ring using aldol-cyclization protocols or a Hosomi-Sakurai reaction were unsuccessful. Furthermore, a C1-homologation promoted by potassium cyanide successfully provided the D-ring formation in a remarkable one-step procedure to allow for the completion of the synthesis *via* successive oxidation protocols. Synthetic studies towards (2*R*)-hydroxy-norneomajucin were carried out, which involved a manganese mediated radical cyclization and a regiospecific C-H activation. Moreover, these studies potentially allow to access a variety of *nor*-derivative sesquiterpene natural products as potential neurotrophic modulators.

## Experimental Section

### General, Materials and Equipment

All chemicals have been purchased from commercial sources and were used without further purification (except for Et<sub>3</sub>N which was freshly distilled before use). All reactions have been carried out in heat gun-dried glassware (unless aqueous reagents were used) and reactions involving air sensitive compounds have been performed under an argon or nitrogen atmosphere. Solvents applied for chemical transformations were either puriss quality or HPLC grade solvents, which have been dried by filtration through activated aluminium oxide under nitrogen (H<sub>2</sub>O content <10 ppm, *Karl-Fischer* titration). For work-up and purification solvents have been distilled from technical grade. All synthetic transformations have been monitored by either thin layer chromatography (TLC) or <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. Yields refer to purified, dried and spectroscopically pure compounds. TLC was performed on silica gel 60 F<sub>254</sub> plates (0.25 mm thickness) precoated with fluorescent indicator. Concentration under reduced pressure was performed by rotary evaporation at 40°C. Flash chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh) with a forced flow eluent at 0.2-0.4 bar pressure. <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>19</sup>F and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded using 250 MHz, 400 MHz or 500 MHz (<sup>1</sup>H) & 63 MHz, 101 MHz or 126 MHz (<sup>13</sup>C) & 376 MHz (<sup>19</sup>F) spectrometers at room temperature. Chemical shifts (δ-values) are reported in ppm, spectra were calibrated related to solvents' residual proton chemical shifts (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, δ = 7.26; d<sub>4</sub>-MeOD, δ = 3.31) and solvents' residual carbon chemical shifts (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ = 77.16; d<sub>4</sub>-MeOD, δ = 49.00), multiplicity is reported as follows: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet or unresolved and coupling constant *J* in Hz. IR spectra were recorded on a Fourier transform spectrometer equipped with an ATR unit and the absorptions are reported in cm<sup>-1</sup>. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were performed by electrospray (ESI) ionization on quadrupole time-of-flight (Q-TOF) or quadrupole orbitrap mass spectrometers. Melting points (M.p.) were determined in open capillaries and are uncorrected. Optical rotations °[α]<sub>D</sub><sup>T</sup> were measured in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at the sodium D line using a 1 mL cell with a 1 dm path length and the concentration *c* is given in g/100 mL.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

*Methyl (2R)-2-methyl-5-oxocyclopentane-1-carboxylate (7)*: Methyl (2R)-2-methyl-5-(propan-2-ylidene)cyclopentane-1-carboxylate<sup>14a</sup> (360 mg, 1.98 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in MeOH (20 mL) and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (100 mL) at -78 °C. Ozone was bubbled in the solution until the solution became light blue. Ozone addition was stopped and the solution was stirred under argon at -78 °C for 15 min and at rt for 10 min. Zinc powder (526 mg, 7.96 mmol, 4.0 eq.) and acetic acid (0.46 mL, 7.96 mmol, 4.0 eq.) were added to the solution. The resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min and filtered over celite. The filtrate was neutralized with saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution and the aqueous layer was extracted two times with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The combined organic layers were washed with water and brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure to afford ketone **7** (300.6 mg, 1.92 mmol, 97%) as colorless oil: R<sub>f</sub> = 0.13 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 9:1); [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>26</sup> = +93.5 (c 0.46, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). FTIR (neat);  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 2959, 2875, 1754, 1724, 1436, 1335, 1289, 1260, 1202, 1128, 1006 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 3.76 (s, 3H), 2.77 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 2.67–2.53 (m, 1H), 2.48–2.39 (m, 1H), 2.38–2.26 (m, 1H), 2.20 (dddd, J = 12.7, 8.3, 6.2, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.48 (dtd, J = 12.6, 11.2, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 1.18 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 212.0, 169.7, 63.1, 52.5, 38.9, 36.5, 29.5, 19.4; elemental analysis calcd for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C = 61.52; H = 7.74; N = 0.00; found: C = 61.49; H = 7.60; N = 0.00; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 157.0859, found: 157.0861.

*Methyl (1R,2R)-1-allyl-2-methyl-5-oxocyclopentane-1-carboxylate (8)*: Ketone **7** (1.00 g, 6.4 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in dry THF (14.0 mL) and freshly distilled DMPU (3.6 mL). Sodium hydride (60% dispersion in mineral oil, 307 mg, 7.7 mmol, 1.2 eq.) was added at rt and the grey suspension was stirred for 1 h after which it turned to a yellow solution. Allyl bromide (0.67 ml, 7.7 mmol, 1.2 eq.) was added at 0 °C and the milky mixture was stirred for 1 h at 0 °C before it was allowed to warm up to rt. After 12 h the mixture was diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL) and washed three times with water. The aqueous layers were re-extracted twice with Et<sub>2</sub>O. The combined organic layers were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. Both diastereoisomers were separated by chromatography (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 9:1) giving the desired allylated compound **8** (1.06 g, 5.4 mmol, 84%) as colorless oil; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.48 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 4:1); [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = +38.4 (c

0.25, MeOH); FTIR (neat);  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 3079, 2958, 2882, 1749, 1731, 1434, 1227, 1187, 1165, 998, 919  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  = 5.56–5.67 (m, 1H), 5.09–5.13 (m, 1H), 5.08 (s, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 2.66 (dd,  $J$  = 14.2, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 2.47–2.58 (m, 2H), 2.27–2.38 (m, 1H), 2.00–2.18 (m, 2H), 1.72–1.84 (m, 1H), 1.02 (d,  $J$  = 6.9 Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  = 216.2, 171.1, 133.2, 119.6, 63.1, 52.0, 39.1, 38.7, 35.8, 28.3, 15.6; elemental analysis calcd for  $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_3$ : C 67.32; H = 8.22; found: C 67.29; H = 8.20; EI-MS 70 eV,  $m/z$  (%) = 196.1 (22,  $\text{M}^+$ ), 168.1 (100), 136.1 (63), 109.1 (90), 81.1 (68).

*Methyl (1R,2R)-2-methyl-5-oxo-1-(2-oxoethyl)cyclopentane-1-carboxylate (9)*: Alkene **8** (2.50 g, 12.8 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in a  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH}$  mixture (30 mL, 5:1) and cooled down to  $-78$  °C. Ozone was bubbled through the stirring mixture until it turned slightly blue. The addition of ozone was stopped and the solution was stirred for 15 minutes at  $-78$  °C during which time the mixture turned colorless again. Zinc powder (3.36 g, 51.0 mmol, 4.0 eq.) and acetic acid (2.6 mL, 51.0 mmol, 4.0 eq.) were added. The resulting mixture was allowed to warm up to rt overnight. The mixture was filtered over celite and washed with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ . After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was suspended with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , filtered, and evaporated again to give aldehyde **9** (2.54 g, 12.8 mmol, quant.) as colorless oil;  $R_f$  = 0.68 (pentane/ $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  9:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  = +16.4 ( $c$  0.51, MeOH); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 2959, 2881, 2857, 2746, 1749, 1717, 1629, 1599, 1456, 1435, 1401, 1397, 1350, 1326, 1233, 1217, 1197, 1167, 1126, 1059, 1038, 1006, 904, 759  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  = 9.66 (d,  $J$  = 0.5 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.13 (d,  $J$  = 18.8 Hz, 1H), 2.91 (dd,  $J$  = 18.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.54–2.60 (m, 2H), 2.36–2.47 (m, 1H), 2.08–2.18 (m, 1H), 1.79–1.92 (m, 1H), 1.01 (d,  $J$  = 6.9 Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  = 215.3, 199.3, 170.3, 60.5, 52.4, 46.1, 40.1, 38.0, 28.6, 15.7; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{15}\text{O}_4$  [ $\text{M} + \text{H}$ ] $^+$ : 199.0965, found: 199.0963.

*Methyl (1R,2R)-1-(2-(4-hydroxy-2-oxo-2,5-dihydrofuran-3-yl)ethyl)-2-methyl-5-oxocyclopentane-1-carboxylate (10)*: A solution containing the aldehyde **9** (0.49 g, 2.47 mmol, 1.0 eq.), Hantzsch ester (1.38 g, 5.44 mmol, 2.2 eq.), tetronic acid (0.26 g, 2.47 mmol, 1.0 eq.) and L-proline (28.5 mg, 0.25 mmol, 0.1 eq.) in methanol (30 mL) was stirred for 24 h at rt. After evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified

1  
2  
3 by flash chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 20:1) to give ketone **10** (0.56 g, 1.99 mmol,  
4 81%) as a beige solid; Mp: 125.5–125.9 °C; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.23 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 10:1); [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup>  
5 = +12.8 (c 0.27, MeOH); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 2961, 2942, 2882, 2699, 1746, 1729, 1714,  
6 1648, 1406, 1231, 1195, 1104, 1041, 988, 767 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, d<sub>4</sub>-MeOD) δ =  
7 4.57 (s, 2H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 2.41–2.52 (m, 2H), 2.23–2.34 (m, 2H), 2.05–2.16 (m, 2H),  
8 1.85–2.17 (m, 2H), 1.77 (ddd, J = 23.8, 11.5, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 1.05 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C  
9 NMR (126 MHz, d<sub>4</sub>-MeOD) δ = 218.3, 178.4, 175.6, 172.6, 100.8, 68.3, 64.1, 52.2, 40.7,  
10 39.7, 30.6, 29.3, 17.0, 16.1; elemental analysis calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>6</sub>: C = 59.57; H = 6.43;  
11 found: C = 59.27; H = 6.20; EI-MS 70 eV, m/z (%) = 282.1 (1, M<sup>+</sup>), 251.1 (9), 157.2 (77),  
12 141.1 (100), 109.1 (40).  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 *Methyl (1R,2R)-2-methyl-5-oxo-1-(2-(2-oxo-4-((trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl)oxy)-2,5-*  
24 *dihydrofuran-3-yl)ethyl)cyclopentane-1-carboxylate (11)*: Enol **10** (228 mg, 0.81 mmol,  
25 1.0 eq.) and 2,6-lutidine (95 μl, 0.81 mmol, 1.0 eq.) were dissolved in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10  
26 mL) and cooled down to –78 °C. Trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (0.14 mL,  
27 0.81 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was added dropwise in 20 minutes. The reaction mixture was allowed  
28 to warm up to rt over 7 h. The yellow solution was treated with pentane (10 mL), filtered  
29 and the filtrate was extracted with EtOAc, washed with saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution and  
30 brine. The organic layers were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and evaporated. Purification by  
31 flash chromatography (EtOAc/pentane 1:4) gave vinyltriflate **11** (334 mg, 0.81 mmol,  
32 quant.) as a slightly yellow solid; Mp: 43.6–44.4 °C; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.33 (EtOAc/pentane 1:4);  
33 [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>26</sup> = +7.2 (c 0.28, MeOH); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 2962, 2885, 2361, 2340, 1778, 1750,  
34 1731, 1703, 1434, 1221, 1136, 1087, 1046, 816 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ =  
35 4.90–4.92 (m, 2H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 2.72–2.52 (m, 2H), 2.41–2.20 (m, 3H), 2.01–2.14 (m,  
36 2H), 1.73–1.95 (m, 2H), 1.07 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 215.7,  
37 170.5, 169.8, 160.5, 120.3, 66.6, 62.2, 52.1, 41.2, 38.6, 29.4, 28.3, 17.8, 16.0; <sup>19</sup>F NMR  
38 (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = –72.81; elemental analysis calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>17</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>S: C = 43.48;  
39 H = 4.14; found: C = 43.60; H = 3.95; EI-MS 70 eV, m/z (%) = 281.1 (16), 249.1 (52),  
40 221.1 (57), 166.2 (62), 141.1 (100), 109.1 (68).  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56

57 *Methyl (5aR,6R,8aR)-8a-hydroxy-6-methyl-3-oxo-1,3,4,5,6,7,8,8a-octahydro-5aH-*  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

*indeno[4,5-*c*]furan-5*a*-carboxylate* (**12**): Nickel(II) chloride (106 mg, 0.82 mmol, 0.1 eq.), chromium(II) chloride (3.12 g, 7.72 mmol, 6.0 eq.) and some 3Å molecular sieves were dried in a round bottom flask under reduced pressure with a heat gun. Triflate **11** (1.70 g, 4.11 mmol, 1.0 eq.) dissolved in dry DMF (40 mL) was degassed and subsequently added to the dried chromium- and nickel-mixture. The green mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 24 h, then quenched by water (100 mL) and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O until no more product could be detected in the aqueous layer by TLC. The combined organic layers were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc/pentane 1:4) to afford the cyclized product **12** (749.0 mg, 2.81 mmol, 69%) as a white solid; Mp: 129.5–129.7 °C; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.30 (EtOAc/pentane 1:3); [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = –37.0 (c 0.26, MeOH); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 3346, 2956, 2899, 2360, 1728, 1675, 1443, 1245, 1194, 1153, 1100, 1058, 1015, 653 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 5.06 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.96–5.03 (m, 1H), 4.78 (dt, *J* = 17.5, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 2.25–2.36 (m, 2H), 2.01–2.24 (m, 4H), 1.85–1.95 (m, 2H), 1.73–1.83 (m, 1H), 1.11 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 176.5, 173.4, 164.7, 124.8, 80.9, 64.9, 60.2, 52.4, 41.7, 38.5, 32.9, 31.2, 20.4, 18.7; elemental analysis calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: C = 63.15; H = 6.81; found: C = 63.02; H = 6.80; EI-MS 70 eV, *m/z* (%) = 266.1 (45, M<sup>+</sup>), 238.1 (31), 206.1 (82), 178.1 (100), 133.1 (39), 91.1 (26); X-ray crystal structure is given in the supporting information.

39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

*(5*aR*,6*R*,8*aR*)-8*a*-Hydroxy-6-methyl-3-oxo-1,3,4,5,6,7,8,8*a*-octahydro-5*aH*-indeno[4,5-*c*]furan-5*a*-carbaldehyde* (**13**): Borane dimethyl sulfide complex (2 M in THF, 0.83 mL, 1.65 mmol, 2.0 eq.) was added dropwise to a solution of the methylester **12** (220 mg, 0.83 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in dry THF (4 mL) at 0 °C. The colorless solution was stirred subsequently for 0.5 h and then warmed up to 45 °C for 2 h. After cooling down to rt, ethanol was added until the exothermic reaction and gas evolution ceased. After evaporation, the residue was purified by flash chromatography (Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane 3:1) giving aldehyde **13** (149 mg, 0.63 mmol, 76%) as a white solid; Mp: 158.1–158.5 °C; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.21 (Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane 3:1); [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>26</sup> = –49.3 (c 0.23, MeOH); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 3346, 2942, 2874, 2758, 1744, 1716, 1669, 1435, 1353, 1224, 1107, 1061, 1016, 929, 753 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 9.70 (s, 1H), 5.01 (ddd, *J* = 17.4, 3.4, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 4.80 (dt, *J* =

1  
2  
3 17.4, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (d,  $J = 1.7$  Hz, 1H), 2.04–2.36 (m, 6H), 1.72–1.99 (m, 3H), 1.17  
4 (d,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 206.8, 173.1, 163.5, 125.2, 80.1,$   
5  $69.5, 61.9, 40.3, 37.7, 31.6, 28.6, 18.8, 17.7$ ; elemental analysis calcd for  $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_4$ : C =  
6 66.09; H = 6.83; found: C = 65.90; H = 6.75; EI-MS 70 eV,  $m/z$  (%) = 236.1 (13,  $\text{M}^+$ ),  
7 190.1 (100), 175.1 (66), 145.1 (42), 91.1 (27).  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12

13  
14 *(5aS,6R,8aR,11S)-11-Hydroxy-6-methyl-4,5,7,8-tetrahydro-6H-8a,5a-*  
15 *(epoxyethano)indeno[4,5-c]furan-3,10(1H)-dione (14)*: To the aldehyde **13** (43.0 mg,  
16 0.18 mmol, 1.0 eq.), dissolved in THF/water (1:1, 5 mL), was added potassium cyanide  
17 (42.0 mg, 0.64 mmol, 3.5 eq.). The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 d at rt before the  
18 aqueous reaction mixture was extracted several times with EtOAc until no more product  
19 could be detected in the organic layer by TLC. The combined organic layers were dried  
20 over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered and evaporated. The crude product was purified by flash  
21 chromatography (EtOAc/cyclohexane 1:1) giving tetracyclic compound **14** (31.0 mg,  
22 0.12 mmol, 65%) as a white solid; Mp: 194.0–194.3 °C;  $R_f = 0.28$  (EtOAc/cyclohexane  
23 1:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -32.6$  ( $c$  0.19, MeOH); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu} = 3479, 2953, 2929, 2874, 2361,$   
24  $1785, 1725, 1682, 1442, 1359, 1217, 1191, 1133, 1086, 1046, 1022, 967$   $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR  
25 (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 4.82\text{--}4.99$  (m, 2H), 4.57 (d,  $J = 2.8$  Hz, 1H), 2.91 (d,  $J = 3.2$  Hz,  
26 1H), 2.45–2.54 (m, 2H), 2.21–2.30 (m, 1H), 2.00–2.10 (m, 3H), 1.83–1.98 (m, 2H), 1.62–  
27 1.70 (m, 1H), 1.42–1.53 (m, 1H), 1.16 (d,  $J = 6.7$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  
28  $\delta = 176.3, 172.5, 157.5, 127.8, 87.4, 69.6, 68.9, 56.2, 36.2, 35.9, 30.7, 21.3, 15.8, 14.0$ ;  
29 HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{17}\text{O}_5$   $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ : 265.1071, found: 265.1065; X-ray crystal  
30 structure is given in the supporting information.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 *Methyl (5aR,6R)-6-methyl-3-oxo-1,3,4,5,6,7-hexahydro-5aH-indeno[4,5-c]furan-5a-*  
47 *carboxylate (15)*: Ester **12** (301 mg, 1.13 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in dry THF (16.0  
48 mL) and Burgess reagent (417 mg, 1.70 mmol, 1.5 eq.) was added. The clear solution was  
49 stirred for 3.5 h at rt. Water (8.0 mL) was added and the aqueous layer extracted with  
50  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  (3 x 25.0 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (13.0 mL) and  
51 then dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered and evaporated to obtain a white solid. The residue was  
52 purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 3:1) to obtain the desired olefin **15**  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

(262 mg, 1.06 mmol, 93%) as a white solid; Mp: 124.9–125.6 °C;  $R_f = 0.24$  (pentane/EtOAc 4:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +193.5$  ( $c$  0.16,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); FTIR (neat)  $\tilde{\nu} = 2952, 2936, 2846, 1740, 1715, 1644, 1439, 1346, 1239, 1172, 1042, 1014, 980, 761, 744 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 6.18$  (s, 1H), 4.98–4.81 (m, 2H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 2.74–2.56 (m, 1H), 2.52–2.15 (m, 2H), 1.35 (ddd,  $J = 12.8, 11.7, 5.8 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 1.07 (d,  $J = 7.0 \text{ Hz}$ , 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 173.8, 173.1, 151.8, 136.2, 133.2, 126.5, 69.1, 59.9, 52.0, 45.8, 40.6, 30.8, 19.7, 14.9$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{17}\text{O}_4$   $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ : 249.1121, found: 249.1119; X-ray crystal structure is given in the supporting information.

*Methyl (5aR,6R,8R,8aS)-8,8a-dihydroxy-6-methyl-3-oxo-1,3,4,5,6,7,8,8a-octahydro-5aH-indeno[4,5-c]furan-5a-carboxylate (16)*: Olefin **15** (80.0 mg, 0.32 mmol, 1.0 eq.) and NMO (49.7 mg, 0.48 mmol, 1.5 eq.) were dissolved in *tert*-butanol (3 mL) and water (1 mL). The clear solution was cooled to 0° C and  $\text{OsO}_4$  (4% solution in water, 0.13 mL, 16.1  $\mu\text{mol}$ , 5 mol%) was added dropwise. The clear solution was then allowed to warm up to rt and then stirred for 3 days. To the yellow solution was added saturated  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_3$  solution (15 mL) and the orange to yellow emulsion was stirred for 30 minutes at rt. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 10 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL), dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered and evaporated to obtain a yellow oil. The crude was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 1:1) to obtain the desired diol **16** (76.7 mg, 0.27 mmol, 84%, dr = 10:1) as a white solid; Mp: 126.3–126.8 °C;  $R_f = 0.25$  (pentane/EtOAc 1:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +45.6$  ( $c$  0.16,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); FTIR (neat)  $\tilde{\nu} = 3449, 2959, 2929, 2360, 1727, 1714, 1660, 1429, 1351, 1255, 1202, 1037, 1021, 739 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 5.16$  (dd,  $J = 12.2, 6.4 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 5.03–4.70 (m, 2H), 3.69 (s, 1H), 3.62 (s, 3H), 2.72–2.53 (m, 1H), 2.50–2.40 (m, 1H), 2.40–2.22 (m, 3H), 2.22–2.09 (m, 1H), 1.90 (dd,  $J = 9.2, 7.0 \text{ Hz}$ , 2H), 0.90 (d,  $J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}$ , 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 173.9, 173.5, 162.6, 128.0, 76.9, 71.5, 69.1, 63.3, 51.7, 41.4, 37.5, 22.4, 19.8, 15.7$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{19}\text{O}_6$   $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ : 283.1176, found: 283.1178.

*Methyl (3aR,5aR,6R,8R,8aS,8bS)-8,8a-dihydroxy-6-methyl-3-oxodecahydro-5aH-indeno[4,5-c]furan-5a-carboxylate (17)*: Diol **16** (12.5 mg, 44.3  $\mu\text{mol}$ , 1.0 eq.) and Rh/C/ $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  (5% Rh, 9.1 mg, 4.43  $\mu\text{mol}$ , 0.1 eq.) were dissolved in dry EtOAc (0.3 mL)



1  
2  
3 and hydrogenated at rt under a 60 bar H<sub>2</sub> pressure. After 3 h, the reaction was filtered over  
4 celite and vigorously washed with EtOAc. The solvent was evaporated under reduced  
5 pressure to obtain a white solid. The residue was purified by flash chromatography  
6 (pentane/EtOAc 1:1) to obtain the desired hydrogenated lactone **17** (12.6 mg, 44.3 μmol,  
7 quant.) as a white solid; Mp: 197.7–198.5 °C; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.31 (EtOAc/pentane 1:1); [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = –  
8 110.8 (c 0.12, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat)  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 3498, 3425, 2955, 2871, 1743, 1708, 1366, 1202,  
9 1038, 974, 716, 617 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 4.91 (dd, *J* = 9.2, 4.1 Hz, 1H),  
10 4.24 (dd, *J* = 9.7, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (dd, *J* = 11.5, 9.7 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.43 (s, 1H),  
11 2.93–2.84 (m, 2H), 2.63–2.55 (m, 1H), 2.22 (dt, *J* = 13.0, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.10 (brs, 1H),  
12 2.06 (ddd, *J* = 10.6, 6.6, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 1.93–1.75 (m, 3H), 1.56–1.47 (m, 1H), 0.77 (d, *J* =  
13 7.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 179.2, 174.3, 80.0, 72.5, 67.4, 59.6, 51.7,  
14 44.1, 39.9, 39.3, 38.2, 24.9, 22.1, 14.7; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O<sub>6</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>:  
15 285.1333, found: 285.1334; X-ray crystal structure is given in the supporting information.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28  
29 *Methyl (3aS,5R,7aR)-1,4-dioxo-5-((R)-4-oxobutan-2-yl)octahydroisobenzofuran-5-*  
30 *carboxylate (18)*: Diol **17** (11.3 mg, 39.7 μmol, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in THF/water (2:1,  
31 0.47 mL) and NaIO<sub>4</sub> (27.8 mg, 0.13 mmol, 3.2 eq.) was added at rt. After 1.5 h, the  
32 reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (2 mL) and filtered over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The filtrate  
33 was vigorously washed with EtOAc (4 x 2 mL). The solvent was evaporated to obtain a  
34 white solid. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 2:1) to  
35 obtain the desired ketoaldehyde **18** (12.5 mg, 43.6 μmol, quant.) as colorless oil; R<sub>f</sub> =  
36 0.38 (EtOAc/pentane 1:1); [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = –137.3 (c 0.12, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat)  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 2955, 1770,  
37 1712, 1452, 1167, 998, 732, 626 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 9.71 (s, 1H), 4.86  
38 (dd, *J* = 9.4, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (dd, *J* = 9.4, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.30–3.18 (m, 2H),  
39 2.93–2.84 (m, 1H), 2.82–2.68 (m, 2H), 2.29–2.17 (m, 2H), 2.15–2.04 (m, 2H), 1.07 (d, *J* =  
40 6.7 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 205.4, 201.3, 176.7, 170.7, 66.2, 63.0,  
41 52.5, 47.5, 45.6, 40.2, 29.1, 28.8, 18.8, 15.8.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

53  
54 *Methyl (3aS,5R,7aR)-5-((R)-1-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)propan-2-yl)-1,4-dioxo-*  
55 *octahydroisobenzofuran-5-carboxylate (19)*: To the diol **17** (130.0 mg, 0.45 mmol, 1.0  
56 eq.) dissolved in a THF and water mixture (3:1, 5 mL) was added sodium periodate  
57  
58  
59  
60

(332.0 mg, 1.60 mmol, 3.4 eq.). The mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h and subsequently dried by filtrating the mixture through a patch of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and washed with EtOAc. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was obtained as colorless oil. The crude ketoaldehyde **18** was dissolved in dry benzene (4 mL), and ethylene glycol (27 μL, 0.48 mmol, 1.1 eq.) was added, followed by *p*-TSA • H<sub>2</sub>O (9.2 mg, 0.05 mmol, 0.1 eq.). The resulting solution was heated to reflux (90 °C). After 1 h 45 min, the solution was allowed to cool to rt, and was then treated with saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (3 mL) followed by extraction with EtOAc three times. The combined organic layers were washed with water, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to flash chromatography on silica (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 20:1) and concentrated to give protected aldehyde **19** (149.0 mg, 0.45 mmol, quant.) as a white solid; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.63 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 20:1); [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = -75.5 (c 0.77, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat)  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 2954, 2887, 1773, 1737, 1709, 1450, 1372, 1343, 1297, 1220, 1188, 1148, 1121, 1050, 1027, 998, 962, 734 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 4.95 (dd, *J* = 9.3, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (dd, *J* = 6.4, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (dd, *J* = 9.3, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.97–3.90 (m, 2H), 3.87–3.79 (m, 2H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.47 (ddd, *J* = 10.0, 6.4, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (ddd, *J* = 10.1, 6.4, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 2.42–2.35 (m, 1H), 2.22–2.07 (m, 4H), 2.03 (ddd, *J* = 14.9, 9.8, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 1.54 (ddd, *J* = 14.9, 6.4, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 1.09 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 205.2, 176.8, 170.9, 103.3, 66.0, 65.1, 64.9, 64.2, 52.2, 45.7, 40.9, 36.8, 30.3, 29.1, 18.6, 15.4; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>7</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 327.1438, found: 327.1443.

*Methyl (3aR,5R,7aR)-5-((R)-1-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)propan-2-yl)-3a-(hydroxymethyl)-1,4-dioxooctahydroisobenzofuran-5-carboxylate (20)*: Acetal **19** (161.0 mg, 0.49 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in THF (2.8 mL), and formaline (37% aq. solution, 8.3 mL, 112 mmol, 226 eq.) was added, followed by aq. citrate buffer solution (8.3 mL, pH 6.0). The resulting solution was heated to 40 °C and stirred overnight. After cooling to rt, the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and water. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with water, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was dissolved in a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH mixture (20:1) and filtered through a pad of silica. Purification by flash

1  
2  
3 chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 20:1) gave alcohol **20** (164.3 mg, 0.46 mmol, 94%) as a  
4  
5 white solid; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.30 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 20:1); [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = -23.5 (c 0.30, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat):  
6  
7  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 3467, 2957, 2923, 2854, 1766, 1728, 1702, 1465, 1437, 1382, 1300, 1223, 1174,  
8  
9 1137, 1096, 1017, 952, 881, 860, 826, 751, 713 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ =  
10  
11 4.87 (dd, *J* = 5.8, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (d, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (d, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.00–  
12  
13 3.91 (m, 3H), 3.88–3.79 (m, 2H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.60 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.02–2.93 (m,  
14  
15 1H), 2.38–2.19 (m, 3H), 2.05 (ddd, *J* = 12.9, 11.5, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 1.98–1.84 (m, 1H), 1.79–  
16  
17 1.64 (m, 2H), 1.12 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 208.5, 177.5,  
18  
19 171.4, 103.7, 70.9, 67.0, 65.0, 64.8, 63.3, 56.6, 42.8, 35.8, 33.8, 30.5, 28.9, 20.8, 17.0;  
20  
21 HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>25</sub>O<sub>8</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 357.1544, found: 357.1552.

22  
23 *Methyl (3aR,5R,7aR)-5-((R)-1-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)propan-2-yl)-3a* (iodomethyl)-1,4-  
24  
25 *dioxooctahydroisobenzofuran-5-carboxylate (21)*: Alcohol **20** (408 mg, 1.14 mmol, 1.0  
26  
27 eq.), triphenylphosphine (600 mg, 2.29 mmol, 2.0 eq.) and imidazole (311 mg, 4.57  
28  
29 mmol, 4.0 eq.) were dissolved in dry benzene (30 mL) under argon atmosphere. Iodine  
30  
31 (580 mg, 2.29 mmol, 2.0 eq.) was added at rt and the resulting mixture was stirred for 12  
32  
33 hours at that temperature. The reaction was quenched with a 1:1 mixture of saturated  
34  
35 NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> solution and extracted three times with EtOAc. The combined  
36  
37 organic layers were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure.  
38  
39 The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica (pentane/EtOAc 5:2) giving  
40  
41 iodide **21** (401.2 mg, 0.86 mmol, 75%) as colorless oil; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.23 (pentane/EtOAc 3:1);  
42  
43 [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = +17.9 (c 0.48, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 2954, 2886, 2360, 1781, 1731, 1710,  
44  
45 1436, 1366, 1225, 1162, 1030, 947, 824, 732 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 4.87  
46  
47 (dd, *J* = 5.8, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 3.98–3.87  
48  
49 (m, 3H), 3.86–3.78 (m, 2H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.15 (d, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.14–3.08 (m, 1H),  
50  
51 2.49–2.38 (m, 1H), 2.33–2.24 (m, 2H), 2.08–1.98 (m, 1H), 1.86 (tdd, *J* = 13.3, 8.3, 2.3  
52  
53 Hz, 1H), 1.72–1.55 (m, 2H), 1.11 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ =  
54  
55 203.7, 176.2, 171.1, 103.5, 72.9, 65.1, 64.8, 63.5, 55.3, 53.0, 46.9, 36.4, 35.6, 27.5, 20.8,  
56  
57 17.4, 11.3; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>24</sub>IO<sub>7</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 467.0561, found: 467.0557.

58  
59  
60 *Methyl (3aS,5R,7aR)-5-((R)-1-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)propan-2-yl)-3a-methyl-1,4-*

1  
2  
3 *dioxooctahydroisobenzofuran-5-carboxylate* (**22**): Iodide **21** (401.0 mg, 0.86 mmol, 1.0  
4 eq.) was dissolved in dry and degassed benzene (30 mL) under argon atmosphere.  
5 Tri-*n*-butyltin hydride (348  $\mu$ L, 1.29 mmol, 1.5 eq.) and AIBN (42.0 mg, 0.26 mmol, 0.3  
6 eq.) were added and the mixture was stirred for 3 h at 85 °C. The solvent was removed *in*  
7 *vacuo* and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 2:1)  
8 to give the ketone **22** (242.0 mg, 0.72 mmol, 84%) as colorless oil.  $R_f = 0.18$   
9 (pentane/EtOAc 2:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -68.5$  (*c* 0.49, MeOH); FTIR (neat)  $\tilde{\nu} = 2955, 2887, 1776,$   
10  $1733, 1709, 1457, 1364, 1297, 1230, 1148, 1020, 963, 823, 733$   $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H NMR}$  (400  
11 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 4.85$  (t,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d,  $J = 9.3$  Hz, 1H), 3.95–3.84 (m, 3H),  
12 3.83–3.75 (m, 2H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 2.61 (dd,  $J = 10.3, 6.1$  Hz, 1H), 2.43–2.32 (m, 1H),  
13 2.26–2.11 (m, 2H), 2.03–1.86 (m, 2H), 1.59–1.53 (m, 2H), 1.33 (s, 3H), 1.03 (d,  $J = 6.8$   
14 Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C NMR}$  (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 207.7, 177.5, 171.1, 103.5, 74.6, 65.0, 64.7,$   
15  $63.3, 52.6, 50.9, 46.3, 36.1, 34.8, 27.7, 24.3, 19.5, 16.8$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  
16  $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{25}\text{O}_7$   $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ : 341.1595, found: 341.1591.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30 *Methyl (3aS,5R,7aR)-3a-methyl-1,4-dioxo-5-((R)-4-oxobutan-2-*

31 *yl)octahydroisobenzofuran-5-carboxylate* (**23**): The ketone **22** (227.0 mg, 0.67 mmol, 1.0  
32 eq.) was dissolved in acetone (10 mL) and 1 M aq. HCl solution (5 mL) was added. The  
33 resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 17 h. The reaction was quenched by addition of a  
34 saturated aq.  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  solution and extracted three times with EtOAc. The combined  
35 organic layers were dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure to  
36 yield pure aldehyde **23** (195.8 mg, 0.66 mmol, 99%) as colorless oil;  $R_f = 0.56$   
37 (pentane/EtOAc 1:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -87.8$  (*c* 0.42,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu} = 2956, 2360, 2339,$   
38  $1776, 1717, 1457, 1385, 1295, 1234, 1155, 1022, 991, 787$   $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H NMR}$  (400 MHz,  
39  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 9.72$  (d,  $J = 1.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.60 (d,  $J = 9.4$  Hz, 1H), 3.90 (d,  $J = 9.4$  Hz, 1H),  
40 3.68 (s, 3H), 2.94 (dq,  $J = 9.8, 6.8, 3.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.69 (t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 1H), 2.67–2.60 (m,  
41 1H), 2.52–2.43 (m, 1H), 2.31–2.19 (m, 2H), 2.08–1.94 (m, 2H), 1.36 (s, 3H), 1.00 (d,  $J =$   
42  $6.8$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C NMR}$  (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 208.1, 200.7, 177.3, 170.8, 74.7, 62.3,$   
43  $52.8, 51.2, 46.8, 46.2, 31.3, 27.2, 24.5, 18.9, 16.6$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{21}\text{O}_6$   
44  $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ : 297.1333 found: 297.1328.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

*Methyl (3a*S*,5*R*,7a*R*)-5-((*R*)-4-hydroxybutan-2-yl)-3a-methyl-1,4-dioxooctahydroisobenzofuran-5-carboxylate (24)*: Aldehyde **23** (78.1 mg, 0.26 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in hexafluoroisopropanol (1 mL). Sodium tris(hexafluoroisopropanoxy)borohydride (1 M in THF, 791  $\mu$ L, 0.79 mmol, 3.0 eq.) was added at rt under nitrogen atmosphere and stirred for 15 h. The reaction was quenched by addition of saturated  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  solution and extracted three times with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 2:3) giving alcohol **24** (66.9 mg, 0.224 mmol, 85%) as colorless oil;  $R_f = 0.26$  (EtOAc/pentane 3:2);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -79.9$  ( $c$  0.18, MeOH); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu} = 3529, 3407, 2955, 2884, 1775, 1731, 1708, 1456, 1385, 1364, 1293, 1231, 1187, 1154, 1055, 1021, 989, 916, 848, 732, 681$   $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 4.48$  (d,  $J = 9.3$  Hz, 1H), 3.94 (d,  $J = 9.3$  Hz, 1H), 3.74 (ddd,  $J = 11.0, 8.8, 5.5$  Hz, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.67–3.58 (m, 1H), 2.64 (t,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H), 2.45–2.34 (m, 1H), 2.28 (dt,  $J = 9.3, 4.4$  Hz, 1H), 2.25–2.17 (m, 1H), 2.07–1.92 (m, 2H), 1.69–1.52 (m, 2H), 1.39–1.33 (m, 1H), 1.37 (d,  $J = 2.9$  Hz, 3H), 0.99 (d,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 208.1, 177.5, 171.3, 74.6, 63.2, 61.0, 52.8, 51.0, 46.5, 35.3, 34.8, 27.4, 24.4, 19.8, 16.1$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{22}\text{NaO}_6$  [ $\text{M} + \text{Na}$ ] $^+$ : 321.1309, found: 321.1308.

41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

*Methyl (3a*S*,5*R*,7a*R*)-5-((*R*)-4-iodobutan-2-yl)-3a-methyl-1,4-dioxooctahydroisobenzofuran-5-carboxylate (25)*: Alcohol **24** (26.0 mg, 0.087 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in dry benzene (5 mL) under nitrogen atmosphere. Triphenylphosphine (45.7 mg, 0.174 mmol, 2.0 eq.), imidazole (23.7 mg, 0.349 mmol, 4.0 eq.), and iodine (44.2 mg, 0.174 mmol, 2.0 eq.) were added at rt and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight. The crude mixture was filtered, washed with benzene and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 2:1) to give iodide **25** (33.8 mg, 0.083 mmol, 95%) as a white solid;  $R_f = 0.44$  (pentane/EtOAc 2:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -9.8$  ( $c$  0.58,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu} = 3245, 2971, 2927, 2860, 2171, 1762, 1728, 1700, 1591, 1532, 1489, 1439, 1385, 1368, 1287, 1230, 1207, 1173, 1119, 1069, 1012, 977, 961, 922, 905, 852, 773, 764, 722, 692$

1  
2  
3  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  = 4.48 (d,  $J$  = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (d,  $J$  = 9.3 Hz, 1H),  
4 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.33 (ddd,  $J$  = 9.8, 7.7, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.07 (td,  $J$  = 9.5, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 2.68–2.60  
5 (m, 1H), 2.37–2.14 (m, 3H), 2.05–1.91 (m, 2H), 1.89–1.78 (m, 1H), 1.71–1.60 (m, 1H),  
6 1.37 (s, 3H), 0.97 (d,  $J$  = 6.8 Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  = 207.7, 177.4,  
7 171.0, 74.6, 63.1, 52.9, 51.0, 46.4, 39.5, 35.6, 27.6, 24.5, 19.7, 15.1, 4.4; HRMS (ESI)  
8  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{22}\text{IO}_5$  [ $\text{M} + \text{H}$ ] $^+$ : 409.0506, found: 409.0508; X-ray crystal structure is  
9 given in the supporting information.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

17  
18 **Samarium(II) iodide:** Following a literature procedure,<sup>47</sup> samarium (151 mg, 1.0 mmol,  
19 1.0 eq.) was activated by hot stirring with a heat gun *in vacuo*. After cooling, 1,2-  
20 diiodoethane (prewashed by extraction with saturated aqueous  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$  solution, 141 mg,  
21 0.5 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was added under argon atmosphere. THF (dried over sodium, 2 mL)  
22 was added and the mixture was stirred for 5 min at room temperature. After that time,  
23 more THF (3 mL) was added and the solution was stirred until it turned dark blue and  
24  $\text{SmI}_2$  was obtained as a 0.1 M solution in THF and was used as such.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

32 *Methyl (3aR,5aR,6R,8aR,8bS)-8a-hydroxy-6,8b-dimethyl-3-oxodecahydro-5aH-*  
33 *indeno[4,5-c]furan-5a-carboxylate (26):* Iodide **25** (118.0 mg, 0.289 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was  
34 dissolved in hexamethylphosphoramide (500  $\mu\text{L}$ , 2.89 mmol, 10.0 eq.) under nitrogen  
35 atmosphere and cooled down to 0 °C. A freshly prepared  $\text{SmI}_2$  solution (0.1 M in THF,  
36 7.22 mL, 0.722 mmol, 2.5 eq.) was added and the resulting mixture was slowly heated up  
37 to rt and stirred for 1 hour. The reaction was quenched by addition of a saturated aqueous  
38 Rochelle's salt solution and stirred at that temperature for 20 min. Extraction was  
39 performed three times with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried over  
40  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by  
41 flash chromatography on silica (pentane/EtOAc 4:1, CAM dip) giving the tricyclic  
42 product **26** (61.5 mg, 0.218 mmol, 76%) as a white solid; Mp: 97.8–98.6 °C;  $R_f$  = 0.41  
43 (pentane/EtOAc 4:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  =  $-17.6$  ( $c$  0.29, MeOH); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 3495, 2959, 2900,  
44 2877, 1770, 1708, 1454, 1435, 1384, 1354, 1325, 1291, 1270, 1239, 1181, 1148, 1102,  
45 1076, 1058, 1040, 1009, 988, 966, 920, 896, 845, 768, 739, 714, 681  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400  
46 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  = 5.17 (d,  $J$  = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (d,  $J$  = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (d,  $J$  = 9.5 Hz,  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 2.40–2.32 (m, 1H), 2.24–2.16 (m, 1H), 2.14–2.00 (m, 2H), 1.96–1.86 (m, 2H), 1.82–1.71 (m, 1H), 1.66–1.58 (m, 1H), 1.43 (td,  $J = 13.9, 2.6$  Hz, 1H), 1.35–1.29 (m, 1H), 1.23 (s, 3H), 1.00 (d,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 178.8, 178.5, 85.4, 74.6, 58.0, 52.6, 48.1, 46.8, 45.1, 36.9, 33.8, 29.4, 23.1, 22.4, 21.2$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{22}\text{NaO}_5$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ : 305.1359, found: 305.1359.

*(3aR,5aR,6R,8aR,8bS)-8a-Hydroxy-6,8b-dimethyl-3-oxodecahydro-5aH-indeno[4,5-c]furan-5a-carbaldehyde (27)*:<sup>11d</sup> In a dry round bottomed flask under argon atmosphere, ester **26** (19.7 mg, 0.070 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in dry THF (2 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. Borane-methyl sulfide complex (2 M in THF, 70  $\mu\text{L}$ , 0.140 mmol, 2.0 eq.) was added, the resulting mixture was stirred for 15 min at 0 °C and subsequently at 45 °C for 5.5 h. The mixture was allowed to cool down to rt for 10 min before ethanol was added until bubbling (exothermic) ceased. The solution was evaporated giving a colorless oil. Purification was performed by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 3:2) giving aldehyde **27** (11.6 mg, 0.0460 mmol, 66%) as a white solid; Mp: 51.4–51.9 °C;  $R_f = 0.32$  (pentane/EtOAc 3:2, CAM dip);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -13.7$  ( $c$  0.19, MeOH); FTIR (neat)  $\tilde{\nu} = 3484, 2957, 2876, 1748, 1704, 1460, 1373, 1287, 1227, 1158, 1140, 1003, 973, 855, 793, 732, 690, 551$   $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 9.84$  (s, 1H), 4.23 (d,  $J = 0.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.95 (d,  $J = 9.6$  Hz, 1H), 3.51 (s, 1H), 2.29–2.18 (m, 2H), 2.12 (dddd,  $J = 14.4, 10.4, 9.1, 2.2$  Hz, 2H), 1.98–1.84 (m, 2H), 1.82–1.72 (m, 1H), 1.72–1.60 (m, 1H), 1.51–1.41 (m, 1H), 1.41–1.32 (m, 1H), 1.22 (d,  $J = 0.8$  Hz, 3H), 1.08 (d,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 210.1, 178.9, 86.4, 75.0, 59.8, 47.5, 46.4, 44.6, 37.8, 31.5, 30.5, 22.5, 22.3, 19.8$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{20}\text{O}_4\text{Na}$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ : 275.1254, found: 275.1253.

*(3aR,5aS,6R,8aR,8bS,11S)-11-Hydroxy-6,8b-dimethylhexahydro-6H-8a,5a-(epoxyethano)indeno[4,5-c]furan-3,10(1H)-dione (28)*: In a dry round bottomed flask under argon atmosphere, ester **26** (31.8 mg, 0.113 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in dry THF (3 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. Borane-methyl sulfide complex (2 M in THF, 113  $\mu\text{L}$ , 0.225 mmol, 2.0 eq.) was added, the resulting mixture was stirred for 15 min at 0 °C and subsequently at 45 °C for 7 h. The mixture was allowed to cool down to rt for 10 min

1  
2  
3 before ethanol was added until bubbling (exothermic) ceased. The solution was  
4 evaporated giving a colorless oil. Crude aldehyde **27** was dissolved in THF/water (1:1, 4  
5 mL) and potassium cyanide (25.8 mg, 0.396 mmol, 3.5 eq.) was added. The mixture was  
6 stirred for 2 d before EtOAc was added and the mixture was stirred for an additional day.  
7 The phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc for an  
8 additional day. Extractions were performed until no more product was observed in the  
9 separated organic layer. The combined organic layers were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered  
10 and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash  
11 chromatography (EtOAc/pentane 3:2) giving lactone **28** (20.0 mg, 0.0713 mmol, 63%) as  
12 a white solid;  $R_f = 0.33$  (EtOAc/pentane 1:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -41.9$  ( $c$  0.16, MeOH); FTIR (neat)  
13  $\tilde{\nu} = 3421, 2965, 2888, 1774, 1758, 1678, 1462, 1379, 1254, 1196, 1159, 1132, 1091,$   
14  $1026, 974, 944, 876, 820, 753, 716 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta = 4.40$  (d,  $J = 9.6$   
15 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d,  $J = 2.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.92 (d,  $J = 9.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.69 (d,  $J = 2.9$  Hz, 1H), 2.47  
16 (dt,  $J = 8.3, 4.2$  Hz, 1H), 2.29–2.19 (m, 1H), 2.12–2.00 (m, 3H), 1.90–1.78 (m, 2H),  
17 1.75–1.65 (m, 1H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.08 (d,  $J = 6.7$  Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$   
18  $= 179.7, 176.8, 98.1, 75.7, 68.7, 53.5, 44.7, 44.3, 43.0, 34.5, 30.2, 25.3, 22.3, 20.2, 14.5$ ;  
19 HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 281.1384, found: 281.1380.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35  
36 *(3aS,4R,6S,6aS,7R,9aR,9bS)-7,9b-Dimethylhexahydro-3H,6H,7H-9a,6-(epoxymethano)-*  
37 *4,6a-methanocyclopenta[*c*]furo[3,4-*e*]oxepine-3,11-dione (29):* Alcohol **28** (2.5 mg, 8.9  
38  $\mu\text{mol}$ , 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in dry THF (1 mL) under nitrogen atmosphere and cooled  
39 down to  $-78$  °C. LDA (0.28 M in THF, 96  $\mu\text{L}$ , 26.8  $\mu\text{mol}$ , 3.0 eq.) was added at  $-78$  °C  
40 and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. A solution of phenylselenenyl bromide (4.2 mg, 17.8  
41  $\mu\text{mol}$ , 2.0 eq.) in THF (0.15 mL) and was then added at  $-78$  °C. The resulting mixture  
42 was stirred for 1 h at that temperature and subsequently heated up to 0 °C and stirred for  
43 additional 30 min. The reaction mixture was diluted with a saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution and  
44 EtOAc. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with  
45 EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and evaporated  
46 under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in THF (1 mL) and cooled down to 0  
47 °C. One drop of AcOH and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (35% in water) were added and the mixture was stirred  
48 for 2 h before a saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution was added and stirred 1 h at rt. Extraction  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 was performed three times with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried over  
4  
5  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification was performed by  
6  
7 flash chromatography to yield the desired product **29** (1.2 mg, 4.31  $\mu\text{mol}$ , 48%) as a  
8  
9 crystalline solid;  $R_f = 0.21$  (pentane/EtOAc 1:4);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -68.4$  ( $c$  0.29, MeOH); FTIR  
10  
11 (neat):  $\tilde{\nu} = 3357, 2923, 2853, 1762, 1662, 1467, 1378, 1263, 1208, 1159, 1095, 1022,$   
12  
13  $962, 930, 902, 795, 748 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H NMR}$  (400 MHz,  $d_4$ -MeOD)  $\delta = 4.75$  (t,  $J = 5.7$  Hz,  
14  
15 1H), 4.65 (d,  $J = 9.3$  Hz, 1H), 4.01 (s, 1H), 3.87 (d,  $J = 9.3$  Hz, 1H), 3.07 (d,  $J = 5.2$  Hz,  
16  
17 1H), 2.31–2.21 (m, 2H), 2.09–1.94 (m, 4H), 1.36 (s, 3H), 1.27–1.15 (m, 1H), 1.08 (d,  $J =$   
18  
19  $7.0$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C NMR}$  (101 MHz,  $d_4$ -MeOD)  $\delta = 177.7, 174.9, 101.9, 78.7, 75.6, 75.5,$   
20  
21  $60.4, 55.4, 44.7, 39.0, 35.4, 34.0, 32.8, 27.3, 15.8$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{19}\text{O}_5$   
22  
23  $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ : 279.1227, found: 279.1223; X-ray crystal structure is given in the supporting  
24  
25 information.

26  
27  
28 *Methyl (1R,2R)-1-((R)-5-(benzyloxy)-4-methyl-3-oxopentyl)-2-methyl-5-oxocyclopentane-*  
29  
30 *1-carboxylate (35)*: To a solution of  $\beta$ -keto ester **7** (10 mg, 0.064 mmol, 1.0 eq) and  
31  
32 enone **34**<sup>32</sup> (19.6 mg, 0.096 mmol, 1.2 eq) in acetone (0.25 mL) was added  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$   
33  
34 (4.4 mg, 0.032 mmol, 0.5 eq) and the mixture was heated to 40 °C overnight. After  
35  
36 cooling down to room temperature the mixture was filtered through a short pad of celite.  
37  
38 The solvent was removed and the residue was subjected to flash column chromatography  
39  
40 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 5:1 to 3:1) to give ester **35** (16.2 mg, 45  $\mu\text{mol}$ , 70%) as colorless oil;  $R_f =$   
41  
42 0.53 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 6:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{24} = +27.3$  ( $c$  0.44,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu} = 2960, 2877,$   
43  
44  $2361, 1729, 1496, 1455, 1375, 1236, 1168, 1101, 1026, 997, 741, 699 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H NMR}$   
45  
46 (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 7.36$ – $7.26$  (m, 5H), 4.48 (d,  $J = 3.2$  Hz, 2H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.62  
47  
48 (dd,  $J = 9.1, 7.7$  Hz, 1H), 3.49–3.44 (m, 1H), 2.91–2.78 (m, 2H), 2.59–2.46 (m, 2H),  
49  
50 2.24–2.08 (m, 3H), 2.06–1.87 (m, 2H), 1.79–1.66 (m, 1H), 1.07 (d,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, 3H), 1.01  
51  
52 (d,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C NMR}$  (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 216.5, 212.4, 171.0, 138.3, 128.5,$   
53  
54  $127.7, 127.7, 73.4, 72.5, 62.0, 51.9, 46.7, 41.6, 38.7, 37.2, 28.3, 26.1, 16.0, 13.7$ ; HRMS  
55  
56 (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{28}\text{NaO}_5$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ : 383.1829, found: 383.1834.  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

*Methyl (1R,2R)-1-((R)-5-hydroxy-4-methyl-3-oxopentyl)-2-methyl-5-oxocyclopentane-1-carboxylate (36)*: A mixture of ester **35** (50.0 mg, 0.139 mmol, 1.0 eq) and 5 % Pd/C (44.3 mg, 0.021 mmol, 15 mol%) in EtOH (3.0 mL) was stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere. After completion of the reaction (2.5 h), the mixture was filtered through a short pad of celite and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give alcohol **36** (37.5 mg, 0.139 mmol, quant.) as colorless oil;  $R_f = 0.16$  (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 1:2);  $[\alpha]_D^{26} = +40.0$  (c 0.98, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu} = 3732, 3505, 2960, 2881, 2361, 2340, 1728, 1459, 1381, 1237, 1169, 1124, 1035, 678 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta = 3.69$  (s, 3H), 3.75–3.63 (m, 2H), 2.87 (ddd,  $J = 18.1, 9.2, 5.7 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 2.80–2.70 (m, 1H), 2.64–2.47 (m, 2H), 2.33–2.03 (m, 5H), 1.91 (ddd,  $J = 14.7, 9.3, 5.7 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 1.80–1.66 (m, 1H), 1.10 (d,  $J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}$ , 3H), 1.02 (d,  $J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}$ , 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta = 216.8, 214.1, 170.9, 64.7, 61.9, 52.0, 48.3, 42.4, 38.7, 37.0, 28.3, 26.5, 16.0, 13.3$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NaO<sub>5</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>: 293.1359, found: 293.1361.

*Methyl (1R,2R)-2-methyl-1-((E)-4-methyl-5-oxopent-3-en-1-yl)-5-oxocyclopentane-1-carboxylate (38)*: To a solution of  $\beta$ -keto ester **7** (284 mg, 1.82 mmol, 1.0 eq) and  $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$ -unsaturated aldehyde **37**<sup>33</sup> (4.0 mL, 2.00 mmol, 1.1 eq, 0.5 M solution in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) in acetone (10.0 mL) was added K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (503 mg, 3.64 mmol, 2.0 eq) and the mixture was heated to 30 °C overnight. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was filtered through a short pad of celite. The solvent was removed and the residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 3:1 to 2:1) to give **38** (319 mg, 1.26 mmol, 70%) as colorless oil;  $R_f = 0.47$  (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 1:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{24} = +55.2$  (c 1.01, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu} = 2958, 2361, 1730, 1685, 1645, 1436, 1406, 1356, 1232, 1168, 1124, 1042, 994, 669 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta = 9.36$  (s, 1H), 6.43 (td,  $J = 7.3, 1.4 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 2.63–2.51 (m, 2H), 2.30–2.18 (m, 3H), 2.15–1.96 (m, 2H), 1.84–1.74 (m, 2H), 1.72 (d,  $J = 1.1 \text{ Hz}$ , 3H), 1.05 (d,  $J = 6.9 \text{ Hz}$ , 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta = 216.0, 195.1, 170.6, 153.4, 139.7, 62.4, 51.9, 40.6, 38.6, 30.7, 28.1, 23.9, 15.8, 9.2$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NaO<sub>4</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>: 275.1254, found: 275.1255.

*Methyl (1R,5S,7R)-7-methyl-8-oxo-4-(1-oxopropan-2-yl)bicyclo[3.2.1]octane-1-carboxylate (39)*: A 0.2 M stock solution of Me<sub>3</sub>AlSPhLi was prepared by adding Me<sub>3</sub>Al

(2.0 M in toluene, 0.5 mL, 1.0 mmol) to a solution of PhSH (111 mg, 1.0 mmol) and *n*-BuLi (1.6 M in hexane, 0.63 mL, 1.0 mmol) in THF (3.8 mL) at 0 °C. To a solution of  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated aldehyde **38** (50 mg, 0.198 mmol, 1.0 eq) in THF was added the stock solution (1.28 mL, 0.257 mmol, 1.3 eq) and the mixture was stirred at 40 °C for 3 h before it was poured into saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution. The layers were separated and the aqueous was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 x). The combined organic layers were washed with water, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 1:1) to give **39** as colorless oil (18.4 mg, 0.073 mmol, 37%, dr = 2:1); R<sub>f</sub> = 0.28 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 1:1); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 3487, 2954, 2875, 1750, 1725, 1450, 1380, 1341, 1295, 1274, 1231, 1194, 1030, 692 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 9.54 (d, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 9.49 (d, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (s, 6H), 2.50–2.08 (m, 12H), 1.98–1.87 (m, 2H), 1.82–1.70 (m, 2H), 1.62–1.48 (m, 2H), 1.44–1.35 (m, 2H), 1.09 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 1.05 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.99 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.97 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 213.9, 213.4, 203.4, 203.1, 171.0, 170.9, 60.9, 60.4, 51.9, 49.8, 49.5, 49.1, 48.1, 46.7, 46.5, 38.2, 38.0, 36.2, 36.1, 28.8, 28.5, 23.5, 21.7, 21.1, 21.1, 12.3, 12.2; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NaO<sub>4</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>: 275.12538, found: 275.12529.

*Methyl (1R,2R)-2-methyl-5-oxo-1-(3-oxopropyl)cyclopentane-1-carboxylate (40)*: To a solution of  $\beta$ -keto ester **7** (95 mg, 0.608 mmol, 1.0 eq) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5.0 mL) was added NEt<sub>3</sub> (128  $\mu$ L, 0.912 mmol, 1.5 eq), followed by acrolein (41  $\mu$ L, 0.730 mmol, 1.2 eq). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The clear solution was filtered through a short pad of silica gel and washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O. The solvent was evaporated and the residue subjected to flash column chromatography (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 2:1) to give **40** (92.0 mg, 0.433 mmol, 71%) as colorless oil; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.36 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 1:1); [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>26</sup> = +64.7 (*c* 1.04, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 2958, 2839, 2727, 2361, 1722, 1437, 1386, 1236, 1166, 1126, 1062, 996, 836, 763, 668 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 9.74 (s, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 2.91–2.76 (m, 1H), 2.60–2.45 (m, 2H), 2.31–2.00 (m, 4H), 1.99–1.84 (m, 1H), 1.83–1.67 (m, 1H), 1.03 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 216.3, 201.5, 170.7, 61.7, 52.1, 41.9, 39.3, 38.6, 28.3, 24.8, 15.9; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>16</sub>NaO<sub>4</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>: 235.0941, found: 235.0940.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 *Methyl (1R,2R)-1-(3-hydroxy-4-methylpent-4-en-1-yl)-2-methyl-5-oxocyclopentane-1-*  
6 *carboxylate (41)*: To a solution of aldehyde **40** (61.0 mg, 0.287 mmol, 1.0 eq) in THF  
7 (0.8 mL) at  $-78^{\circ}\text{C}$  was added isopropylmagnesium bromide solution (0.5 M in THF,  
8 0.69 mL, 0.345 mmol, 1.2 eq). The mixture was allowed to stir at  $-78^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 3 h, and was  
9 then quenched by addition of sat. aq.  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  solution. Water and  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  were added after  
10 warming up to room temperature and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was  
11 extracted with  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  (2 x) and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried  
12 over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered and concentrated. The residue was subjected to flash column  
13 chromatography (pentane/ $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  1:1) to give **41** (24.0 mg, 0.094 mmol, 33%) as colorless  
14 oil;  $R_f = 0.57$  (pentane/ $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  1:2);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +54.2$  (*c* 0.78,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu} = 3481$ ,  
15 2958, 2879, 2361, 1730, 1451, 1382, 1329, 1234, 1165, 1125, 1061, 996, 900, 678  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  
16  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 4.97\text{--}4.93$  (m, 1H), 4.87–4.82 (m, 1H), 4.02 (t,  $J = 6.3$   
17 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 2.60–2.49 (m, 1H), 2.31–2.12 (m, 2H), 2.12–2.00 (m, 1H), 1.85–  
18 1.62 (m, 5H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 1.48–1.35 (m, 1H), 1.04 (d,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101  
19 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 216.5$ , 171.1, 147.0, 111.5, 76.0, 62.7, 51.8, 40.1, 38.8, 29.3, 28.2,  
20 27.7, 17.5, 15.8; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{22}\text{NaO}_4$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ : 277.1410, found:  
21 277.1412.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

37 *Methyl (1R,2R)-1-(3-hydroxypent-4-en-1-yl)-2-methyl-5-oxocyclopentane-1-carboxylate*  
38 *(42)*: To a solution of aldehyde **40** (50.0 mg, 0.236 mmol, 1.0 eq) in THF (1.0 mL) at  $-$   
39  $78^{\circ}\text{C}$  was added vinylmagnesium bromide solution (0.7 M in THF, 0.42 mL,  
40 0.294 mmol, 1.25 eq). The mixture was allowed to stir at  $-78^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 4 h, and was then  
41 quenched by addition of sat. aq.  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  solution. Water and  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  were added after  
42 warming up to room temperature and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was  
43 extracted with  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  (2 x) and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried  
44 over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered and concentrated. The residue was subjected to flash column  
45 chromatography (pentane/ $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  1:1) to give **42** (12.0 mg, 0.050 mmol, 21%) as colorless  
46 oil;  $R_f = 0.44$  (pentane/ $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  1:3);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +62.6$  (*c* 0.52,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu} = 3443$ ,  
47 3081, 2957, 2879, 2361, 1729, 1435, 1404, 1328, 1234, 1165, 1121, 1061, 994, 924, 883,  
48 669  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 5.84$  (ddd,  $J = 17.1, 10.4, 6.0$  Hz, 1H), 5.23  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

(dt,  $J = 17.2, 1.4$  Hz, 1H), 5.11 (dt,  $J = 10.4, 1.3$  Hz, 1H), 4.06 (q,  $J = 5.7$  Hz, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 2.54 (ddd,  $J = 18.9, 8.6, 1.1$  Hz, 1H), 2.30–2.13 (m, 2H), 2.11–2.01 (m, 1H), 1.92–1.84 (m, 1H), 1.83–1.61 (m, 4H), 1.44–1.34 (m, 1H), 1.04 (d,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 216.6, 171.3, 140.8, 115.1, 73.2, 62.9, 51.9, 40.2, 38.9, 31.6, 28.3, 27.7, 15.9$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{20}\text{NaO}_4$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ : 263.1254, found: 263.1255.

*Methyl (1R,2R)-1-(3-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)pent-4-en-1-yl)-2-methyl-5-*

*oxocyclopentane-1-carboxylate (43)*: To a solution of **42** (10.4 mg, 0.043 mmol, 1.0 eq) and 4-Methoxybenzyl-2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate (24.5 mg, 0.087 mmol, 2.0 eq) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (1.0 mL) at 0 °C was added  $\text{Sc}(\text{OTf})_3$  (1.1 mg, 4  $\mu\text{mol}$ , 5 mol%). The mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at 0 °C and then quenched with sat. aq.  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  solution and diluted with  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ . The layers were separated and the aqueous was extracted with  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  (3 x). The combined organic layers were dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered and concentrated. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography (pentane/ $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  2:1) to give **43** (13.7 mg, 0.038 mmol, 88%) as colorless oil;  $R_f = 0.53$  (pentane/ $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  1:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{26} = +28.7$  ( $c$  0.36,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu} = 3728, 3728, 3703, 3629, 3600, 2956, 2361, 2340, 1749, 1613, 1513, 1459, 1301, 1246, 1174, 1065, 1035, 995, 823, 679$   $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 7.26\text{--}7.22$  (m, 2H), 6.86 (dd,  $J = 8.8, 2.4$  Hz, 2H), 5.69 (ddd,  $J = 17.1, 10.6, 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 5.24 (d,  $J = 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 5.20 (d,  $J = 8.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d,  $J = 11.3$  Hz, 1H), 4.28 (d,  $J = 11.3$  Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.72–3.67 (m, 1H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 2.52 (dd,  $J = 18.7, 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 2.32–2.21 (m, 1H), 2.21–2.11 (m, 1H), 2.08–1.98 (m, 1H), 1.90–1.66 (m, 4H), 1.36–1.26 (m, 1H), 1.02 (d,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 216.5, 171.3, 159.2, 138.8, 130.9, 129.5, 117.7, 113.9, 80.6, 69.9, 63.0, 55.4, 51.9, 39.6, 39.1, 30.2, 28.3, 27.6, 16.0$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{28}\text{NaO}_5$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ : 383.1829, found: 383.1831.

*Methyl (1R,2R)-2-methyl-1-(4-methyl-3-oxopent-4-en-1-yl)-5-oxocyclopentane-1-*

*carboxylate (44)*: Dess-Martin periodinane (25 mg, 0.059 mmol, 1.5 eq) was added to a cold (0 °C) solution of allylic alcohol **41** (10.0 mg, 0.039 mmol, 1.0 eq) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (1.0 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h and then quenched by

1  
2  
3 addition of sat. aq. Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and sat. aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution. The layers were separated and  
4 the aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 x). The combined organic layers were  
5 washed with water and brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated. The residue  
6 was subjected to flash column chromatography (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 2:1) to give enone **44**  
7 (8.0 mg, 0.032 mmol, 81%) as colorless oil; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.86 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 1:2); [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = +31.7  
8 (c 0.40, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 2958, 2362, 2340, 1730, 1677, 1631, 1455, 1375, 1337,  
9 1236, 1168, 1123, 1094, 1068, 1037, 939 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 5.99 (s,  
10 1H), 5.76 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.06 (ddd, J = 16.9, 10.8, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.74  
11 (ddd, J = 16.9, 10.9, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (ddd, J = 18.9, 8.5, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 2.32–2.13 (m,  
12 3H), 2.14–2.00 (m, 1H), 1.92 (ddd, J = 14.4, 10.8, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 1.86 (s, 3H), 1.83–1.67  
13 (m, 1H), 1.04 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 216.6, 171.3, 147.1,  
14 111.7, 76.1, 62.8, 51.9, 40.2, 38.9, 29.5, 28.3, 27.9, 17.6, 16.0; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd  
15 for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NaO<sub>4</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>: 275.12538, found: 275.12535.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28 *Methyl (1R,2R)-2-methyl-5-oxo-1-(3-oxopent-4-en-1-yl)cyclopentane-1-carboxylate (45):*  
29 Dess-Martin periodinane (38.2 mg, 90 μmol, 1.5 eq) was added to a cold (0 °C) solution  
30 of allylic alcohol **42** (14.4 mg, 0.06 mmol, 1.0 eq) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.0 mL). The mixture was  
31 stirred at room temperature for 4 h and then quenched by addition of sat. aq. Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and  
32 sat. aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution. The layers were separated and the aqueous was extracted with  
33 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 x). The combined organic layers were washed with water and brine, dried over  
34 Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated. The residue was subjected to flash column  
35 chromatography (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 2:1) to give enone **45** (9.4 mg, 39 μmol, 65%) as colorless  
36 oil; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.53 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 1:1); [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = +39.6 (c 0.47, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 2959,  
37 2361, 1728, 1617, 1436, 1404, 1236, 1168, 1123, 1067, 992, 907 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400  
38 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 6.34 (dd, J = 17.7, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 6.24 (dd, J = 17.6, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.83  
39 (dd, J = 10.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.00 (ddd, J = 17.3, 10.7, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.66 (ddd,  
40 J = 17.3, 10.7, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (ddd, J = 19.0, 8.6, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 2.32–2.14 (m, 3H),  
41 2.13–2.03 (m, 1H), 1.92 (ddd, J = 14.5, 10.8, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 1.84–1.68 (m, 1H), 1.05 (d, J =  
42 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 216.5, 200.2, 170.9, 136.5, 128.4, 61.9,  
43 52.0, 42.2, 38.7, 34.7, 28.4, 26.9, 16.0; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NaO<sub>4</sub>  
44 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>: 261.1097, found: 261.1099.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 *Methyl (1R,2R)-1-((E)-3-hydroxy-6-(trimethylsilyl)hex-4-en-1-yl)-2-methyl-5-*  
6 *oxocyclopentane-1-carboxylate (46)*: To a degassed solution of allylic alcohol **42**  
7 (27.9 mg, 0.120 mmol, 1.0 eq) and allyltrimethyl silane (137 mg, 0.120 mmol, 10 eq) in  
8 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.5 mL) was added Grubbs 2<sup>nd</sup> generation catalyst (5.00 mg, 6 μmol, 5.0 mol%).  
9 The mixture was heated for 4 h at 40 °C and then filtered through a short pad of silica  
10 (eluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue  
11 was subjected to flash column chromatography (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 2:1 to 1:1) to give **46**  
12 (20.1 mg, 0.062 mmol, 51%) as colorless oil; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.54 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 1:3); [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>26</sup> = +30.5  
13 (c 1.01, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 3444, 2955, 2361, 1731, 1457, 1405, 1245, 1162, 1122,  
14 1059, 996, 966, 849, 755, 695 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 5.63 (dt, *J* = 15.6,  
15 8.2 Hz, 1H), 5.28 (dd, *J* = 15.2, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (q, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 2.53  
16 (dd, *J* = 18.8, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 2.31–2.13 (m, 2H), 2.09–2.01 (m, 1H), 1.88–1.61 (m, 5H),  
17 1.45 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 1.35–1.26 (m, 1H), 1.03 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), -0.01 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C  
18 NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 216.5, 171.3, 131.2, 129.6, 73.7, 62.9, 51.9, 40.0, 39.0,  
19 32.0, 28.3, 27.9, 22.9, 15.9, -1.8; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>30</sub>NaO<sub>4</sub>Si [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>:  
20 349.1806, found: 349.1805.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35 *Methyl (1R,2R)-2-methyl-5-oxo-1-((E)-3-oxo-6-(trimethylsilyl)hex-4-en-1-*  
36 *yl)cyclopentane-1-carboxylate (48)*: To a degassed solution of enone **45** (9.4 mg,  
37 0.039 mmol, 1.0 eq) and allyltrimethyl silane (45 mg, 0.39 mmol, 10 eq) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>  
38 (0.5 mL) was added Grubbs 2<sup>nd</sup> generation catalyst (1.65 mg, 2 μmol, 5.0 mol%). The  
39 mixture was heated for 1 h at 40 °C and then filtered through a short pad of silica (eluted  
40 with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was  
41 subjected to flash column chromatography (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 3:1) to give **48** (9.2 mg,  
42 0.028 mmol, 73%) as colorless oil; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.58 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 1:1); [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = +21.6 (c 0.46,  
43 CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 2956, 2921, 2361, 1730, 1660, 1613, 1436, 1408, 1380, 1328,  
44 1292, 1246, 1196, 1167, 1125, 1040, 979, 847, 756, 698, 669 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  
45 CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 6.97 (dt, *J* = 15.5, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 5.94 (dt, *J* = 15.7, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H),  
46 2.84 (ddd, *J* = 16.1, 10.9, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.59–2.49 (m, 2H), 2.30–2.02 (m, 4H), 1.98–1.88  
47 (m, 1H), 1.80–1.71 (m, 3H), 1.04 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.05 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz,  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 216.5, 199.3, 171.0, 147.0, 128.6, 62.1, 52.0, 41.8, 38.7, 35.0, 28.3, 27.3,  
4  
5 25.4, 16.0, -1.6; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>28</sub>NaO<sub>4</sub>Si [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>: 347.1649, found:  
6  
7 347.1650.  
8  
9

10 *Methyl (1R,2R)-2-methyl-1-(2-(4-methyl-2-oxo-2,5-dihydrofuran-3-yl)ethyl)-5-*  
11 *oxocyclopentane-1-carboxylate (49)*: Ester **11** (516.0 mg, 1.25 mmol, 1.0 eq.) and  
12 Fe(acac)<sub>3</sub> (22.0 mg, 62.3  $\mu$ mol, 5 mol%) were added to a dry and degassed solution of  
13 THF (12.0 mL) and NMP (0.6 mL) and the reaction mixture was cooled to -30 °C. The  
14 mixture was stirred for 10 minutes at this temperature and MeMgBr (3.2 M in THF, 545  
15  $\mu$ l, 1.74 mmol, 1.4 eq.) was rapidly added to the orange solution. The color of the mixture  
16 turned into a brown to green mixture, which finally resulted in a colorless solution with a  
17 brown precipitate. The reaction was quenched after 1 h with saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution at -  
18 30 °C. The mixture was allowed to warm up to rt, water was added and the organic layer  
19 was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (4 x 20 mL) and the  
20 combined organic layers were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and evaporated under reduced  
21 pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 2:1 then  
22 1:1) to obtain the desired  $\beta$ -methyl lactone **49** (333.9 mg, 1.19 mmol, 96%) as colorless  
23 oil;  $R_f$  = 0.24 (EtOAc/pentane 1:2);  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  = +61.0 ( $c$  0.29, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 2985,  
24 1743, 1678, 1451, 1228, 1166, 1032, 762 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 4.61 (s,  
25 2H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 2.59–2.45 (m, 2H), 2.42–2.32 (m, 1H), 2.29–2.08 (m, 3H), 2.05 (brs,  
26 3H), 1.92–1.87 (m, 2H), 1.85–1.73 (m, 1H), 1.07 (d,  $J$  = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101  
27 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 216.4, 174.9, 171.0, 157.7, 127.0, 72.7, 62.7, 52.0, 40.3, 38.9, 30.6,  
28 28.4, 18.4, 16.1, 12.3; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 281.1384, found:  
29 281.1383.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 *Methyl (3aR,5aR,6R,8aR,8bS)-8a-hydroxy-6,8b-dimethyl-3-oxodecahydro-5aH-*  
49 *indeno[4,5-c]furan-5a-carboxylate (26)* and *methyl (1R,5R)-2-hydroxy-5-methyl-1-(2-(4-*  
50 *methyl-2-oxo-2,5-dihydrofuran-3-yl)ethyl)cyclopentane-1-carboxylate (66)*: The  
51 methylester **49** (40.4 mg, 144  $\mu$ mol, 1.0 eq) was dissolved in dry THF (0.59 ml) and  
52 water (17.6 ml) (both solvents were separately freeze-thawed, three cycles). SmI<sub>2</sub> (3.60  
53 ml, 360 mmol, 2.5 eq, 0.1 M solution in THF, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich) was added  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 over 1 h at room temperature by syringe-pump and stirred for 12 h at room temperature.  
4  
5 The colorless solution was quenched with Rochelle's salt (saturated, 1.3 ml) and stirred  
6  
7 for 30 minutes at rt. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc (4 x 10 ml) and the combined  
8  
9 organic layers were washed with brine (1 x 5 ml), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and  
10  
11 evaporated to obtain a yellow oil. The mixture was purified by flash column  
12  
13 chromatography (5:1, 4:1 then 2:1) to obtain the desired cyclized product **26** as white  
14  
15 solid (20.5 mg, 50.4 mmol, 50%, dr 5:2) and reduced alcohol **66** (see supporting  
16  
17 information) as colorless oil (15.8 mg, 38.9 mmol, 39%, dr = 10:1); the analytical data for  
18  
19 the tricyclic product **26** matched those reported above. Analytical data for the alcohol  
20  
21 byproduct **66**: colorless oil (39%);  $R_f = 0.17$  (pentane/EtOAc 2:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{26} = -47.4$  ( $c$  1.53,  
22  
23 CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu} = 3468, 2956, 2869, 1721, 1676, 1448, 1261, 1191, 1091, 1036,$   
24  
25  $802\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta = 4.63$  (bs, 2H), 4.58 (dd,  $J = 6.6, 2.9$  Hz, 1H),  
26  
27 3.70 (s, 3H), 2.38–2.31 (m, 2H), 2.19 (t,  $J = 11.8$  Hz, 1H) 2.09–2.04 (m, 1H), 2.04 (s,  
28  
29 3H), 1.99–1.92 (m, 2H), 1.66–1.60 (m, 2H), 1.25–1.18 (m, 1H), 0.89 (d,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, 3H);  
30  
31 <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta = 176.1, 174.7, 156.6, 127.4, 75.3, 73.0, 61.5, 51.3, 41.6,$   
32  
33  $32.7, 30.8, 29.7, 19.8, 15.8, 12.3$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>:  
34  
35 305.1359, found: 305.1359.

36  
37 *Methyl (1R,2R)-1-(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)ethyl)-2-methyl-5-(propan-2-ylidene)-*  
38  
39 *cyclopentane-1-carboxylate (51)*: Freshly distilled diisopropylamine (20.0 mL, 135  
40  
41 mmol, 1.4 eq.) was added to dry THF (50 mL) and cooled to –78 °C. *n*-BuLi (1.6 M in  
42  
43 hexane, 79.0 mL, 126 mmol, 1.3 eq.) was slowly added to the solution and stirred for  
44  
45 15 min at this temperature. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to 0 °C and was  
46  
47 stirred for 1 h at this temperature. The solution was cooled to –78 °C, methyl (2R)-2-  
48  
49 methyl-5-(propan-2-ylidene)cyclopentane-1-carboxylate (17.6 g, 97.0 mmol, 1.0 eq.) and  
50  
51 dry DMPU (62.0 g, 59.0 mL, 483 mmol, 5.0 eq.) were added slowly to the solution and  
52  
53 stirred for 15 min at this temperature and then for 1 h at 0 °C. The yellow solution was  
54  
55 cooled to –78 °C and a solution of tert-butyl(2-iodoethoxy)dimethylsilane<sup>43</sup> (33.2 g, 116  
56  
57 mmol, 1.2 eq.) in dry THF (50 mL) was added to the reaction mixture. The mixture was  
58  
59 stirred for 1 h at this temperature and then was allowed to warm up to rt over 1 h. The  
60  
61 reaction was quenched with aq. HCl soln. (1 M, 200 mL). The aqueous layer was

1  
2  
3 extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (4 x 150 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine  
4 (100 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced  
5 pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 40:1,  
6 then 20:1 and 8:1) to afford the alkylated ester **51** (25.3 g, 74.4 mmol, 77%) as yellow oil;  
7 R<sub>f</sub> = 0.24 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 40:1); [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>26</sup> = +37.8 (c 0.79, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 2953,  
8 2933, 2858, 1727, 1462, 1434, 1253, 1218, 1186, 1155, 1095, 1004, 837, 775, 631 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  
9 <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 3.63 (s, 3H), 3.62–3.53 (m, 2H), 2.49 (dd, *J* = 15.4, 7.3  
10 Hz, 1H), 2.29–2.17 (m, 2H), 2.17–2.04 (m, 1H), 1.96 (ddd, *J* = 14.2, 9.0, 6.4 Hz, 1H),  
11 1.77–1.69 (m, 1H), 1.64 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 3H), 1.62–1.53 (m, 1H), 1.50 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 3H),  
12 0.90–0.89 (m, 3H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.03 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ  
13 = 176.6, 137.7, 125.0, 60.4, 57.2, 51.4, 44.6, 36.9, 32.6, 32.2, 26.1, 22.4, 20.6, 18.4, 14.9,  
14 –5.1, –5.1; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>37</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Si [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 341.2506, found: 341.2507.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26  
27 *Methyl (1R,2R)-1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-2-methyl-5-(propan-2-ylidene)cyclopentane-1-*  
28 *carboxylate (52)*: The protected alcohol **51** (2.32 g, 6.81 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in  
29 dry THF (50 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. Acetic acid (1.0 mL) and TBAF (1.0 M in THF,  
30 6.81 mL, 6.81 mmol, 1.0 eq.) were slowly added. The solution was allowed to warm up to  
31 rt and stirred for 18 h. The solution was cooled to 0 °C and quenched with aq. sat.  
32 NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (80 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 x 100 mL) and  
33 the combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 mL). The organic layer was  
34 dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude was  
35 purified by flash column chromatography (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 1:1) to obtain the alcohol **52**  
36 (1.22 g, 5.39 mmol, 79%) as a slightly yellow oil; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.27 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 1:1); [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>26</sup> =  
37 +35.4 (c 0.43, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 3428, 2952, 2334, 1725, 1457, 1226, 1032, 891,  
38 768 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 3.75–3.62 (m, 2H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 2.52 (dd, *J* =  
39 15.6, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 2.29–1.99 (m, 5H), 1.83–1.74 (m, 1H), 1.65 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 3H), 1.54  
40 (dd, *J* = 2.8, 1.0 Hz, 3H), 1.53–1.46 (m, 1H), 0.92 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101  
41 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 177.3, 138.7, 125.5, 59.9, 57.4, 51.7, 44.6, 37.8, 32.8, 32.0, 22.5, 20.7,  
42 15.3; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 227.1642, found: 227.1642.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

*Methyl (1R,2R)-2-methyl-1-(2-oxoethyl)-5-(propan-2-ylidene)cyclopentane-1-carboxylate* (**53**): Alcohol **52** (3.35 g, 14.8 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (150 mL), DMP (7.05 g, 16.3 mmol, 1.1 eq.) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at rt. Sat. aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (50 mL) was added and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (25 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 4:1) to obtain the aldehyde **53** (2.95 g, 13.2 mmol, 89%) as colorless oil; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.38 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 5:1); [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = +23.6 (c 0.59, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 2952, 2735, 2361, 1722, 1457, 1222, 1058, 892, 767 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 9.66 (t, *J* = 2.9 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 2.93 (dd, *J* = 16.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.74 (dd, *J* = 16.1, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (dd, *J* = 15.8, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 2.31–2.13 (m, 2H), 1.89–1.79 (m, 1H), 1.66 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 3H), 1.61 (m, 1H), 1.56 (dd, *J* = 2.6, 1.1 Hz, 3H), 0.93 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 202.5, 175.3, 137.4, 126.6, 56.2, 51.9, 48.3, 45.9, 32.6, 32.0, 22.6, 20.7, 14.6; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 225.1485, found: 225.1485.

*Methyl (1R,2R)-1-(4-ethoxy-2,4-dioxobutyl)-2-methyl-5-(propan-2-ylidene)cyclopentane-1-carboxylate* (**54**): Ethyl diazoacetate (3.08 g, 26.4 mmol, 2.0 eq.) was dissolved in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (25 mL), SnCl<sub>2</sub> (255 mg, 1.32 mmol, 0.1 eq.) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt. A solution of the aldehyde **53** (2.95 g, 13.2 mmol, 1.0 eq.) in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (30 mL) was added dropwise to the mixture. The color changed to yellow and formation of N<sub>2</sub> was observed. The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at rt, then it was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL), filtered over celite and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 7:1 to 2:1) to obtain the β-keto ester **54** (3.82 g, 12 mmol, 93%) as slightly orange oil; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.24 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 5:1); [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = +49.6 (c 0.75, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 2950, 1717, 1644, 1454, 1306, 1224, 1183, 1155, 1033 cm<sup>-1</sup>; (ratio keto ester/enol ester 10:1, only the data for the keto ester **54** is reported for the NMR set) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 4.17 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.65 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 3H), 3.41 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 2H), 3.23 (d, *J* = 16.7 Hz, 1H), 2.95 (d, *J* = 16.7 Hz, 1H), 2.57–2.41 (m, 2H), 2.41–2.25 (m, 1H), 1.85–1.73 (m, 1H), 1.66–1.61 (m, 3H), 1.55–1.46 (m, 1H), 1.52 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz,

1  
2  
3 3H), 0.85 (d,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 201.2, 175.8, 167.3,$   
4 138.5, 124.6, 61.4, 56.9, 51.7, 50.8, 46.6, 43.6, 32.8, 31.9, 22.5, 20.5, 14.7, 14.2; HRMS  
5 (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{27}\text{O}_5$   $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ : 311.1853, found: 311.1855.  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 *6-Ethyl 3a-methyl (3R,3aR,6R)-6-chloro-3,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-2,3,4,5,6,7-hexahydro-*  
11 *3aH-indene-3a,6-dicarboxylate (55)*:  $\beta$ -Keto ester **54** (40.0 mg, 129  $\mu\text{mol}$ , 1.0 eq.) was  
12 dissolved in degassed  $\text{Ac}_2\text{O}$  (3.0 mL, freeze-thaw method, 3 cycles). Flame dried LiCl  
13 (54.7 mg, 1.29 mmol, 10 eq.) was added and the reaction mixture was heated to 50  $^\circ\text{C}$ .  
14  $\text{Mn}(\text{OAc})_3 \cdot 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}$  (141 mg, 516  $\mu\text{mol}$ , 4.0 eq.) was added and the reaction mixture was  
15 stirred for 5 h at this temperature. Dist. water (5 mL) was added and the mixture was  
16 extracted with EtOAc (5 x 5 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine  
17 (5 mL), dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue  
18 was purified by flash column chromatography (pentane/ $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  6:1 to 3:1) to obtain the  
19 oxo-dicarboxylate **55** (17.1 mg, 50  $\mu\text{mol}$ , 39%) as white solid; Mp: 66.2–67.8  $^\circ\text{C}$ ;  $R_f =$   
20 0.26 (pentane/ $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  6:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -53.2$  ( $c$  0.73,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu} = 2982, 2931,$   
21 1728, 1459, 1408, 1385, 1366, 1346, 1246, 1225, 1105, 1034, 979, 894, 833, 757, 708,  
22 606  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 5.91\text{--}5.90$  (m, 1H), 4.36–4.21 (m, 2H), 3.68  
23 (s, 3H), 3.49 (d,  $J = 15.3$  Hz, 1H), 2.99 (d,  $J = 15.5$  Hz, 1H), 2.55–2.42 (m, 2H), 2.35–  
24 2.23 (m, 1H), 1.38 (s, 3H), 1.32 (t,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 3H), 1.21 (s, 3H), 0.97 (d,  $J = 6.7$  Hz, 3H);  
25  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 199.7, 173.9, 165.8, 146.1, 129.9, 79.0, 62.7, 60.2, 52.1,$   
26 50.8, 45.2, 43.6, 39.2, 24.1, 22.1, 14.2, 14.1; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_5\text{Cl}$   $[\text{M} +$   
27  $\text{H}]^+$ : 343.1307, found: 343.1308.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 *6-Ethyl 3a-methyl (3R,3aR,5R,6R)-6-chloro-5-hydroxy-3,7,7-trimethyl-2,3,4,5,6,7-hexa-*  
46 *hydro-3aH-indene-3a,6-dicarboxylate (56)*: The oxo-dicarboxylate **55** (1.05 g,  
47 3.05 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in dry MeOH (50 mL). The solution was cooled to  
48 0  $^\circ\text{C}$  and  $\text{NaBH}_4$  (294 mg, 7.63 mmol, 2.5 eq.) was added in small portions. The reaction  
49 mixture was stirred at 0  $^\circ\text{C}$  for 1 h, then the cooling bath was removed and the reaction  
50 mixture was allowed to stir for 3 h at rt. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0  $^\circ\text{C}$  and  
51 quenched with brine (50 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (5 x 50 mL)  
52 and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (30 mL). The organic layers  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was  
4 purified by flash column chromatography (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 6:1 to 3:1) to afford the  
5 hydroxy-dicarboxylate **56** (904 mg, 2.6 mmol, 86%) as white solid; Mp: 82.1–83.0 °C; R<sub>f</sub>  
6 = 0.14 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 6:1); [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>26</sup> = +42.4 (c 0.28, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 3457, 2967,  
7 2929, 2338, 1734, 1692, 1450, 1409, 1384, 1363, 1284, 1239, 1193, 1105, 1088, 1032,  
8 995, 912, 887, 853, 819, 757, 702, 627 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 5.87 (dd, J  
9 = 3.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (s, 1H), 4.36–4.21 (m, 3H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 2.88 (dd, J = 14.7, 2.8  
10 Hz, 1H), 2.42 (ddd, J = 14.9, 7.4, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 2.32–2.20 (m, 1H), 2.17 (ddd, J = 14.9,  
11 10.3, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 2.03 (dd, J = 14.8, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 1.53 (s, 3H), 1.38–1.31 (m, 6H), 0.97  
12 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 176.6, 170.7, 147.6, 129.6, 75.0,  
13 74.2, 62.2, 56.4, 51.7, 49.9, 42.3, 38.9, 35.8, 26.5, 26.1, 14.7, 14.1; HRMS (ESI) m/z  
14 calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Cl [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 345.1463, found: 345.1462; X-ray crystal structure is  
15 given in the supporting information.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28 *9-Ethyl 5a-methyl (1R,4R,5aR,6R,9R)-9-chloro-1,6-dimethyl-1,2,4,5,6,7-hexahydro-5aH-*  
29 *1,4-methanocyclopenta[d]oxepine-5a,9-dicarboxylate (57) and 9-Ethyl 5a-*  
30 *methyl (1R,2R,4R,5aR,6R,9R)-2-acetoxy-9-chloro-1,6-dimethyl-1,2,4,5,6,7-hexahydro-*  
31 *5aH-1,4-methanocyclopenta[d]oxepine-5a,9-dicarboxylate (58):* Hydroxy-dicarboxylate  
32 **56** (3.48 g, 10.1 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in degassed cyclohexane (200 mL, freeze-  
33 thaw method, 3 cycles). Pb(IV) acetate (11.5 g, 25.2 mmol, 2.5 eq.), iodine (6.54 g,  
34 25.2 mmol, 2.5 eq.) and CaCO<sub>3</sub> (4.04 g, 40.4 mmol, 4.0 eq.) were added. The reaction  
35 mixture was stirred at rt under irradiation of Hg-light (low pressure lamp) for three days  
36 and Pb(IV) acetate (45.7 g, 101 mmol, 10 eq.), iodine (26.2 g, 101 mmol, 10 eq.) and  
37 CaCO<sub>3</sub> (10.3 g, 101 mmol, 10 eq.) were added in four equal portions (each 2.5 eq.) during  
38 this time. The violet reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and sat. aq. Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (400 mL)  
39 was added until the mixture turned colorless. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc (4 x  
40 200 mL), washed with brine (100 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and evaporated under  
41 reduced pressure. The crude was purified by flash column chromatography (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O  
42 5:1 to 3:1) to afford the chloro-furan **57** (major product) (2.82 g, 8.2 mmol, 81%) as  
43 colorless solid and furan-acetate **58** (minor product) (600 mg, 1.5 mmol, 15%) as  
44 colorless solid; **57**: Mp: 96.5–97.1 °C; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.16 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 4:1); [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = –50.5 (c  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

0.37, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 2961, 2945, 2906, 2360, 1736, 1721, 1453, 1383, 1228, 1081, 1031, 981, 936, 876, 772, 726 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 5.83 (s, 1H), 4.71 (d,  $J$  = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (q,  $J$  = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (dd,  $J$  = 8.1, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 3.72–3.66 (m, 3H), 3.59 (d,  $J$  = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 3.07 (dd,  $J$  = 14.4, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.46–2.32 (m, 2H), 2.21–2.02 (m, 1H), 1.90 (d,  $J$  = 14.2 Hz, 1H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.29 (td,  $J$  = 7.1, 0.8 Hz, 3H), 0.91 (d,  $J$  = 6.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 173.8, 168.5, 145.6, 129.8, 79.6, 73.7, 73.6, 62.4, 57.5, 51.8, 49.5, 48.7, 39.4, 37.0, 15.1, 14.1, 13.4; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>5</sub>ClNa [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>: 365.1126, found: 365.1125; X-ray crystal structure is given in the supporting information; **58**: Mp: 130.5–131.5 °C;  $R_f$  = 0.31 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 2:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  = +31.8 ( $c$  0.57, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu}$  = 2959, 1755, 1724, 1440, 1377, 1255, 1218, 1178, 1078, 1019, 991, 934, 882, 776, 738 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 6.04–6.02 (m, 1H), 5.97 (s, 1H), 5.15 (d,  $J$  = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 4.33–4.18 (m, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.12 (dd,  $J$  = 14.4, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.46–2.37 (m, 2H), 2.18–2.09 (m, 1H), 1.97 (s, 3H), 1.90 (dd,  $J$  = 14.5, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.34 (t,  $J$  = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (d,  $J$  = 6.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 173.4, 169.0, 168.0, 142.8, 132.9, 97.6, 80.1, 71.2, 62.4, 57.6, 54.0, 52.1, 48.5, 39.3, 36.0, 21.1, 15.2, 14.1, 12.5; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>25</sub>O<sub>7</sub>ClNa [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>: 423.1181, found: 423.1188; X-ray crystal structure is given in the supporting information.

Conversion of acetyl-furan **58** to chloro-furan **57**: Acetyl-furan **58** (702 mg, 1.75 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (120 mL) and cooled to –78 °C. Triethylsilane (514 mg, 4.38 mmol, 2.5 eq.) and boron trifluoride etherate (621 mg, 4.38 mmol, 2.5 eq.) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred 1 h at –78 °C and then was allowed to warm up to rt over a period of 12 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and dist. water (80 mL) was slowly added. The mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 x 80 mL), washed with brine (50 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by flash column chromatography (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 4:1) to afford the chloro-furan **57** (525 mg, 1.53 mmol, 88%) as colorless solid.

*9-Ethyl 5a-methyl (1R,4R,5aR,6R,9S)-1,6-dimethyl-1,2,4,5,6,7-hexahydro-5aH-1,4-methanocyclopenta[d]oxepine-5a,9-dicarboxylate* (**59**): Chloro-furan **57** (483 mg,

1  
2  
3 1.41 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in dry benzene (35 mL). Sn(Bu)<sub>3</sub>H (487 mg, 1.62  
4 mmol, 1.15 eq.) and AIBN (23.9 mg, 0.14 mmol, 0.1 eq.) were added to the reaction  
5 mixture. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux and stirred for 2 h. The reaction was  
6 cooled to rt and then evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by  
7 flash column chromatography (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 3:1) to afford the hydro-furan **59** (411 mg,  
8 1.34 mmol, 95%) as colorless oil;  $R_f = 0.16$  (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 3:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +9.0$  ( $c$  0.78,  
9 CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat)  $\tilde{\nu} = 2955, 2880, 1725, 1450, 1378, 1337, 1196, 1155, 1049, 1023,$   
10  $989, 925, 664, 627$  cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta = 5.72$  (s, 1H), 4.56 (t,  $J = 5.2$   
11 Hz, 1H), 4.20–4.04 (m, 2H), 3.78 (d,  $J = 7.7$  Hz, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.46 (d,  $J = 7.7$  Hz,  
12 1H), 3.01 (dd,  $J = 14.2, 4.7$  Hz, 1H), 2.83 (dd,  $J = 5.9, 1.1$  Hz, 1H), 2.39–2.23 (m, 2H),  
13 2.13–2.03 (m, 1H), 1.71 (d,  $J = 14.5$  Hz, 1H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.23 (t,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 3H), 0.90  
14 (d,  $J = 6.6$  Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta = 174.3, 169.7, 145.8, 126.6, 77.4,$   
15  $76.7, 60.3, 58.3, 55.7, 51.7, 48.9, 44.8, 39.0, 37.7, 17.4, 15.2, 14.4$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$   
16 calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>25</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 309.1697, found: 309.1698.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30 *6-Ethyl 3a-methyl (3R,3aR,6S,7R)-5-acetoxy-7-(acetoxymethyl)-3,7-dimethyl-2,3,4,5,6,7-*  
31 *hexahydro-3aH-indene-3a,6-dicarboxylate (60) and*  
32 *6-Ethyl 3a-methyl (3R,3aS,7R)-7-(acetoxymethyl)-3,7-dimethyl-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-3aH-*  
33 *indene-3a,6-dicarboxylate (61):* Hydro-furan **59** (44.7 mg, 145  $\mu$ mol, 1.0 eq.) was  
34 dissolved in Ac<sub>2</sub>O (0.9 mL). The solution was cooled to –20 °C and boron trifluoride  
35 etherate (56.2  $\mu$ L, 435  $\mu$ mol, 3.0 eq.) was added. The reaction was allowed to warm up to  
36 rt over 12 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and dist. water (1.0 mL) was added  
37 dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min and warmed up to rt. The mixture  
38 was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 x 1.5 mL), washed with brine (1.5 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>,  
39 filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column  
40 chromatography (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 2:1) to afford the diacetylated compound **60** (35.4 mg, 87  
41  $\mu$ mol, 60%) as a yellow oil and the monoacetylated compound **61** (31.7 mg, 46  $\mu$ mol,  
42 32%) as colorless oil; **60**:  $R_f = 0.17$  (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 2:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +8.9$  ( $c$  0.34, CHCl<sub>3</sub>);  
43 FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu} = 3480, 2956, 1727, 1440, 1367, 1224, 1028, 908, 790, 664$  cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  
44 (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta = 5.83$  (s, 1H), 5.30–5.23 (m, 1H), 4.19–4.03 (m, 2H), 3.77 (d,  $J =$   
45  $11.3$  Hz, 1H), 3.70 (d,  $J = 11.4$  Hz, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.13 (d,  $J = 5.4$  Hz, 1H), 2.65 (dd,  $J$   
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 = 12.3, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.51–2.36 (m, 2H), 2.26–2.14 (m, 1H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 2.03–1.97 (m,  
4 1H), 1.99 (s, 3H), 1.23 (t,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 3H), 1.15 (s, 3H), 0.94 (d,  $J = 6.4$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$   
5 NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 174.3, 170.9, 170.8, 169.9, 143.3, 131.1, 68.8, 68.7, 60.3,$   
6  $59.5, 51.8, 49.5, 49.1, 40.5, 39.1, 34.5, 21.5, 21.1, 21.0, 14.5$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  
7  $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{30}\text{O}_8\text{Na}$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ : 433.1833, found: 433.1835; **61**:  $R_f = 0.32$  (pentane/ $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  2:1);  
8  $[\alpha]_D^{26} = +223.8$  ( $c$  0.14,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu} = 2957, 1725, 1454, 1372, 1320, 1222,$   
9  $1141, 1033, 793$   $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 6.22$  (d,  $J = 10.0$  Hz, 1H), 5.82 (s,  
10 1H), 5.58 (dd,  $J = 10.0, 5.1$  Hz, 1H), 4.14–4.01 (m, 2H), 3.92 (d,  $J = 10.9$  Hz, 1H), 3.74  
11 (d,  $J = 10.9$  Hz, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.15 (d,  $J = 5.1$  Hz, 1H), 2.48–2.29 (m, 3H), 2.04 (s,  
12 3H), 1.22 (t,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 3H), 1.19 (s, 3H), 1.03 (d,  $J = 6.3$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz,  
13  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 173.1, 171.3, 170.9, 143.3, 132.3, 129.8, 122.1, 68.3, 60.8, 59.3, 51.8, 50.2,$   
14  $47.9, 39.7, 38.8, 21.0, 19.4, 14.4, 14.3$ . HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{27}\text{O}_6$   $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ :  
15 351.1802, found: 351.1800.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28 *6-Ethyl 3a-methyl (3R,3aR,6S,7R)-5-hydroxy-7-(hydroxymethyl)-3,7-dimethyl-*  
29 *2,3,4,5,6,7-hexahydro-3aH-indene-3a,6-dicarboxylate (62)*: The diacetyled product **60**  
30 (38.7 mg, 94.3  $\mu\text{mol}$ , 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in dry MeOH (1.5 mL),  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  (53.2 mg,  
31 0.38 mmol, 4.0 eq.) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 16 h. The  
32 reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (5.0 mL), aq. HCl (1 M, 2.0 mL) was added and  
33 the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 2.0 mL). The combined organic layers were  
34 washed with brine (1.5 mL), dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered and the solvent was removed  
35 under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography  
36 (pentane/EtOAc 1:1) to afford the diol **62** (30.1 mg, 92  $\mu\text{mol}$ , 98%) as colorless sticky  
37 oil;  $R_f = 0.45$  (pentane/EtOAc 1:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -1.9$  ( $c$  0.25,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu} = 3448,$   
38  $2954, 1721, 1448, 1178, 1027, 885, 780, 710, 660$   $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta =$   
39  $5.86$  (s, 1H), 4.20–4.04 (m, 3H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.20 (d,  $J = 11.3$  Hz, 1H), 3.07 (d,  $J = 11.3$   
40 Hz, 1H), 2.70–2.60 (m, 2H), 2.51–2.40 (m, 2H), 2.22–1.88 (m, 4H), 1.24 (t,  $J = 7.1$  Hz,  
41 3H), 1.17 (s, 3H), 0.96 (d,  $J = 6.7$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 175.4,$   
42  $171.5, 142.5, 132.8, 66.6, 66.3, 60.4, 59.9, 54.3, 52.2, 48.6, 43.0, 39.0, 37.8, 21.4, 14.7,$   
43  $14.4$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{26}\text{O}_6\text{Na}$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ : 349.1622, found: 349.1626.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

*6-Ethyl 3a-methyl (3R,3aR,7S)-7-formyl-5-hydroxy-3,7-dimethyl-2,3,4,7-tetrahydro-3aH-indene-3a,6-dicarboxylate (63)*: To a flask charged with flame dried molecular sieves (4 Å, 120 mg) was added a solution of the diol **62** (58.1 mg, 178 μmol, 1.0 eq.) in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5.0 mL). NMO (56.0 mg, 0.46 mmol, 2.6 eq.) was added at rt and the reaction mixture was stirred for 20 min. TPAP (12.9 mg, 35 μmol, 0.2 eq.) was added and the solution was stirred at rt for 24 h, while the color turned from green to dark blue. The reaction mixture was filtered over celite and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 3:1) to yield the enol **63** (39.0 mg, 0.12 mmol, 68%) as colorless oil; *R<sub>f</sub>* = 0.39 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 3:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -124.9$  (*c* 0.61, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu} = 2960, 2934, 2848, 1728, 1642, 1607, 1458, 1402, 1297, 1228, 1076, 863, 732, 631$  cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta = 12.94$  (s, 1H), 9.13 (s, 1H), 5.98 (s, 1H), 4.27–4.12 (m, 2H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 3.25 (d, *J* = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (ddd, *J* = 15.3, 7.3, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 2.37–2.19 (m, 2H), 2.16 (d, *J* = 16.3 Hz, 1H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.23 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.02 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta = 197.3, 175.8, 172.8, 171.5, 141.3, 133.3, 99.0, 61.1, 60.2, 51.9, 50.8, 46.4, 39.8, 38.9, 22.7, 15.0, 14.1$ ; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>6</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 323.1489, found: 323.1490.

*6-Ethyl 3a-methyl (3R,3aR,7S)-7-formyl-6-hydroxy-3,7-dimethyl-5-oxo-2,3,4,5,6,7-hexahydro-3aH-indene-3a,6-dicarboxylate (64)*: The enol **63** (89.0 mg, 276 μmol, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in dry *i*-PrOH (5 mL), then degassed (freeze-thaw method, 2 cycles) and refilled with oxygen. CeCl<sub>3</sub> · 7 H<sub>2</sub>O (105 mg, 276 μmol, 1.0 eq.) was added at rt and oxygen was bubbled through the colorless solution for 15 min. The solution was stirred under oxygen atmosphere at rt for 20 h. Dist. water (5 mL) was added, the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 5 mL), the combined organic layers were washed with brine (3 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by flash column chromatography (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 2:1) to afford the  $\alpha$ -hydroxylated product **64** (40 mg, 119 μmol, 43%) as colorless oil; *R<sub>f</sub>* = 0.37 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 1:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -68.8$  (*c* 0.53, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu} = 3454, 2959, 1724, 1453, 1373, 1217, 1162, 1099, 1001, 916, 859, 732, 653$  cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta = 9.40$  (s, 1H), 6.11 (s, 1H), 4.31 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.91 (s, 1H), 3.64 (s, 3H),

3.51 (d,  $J = 14.7$  Hz, 1H), 2.84 (d,  $J = 14.7$  Hz, 1H), 2.59–2.45 (m, 2H), 2.32–2.20 (m, 1H), 1.40 (s, 3H), 1.28 (t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 3H), 0.98 (d,  $J = 6.7$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 202.8, 197.3, 172.5, 170.4, 138.8, 135.1, 82.9, 63.8, 61.1, 58.7, 52.2, 50.6, 44.4, 39.3, 14.6, 14.5, 14.1$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{23}\text{O}_7$   $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ : 339.1438, found: 339.1441.

(3*a*R,4*R*,6*a*R,7*R*,9*b*R)-3*a*-Hydroxy-7,9*b*-dimethyl-1,3*a*,4,7,8,9*b*-hexahydro-3*H*,6*H*-4,6*a*-methanocyclopenta[*c*]furo[3,4-*e*]oxepine-3,6-dione (**65**): The  $\alpha$ -hydroxylated ketone **64** (21.3 mg, 63.0  $\mu\text{mol}$ , 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in dry MeOH (1.0 mL) and cooled to 0 °C.  $\text{NaBH}_4$  (11.9 mg, 315  $\mu\text{mol}$ , 5.0 eq.) was added in small portions and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to rt over 11 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and dist. water (1.5 mL) was added. The aq. layer was extracted with EtOAc (4 x 1.5 mL), dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford the crude diol as colorless solid. The crude diol (21 mg, 70.9  $\mu\text{mol}$ , 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in dry toluene (1.0 mL) and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (2.7 mg, 14.2  $\mu\text{mol}$ , 0.2 eq.) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 77 °C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (3 mL) and washed with aq. sat.  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  solution (2.0 mL). The aq. layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 1.5 mL), the combined organic layers were washed with brine (1.5 mL), dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (pentane/EtOAc 3:1) to afford the dilactone **65** (4.4 mg, 17  $\mu\text{mol}$ , 27%, over two steps) as white solid; Mp: 129.5–130.2 °C;  $R_f = 0.53$  (pentane/EtOAc 2:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +75.0$  ( $c$  0.47,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); FTIR (neat):  $\tilde{\nu} = 3448, 2921, 2851, 1782, 1753, 1457, 1376, 1326, 1221, 1185, 1113, 1016, 1000, 938, 818, 732, 639$   $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 5.87$  (s, 1H), 4.63 (d,  $J = 5.9$  Hz, 1H), 3.98 (q,  $J = 9.5$  Hz, 2H), 2.58 (ddd,  $J = 15.6, 8.1, 2.9$  Hz, 1H), 2.48 (dd,  $J = 11.9, 5.9$  Hz, 1H), 2.44–2.37 (m, 1H), 2.32 (ddd,  $J = 15.5, 9.7, 1.9$  Hz, 1H), 2.21 (d,  $J = 12.1$  Hz, 1H), 1.36 (s, 3H), 1.32 (d,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 177.7, 174.9, 140.6, 129.8, 78.9, 78.0, 74.4, 56.6, 43.3, 39.2, 37.0, 35.0, 21.4, 13.3$ ; HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_5\text{Na}$   $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ : 287.0890, found: 287.0894.

## Supporting Information

1  
2  
3 Reaction conditions for the oxidation of compound **33**.  $^1\text{H}$ - and  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR spectra. X-Ray  
4  
5  
6 crystal structure analysis data.  
7  
8  
9

## 10 Acknowledgments

11  
12 We thank Dr. Heinz Nadig for HRMS measurements and acknowledge the Swiss  
13  
14 National Science Foundation for financial support (200020\_163151 and  
15  
16 200021\_144028).  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

## 24 References

- 25  
26  
27  
28  
29 (1) (a) Xu, J.; Lacoske, M. H.; Theodorakis, E. A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 956.  
30 (b) Akagi, M.; Matsui, N.; Akae, H.; Hirashima, N.; Fukuishi, N.; Fukuyama, Y.; Akagi,  
31 R. *Journal of Pharmacological Sciences* **2015**, *127*, 155.  
32  
33 (2) (a) Birman, V. B.; Danishefsky, S. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 2080. (b) Ding,  
34 R.; Sun, B.-F.; Lin, G.-Q. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 4446. (c) Koshiha, T.; Yokoshima, S.;  
35 Fukuyama, T. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 5354. (d) Watanabe, H.; Takano, M.; Umino, A.; Ito,  
36 T.; Ishikawa, H.; Nakada, M. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 359. (e) Ogura, A.; Yamada, K.;  
37 Yokoshima, S.; Fukuyama, T. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 1632. (f) Elamparuthi, E.; Fellay, C.;  
38 Neuburger, M.; Gademann, K. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 4071. (g) Burch, P.;  
39 Binaghi, M.; Scherer, M.; Wetzell, C.; Bossert, D.; Eberhardt, L.; Neuburger, M.;  
40 Scheiffle, P.; Gademann, K. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 2589.  
41  
42 (3) (a) Yang, C.-S.; Kuono, I.; Kawano, N.; Sato, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 1165. (b)  
43 Fukuyama, Y.; Huang, J.-M., *Studies in Natural Products Chemistry*; A. Rahman, Ed.;  
44 Elsevier: New York, **2005**, Vol. 32, p 395.  
45  
46 (4) (a) Carcache, D. A.; Cho, Y. S.; Hua, Z.; Tian, Y.; Li, Y.-M.; Danishefsky, S. J. *J.*  
47 *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 1016. (b) Trzoss, L.; Xu, J.; Lacoske, M. H.; Mobley, W. C.;  
48 Theodorakis, E. A. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 6398.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5 (5) Yokoyama, R.; Huang, J.-M.; Yang, C.-S.; Fukuyama, Y. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2002**, *65*, 527.  
6  
7 (6) Kubo, M.; Okada, C.; Huang, J.-M.; Harada, K.; Hioki, H.; Fukuyama, Y. *Org. Lett.*  
8 **2009**, *11*, 5190.  
9  
10 (7) Kubo, M.; Kobayashi, K.; Huang, J.-M.; Harada, K.; Fukuyama, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.*  
11 **2012**, *53*, 1231.  
12  
13 (8) Shoji, M.; Nishioka, M.; Minato, H.; Harada, K.; Kubo, M.; Fukuyama, Y.; Kuzuhara,  
14 T. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2016**, *470*, 798.  
15  
16 (9) Shenvi, R. A. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2016**, *33*, 535.  
17  
18 (10) (a) Cho, Y. S.; Carache, D. A.; Tian, Y.; Li, Y.-M.; Danishefsky, S. J. *J. Am. Chem.*  
19 *Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 14358. (b) Yang, Y.; Fu, X.; Chen, J.; Zhai, H. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*  
20 **2012**, *51*, 9825. (c) Trzoss, L.; Xu, J.; Lacoske, M. H.; Mobley, W.C.; Theodorakis, E. A.  
21 *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 4554. (d) Chen, X.; Micalizio, G. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*,  
22 1150. (e) Harada, K.; Imai, A.; Uto, K.; Carter, R. G.; Kubo, M.; Hioli, H.; Fukuyama, Y.  
23 *Tetrahedron* **2015**, *71*, 2199.  
24  
25 (11) (a) Xu, J.; Trzoss, L.; Chang, W. K.; Theodorakis, E. A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*  
26 **2011**, *50*, 3672. (b) Siler, D. A.; Mighion, J. D.; Sorensen, E. J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*  
27 **2014**, *53*, 5332. (c) Paterson, I.; Xuan, M.; Dalby, S. M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*,  
28 7286. (d) Shen, Y.; Li, L.; Pan, Z.; Wang, Y.; Li, J.; Wang, K.; Wang, X.; Zhang, Y. Hu,  
29 T.; Zhang, Y. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 5480.  
30  
31 (12) Lu, H.-H.; Martinez, M. D.; Shenvi, R. A. *Nature Chemistry* **2015**, *7*, 604.  
32  
33 (13) Gomes, J. *Synthesis of Majucin-Type Sesquiterpenes and Immobilization and*  
34 *Visualization of Quorum Sensing Signaling Molecules*, PhD-Thesis, University of Basel,  
35 **2014**. Doi: 10.5451/unibas-006288268, published Sept. 3, 2014.  
36  
37 (14) (a) Wolinsky, J.; Chan, D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1965**, *30*, 41. (b) Marx, J. N.; Norman,  
38 L.R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1975**, *40*, 1602. (c) Coates, R. M.; Vettel, P. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1980**,  
39 *45*, 5430.  
40  
41 (15) (a) Miller, J. J.; Sigman, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 2752. (b) Knochel, P.;  
42 Rao, C. J. *Tetrahedron* **1993**, *49*, 29. (c) Angell, R.; Parsons, P. J.; Naylor, A. *Synlett*  
43 **1993**, 189. (d) Suzuki, K.; Takayama, H. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 4605. (e) Takai, E.; Kimura,  
44 K.; Kuroda, T.; Hiyama, T.; Nozaki, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983**, *24*, 5281.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5 (16) Janini, T. E. Sampson, P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 5069.  
6  
7 (17) Niwa, H.; Nisiwaki, M.; Tsukada, I.; Ishigaki, T.; Ito, S.; Wakamatsu, K.; Mori, T.;  
8 Ikagawa, M.; Yamada, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 9001.  
9  
10 (18) Ramachary, D. P.; Kishor, M. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2008**, *6*, 4176.  
11  
12 (19) Nicolaou, K. C.; Tang, Y.; Wang, J.; Stepan, A. F.; Li, A.; Montero, A. *J. Am.*  
13 *Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 14850.  
14  
15 (20) (a) Alexakis, A.; Berlan, J.; Besace, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 1047. (b)  
16 Lipshutz, B. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983**, *24*, 127.  
17  
18 (21) Roberts, R. A.; Schüll, V.; Paquette, L. A., *J. Org. Chem.* **1983**, *48*, 2076.  
19  
20 (22) (a) Furukawa, J.; Kawabata, R.; Nishimura, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1966**, *28*, 3353. (b)  
21 Simmons, H. E.; Smith, R. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1959**, *81*, 4256.  
22  
23 (23) Lebel, H.; Marcoux, J.-F.; Molinaro, C.; Charette, A. B., *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 977.  
24  
25 (24) Gurjar, M. K.; Cherian, J.; Ramana, C. V. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 317.  
26  
27 (25) Pettigrew, J. D.; Paquette, L. A. *Heterocycles* **2010**, *80*, 99.  
28  
29 (26) Lightner, D. A.; Gurst, J. E. *Organic Conformational Analysis and Stereochemistry*  
30 *from Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy*; Wiley-VCH: New York, **2000**, 173.  
31  
32 (27) Kuroiwa, Y.; Matsumura, S.; Toshima, K, *Synlett* **2008**, *16*, 2523.  
33  
34 (28) Dowd, P.; Zhang, W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 2095.  
35  
36 (29) (a) Girard, P.; Namy, J. L.; Kagan, H. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 2693. (b)  
37 Molander, G. A.; McKie, J. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 7216.  
38  
39 (30) Brockson, T. J.; Coelho, F.; Deprés, J.-P.; Greene, A. E.; Freire de Lima, M. E.;  
40 Hamelin, O.; Hartmann, B.; Kanazawa, A. M.; Wang, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*,  
41 15313.  
42  
43 (31) see supporting information for detailed conditions.  
44  
45 (32) Premraj, R.; McLeod, M. D.; Simpson, G. W.; Banwell, M. G. *Heterocycles* **2012**,  
46 85, 2949.  
47  
48 (33) FIRMENICH SA; BIRKBECK, Anthony A. Patent: WO2013/1027 A1, **2013**.  
49  
50 (34) (a) Itoh, A.; Ozawa, S.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1980**, *21*, 361. (b)  
51 Itoh, A.; Ozawa, S.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1981**, *54*, 274.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5 (35) (a) Danishefsky, J. D.; Armistead, D. M.; Wincott, F. E.; Selnick, H. G.; Hungate, R.  
6 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 2967. (b) Danishefsky, J. D.; Armistead, D. M.  
7 *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 4959.  
8  
9  
10 (36) (a) Hosomi, A.; Sakurai, H. *Tetrahedron Letters* **1976**, *17*, 1295. (b) Hosomi, A.;  
11 Sakurai, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1976**, *17*, 1295.  
12  
13 (37) The stereogenic center at C-4 could not be assigned using NMR-techniques, see  
14 supporting informations for structural proposal  
15  
16  
17 (38) Daepfen, C.; Kaiser, M.; Neuburger, M.; Gademann, K. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 5420.  
18  
19 (39) (a) Holmquist, C. R.; Roskmap, E. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 3258. (b) Holmquist,  
20 C. R.; Roskmap, E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 1131.  
21  
22 (40) Cainelli, G.; Mihailovic, M. Lj.; Arigoni, D.; Jeger, O. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1959**, *42*,  
23 1124.  
24  
25  
26 (41) Kalvoda, J.; Heusler, K. *Synthesis* **1971**, 501.  
27  
28 (42) Beszant, S.; Giannini, E.; Zanoni, G.; Vidari, G. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2002**, *13*,  
29 1245.  
30  
31 (43) Desroches, C.; Lopes, C.; Kessler, V.; Parola, S. *Dalton Trans.* **2003**, 2085.  
32  
33 (44) (a) Swallen, L. C.; Boord, C. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1930**, *52*, 651. (b) Numazawa,  
34 M.; Yamada, K. *Steroids* **1999**, *64*, 320.  
35  
36 (45) Shoppee, C. W.; Coll, J. C.; Lack, R. E. *J. Chem. Soc. C* **1970**, 1893.  
37  
38 (46) Christoffers, J.; Werner, T. *Synlett* **2002**, *1*, 119.  
39  
40 (47) Szostak, M.; Spain, M.; Procter, D. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 3049.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60