Morphology Change of $\text{C}_6\text{O}$ Islands on Organic Crystals Observed by Atomic Force Microscopy
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Abstract

Organic-organic heterojunctions are nowadays highly regarded materials for light-emitting diodes, field-effect transistors, and photovoltaic cells with the prospect of designing low-cost, flexible, and efficient electronic devices.1–3 However, the key parameter of optimized heterojunctions relies on the choice of the molecular compounds as well as on the morphology of the organic-organic interface4 which thus requires fundamental studies. In this work, we investigated the deposition of $\text{C}_6\text{O}$ molecules at room temperature on an organic layer compound, the salt bis(benzylammonium)bis(oxalato)cupurate(II), by means of non-contact atomic force microscopy (nc-AFM). Three-dimensional molecular islands of $\text{C}_6\text{O}$ having either triangular or hexagonal shapes are formed on the substrate following a "Volmer-Weber" type of growth. We demonstrate the dynamical reshaping of those $\text{C}_6\text{O}$ nano-structures under the local action of the AFM tip at room temperature. The dissipated energy is about 75 meV and can be interpreted as the activation energy required for this migration process.
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Introduction

Within the past years, a large panel of organic/inorganic interfaces has been investigated by means of scanning probe microscopy (SPM), such as organic molecules adsorbed on metals$^{5-7}$ semiconductors$^{8-11}$ and insulators$^{12-14}$ However, fundamental studies of organic-organic interfaces are still scarce despite of their great interest for molecular electronic applications$^{15}$

Transition-metal dicarboxylate complexes enable the formation of two-dimensional anionic layers and are potential candidates as supramolecular building blocks to design organic layered structures$^{16-19}$ The versatility of such materials further offers the possibility to design surfaces with variable chemical end-groups and molecular orientations having thus tunable chemical or mechanical properties. In the salt crystal of bis(benzylammonium)bis(oxalato)-cupurate(II) (BNL), copper oxalate anions [Cu(C$_2$O$_4$)$_2$]$^{2-}$ (Figure 1a) aggregate into planar anionic sheets extended along the [100] and [010] crystallographic directions which are separated by organic benzylammonium cations (C$_6$H$_5$CH$_2$NH$_3$)$^+$ (Figure 1b)$^{19}$ Through hydrogen-ionic bonding between the ammonium groups of the cations and the oxygen atoms of the anions, benzylammonium decorates both sides of the copper oxalate network in a stereoregular way with the benzyl groups in an upright orientation, and slightly tilted to the surface normal leading to an organic and anisotropic surface (Figure 1c). Besides its interesting surface properties, the BNL crystal is known to display intra-layer antiferromagnetic interactions$^{19}$ as well as electron-donating properties characterized by a low ionization potential. However, local investigations of this surface remain challenging due to its insulating nature and thus require the use of atomic force microscopy (AFM). So far, Fessler et al. reported molecular-scale friction properties measured by means of friction force microscopy (FFM)$^{20}$
Contrarily to the BNL crystal, the fullerene $C_{60}$ has been widely studied in SPM under UHV conditions since its discovery by Kroto et al. in 1985. At low temperature, $C_{60}$ molecules were characterized with several SPM techniques on various surfaces and submolecular contrast have been obtained by scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM) and non-contact atomic force microscopy (nc-AFM). At room temperature (RT), two dimensional $C_{60}$ layers have been observed on metals and semiconductors while large three dimensional molecular islands or clusters have been revealed on ionic crystals. Additionally, the controlled manipulation of $C_{60}$ molecules has also been demonstrated through the action of a SPM tip as single molecules or as entire islands.

In the present work, we characterize the adsorption of the $C_{60}$ molecules on the organic layer.

Figure 1: The Molecular Structure of The BNL Organic Crystal. a, The $[\text{Cu(C}_2\text{O}_4)_2]^{2-}$ complex extends in a two-dimensional structure which is sandwiched between the organic benzylammonium cations (b). c, Cross section of the BNL compound. d, Two $C_{60}$ molecules adsorbed on the BNL surface. Here the top view of the (001) crystal surface is shown with alternating molecular orientations of the cation. The molecules are rotated by $\theta \simeq \pm 48^\circ$ with respect to the [100] direction. The lattice parameters are $a = 0.71$ nm, $b = 0.80$ nm and $c = 0.53$ nm. The van der Walls diameter of one $C_{60}$ molecule is $\sim 1$ nm and is close to $2c$.

The van der Waals radius of the $C_{60}$ corresponds to the distance between two benzylammonium rows with opposite molecular orientations (Figure 1d). Due to the high electron affinity of the $C_{60}$, it is considered to be an interesting candidate to build an organic-organic interface on the electron-donor BNL surface.
Results and Discussion

Figure 2: Topographic nc-AFM Images of The BNL Surface. a, Topographic image of the BNL crystal presenting a flat surface covered with defects. b, High-resolution topographic image showing several types of defects. c, High-resolution topographic image of a defect-free area. d, Profile acquired along the light blue line visible in c. Two different molecular orientations are visible and the spacing between the molecules corresponds to the expected lattice parameter of BNL. Scan parameters: (a) $A_{f_1} = 4$ nm, $\Delta f_1 = -9$ Hz; (b) $A_{f_1} = 2$ nm, $\Delta f_1 = -8$ Hz; (c) $A_{f_1} = 5$ nm, $\Delta f_1 = -75$ Hz.

Atomic-Resolution on The BNL Organic Crystal. Figure 2 shows nc-AFM images of the BNL at the atomic scale. The surface of the crystal is atomically flat over several hundreds of nanometers. The large scale topographic image Figure 2a presents a terrace of $400 \times 400$ nm$^2$ without step edges. The crystal is covered with low-height or shallow defects ($\Delta Z = 250$ pm) with an average roughness of $R_a = 35.8$ pm.

These defects are highlighted in the zoom in topographic image on the BNL substrate (Figure 2b) obtained with molecular resolution. Two types of defects are indicated in Figure 2b with black and white arrows. The white arrow shows a star-like vacancy (type 1) due to two missing molecules. The black arrows indicate other types of defects which are either stretched (type 2) or buckled (type 3), which we think result from sub-surface defects such as misoriented molecules in lower layers.
Figure 2c displays a highly resolved nc-AFM image of a defect-free area of the BNL surface. The distance between two molecules is approximatively 0.50 nm and coincides with the expected value of the BNL lattice parameters \( c \simeq 0.53 \) nm in Figure 1d). Similar to previous friction measurements\(^{20}\), the image reveals two different molecular orientations at the surface corresponding to the alternating height difference of the molecular rows as shown in the profile (Figure 2d) measured along the light blue line in Figure 2c. The alternating shape of the corrugations between the rows (shown in orange and green) corresponds to two different alternating molecular orientations.

**C\(_{60}\) Adsorbed on BNL.** Upon C\(_{60}\) deposition, molecules form molecular islands on BNL at RT, as shown on the large scale topographic image (Figure 3a). Isolated molecules or smaller clusters were never observed, neither at lower coverage rate nor on small defects or step edges. Furthermore, we noticed that the defects observed on the BNL surface, seem not to act as preferentially adsorption sites for the C\(_{60}\) molecules. Indeed, the average distance between the island is not the same than the average distance between the defects.

The average distance between islands is approximatively 45 nm. This relatively large distance suggests that the molecules easily diffuse on the BNL substrate, implying that they are rather weakly coupled to the surface. This behaviour is similar to C\(_{60}\) adsorbed on ionic crystals\(^{34–39,44}\). However, in contrast to C\(_{60}/\)ionic crystals, C\(_{60}\) islands on BNL extend rather in height than in width (approximately 10 times narrower than on ionic crystals). Therefore, the growth is governed by a "Volmer-Weber-like" growth mode and suggests a weaker molecule/surface interaction on BNL than on bulk insulators.

Two different shapes of the C\(_{60}\) island are observed which, in both cases, present 6 edges. In the first configuration, the island has almost equal edges whereas the second configuration presents three long and three short ones. For clarity purposes, we will refer in the following work to them as "hexagonal" and "triangular" islands, respectively. The population ratio of triangular versus hexagonal islands is close to 1:1 and is stable against ageing (Figure 3a). Indeed, we observed other areas of the same sample several weeks after deposition, always re-
Figure 3: **High Resolution Imaging Of a C\textsubscript{60} Island.** (a,) Topographic image of the C\textsubscript{60}
islands on the BNL substrate. (b,) Triangular island formed of three monolayers and (c,) is a topographic image of the upper layer of the C\textsubscript{60} islands shown in (b). The fast Fourier transform (FFT) (d) was calculated on (c) and highlights an hexagonal packing of the C\textsubscript{60} molecules. The profile (f) was extracted along the blue line in (e) and confirms the values of the surface lattice parameters measured on the FFT: \( a \simeq b \simeq c \simeq 1 \text{ nm} \). Scan parameters: (a-e) \( A_{f_1} = 2 \text{ nm}, \Delta f_1 = -30 \text{ Hz} \).

vealing similar proportion. Both configurations are thus stable at RT and the energy needed
to turn one shape into the other is not accessible by this temperature.

Figure 3b shows a triangular-shaped island which consists of three C\textsubscript{60} layers (total height is 3 nm). The contrast of this image was further enhanced to observe the upper layer with molecular resolution (Figure 3c) and the darker spots correspond to C\textsubscript{60} vacancies. The fast fourier transform (FFT) (Figure 3d) extracted from the image Figure 3c shows the lattice parameter of the C\textsubscript{60} hexagonal packing. The profile of Figure 3f highlights the distance between the molecules which corresponds to the lattice parameter expected for bulk C\textsubscript{60} \( (a \simeq b \simeq 1 \text{ nm}) \). Since the top layer of the island was unambiguously observed with molecular resolution, we extracted the density of C\textsubscript{60} to \( \sim 1.4 \text{ molecules/nm}^2 \).\textsuperscript{46} Knowing the island height, we further calculated the number of molecules per island depending on its size and shape. For the triangular island represented in Figure 3(b), this number was estimated to \( \sim 1285 \text{ molecules} \).

**Tip-Induced Manipulations.** Island shape modification is a phenomenon which was
already reported in previous works.\textsuperscript{37–39,47–49} The process is due to the tendency of molecules to aggregate in 2D or 3D fashion in order to optimize the surface energy, which is a subtle balance between molecule/surface and molecule/molecule interactions. Shape modifications can be triggered by thermodynamic effects such as temperature changes\textsuperscript{48} or by the local action of a SPM tip continuously scanning the island.\textsuperscript{49}

Figure 4 shows such tip-induced modification of the C\textsubscript{60} island morphology. During the process, a triangular island (Figs. 4a) was turned into a hexagonal island (Figure 4b). The shape modification process was initiated at close tip-sample distance by decreasing the scan setpoint of approximatively 65\% compared to standard conditions employed in Figs. 4a and b. These large scale images were indeed recorded with larger tip-sample separations (typical $\Delta f_1 \approx -30$ Hz) to ensure stable imaging conditions whereas all the images in Figs. 4d-h were recorded at lower setpoints varying from $-60$ Hz $\leq \Delta f_1 \leq -47$ Hz. The whole sequence of images was acquired in the blue area marked in Figs. 4a and b and reveals the change of the island morphology. Figure 4f particularly points out the building up of a new monolayer above the already existing ones. We observe that the C\textsubscript{60} molecules travelled from the edges of the island to its top to form this fourth monolayer. The profile of the shape evolution, displayed on Figure 4c, confirms the formation of a new C\textsubscript{60} monolayer since the height of the island increased from 3 to 4 nm (\textit{i.e.} from three to four layers). Figures 4a and b were recorded before and after the island underwent its shape modification process, respectively. The comparison of these two pictures indicates that the shape evolution is tip-induced because only the island of the blue area clearly modified its shape. Other significant shape changes were not observed for neighbouring islands during this time.

Thanks to high resolution imaging, the number of the molecules which composes the C\textsubscript{60} island is estimated from Figs. 4d and f to $\sim 1362$ molecules for the triangular island (Figure 4d) and $\sim 1386$ molecules for the hexagonal (Figure 4f). This corresponds to a difference of 24 molecules, \textit{i.e.} an error of $\sim 1.7\%$, and corroborates the assumption that molecules forming the fourth layer of the hexagonal island are moved from the edges of
the triangular island to its top. The contact area of the island, which corresponds to the area of the first layer obtained from AFM measurements, varies from $\sim 410 \text{ nm}^2$ for the triangle to $\sim 356 \text{ nm}^2$ for the hexagon, \textit{i.e.} a reduction of $\sim 14\%$. This confirms that the molecule/molecule interaction is favoured whereas the molecule/substrate interaction is minimized upon shape modification, which is in agreement with the "Volmer-Weber" type of growth. Assuming that the interaction energy between two C$_{60}$ molecules is $E_i \sim 130 \text{ meV}$ and neglecting their interactions with the BNL substrate, we estimate the cohesion energy ($E_C$) of the island before and after it undergoes the shape-modification process by summing the interactions energies of all C$_{60}$ with their nearest neighbours in or out of plane. The cohesion energies of the triangular and hexagonal islands are estimated to 484 meV/molecule and 495 meV/molecule, respectively. Thus, the triangular island is lower in energy ($\sim 11$ meV/molecules) than the hexagonal island implying that the first configuration is less stable than the second ($\sim 2.25\%$).

To support the experimental data, we considered two perfect island shapes, which consist of a three monolayer-high triangular island with truncated vertexes and a four monolayer-high hexagonal island (Figure 5b and d). The triangle and the hexagon are composed of 1387 and 1388 molecules, respectively, which correspond to an error of $\sim 0.07\%$. The contact areas of the two islands were estimated to $\sim 436 \text{ nm}^2$ for the triangular island and $\sim 380 \text{ nm}^2$ for the hexagonal island, which is in agreement with the experimental data. In this ideal case, the contact area decreases by $\sim 13\%$ during the shape modification process. The cohesion energies are also estimated to 491 meV/molecule and 506 meV/molecule for the ideal triangular- and the hexagonal-shaped island, respectively; \textit{i.e.} a difference of 15 meV/molecules. Table 1 resumes these values extracted from the experiments and this model.

Based on this observation, we conclude that the hexagonal shape is definitely more stable than the triangular configuration since it favours molecule/molecule interactions. Importantly, the tip-induced shape modification experiment was performed eleven days after
Figure 4: **Tip-Induced Shape Modification of a C_{60} Island.** a-b, are topographic images recorded before and after the tip-induced shape modification process, respectively, $\Delta f_1 = -31$ Hz. c, Profiles of the C_{60} island acquired while modifying its shape from triangular to hexagonal. d-h, Sequence showing the shape modification process. The tip was continuously scanned over the island but the tip/sample distance was intentionally varied by changing $\Delta f_1$ to scan the island. Slow scan directions are given by the white arrows. Scan parameters: $A_{f_1} = 2$ nm; (a-b) $\Delta f_1 = -31$ Hz; (d) $\Delta f_1 = -46$ Hz; (e) $\Delta f_1 = -51$ Hz; (f) $\Delta f_1 = -60$ Hz; (g) $\Delta f_1 = -55$ Hz; (h) $\Delta f_1 = -55$ Hz.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shape</th>
<th>Number of molecules/island</th>
<th>Contact area $[nm^2]$</th>
<th>Cohesion energy $[meV/molecule]$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\triangle$</td>
<td>Exp. 1362</td>
<td>Model 1387</td>
<td>Exp. 410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\circ$</td>
<td>Exp. 1386</td>
<td>Model 1388</td>
<td>Exp. 352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>\triangle - \circ</td>
<td></td>
<td>Exp. 24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the C_{60} molecules were evaporated on the BNL. This time interval is normally long enough for the islands to undergo thermally induced morphology changes. Since both structures are still present after such time (Figure 4a), we assume that the transition from triangular to hexagonal phases requires to overcome an energy barrier which is higher than the energy accessible at RT. Assuming an Arhenius-like energy pathway as depicted in Figure 5e, the local perturbation of the AFM tip allows the activation of the transition between triangle and hexagon. In order to estimate such activation energy, we extracted the dissipated energy by the cantilever during the morphological evolution of the molecular island presented.
in Figure 4 with the formula:

\[ E_A \approx E_0 \left( \frac{A_{\text{exc}}}{A_{\text{exc},0}} - 1 \right) \]  

(1)

where \( E_0 = \pi k A^2 / Q \) is the intrinsic loss energy, \( A_{\text{exc}} \) is the drive amplitude to keep a certain oscillation amplitude and \( A_{\text{exc},0} \) is the drive amplitude far from the surface.\(^{51,52}\) The values for \( A_{\text{exc}} \) were extracted from the dissipation images obtained experimentally. In order to do so, we first identified, in the topographic images, the areas where we noticed a clear modification of the island morphology (which is characterized by curved edges for instance). We then extracted about 60 drive amplitudes per images and averaged them to obtain a value for \( A_{\text{exc}} \). \( A_{\text{exc}} \approx 4.65 \text{ mV} \) with a deviation of \( \sigma \approx 1 \text{ mV} \). The dissipated energy is thus calculated to be \( \sim 75 \text{ meV} \) per oscillation cycle during the change of morphology. This energy \( E_A \) is slightly higher than the excitation energy accessible at RT \( (kT \approx 30 \text{ meV}) \), which supports the fact that the transition from triangle to hexagonal phases cannot occur spontaneously at RT. Note also that the diffusion of the C\(_{60}\) on the BNL surface is possible at RT according to the AFM data since a spontaneous formation of two metastable island configurations is observed on BNL (diffusion energy: \( E_d(C_{60}/\text{BNL}) < kT \)). Additionally, we think that \( E_A \), which is the activation energy required to reshape the hexagonal island, can be considered as corresponding to two effects: the crossing of the Ehrlich-Schwoebel barrier \( (E_{ES}; \text{which is the energy required for a C}_{60} \text{ to cross the potential well at the step edge})^{53,54}\) and the diffusion of the C\(_{60}\) on the island \( (E_d(C_{60}/C_{60})) \) (Figure 5(f)). Recently, these values have been reported by combining numerical simulation and real-time X-ray scattering\(^{50}\) and are in good agreement with our experiments.
Conclusion

We presented herein high-resolution topographic images using nc-AFM at room temperature of C\textsubscript{60} molecules adsorbed on the surface of the BNL crystal. The surface structure of BNL was resolved with molecular precision and the two expected molecular orientations of the benzylammonium structure are observed at the crystal surface. Large scale images show that few defects are also present at this surface. Upon C\textsubscript{60} deposition, molecules form islands at room temperature on the BNL substrate having either hexagonal or triangular shapes. We demonstrated the capability to locally induce a change of the island morphology via the action of the AFM tip. A simple model suggests that the triangular domains are slightly less energetically favorable than the hexagonal ones. The reshaping process is obtained by dissipating energy $(\sim 75$ meV) from the cantilever to the islands which corresponds to the Ehrlich-Schwoebel barrier of C\textsubscript{60} layers as well as the diffusion energy of C\textsubscript{60} on C\textsubscript{60}. 

Figure 5: **Model For Triangular- And Hexagonal-Shaped Islands.** a-c, Derivative of topography AFM images recorded on the same island before and after it the morphology change, respectively. b, A simple model shows that a three monolayer high triangular island can be turned in a four monolayer high hexagonal island by reshaping its structure d. e, The triangular island is less stable than the hexagonal island according to the model and experiments. Their cohesion energies $(E_C)$ are calculated to be 491 meV/molecule and 506 meV/molecule, respectively. $E_A$ represents the activation energy needed to turn the triangular- into an hexagonal island. f, The diffusion energy of C\textsubscript{60} on BNL $E_d(C\textsubscript{60}/BNL)$ is $< kT$, whereas the Ehrlich-Schwoebel barrier energy are $E_{ES} = E_A \simeq 75$ meV and the diffusion energy of the C\textsubscript{60} on the island is $kT \leq E_d(C\textsubscript{60}/C\textsubscript{60}) \leq E_A$. 

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E_A</th>
<th>75 meV/cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| $kT$ | 30 meV |

| $\Delta E_c$ | 10-15 meV/molecule |
layers. Such results open the way for the local study of further organic-organic interfaces with variable functionalities and notably a better understanding of their growth properties at the atomic scale.

**Method**

**Sample Preparation.** The BNL crystals used in this study were synthesized at the University of Bern by Decurtins et al. according to the literature method.\textsuperscript{19} These thin light blue crystals were glued with the electrical conductive Epotek H20S glue on a previously modified standard metallic sample holder and were heated up to 100° C for 1h in air. Thereafter, the surface was exfoliated with a scotch tape and quickly introduced in vacuum resulting in an atomically clean and flat surface. A C\textsubscript{60} powder with purity of 99.9%, purchased from Sigma Aldrich GmbH, was evaporated at 410° C on the BNL surface at room temperature under UHV conditions. The deposition time was fixed to 30 sec with a deposition rate of 0.5 Å/min. This deposition rate was calibrated by a quartz microbalance.

**Scanning Probe Microscopy.** The SPM measurements were realized with a home built UHV RT-AFM microscope. All AFM images were recorded in the non-contact mode, using silicon tips (stiffness of k = 20-30 N/m, resonance frequency f\textsubscript{0} around 155 kHz and Q factor around 30000) with compensated contact potential difference (CPD). The bias voltage applied to the tip-sample system was $-10\, V \leq V_{\text{bias}} \leq 10\, V$.

**Energy Calculation.** The cohesion energy ($E_C$) of the island before and after it undergoes the shape-modification process was estimated with the formula:

$$E_C = \frac{1}{n_{\text{total}}} \cdot \frac{E_i}{2} \left[ \sum_{k=3,4,6} k \cdot n_k + \sum_{k=3} k \cdot n_k \right]$$

(2)
where $n_{total}$ is the total number of molecules in the island, $E_i$ the interaction energy between two molecules, $k$ the number of first nearest neighbours and $n_k$ is the number of $C_{60}$ with $k$ nearest neighbours.
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