Functional characterization of orbicularis oculi and extraocular muscles
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The orbicularis oculi are the sphincter muscles of the eyelids and are involved in modulating facial expression. They differ from both limb and extracocular muscles (EOMs) in their histology and biochemistry. Weakness of the orbicularis oculi muscles is a feature of neuromuscular disorders affecting the neuromuscular junction, and weakness of facial muscles and ptosis have also been described in patients with mutations in the ryanodine receptor gene. Here, we investigate human orbicularis oculi muscles and find that they are functionally more similar to quadriceps than to EOMs in terms of excitation–contraction coupling components. In particular, they do not express the cardiac isoform of the dihydropyridine receptor, which we find to be highly expressed in EOMs where it is likely responsible for the large depolarization-induced calcium influx. We further show that human orbicularis oculi and EOMs express high levels of utrophin and low levels of dystrophin, whereas quadriceps express dystrophin and low levels of utrophin. The results of this study highlight the notion that myotubes obtained by explanting satellite cells from different muscles are not functionally identical and retain the physiological characteristics of their muscle of origin. Furthermore, our results indicate that sparing of facial and EOMs in patients with Duchenne muscular dystrophy is the result of the higher levels of utrophin expression.

INTRODUCTION

Excitation–contraction coupling (ECC) is the process whereby an electrical signal, depolarization of the plasma membrane, is converted into a chemical signal, Ca2+ release from the SR, leading to muscle contraction (Caputo, 2011). ECC relies on the function of two main Ca2+ channels, the voltage-sensing dihydropyridine receptor (DHPR), an L-type Ca2+ channel present on the transverse tubules, and the ryanodine receptor (RyR) Ca2+ channel, present on the SR terminal cisternae. In mammalian skeletal muscle, ECC is mechanically coupled, that is membrane depolarization causes the α1 subunit (Ca.1.1) of the DHPR to undergo a conformational change, causing it to come in direct contact with the RyR1 and leading to release of Ca2+ from the SR (Ríos and Pizarro, 1991). In mammalian cardiac muscles, in contrast, ECC does not rely on mechanical coupling, but rather influx of Ca2+ through the cardiac α1 subunit of the DHPR (Ca.1.2) activates the cardiac RyR2, leading to release of Ca2+ from the SR (Bers, 2002). Thus, the functional requirements of heart and skeletal muscle are assured by the exquisite specificity of protein isoform expression. This general configuration of skeletal and cardiac ECC protein expression was thought to underlie the function of most striated muscles; however, in a recent study on the protein composition of human extraocular muscles (EOMs), we found that hybrid skeletal/cardiac muscle configurations of the ECC machinery can exist. Indeed EOMs express both the skeletal and cardiac isoforms of the α1 subunit of the DHPR, rely on influx of Ca2+ from the extracellular environment, and express not only RyR1 but also RyR3 (Sekulic-Jablanovic et al., 2015).

EOMs, the fastest muscles in the body, derive from somitomeres (preotic mesodermal segments; Wright et al., 2006). There are six EOMs distributed in three antagonistic pairs of muscles that finely control eye movements (Spencer and Porter, 1988); they are innervated by three cranial nerves (III, IV, and VI; Sadeh and Stern, 1984). EOMs are highly specialized and can be either singly innervated or multiply innervated. These characteristics together with the unique expression of myosin heavy chain–E0 (MyHC13; Schiavino and Reggiani, 2011) set them apart from all other skeletal muscles, as a distinctive group of highly specialized muscles (Spencer and Porter, 1988). In contrast, the orbicularis oculi muscles comprise the sphincter muscles of the eyelids; they are classified as facial muscles and functionally antagonize the levator palpebrae superioris muscles.
which are accessory EOMs (Porter et al., 1998). The orbicularis oculi muscles can be subdivided into orbital, palpebral, and lacrimal portions. The orbital portion firmly closes the eyelids and is controlled by voluntary action; the palpebral portion closes the eyelids gently in involuntary or reflex blinking; the palpebral portion can be further divided into pretarsal, preseptal portion, and ciliary. The lacrimal portion compresses the lacrimal sac, which receives tears from the lacrimal ducts and conveys them into the nasolacrimal duct (Gray and Lewis, 1918). The orbicularis oculi muscles derive from the mesenchyme in the second pharyngeal arch (Moore et al., 2015) and are innervated by the VII cranial nerve (Ouattara et al., 2004).

Weakness of the orbicularis oculi muscles is often apparent in neuromuscular disorders affecting the neuromuscular junction (Miller et al., 2008). Similar to EOMs, they are also one of the first targets of mitochondrial myopathies and myasthenia gravis, resulting in weakness of eyelid closure and ptosis (Miller et al., 2008). Facial weakness and ptosis have also been described in patients with recessive RYR1 mutations (the gene encoding the RyR1; Taylor et al., 2012), affected by multiminicore disease, congenital fiber type disproportion, and centronuclear disease (Jungbluth et al., 2005; Treves et al., 2008; Clarke et al., 2010; Wilmshurst et al., 2010). In contrast, EOMs are spared in aging, Duchenne muscular dystrophy, and congenital muscular dystrophy when all other skeletal muscles are affected (Kaminski et al., 1992; Khurana et al., 1995; Kallestad et al., 2011). Weakness of the facial musculature caused by any disease is almost certainly accompanied by weakness of the orbicularis oculi muscles as well (Miller et al., 2008). The present investigation was undertaken to identify similarities and differences in ECC and calcium homeostasis in human EOMs, orbicularis oculi, and quadriceps, to identify factors that might contribute to the selective involvement in different neuromuscular disorders. The results of the present investigation show that the ECC machinery and calcium regulation of human orbicularis oculi are more similar to those of quadriceps than of EOMs; in contrast, orbicularis oculi and EOMs are similar in that they both express high levels of utrophin, whereas quadriceps expresses dystrophin. This finding explains the sparing of facial and EOMs in Duchenne patients; because the ECC machinery between orbicularis oculi and quadriceps appears to be similar, our study points to a potential use of autologous facial muscle–derived satellite cells for muscle reimplantation in patients with muscular dystrophy.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Human muscle cell cultures**

Primary muscle cell cultures were established as previously described (Censier et al., 1998; Ducrèux et al., 2004) from fragments of muscle biopsies from patients aged between 18 and 65 yr. Quadriceps muscle biopsies were obtained from healthy males or females (six donors) undergoing in vitro diagnostic procedures, orbicularis oculi muscle biopsies were from preseptal muscles of patients (≥75% females) undergoing eyelid cosmetic procedure or blepharoplasty (five donors), and EOM biopsies were from lateral or medial rector muscles of patients undergoing squint corrective surgery (four donors). Cells were plated on laminin-coated glass coverslips and allowed to grow and differentiate as previously described (Treves et al., 2011; Sekulic-Jablanovic et al., 2015). This research was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (2013) of the World Medical Association and was approved by the Ethikkommission beider Basel (permit N° EK64/12); all subjects gave written informed consent to carry out this work.

**Calcium measurements and spark analysis**

Fura-2/AM (EMD Millipore) or fluo-4/AM (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a final concentration of 5 µM was used to load myotubes for 30 min at 37°C, after which the coverslips were mounted onto a 37°C thermostatically controlled chamber that was continuously perfused with Krebs-Ringer medium; individual cells were stimulated by means of a 12- or 8-way 100-mm-diameter quartz micromanifold computer-controlled microperfuser (ALA Scientific Instruments), as described previously (Ducrèux et al., 2004). The ratiometric Ca2+ indicator fura-2 was used for monitoring the global changes in the cytoplasmic Ca2+ concentration as previously described (Censier et al., 1998; Ducrèux et al., 2004). Ratiometric images (340/380 nm) were acquired every 1 s, and the camera was set at a fixed exposure time of 100 ms. Fura-2 fluorescence ratio signals were converted into [Ca2+] using the curve generated using the fura-2 Ca2+ imaging calibration kit from Molecular Probes as previously described (Vukcevic et al., 2013). The total amount of rapidly releasable Ca2+ in the stores was determined as previously described (Rokach et al., 2013) by calculating the area under the curve of the transient induced by the application of 1 µM ionomycin, plus 1 µM thapsigargin in Krebs-Ringer containing 0.5 mM EGTA.

The dynamics of depolarization-induced Ca2+ influx were investigated by total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy using the single wavelength Ca2+ indicator fluo-4 as detailed previously (Treves et al., 2011; Ullrich et al., 2011). Myotubes were pretreated with 50 µM ryanodine (EMD Millipore) to block Ca2+ release through the RyR1 receptor (Treves et al., 2011), and depolarization-induced Ca2+ entry was stimulated by the application of 60 mM KCl. Online fluorescence images were acquired every 100 ms using an inverted Nikon TE2000 TIRF microscope equipped with an oil immersion CFI Plan Apochromat 60× TIRF objective lens.
(1.49 NA) and an electron multiplier Hamamatsu CCD camera (C9100-13). The MetaMorph imaging software was used for analysis of the fluorescence changes.

**Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR)**

Total RNA was extracted from muscle biopsies using TRIzol (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was first treated with deoxyribonuclease I (Invitrogen), and then 1,000 ng was reverse transcribed using the high-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems). cDNA was amplified by qPCR using SYBR Green technology (Fast SYBR Green Master Mix; Applied Biosystems) as previously described (Rokach et al., 2013). The sequences of the primers used for gene amplification and quantification are given in Table S1. qPCR was performed on a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR machine (Applied Biosystems) using the 7500 software v2.3 as previously described (Sekulic-Jablanovic et al., 2015). Gene expression was normalized to expression of ACTN2, which is present in all muscle fiber types. Results are expressed as fold change compared with expression of the gene in quadriceps muscles.

**Immunofluorescence**

Differentiated human myotubes grown on a glass coverslip coated with laminin were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (made in PBS), permeabilized with 1% Triton in PBS for 20 min, and processed as previously described (Treves et al., 2011). The following primary antibodies were used: mouse anti-RyR1 (MA3-925; Thermo Fisher Scientific), goat anti-Cav1.1 (sc-8160; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), rabbit anti-Ca,1.2 (sc-25686; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), mouse anti-dystrophin (ab-7164; Abcam), rabbit anti-utrophin (sc-15377; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), and mouse anti-myosin heavy chain (05-716; EMD Millipore). Secondary peroxidase conjugates were goat anti-Cav1.1 (sc-8160; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), goat anti-Cav1.2 (sc-25686; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), Alexa Fluor 488–conjugated goat anti–mouse IgG (A1 (sc-8093; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), Alexa Fluor 488–conjugated donkey anti–goat IgG (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and Alexa Fluor 647–conjugated goat anti–mouse IgG (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Thermo Fisher Scientific) to visualize nuclei and observed using an A1R CH3SO3, 6 MgCl2, 11.5 CaCl2, 4 Na2ATP, 10 EGTA, and 0.1 K2−-fluoro-3, pH 7.2 (CsOH). Solution exchange was controlled by an electrically controlled rapid switch microperfuser (VC8; Ala Scientific Instruments). Starting from a holding potential of −80 mV, the voltage clamp protocol consisted of consecutive depolarizing 500-ms step pulses to increasing potentials ranging from −50 to 50 mV with an increment of 10 mV. In parallel, changes in cytosolic Ca2+ in clamped cells were recorded by imaging of the fluorescent Ca2+-sensitive indicator fluo-3 using a confocal microscope in the line scan mode.

**Voltage dependence of Ca2+ release.** Orbicularis oculi–derived myotubes were patch clamped in the whole cell configuration and stimulated to different depolarizing potentials to elicit SR Ca2+ release as previously reported using a EPC10 amplifier (HEKA) controlled by the data acquisition software PatchMaster (Rokach et al., 2013). External recording solution contained (mM) 130 TEA-CH3SO3, 2 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, 10 HEPES, 1 µM tetrodotoxin, and 1 4-aminopyridine, pH 7.4 (CsOH). Internal pipette solution contained (mM) 100 Cs2SO4, 10 HEPES, 6 MgCl2, 11.5 CaCl2, 4 Na2ATP, 10 EGTA, and 0.1 K2−-fluoro-3, pH 7.2 (CsOH). Solution exchange was controlled by an electrically controlled rapid switch microperfuser (VC8; Ala Scientific Instruments). Starting from a holding potential of −80 mV, the voltage clamp protocol consisted of consecutive depolarizing 500-ms step pulses to increasing potentials ranging from −50 to 50 mV with an increment of 10 mV. In parallel, changes in cytosolic Ca2+ in clamped cells were recorded by imaging of the fluorescent Ca2+-sensitive indicator fluo-3 using a confocal microscope in the line scan mode.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the Student’s t test for two populations. Values were considered significant when P < 0.05. When more than two groups were compared, analysis was performed by the ANOVA test followed by the Bonferroni post hoc test, using Prism 4.0 software (GraphPad Software). OriginPro 8.6 software (OriginLab) was used for generating dose–response curves.

**Methods used in supplemental material**
(IX81, FluoView FV1000, 60× water objective, NA 1.2; Olympus). Excitation of fluo-3 was achieved at 473 nm, and emission was collected between 510 and 560 nm. Line scans were acquired at a rate of 5.6 ms per line. To acquire multiple line scans from each cell, the single line scan image was limited to 1,000 lines to avoid photobleaching and cell damage. Experiments were performed at constant room temperature. Images were analyzed using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health) and further processed together with current analysis in OriginPro 2015 software.

**Spark measurements.** Sparks were measured in fluo-4–loaded myotubes using an A1R laser-scanning confocal microscope (Nikon) with a 60× oil immersion Plan Apochromat VC objective (Nikon; NA 1.4) as previously described (Lopez et al., 2015). 5-s duration line scan images (x,t) were acquired in resonant mode at 7,680 lps with 512 pixels (0.05 µm/pixel) in the x and 39,936 pixels (0.126 ms/pixel) in the t direction using a pinhole size of 72.27 µm. Four to five images were taken at different positions across each cell. The Ca²⁺ indicator was excited with a laser at 487 nm, and the fluorescence emitted at 525 ± 25 nm was recorded. To minimize photo damage, the laser intensity was set at 3–4% of the maximal power. Cells were perfused with Krebs-Ringer containing 2 mM Ca²⁺.

**Online supplemental material**

Fig. S1 shows the voltage dependence of Ca²⁺ release in orbicularis oculi–derived myotubes. Fig. S2 shows that human myotubes do not show spontaneous Ca²⁺ release events (SPARKS). Table S1 lists the sequence of primers used for qPCR. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jgp.org/cgi/content/full/jgp.201511542/DC1.

**RESULTS**

**Gene and protein expression levels in human orbicularis oculi, EOM, and quadriceps muscles**

The expression levels of the major gene products involved in skeletal ECC are shown in Fig. 1A; the values obtained from orbicularis oculi were compared with those obtained from quadriceps of healthy donors. The latter muscles were used as reference, and the expression level of different genes therein was set to 1. The results show the mean (±SEM) expression level of genes from five pooled biopsy samples normalized to ACTN2. The expression of RYR1 and SERCA1 transcripts was slightly elevated (approximately twofold; P < 0.05, Student’s t test), as was that of CASQ1, RYR3, and CACNA1C (+4, +5, and 10-fold, respectively; P < 0.0001, Student’s t test), whereas CACNA1S expression was similar in orbicularis oculi and quadriceps muscles. Interestingly, the expression levels of UTRN and DMD showed an ~13- and 16-fold increase, respectively, compared with quadriceps muscles (P < 0.0001, Student’s t test). Although it was reported that the MYH13 isoform is exclusively expressed in EOMs (Wiecezorek et al., 1985), we also found that it is expressed in orbicularis oculi (Fig. 1B). JP45 levels remained unchanged.

Total SR fractions were prepared and probed with antibodies against the major skeletal ECC proteins as well as Ca,1.2 and CASQ2; the intensities of the immunoreactive bands were normalized to SRL content and are expressed as percent expression of that of quadriceps muscles. Surprisingly, at the protein level, the content of RYR1, Ca,1.1, SERCA1, and CASQ1 were not changed between orbicularis oculi and quadriceps (Fig. 1C), whereas CASQ2 was significantly increased. We chose to normalize to SRL content and not to SERCA1 or CASQ1 because by qPCR both SERCA1 and CASQ1 transcripts were significantly increased in orbicularis oculi. Ca,1.2, the cardiac isoform of the DHPR, could not be detected in Western blots of orbicularis oculi and quadriceps, but it was clearly present in human EOM and mouse heart, which served as positive control (Fig. 1D). Utrophin was highly expressed in both EOM and orbicularis oculi but less so in quadriceps (fourfold in orbicularis oculi vs. quadriceps; P < 0.05), whereas the opposite was true for dystrophin (orbicularis oculi expressed ~50% compared with quadriceps; P < 0.05; Fig. 1E).

**Ca²⁺ homeostasis in human myotubes derived from orbicularis oculi, EOM, and quadriceps**

Because in a previous study we showed that primary cultures of myotubes explanted from human EOM biopsies had different Ca²⁺ handling properties (Sekulic-Jablanovic et al., 2015), in the next series of experiments we compared Ca²⁺ homeostasis in myotubes derived from the three types of muscles. In this context, it should also be mentioned that human myotubes are different from mouse myotubes or fibers in that they do not contract even after exposure to high concentrations of KCl, 4-chloro-m-cresol (4-cmc) or ionomycin plus thapsigargin. In the first set of experiments, cells were perfused with Krebs-Ringer containing 100 µM La³⁺ to prevent influx of extracellular Ca²⁺ and to ensure that the measurements represent skeletal ECC. Fig. 2A shows representative fura-2 traces of a quadriceps-derived myotube (continuous line), orbicularis oculi–derived myotube (dashed line), and EOM-derived myotube (dotted line) in response to 60 mM KCl. Fig. 2B (B and C) shows the KCl- and 4-cmc–induced Ca²⁺ release curves, respectively. There were no significant differences between the three types of myotubes as far as peak Ca²⁺ release elicited by either KCl or 4-cmc is concerned. Furthermore, the EC₅₀ for KCl (depolarization)-induced Ca²⁺ release was similar in the three types of myotubes, whereas the EC₅₀ for 4-cmc–induced Ca²⁺ release was slightly elevated (approximately twofold; P < 0.05, Student’s t test).
release was significantly different only between orbicularis oculi- and EOM-derived myotubes (Table 1). We also verified the voltage dependence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} release of orbicularis oculi–derived myotubes; the half-maximal release activation \(V_{1/2}\) was \(-25 \pm 5\) mV (Fig. S1), a value similar to that previously reported in quadriceps-derived myotubes (Rokach et al., 2013), confirming that the ECC is very similar in both kinds of myotubes. Interestingly, however, there were differences in the mean global resting [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{i} in the three populations of myotubes, though we could not ascertain whether this was caused by large differences within cytoplasmic micro/
nanodomains or by a uniform difference resulting from the presence, for example, of different cytoplasmic calcium buffering proteins. The size of the intracellular Ca^{2+} stores of orbicularis oculi–derived myotubes was similar to those of quadriceps-derived myotubes but significantly lower than those of EOM-derived myotubes (Fig. 2, C and D; P < 0.0001, Student’s t test). We also examined the different types of myotubes for the presence of Ca^{2+} sparks by high-speed confocal microscopy, but none of the myotubes exhibited sparks (Fig. S2).

We next investigated the process by which membrane depolarization activates Ca^{2+} influx through the DHPR- or depolarization-induced Ca^{2+} influx (Bannister et al., 2009) by TIRF microscopy as previously described (Treves et al., 2011). Fig. 3 A shows pseudocolored fluo-4 fluorescent changes in a representative myotube pretreated with 50 µM ryanodine and stimulated with 60 mM KCl; the pretreatment with high concentrations of ryanodine is necessary to block Ca^{2+} release through RyR, ensuring that the change in fluo-4 fluorescence is not caused by Ca^{2+} release from the SR, but rather from
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**Figure 2. Calcium homeostasis of orbicularis oculi–derived myotubes compared with quadriceps- and EOM-derived myotubes.** (A) Representative traces showing changes in [Ca^{2+}] induced by stimulation with KCl. Individual cells were perfused in Krebs-Ringer containing 100 µM La^{3+} (light gray bar) and then stimulated with 60 mM KCl for 10 s (black bar). Ratiometric images (340 nm/380 nm) were acquired and converted into [Ca^{2+}] as described in Materials and methods. Continuous line, quadriceps-derived myotubes; dashed line, orbicularis oculi–derived myotubes; dotted line, EOM-derived myotubes. (B and C) KCl dose–response curves (B) and 4-cmc dose–response curves (C). Closed circles and continuous lines, quadriceps-derived myotubes; open circles and dashed lines, orbicularis oculi–derived myotubes; open squares and dotted lines, EOM-derived myotubes. Curves were generated using the sigmoidal fit function of the Origin software and show the changes in peak calcium, expressed as [Ca^{2+}] in nM. Each point represents the mean (±SEM) of 5–12 different cells. For KCl- and 4-cmc–induced Ca^{2+} release, individual cells were perfused with Krebs-Ringer plus 100 µM La^{3+}, and the indicated concentration of agonist was applied using a microperfusion system. (D) Mean (±SEM) resting [Ca^{2+}]. * P < 0.05; **, P < 0.001. (E) Total amount of Ca^{2+} in stores (a.u.). ***, P < 0.0001. For quadriceps (QU)–derived myotubes, cells from six donors were measured; for orbicularis oculi (OO)–derived myotubes, cells from five donors were measured; and for EOM–derived myotubes, cells from four donors were measured.

<p>| Table 1. EC50 for KCl- and 4-cmc–induced Ca^{2+} release from myotubes from human quadriceps, orbicularis oculi, and EOMs |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue of origin</th>
<th>KCl EC50</th>
<th>4-cmc EC50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quadriceps</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>234.0 ± 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbicularis oculi</td>
<td>19.5 ± 4.0</td>
<td>296.6 ± 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOM</td>
<td>10.2 ± 5.0</td>
<td>332.0 ± 26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ANOVA did not reveal any differences in the EC50 to KCl between the three types of myotubes. Significant differences were obtained in the EC50 to 4-cmc, and the Bonferroni post hoc test confirmed the difference to be significant between EOM- and orbicularis oculi–derived myotubes.

60 mM KCl; the pretreatment with high concentrations of ryanodine is necessary to block Ca^{2+} release through RyR, ensuring that the change in fluo-4 fluorescence is not caused by Ca^{2+} release from the SR, but rather from
Ca\(^{2+}\) influx. Fig. 3 B shows a representative Ca\(^{2+}\) influx trace initiated by the application of 60 mM KCl in orbicularis oculi–derived myotubes (solid trace), quadriceps-derived myotubes (dashed trace), and EOM-derived myotubes (dotted trace). A control experiment performed on EOM-derived myotubes showing that no Ca\(^{2+}\) influx occurs when the same experiment is performed in the presence of 100 µM La\(^{3+}\) is also shown (dash-dotted trace). Fig. 3 C summarizes the results confirming that depolarization-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) influx in orbicularis oculi myotubes is not significantly different from quadriceps myotubes, but almost threefold lower compared with EOM-derived myotubes.

The difference in Ca\(^{2+}\) influx between EOM and orbicularis oculi muscles most likely reflects the differential expression of Ca\(_i\) isoforms in these groups of muscles. This is confirmed by results depicted in Fig. 4 showing the subcellular distribution of Ca\(_{1.1}\), Ca\(_{1.2}\), and RyR1 in orbicularis oculi– and EOM-derived myotubes; the distribution of Ca\(_{1.1}\) (Fig. 4 A, green) and RyR1 (Fig. 4 B, red) was punctate and unstructured within an intracellular membrane compartment. Although they lack a mature organization, RyR1 and Ca\(_{1.1}\) codistributed within the myotube (Fig. 4 C). However, Ca\(_{1.2}\) could not be detected in orbicularis oculi–derived myotubes (Fig. 4 D). A similar subcellular punctate distribution of Ca\(_{1.1}\) (Fig. 4 E) and RyR1 (Fig. 4 F) was found in EOM-derived myotubes; however, in the latter cells, Ca\(_{1.2}\) was exclusively targeted to the plasma membrane (Fig. 4 H).

Dystrophin and utrophin expression and subcellular distribution in myotubes derived from orbicularis oculi, EOM, and quadriceps

Because qPCR and Western blot analysis revealed that orbicularis oculi and EOM but not quadriceps muscle biopsies express high levels of utrophin, we investigated by confocal microscopy the expression and distribution of dystrophin and utrophin in the different kinds of myotubes. Quadriceps-derived myotubes (Fig. 5, A–D) showed punctate distribution of dystrophin, with some patches close to the plasma membrane (Fig. 5 B), but were negative for utrophin staining (Fig. 5 C). Orbicularis oculi–derived myotubes (Fig. 5, E–H) also showed a punctate distribution of dystrophin (Fig. 5 F); these myotubes were also positive for utrophin whose distribution was less homogeneous, had a more filamentous appearance, and was concentrated in areas around the cell periphery (Fig. 5 G). EOM-derived myotubes (Fig. 5, I–L) were similar to orbicularis oculi–derived myotubes in that dystrophin had a punctate distribution (Fig. 5 J), whereas utrophin was distributed less homogeneously, had a filamentous appearance, and was concentrated in areas around the cell periphery (Fig. 5 K). Interestingly, utrophin and dystrophin appeared to have a separate
subcellular distribution that does not overlap within the myotubes (Fig. 5, H and L).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we investigated the biochemical and physiological characteristics of orbicularis oculi muscles, a group of facial muscles that are selectively spared or involved in different neuromuscular disorders. As far as the expression of proteins involved in ECC is concerned, it appears that orbicularis oculi muscles are closer to quadriceps than to EOMs. Indeed, the content of skeletal muscle SR proteins was similar between quadriceps and orbicularis oculi muscles and differed from that of EOMs, as only the latter express proteins characteristic of both cardiac and skeletal muscle ECC (Sekulic-Jablanovic et al., 2015). Surprisingly, however, the expression of transcripts did not always match the actual protein content, highlighting the importance of validating arrays or qPCR experiments whenever possible. This was particularly relevant for the difference between the expression of Ca,1.2 and dystrophin whose transcripts were increased >8–10-fold in orbicularis oculi, but at the protein level they were barely detectable. An intriguing result of this study is that although gene expression in orbicularis oculi is quite different from that in quadriceps, the expression of proteins involved in the ECC process (RyR1, Ca,1.1, SERCA1, CASQ1, and Ca,1.2) is similar in both cell types. In contrast, in our previous study, the differences in gene expression between EOM and quadriceps were positively correlated with differences in the expression of ECC proteins (Sekulic-Jablanovic et al., 2015). The reason for this discrepancy is at present unclear, but agreement between mRNA and protein content occurs only ~40% of the time and is influenced by different conditions.
factors, including mRNA and protein stability, the presence of microRNAs, and posttranscriptional modifications, with the key role in determining protein abundance being played at the level of translation (Tian et al., 2004; Vogel et al., 2010; Schwanhäusser et al., 2011). A limited overlap in genomic and proteomic data were reported by Khanna et al. (2003) in EOM and leg muscles and by Zhou et al. (2010) in mouse EOM myotubes derived from other skeletal muscles, most likely because they lack the cardiac isoform of the α1 DHPR subunit.

Although orbicularis oculi express a typical skeletal muscle ECC, they also show similarities with EOMs in that they express MyHC13 and high levels of RYR3 expressed in EOM-derived myotubes. Such a hypothesis is compatible with previous findings (Fessen- den et al., 2000; Matyash et al., 2002; Sekulic-Jablanovic et al., 2015) who showed that (a) EOM biopsies contain ~100-times more RYR3 transcript than triceps biopsies, whereas orbicularis oculi express only ~4-times more; (b) RyR3 have a significantly lower sensitivity to 4-cmc; and (c) in reconstituted 1B5 myotubes, RyR3 cannot form functional contacts with the DHPR and therefore would not contribute directly to the KCl-induced Ca2+ release.

One of the physiological characteristics of EOMs is that they are fatigue resistant (Fuchs and Binder, 1983), and this may be functionally related to the high levels of expression of Ca,1,2 and consequent large Ca2+ influx. The Ca2+ influx may be used as a means (a) to activate ECC more rapidly by enhancing Ca2+ induced Ca2+ release or (b) to rapidly replenish intracellular stores. Depolarization-induced Ca2+ influx is less pronounced in myotubes derived from other skeletal muscles, most likely because they lack the cardiac isoform of the α1 DHPR subunit.

Although orbicularis oculi express a typical skeletal muscle ECC, they also show similarities with EOMs in that they express MyHC13 and high levels of RyR3. In humans, orbicularis oculi are composed of 90% type II fibers and 10% type I fibers (Wirthschafter et al., 1994; Campbell et al., 1999), but our results show that they also express MyH13. In a study on levator palpebrae and retractor bulbi muscles from different species, it was shown that the latter muscles also express MyHC13 and that this is probably responsible for their rapid contracture times (<10 ms); in fact, limb muscles do not express the superfast myosin isoforms, and their contracture time is approximately five times longer (Lucas and Hoh, 1997). As far as RyR3 expression is concerned, our results are enigmatic because, in general, RyR3 transcripts are expressed at low levels, if at all, in adult skeletal muscle (Martin et al., 1998), and the role of RyR3 is unclear. RyR3KO mice are viable, and their muscles show no obvious physiological differences compared with their wild-type littermates, including no changes in electrically induced Ca2+ release and contractile properties of adult muscle fibers, although skeletal muscles from neonatal RyR3 KO mice show decreased tension development (Takeshima et al., 1996). Although for the time being the function of RyR3s is speculative, it is possible that these channels act as amplifiers of the Ca2+ signals; compatibly, RyR3KO mice were reported to have a mild cognitive impairment when tested on a water maze (Balschun et al., 1999), but retrospectively, this may have also been related to a visual impairment. Studies aimed at understanding the role of RyR3 in EOMs are currently under way.

Our results concerning the expression of utrophin are interesting and most likely explain why in patients with Duchenne muscular dystrophy ocular and facial muscles are spared. Furthermore, the observation that EOMs are spared in Duchenne muscular dystrophy even though they exhibit a large Ca2+ influx provides strong evidence that controlled Ca2+ influx, per se, is not deleterious to skeletal muscles as recently proposed (Millay et al., 2009), but is actually a physiological mechanism used by some skeletal muscles. Urophin and dysrophin share considerable sequence and structural similarity (Tinsley et al., 1992; Winder et al., 1995), and utrophin can associate with the dysrophin-associated complex, serving as a link between actin and the extracellular matrix (Matsumura et al., 1992). Interestingly, in cultured myotubes, the subcellular distribution of utrophin differs from that of dysrophin. Indeed, and as previously reported (Trimarchi et al., 2006) and confirmed in the present study, after 7–10 d of differentiation, dysrophin has a punctate membrane distribution, whereas utrophin has a patchy more filamentous distribution concentrated within specific subcellular domains. The reason for this difference is unclear but may reflect distinct binding partners of the two proteins. In mdx mice, it is believed that utrophin compensates for the lack of dysrophin (Wakefield et al., 2000). Based on our results and on the fact that mdx knocked out also for utrophin show EOM involvement (Baker et al., 2006), it appears that utrophin can functionally compensate in vivo for the lack of dysrophin, supporting the current strategies aimed at modulating utrophin expression in the therapy for Duchenne muscular dystrophy (Perkins and Davies, 2002; Chakkalakal et al., 2005; Guiraud et al., 2015).
Collectively, these studies show that subspecialization of skeletal muscles occurs through multiple factors; although it is true that muscle innervation plays a prominent role, the distribution of a specific muscle within a niche devoted to a precise physiological function is also important. Indeed, the term muscle allotropy was proposed to describe the different capacities of myogenic cells of different lineages to express a different subset of myofibrillar genes. Because EOMs, orbicularis oculi, and levator palpebrae (and the retractor bulbii which is present in some mammals but not in humans) are derived from cells from a different lineage than those giving rise to limb muscles, their myogenic precursors must be programmed to express different subsets of proteins. The present study substantiates the validity of the muscle allotropy hypothesis because we show that satellite cells derived from different muscles are primed and will follow the developmental characteristics of their muscle of origin, a property that can be exploited in laboratories devoted to tissue engineering.
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Figure S1. **Voltage dependence of Ca\(^{2+}\) release in orbicularis oculi–derived myotubes.** Orbicularis oculi–derived myotubes were patch clamped in the whole cell configuration and stimulated to different depolarizing potentials to elicit SR Ca\(^{2+}\) release as described in Materials and methods. (A) Three examples of stimulated Ca\(^{2+}\) current (lower traces), line scans imaged in the line scan mode using fluo-3 and corresponding line profiles at −40 mV, −10 mV, and 10 mV, respectively. With increasing membrane depolarization, the amplitude of the triggered Ca\(^{2+}\) transient increases. (B) The maximal Ca\(^{2+}\) transient amplitudes at each tested membrane potential are summarized. The ECC of orbicularis oculi myotubes demonstrates a V dependence of Ca\(^{2+}\) release similar to that observed in quadriceps myotubes (Rokach et al., 2013). At low depolarization, a slow rise in Ca\(^{2+}\) initiates and leads to a Ca\(^{2+}\) transient of small amplitude. With increasing depolarization, Ca\(^{2+}\) release becomes faster and larger, reaching peak amplitudes at about 30 mV. Data are normalized to the respective peak transient amplitude in each cell. The Ca\(^{2+}\)-voltage relationship was fitted with a Boltzmann function and derived a half-maximal activation potential of approximately −25 ± 5.3 mV (n = 5–6 cells). Despite rapid coupling, the Ca\(^{2+}\) removal mechanisms after release appear to be very immature. As seen in the line scan images and previously reported in quadriceps-derived myotubes (Rokach et al., 2013), it takes several seconds for [Ca\(^{2+}\)] to return to basal levels. Error bars indicate SEM.
Figure S2. **Human myotubes do not show spontaneous Ca$^{2+}$ release events (SPARKS).** (top) Representative photomicrographs of fluo-4–loaded myotubes. (middle) Representative 2.5-s line scan images. (bottom) Positive control showing that using similar settings sparks can be detected in a vascular smooth muscle cell (SMC). Bars, 20 μm. OO, orbicularis oculi; QU, quadriceps.

Table S1. **Sequence of primers used for qPCR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5′ to 3′)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RYR1</td>
<td>F: GGACCTCTAGCGCCCTGTATC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: ATCTCCCGCCTTAGCCATTTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RYR3</td>
<td>F: CAGTCCTATCTGTCAAGCCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: CATGCGGTATATAACAGGGTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACNA1S</td>
<td>F: TTGCGTCCGCTTCTATCTCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: GTGCCGAGATACGGTGAAGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACNA1C</td>
<td>F: TGATTTCAAGGCCAACAATTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: GAGGACTCCATAGCCGATTACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERCA1</td>
<td>F: GTGCTGGCCGTAGACACACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: AAGAGGCCAGCGACTGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASQ1</td>
<td>F: CACCCAGTCAAGGGGTACAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASQ2</td>
<td>F: GTGCCAGACCTGATACCTTCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSRP1</td>
<td>F: TGTGCCGACACAAGTCCCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: GCCCTGGCCTCAACTTAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTRN</td>
<td>F: TGAGGAGTGTCATGCGGACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: GTGAGGACCTGCTTGGCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMD</td>
<td>F: GAGACAATGCCGCTCTATAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: CTTAACTCCTTAACATGGGGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTN2</td>
<td>F: GACATGTAACACCCCTAAAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: CCGAAAAAGGCTGATAGAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYH13</td>
<td>F: GGACAGATCGACCGCTCCAAATC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: GTCTGAGCTAGCCTTTCACATA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conditions were as described in Materials and methods. F, forward; R, reverse.
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