Alkyl chain-functionalized hole-transporting domains in zinc(II) dye-sensitized solar cells
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Abstract

FTO/TiO₂ electrodes have been functionalized with \{Zn(tpy_{anchor})(tpy_{ancillary})\}^{2+} dyes (tpy = 2,2':6',2"-terpyridine) using a stepwise method to sequentially introduce (i) the anchoring ligand tpy_{anchor} (either a dicarboxylic acid or a diphosphonic acid), (ii) Zn^{2+} ions, and (iii) chromophoric ancillary (4-([2,2':6',2"-terpyridin]-4'-yl)-N,N-bis(4-alkoxyphenyl)aniline ligands. A comparison of unmasked and fully masked DSSCs containing representative dyes shows a significant drop in photon-to-current efficiency upon masking. Solid-state absorption spectra of the dye-functionalized electrodes confirm that the intensity of absorption decreases with the steric demands of the ancillary ligand. DSSC measurements show that the \{Zn(tpy_{anchor})(tpy_{ancillary})\}^{2+} dyes give poor photon-to-current efficiencies, values of the short circuit current density (J_{SC}) and the external quantum efficiency (EQE) spectra are consistent with very poor electron injection. Introducing longer alkoxy chains in place of methoxy substituents in the hole-transporting domains in tpy_{ancillary} is beneficial, resulting in increased J_{SC} and \text{V}_{OC}, although values remain low despite the 'push–pull' design of the sensitizers.
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1. Introduction

Organic compounds [1] and d-block metal complexes [2] are both used as coloured compounds in dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs). Among metal-containing dyes, ruthenium(II) complexes are the most widely explored [3], but there is increasing interest in sensitizers based on Earth abundant metals [4]. Bis(diimine)copper(I) dyes were first evaluated in DSSCs by Sauvage and coworkers [5] and, generations of dyes later, a record photoconversion efficiency of 4.66% has been reported with a heteroleptic copper(I) complex assembled using the HETPHEN approach [6]. Heteroleptic [Cu(L)(L')]+ species usually equilibrate in solution to give a mixture of homo- and heteroleptic complexes. The HETPHEN strategy [7] minimizes ligand dissociation by using bulky substituents [6,8]. In contrast, we have developed a 'surfaces-as-ligands' [9] methodology in which the TiO2 surface is first functionalized with an anchoring ligand, Lanchor, to give an active domain that can either undergo ligand exchange with a homoleptic [Cu(Lancillary)2]+ complex [10] or be treated with [Cu(MeCN)4][PF6] followed by an ancillary ligand [11]. Compared to the former method where an equivalent of Lancillary is wasted, the latter is atom-efficient (Scheme 1) and was developed using 2,2':6',2''-terpyridine (tpy) ligands for the assembly of dyes containing {Zn(tpy)2}2+ domains (Scheme 1) [12].

With the exception of zinc(II) porphyrin dyes [13,14], little effort has been made to optimize zinc(II) sensitizers for DSSCs. An advantage of developing {Zn(tpyanchor)(tpyancillary)}2+ dyes is that it allows a library of light-harvesting ligand domains to be combined through facile coordination to zinc(II) (Scheme 1). In zinc(II) complexes, the visible region photoresponse is determined by the ligands, making these dyes distinct from those containing the d10 Cu+ ion which exhibit metal-to-ligand charge
transfer (MLCT) bands. Our initial trials of \{\text{Zn}(\text{tpy}_{\text{anchor}})(\text{tpy}_{\text{ancillary}})\}^{2+} dyes used 1 or 2 (Scheme 2) as the ancillary ligand. Ligands 1 and 2 are chromophoric by virtue of an intra-ligand charge transfer (ILCT) band with the tertiary amine acting as an electron donor [15], and photoconversion efficiencies of up to 0.71% (relative to 7.29% for N719) were achieved for unmasked DSSCs containing TiO$_2$-supported [Zn(1)(3)]$^{2+}$ dyes. We have now extended these studies using masked DSSCs (which prevents overestimation of cell performance [16]) and have introduced alkyl chains onto the periphery of the hole-transporting domains in an attempt to reduce charge recombination events detrimental to DSSC performance [17,18,19,20,21].

2. Experimental

2.1 General

$^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III-500 or 400 MHz NMR spectrometer; chemical shifts were referenced to residual solvent peaks with respect to $\delta$(TMS) = 0 ppm. Solution absorption spectra were recorded using a Cary 5000 or Agilent 8453 spectrophotometer, and FT-IR spectra using a Perkin Elmer Spectrum Two with UATR for solid samples. MALDI-TOF, electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectra were recorded on Bruker esquire 3000$^{\text{plu}}$ and Bruker Daltonics Inc. microflex instruments, respectively; LC-ESI-MS mass spectra used a combination of Shimadzu (LC) and Bruker AmaZon X instruments.
Compound 3 [12] and 4′-(4-bromophenyl)-2,2′:6′,2″-terpyridine [22] were prepared as previously reported; 4,4′-di-n-butoxydiphenylamine, 4-isobutoxaniline, 1-bromo-4-n-octoxybenzene and 4-n-octoxaniline were prepared by the method reported for the analogous hexoxy compounds [23] and spectroscopic data compared to those reported [24,25,26,27]. Bis(dibenzylideneacetone)palladium(0), [Pd(dba)2] was purchased from Strem Chemicals. The dye N719 was purchased from Solaronix.

2.2 1-Bromo-4-isobutoxybenzene

1-Bromo-4-isobutoxybenzene was prepared by the method reported for the analogous hexoxy compound [23]. 4-Bromophenol (5.00 g, 28.9 mmol) was dissolved in acetone (60 mL). After the addition of K2CO3 (7.99 g, 57.8 mmol), the white suspension was stirred for 5 min, then 1-bromo-2-methylpropane (4.76 mL, 43.4 mmol) was added and the mixture heated at reflux for 3 d. After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, it was filtered and the filtrate concentrated in vacuo to give a colourless oil. This was dissolved in CH2Cl2, washed with aqueous NaOH (3 × 50 mL, 2.0 M) and water (2 × 50 mL), then dried over MgSO4. After filtration, the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to yield 1-bromo-4-isobutoxybenzene as a light yellow oil (1.78 g, 7.75 mmol, 26.8%) 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ / ppm 7.36 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H, HAr2), 6.77 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, HAr3), 3.68 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, HOCCH2), 2.07 (m, 1H, HCH), 1.01 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 6H, HM6).

2.3 4,4′-Di-isobutoxydiphenylamine

A round-bottomed flask was charged with K2CO3 (1.21 g, 8.73 mmol), Cul (83.1 mg, 0.436 mmol) and L-proline (100 mg, 0.873 mmol) under an N2 atmosphere and then DMSO (20 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred until most of the solid had dissolved.
Then 1-bromo-4-isobutoxybenzene (1.50 g, 6.55 mmol) and 4-isobutoxyaniline (721 mg, 4.36 mmol) were added and the mixture heated at 90 °C for 3 d. After cooling, water was added and extracted with EtOAc (3 × 25 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the brown oil obtained was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, hexane : EtOAc 10:1 changing to 10:2 then 10:3 then 0:1). 4,4'-Di-isobutoxydiphenylamine was obtained as a brown oil and was used without further purification (103 mg, 329 mmol, 7.6 %). $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm 6.92 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 4H, $H_{D2}$), 6.81 (d, $J = 8.9$ Hz, 4H, $H_{D3}$), 3.68 (d, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 4H, $H_{CH}$), 2.05 (m, 2H, $H_{CH}$), 1.02 (d, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 12H, $H_{Me}$).

MALDI-TOF MS (m/z): 313.0 [M]$^+$ (calc. 313.2).

2.4 4,4'-Di-n-octoxydiphenylamine

The method was as for 4,4'-di-isobutoxydiphenylamine starting with 4-(octyloxy)aniline (1.50 g, 6.78 mmol), K₂CO₃ (1.87 g, 13.6 mmol), CuI (129 mg, 0.678 mmol), L-proline (156 mg, 1.36 mmol) and 1-bromo-4-(octyloxy)benzene (2.90 g, 10.2 mmol). The reaction time was 4 d. The product was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, hexane : EtOAc 1:0 changing to 20:1 to 10:1 to 10:2 to 0:1) and was recrystallized twice from hexane. 4,4'-Di-n-octoxydiphenylamine was isolated as a white solid (320 mg, 0.752 mmol, 11.1%). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ / ppm 6.92 (d, $J = 8.9$ Hz, 4H, $H_{D2}$), 6.81 (d, $J = 8.9$ Hz, 4H, $H_{D3}$), 3.91 (t, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 4H, $H_{OCH2}$), 1.75 (m, 4H, $H_{OCH2CH2}$), 1.45 (m, 4H, $H_{OCH2CH2CH2}$), 1.39–1.23 (m, 16H, $H_{CH2}$), 0.88 (t, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 6H, $H_{Me}$). LC-ESI: m/z 426.4 [M+H]$^+$ (calc. 426.3).

2.5 4-(([2,2':6,2''-Terpyridin]-4'-yl)-N,N-bis(4-n-butoxyphenyl)aniline (5)

Solid 4′-(4-bromophenyl)-2,2′:6′,2″-terpyridine (500 mg, 1.29 mmol), bis(4-n-butoxyphenyl)amine (404 mg, 1.29 mmol) and NaO^t^Bu (161 mg, 1.67 mmol) were placed in a flask under an N_2 atmosphere. Toluene (20 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred vigorously for 5 min. After the addition of [Pd(dba)_2] (14.8 mg, 25.8 µmol) and P^t^Bu_3 (26 µL, 25.8 µmol), the mixture was heated at 100 °C for 20 h. The hot mixture was filtered into a hot Erlenmeyer flask to give a reddish filtrate. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the solid residue was recrystallized from EtOH and then subjected to column chromatography (basic Al_2O_3, hexane:EtOAc 10:1). Compound 5 was isolated as a pale yellow solid (152 mg, 0.245 mmol, 19.0%). M. p. 122.9 °C.

^1^H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ / ppm 8.72 (ddd, J = 4.8, 1.9, 1.0 Hz, 2H, H_A6), 8.68 (s, 2H, H_B3), 8.65 (m, 2H, H_A4), 7.86 (m, 2H, H_B2), 7.74 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, H_C2), 7.34 (m, 2H, H_A5), 7.10 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 4H, H_D2), 7.01 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, H_C3), 6.85 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 4H, H_D3), 3.96 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 4H, H_OCH_2), 1.78 (m, 4H, H_OCH_2CH_2), 1.51 (m, 4H, H_OCH_2CH_2CH_2), 0.99 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 6H, H_Me). ^13^C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl_3) δ / ppm 156.6 (C_A2), 155.9 (C_D4/B2), 155.85 (C_D4/B2), 150.0 (C_C4), 149.9 (C_C1), 149.2 (C_A6), 140.4 (C_D1), 137.0 (C_A4), 129.6 (C_B4), 128.0 (C_C2), 127.1 (C_D2), 123.8 (C_A5), 121.5 (C_A3), 120.0 (C_C3), 118.1 (C_B3), 115.5 (C_D3), 68.1 (C_OCH_2), 31.6 (C_OCH_2CH_2), 19.4 (C_OCH_2CH_2CH_2), 14.0 (C_Me). IR (solid, cm^−1) 2951 (w), 2927 (w), 2869 (w), 1598 (m), 1582 (s), 1505 (vs), 1465 (s), 1391 (m), 1335 (m), 1241 (vs), 1202 (s), 971 (m), 823 (vs), 790 (vs), 732 (s), 660 (m), 546 (s), 515 (m).

ESI-MS: m/z 621.4 [M+H]^+ (calc. 621.3). HR ESI-MS: m/z 621.3226 [M+H]^+ (calc. 621.3224).

2.6 4-([2,2′:6′,2″-Terpyridin]-4′-yl)-N,N-bis(4-isobutoxyphenyl)aniline (6)

The method was as for 5 starting with 4′-(4-bromophenyl)-2,2′:6′,2″-terpyridine (113 mg, 0.290 mmol) and bis(4-isobutoxyphenyl)amine (100 mg, 0.319 mmol) and NaO^t^Bu (36.2
mg, 0.377 mmol). The catalyst was [Pd(dba)$_2$] (3.34 mg, 5.80 µmol) and P$_3$Bu$_3$ (6 µL, 5.80 µmol). The product was purified by column chromatography (SiO$_2$, toluene:EtOAc 1:0 changing to 20:1 to 10:1 to 10:2 to 2:1 to 1:1 to 0:1) and compound 6 was isolated as a pale yellow solid (81.0 mg, 0.130 mmol, 44.8%). M.p. 65.9 °C. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, THF-$d_8$) δ / ppm 8.79 (s, 2H, H$_B^3$), 8.70 (m, 2H, H$_A^3$), 8.67 (ddd, $J = 4.8$, 1.9, 0.9 Hz, 2H, H$_A^6$), 7.88 (m, 2H, H$_A^4$), 7.73 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H, H$_C^2$), 7.35 (m, 2H, H$_A^5$), 7.09 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 4H, H$_D^2$), 7.03 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H, H$_C^3$), 6.88 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 4H, H$_D^3$), 3.73 (d, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 4H, H$_OCH_2$), 2.11 (m, 2H, H$_{CH}$), 1.04 (d, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 12H, H$_{Me}$). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, THF-$d_8$) δ / ppm 157.0 (C$_{A2}$), 157.0 (C$_{D4}$), 156.6 (C$_{B2}$), 150.8 (C$_{C1}$), 150.3 (C$_{C4}$), 149.8 (C$_{A6}$), 141.1 (C$_{D1}$), 137.2 (C$_{A4}$), 130.4 (C$_{B4}$), 128.3 (C$_{C2}$), 127.6 (C$_{D2}$), 124.3 (C$_{A5}$), 121.4 (C$_{A3}$), 120.6 (C$_{B3}$), 115.9 (C$_{D3}$), 75.0 (C$_{OCH_2}$), 29.2 (C$_{CH}$), 19.4 (C$_{Me}$). IR (solid, cm$^{-1}$) 2957 (w), 2916 (w), 2867 (w), 1583 (m), 1503 (vs), 1467 (m), 1234 (s), 1033 (m), 825 (m), 791 (s), 737 (w), 660 (w), 522 (w). ESI-MS: m/z 621.6 [M+H]$^+$ (calc. 621.3). HR ESI-MS: m/z 621.3223 [M+H]$^+$ (calc. 621.3224).

2.7 4-([2,2':6',2''-Terpyridin]-4'-yl)-N,N-bis(4-n-octoxyphenyl)aniline (7)

The method was as for 5 starting with 4'-(4-bromophenyl)-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine (166 mg, 0.427 mmol) and 4,4'-di-n-octoxydiphenylamine (200 mg, 0.470 mmol) and NaO'Bu (53.4 mg, 0.555 mmol). The catalyst was [Pd(dba)$_2$] (4.91 mg, 8.54 µmol) and P$_3$Bu$_3$ (8 µL, 8.54 µmol). The product was purified by column chromatography (SiO$_2$, toluene:EtOAc 1:0 changing to 10:1 to 10:4 to 2:1 to 1:1 to 0:1) and 7 was isolated as a pale yellow oily-solid (250 mg, 0.341 mmol, 79.9%). $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ / ppm 8.72 (ddd, $J = 4.8$, 1.8, 0.9 Hz, 2H, H$_A^6$), 8.68 (s, 2H, H$_B^3$), 8.65 (m, 2H, H$_A^3$), 7.86 (m, 2H, H$_A^4$), 7.74 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H, H$_C^2$), 7.34 (ddd, $J = 7.5$, 4.8, 1.2 Hz, 2H, H$_A^5$), 7.10 (d, $J = 8.9$ Hz, 4H, H$_D^2$), 7.01 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H, H$_C^3$), 6.85 (d, $J = 8.9$ Hz, 4H, H$_D^3$),
3.95 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 4H, H\textsubscript{OCH\textsubscript{2}}), 1.79 (m, 4H, H\textsubscript{OCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}}), 1.47 (m, 4H, H\textsubscript{OCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}}), 1.40–1.26 (m, 16H, H\textsubscript{CH\textsubscript{2}}), 0.90 (m, 6H, H\textsubscript{Me}). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) δ / ppm 156.7 (C\textsubscript{A2}), 155.9 (C\textsubscript{D4+B2}), 150.0 (C\textsubscript{C4Cl}), 149.9 (C\textsubscript{C4Cl}), 149.2 (C\textsubscript{A6}), 140.4 (C\textsubscript{D1}), 137.0 (C\textsubscript{A4}), 129.6 (C\textsubscript{B4}), 128.0 (C\textsubscript{C2}), 127.1 (C\textsubscript{D2}), 123.8 (C\textsubscript{A5}), 121.5 (C\textsubscript{A3}), 120.0 (C\textsubscript{C3}), 118.1 (C\textsubscript{B2}), 115.5 (C\textsubscript{D3}), 68.4 (C\textsubscript{OCH\textsubscript{2}}), 32.0 (C\textsubscript{CH\textsubscript{2}}), 29.6 (C\textsubscript{CH\textsubscript{2}}), 29.5 (C\textsubscript{OCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}}), 29.4 (C\textsubscript{CH\textsubscript{2}}), 26.3 (C\textsubscript{OCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}}), 22.8 (C\textsubscript{CH\textsubscript{3}}), 14.3 (C\textsubscript{Me}). IR (solid, cm\textsuperscript{-1}) 2924 (m), 2854 (w), 1599 (w), 1583 (m), 1503 (vs), 1467 (m), 1235 (s), 826 (s), 792 (s), 738 (m), 660 (m), 521 (m). ESI-MS: m/z 733.7 [M+H]\textsuperscript{+} (733.4), 755.7 [M+Na]\textsuperscript{+} (755.4). HR ESI-MS: m/z 733.4482 [M+H]\textsuperscript{+} (calc. 733.4476), 755.4300 [M+Na]\textsuperscript{+} (calc. 755.4295).

2.8 DSSC fabrication

DSSCs were prepared based on the procedure of Grätzel and coworkers [28,29]. Solaronix Test Cell Titania Electrodes with a scattering layer were used for the photoanodes. The electrodes were sintered at 450 °C for 30 min, cooled to ca. 80 °C and then soaked in a DMSO solution of 3 or 4 (1 mM) for 24 h. The colourless slides were then removed from the solution, washed with DMSO and EtOH, and dried. Then, the electrodes were soaked in an EtOH solution of ZnCl\textsubscript{2} (0.5 mM) for 24 h, and after washing with EtOH and drying, were immersed in a CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} solution of ligands 5, 6 or 7 (0.5 mM) for 64 h. The now orange electrodes were washed with CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} and dried. Solaronix Test Cell Platinum Electrodes were used for the counter electrodes, and cleaned of volatile impurities by heating at 450 °C for 30 min. The DSSC was assembled by combining the electrodes using thermoplast hot-melt sealing foil (Solaronix Test Cell Gaskets) and pressing them together. The electrolyte was introduced into the DSSC by vacuum backfilling and comprised LiI (0.1 M), I\textsubscript{2} (0.05 M), 1-methylbenzimidazole (0.5 M) and 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolinium iodide (0.6 M) in 3-methoxypropionitrile. The
hole in the counter electrode was sealed using hotmelt sealing foil (Solaronix Test Cell Sealings) and a coverglass (Solaronix Test Cell Caps).

Electrodes for solid state absorption measurements were prepared in the same way as the photoanodes (above) using Solaronix transparent Test Cell Titania Electrodes without a scattering layer.

2.9 *DSSC and external quantum efficiency (EQE) measurements*

The DSSC measurements were carried out using fully masked cells; the mask comprised a black-coloured copper sheet with one aperture of average area 0.06012 cm$^2$ (standard deviation of 1%) placed over the active area of the cell. The area of the aperture in the mask was smaller than the surface area of TiO$_2$ (0.36 cm$^2$). Black tape was applied to complete the masking of the cell. Measurements were made by irradiating the DSSC from behind using a SolarSim 150 instrument (100 mW cm$^{-2}$ = 1 sun), and the simulated light power was calibrated by using a silicon reference cell.

The external quantum efficiency (EQE) measurements were performed on a Spe-Quest quantum efficiency setup from Rera Systems (Netherlands) equipped with a 100 W halogen lamp (QTH) and a lambda 300 grating monochromator from Lot Oriel. The monochromatic light was modulated to 3Hz using a chopper wheel from ThorLabs. The cell response was amplified with a large dynamic range IV converter from CVI Melles Griot and then measured with a SR830 DSP Lock-In amplifier from Stanford Research.

3 *Results and discussion*

3.1 *Ligand syntheses and characterizations*

The synthetic routes to compounds 5–7 is summarized in Scheme 3. The secondary amine precursors were prepared by a CuI/L-proline catalysed [23] Ullmann coupling, and subsequent Hartwig-Buchwald amination with 4'-(4-bromophenyl)-2,2',6',2''-terpyridine
yielded compounds 5–7 in moderate to high yields. In the electrospray mass spectra of
the compounds, peaks assigned to [M+H]+ were observed at m/z 621.4 for 5, 621.6 for 6
and 733.7 for 7. Satisfactory elemental analyses could not be obtained, but there was
good agreement between the observed and calculated m/z values in the high resolution mass
spectra of 5–7 (see experimental section). Figure 1 shows a representative 1H NMR
spectrum (of compound 5); minor organic impurities observed in the 1H NMR spectra
persisted in all compounds after chromatographic workup. The 1H and 13C NMR spectra
were assigned by COSY, NOESY, DEPT, HMQC and HMBC methods. NOESY cross-
peaks between signals for H^B3/H^C2 and between H^C3/H^D2 allowed the pairs H^C2/H^C3 and
H^D2/H^D3 to be distinguished (see Scheme 3 for atom labelling). The spectra were
consistent with the structures shown in Scheme 3.

<Figure 1 here>

3.2 Solid state absorption spectra of TiO2-adsorbed zinc(II)-containing dyes

Electrodes for solid state absorption measurements were prepared by stepwise dipping of
commercial FTO/TiO2 electrodes without a scattering layer into solutions of (i) anchoring
ligands 3 or 4, (ii) zinc(II) chloride, and (iii) ancillary ligands 5, 6 or 7. Details of
solvents and soaking times are given in the experimental section. After the electrodes had
been washed and dried, transmission absorption spectra were recorded. The spectra were
corrected with respect to a spectrum of a blank electrode. Absorption maxima are given
in Table 1; a small blue-shift of the ILCT band is observed on changing the anchoring
domain from carboxylic acid to phosphonic acid. Figure 2 compares the spectra of one
pair of dyes with a common ancillary ligand (6) but different anchoring ligands
(carboxylic acid 3 versus phosphonic acid 4), and also compares the series of dyes with a
common anchoring ligand (4). An increase in absorbance is seen comparing [Zn(3)(6)]^{2+}
to [Zn(4)(6)]^{2+}, a trend also observed on going from [Zn(3)(5)]^{2+} to [Zn(4)(5)]^{2+}, and
from $[\text{Zn}(3)(7)]^{2+}$ to $[\text{Zn}(4)(7)]^{2+}$. Although not definitive, the data are consistent with enhanced surface coverage by the phosphonic acid anchoring ligand compared to the carboxylic acid. Figure 2 reveals that with a constant anchor 4, the absorbance of the functionalized titania decreases as the ancillary ligand is changed from 5 to 6 to 7, and the same trend is observed for the series $[\text{Zn}(3)(5)]^{2+} > [\text{Zn}(3)(6)]^{2+} > [\text{Zn}(3)(7)]^{2+}$. This follows the order of the steric demands of the alkoxy-substituents, implying that fewer surface coordination sites can be occupied as the steric demand of the ancillary ligand increases.

<Figure 2 here>

<Table 1 here>

3.2 EQE spectra

DSSCs were assembled by the stepwise process described above, using commercial electrodes with a scattering layer (see Experimental section). In addition to ancillary ligands 5–7, DSSCs were also fabricated using ancillary ligand 2 which contains methoxy substituents (Scheme 1). After immersion in the dye bath containing 2, 5, 6 or 7, the electrodes changed from colourless to orange. Previously, we have reported efficiency data for TiO$_2$-supported dyes $[\text{Zn}(3)(2)]^{2+}$ and $[\text{Zn}(4)(2)]^{2+}$ in unmasked DSSCs [12]. More recently, we have made the transition to fully masked [16] DSSCs, and we have reinvestigated the performances of masked cells incorporating $[\text{Zn}(3)(2)]^{2+}$ and $[\text{Zn}(4)(2)]^{2+}$ to allow a direct comparison with dyes containing the alkoxy tails. Duplicate DSSCs were made for each sensitizer.

External quantum efficiency (EQE) spectra for the DSSCs were recorded and Figure 3a shows spectra for cells containing $[\text{Zn}(4)(2)]^{2+}$, $[\text{Zn}(4)(5)]^{2+}$, $[\text{Zn}(4)(6)]^{2+}$ and
[Zn(4)(7)]^{2+} with the phosphonic acid anchor. Figure 3b shows the EQE spectrum of a reference DSSC containing the ruthenium(II) dye N719. Despite the orange colour of the zinc(II)-containing electrodes and their solid state absorption spectra, both of which confirm light-harvesting in the visible region, the EQE spectra in Figure 3a reveal extremely poor electron injection. The EQE_{\text{max}} are <1.4% at \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 430-450 \) nm for all the DSSCs, and the corresponding values for DSSCs containing [Zn(3)(2)]^{2+}, [Zn(3)(5)]^{2+}, [Zn(3)(6)]^{2+} and [Zn(3)(7)]^{2+} (carboxylic acid anchors) are even lower (<1.0%). Nonetheless, we note that the introduction of the alkoxy chains has a beneficial effect, further substantiated by the DSSC parameters discussed below. For comparison, the EQE spectrum of the N719 reference cell (Figure 3b) is characterized by EQE_{\text{max}} of 67.5% at \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 540 \) extending to a high energy shoulder (\( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 410 \) nm) with EQE_{\text{max}} = 54.3%.

<Figure 3 here>

3.3 DSSC performances

Performance characteristics of DSSCs containing the \( \{ \text{Zn(tpy)} \}_2 \)^{2+} dyes measured on the day of assembling the cells are shown in Tables 2 and 3. Duplicate cells were prepared for each dye and the data are compared to those measured for an N719 cell; the DSSCs correspond to those used for the EQE spectra discussed above. Data in Tables 2 and 3 refer to dyes containing the phosphonic and carboxylic acid anchors 4 and 3, respectively. It is important to note the reproducibility of data for pairs of duplicate cells which lends credence to the data, despite the poor efficiencies. Control measurements were made using a blank electrode (i.e. no adsorbed anchoring ligand or dye), yielding values of the short-circuit current density \( (J_{\text{SC}}) \approx 0.3 \text{ mA cm}^{-2} \), open-circuit voltage \( (V_{\text{OC}}) \approx 460 \text{ mV} \).
and an efficiency ($\eta$) $\approx 0.10\%$. Thus, detailed discussion of the performance parameters is not justified and we focus only on trends.

A critical observation is the effect of masking the DSSC containing the dyes $[\text{Zn}(3)(2)]^{2+}$ and $[\text{Zn}(4)(2)]^{2+}$. With unmasked DSSCs efficiencies of 0.46 and 0.63% are observed, respectively[12], but a fall to $<0.05\%$ occurs upon masking (Tables 2 and 3). This is consistent with previous comparisons of masked and unmasked DSSCs containing copper(I)-based dyes [30].

A comparison of Tables 2 and 3 suggests that the phosphonic acid anchoring ligand 4 is favoured over the dicarboxylic acid anchor 3, an observation that has been noted by Grätzel [31] and is also true for copper(I) dyes [10,30,32]. However, for both anchoring domains, values of $J_{SC}$ show that electron injection is extremely poor. This is consistent with the EQE spectra, and is the dominant factor leading to the meagre global efficiencies of $\{\text{Zn}(\text{tpy}_{\text{anchor}})(\text{tpy}_{\text{ancillary}})\}^{2+}$ dyes. Replacing methoxy substituents by butoxy groups on the periphery of the ancillary ligand results in an enhancement in both $J_{SC}$ and $V_{OC}$, and a consequent gain in the efficiency. For both 3 and 4, the trend in DSSC performances follows the order of ancillary substituents n-butyl > isobutyl > octyl > methyl. This is consistent with the solid state absorption maxima of dye-functionalized electrodes for which the intensities are $[\text{Zn}(\text{tpy}_{\text{anchor}}(5))^{2+}] > [\text{Zn}(\text{tpy}_{\text{anchor}}(6))^{2+}] > [\text{Zn}(\text{tpy}_{\text{anchor}}(7))^{2+}$ both for $\text{tpy}_{\text{anchor}} = 3$ and 4 (Figure 2).

<Tables 2 and 3 here>

4 Conclusions
An atom-efficient stepwise assembly procedure has been developed for functionalization of FTO/TiO₂ electrodes with \{Zn(tpy_{anchor})(tpy_{ancillary})\}²⁺ dyes incorporating chromophoric ancillary ligands 2, 5, 6 and 7. The intensities of the solid-state absorption maxima of the dye-functionalized TiO₂ decrease in the order [Zn(3)(5)]²⁺ > [Zn(3)(6)]²⁺ > [Zn(3)(7)]²⁺, and [Zn(4)(5)]²⁺ > [Zn(4)(6)]²⁺ > [Zn(4)(7)]²⁺. Assuming that equal soaking times in solutions of an anchoring ligand results in similar surface coverages, this is consistent with fewer surface sites being occupied (bottom of Scheme 1) as the steric demand of the ancillary ligand increases. Despite the absorption properties of the dyes, DSSC measurements reveal poor photon-to-current conversion efficiencies. A major contributing factor is the poor electron injection, seen in low values of J_{SC} and EQE_{max}. Introducing alkoxy chains (in 5, 6 and 7) into the hole-transporting domains in tpy_{ancillary} is beneficial, and leads to increased J_{SC} and V_{OC}, although absolute values remain low.

Acknowledgements
The project is supported by the European Research Council (Advanced Grant 267816 LiLo), the Swiss National Science Foundation (200020_144500) and the University of Basel. We thank M. Waser, FHNW, Basel for the preparation of ligand 4, and Dr Collin Morris and Dr Heinz Nadig for recording LC-ESI and HR-ESI mass spectra.

References


Figure and scheme captions

Scheme 1. 'Surfaces-as-ligands' approach to the assembly of copper(I) and zinc(II)-based dyes. For the copper(I) dyes, the ligands are diimines (e.g. derivatives of 2,2'-bipyridine) and for the zinc(II) dyes, the ligands are 4'-functionalized 2,2':6',2''-terpyridines.

Scheme 2. Structures of ancillary ligands 1, 2, 5–7, and anchoring ligands 3 and 4.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of 5–7. Conditions: (i) K₂CO₃, Cul, L-proline in DMSO, 90 °C, 4 days; (ii) 4'-(4-bromophenyl)-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine, NaO'Bu, Pd(bda)₂/Ph₃P, toluene, 20 hours. Atom labels refer to NMR spectroscopic assignments.

Figure 1. 500 MHz ¹H NMR spectrum of compound 5; chemical shifts in δ / ppm. * = residual CHCl₃; × = H₂O; o = traces of ethyl acetate.

Figure 2. Solid state absorption spectra of electrodes (without scattering layer) with adsorbed dyes [Zn(4)(5)]²⁺, [Zn(4)(6)]²⁺, [Zn(4)(7)]²⁺ and [Zn(3)(6)]²⁺.
Figure 3. (a) EQE spectra of DSSCs containing the dyes \([\text{Zn}(4)(2)]^{2+}, [\text{Zn}(4)(5)]^{2+}, [\text{Zn}(4)(6)]^{2+}\) and \([\text{Zn}(4)(7)]^{2+}\). (b) EQE spectrum of reference DSSC containing standard dye N719.

Figures and schemes
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### TABLES

**Table 1** Solid state absorption maxima for electrodes functionalized with zinc(II) dyes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dye</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{max}}$ / nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Zn}(3)(5)]^{2+}$</td>
<td>446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Zn}(3)(6)]^{2+}$</td>
<td>447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Zn}(3)(7)]^{2+}$</td>
<td>448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Zn}(4)(5)]^{2+}$</td>
<td>443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Zn}(4)(6)]^{2+}$</td>
<td>443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Zn}(4)(7)]^{2+}$</td>
<td>444</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** DSSC performance data for duplicate masked cells using the zinc(II) dyes with phosphonic acid anchoring ligand (4) compared to a DSSC containing N719 measured under the same conditions. Measurements were made on the day of fabricating the cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dye</th>
<th>$J_{\text{SC}}$ / mA cm$^{-2}$</th>
<th>$V_{\text{OC}}$ / mV</th>
<th>ff / %</th>
<th>$\eta$ / %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Zn}(4)(2)]^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Zn}(4)(2)]^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Zn}(4)(5)]^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Zn}(4)(5)]^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Zn}(4)(6)]^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Zn}(4)(6)]^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Zn}(4)(7)]^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Zn}(4)(7)]^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N719</td>
<td>14.59</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>6.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. DSSC performance data for duplicate masked cells using the zinc(II) dyes with dicarboxylic acid anchoring ligand (3) compared to a DSSC containing N719 measured under the same conditions. Measurements were made on the day of fabricating the cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dye</th>
<th>$J_{SC}$ / mA cm$^{-2}$</th>
<th>$V_{OC}$ / mV</th>
<th>ff / %</th>
<th>η / %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Zn(3)(2)]$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Zn(3)(2)]$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Zn(3)(5)]$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Zn(3)(5)]$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Zn(3)(6)]$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Zn(3)(6)]$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Zn(3)(7)]$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Zn(3)(7)]$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N719</td>
<td>14.59</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>6.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>