

Childhood Incident Asthma and Traffic-Related Air Pollution at Home and School

Rob McConnell,¹ Talat Islam,¹ Ketan Shankardass,² Michael Jerrett,³ Fred Lurmann,⁴ Frank Gilliland,¹ Jim Gauderman,¹ Ed Avol,¹ Nino Kunzli,⁵ Ling Yao,⁶ John Peters,¹ and Kiros Berhane¹

¹University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA; ²St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ³University of California, Berkeley, California, USA; ⁴Sonoma Technology, Inc, Petaluma, California, USA; ⁵Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Basel and University of Basel, Switzerland; ⁶United Health Group, City of Hope Hospital Medical Center, Los Angeles, California, USA

BACKGROUND: Traffic-related air pollution has been associated with adverse cardiorespiratory effects, including increased asthma prevalence. However, there has been little study of effects of traffic exposure at school on new-onset asthma.

OBJECTIVES: We evaluated the relationship of new-onset asthma with traffic-related pollution near homes and schools.

METHODS: Parent-reported physician diagnosis of new-onset asthma ($n = 120$) was identified during 3 years of follow-up of a cohort of 2,497 kindergarten and first-grade children who were asthma- and wheezing-free at study entry into the Southern California Children's Health Study. We assessed traffic-related pollution exposure based on a line source dispersion model of traffic volume, distance from home and school, and local meteorology. Regional ambient ozone, nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), and particulate matter were measured continuously at one central site monitor in each of 13 study communities. Hazard ratios (HRs) for new-onset asthma were scaled to the range of ambient central site pollutants and to the residential interquartile range for each traffic exposure metric.

RESULTS: Asthma risk increased with modeled traffic-related pollution exposure from roadways near homes [HR 1.51; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.25–1.82] and near schools (HR 1.45; 95% CI, 1.06–1.98). Ambient NO₂ measured at a central site in each community was also associated with increased risk (HR 2.18; 95% CI, 1.18–4.01). In models with both NO₂ and modeled traffic exposures, there were independent associations of asthma with traffic-related pollution at school and home, whereas the estimate for NO₂ was attenuated (HR 1.37; 95% CI, 0.69–2.71).

CONCLUSIONS: Traffic-related pollution exposure at school and homes may both contribute to the development of asthma.

KEY WORDS: air pollution, asthma, child, epidemiology, vehicular traffic. *Environ Health Perspect* 118:1021–1026 (2010). doi:10.1289/ehp.0901232 [Online 6 April 2010]

The role of air pollution in the development of new-onset asthma remains controversial, and the contribution of this environmental risk factor to the pandemic remains unclear (Eder et al. 2006; Sarnat and Holguin 2007). Although increasing evidence indicates that living near heavy traffic is associated with increased rates of asthma, some well-designed studies have found only weak or no associations (Heinrich and Wichmann 2004; Oftedal et al. 2009).

These inconsistencies may reflect incomplete assessment of exposures to traffic in the microenvironments in which children spend most of their time. Exposure at locations other than home, especially at school where children spend a large proportion of waking hours and may engage in physical activity that would increase the ventilation rate and dose of inhaled pollutants, may have strong influences on occurrence of asthma. However, few studies have examined the effect of traffic-related pollution at schools on asthma rates among children. Two cross-sectional Dutch studies that examined this question reported higher rates of respiratory symptoms among children in schools near roadways with heavy traffic, especially truck traffic (Janssen et al. 2003; van Vliet et al. 1997). A northern California study found that schools downwind from busy

freeways had higher concentrations of oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) and higher asthma prevalence rates (Kim et al. 2004). However, in a subsequent analysis of these same data, the effect of school exposure was attenuated and no longer significant after adjusting for modeled residential traffic-related exposure (Kim et al. 2008).

Nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) is routinely measured at regulatory monitoring stations. However, these measurements reflect both background regional concentrations and local sources near the stations. Some studies have assessed local exposure to traffic-related pollution using measured NO₂ (or NO_x) as surrogate for the complex mixture of traffic-related pollutants that occurs in close proximity to roadways (Brauer et al. 2007; Gauderman et al. 2005; Jerrett et al. 2008; Kim et al. 2004; Kramer et al. 2000; Nordling et al. 2008). NO₂ can feasibly be measured at a large number of locations, and it has been widely used as a proxy for the mixture of traffic-related pollutants that vary markedly depending on distance from roadways, season, wind speed, and wind direction. However, the mixture of pollutants in close proximity to roadways includes transition metals and organic aerosols, which are more plausible causes of asthma than NO₂ (Li et al. 2003).

The Southern California Children's Health Study (CHS) was designed to investigate the chronic effects of air pollution on respiratory health. Previous analyses have found associations of asthma with residential distance to major roads and modeled and measured pollutant markers for intracommunity variation in exposure to traffic (Gauderman et al. 2005; Jerrett et al. 2008; McConnell et al. 2006; Salam et al. 2007b) and with regional pollutants in susceptible children (Islam et al. 2008; McConnell et al. 2002). In the current analysis, a prospective, longitudinal evaluation of new cases of asthma has allowed assessment of exposure before the development of asthma in an ongoing cohort of school children recruited in kindergarten and first grade, an age at which physician-diagnosed asthma becomes reliable and valid (Martinez et al. 1995). Exposure to traffic-related pollutants has been estimated at participating schools and at residences. In addition, NO₂, ozone (O₃), and particulate matter < 10 μm (PM₁₀) and < 2.5 μm in aerodynamic diameter (PM_{2.5}) have been measured continuously during the lifetime of most study participants at a location in each community representative of exposure in the neighborhoods where children live (Kunzli et al. 2003; McConnell et al. 2006). This design allowed examination of the joint effects of traffic-related pollution exposure at school and home and of regional measured pollution at community

Address correspondence to R. McConnell, Department of Preventive Medicine, USC Keck School of Medicine, 1540 Alcazar St., CHP 236, Los Angeles, CA 90033 USA. Telephone: (323) 442-1096. Fax: (323) 442-3272. E-mail: rmcconne@usc.edu

Supplemental Material is available online (doi:10.1289/ehp.0901232 via <http://dx.doi.org/>).

E. Rappaport and J. Manila managed data, and B. Penfold assigned exposures estimated from residential traffic. R. Ma, R.T. Burnett, and E. Hughes assisted with the development and implementation of the random effects program.

This study was supported by National Institutes of Health grants 5P30ES007048, 5P01ES009581, 5P01ES011627, 1R01ES016535, 5R01ES014447, 5R03ES014046, and 5R01HL61768; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency grants R826708, RD831861, R831845, and R82735201; the South Coast Air Quality Management District, and the Hastings Foundation.

F.L. is employed by Sonoma Technology, Inc, (Petaluma, CA). The other authors declare they have no actual or potential competing interests.

Received 22 July 2009; accepted 22 March 2010.

monitors. We hypothesized that exposure at school and home both contribute to the risk of new-onset asthma.

Methods

Study population. Characteristics of this cohort have been described previously (McConnell

et al. 2006). Briefly, 5,349 children attending kindergarten and first grade were enrolled into a new CHS cohort during the 2002–2003 school year from 45 schools in 13 communities. Communities were selected to represent the range and mixture of regional particulate pollutants, NO₂, and O₃ in southern California.

Parents provided informed consent and completed a baseline and yearly questionnaire with information about demographic characteristics, respiratory illness, and risk factors for asthma at study entry. Children with a history of physician-diagnosed asthma at study entry (*n* = 715, 13.4%) were excluded from this follow-up, because the focus was on risks for incident asthma. To ensure that the study population was free of any previously undiagnosed asthma, we then also excluded children with a history of wheeze (*n* = 868, 16.2%) and additional children with missing information about wheeze, or missing or a “don’t know” answer about asthma (*n* = 394, 7.4%). These exclusions resulted in a total of 3,372 children classified as disease free at baseline. We excluded another 340 children with no residential traffic information because home address could not be geocoded [disproportionately from a single community, as described in the Supplemental Material (doi:10.1289/ehp.0901232)] and another 535 children who did not participate in any of the annual follow-up questionnaires. The final sample included 2,497 children.

New-onset asthma and covariates. Children with physician-diagnosed asthma reported on a yearly questionnaire during 3 years of follow-up were defined to have new-onset asthma. Children missing questionnaires in any year continued to contribute person-time to the models until they answered yes (at which time they were censored) or were lost to follow-up. The date of new onset of asthma could not be precisely defined. Therefore, the date of onset was assigned to the midpoint of the interval between the date of the questionnaire when asthma diagnosis was first reported and the date of the previous questionnaire reporting asthma status, and this date was used for estimating follow-up time in all statistical analyses. Sociodemographic characteristics, exposure to cigarette and wildfire smoke, health insurance, housing characteristics, history of allergy, and parental asthma were assessed by questionnaire [see Supplemental Material (doi:10.1289/ehp.0901232) for details].

Community ambient air pollution measurements. Ambient levels of O₃, NO₂, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} were measured continuously during follow-up at a central site monitor in each community, as described in the Supplemental Material (doi:10.1289/ehp.0901232) and in previous reports (Peters et al. 1999). Because there is marked diurnal variation in O₃ and exposure occurs largely between 1000 and 1800 hours, this average was used in all analyses. Average temperature and relative humidity were also obtained during follow-up from measurements at the monitoring stations.

Local traffic-related pollutant exposure. Exposure to traffic-related pollution was estimated using methods described previously (McConnell et al. 2006), and several metrics

Table 1. Characteristics of participants at study entry and incidence of asthma on follow-up.

Characteristic	<i>n</i> ^a (%)	Cases	IR ^b (95% CI)
Age at entry (years)			
< 6	496 (20)	22	17.0 (11.2–25.9)
6	1,178 (47)	58	19.0 (14.7–24.6)
> 6	823 (33)	40	18.9 (13.9–25.8)
Race/ethnicity			
Hispanic white	1,380 (55)	59	16.8 (13.0–21.7)
Non-Hispanic white	905 (36)	45	18.9 (14.0–25.2)
African American	77 (3.1)	6	33.9 (15.2–75.4)
Asian	97 (3.9)	8	30.8 (15.4–61.5)
Other/unknown	38 (1.5)	2	22.7 (5.7–90.8)
Sex			
Female	1,307 (52)	62	18.2 (14.2–23.3)
Male	1,190 (48)	58	19.2 (14.8–24.8)
History of allergy			
Yes	764 (34)	56	28.7 (22.1–37.4)
No	1,508 (66)	50	12.8 (6.7–24.4)
Play team sport			
Yes	918 (39)	47	19.7 (14.8–26.2)
No	1,463 (61)	67	17.9 (9.2–34.5)
Parental history of asthma			
Yes	389 (17)	31	31.3 (22.0–44.6)
No	1,899 (83)	76	15.4 (7.2–33.3)
Maternal smoking during pregnancy			
Yes	156 (6.3)	11	27.9 (15.5–50.5)
No	2,308 (93.7)	107	18.0 (5.4–60.3)
Secondhand smoke			
Yes	164 (6.7)	7	17.0 (8.1–35.6)
No	2,281 (93.3)	110	18.7 (4.1–84.0)
Mildew			
Yes	532 (22)	29	21.1 (14.7–30.4)
No	1,911 (78)	85	17.3 (7.9–37.9)
Pests in home			
Yes	1,603 (67)	75	18.1 (14.4–22.7)
No	777 (33)	36	18.2 (9.7–33.9)
Dogs in home			
Yes	730 (30)	30	15.7 (11.0–22.4)
No	1,706 (70)	86	19.7 (9.1–42.8)
Cats in home			
Yes	462 (19)	17	14.0 (8.7–22.5)
No	1,972 (81)	98	19.4 (7.2–52.2)
Indoor NO₂ source			
Yes	1,799 (73)	88	18.8 (15.3–23.2)
No	675 (27)	31	18.3 (9.9–33.9)
Wildfire exposure^c			
Yes	251 (12)	16	24.6 (15.1–40.1)
No	1,764 (88)	79	17.3 (6.2–48.2)
Health insurance			
Yes	2,135 (88)	106	19.2 (15.9–23.3)
No	300 (12)	10	13.1 (5.7–30.3)
Household income (US\$)			
≤ 14,999	329 (14)	16	19.5 (11.9–31.8)
15,000–49,999	714 (31)	38	21.1 (15.4–29.0)
> 50,000	1,292 (55)	57	16.6 (12.8–21.6)
Parental education			
Less than high school	508 (21)	23	17.9 (11.9–26.9)
At least high school	452 (19)	20	17.5 (11.3–27.1)
Some college	850 (36)	47	20.0 (14.9–26.9)
College and above	560 (24)	24	13.9 (9.1–21.3)
Total	2,497	120	18.7 (15.6–22.3)

^aNumbers may not add up to 2,497 in some subgroups because of missing data. ^bCrude incidence (IR) rate per 1,000 person-years. ^cOccurred in 2003 during first year of follow-up.

of local traffic related exposure were compiled. Participant residence and school addresses were geocoded, and we estimated distances to the nearest freeway or other highways or arterial roads and traffic density within 150 m of each child's residence and school. Concentrations of pollutants from local vehicle emissions at homes and schools were estimated separately from freeway and nonfreeway sources, using a line source dispersion model of the incremental contribution by these nearby sources to oxides of nitrogen above the regional background levels (Benson 1989). The modeled annual concentration estimates (parts per billion) were based on distance to roadways, vehicle counts, vehicle NO_x emission rates, wind speed and direction, and height of the mixing layer in each community. However, these modeled exposures reflect the mixture of multiple pollutants from nearby traffic, and the high correlation of pollutants in the mixture precludes identifying the effect of any specific pollutant in the mixture, as described in the Supplemental Material (doi:10.1289/ehp.0901232). For brevity, these modeled exposures will be referred to as traffic-related pollutants (TRP). An estimate of combined school and home exposure to traffic was made by weighting the estimates by approximate time at school (35 hr weekly, or 21%) and assigning the remaining 79% of time to the home. Health effect estimates based on models in which the contribution of school to the combined exposure was reduced to 16% to account for a lack of school exposure during summer recess were very similar (data not shown).

Statistical methods. We fitted a multilevel Cox proportional hazards model that allows for assessment of residual variation in time to asthma onset and also for clustering of children around schools and communities (Ma et al. 2003). Letting u_i and u_{ij} denote community- and school (within community)-level random effects, with u_{ij} assumed to be positive and independent conditional on u_i , we fit the following model:

$$h_{ijl}(t) = h_{0s}(t) u_i \exp(\beta X_{ijl} + \delta^T Z_{ijl}), \quad [1]$$

where, for the l th subject in the i th community, and j th school, $h_{ijl}(t)$ is the hazard function at age t ; $h_{0s}(t)$ is the baseline hazard function in stratum s (defined by age at study entry and sex), X_{ijl} is a traffic pollution exposure metric, and Z_{ijl} are other individual covariates, such as secondhand smoke exposure, pets in the home, and other possible confounders. All models included race/ethnicity.

The model allowed for joint evaluation of the effects of exposure to traffic-related pollutants at homes and at schools and to ambient pollutants measured at community central sites, with effects scaled to the interquartile range (IQR) for each metric of residential exposure (e.g., for TRP from the

line source dispersion model) and to the total range across the 13 communities, respectively. Traffic exposure at homes and school were correlated. Therefore, in models including both exposures, home traffic estimates were deviated from the corresponding school metric to minimize the chance of collinearity and allow for valid independent effect estimates (for example, r -square for school with deviated home TRP were < 0.38 for freeway, nonfreeway, and total TRP). Although the school-specific estimates of exposure were of primary interest, models including the average residential exposure corresponding to each school (and residential exposures deviated from this average) were also fitted [see Supplemental Material (doi:10.1289/ehp.0901232) for details]. Potential confounders were evaluated one at a time, based on whether the estimates for the pollutant associations changed by > 10%. We assessed heterogeneity of traffic pollution effects by level of community central site regional pollutant measurements by comparing nested models using a partial likelihood ratio test with and without interaction terms. We examined any potential nonlinearity in the exposure-response relationship using cubic spline terms, piecewise polynomials joined smoothly at a number of break points (Hastie and Tibshirani 1990), for the exposure terms and comparing the nested models using a partial likelihood ratio test. All analyses were conducted using software designed to run within R software (R Development Core Team, Vienna, Austria) for implementing random effects Cox proportional hazards models (Krewski et al. 2009; Ma et al. 2003). All hypotheses were tested

assuming a 0.05 significance level and a two-sided alternative hypothesis.

Results

Most of the 2,497 disease-free children included in this analysis were ≤ 6 years of age (range, 4.8–9.0 years) at study entry (Table 1). There were 120 new cases of asthma, resulting in an incidence rate of approximately 18.7 cases per 1,000 person-years (based on 6,434 person-years of follow-up). Rates did not differ by age at study entry or sex. About half the children were Hispanic whites, and they had the lowest rates of asthma (16.8/1,000 person years), whereas African Americans had the highest rate (33.9/1,000 person-years), but this was based on only six cases. Substantially higher rates were also observed for children with history of allergy, parental history of asthma, and maternal smoking during pregnancy.

Both residential and school TRP metrics had a wide range with skewed distributions (Table 2). For example, the mean and median for nonfreeway residential TRP were 7.3 and 6.1 ppb, respectively, and the IQR was 8.0 ppb, with a total range from 0.08 to a maximum of 55.1 ppb. A few homes and schools had very low freeway TRP (largely in a single community that has no freeways). There was ≥ 3-fold variation in the multiyear average NO₂, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} from the lowest to highest pollution community for measurements at the central site monitors. O₃ levels varied by 2-fold.

The associations of new-onset asthma were strongest with nonfreeway TRP. The hazard ratio (HR) at homes was 1.51; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.25–1.81; $p < 0.001$

Table 2. Distribution of annual average residential traffic-modeled pollution and of community central site measurements.

	Mean	Median	IQR	Minimum	Maximum	Range
Residential traffic (ppb)						
Nonfreeway TRP	7.3	6.1	8	0.08	55.1	55
Freeway TRP	11.1	7.3	13	< 0.0001	134.5	134.5
Total TRP	18.4	14.6	20.9	0.23	144.1	143.9
School traffic (ppb)						
Nonfreeway TRP	6.1	5.9	5.9	0.3	19.7	19.4
Freeway TRP	10.9	8.2	15.2	< 0.0001	39.9	39.9
Total TRP	17	11.9	18.5	0.7	51.4	50.7
Central-site measurements						
NO ₂ (ppb)	20.4	21.8	12.8	8.7	32.3	23.6
PM ₁₀ (μg/m ³)	35.5	34	11.7	17.6	61.5	43.9
PM _{2.5} (μg/m ³)	13.9	15.1	9.7	6.3	23.7	17.4
1000–1800 hours O ₃ (ppb)	44.6	43.6	11.1	29.5	59.8	30.3

Table 3. Association between new-onset asthma and modeled exposure at home and school.

Traffic-related exposure ^a	Home HR ^b (95% CI)	School HR ^b (95% CI)	Combined ^c HR ^b (95% CI)
Nonfreeway TRP	1.51 (1.25–1.81)*	1.45 (1.06–1.98)	1.61 (1.29–2.00)*
Freeway TRP	1.12 (0.95–1.31)	1.08 (0.86–1.34)	1.12 (0.94–1.35)
Total TRP	1.32 (1.08–1.61)**	1.20 (0.91–1.58)	1.34 (1.07–1.68)

^aScaled to the IQR at homes for each metric (from Table 2). ^bHR (95% CI, adjusted for race/ethnicity and for baseline hazards strata of age at study entry and sex) with random effects for community and school. ^cCombined weighted for time at home and school. * $p < 0.001$. ** $p < 0.01$.

(Table 3). The HR for exposure at schools was almost as large as for residential exposure (HR = 1.45; 95% CI, 1.06–1.98), and the combined average exposure weighted for time at school and home had a slightly stronger association (HR = 1.61; 95% CI, 1.29–2.00). These associations were not confounded by any of the covariates in Table 1 or by community relative humidity, which we have previously found to confound TRP exposure (Jerrett et al. 2008). There also were no significant interactions of either residential or school nonfreeway TRP with sex, allergy, or parental history of asthma, which we have previously shown modified effects of traffic exposure (McConnell et al. 2006). Similar effect sizes were observed in sensitivity analyses restricted to lifetime residents at the same address, analyses excluding children diagnosed in the first year of follow-up and excluding children who changed schools during the follow-up period. There was little evidence of nonlinearity in the exposure–response relationship based on sensitivity analyses comparing the fit of a smoothed cubic spline model of asthma with a linear model (*p*-value > 0.80) for the partial likelihood ratio test for models with 3 and 5 knots compared with the linear model. Weaker associations were observed with total TRP at homes (HR = 1.32; 95% CI, 1.08–1.61) and school (HR = 1.20; 95% CI, 0.91–1.58). There were weaker and non-significant associations with TRP modeled from freeways. Nonfreeway TRP was moderately to strongly correlated with freeway TRP at homes (*R* = 0.64) and at schools [*R* = 0.70; Supplemental Material, Table E-1, (doi:10.1289/ehp.0901232)]. However, in models co-adjusted for freeway and nonfreeway TRP, the nonfreeway effect estimates for school and home were similar to the unadjusted estimates.

Of the regional community central-site pollutants, NO₂ was associated with more than double the risk of new-onset asthma (HR = 2.17; 95% CI, 1.18–4.00; *p* = 0.01) over the range of exposure (23.6 ppb) across the 13 study communities (Table 4). In a model with NO₂, school and residential nonfreeway TRP exposure, the estimate for NO₂ was attenuated (HR = 1.37; 95% CI, 0.69–2.71; Table 5).

However, the adjusted associations of asthma with nonfreeway TRP at homes (HR = 1.46) and schools (HR = 1.45) in Table 5 were very similar to the unadjusted effect estimates (HR = 1.51 and 1.45, respectively, shown in Table 3). In sensitivity analyses, a similar pattern of attenuation of regional NO₂ effect estimates was observed in models weighted for time at school and home (results not shown), and in models adjusted for total (freeway plus nonfreeway) TRP exposure at school and home [Supplemental Material, Table E-2 (doi:10.1289/ehp.0901232)]. There were neither statistically significant interactions of central site pollutants with residential TRP nor any consistent patterns suggestive of different effects in high and low regional pollutant communities (results not shown). We have previously shown that O₃ modified the association of team sports participation with new-onset asthma in an older cohort (McConnell et al. 2002), but there was neither a main effect of sports nor confounding or effect modification of effects of residential TRP or ambient central monitor pollutant exposure.

Distance to freeways and other major roads and traffic volume on those roads have been used in other studies as independent predictors of traffic exposure, so we examined the distribution [Supplemental Material, Table E-3 (doi:10.1289/ehp.0901232)] and correlations of these metrics (Supplemental Material, Table E-1) and the association at school and home with asthma (Supplemental Material, Tables E-4 and E-5). In general, these exposure metrics were weakly to moderately correlated with the TRP metrics but were not consistently associated with asthma in our data set.

Discussion

The study is unique in its prospective assessment of the relationship of new-onset childhood asthma to community regional air pollution and near-source traffic-related exposure at home and in a large number of schools. The results indicate that associations of asthma with traffic-related pollution from nearby sources at schools were independent of estimated effects of exposures at homes, and these effects were of similar size over a range of exposure common in Southern California.

Evidence of a school effect comparable with that associated with the much larger amount of time spent at home could potentially be explained by physical education and other exercise at school that may increase ventilation rate and dose of pollutants to the lungs and thereby increase the risk associated with exposure. Traffic-related pollutant levels may also be considerably higher during the morning hours, when children are arriving at school, especially during temperature inversions that occur largely in the winter months when children are attending school (Kim et al. 2002; Ning et al. 2007). It is possible that school exposure may have reflected time spent in other locations in the child’s neighborhood rather than school-specific exposure, and this possibility merits further investigation. However, time at school during the school year accounts for more than one-third of all waking hours, and in this age group it is likely that most of the child’s remaining nonschool time was spent at home (Xue et al. 2004). The increased risk associated with TRP could not be explained by confounders commonly associated with asthma or by ambient NO₂ or other currently regulated regional pollutants measured at the central-site monitoring stations. These results strengthen an emerging body of evidence from both toxicologic and epidemiologic studies that air pollutants from nearby traffic contribute to the development of asthma (Salam et al. 2008).

NO₂ measured at community monitoring stations has been associated with wheeze prevalence in an older CHS cohort (Peters et al. 1999) and with increased risk of asthma incidence in a Japanese cohort (Shima et al. 2002). We have recently reported associations of both incident and prevalent asthma with ambient residential NO₂ measured outside homes of a relatively small sample of children from another CHS cohort in many of the same communities, but NO₂ in that study was a marker for intracommunity variation in the mixture of TRPs (Gauderman et al. 2005; Jerrett et al. 2008). Other studies also indicate that associations of respiratory disease with intracommunity variation in NO₂ reflect the effects of other TRP rather than NO₂ (Kramer et al. 2000). A study that measured NO₂ and NO_x in central neighborhood

Table 4. Association of new-onset asthma with community central site pollutant measurements.

Pollutant	HR ^a (95% CI)
NO ₂	2.17 (1.18–4.00)
PM ₁₀	1.35 (0.64–2.85)
PM _{2.5}	1.66 (0.91–3.05)
O ₃	0.76 (0.38–1.54)

^aHR (95% CI) across the range of exposure in the 13 communities (23.6 ppb for NO₂, 43.9 µg/m³ PM₁₀, 17.4 µg/m³ PM_{2.5}, and 30.3 ppb for 1000–1800 hours O₃), adjusted for race/ethnicity and for baseline hazards strata of age at study entry and sex with random effects for community and school.

Table 5. Mutually adjusted associations of new-onset asthma with community central site pollutant measurements and nonfreeway TRP at home and school.^a

Central site pollutant	HR ^b (95% CI) for ambient pollutant, adjusted for TRP at home and school	HR ^b (95% CI) for home TRP, adjusted for school TRP and ambient pollutant	HR ^b (95% CI) for school TRP, adjusted for home TRP and ambient pollutant
NO ₂	1.37 (0.69–2.71)	1.46 (1.16–1.84)*	1.45 (1.03–2.06)
PM ₁₀	1.40 (0.62–3.17)	1.46 (1.16–1.85)*	1.53 (1.10–2.12)*
PM _{2.5}	1.30 (0.66–2.56)	1.48 (1.19–1.85)*	1.49 (1.07–2.08)
O ₃	1.01 (0.49–2.11)	1.50 (1.20–1.86)*	1.54 (1.10–2.14)*

^aMutually adjusted across each row (i.e., effect of each community pollutant was examined separately in a model including both home and school TRP). ^bHR (95% CI) for central-site pollutants scaled across the range of exposure in the 13 communities (23.6 ppb for NO₂, 43.9 µg/m³ PM₁₀, 17.4 µg/m³ PM_{2.5}, and 30.3 ppb for 1000–1800 hours O₃); household nonfreeway TRP was deviated from school, scaled to the IQR for home exposure (8 ppb from Table 2). **p* < 0.01.

locations found that associations with asthma were attenuated by adjustment for modeled residential traffic exposure (Kim et al. 2004, 2008). In the current study, the attenuated association between asthma and NO₂ continuously measured at the community monitor in models with adjustment for TRP also suggests that NO₂ was not causally related to asthma. However, interpretation of this result is not clear because exposure measured at the community monitor may have misclassified exposures of children in parts of the community with significant local sources of NO₂. We did not find evidence for main effects of regional O₃ and PM with asthma, consistent with results from previous analyses in older CHS cohorts, although we have previously shown that O₃ modified the effect of outdoor exercise in a genetically susceptible subgroup (Islam et al. 2009; McConnell et al. 2002).

Associations with asthma were significant for TRP exposure estimates modeled from local nonfreeway roadway proximity, traffic volume, and meteorology. There was little evidence for an effect of major roadway proximity alone, for traffic density, or for pollution from freeways. The absence of a freeway TRP effect suggests that causal pollutants may be highly reactive, resulting in steep spatial gradients from freeway sources and little exposure at the longer distances of homes and schools to freeways compared with distance to other major roads [Supplemental Material, Table E-3 (doi:10.1289/ehp.0901232)]. Several previous studies have found that the largest gradients in traffic-related pollutants occur within 150 m from roadways (Gilbert et al. 2003; Zhu et al. 2002), and variability has often been best explained by traffic volume within 300 m (Briggs et al. 2000; Gilbert et al. 2003; Ross et al. 2006). High-volume traffic on secondary roads may also produce pollutants resulting from frequent stops and accelerations, which have been found to be associated with asthma symptoms (Ryan et al. 2005). However, in an older cohort from some of these same communities, we observed associations of freeway-modeled exposures and residential freeway proximity with respiratory outcomes (Gauderman et al. 2005, 2007). Different traffic metric associations with respiratory health may have been due to variation in the distribution of freeway and nonfreeway traffic around homes in the different cohorts and the relatively small number of children living near freeways (< 7% within 150 m in the current cohort). It is also possible that the accuracy of exposure models for the freeway and nonfreeway sources varied in the two cohorts or that the greater mobility of older children resulted in health effects associated with spending time in areas such as parks in close proximity to freeways near homes.

An important distinction between the TRP and simpler traffic metrics is the

inclusion of meteorology (average annual wind speed and direction and height of the mixing layer) in addition to proximity and volume. Traffic density alone [Supplemental Material, Table E-4 (doi:10.1289/ehp.0901232)] and proximity to a major roadway (Supplemental Material, Tables E-4 and E-5) had weaker positive associations with incident asthma that were not statistically significant. Therefore, inconsistent findings in some of the (largely cross-sectional) studies that have evaluated associations with asthma (Heinrich and Wichmann 2004) may reflect the failure to account for the impact of meteorology on exposure. We have recently measured NO_x at > 900 locations in these communities. Approximately two thirds of the within-community variability in NO_x was explained by TRP, a substantially higher amount of variation than was explained by any other traffic metric (unpublished data). We have also previously observed that both TRP and residential traffic proximity were associated with increased asthma prevalence in this cohort (McConnell et al. 2006).

The prospective design is a strength of this study. In other prospective studies of birth cohorts in Sweden and the Netherlands, modeled traffic-related pollutants, including NO_x and/or PM_{2.5}, were associated with incidence of wheeze and atopy (Nordling et al. 2008) and doctor-diagnosed asthma (Brauer et al. 2007) in children < 5 years of age, when the diagnosis of asthma may be difficult to distinguish from transient wheeze not predictive of asthma. As these cohorts mature, the relationship to asthma is likely to become more clear. For example, associations between PM_{2.5} light absorption, roadway proximity, and asthmatic bronchitis and atopy were found in a study of two German birth cohorts that followed some children to 6 years of age (Morgenstern et al. 2008). Associations with allergic symptoms in these cohorts were less robust at an earlier age (Gehring et al. 2002). Other recent results from prospective studies of birth cohorts (Gehring et al. 2009) and of children (Shima et al. 2002) followed to older ages have generally shown positive associations between markers of residential TRP and asthma, although a recent Norwegian study found no association with modeled exposure at the birth address of a large cohort of children (Oftedal et al. 2009). Genetic studies examining pathways likely to mediate effects of air pollution also strengthen the causal inference that traffic-related pollutants may cause asthma. We have shown elsewhere that the risk of asthma associated with traffic exposure and with traffic-related ambient PM was modified in a predictable way by functional gene variants in pathways associated with asthma, including inflammation and airway remodeling (Salam et al. 2007a) and oxidative stress (Islam et al. 2008; Salam et al.

2007b). In another analysis of the data from this cohort, we have shown that the estimated effect of TRP was modified by socioeconomic status and psychosocial stress (Shankardass et al. 2009).

There are some limitations to these data. Our conservative estimates of TRP for the center of school buildings are likely to underestimate the exposure of students in buildings closer to the roadways, especially during dropoff and pickup of students at the beginning and end of the school day. The impact of this underestimate of exposure on the assessment of health effects is not clear, although in general it might be expected that this exposure misclassification would be nondifferential with respect to asthma and would result in underestimation of the effect of traffic exposure. Other limitations include the relatively short (3-year) period of follow-up and the retrospective questionnaire assessment of early-life risk factors, as this was not a pregnancy cohort. Our cohort excluded children who left the community before school entry and who had asthma or wheeze at study entry. However, our cohort allowed us to examine risk factors in school children, an age during which there has been little study of new-onset asthma.

Asthma is a clinical syndrome with no sensitive and specific diagnostic test available to confirm clinical assessments. Because of the clinical nature of the assessment, the reported physician diagnosis of asthma we used has been recommended and widely used as a method to classify asthma status in epidemiologic studies, although this approach has limitations (Asher et al. 1995; Burr 1992). If misclassification of true asthma based on this approach were random with respect to exposure to air pollution, then the observed associations would be likely to have underestimated the effect of exposure. Bias could explain our results if asthma misclassification were related also to exposure. This could occur if exposure were associated with access to care and differences in practice among physicians that have the potential to influence asthma diagnosis (Samet 1987). However, adjustment for factors that mediate access to care including family income, parental education, and having medical insurance did not alter our results, indicating that confounding due to differential access to care was unlikely to explain these findings. The validity of questionnaire-reported physician diagnosis has also been established in a subset of CHS participants (Salam et al. 2007a). In addition, any child with a history of wheezing at study entry was excluded from the analysis, so it is unlikely that the exacerbation of undiagnosed prevalent asthma by traffic explained these associations. Loss to follow-up could also potentially result in bias because of selection. Sociodemographic characteristics varied between the analysis cohort and children

not contributing to the analysis because of loss to follow-up or missing or poorly matched geocodes for addresses precluding estimation of residential exposure [Supplemental Material, Table E-6 (doi:10.1289/ehp.0901232)]. Hispanic children were less likely than non-Hispanic white children to be included in the follow-up analysis, as were children without insurance and with lower parental education and income. However, adjusting for these factors had little impact on the pattern of observed effects. In addition, there was little difference between exposure to nonfreeway TRP in the study sample (7.3 ppb) and in the group not included because of loss to follow-up (7.6 ppb). Therefore, it is unlikely that selection bias due to loss to follow-up explained our results.

Conclusions

An estimated 6.2 million children have asthma in the United States, making it the most common chronic disease in childhood (Moorman et al. 2007), and rates have increased markedly in developed countries over the past several decades (Braman 2006). Morbidity results in impaired quality of life for the affected child and other family members, in increased use of health services, and in school absences that have large social and economic costs (Wang et al. 2005). Our results indicate that children exposed to higher levels of traffic-related air pollution at school and home are at increased risk of developing asthma. Almost 10% of public schools in California are located within 150 m of roadways with > 25,000 vehicles daily (Green et al. 2004). Students in urban areas in eastern U.S. cities are even more likely than children in Los Angeles to attend schools near major highways (Appatova et al. 2008). Therefore, exposure to TRP is potentially an important public health problem affecting large populations of children. Planning transportation and other urban development to limit population exposure to traffic exhaust, as well as more effective control of vehicular emissions, may result in substantial long-term public health benefits.

REFERENCES

Appatova AS, Ryan PH, Lemasters GK, Gruinshpun SA. 2008. Proximal exposure of public schools and students to major roadways: a nationwide US survey. *J Environ Plann Manage* 51:631–646.

Asher MI, Keil U, Anderson HR, Beasley R, Crane J, Martinez F, et al. 1995. International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC): rationale and methods. *Eur Respir J* 8(3):483–491.

Benson P. 1989. Caline4—A Dispersion Model for Predicting Air Pollution Concentration Near Roadways. Sacramento:California Department of Transportation, Office of Transportation Laboratory.

Braman SS. 2006. The global burden of asthma. *Chest* 130(suppl 1):4S–12S.

Brauer M, Hoek G, Smit HA, de Jongste JC, Gerritsen J, Postma DS, et al. 2007. Air pollution and development

of asthma, allergy and infections in a birth cohort. *Eur Respir J* 29(5):879–888.

Briggs DJ, de Hoogh C, Gulliver J, Wills J, Elliott P, Kingham S, et al. 2000. A regression-based method for mapping traffic-related air pollution: application and testing in four contrasting urban environments. *Sci Total Environ* 253(1–3):151–167.

Burr ML. 1992. Diagnosing asthma by questionnaire in epidemiological surveys [Editorial]. *Clin Exp Allergy* 22(5): 509–510.

Eder W, Ege MJ, von Mutius E. 2006. The asthma epidemic. *N Engl J Med* 355(21):2226–2235.

Gauderman WJ, Avol E, Lurmann F, Kuenzli N, Gilliland F, Peters J, et al. 2005. Childhood asthma and exposure to traffic and nitrogen dioxide. *Epidemiology* 16(6):737–743.

Gauderman WJ, Vora H, McConnell R, Berhane K, Gilliland F, Thomas D, et al. 2007. Effect of exposure to traffic on lung development from 10 to 18 years of age: a cohort study. *Lancet* 369(9561):571–577.

Gehring U, Cyrys J, Sedlmeir G, Brunekreef B, Bellander T, Fischer P, et al. 2002. Traffic-related air pollution and respiratory health during the first 2 yrs of life. *Eur Respir J* 19(4):690–698.

Gehring U, Wijga AH, Brauer M, Fischer P, de Jongste JC, Kerkhof M, et al. 2009. Traffic-related air pollution and the development of asthma and allergies during the first 8 years of life. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 181(6):596–603.

Gilbert NL, Woodhouse S, Stieb DM, Brook JR. 2003. Ambient nitrogen dioxide and distance from a major highway. *Sci Total Environ* 312(1–3):43–46.

Green RS, Smorodinsky S, Kim JJ, McLaughlin R, Ostro B. 2004. Proximity of California public schools to busy roads. *Environ Health Perspect* 112(1):61–66.

Hastie TJ, Tibshirani RJ. 1990. *Generalized Additive Models*. London:Chapman & Hall.

Heinrich J, Wichmann HE. 2004. Traffic related pollutants in Europe and their effect on allergic disease. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol* 4(5):341–348.

Islam T, Berhane K, McConnell R, Gauderman WJ, Avol E, Peters JM, et al. 2009. Glutathione-S-transferase (GST) P1, GSTM1, exercise, ozone and asthma incidence in school children. *Thorax* 64(3):197–202.

Islam T, McConnell R, Gauderman WJ, Avol E, Peters JM, Gilliland FD. 2008. Ozone, oxidant defense genes, and risk of asthma during adolescence. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 177(4):388–395.

Janssen NA, Brunekreef B, van Vliet P, Aarts F, Meliefste K, Harssema H, et al. 2003. The relationship between air pollution from heavy traffic and allergic sensitization, bronchial hyperresponsiveness, and respiratory symptoms in Dutch schoolchildren. *Environ Health Perspect* 111:1512–1518.

Jerrett M, Shankardass K, Berhane K, Gauderman WJ, Künzli N, Avol E, et al. 2008. Traffic-related air pollution and asthma onset in children: a prospective cohort study with individual exposure measurement. *Environ Health Perspect* 116:1433–1438.

Kim JJ, Huen K, Adams S, Smorodinsky S, Hoats A, Malig B, et al. 2008. Residential traffic and children's respiratory health. *Environ Health Perspect* 116:1274–1279.

Kim S, Shen S, Sioutas C. 2002. Size distribution and diurnal and seasonal trends of ultrafine particles in source and receptor sites of the Los Angeles basin. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 52(3):297–307.

Kim JJ, Smorodinsky S, Lipsett M, Singer BC, Hodgson AT, Ostro B. 2004. Traffic-related air pollution near busy roads: the East Bay Children's Respiratory Health Study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 170(5):520–526.

Kramer U, Koch T, Ranft U, Ring J, Behrendt H. 2000. Traffic-related air pollution is associated with atopy in children living in urban areas. *Epidemiology* 11(1):64–70.

Krewski D, Jerrett M, Burnett RT, Ma R, Hughes E, Shi Y, et al. 2009. Extended follow-up and spatial analysis of the American Cancer Society study linking particulate air pollution and mortality. *Res Rep Health Eff Inst* 140:5–114.

Kunzli N, McConnell R, Bates D, Bastain T, Hricko A, Lurmann F, et al. 2003. Breathless in Los Angeles: the exhausting search for clean air. *Am J Public Health* 93(9):1494–1499.

Li N, Hao M, Phalen RF, Hinds WC, Nel AE. 2003. Particulate air pollutants and asthma. A paradigm for the role of oxidative stress in PM-induced adverse health effects. *Clin Immunol* 109(3):250–265.

Ma R, Krewski D, Burnett RT. 2003. Random effects Cox models: a Poisson modeling approach. *Biometrika* 90:157–169.

Martinez FD, Wright AL, Taussig LM, Holberg CJ, Halonen M, Morgan WJ. 1995. Asthma and wheezing in the first six years of life. The Group Health Medical Associates. *N Engl J Med* 332(3):133–138.

McConnell R, Berhane K, Gilliland F, London SJ, Islam T, Gauderman WJ, et al. 2002. Asthma in exercising children exposed to ozone: a cohort study. *Lancet* 359(9304):386–391.

McConnell R, Berhane K, Yao L, Jerrett M, Lurmann F, Gilliland F, et al. 2006. Traffic, susceptibility, and childhood asthma. *Environ Health Perspect* 114:766–772.

Moorman JE, Rudd RA, Johnson CA, King M, Minor P, Bailey C, et al. 2007. National surveillance for asthma—United States, 1980–2004. *MMWR Surveill Summ* 56(8):1–54.

Morgenstern V, Zutavern A, Cyrys J, Brockow I, Koletzko S, Kramer U, et al. 2008. Atopic diseases, allergic sensitization, and exposure to traffic-related air pollution in children. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 177(12):1331–1337.

Ning Z, Geller MD, Moore KF, Sheesley R, Schauer JJ, Sioutas C. 2007. Daily variation in chemical characteristics of urban ultrafine aerosols and inference of their sources. *Environ Sci Technol* 41(17):6000–6006.

Nordling E, Berglind N, Melen E, Emenius G, Hallberg J, Nyberg F, et al. 2008. Traffic-related air pollution and childhood respiratory symptoms, function and allergies. *Epidemiology* 19(3):401–408.

Oftedal B, Nystad W, Brunekreef B, Nafstad P. 2009. Long-term traffic-related exposures and asthma onset in schoolchildren in Oslo, Norway. *Environ Health Perspect* 117:839–844.

Peters JM, Avol E, Navidi W, London SJ, Gauderman WJ, Lurmann F, et al. 1999. A study of twelve Southern California communities with differing levels and types of air pollution. I. Prevalence of respiratory morbidity. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 159(3):760–767.

Ross Z, English PB, Scalfo R, Gunier R, Smorodinsky S, Wall S, et al. 2006. Nitrogen dioxide prediction in southern California using land use regression modeling: potential for environmental health analyses. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol* 16(2):106–114.

Ryan PH, LeMasters G, Biagini J, Bernstein D, Grinshpun SA, Shukla R, et al. 2005. Is it traffic type, volume, or distance? Wheezing in infants living near truck and bus traffic. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 116(2):279–284.

Salam MT, Gauderman WJ, McConnell R, Lin PC, Gilliland FD. 2007a. Transforming growth factor-β1 C-509T polymorphism, oxidant stress, and early-onset childhood asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 176(12):1192–1199.

Salam MT, Islam T, Gilliland FD. 2008. Recent evidence for adverse effects of residential proximity to traffic sources on asthma. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 14(1):3–8.

Salam MT, Lin PC, Avol EL, Gauderman WJ, Gilliland FD. 2007b. Microsomal epoxide hydrolase, glutathione S-transferase P1, traffic and childhood asthma. *Thorax* 62(12):1050–1057.

Samet JM. 1987. Epidemiologic approaches for the identification of asthma. *Chest* 91(6 suppl):74S–78S.

Sarnat JA, Holguin F. 2007. Asthma and air quality. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 13(1):63–66.

Shankardass K, McConnell R, Jerrett M, Milam J, Richardson J, Berhane K. 2009. Parental stress increases the effect of air pollution on childhood asthma incidence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 106:12406–12411.

Shima M, Nitta Y, Ando M, Adachi M. 2002. Effects of air pollution on the prevalence and incidence of asthma in children. *Arch Environ Health* 57(6):529–535.

van Vliet P, Knappe M, de Hartog J, Janssen N, Harssema H, Brunekreef B. 1997. Motor vehicle exhaust and chronic respiratory symptoms in children living near freeways. *Environ Res* 74(2):122–132.

Wang LY, Zhong Y, Wheeler L. 2005. Direct and indirect costs of asthma in school-age children [Abstract]. *Prev Chronic Dis* 2(1):A11.

Xue J, McCurdy T, Spengler J, Ozkaynak H. 2004. Understanding variability in time spent in selected locations for 7–12-year old children. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol* 14(3):222–233.

Zhu Y, Hinds WC, Kim S, Sioutas C. 2002. Concentration and size distribution of ultrafine particles near a major highway. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 52(9):1032–1042.