Mobility, Microtubule Nucleation and Structure of Microtubule-organizing Centers in Multinucleated Hyphae of *Ashbya gossypii*
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We investigated the migration of multiple nuclei in hyphae of the filamentous fungus *Ashbya gossypii*. Three types of cytoplasmic microtubule (cMT)-dependent nuclear movements were characterized using live cell imaging: short-range oscillations (up to 4.5 μm/min), rotations (up to 180° in 30 s), and long-range nuclear bypassing (up to 9 μm/min). These movements were superimposed on a cMT-independent mode of nuclear migration, cotransport with the cytoplasmic stream. This latter mode is sufficient to support wild-type-like hyphal growth speeds. cMT-dependent nuclear movements were led by a nuclear-associated microtubule-organizing center, the spindle pole body (SPB), which is the sole site of microtubule nucleation in *A. gossypii*. Analysis of *A. gossypii* SPBs by electron microscopy revealed an overall laminar structure similar to the budding yeast SPB but with distinct differences at the cytoplasmic side. Up to six perpendicular and tangential cMTs emanated from a more spherical outer plaque. The perpendicular and tangential cMTs most likely correspond to short, often cortex-associated cMTs and to long, hyphal growth-axis–oriented cMTs, respectively, seen by in vivo imaging. Each SPB nucleates its own array of cMTs, and the lack of overlapping cMT arrays between neighboring nuclei explains the autonomous nuclear oscillations and bypassing observed in *A. gossypii* hyphae.

INTRODUCTION

Nuclear migration is essential for normal growth and development of basically all eukaryotes (reviewed in Morris, 2000). In many cells, controlled nuclear migration depends on microtubules and their organizing centers (MTOCs). Despite being a morphologically diverse group of organelles, the function of MTOCs in nucleating and anchoring microtubules is highly conserved throughout all eukaryotes. Well-established and researched examples of MTOCs are the nuclear-associated centrosome of animal cells and the spindle pole body (SPB) of budding yeast (reviewed in Jaspersen et al., 2000). In many cells, controlled nuclear migration depends on microtubules and their organizing centers (MTOCs). Despite being a morphologically diverse group of organelles, the function of MTOCs in nucleating and anchoring microtubules is highly conserved throughout all eukaryotes. Well-established and researched examples of MTOCs are the nuclear-associated centrosome of animal cells and the spindle pole body (SPB) of budding yeast (reviewed in Jaspersen et al., 2000). In many cells, controlled nuclear migration depends on microtubules and their organizing centers (MTOCs). Despite being a morphologically diverse group of organelles, the function of MTOCs in nucleating and anchoring microtubules is highly conserved throughout all eukaryotes. Well-established and researched examples of MTOCs are the nuclear-associated centrosome of animal cells and the spindle pole body (SPB) of budding yeast (reviewed in Jaspersen et al., 2000). In many cells, controlled nuclear migration depends on microtubules and their organizing centers (MTOCs). Despite being a morphologically diverse group of organelles, the function of MTOCs in nucleating and anchoring microtubules is highly conserved throughout all eukaryotes. Well-established and researched examples of MTOCs are the nuclear-associated centrosome of animal cells and the spindle pole body (SPB) of budding yeast (reviewed in Jaspersen et al., 2000). In many cells, controlled nuclear migration depends on microtubules and their organizing centers (MTOCs). Despite being a morphologically diverse group of organelles, the function of MTOCs in nucleating and anchoring microtubules is highly conserved throughout all eukaryotes. Well-established and researched examples of MTOCs are the nuclear-associated centrosome of animal cells and the spindle pole body (SPB) of budding yeast (reviewed in Jaspersen et al., 2000). In many cells, controlled nuclear migration depends on microtubules and their organizing centers (MTOCs). Despite being a morphologically diverse group of organelles, the function of MTOCs in nucleating and anchoring microtubules is highly conserved throughout all eukaryotes. Well-established and researched examples of MTOCs are the nuclear-associated centrosome of animal cells and the spindle pole body (SPB) of budding yeast (reviewed in Jaspersen et al., 2000). In many cells, controlled nuclear migration depends on microtubules and their organizing centers (MTOCs). Despite being a morphologically diverse group of organelles, the function of MTOCs in nucleating and anchoring microtubules is highly conserved throughout all eukaryotes. Well-established and researched examples of MTOCs are the nuclear-associated centrosome of animal cells and the spindle pole body (SPB) of budding yeast (reviewed in Jaspersen et al., 2000). In many cells, controlled nuclear migration depends on microtubules and their organizing centers (MTOCs). Despite being a morphologically diverse group of organelles, the function of MTOCs in nucleating and anchoring microtubules is highly conserved throughout all eukaryotes. Well-established and researched examples of MTOCs are the nuclear-associated centrosome of animal cells and the spindle pole body (SPB) of budding yeast (reviewed in Jaspersen et al., 2000).
individual nuclei within a common cytoplasm (Gladfelter et al., 2006). Nuclear division, bypassing, oscillation, and tip-directed movement are all assumed to be important for maintaining an approximately equidistant distribution of nuclei along the hypha (Alberti-Segui et al., 2001; Gladfelter et al., 2006; Kaufmann and Philippsen, 2009).

Unlike other filamentous fungi, the genome of *A. gossypii* is closely related to that of the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae; homologues of 95% of the 4720 *A. gossypii* genes have conserved gene order (synteny) with the *S. cerevisiae* genome, strongly indicating that both organisms derived from a common ancestor (Dietrich et al., 2004). Despite high similarity on the genome level, both organisms have a very distinct morphology. *A. gossypii* proliferates by sustained apical growth of multinucleated hyphae, which occasionally form septa between groups of eight to 10 nuclei but do not undergo cell divisions (Wendland and Walther, 2005; Kaufmann and Philippsen, 2009). In contrast, *S. cerevisiae* is a unicellular fungus that proliferates by growth of single daughter cells that separate from the mother cells after completion of mitosis. Its nuclear dynamics are relatively simple compared with *A. gossypii*; positioning of the nucleus at the mother-daughter bud neck before cytokinesis is the major nuclear movement required for mitotic growth. Efficient gene targeting is possible in *A. gossypii* just as it is in *S. cerevisiae* (Wendland et al., 2000; Kaufmann, 2009), making this organism a powerful system to study the mechanistic basis for nuclear migration in multinucleated hyphae, and, at the same time, to increase our knowledge about adaptive evolution due to its natural homology to budding yeast.

Indirect immunofluorescence microscopy of microtubules in *A. gossypii* suggests that they could be interconnected (Alberti-Segui et al., 2001), and this network of overlapping microtubules has been proposed to play a role in its complex nuclear dynamics, which was supported by early in vivo imaging data (Philippes et al., 2005). Like other filamentous fungi, *A. gossypii* may use more than one type of MTOC to nucleate its microtubule cytoskeleton. However, based on the similarity between the *A. gossypii* and *S. cerevisiae* genomes, *A. gossypii* may solely use nuclear-associated SPBs to form the cMTs that regulate its nuclear dynamics. In such a case, a nucleation capacity for longer, and probably also an increased number of cMTs, compared with *S. cerevisiae*, has to be hypothesized for *A. gossypii* SPBs to account for the differences in cell dimensions: an average haploid budding yeast cell is ~10 μm long during mitosis, whereas a *Ashbya* hypha can extend approximately >50 μm between septa and the growing tip (Kaufmann and Philippes, 2009).

Modifications of tubulin and/or microtubule-associated proteins may also play a role in the differences in cMTs between the two organisms. Here, we demonstrate by in vivo imaging that *A. gossypii* only forms nuclear-associated SPBs, which lead fast moving nuclei in the direction of migration, drive nuclear rotation to facilitate inversion of the nuclear migration direction, display micromovements in different directions sometimes following the direction of an attached cMT and nucleate up to six cMTs that often extend in opposite directions and bypass other SPBs without making connected networks. We find that three nuclear movements, oscillation, rotation, and bypassing, are dependent on cMTs, whereas the fourth and most basic type of long-range nuclear migration, which is driven by cytoplasmic streaming, is cMT-independent. To determine how cMTs are organized in *A. gossypii* to facilitate its unique lifestyle and pattern of nuclear dynamics, we analyzed SPB ultrastructure in serial thin sections by electron microscopy (EM). Comparison of *A. gossypii* and *S. cerevisiae* SPBs showed several important differences in the multilayered organelle between the two organisms. Most notably, the *A. gossypii* SPB has an altered outer plaque (OP) that can nucleate both perpendicular and tangential cMTs. This later class of cMTs has not been observed in budding yeast.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**A. gossypii Media and Growth Conditions**

*A. gossypii* media and culturing are described in Ayad-Durieux et al. (2000) and Wendland et al. (2000), and strains are listed in Supplemental Table S1. To depolymerize microtubules, spores were grown for 16 h at 30°C in liquid AFM before nocodazole (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was added to a final concentration of 15 μg/ml. For microtubule regrowth experiments, cells were incubated 1 h at 30°C under shaking conditions before washing five times in AFM and incubation in AFM for 1 h at 30°C to allow microtubule repolymerization. Samples were taken before and after nocodazole treatment and every 10 min after nocodazole washout and immediately fixed for immuno-fluorescence staining. For time-lapse imaging of nocodazole treated hyphae, the cells were incubated 20 min in liquid AFM at a final concentration of 15 μg/ml nocodazole under shaking conditions before 70 μl of the cell suspension were transferred to a time-lapse agarose slice containing 15 μg/ml nocodazole.

**Plasmid and Strain Construction**

Plasmids generated and used in this study are described below. All DNA manipulations were carried out according to Sambrook and Russell (2001), with Escherichia coli DHSSF as host (Hanahan, 1983). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification was performed using standard methods with Taq DNA polymerase, Expand High Fidelity PCR system or the Expand Long Template PCR system (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). Oligonucleotides were listed in Supplemental Table S2 and were synthesized by Microsynth (Balgalch, Switzerland). For recombination of plasmids and PCR products, both were cotransformed into the budding yeast host strain D3Y (MATa hisΔ200 trplΔ3 leu2Δ1 ura3Δ52Δ aΔ1) according to Gietz et al. (1995). Plasmids were isolated from yeast using the High Pure Plasmid Purification kit (Roche Diagnostics), with a modified protocol as described previously (Schmitz et al., 2006).

To generate the green fluorescent protein (GFP)-AgTub1 strain, the plasmid pCB2 was constructed as follows: the prom GFP-AgTUB1 fusion (76 base pairs upstream TUB1-one reading frame [ORF], GFP-ORF without stop codon, 1347 base pairs TUB1-ORF plus downstream sequences) was isolated as BamHI/HindIII fragment form pSy597 (kindly provided by Florian Schaeer, Biozentrum University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland). Blunt ends were generated using the 3’-5’ exonuclease activity of T4 polymerase, and the 3923-base pair fragment was subcloned into pAIC opened at the Scal site (Knechtle, 2002), thereby reconstituting a functional AgADE2 gene. pCB2 was digested with EcoRI and HindIII, and transformed into the partially deleted AgADE2 locus of the Agade2Δ1 strain (Knechtle, 2002). Transformants were obtained on minimal medium lacking adenine and verified by PCR analysis with primer pairs Agade2verorf_CB/Agade2_uperp_CB and Agade2verorf_CB/Agade2_verors_CB.

pAGT123 (Kaufmann, 2009) was used as a template to amplify YFP-LEU2 by using oligonucleotides AgTB4_F1/F2. The resulting PCR product was cotransformed into yeast cells with pAG10748 that carries a genomic copy of AgTUB4 cloned into a pRS415 backbone (Dietrich et al., 2004) to generate pAgTUB4-YFP-LEU2. pAgTUB4-YFP-LEU2 was digested with Aval and SacII to tag the endogenous AgTUB4 gene with YFP in the wild-type strain. Integration was verified with oligonucleotides pairs AgTB4_A5/green2 laser L1/AgTUB4_A4.

pAGT145 (Kaufmann, 2009) was used as a template to amplify RedStar2-GEN3 by using oligonucleotides AgTB4_F1/F2. The resulting PCR product was cotransformed into yeast cells with pAG10748 to generate the plasmid pAgTUB4-RedStar2-GEN3. To generate AgH4-GFP Tuba4-RFP the plasmid pAgTUB4-RedStar2-GEN3 was transformed into AgH4-GFP. This strain was always grown under selective conditions with 200 μg/ml G418 (Sigma-Aldrich) to maintain the plasmid.

**Fluorescence Microscopy and Image Processing**

Chitin (calcifluor white), DNA (Hoechst), and immunofluorescence stainings were performed as described previously (Ayad-Durieux et al., 2000; Gladfelter et al., 2000) and anti-α-tubulin (YOL1/34; Sigma-Aldrich, Oxford, United Kingdom) was used at a 1:25 dilution and Alexa Fluor 568 goat anti-rat immunoglobulin G (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) at a 1:200 dilution. An Axiosplan2 microscope equipped with the objectives Plan-Apochromat 100x/1.40 numerical aperture (NA) Oil differential interference contrast (DIC) and Plan-Apochromat 63x/1.40 NA Oil DIC (Carl Zeiss, Feldbach, Switzerland) and appropriate filters (Carl Zeiss and Chromo Technology, Brattleboro, VT) was used for microscopy. The light source for fluorescence
microscopy was either a 75-W XBO lamp (OSRAM, Augsburg, Germany), controlled by a MAC2000 shutter and filter wheel system (Ludl Electronics, Hawthorne, NY) or a Polychrome V monochromator (TILL Photonics, Gräfelfing, Germany). Images were acquired at room temperature using a cooled charge-coupled device camera CoolSNAP HQ (Photometrics, Tucson, AZ) with MetaMorph 6.2r5 software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Out-of-focus shading references were used for DIC image acquisitions. For fluorescence images, multiple planes with a distance between 0.3 and 1 μm in the z-axis were taken. For time-lapse image acquisition, a glass slide was covered with 1 ml of A. gossypii minimal medium containing 1% agarose. Once the medium had solidified, 70 μl of young mycelia cultured in liquid medium was spotted onto the slides and covered by a coverslip.

Image processing was performed with MetaMorph 6.2r5 software. Z-stacks were deconvolved with Nearest Neighbor and compressed by maximum or medium. Serial section images were aligned using AutoAligner (Bitplane, Zurich, Switzerland). Serial thin sections were cut on a UC6 (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany), stained with uranyl acetate and Sato’s lead and imaged on a Technai Spirit transmission electron microscope (FEI, Hillsboro, OR). Transmission Electron Microscopy

Spores were grown for 10–14 h in liquid AFM to give rise to small mycelia containing no >100 nuclei. Samples were frozen on EM-Pact (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) at −2050 bar and then transferred under liquid nitrogen into 2% osmium tetroxide/0.1% uranyl acetate/acetone and transferred to an automated freeze-substitution apparatus (AFS) (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). The freeze substitution protocol was as follows: −80°C for 16 h, up 4°C/h for 7 h, −60°C for 19 h, up 4°C/h for 10 h, −20°C for 20 h. Samples were removed from the AFS and placed in the refrigerator for 4 h and then allowed to incubate at room temperature for 1 h. Samples went through three changes of acetone over 1 h and were removed from the planchettes. Then, they were embedded in acetone/Epon mixtures to final 100% Epon over several days in a stepwise procedure as described previously (McDonald, 1999). Then, 60-nm serial thin sections were cut on a UC6 (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany), stained with uranyl acetate and Sato’s lead and imaged on a Technai Spirit transmission electron microscope (FEI, Hillsboro, OR). Serial section images were aligned using AutoAligner (Bitplane, Zurich, Switzerland).

RESULTS

The SPB Is the Sole Site of Microtubule Nucleation in A. gossypii

We first wanted to determine whether SPBs are the only site of microtubule nucleation in A. gossypii as they are in budding yeast or if other nonnuclear MTOCs also exist. To investigate the sites of microtubule nucleation, we fused the A. gossypii homologue of γ-tubulin with YFP because labeling of Tub4 with fluorophores has been used to visualize both nuclear and nonnuclear MTOCs in various fungi (Oakley et al., 1990; Horio et al., 1991; Stearns et al., 1991; Sobel and Snyder, 1995; Marschall et al., 1996; Spang et al., 1996; Heitz et al., 2001). AgTub4-yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) appeared as small foci evenly distributed along the length of the hypha. Colabeling of nuclei with Hoechst revealed that AgTub4-YFP localizes exclusively to one or two spots at the nuclear periphery (Figure 1A). It was never observed at other locations such as hyphal tips or septa (Figure 1B).

We also analyzed the sites of microtubule regrowth in A. gossypii after microtubule depolymerization using nocodazole. Newly formed microtubules that emerged after washout of nocodazole were associated with nuclei and were not seen at other sites (Figure 1C). Together, these results strongly suggest that similar to S. cerevisiae, perinuclear SPBs are the sole site of microtubule nucleation in A. gossypii. Thus, only microtubules nucleated at SPBs participate in nuclear dynamics of A. gossypii hyphae.

Dissection of Movements of SPBs and Nuclei in A. gossypii

Using time-lapse microscopy, we monitored hyphae expressing histone AgH4-GFP and AgTub4-RedStar2 to visualize possible connections between nuclear movements and the locations of SPBs. In total, 37 nuclei were monitored for 10 min in five hyphae growing at speeds from 0.15 to 1.28 μm/min. Table 1 summarizes the movements of all 37 nuclei examined, including the movement of nuclei and their SPBs in the slowest growing hypha (Figure 2) and hyphae with more rapid growth speeds (Supplemental Figure S1).

From our analysis of these nuclei, we found that the percentage of forward movements (in the direction of hyphal growth) increases with increasing hyphal speed and is concomitant with a decrease in the percentage of backward movements. In contrast, other types of nuclear movement were independent of hyphal speed, including rotations of nuclei and bypassing. One of the most striking observations was that 98% of all nuclear movements are led by the SPB. It is also remarkable that nuclei can invert their movement and reorient the position of their SPB by 180° within 30 s and that...
the frequency of such switches is up to 4 times in 10 min. Although bypassing was observed in hyphae of different growth speeds, an exception case of long-range nuclear migration was observed in the fastest hypha. The sixth nucleus bypassed four of the five more apical nuclei within 10 min and migrated 50 μm during that time (data not shown).

### Nuclear Oscillations, Bypassing, and Rotation Require cMTs

When *A. gossypii* hyphae are treated with the microtubule-depolymerizing drug nocodazole, nuclei no longer show oscillations and bypassing as reported previously (Alberti-Segui et al., 2001). We repeated this experiment with the strain expressing the histone AgH4-GFP and Tub4-RedStar2 fusions to test

### Table 1. Nuclear movements in *A. gossypii* hyphae growing with different speeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hyphal speed (μm/min)</th>
<th>Hypha 1</th>
<th>Hypha 2</th>
<th>Hypha 3</th>
<th>Hypha 4</th>
<th>Hypha 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of nuclei screened</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time intervals analyzed</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward movements (%)</td>
<td>34 (31)</td>
<td>22 (33)</td>
<td>60 (36)</td>
<td>48 (48)</td>
<td>75 (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max continuous forward movement (min)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backward movements (%)</td>
<td>30 (27)</td>
<td>21 (30)</td>
<td>23 (14)</td>
<td>11 (11)</td>
<td>14 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max continuous backward movement (min)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast bypassing events (μm/min)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switch of direction in 10 min</td>
<td>0–3 times</td>
<td>1–4 times</td>
<td>0–4 times</td>
<td>2–3 times</td>
<td>0–4 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPB-lead movements</td>
<td>64/64</td>
<td>40/43</td>
<td>82/83</td>
<td>58/59</td>
<td>87/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180° rotations in 1 min</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max speed of oscillation (μm/min)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumbling nuclei (%)</td>
<td>46 (41)</td>
<td>25 (37)</td>
<td>82 (50)</td>
<td>58 (59)</td>
<td>87 (89)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Forward and backward refer to movements of nuclei during oscillation (no close contact to other nuclei) and to bypassing events. See Figure 2 and Supplemental S1.

b Bypassing is the movement of one nucleus past at least one adjacent nucleus. Fast bypassing events occur within 0.5–1 min when the nucleus moves up to 5 μm/30 s. Slow bypassing events, which last up to 3 min, are rare. See Figure 2 and Supplemental S1.

c Tumbling nuclei exhibit neither oscillation nor bypassing movements but undergo rotational movements of <45°/min. See Figure 2C.
whether all forms of nuclear migration in multinucleated hyphae depend on specific orientations of SPBs and an intact cMT cytoskeleton. In *A. gossypii*, the transport of secretory vesicles does not depend on cMTs (Köhl et al., 2008); thus, hyphae continue to grow even in the absence of cMTs.

After preincubation with 15 μg/ml nocodazole for 20 min and mounted for fluorescence videomicroscopy on agar slices containing the same concentration of nocodazole, GFP and RFP fluorescence was imaged for 500 ms each in three Z-planes, 0.75 μm apart, at 30-s intervals and processed (Supplemental Movie S2). (A) Nine maximal projections of Supplemental Movie S2 at 1-min intervals showing 11 evenly moving nuclei with SPBs only rarely oriented in the direction of migration. Bar, 5 μm. (B) Diagram of positions of nuclei (circles) and orientation of SPBs (red dots) at 0 and 8 min. Identical nuclei are connected by dotted lines. The arrow marks the elongation of the hypha within 8 min.

The mobility of SPBs in Figures 2 and 3 was monitored with a time resolution of 0.5–1 min. To get a more refined picture about mobilities of SPBs in multinucleated hyphae and the arrangement of cMTs, we wanted to perform time-lapse studies with a resolution of a few seconds using a strain expressing GFP-AgTub1. These experiments turned out to be extremely challenging, as *A. gossypii* seems to tolerate only weakly expressed GFP-AgTub1 in the presence of normal expression levels of untagged α-tubulin, which results in a low signal-to-noise ratio. To visualize the very thin cMTs, exposure times of 1.5 s are necessary, preventing acquisition of a sufficiently large number of images (e.g., for 4-dimensional movies) before bleaching of the GFP-AgTub1 signal. However, by focusing only on one Z-plane in the middle of hypha, we could visualize micromovements of SPBs at a time resolution of 6 s (Supplemental Movie S3).

As an example, 11 consecutive frames of Supplemental Movie S3 are presented in Figure 4A. Eighty percent of the SPBs changed their locations within 6 s by an average of 0.32 μm. In consecutive intervals, SPBs rarely moved in one contiguous direction and pausing was often seen. This raises the question how these heterogeneous micromovements of SPBs can lead to the observed nuclear movements in the micrometer range. We therefore determined the net movement of SPBs during the 7 min of Supplemental Movie S3. For example, the net movement of the second SPB of Figure 4A is 2 μm backward during the first min, 4.5 μm forward during the next 4 min, and 1 μm backward during the final 3 min, an overall movement typical for nuclear oscillation (Table 1 and Supplemental Figure S1).

Adjacent SPBs did not seem to coordinate their micromovements in a sense that they comigrated in the same direction. This indicates a high level of autonomy for SPB mobility in *A. gossypii* hyphae. In rare cases, two SPBs seem to be connected by a cMT. Such an intriguing connection can be seen between the first and the second SPB in Figure 4A (162–180 s). However, the next frame (186 s) indicates two separate cMTs emerging from the first and second SPB, respectively, in the direction of the other SPB. Thus, it does not seem that cMTs from adjacent or distant SPBs form overlapping networks (see below).

The images presented in Figure 4A show one to three cMTs emanating in different directions from each SPB. This number is an underestimation because only those cMTs are visible, which lie within or close to the focal plane of this time-lapse movie. Despite this experimental limitation, we asked whether the direction of the SPB micromovements is determined by the orientation of an attached cMT. For example, the third SPB (Figure 4A, white arrow) is attached to two cMTs that emanate in opposite orientations and both reach the hyphal cortex (132 s). This SPB migrates 0.9 μm, two steps with one pause, in the direction of the upper cMT (150 s) and then 0.4 μm back in the direction of the lower cMT.
cMT (156 s), indicating that in this specific instance, force generated by single cMTs probably guided the movement of the SPB. This may be pulling forces similarly as described for SPB movements in meiotic nuclear oscillations of Schizosaccharomyces pombe (Vogel et al., 2009). If this is indeed the case, the pulling force for SPB movements in A. gossypii will most likely result from several cMTs emanating from SPBs in different orientations.

Arrangement of cMTs Attached to Individual SPBs
To overcome the limitations of single plane movies to determine numbers and arrangements of cMTs, we tracked SPBs and microtubules in the GFP-AgTub1 strain through Z-stacks of 18 focal planes with 300-nm distances for nine apical hyphal compartments each carrying four to eight nuclei. Representative images from one Z-stack documenting this analysis for four SPBs are shown in Figure 4B. Arrays of up to 6 short and long cMTs emanate from bright foci representing SPBs 1–3. Array 4 consists of two SPBs of a metaphase spindle, each connected to three cMTs. In total, we analyzed 55 SPBs and found on average 4.25 ± 1.4 microtubules emanating per SPB. Short cMTs (<5 μm) radiated from the SPB in different directions into the cytoplasm often reaching the cortex. Long cMTs (>5 μm) were oriented along the polarity-axis and frequently bypassed one or several other SPBs (e.g., top four images of Figure 4B). By tracking long cMTs through several planes, some could be followed over distances up to 17 μm. Of the 55 analyzed SPBs, 50 nucleated both short and long cMTs, and 37 SPBs nucleated long cMT in opposite orientations.

We also searched for cMTs connecting two SPBs, that is microtubules emanating from different SPBs and potentially aligning with their plus ends to form antiparallel bundles. When maximal projections of Z-planes indicated a potential cMT connection between two SPBs, we tracked the two microtubules through three-dimensional space and found that they were running close to each other but did not align (for one example see Supplemental Figure S2 and Supplemental Movie S5). Thus, it is highly unlikely that arrays of anti-parallel cMTs form throughout the mycelium and control the dynamic behavior of nuclei. Rather, our results strongly suggest that both long and short microtubules form a regional microtubule network to guide nuclear oscillations, rotations and bypassing of every nucleus independently. This can explain why nuclei behave autonomously in a contiguous cytoplasm.

The A. gossypii SPB Is Embedded in the Nuclear Membrane
How is it possible that nuclear-associated SPBs organize such complex arrays of cMTs? To better understand the structural basis for the cMT arrays we decided to determine the ultrastructure of A. gossypii SPBs. Electron microscopy (EM) has provided valuable insight not only into SPB structure and assembly but also into microtubule organization in a variety of organisms, including budding and fission yeast. Here, we report the first EM study of nuclei in multinucleate A. gossypii and microtubules in the GFP-AgTub1 strain through Z-stacks of 18 focal planes with 300-nm distances for nine apical hyphal compartments. We used thin agar slices for fluorescence microscopy on thin agar slices. Images were taken from a single Z-plane every 6 s with 1.5-s exposure time for 7 min (Supplemental Movie S3) or as Z-stack with 18 planes each 0.3 μm apart and 1.5-s exposure time (Supplemental Movie S4). (A) 11 representative frames of Movie S3 showing three SPBs as distinct dots and attached cMTs as thin, weakly fluorescent filaments. The apparent connection of SPBs 1 and 2 (frames 162–180 s) represents two cMTs superimposed by chance because both are visible as two independent cMTs in frame 186 s. Bar, 5 μm. (B) Images of selected focal planes of the Z-stack (Supplemental Movie S4) of a hypha expressing GFP-AgTub1. Four SPBs and attached cMTs are seen in the different focal planes. Short and long cytoplasmic microtubules emanate from both sides of bright foci representing SPBs (1–3) and from SPBs of a metaphase spindle (4). Short cytoplasmic microtubules frequently point toward the cell cortex; long cytoplasmic microtubules run along the longitudinal axis of the hyphae and can often be followed over several focal planes. They either terminate in the cytoplasm or seem to glide along the cell cortex. The SPB from nucleus 1 is connected to the cell cortex of the tip region by three short cytoplasmic microtubules, whereas at least three longer cytoplasmic microtubules run toward the distal part of the hypha. Bar, 5 μm.
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A. gossypii MTOC Structure and cMTs

Figure 4. Motility of SPBs and visualization of cMT arrays. Hyphae expressing GFP-tagged and nontagged AgTub1 were pregrown and mounted for fluorescence microscopy on thin agar slices. Images were taken from a single Z-plane every 6 s with 1.5-s exposure time for 7 min (Supplemental Movie S3) or as Z-stack with 18 planes each 0.3 μm apart and 1.5-s exposure time (Supplemental Movie S4). (A) 11 representative frames of Movie S3 showing three SPBs as distinct dots and attached cMTs as thin, weakly fluorescent filaments. The apparent connection of SPBs 1 and 2 (frames 162–180 s) represents two cMTs superimposed by chance because both are visible as two independent cMTs in frame 186 s. Bar, 5 μm. (B) Images of selected focal planes of the Z-stack (Supplemental Movie S4) of a hypha expressing GFP-AgTub1. Four SPBs and attached cMTs are seen in the different focal planes. Short and long cytoplasmic microtubules emanate from both sides of bright foci representing SPBs (1–3) and from SPBs of a metaphase spindle (4). Short cytoplasmic microtubules frequently point toward the cell cortex; long cytoplasmic microtubules run along the longitudinal axis of the hyphae and can often be followed over several focal planes. They either terminate in the cytoplasm or seem to glide along the cell cortex. The SPB from nucleus 1 is connected to the cell cortex of the tip region by three short cytoplasmic microtubules, whereas at least three longer cytoplasmic microtubules run toward the distal part of the hypha. Bar, 5 μm.
thin section EM analysis as described in mycelia with five to 10 tips and 50–100 nuclei were prepared for mycelium that was stained with Hoechst to visualize nuclei. Such sections are shown in the top and bottom right corners. (E) Montage of adjacent sections at positions marked by the asterisks. Magnification is shown in the top right corner. (D) A nucleus with spindle microtubules (arrow) and envelopes. A higher magnification is presented in the top right (asterisks) connected by a bridge are embedded in the nuclear envelope. Bars, 200 nm. (B) A continuous nuclear membrane and nuclear pore complexes within the nuclear envelope can be seen in all images. (A) Overlay of a DIC and a fluorescence image of a young A. gossypii mycelium that was stained with Hoechst to visualize nuclei. Such mycelia with five to 10 tips and 50–100 nuclei were prepared for thin section EM analysis as described in Materials and Methods. Bar, 5 μm. (B–E) EM of nuclei in different nuclear cycle stages. The continuous nuclear membrane and nuclear pore complexes within the nuclear envelope can be seen in all images. Bars, 200 nm. (B) A single SPB (asterisk) is embedded in the nuclear envelope. A higher magnification is shown in the top right corner. (C) Duplicated SPBs (asterisks) connected by a bridge are embedded in the nuclear envelope. A higher magnification is presented in the top right corner. (D) A nucleus with spindle microtubules (arrow) and continuous nuclear membrane. The two SPBs were observed in the adjacent sections at positions marked by the asterisks. Magnifications are shown in the top and bottom right corners. (E) Montage of

Figure 5. EM analysis of nuclei in multinucleated hyphae. (A) Overlay of a DIC and a fluorescence image of a young A. gossypii mycelium that was stained with Hoechst to visualize nuclei. Such mycelia with five to 10 tips and 50–100 nuclei were prepared for thin section EM analysis as described in Materials and Methods. Bar, 5 μm. (B–E) EM of nuclei in different nuclear cycle stages. The continuous nuclear membrane and nuclear pore complexes within the nuclear envelope can be seen in all images. Bars, 200 nm. (B) A single SPB (asterisk) is embedded in the nuclear envelope. A higher magnification is shown in the top right corner. (C) Duplicated SPBs (asterisks) connected by a bridge are embedded in the nuclear envelope. A higher magnification is presented in the top right corner. (D) A nucleus with spindle microtubules (arrow) and continuous nuclear membrane. The two SPBs were observed in the adjacent sections at positions marked by the asterisks. Magnifications are shown in the top and bottom right corners. (E) Montage of

Dimensions of A. gossypii SPBs

Even though the general structure of the A. gossypii SPB is similar to that of S. cerevisiae, careful analysis of the size and spacing between A. gossypii SPB layers revealed distinct differences compared with budding yeast SPBs. One of the most notable features of A. gossypii SPBs is the distance between the OP and IL2, which is ~25 nm greater than it is in S. cerevisiae. There is also a slight increase in the spacing between IL2 and IL1, whereas the distance between the remaining plaques is equivalent (Figure 7). A second major distinction is the shape of the OP. In A. gossypii, the OP does not form a distinctive plaque but rather appears as an amorphous sphere with a diameter significantly reduced compared with the other layers of the SPB (Figure 7). These features result in a considerable degree of variability in the height measurement of the A. gossypii SPBs (Figure 7).

The average diameter of the A. gossypii SPB based on the width of the CP was 119 ± 21 nm (n = 46) (Figure 7). This finding has interesting implications in terms of the number of spindle microtubules A. gossypii SPBs are capable of nucleating to ensure segregation of its seven chromosomes. In budding yeast, the diameter of the CP grows from 80 nm in G1-110 nm in mitosis, which is sufficient to nucleate ~19–20 nuclear microtubules in haploid cells:

three EM images showing a nucleus in anaphase. The continuous nuclear envelope and spindle microtubules (arrow) are visible. The SPBs are in other sections.
one microtubule for each of its 16 chromosomes and three to four microtubules to interdigitate with microtubules from the other half spindle (Byers and Goetsch, 1974; Winey et al., 1995).

Structure of the Ends of Microtubules
The microtubule minus ends formed at the IP and OP seemed rounded and often associated with electron dense material (Figure 6D). Based on the similarity to capped microtubules described previously in S. cerevisiae (Byers et al., 1978; Rout and Kilmartin, 1990; Bullitt et al., 1997; O’Toole et al., 1999), these probably are the closed ends of nuclear and cMTs formed at the SPB by the \(\gamma\)-tubulin complex. No difference between the minus ends of nuclear and cMTs was observed. In some cases where a distinct microtubule plus end could be visualized in the cytoplasm, we observed a “flaring” or “peeling” of its tip: one side of the microtubule end extended and curved outward (Figure 6, E and F). These flared microtubule ends were rarely observed on nuclear microtubules in wild-type cells. This structure is highly similar to that described previously for cMTs in budding yeast and probably represents a depolymerizing microtubule end (Byers et al., 1978; Mandelkow et al., 1991; O’Toole et al., 1999).

Types of A. gossypii Microtubules
We observed cMTs that are nucleated perpendicularly to the SPB OP. At least some of these perpendicular cMTs could be tracked to a region near the cell cortex (Figure 8). We suspect that these microtubules correspond to the short microtubules we observed by live cell imaging of GFP-AgTub1–labeled cells. In addition we also observed tangential microtubules extending into the hyphal cytoplasm parallel to the growth axis (Figure 8). This later class of microtubules has not been observed in S. cerevisiae and might account for some of the differences in nuclear dynamics and cytoskeletal organization between the two organisms. The observation that tangential cMTs run in parallel to the hyphal cortex is consistent with the idea that they correspond to the long microtubules that elongate along the polarity-axis as observed by GFP-AgTub1–labeled strains.

DISCUSSION
Our studies were aimed at understanding the mechanistic basis of nuclear migration in multinucleated fungal hyphae as a model for long-range nuclear transport that occur in a variety of cell types and during development. We could
experimentally verify four types of nuclear motility. Three were dependent on SPB-emanating cMTs: back and forth oscillation, rotation to keep the SPB in a “head-first” position and bypassing of other nuclei. In addition, one cMT-independent mechanism exists for basic nuclear migration: co-transport of nuclei with the cytoplasmic stream. If hyphae can grow perfectly well in the presence of microtubule-destabilizing drugs such as benomyl (Alberti-Segui et al., 2001) and rely solely on cotransport of nuclei by cytoplasmic streaming as documented in this article, why did *A. gossypii* evolve three cMT-dependent mechanisms for nuclear mobility?

Oscillatory movements may help to keep similar distances between nuclei. SPB-emanating cMTs are also probably needed to actively guide nuclei into emerging branches and to support elongation and orientation of anaphase spindles. Nuclear bypassing facilitates “mixing” of nuclei, which will prevent misfunctioning of a growing hypha if, for example, the front nucleus gains and then spreads through its mitotic division a detrimental mutation for an essential polarity factor. Nuclear mixing may also be beneficial for a fungus such as *A. gossypii* that carries haploid nuclei and seems to lack a diploid phase; having nonsister nuclei as neighbors could enhance meiotic recombination and the generation of genetic diversity before spore formation. Nuclear rotation seems to be important to keep the SPB head-first before directional change of migration. Alternatively, it could be the direct consequence of an oscillation in which cMTs emanating in different directions from an SPB exert alternating pulling forces.

Earlier studies of fixed *A. gossypii* cells stained with anti-α-tubulin suggested a complex microtubule network within hyphae (Alberti-Segui et al., 2001). Long cMTs anchored in the nuclear membrane were proposed to interact with microtubules from other SPBs, the cell wall or nonnuclear MTOCs to provide forces that could explain the distinct nuclear dynamics of *A. gossypii*. Our data suggest that a nuclear-associated SPB in growing *A. gossypii* hyphae is the sole site of microtubule nucleation with each SPB forming its own cytoskeletal subdomain consisting of short and long cMTs, the short ones often reaching the cortex and the long ones frequently growing far beyond adjacent nuclei (Figure 9A). Interactions between microtubules from adjacent nuclei are, if anything, transient. The ability of nuclei in multinucleate hyphae to form distinct cytoskeletal domains is likely essential for their autonomous dynamic behavior, which is independent from the dynamics of adjacent nuclei. A unique cytoskeletal domain for each nucleus would also permit nonsynchronized oscillatory movements and facilitate orientation of the spindle axis and nuclear bypassing. If we assume that long microtubules are important for nuclear migration along a hypha, the different growth-mode of budding yeast could explain why the ability to nucleate this class of microtubules may have disappeared in the 100 million years between the divergence of *A. gossypii* and *S. cerevisiae*. However, our current work does not address the relative contribution of long and short cMTs to nuclear dynamics.
Figure 9. Model of \textit{A. gossypii} SPB and cMT arrays emanating at SPBs. (A) Model of three nuclei with independent cMT arrays in a hypha. The most apical nucleus has close contact to the growing tip via its short cMTs. Loss of cMTs will increase the distance between the first nucleus and the tip as shown in Figure 3. The two other nuclei are connected with the hyphal cortex via short cMTs and most likely also long cMTs. Growth and shrinkage of these cMTs provides pushing and pulling forces for short-range nuclear oscillations. The most likely pulling force in the direction of a single short cortex-connected cMT was discussed in Figure 4A. Nuclear bypassing is a long-range movement and is very likely achieved by pulling forces of a long cMT when the cortex connection of short cMTs is reduced or absent. Nuclei cannot oscillate or bypass in hyphae lacking short and long cMTs as shown in Figure 3. Nuclei with mutant SPBs able to emanate mainly/only long cMTs cannot oscillate but are still able to bypass other nuclei (unpublished observations). (B) Schematic representation of an \textit{A. gossypii} SPB and associated microtubules.

Nor does it exclude the possibility that short and long microtubules can interconvert through microtubule growth or shrinkage. Analysis of proteins involved in microtubule nucleation and anchoring will shed light on these questions.

We have shown that SPBs are the only MTOCs in the multinucleate hyphae of \textit{A. gossypii}. SPBs of this filamentous fungus assemble into a multi-layered organelle that is permanently embedded in the nuclear membrane, similar to \textit{S. cerevisiae} SPBs (Figure 9B). However, the \textit{A. gossypii} SPB is structurally distinct, particularly on the cytoplasmic side. In our EM images, the OP of \textit{A. gossypii} appears as an amorphous sphere rather than an electron-dense plaque like in \textit{S. cerevisiae}. Also, the distance between IL2 and the OP is increased. In budding yeast, Cnm67 controls the spacing between these layers: decreasing or increasing its size by removal or addition of 270 amino acids of protein-internal sequences decreases or increases the IL2-OP distance by \sim 20 \text{nm}, respectively (Schaerer et al., 2001). Interestingly, AgCnm67 is 281 amino acids longer than ScCnm67 whereas most other pairs of SPB orthologues have similar sizes (Lang et al., 2009). Why would the size of this spacer protein and thus the distance between IL2 and the OP, which nucleates cMTs, have evolved so differently? One striking difference between \textit{S. cerevisiae} and \textit{A. gossypii} is the types of cMTs that form at the SPB OP (Figure 9B). In \textit{A. gossypii} we found both perpendicular and tangential cMTs emanating from the SPB OP. Perhaps an increased distance between IL2 and the OP correlates with the ability to nucleate perpendicular as well as tangential cMTs.

The ability to form cMTs that associate tangentially with the SPB is not unique to \textit{A. gossypii} and has been observed in other fungi. EM analysis of \textit{S. pombe} showed cMTs that were oblique with respect to the SPB, similar to the tangential microtubules we observed in \textit{A. gossypii}. Often the oblique microtubules were not attached to the SPB and may have originated at one of fission yeast’s nonnuclear MTOCs (Ding et al., 1997). Tangential cMTs can also be observed in EM preparations of \textit{A. nidulans} SPBs (Oakley and Morris, 1980, 1983). How these cMTs are formed, including the involvement of the nonnuclear MTOCs, has not been addressed, so it is unclear whether they play a similar function in \textit{A. nidulans} nuclear dynamics as in \textit{A. gossypii} hyphae. \textit{Neurospora crassa} also has two classes of cMTs, one of which is reported to connect adjacent nuclei. The second type of cMT has no obvious association with the nucleus and is probably not nucleated by its SPB (Minke et al., 1999a). In contrast to these organisms, the ability to nucleate cMTs at different angles, including both perpendicular and tangential, must be intrinsic to the SPB in \textit{A. gossypii} as it is the only MTOC in this organism. Therefore, SPB components or tightly associated proteins with the SPB OP are most likely involved in formation of both types of cMTs.

In conclusion, the multinucleate growth mode of \textit{A. gossypii} results in unique requirements for microtubule nucleation. Through our analysis of the \textit{A. gossypii} microtubule cytoskeleton and comparison to that of \textit{S. cerevisiae}, we can better understand the adaptive properties of the cytoskeleton involved in growth and development of many eukaryotic cells. Our studies provide the groundwork for future analysis of nuclear and microtubule dynamics in the \textit{A. gossypii} system and illustrate the power of combining high-resolution EM and live cell image analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Dominic Hoepfner for guidance in the early stage of this project and to Mark Winney and Tom Giddings for advice and suggestions at later stages. We also thank Jenny Friederichs, Teri Johnson, and Fengli Guo for assistance with EM and Katie Perko for help with AutoAligner. This work was supported by a grant from the Swiss National Science Foundation grant 3100A0-112688 (to P. P.). S.L.J. is supported by a March of Dimes Basil O’Connor Award and the Stowers Institute for Medical Research.

REFERENCES


H9252. Mol. Biol. Cell
tomography of spindle pole bodies and early mitotic spindles in the yeast
Neurospora crassa
crotubule dynamics and organization during hyphal growth and branching in
Mourino-Perez, R. R., Roberson, R. W., and Bartnicki-Garcia, S. (2006). Mi-
Neurospora crassa ro-10 and ro-11 genes encode novel proteins required for
Veith, D., Scherr, N., Efimov, V. P., and Fischer, R. (2005). Role of the spindle-
dispersed cytoplasmic sites: polar and perinuclear microtubule organizing cen-
movements and microtubule caps. A time-resolved cryo-electron microscopy
Veith, D., Scherr, N., Efimov, V. P., and Fischer, R. (2005). Role of the spindle-
pole-body protein Ap3B and the cortex protein Ap3A in microtubule organiza-
Xiang, X., and Fischer, R. (2004). Nuclear migration and positioning in fila-