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SUMMARY

This research deals with different aspects of the spatial urban energy balance on the example of the megacity Cairo, Egypt. The energy balance and its single terms were measured in situ during a field campaign in Cairo at three different locations (urban, suburban agricultural and suburban desert) from November 2007 to February 2008. The net radiation and the heat fluxes showed distinct variations between the three stations, representing part of the spatial diversity of the area. The net radiation was highest at the suburban-agricultural location, lowest values were recorded at the suburban-desert station. The urban station ranged in between. The soil heat flux was only measured at the two suburban sites and proved to be highly dependent on the storage term. While the urban and the suburban-desert station had comparable turbulent heat fluxes, the suburban-agricultural station stand out with a low sensible but very high latent heat flux. Cairo acted as a nocturnal heat island - comparing the urban with the two suburban stations. During the day however, the suburban-desert temperatures topped the urban temperatures.

The spatial diversity was also captured using various remote sensing approaches using ASTER satellite data. The strong heterogeneity of the area of interest proved to be the major challenge for the different approaches. The estimation of the net radiation was dependent on a accurate atmospheric correction, which was complicated by the heavy, but spatially varying air pollution over the megacity. The determination of the ground heat flux was done using empirical equations. Some of the used approaches proved to be applicable even in this extreme environment. One promising, as simple approach for the turbulent heat fluxes (S-SEBI: Simplified Surface Energy Balance Index) was not usable in the area due to observed high variations in surface temperatures in the desert. Two other approaches (LUMPS: Local-Scale Urban Meteorological Parameterization Scheme and ARM: Aerodynamic Resistance Method) could be used to deduct turbulent heat fluxes in a satisfactory range. However, the spatial analysis showed, that more research is needed to represent turbulent fluxes in such a heterogeneous area. Besides this, a small study on the estimation of aerodynamic resistance to heat using morphometric methods was conducted. The study showed, that the aerodynamic resistance to heat can be estimated successfully from a digital surface model, knowing surface specific empirical parameters.

Besides the energy balance research, also the CO\textsubscript{2} flux and concentrations were analysed. The CO\textsubscript{2} flux showed a clear weekly dependence on the traffic, but generally fluxes were low considering the strong emissions induced by the old cars and the heavy traffic of Cairo. This result might be due to the spatial distance of the measurement to the streets.

Two in-depth studies about the urban albedo were conducted additionally to the flux research, analysing the dependence of the satellite measured albedo on the sun’s position, atmospheric scattering, housing density and viewing angle.
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1 Introduction

The surface energy budget is an important term in the climatological system with effects on biological, hydrological and geomorphological processes as it determines how the energy received from solar irradiation is distributed to other climatological terms. Areas with a high albedo for example reflect back a high amount of the solar irradiation, following that the available energy for heating the soil and the near-surface air layers or evaporating water from the surface is low. A change of the surface albedo has though a direct impact on the radiative forcing and therefore on the microclimate. Such changes can arise by natural processes or through human impact. The construction of cities is an example for such a human interference in the natural system. Impacts are found from the micro to the global scale. Therefore great importance is laid on the determination of the surface energy budget. ASTER data, featuring a high scale resolution (> 10 m and < 100 m), can give evidence on regional processes, like urban systems.

Megacities came into the focus of recent national and international political and social attention. More than half of the world’s population lives now in urban regions and megacities are a consequence of this migration process. Through the increased spatial extent of such urban regions, megacities become relevant for the local and even regional climate (Raga et al. 2001, Tran et al. 2006). The chosen study area of this thesis is the fast growing megacity Cairo in the developing country Egypt. This area can be characterized by its high heterogeneity and strong contrasts in surface cover, ranging from small-scale agricultural farmlands, to the wadi systems in the eastern desert and various urban quarters with different housing density and greening.

Surface radiation and heat fluxes can be measured by various methods, in situ at the ground or remotely by aircraft or from space. Generally in situ measurements are considered more confidential and are therefore taken as reference data for the products of remotely sensed data (‘ground truthing’). This procedure is legitimate; especially as many remotely sensed products are obtained by methods calibrated by in situ measurements.

In situ measurements have the advantage of being most accurate as surface energy balance terms generally are measured direct. Moreover, they are mostly not dependent on the influence of the composition of the atmosphere or the occurrence of clouds, as are remote sensing products. When climatological variables are measured, fixed installations are required and thereafter whole time series are produced. These time series can be representative for a broader area, when the surrounding is sufficient homogenous. In case of the eddy covariance technique – a method to measure turbulent exchange - the assumption of certain homogeneity is even a precondition. The disadvantage of in situ measurements however is that the extent of homogeneous surfaces is limited in most applications. Especially in urban areas, the heterogeneity is high and multiple parallel measurements were needed to characterize a bigger city. Other constraints are high costs of installation of the instruments and continuous maintenance of the stations. As the instruments normally need regular cleaning the personal cost of remote stations can be high.

Measurements from aircraft produce spatial data for a single over flight. The financial and planning effort is high and therefore such measurements are normally restricted to Cal/Val campaigns for satellite sensors. Finally, measurements from space produce spatial data and to a certain extent time series in dependence on the sensor’s revisit time and scheduling priority policy. The costs for the development, construction and launch of a satellite are immense, but are usually not covered by the end users of the data (scientists, planers), but by the funding of state’s space agencies. After launch, only the cost of maintaining the satellite and the running of the ground stations arise. According to the cost policy of the respective space agencies, the charge for the satellite data can vary considerably. NASA has a very user-friendly policy, allowing the scientific community to acquire data for a very low price or sometimes even free of charge without the
need of giving evidence of data use. The main advantage of satellite imagery is the spatial extent of the measurement, allowing the user to calculate parameters for a whole area at once. In return the temporal resolution may be heavily restricted, ranging from 15 minutes for geostationary satellites to unknown scheduled revisit times from commercial very high resolution sensors.

In the last decades a multitude of Earth Observation (EO) satellites were launched by international and national space agencies to assess and monitor numerous geological, hydrological, biological, climatological and even social processes. These sensors offer a wide range of different spectral, spatial and temporal resolutions and their capability is constantly improved. However, the lifetime of a sensor is restricted and continuity is not always guaranteed. The sensor used in this research (ASTER - Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer - , Abrams 2000) has reached its nominal mission lifetime of 6 years already in 2006 and data continuity is not guaranteed. The loss of ASTER will cause great damage to the scientific data user community, as ASTER is unique in the sense of its band combination of VNIR (Visible and Near InfraRed), SWIR (ShortWave InfraRed) and TIR (Thermal InfraRed) bands. The only currently operating satellite with comparable spectral bands is LANDSAT-7 (http://landsat.gsfc.nasa.gov/), whose scan line corrector (SLC) failed already in 2003, resulting in acquisitions only in SLC-off modus. The LANDSAT data continuity mission (http://ldcm.nasa.gov/) unfortunately lacks bands in the thermal region. Future alternatives to ASTER would be the HyspIRI (HYperSpectral InfraRed Imager) mission of NASA or the MISTIGRI (MicroSatellite for Thermal Infrared GROUND surface Imaging) mission of CNES (Centre National d’Études Spatiales), which are both still in the study phase (http://hyspiri.jpl.nasa.gov/science, Carcia-Moreno et al. 2009).

ASTER is intended to monitor land surface processes, ranging from volcano and hazard monitoring to vegetation and ecosystem dynamics and hydrological and geological applications. A main intention is also the land surface climatology. It contains the investigation of land surface parameters, like the albedo or the surface temperature, to “understand land-surface interaction and energy and moisture fluxes” (http://asterweb.jpl.nasa.gov/science.asp). Present thesis is placed in this context, working on different methods to estimate the surface energy budget mainly using ASTER data. The different approaches are thereby compared and evaluated on their performance.

The estimation of the surface energy budget is done in this thesis in situ and from space. The in situ data set thereby mainly serves for calibration and validation purposes. It is described in detail in chapter 2.1 (‘Flux measurements in Cairo. Part 1: in situ measurements and their applicability for comparison with satellite data ’). Chapter 2.2 elaborates on the possibilities of the estimation of the surface energy budget from space, using before mentioned ASTER data (‘Flux measurements in Cairo. Part 2: On the determination of the spatial radiation and energy balance using ASTER satellite data’). The in situ data set is used for this research; hence chapter 2.1 is a precondition of chapter 2.2. Chapter 2.3 (‘Flux measurements in Cairo. Part 3 - CO₂ fluxes and concentrations (co-authoring)’) deals with two additional variables measured during the field campaign - the CO₂ fluxes and concentrations. Chapter 2.4 (‘Determination of the aerodynamic resistance to heat using morphometric methods’) is a side analysis of chapter 2.2, investigating the estimation of the aerodynamic resistance to heat from a digital surface model. The albedo is a very important term in the net radiation budget and following also in the whole energy budget. Therefore two separate chapters deal only with aspects of the measurement of the albedo in urban areas from space, namely the geometry (chapter 3.1) and the BRF (Bi-directional Reflectance Function) effects (chapter 3.2). The titles of the chapters are ‘Geometry effect on the estimation of band reflectance in an urban area’ and ‘Measurement of multispectral BRF effects of the megacity Cairo, Egypt using CHRIS/PROBA data’.
This thesis follows the scheme of a cumulative dissertation. Chapter 2.1 and 3.1 are accepted publications in peer reviewed journals. Chapter 2.2 and 2.3 are submitted to a peer reviewed journal. Chapter 2.4 is submitted to the peer reviewed e-proceedings of the remote sensing association EARSeL and chapter 3.2 is published as a conference article. Introductory chapters (like this chapter and chapter 2 and 3) shall facilitate the reading of the thesis. In the last part (chapter 4) the whole work is summarized, giving a condensed set of conclusions of the single contributions. The single papers are thereby put into the broader context of the thesis addressed in this introduction. The introductory chapters and chapter 4 are printed single-column, the submitted and accepted papers are printed in two columns.
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2 Flux measurements in Cairo

In this chapter, the estimation of the surface energy balance from Cairo shall be presented two-fold. In chapter 2.1, the in situ measurements of the CAPAC (Climate and Air Pollution Analysis of Cairo) campaign at three different stations in Greater Cairo from November 2007 to February 2008 shall be introduced. All climatological variables measured during the campaign, except the CO$_2$ and PM$_{10}$ measurements, are presented mainly as diurnal ensemble means, but also in other statistical terms for each station. In chapter 2.2 the different remote sensing approaches using ASTER satellite data and their resulting images are described. The images are analyzed firstly with regard to their agreement with the in situ measured data and secondly on their coarse spatial pattern. Chapter 2.3 portrays the CO$_2$ flux and concentration measurements given as a by-product of the turbulent flux measurements by the eddy covariance system. In this chapter the thesis author is co-authoring only. The PM$_{10}$ measurements were analysed separately in a master thesis (Harhash 2009) and are not part of this thesis. Chapter 2.4 finally presents a study of the aerodynamic resistance to heat estimated using morphometric methods. The aerodynamic resistance is a term used by bulk transfer approaches for calculating the sensible heat flux as described in chapter 2.2. This chapter acts as an extension of chapter 2.2 by deepening the understanding of the bulk transfer approach.

The organization and preparation of the CAPAC field campaign was accompanied by many socio-political constraints. Many visits to Cairo were necessary to fix a collaboration with the two involved organizations ‘Cairo University’ and ‘Egyptian Meteorological Authority’ (EMA) by contract. The cooperation with Cairo University went well thanks to the great commitment of Prof. Dr. Mohammed Magdy Wahab. EMA played an ambivalent role, signaling interest, but on the same time using several delaying tactics. This attitude resulted in a one year delay in the field campaign. Also during the campaign, we faced several country-related problems (corruption cases, inefficient hierarchy systems, several weeks of delay at the Egyptian custom, power failures, and other technical constraints). All these problems complicated the measurements and actually speak for the usefulness of a remote sensing approach, where no or only minimal ground contact is needed.

During the campaign several ASTER scenes were acquired. However, some of them were fully covered by clouds and therefore not usable for this research. Seven scenes acquired at four different dates were finally selected for this research. On three days all three stations were covered by each two scenes. The small data availability allows a direct error diagnostics in the comparison of the satellite images with the in situ data. However, it is not possible to deduct a proper statistics and the comparison remains on the level of a case study.
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ABSTRACT: Cairo Air Pollution and Climate (CAPAC) is dedicated to the understanding of the urban energy balance in Cairo, Egypt, through measurements from space and at ground stations. The in situ measurements will provide a focussed insight into three carefully chosen microclimates (urban, suburban-agriculture, and suburban-desert) and provide at the same time ground-truth data for satellite image analysis, which will expand the acquired knowledge into the spatial domain. In situ measurements were made during a field campaign in Greater Cairo from November 2007 to February 2008. In this study, the dataset of the CAPAC measurement campaign will be presented and analysed in terms of use for a remote sensing study. Measured variables complied with our expectations. The urban area featured a distinct nocturnal heat island. During the day the choice of reference station was responsible for the magnitude of the heat island. The diurnal cycle of radiative temperature at the suburban-desert station clearly exceeded the one at the urban station, thus the urban setting seemed to have a better heat storage than the suburban-desert. The stations also determined the partitioning of the turbulent heat fluxes. While in Cairo and at the suburban-desert station most of the available energy was partitioned into the sensible heat flux, the suburban-agricultural station maintained a high latent heat flux. The radiation and soil heat flux measurements proved to be applicable for comparison with remotely sensed data. However, the analysis of the turbulent heat fluxes showed that several constraints exist: measured fluxes tend to underestimate the actual flux and directional effects complicate the interpretation. An energy balance closure and footprint modelling is necessary to compare measured fluxes with satellite image retrieved products. Finally, turbulent fluxes are time averages, which is contrary to the remote sensing principle. Consequently, a direct use is problematic.

2.1.1 Introduction

Placing in situ instruments into an urban environment to measure energy fluxes raises the question of representativeness. Although instruments can be put into the constant flux layer, a measurement finally stands only for the actual source area and can be compared directly only to areas with similar surface characteristics (Oke, 2007). To a lesser extent, the same is true for agricultural or natural areas. The urban surface can be described by different roughness elements like buildings, paved surfaces, their thermal and optical properties, the density of optional vegetation, and bare soil/sand coverage. The common heterogeneity of an urban landscape puts a constraint on the representativeness of in situ measurements carried out at a single place. To overcome this restriction, a multitude of towers would be needed to cover a wider area. This was done by Kanda et al. (2006), using five towers in a densely built-up area in Tokyo, Japan. He found that there is a remarkable spatial variability, especially in the morning sensible heat flux, which was related to the areal fraction of vegetation of the immediate environment of the measurement: a 200-m radius circle around each tower. The highest difference of their ensemble-averaged sensible heat flux was found to be about 50 Wm$^{-2}$ shortly after noon. As their area of interest was still more or less homogeneous, comparing fluxes of different quarters of a city (e.g. comparing a dense with a less dense built-up area) might show an even higher variability. To account for this problem, one might want to use a technique that senses wider areas at the same time, e.g. remote sensing techniques. Using measurements from space, the whole of a megacity can easily be captured at once. However, no direct measurements of most variables of the energy balance are possible by satellite; therefore, there is intensive research into the estimation of the energy budget from space. Most studies have aimed at the investigation of natural or agricultural surfaces (Roerink et al., 2000; Jia et al., 2003; French et al., 2005; Li et al., 2008). However, Rigo and Parlow (2007) have modelled the ground heat flux of an urban area using remote sensing data, and Xu et al. (2008) have derived the whole energy budget for an urban surface using data from a high-resolution sensor mounted on a helicopter. Such methods allow a spatial investigation of fluxes of different urban surfaces, even in comparison with the outer environment. A further advantage is the easy access to remote areas where it is hardly possible to conduct in situ measurements due to geographical, political, or social reasons. Following this idea, we wondered whether it would be possible to derive the terms of the energy budget satisfactorily from remote sensing data over an urban surface of a city with non-optimal political and social conditions, preferably without using in situ instrumentation. To control this hypothesis results must be cross-checked with a set of in situ data and methods should probably be refined. Therefore, a control city featuring many different microclimates and interesting contrasts had to be selected. Existing algorithms for the derivation of heat fluxes can then be tested for their robustness and general practicability.

The city of Cairo, Egypt, was chosen because of its unique location: Situated in a hot and dry climate and nonetheless partly surrounded by agriculture, a variety of different rural and urban microclimates are evolving. This spatial heterogeneity asks for a process-oriented approach that accounts for the climatic differences in the spatial domain. Further, Cairo is one of the most heavily polluted megacities in the world. The pollution, originating from traffic and industries, is dangerous to human health and has a further impact on the radiation budget.

In the framework of Cairo Air Pollution and Climate (CAPAC), a measurement campaign was conducted from November 2007 to February 2008. At three different stations, all main components of the energy budget were measured continuously additionally to air temperature and humidity. A side aspect of the CAPAC campaign focused on the air pollution of the city. In situ measurements at different locations provided a first understanding of background and street-side concentrations of
coarse and fine particulate matter (PM). Further, very high-resolution CO₂ flux measurements complete the picture. In this paper, the setup of the three stations and the main characteristics of the measured variables (except PM and CO₂ data) will be presented. A short discussion of Cairo as an urban heat island (UHI) will be included. The analysis of PM and CO₂ is beyond the scope of this paper. Inherent to the technique of data capture from remote sensing platforms, satellite images provide top of the atmosphere radiances from a single very short integration time per unit area of the surface. Potential problems arising from the connection of in situ measurements to this kind of data will be discussed in the conclusions section (Chapter 2.1.5). The main conclusions of the whole energy balance study including the remote sensing analysis will appear in a forthcoming paper (‘Flux Measurements in Cairo, Part 2’). The results of the CO₂ analysis will also be presented in a follow-up paper (‘Flux Measurements in Cairo, Part 3’).

2.1.2 Location and setup

Greater Cairo is the largest city in Egypt and on the African continent. Nearly one in five Egyptians lives in Greater Cairo. For centuries Cairo has been a leading city, dominating the social, economic, and political life of the region (Weeks et al., 2005). What is seen from space as one megacity is Greater Cairo, which consists of the governorate of Cairo on the east side of the River Nile, the governorate of Giza that lies along the west bank of the River Nile, and the southern tip of the governorate of Qalyubiyah (also known as the Northern City), which represents the northernmost fringe of Greater Cairo. However, the latter two governorates also incorporate many villages and other free-standing urban settlements (Sutton and Fahmi, 2001).

The city core consists of a densely built-up area, which was already partly developed in the 10th century AD. To the east and west, the city is surrounded by non-arable desert land. Partly to the south along the River Nile but especially to the north in the Nile Delta, prime agricultural land is found. Greater Cairo is a rapidly growing megacity. According to the Central Agency for Population, Mobilization, and Statistics (CAPMAS), Greater Cairo had an estimated population of 17,600,000 in 2006 (Fahmi and Sutton, 2007). Other sources estimate a population of about 20 million inhabitants (Schlink et al., 2007). Around Cairo new towns and settlements are being constructed to relieve the population pressure. The 10th Ramadan on the road to Ismailia is an example of these new towns (Sutton and Fahmi, 2001). A major factor boosting this suburbanization process was the construction of the ring road connecting Cairo’s fringes to its centre.

From November 2007 to February 2008, a micrometeorological campaign was conducted in Greater Cairo to measure in situ surface energy fluxes. The purpose of these measurements was to deepen our understanding of the energy budget in a megacity like Cairo, obtain local knowledge of the area, and measure ground-truth data for the remote sensing-based energy balance study of Greater Cairo using Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER, http://asterweb.jpl.nasa.gov/) data from NASA. For the duration of the campaign, three stations were maintained: an urban station in the district of Giza, a suburban-agricultural station in the area of Bahteem in the north of Greater Cairo, and a suburban-desert station close to 10th Ramadan City, northeast of the agglomeration of the megacity. Figure 1 shows a LANDSAT RGB composite depicting the locations of the three stations.

The urban station (30°01‘13.3911N, 31°12‘12.7811E) was located on the roof of a 15 m building in the campus of Cairo University (http://www.cu.edu.eg/english/), which belongs to the governorate of Giza, west of the River Nile. A 12 m mast was mounted on the roof of the building, which was situated in the most southern part of the campus and was taller than most of the other buildings. This resulted in a total measuring height of 27 m. The campus is a secured area with
massive three- to four-storey buildings, paved roads with cars, squares, footpaths, and planted greens. The campus is partly surrounded by roads with heavy traffic, but in the south and east some extended green areas are found. They consist of a sports ground, botanical testing fields of the university, a zoological garden, and a park. To the west and north of the campus, two areas of shabby blocks of flats separated by narrow alleys are found.

The suburban-agricultural station (30° 08138.5811N, 31° 15125.2611E) was placed on an alfalfa field inside the meteorological station of Egyptian Meteorological Authority (EMA, http://www.nwp.gov.eg) in Bahteem town, an outskirt in the North of Cairo. Planted fields extended to the east and north of the station. To the south and west of the station, residential areas are found. Bahteem is one of the poorer quarters of Cairo, only a few streets are asphalted, and rubbish scattered around is a major problem. Some small and primitive glass, fertilizer, and ironworks factories are found.

The suburban-desert station (30°14144.0411N, 31°43108.6411E) was situated outside 10th Ramadan City, which was built into the desert. Around the station, which was also a measuring site of EMA, some new asphalt roads, compounds (mostly under construction), newer factories, and a planted green area in the east were found. But mostly the environment consisted of sandy surfaces. Figure 2 shows Google Earth cutouts depicting the surroundings of the three stations.

The purpose of selecting these three stations was to cover the three most dominant landscape features of the region: urban areas, agricultural fields, and desert. Therefore, the sites should be as representative as possible of each feature. However, Cairo is a highly diverse megacity with many different quarters. The extremely dense housing in poor quarters cannot be compared to the planned new cities at the rim of Cairo with their even streets and well kept villas or the spacious quarters of public buildings like the campus of Cairo University. The urban station, therefore, does not represent the whole megacity, but only gives an idea of the selected place, and certainly it can be used for comparison to the remotely sensed data. The same considerations are true for the agricultural station. Farming is mostly done as a family business, so commonly the fields are very small and crops are diversified (El-Khattib et al., 1996).

Beside the scientific demands, the selection of the sites was dominated by security and networking considerations. Egypt is a third world country, and therefore permanent protection of the stations was required. Furthermore, it was necessary to get permission from the authorities for the mounting of the stations. Therefore, the stations were mounted only on restricted sites on governmental ground. Cooperation with the two organizations (Cairo University and EMA) ensured a protected zone for the measurements.

Table I shows the characteristics of the three stations; Table II shows the instruments used and their descriptions.
### Table I Station characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Land use</th>
<th>Measurement height ( h ) (m)</th>
<th>Zero-plane displacement height ( d ) (m)</th>
<th>Altitude a.s.l. (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cairo University</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>27 (temperature: 20)</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahteem</td>
<td>Suburban-agricultural</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th Ramadan</td>
<td>Suburban-desert</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II Instrument setup during CAPAC campaign.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Instrument’s description</th>
<th>Measured parameters</th>
<th>Cairo University</th>
<th>Bahteem</th>
<th>10th Ramadan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| CNR1 by Kipp and Zonen | Four-component net radiometer | Shortwave radiation (incoming and outgoing)  
                      | Longwave radiation (incoming and outgoing)  
                      | Net radiation                                                                 | Yes              | Yes     | Yes         |
| CSAT3 by Campbell | Three-dimensional sonic anemometer                         | Wind direction and wind speed  
                      | Sensible heat flux  
                      | In combination with LI-7500: latent heat flux and CO\(_2\) flux | Yes              | Yes     | Yes         |
| LI-7500 by Licor | Open path infrared gas analyser                             | CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O concentration  
                      | In combination with LI-7500: latent heat flux and CO\(_2\) flux | Yes              | Yes     | -           |
| Krypton KH2O     | Fast hygrometer                                             | H\(_2\)O concentration  
                      | In combination with LI-7500: latent heat flux  | -                 | -       | Yes         |
| Psychrometer     | Ventilated temperature measurement                          | Wet bulb and dry bulb temperature  | Yes             | Yes     | Yes         |
| PTB101b          | Barometric pressure sensor                                   | Pressure                                                                          | Yes              | -       | -           |
| CM22             | Pyranometer                                                 | Shortwave radiation (reference)                                                  | Yes              | -       | -           |
| CG4              | Pyrgeometer                                                 | Longwave radiation (reference)                                                    | Yes              | -       | -           |
| HP3              | Soil heat plates                                            | Soil heat flux (each station five pieces)                                         | -                | Yes     | Yes         |
| Probe 107        | Soil thermistors                                            | Soil temperatures (each station five pieces)                                     | -                | Yes     | Yes         |
| Sigma-2          | Deposition by sedimentation on an adhesive foil             | Coarse particulate matter (PM\(_{10}\)) >2.5 mm (urban three pieces)            | Yes              | Yes     | Yes         |
| MiniVS           | Ventilated system sampling the PM2.5 fraction               | PM\(_{2.5}\)                                                                           | Yes              | -       | -           |
2.1.3 Methods

The basic equation for all surface energy balance studies is well known and can be expressed as:

\[ Q^* = Q_s + Q_H + Q_{LE} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where \( Q^* \) is the net radiation, \( Q_s \) is the soil heat flux, \( Q_H \) the turbulent sensible heat flux, and \( Q_{LE} \) the turbulent latent heat flux. The anthropogenic heat flux is included in the measurement and not listed separately. More recent research has shown that horizontal advection \( Q_A \) may play a significant role in the energy budget (Feigenwinter et al., 2008). \( Q_A \) should be added to Equation (1), especially as our measurement height is less than twice the building height. Unfortunately, it is not possible to measure this term with a standard eddy covariance tower (Nemitz, 2002; Foken, 2008). Equation (1) therefore assumes zero advection, as was done by Oke et al. (1999), Grimmond and Oke (2002), and Spronken-Smith (2002). In the following, basic equations and correction methods of each of these terms will be explained.

2.1.3.1 Net radiation

Net radiation was determined using the four-component radiometer CNR1 (Table II). Four domes measure separately the shortwave downwelling radiance \( (K_\downarrow) \), the shortwave upwelling radiance \( (K_\uparrow) \), the longwave downwelling radiance \( (L_\downarrow) \), and the longwave upwelling radiance \( (L_\uparrow) \). The net radiation \( Q^* \) is:

\[ Q^* = K_\downarrow + K_\uparrow + L_\downarrow - L_\uparrow \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The measurement by the two pyrgeometers on the CNR1 includes the thermal emission of the instrument. Therefore, it has to be added to the registered radiance, using the pyrgeometer temperature, which is measured with a Pt-100 inside the CNR1. Calibration constants were determined at the end of the campaign in a comparison experiment. All CNR1 were mounted side by side next to references CM22 and CG4 (Table II), which were calibrated at the World Radiation Centre (WRC) in Davos, Switzerland. The radiation values were stored as 1-min averages.

2.1.3.2 Soil heat flux

The soil heat flux \( Q_s \) was measured in two layers using three heat flux plates (HFPs) in the upper layer (5 cm) and two HFPs in a deeper layer (Table II). For the calculation of the energy balance, only the HFPs of the upper layer were used. In addition, thermocouples were buried at 5 cm to measure the soil temperature \( T_{soil} \). Soil heat flux was calculated as:
\[ Q_s = f \cdot Q_{s(z)} + Q_{s(0-z)} \]  

(3)

where \( Q_{s(0-z)} \) is the soil heat flux measured at the depth \( z \), \( f \) is the Philips correction factor, and \( Q_{s(0-z)} \) is the soil heat storage of the soil layer above \( z \).

\[ Q_{s(0-z)} = C_v \frac{dT_{soil}}{dt} \Delta z \]  

(4)

\( C_v \) is the volumetric soil heat capacity (Jm\(^{-3}\)K\(^{-1}\)), which had to be estimated for the respective soils for this study. The used values were 2.5 \( \times \) 1E6 for Bahteem and 1.5 \( \times \) 1E6 for the 10th Ramadan station. The factor \( f \) of the Philip correction (Philip, 1961) was calculated using the geometrical measurements of the HFPs, as well as the thermal conductivity (Wm\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\)), which also had to be estimated. The used values were 0.5 for Bahteem and 0.7 for the 10th Ramadan station. The constant value at Bahteem leads to a certain mistake, as its water content was not constant due to irrigation (Tsoar, 1990; Abu-Hamdeh and Reeder, 2000).

There is some controversy about the Philip correction in the literature (Sauer et al., 2003), but for this analysis it was anticipated that the effect of omitting this correction would exceed the errors induced by the application of the Philip correction. Especially at the desert station, the thermal conductivity of the sand was assumed to differ considerably from the HFP's conductivity.

Using the soil temperatures of 5 cm depths misrepresents the storage heat flux substantially, as the temperature gradient is strongest in the top layers of the soil. In the morning, \( Q_s \) therefore showed a time lag behind the solar irradiation, which could be explained by the unaccounted warming of the uppermost layer of the soil. In the afternoon, though, \( Q_s \) was overestimated. To account for this, the radiative temperature from the CNR1 was introduced as an additional soil temperature. Therefore, \( dT_{soil} \) in equation (4) is a weighted mean of the two temperature differences of both the soil and the radiative temperature. The soil heat flux was also stored as 1-min averages.

### 2.1.3.3 Turbulent heat fluxes

Turbulent heat fluxes were measured using an eddy covariance system coupling a sonic anemometer (CSAT3) with an open path infrared gas analyser (LI-7500) at both Cairo University and Bahteem (Table II). At 10th Ramadan, a fast hygrometer (KH2O) replaced the open path infrared gas analyser. The measurement rate was 20 Hz. Raw fluxes were then calculated online on a 30-min basis.

Sensible and latent heat fluxes were calculated from:

\[ Q_H = \rho_a \ c_p \ \overline{wT} \]  

(5)

\[ Q_{LE} = \rho_a \ \lambda \ \overline{wq} \]  

(6)

where \( \rho_a \) is the density of air, \( c_p \) is the specific heat of air, \( \lambda \) is the latent heat of vaporization of water, and \( w', T' \), and \( q' \) are the fluctuations in vertical velocity, temperature, and water vapour mixing ratio respectively.

For several reasons, it is not possible to measure \( \overline{wT} \) and \( \overline{wq} \) directly. Therefore a series of four different corrections need to be applied:

The Schotanus correction accounts for the influence of humidity on the sonic temperature \( T \) (Kaimal & Gaynor 1991, Oncley et al. 2007, Schotanus et al. 1983). The Schotanus correction was applied automatically online by the CSAT3 for the sonic data of all three stations.

The krypton hygrometer KH2O is a highly sensitive hygrometer that measures rapid fluctuations in atmospheric water vapour using two absorption bands in the ultraviolet region. A correction for the absorption of ultraviolet light by oxygen was necessary (Van Dijk et al. 2003). This correction of the latent heat flux needed to be applied only at 10th Ramadan and was usually very small for the CAPAC campaign (see also Oncley et al. 2007).
Further, the WPL correction (Webb et al. 1980), which compensates for the influence of the fluctuations in temperature and water vapour on the vertical flux of water vapour density, was applied for all three stations.

Eddy covariance systems attenuate the true turbulent signals at very high and low frequencies. Limitations in sensor response, path-length averaging, sensor separation, and signal processing lead to a loss of information. Moore (1986) has presented a set of spectral transfer functions, which are relatively comprehensive. However, they require a priori assumptions about the cospectral shape. In case actual cospectra resemble the assumed one, this approach provides useful correction factors (Massman and Lee, 2002). Although in the meantime some other methods have been presented (Massman and Lee, 2002; Spank and Bernhofer, 2008), in this study the traditional transfer functions of Moore for sensor separation and sensor line-averaging were used. All these corrections are conventional and no further research on new correction methodologies was pursued, as the main interest of this study was to provide background information on the energy balance of Cairo for the subsequent remote sensing investigation.

All measured data were further checked for erroneous values resulting from the regular maintenances, occasional rain events, and insects. A despiking algorithm took care of any other unknown events. Missing values were interpolated according to the following rule: In time-series of 1-minute averages, a maximum of up to 30 continuous missing values were replaced; in 30-minute averages, the number of allowed continuous missing values was 2. This rule left a few periods without valid data; these values were set to a missing value.

The calculation of the ensemble averages in this study includes these missing values. At Cairo University, missing values of the 30-minute averages accounted for 10% of all values. At Bahteem, however, 52% of all values were missing. This high number is mainly because of a high proportion of missing values during the night. Between the hours of 06:00 and 16:30, the percentage is 19%. At 10th Ramadan, missing values accounted for 23%. Missing values are distributed evenly. It is anticipated that they do not have a significant influence on the findings (excluding nocturnal fluxes at Bahteem).

![Figure 3 Wind roses for (a) Cairo University, (b) Bahteem, and (c) 10th Ramadan station.](image-url)
2.1.4 Results

The following gives a brief overview discussing the measured terms of the energy balance one by one. The main focus is on the comparison of the three stations.

2.1.4.1 Wind speed and direction

Both wind speed and direction were recorded as 30-min averages. Wind speed showed a clear diurnal course at all three stations, with high values during the day and low values during the night. The 10th Ramadan showed higher wind speeds than Bahteen during day and night. The maximum ensemble-average wind speeds of the whole duration of the campaign were 4.2 m s\(^{-1}\) for 10th Ramadan and 2.6 m s\(^{-1}\) for Bahteen. As the measurement was at a higher level at Cairo University than at the other two stations, it is problematic to compare these values. However, the maximum ensemble-average wind speed at Cairo University was 3.6 m s\(^{-1}\). Two dominant directions were observed: a northern and a southern direction, whereas in 10th Ramadan the directions are rather northeast and southwest and in Bahteen they are north and southwest (Figure 3). The southwesterly winds are mainly apparent in winter and spring. They are called ‘Khamasin winds’ and are often associated with dust storms (Favez et al., 2008). Such a storm occurred from 29 to 30 January 2008, when a maximum wind speed of 10 m s\(^{-1}\) was measured. This storm subsequently corrupted the measurements, as the instruments got very dirty. Generally, wind direction did not follow any diurnal course when blowing from the northern sector. However, during ‘Khamasin’ days, the wind direction followed a clear diurnal pattern with a slight west shift in the later afternoon. Figure 3 shows wind direction and speed for the three stations.

2.1.4.2 Air temperature

Air temperatures showed clear diurnal cycles at all stations and decreased from November until the end of January to rise again in February. The mean temperature at Cairo University during the campaign was 14.9°C, while the maximum ensemble-average temperature was 19.3°C and the minimum ensemble-average temperature was 9.6°C.
The mean maximum temperature (mean of the daily maximum) at Cairo University was 19.2°C, and the mean minimum temperature (mean of the daily minimum) was 10.9°C. These values are characteristic for a hot desert climate (the annual mean temperature is over 18°C and there is only a little precipitation, which mostly falls in the winter months). Cairo features a typical nocturnal heat island, as was found by Robaa (2003). To cancel out the topographic effect on temperature, in the following temperatures were corrected for their height using simply the dry-adiabatic temperature gradient (0.00981 K m\(^{-1}\)). This correction, however, did not account for the fact that air temperatures at Cairo University were measured at 20 m (5 m above the roof), while the other two measurements were made at only 1.9 m. According to Kanda et al. (2005), a distinct gradient exists in the street canyon, even if maximum temperatures do not always occur at the same height. For this study, an average deviation of 1 K between the tower measurement and the temperatures at ground was estimated (not included in the data).

An unambiguous heat island study should also include topographic wind effects. However, this would reach beyond the scope of this paper. Figure 4 (a) shows the ensemble-average air temperatures of the three stations.

Air temperatures were higher at Cairo University than in Bahteem and 10\(^{th}\) Ramadan during the whole night. The daily mean maximum difference between Cairo University and Bahteem was 5.0°C, and that between Cairo University and 10\(^{th}\) Ramadan was 3.2°C (not shown in Figure 4 (a)). These differences, together with Figure 4 (a), document the nocturnal heat island of Cairo. In the morning, urban temperatures did not increase as much as the others; at noon the urban temperatures were lowest. Compared to 10\(^{th}\) Ramadan, even a midday cool island seemed to evolve. The subsequent cooling in the evening was strongest in Bahteem, and lowest at Cairo University, which led to the re-evolving nocturnal heat island. The coolest temperatures were found in Bahteem during the night.

UHI studies are conducted using in situ measurements of air temperature (Chow and Roth, 2006; Garcia-Cueto et al., 2007; Parlow, 2007; Gaffin et al., 2008), but also using thermal remote sensing images (Hung et al., 2006; Jusuf et al., 2007; Stathopoulou and Cartalis, 2007; Frey et al., 2007). Figure 4 (a) and (b) shows that the results of these studies should not be compared directly, as air and radiative temperatures vary in behaviour and magnitude. Voogt and Oke (2003) therefore used the terms UHI and surface urban heat island (SUHI) for the respective case. During the night, the difference between the two temperatures is not so obvious. Bahteem and 10\(^{th}\) Ramadan cooled to the same radiative temperature, while Cairo University featured considerably higher radiative temperatures (= nocturnal SUHI). However, during the day, Cairo University had higher radiative temperatures than Bahteem, but clearly cooler radiative temperatures than 10\(^{th}\) Ramadan.

The strong heating during the day and cooling during the night of the desert surface appears here in contrast to the urban surface, which seemed to store the heat more efficiently in the buildings. A reason for the lower daytime radiative temperatures in Bahteem compared to its relatively higher daytime air temperatures was the wetness of the soil. Before irrigation events, surface temperatures in Bahteem might even exceed those at Cairo University. Nevertheless, after the irrigation, Bahteem’s daytime radiative temperatures dropped considerably. Overall, during the day radiative temperatures were higher than the air temperatures, while at night, they were slightly cooler.

The conversion from radiative to brightness temperatures would slightly enhance the differences between Bahteem and the other two stations, due to the lower estimated emissivity of sand and urban construction materials. To quantitatively characterize the UHI of Cairo, mean, mean maximum, and mean minimum differences ($MD$, $MD_{max}$, and $MD_{min}$) as well as standard deviations between Cairo University and the two suburban sites were calculated for day and night.
hours from air and radiative temperatures. Day was defined as the time between 06:00 and 17:00 (local time, approximate sunrise to sunset) and night as the time from 17:00 to 06:00.

Table III shows that Cairo functioned as a heat island not only in the night but also during the day, compared to Bahteem station, when averaged over these time intervals. However, 10th Ramadan station was hotter during the day. This result was expected, as 10th Ramadan station is located in the desert, where almost no latent heat flux occurred and most available energy served to heat up the soil and the air. While both the air and radiative temperatures show the same patterns, the magnitudes of the radiative temperatures are much higher. The comparison shows further that the definition of an UHI/SUHI depends considerably on the reference station in the environment (see also Grimmond et al., 1993).

The Cairo nocturnal heat island was not a permanent feature, but depended on the wind situation. Bahteem and 10th Ramadan featured lower air temperatures than Cairo University, particularly when the main wind direction was north-northeast to north-northwest. On such occasions, wind speed was low. On the contrary, Bahteem and sometimes even 10th Ramadan air temperatures might equal Cairo University temperatures, when the strong south to southwest winds were blowing. It seemed that the whole UHI of Cairo was drifting in a north-northeast direction.

Figure 5 shows the air temperatures from the three stations for 3 days along with the wind speeds. Obviously, on the first two nights, temperatures were very similar due to strong winds turning to the south, while on the third night temperatures were much cooler in Bahteem and 10th Ramadan compared with Cairo University, while light northern winds prevailed.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5** Typical situation of adjusted air temperatures in relation to the wind speed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cairo University - Bahteem</th>
<th>Cairo University - 10th Ramadan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>T_{air}</strong></td>
<td>0.36/1.53</td>
<td>-0.35/1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T_{rad}</strong></td>
<td>1.31/2.22</td>
<td>-2.39/1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Daytime MD/STDEV</strong></td>
<td>2.35/2.10</td>
<td>1.30/1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nocturnal MD/STDEV</strong></td>
<td>3.93/1.97</td>
<td>5.18/1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Daytime MD_{max}/STDEV</strong></td>
<td>5.00/1.99</td>
<td>5.26/1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nocturnal MD_{max}/STDEV</strong></td>
<td>-1.99/1.10</td>
<td>-2.65/1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Daytime MD_{min}/STDEV</strong></td>
<td>0.12/1.55</td>
<td>1.70/1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nocturnal MD_{min}/STDEV</strong></td>
<td>0.12/1.55</td>
<td>-0.39/0.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1.4.3 Radiation fluxes

The measurement of solar radiation $K_{\downarrow}$ indicated a positive gradient in atmospheric transmissivity from the city to the urban fringe and beyond, as was found by Shaltout et al. (2000) and Robaa (2009). At Cairo University, the smallest $K_{\downarrow}$ values were measured, which can be explained by the strong air pollution in Cairo. At Bahteem, $K_{\downarrow}$ was only slightly higher, which might be due to air pollution on one hand and high levels of dispersed dust from the commonly unpaved roads on the other hand. The $10^{th}$ Ramadan station showed the highest $K_{\downarrow}$ (Figure 6). The ensemble-average difference between $K_{\downarrow}$ from 11:30 to 12:30 at Cairo University and $10^{th}$ Ramadan station was -62.0 Wm$^{-2}$, including all weather situations.

![Image of solar irradiation at three stations](image)

**Figure 6** Solar irradiation at the three stations: ensemble averages for the period 20 November 2007 - 20 February 2008.

The difference between Cairo University and Bahteem at the same time of day was only -16.6 Wm$^{-2}$. Wind direction did not influence these differences. The lower slope of Cairo University and Bahteem in the morning is also noticeable, and might be due to the morning fog in Cairo, which sometimes occurs during the winter months.

Shortwave reflectance is dependent on the albedo $\alpha$. The albedo was derived using $\alpha = K_{\uparrow}/K_{\downarrow}$ from the times 11:00 to 13:00 only, as the ratios showed the typical bowl shape. The $\alpha$ value was not constant, but altered with time. A first rise in $\alpha$ at Cairo University was due to the clearing activities on the roof at the beginning of the campaign. They ended on 6 December 2007. Further, $\alpha$ was mainly influenced by rain events and by the rate of drying afterwards. The low runaway values of Cairo University and $10^{th}$ Ramadan coincide with rain events, which were experienced by the first author herself. In the case of Bahteem station, important drops were due to the irrigation events that took place on 20 November 2007, 6 December 2007, 17 January 2008, and 11 February 2008. All of them clearly show up in the data (Figure 7). Apart from these short alterations of $\alpha$, there was a decreasing trend at Cairo University and $10^{th}$ Ramadan over the whole period. A reason might be the rising humidity of the ground, as rain events started only in November after the hot and dry summer season, so the ground was extremely dry at the beginning of the measurements. Generally, different sun elevation angles can change measured urban $\alpha$ values (Christen and Vogt, 2004). However, the decreasing trend after the winter solstice does not support this explanation. Another reason might be a changing phenology in the green areas of the campus. Further oscillations, especially at Cairo University, could not be explained.

The longwave downwards radiation $L_{\downarrow}$ showed a similar pattern to the air temperature, and the differences are mainly influenced by the UHI effect. During the day, the differences were very small (<2.5 Wm$^{-2}$ from 11:30 to 12:30); in the night, mean differences were a little higher (<9.2 Wm$^{-2}$ from 20:00 to 06:00). Longwave upward radiation $L_{\uparrow}$ showed similar behaviour to the radiative temperature. Figure 8 shows that $Q^*$ was highest at Bahteem station, where the surface albedo was lowest. Cairo University had only slightly higher values than $10^{th}$ Ramadan station, which might be due to the albedo. During the night, Cairo University had the lowest $Q^*$, which was due to the high surface temperatures and consequential high longwave emission. Bahteem and $10^{th}$ Ramadan were also slightly negative during the night.
2.1.4.4 Soil heat flux

The soil heat flux $Q_s$ of Cairo University was not measured directly. Owing to the non-closure of the energy balance at Bahteem and 10th Ramadan (vide infra), it would be error prone if only the residual was used, and therefore it is not shown here. $Q_s$ of 10th Ramadan took a considerable amount of $Q^*$ during the day. From 09:00 to 15:00, it took about 37% of $Q^*$ on average. The maximum of the ensemble average was 158 Wm$^{-2}$ at 10:05. For Bahteem, this relation was smaller: during the same time span, $Q_s$ took about 13% of $Q^*$. The maximum of the ensemble-average was 69 Wm$^{-2}$ at 11:11.

The storage term $Q_{s(0-z)}$ accounted for a considerable part of $Q_s$. Its average ensemble values peaked at 68 Wm$^{-2}$ in Bahteem at 09:55 and at 117 Wm$^{-2}$ in 10th Ramadan at 10:05, which is 122 and 74% of $Q_s$, respectively. $Q_s(0-z)$ exceeded the original heat flux considerably from morning until early afternoon. Similar magnitudes of the storage term were measured by Ochsner et al. (2007). Omitting the storage term would lead to an extreme underestimation of $Q_s$ at the two stations and further decrease the energy balance closure. In the afternoon, the storage term quickly became negative with a daily minimum and remained negative until the morning. The minimum ensemble-average value of the storage term was -41 Wm$^{-2}$ at 17:08 in Bahteem and -70 Wm$^{-2}$ at 16:29 in 10th Ramadan. $Q_{s(0-z)}$ showed a strong statistical spread, due to the high fluctuations of the surface radiative temperature. Figure 8 shows the ensemble-average $Q^*$ at the three stations and the ensemble-average $Q_s$ at Bahteem and 10th Ramadan for the period 20 November 2007 to 20 February 2008.

2.1.4.5 Turbulent fluxes

During the day, unstable conditions (expressed by $z/L < 0$) were the normal case at all three stations, while $z$ is the measurement height and $L$ is the Monin-Obukhov length. On average, daytime $z/L$ was significantly lower at Cairo University than in Bahteem or 10th Ramadan. During the night, stable conditions ($z/L > 0$) prevailed at all three stations.
The turbulent fluxes showed a great variability during the whole campaign. But a common pattern reigns during the whole time: At Cairo University and 10th Ramadan, the available energy was mainly going into $Q_H$, whereas in Bahteem most energy was fed into $Q_{LE}$. At 10th Ramadan station, $Q_{LE}$ was generally very low. Still, on some occasions a strong $Q_{LE}$ was recorded. These values were very likely connected with rain events. Unfortunately, no rain data were available, but especially in the second half of the campaign, it was likely that short duration and small-scale rain events occurred, as experienced by the first author. Also at Cairo University, a low average $Q_{LE}$ was found, despite the green areas neighbouring the campus. During the night, the ensemble-average $Q_H$ at Cairo University and 10th Ramadan was slightly negative, while at Bahteem it became clearly negative down to -32 Wm$^{-2}$ shortly after sunset. This strong negative $Q_H$ at Bahteem did not show up every night, but often did so in southwest wind situations with high wind speed. The magnitude was strongly dependent on the latter: the higher the wind speed, the more negative $Q_H$. However, even during nights with very low wind speeds, $Q_H$ remained mostly slightly negative. Owing to the high percentage of missing values in Bahteem during the night, these findings may not represent the whole duration of the campaign. Nevertheless, Spronken-Smith (2002) found a similar negative $Q_H$ after sunset in winter. The nocturnal negative $Q_H$ at Cairo University is not in agreement with the findings of Oke et al. (1999) and Christen and Vogt (2004), who found a positive nocturnal $Q_H$ in Mexico City, and in Basel, Switzerland. Although heat release is strong during the night at the urban site, it was not enough to maintain a positive $Q_H$. Figure 9 shows ensemble-average $Q_H$ and $Q_{LE}$ at the three stations.

$Q_{LE}$ in cities is known to be driven by the fraction of vegetation of the surface (Christen and Vogt, 2004; Moriwaki and Kanda, 2004). As all stations show a considerable heterogeneity in the closer environment, it is expected that fluxes show certain directionality.

To control this hypothesis, the surroundings of each station were divided into two sectors. The limiting factor was whether there were vegetated areas or not. The data of each station were then divided into two groups according to the wind direction. The limiting angles of attack for the vegetated sector of each station were as follows (clockwise rotation): Cairo University: 40° – 260°, Bahteem: 270° – 180°, 10th Ramadan: 30° – 190°.

Figure 9 Ensemble-average (a) sensible and (b) latent heat flux at the three stations for the period 20 November 2007 - 20 February 2008 (note: positive fluxes indicate a flux away from the surface, negative values indicate a flux towards the surface).
Mean daytime (06:00 – 16:30) fluxes were calculated over the whole period. The highest directionality showed $Q_{LE}$ at Bahteem station. Average daytime $Q_{LE}$ was found to be 100.5 Wm$^{-2}$ in the vegetated sector, whereas the non-vegetated sector showed only an average flux of 50.0 Wm$^{-2}$. The high fluxes came predominantly from the northeastern sector, where winds crossed agricultural fields. Southwesterly winds blew over the suburbs of Bahteem, the non-vegetated sector; consequently $Q_{LE}$ was low (Figure 10). $Q_{H}$ did show only a small directional difference. At Cairo University, a small influence of the fields to the south or of the gardens to the east could be detected in $Q_{LE}$, with a difference of 11.9 Wm$^{-2}$. But this vegetated sector produced not only a higher $Q_{LE}$ but also a higher $Q_{H}$ (difference of 16.8 Wm$^{-2}$). At 10$^{th}$ Ramadan, the tower was located south-west of a small wooden garden belonging to a compound within a distance of 350 m. In the south, a roundabout with irrigated beets was found. Surprisingly, no influence of this planting was found at all in the data. The vegetated sector even showed a lower ensemble-average $Q_{LE}$. However, the vegetated areas at this location were small and species were mostly xerophilous (Table IV). $Q_{LE}$ in relation to the wind direction is shown in Figure 10.

The Bowen ratio $\beta = Q_{H}/Q_{LE}$ was positive for all three stations during the day. The $\beta$ value started to rise in the morning and reached its peak in the afternoon at Cairo University and 10$^{th}$ Ramadan. The maximum ensemble-average $\beta$ peaked at $\beta = 11.0$ at 15:00 in 10$^{th}$ Ramadan, while it reached $\beta = 4.4$ at 14:30 in Cairo University. In contrary, in Bahteem a weak peak occurred already in the morning (maximum ensemble-average $\beta = 0.8$) and $\beta$ decreased during the day continuously. This disparity could be attributed to the humidity in the air and soil.

Table IV. Mean $Q_{H}$ and $Q_{LE}$ according to the vegetated and non-vegetated sectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$Q_{H}$ [Wm$^{-2}$]</th>
<th>$Q_{LE}$ [Wm$^{-2}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vegetated</td>
<td>Non-vegetated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cairo University</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>48.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahteem</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10$^{th}$ Ramadan</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>55.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
At Cairo University and 10th Ramadan, only a small latent heat flux was measured. Most available water was evaporated until the afternoon, forcing $\beta$ to rise. In Bahteem, the evaporation occurred from the crop during the whole day, so $\beta$ did not rise more. Daytime mean Bowen ratios were $\beta = 1.9$ for Cairo University, $\beta = 0.1$ for Bahteem, and $\beta = 6.7$ for 10th Ramadan station (06:00 – 16:30). The $\beta$ value showed the same dependence on the wind direction as $Q_{LE}$.

The $\beta$ values found in this study are comparable to values in the literature. Spronken-Smith (2002) found summer daytime $\beta$ for Christchurch from 1.29 to 2.11. Moriwaki and Kanda (2004) found daytime $\beta$ of a residential area in Tokio in the range of $1.29 \sim 5.0$, depending on the season. Christen and Vogt (2004) found daytime urban $\beta$ close to $\beta = 2$, and Grimmond and Oke (1995) reported daytime values of $\beta = 0.78 \sim 1.83$ in four US cities, with a clear gradient of the water availability in the city. Oke et al. (1999) found the Bowen ratios of Mexico city to be between $\beta = 4$ and 12, which is more similar to our desert conditions.

The Bowen ratios at Cairo University and 10th Ramadan showed a considerable statistical spread. The daytime mean standard deviations of the ensemble averages were 14.7 for Cairo University and 23.1 for 10th Ramadan. In Bahteem, this value was 4.9. Grimmond et al. (1993) found daytime $\beta$ to be more constant at rural sites than at urban sites. This observation can be confirmed only for Bahteem and Cairo University. The 10th Ramadan, also a rural site (albeit desert), showed an even higher diversity than Cairo University.

2.1.4.6 Energy balance closure

At Cairo University, the soil heat flux was not measured, so no closure could be determined. At Bahteem and 10th Ramadan, the energy balance was not closed. While the residual was slightly negative during the night, it reached considerable dimensions during the day, especially in Bahteem, where the maximum of the ensemble-average residual was 148 Wm$^{-2}$, which is 45% of $Q^*$ at that time. At 10th Ramadan, the maximum ensemble-average residual was 57 Wm$^{-2}$, which is 20% of $Q^*$. Figure 11 shows the ensemble-average residual at Bahteem and 10th Ramadan. No final explanation for these high residuals could be found. According to Foken (2008), measuring errors of the terms of the energy balance, including storage terms, do not explain such big daytime residuals if measurements are done carefully. As a result of the EBEX campaign, Oncley et al. (2007) found advection to be a major reason for afternoon residuals, which are assumed to be zero in Equation (1). Foken (2008) goes further and assumes that the closure problem is generally a scale problem, as the eddy covariance measurements only include the turbulent exchange of smaller eddies. But larger eddies seem to have a major influence on exchange processes in a heterogeneous landscape. So a closure is only possible on a landscape scale. However, fluxes in a totally uniform landscape, like a desert or a uniform bush land, should not be influenced by larger eddies. This hypothesis is partly supported by the data of 10th Ramadan, where the residual is lower than that of Bahteem. But as Figure 1 shows, 10th Ramadan is also homogeneous, but in the microscale. The outer surroundings include several buildings, factories, some trees, and street lamps. Further, the residual shows directionality. When north-easterly winds prevail in Bahteem, the residual is considerably smaller than in south-western wind situations. The daytime (06:00–16:30) mean ensemble-average residual for the vegetated sector (see Chapter 2.1.3.3) of Bahteem is 40.4 Wm$^{-2}$; for the non-vegetated sector it is double: 91.3 Wm$^{-2}$. This can be explained by the higher heterogeneity farther into the south-western sector, where the surface is mainly urban. The 10th Ramadan station also shows a slight directionality, but here the difference between the two daytime means is only 10.5 Wm$^{-2}$, with the non-vegetated sector (open desert) having the lower residual.
2.1.5 Conclusions

In the framework of CAPAC, a micrometeorological field campaign was conducted in Greater Cairo from November 2007 to February 2008. All key variables of the energy balance were measured using standard eddy covariance instrumentation in three typical microclimates: an urban, a suburban-agricultural, and a suburban-desert station. The terms of the energy balance will be compared to the output of a remote sensing study. Hence, following the results of this study will be related to some aspects of remote sensing.

Cairo features a nocturnal heat island with higher air and radiative surface temperatures in the city than in the suburban stations. In south to southwest wind situations when wind speed was high, the nocturnal differences disappeared; the UHI seemed to be shifted to north. The results also highlight the fact that findings from radiative temperatures, as used in remote sensing studies, differ significantly from findings from studies using air temperature. The urban setting better stored heat than the suburban-desert soil. This was expressed by the lower magnitude of the diurnal cycle of radiative temperature at the urban station compared with the suburban-desert station.

Net radiation of the suburban-agricultural station was highest, while the suburban-desert station had the lowest values. The main factor determining these differences was the surface albedo. The latter variable was not constant over time, but changed due to several reasons. Therefore, it is suggested that surface albedo should be updated regularly when doing remote sensing studies of the area. Daytime irradiation showed a gradient away from the city, most probably due to air pollution. It follows that the atmospheric properties change over space significantly. So, a single radiosonde ascent is not sufficient to accurately describe the composition of the atmosphere in such an environment. This fact must be considered when modelling, for example, solar irradiation in the spatial domain for remote sensing studies. Longwave incident radiation did show nocturnal differences due to the urban heat island. However, the magnitude of these differences was fairly small (<9.2 Wm$^{-2}$) which falls into the measurement accuracy. All terms of the net radiation could be determined with sufficient accuracy and can be directly used for the comparison study with remote sensing or modelled data, whereas scaling issues of the respective pixels must be accounted for. The findings for the soil heat flux were similar. The soil heat flux was estimated using two terms: the soil heat flux at depth $z$ and the heat storage above $z$. The storage term proved to be a major contributor and omitting it would lead to a considerable underestimation of the soil heat flux. However, the storage term also showed extreme short-term fluctuations originating from rapid radiative surface temperature changes. Thus, the radiative temperatures of a satellite image might depict a condition, which is not necessarily representative of a longer period (e.g. 30 min).

The analysis of the turbulent heat fluxes showed that at the urban and the suburban-desert station the main part of the available energy was going into the sensible heat flux, while at the suburban-agricultural station the latent heat flux was...
dominating. Several constraints were found in using the in situ measured turbulent flux data for the remote sensing analysis. The lack of a correct determination of the turbulent heat fluxes with a sufficient closure limits the applicability of these data to comparison studies with remote sensing data. The influence of large-scale eddies is as yet unsolved and puts a major restriction on the use of these data. As stated earlier, the purpose of these measurements was to measure ground-truth data for a remote sensing-based energy balance study. The non-closure of the energy balance and a very probable underestimation of the turbulent fluxes limit the direct usage of the in situ flux data for input in models later applied to remote sensing data. A simple redistribution of the residual to the sensible and latent heat flux according to the Bowen ratio (Twine et al., 2000) is a first approach, but remains problematic, as the ratio might be different for large eddies (Foken, 2008).

Important for the comparison with remote sensing data is the directionality found in the flux data. As turbulent fluxes were strongly influenced by their source area, especially in Bahteem, it is straightforward to define this source area in the remote sensing image for further flux comparison (Li et al., 2008). This of course assumes knowledge of the wind speed and direction. So, wind speed and direction are indispensable variables in heterogeneous surfaces.

The high daytime temporal variation of the partition of the turbulent fluxes does not allow a methodology to be applied that uses a constant Bowen ratio or equivalent. This is another major restriction, as the Bowen ratio would allow only one turbulent heat flux to be determined.

Finally, fluxes measured with the eddy covariance technique are always time averages, in our case 30-minute averages, while remote sensing images are observations done in a very short time frame. The in situ measured fluxes are affected by changes in surface variables like surface temperature during the averaging period. However, the use of remote sensing images will not incorporate these changes.

A sound methodology for comparing fluxes retrieved from satellite images with in situ measured fluxes incorporating all these constraints is outstanding and the subject of ongoing research.
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ABSTRACT: The determination of the spatial energy budget using remote sensing images is a practicable approach, especially in areas, where in situ measurements are rare or not available at all. This study highlights the possibilities and constraints of determining spatial surface radiation and land heat fluxes in a heterogeneous urban area and its agricultural and natural surroundings. Net radiation was determined using ASTER satellite data and MODTRAN radiative transfer calculations. The soil heat flux was estimated with several empirical methods using various radiative terms and vegetation indices. The turbulent heat fluxes finally were determined threefold with the LUMPS (Local-Scale Urban Meteorological Parameterization Scheme), the ARM (Aerodynamic Resistance Method) and the S-SEBI (Simplified Surface Energy Balance Index) method. The performance of the atmospheric correction was found to be crucial for the estimation of the radiation balance and thereafter the heat fluxes. The soil heat flux could be modelled satisfactorily with three of the applied approaches. The other approaches failed in producing acceptable soil heat fluxes. The LUMPS method for the turbulent fluxes, appeals by its simplicity. However, a correct spatial estimation of associated parameters is not yet solved. The ARM method delivered the best results for the turbulent fluxes, considering not only the comparison with measurement data but also the spatial distribution in the images. The S-SEBI approach finally proved to be unusable due to a high variability of surface temperatures in the desert.
2.2.1 Introduction

In the last decade many studies tried to estimate land surface fluxes from remote sensing images. The motivation for such work is to overcome the problem of spatial representativeness of single in situ measurements in an area or to by-pass the lack of available measurements at all. A successful methodology would be extremely helpful for example for the determination of surface energy fluxes from remote areas, where it is difficult or even impossible to set up in situ measurements because of geographical, political, infrastructural, or social reasons as well.

The goal of this study was to show, if it is possible to determine the whole energy budget from ASTER satellite images for single dates of a remote area featuring very contrasting surface covers; using as few in situ measurements as possible. For this a megacity was selected, consisting of many different quarters and located in a natural environment with a variety of different landscapes.

From literature, three groups of methods for the estimation of turbulent heat fluxes could be discriminated. They can be summarized as a) Bulk transfer approaches, b) extreme pixel approaches, and c) the LUMPS-scheme. The three groups will be touched on in the following.

The bulk transfer approach uses remotely sensed surface temperatures together with the estimation of air temperature, net radiation, and soil heat flux to derive the turbulent heat fluxes. The approach focuses on the determination of the resistance to heat transfer $r_{ah}$. The estimation of the single terms is sometimes problematic. The term $r_{ah}$ for example is a function of surface roughness, wind speed, and stability (Verma 1989, Kustas et al. 1989) and is expressed by the roughness lengths and the stability correction function. It inherently shows a high variability over heterogeneous surfaces like urban areas. Several approaches using morphometric methods have been introduced (Grimmond & Oke 1999) to account for this problem. Also the estimation of net radiation can be tricky due to shading effects and multiple scattering of radiation by high rise buildings. Nonetheless several studies have used this method to simply derive urban sensible heat fluxes or the whole energy budget. In some cases fairly good results were achieved, however other studies reported larger uncertainties and errors in the results (Voogt & Grimmond 2000, Offerle 2003, Xu et al. 2008). In this paper a similar bulk transfer approach is used as described in Xu et al. 2008, henceforward referred to as Aerodynamic Resistance Method (ARM).

The second group of methods, the extreme pixel approaches, uses extreme wet and dry pixels rendered either by manual setting or by the relation of surface temperature and surface albedo to find the partition of turbulent heat fluxes for each pixel. These methods originate in the SEBI (Surface Energy Balance Index) formulation proposed by Menenti & Choudhury (1993). SEBI was developed further by many researchers. For example Su (2002) introduced the SEBS (Surface Energy Balance System) scheme incorporating the aerodynamic roughness length for heat in the model. S-SEBI (Simplified Surface Energy Balance Index - Roerink et al. 2000) was derived from SEBS for use with remote sensing data. Because of its simplicity this method is applied in this paper to assess the general usability of this group of methods.

The SEBAL approach uses a combination of the bulk transfer approach and the extreme pixel approach. The sensible heat flux is modelled using the bulk aerodynamic resistance model in combination with an estimation of the net radiation and the soil heat flux. To estimate air temperatures, extreme pixels are used to find an assumed linear relationship between the difference of surface temperature and air temperature and surface temperatures (Bastiaanssen et al., 1998). Bastiaanssen et al. (2005) reviewed 26 research studies applying the SEBAL approach, finding that the overall accuracy of evapotranspiration for single-day events and
for scales of about 100 ha is +/-15% over agricultural areas. Further space and time integration would even improve the accuracy. SEBAL is not used in this paper as the results of S-SEBI did not indicate further work in this direction.

The LUMPS approach introduced by Grimmond & Oke (2002) is a linked set of equations using the method presented in de Bruin & Holtslag (1982) and Holtslag & van Ulden (1983). LUMPS requires only standard meteorological observations and basic knowledge of the surface cover. Similar to the bulk transfer approach it is driven by net radiation. Though the LUMPS approach was originally developed for surface station data, it was also used recently in combination with remote sensing data (Rigo 2007, Xu et al. 2008). Central to the LUMPS approach is the determination of surface dependent parameters, which also show a high variability over heterogeneous surfaces.

All three methods (ARM, extreme pixels and LUMPS) require net radiation and the soil heat flux as input. While net radiation can be estimated from satellite radiances and radiative transfer modelling, the soil heat flux is a function of the net radiation and surface properties. Please refer to Gowda et al. (2007) for a comprehensive review on energy balance methods with special focus on the determination of evapotranspiration.

To compare the results of the three different methods against field values, a measurement campaign was conducted from October 2007 to February 2008 in Greater Cairo. All relevant variables were continuously measured at three stations, each representing a major land cover class: ‘urban’, ‘agricultural’ and ‘desert’. Further details and results from this campaign are described in Frey et al. (2010).

After a presentation of the study site in chapter 2.2.2, the used in situ data and remote sensing products are introduced in chapter 2.2.3. The methods are introduced in chapter 2.2.4 and 2.2.5, separately for each the radiation and the heat fluxes. Chapter 2.2.6 presents the results of this paper and chapter 2.2.7 discusses these results and draws the conclusions of the paper.

2.2.2 Study area

The study area is Greater Cairo, the largest city in Egypt and on the African continent. Greater Cairo is a megacity, which administratively belongs to three different units: the governorates of Cairo, Giza and Qalyubiyya. For this historical division of the contiguous agglomeration of the megacity and due to many unregistered persons, cited inhabitant numbers may differ considerable. As a whole the population can be estimated to about 20 million inhabitants (Schlink et al. 2007). Besides the study area of the urban agglomeration there are neighbouring agricultural and natural desert landscapes, resulting in a high diversity of surface characteristics dominating the scene. Landscape features range from small-scale, irrigated farming spots, to the labyrinthine of the wadi systems in the Eastern desert, to diverse urban settlements (extremely dense housing to spacious villa quarters). This high diversity, manifesting itself in large amplitudes of surface albedo, emissivity, irradiation, soil humidity, and roughness, requires extremely robust procedures for the processing of the remote sensing data and quickly exposes potential weaknesses of each of the methodologies. Additional information about the study area can be found in Frey et al. (2010).

2.2.3 Data

2.2.3.1 Satellite data

The main remote sensing data source was ASTER (Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer), an optical imager on the TERRA satellite of NASA. It crosses the equator at about 10:30 local time. The spectral resolution ranges from the very visible to the thermal infrared with a spatial resolution from 15 m in the

visible to 90 m in the thermal infrared. ASTER has a swath width of 60 km and its revisit time is 16 days. All these features make ASTER an optimal candidate for medium scale landscape analyses. A key question of this paper is how ASTER data can be used for energy balance studies in urban regions. Since the atmospheric correction of optical satellite data is still a difficult task, it was decided not to rely on the standard procedures offered by NASA, but to investigate this processing step ourselves to estimate the uncertainty in the flux products induced by the atmospheric correction. Therefore, only Level-1B data are used in this paper.

For the atmospheric correction the operational aerosol product from MISR (Multi-angle Imaging SpectroRadiometer), also onboard TERRA, was taken. MISR makes optical multi-angular measurements and has a spatial resolution of 17.6 km. The algorithm for the product was developed by Martonchick et al. (1998). It is based on a set of predefined climatological aerosol mixtures to cover the most likely maritime, rural and urban conditions. Thanks to the broad swath width of 380 km the effective repetition period for a given ground location lies between 2 and 7 days (Keller et al. 2007).

Additionally a scene of ASAR (Advanced Synthetic Aperture Radar), an imaging microwave radar operating at C-band was used in this study. The scene was acquired in the ASAR image mode, where only one of seven predetermined swaths is sensed. A geolocated level 1B product with polarization set to ‘VV’ was chosen. Spatial resolution of the delivered product was 12.5 m. Further, the SRTM (Shuttle Radar Topography Mission) digital elevation model of the region was downloaded for use in the spatial irradiation modelling.

2.2.3.2 In situ data

In situ data from the CAPAC campaign (Frey et al. 2010) were used additionally to the satellite data. Besides standard meteorological parameters like air temperature, humidity and wind speed / direction, also the long and shortwave up- and downwelling radiation, the soil heat flux and the turbulent heat fluxes were measured continuously from 20 October 2007 to 20 February 2008 at three locations. The first station was on the roof of a building inside the campus of the Cairo University in Giza, west of the River Nile. At this station the soil heat flux was not measured, but indirectly derived from the other terms as described in chapter 2.2.5.1. The second station was on the northern rim of Cairo in the Bahteem district on an agricultural field inside a meteorological station of EMA (Egyptian Meteorological Authority). The third station was also part of a meteorological station of EMA outside the agglomeration of Cairo in the desert, next to the satellite town 10th Ramadan. Further details of the campaign and a description of the data can be found in Frey et al. (2010).

In a first step the in situ measurements were used for the development of a ‘best fit’ version of the atmospheric correction procedure as described in chapter 2.2.4.1. Secondly the data were used for the development of empirical regression equations for a) the soil heat flux, b) the air temperature, c) two empirical parameters of the LUMPS approach and d) the aerodynamic resistance. Finally the data served as comparison material for the radiation and heat fluxes described in chapter 2.2.6.

Flux measurements using the eddy covariance technique frequently suffer from a closure gap in the energy balance (for example Wilson et al. 2002). The sum of the measured turbulent fluxes is sometimes lower than the available energy (the difference between net radiation and the soil heat flux). This residual is subject to current research and was attributed, amongst other reasons, to the influence of advection, lacking homogeneity of the surface, weak turbulence, and the exclusion of large eddies through a probably too short sampling time (Foken 2008). In the data of CAPAC a considerable residual was found, too (Frey et al. 2010). Most probably, it is
reasonable partitioning the residual among the turbulent heat fluxes to close the gap. However, it is unclear, to which percentages this distribution must take place. Twine et al. (2000) proposed a simple distribution proportional to the Bowen ratio. This approach was applied here, despite the supposition that the ratio might be different for large eddies (Foken 2008).

Another constraint of the eddy covariance technique is that fluxes are average values of a certain time period (30 minutes in the CAPAC campaign), while satellite data are instantaneous measurements. Therefore during the averaging period considerable changes in the radiation situation might occur, probably influencing the derivation of these fluxes considerable. Such changes are not incorporated in the satellite measurement, surely leading to a certain mismatch when comparing products from the two approaches.

The following dates of ASTER data were available during the campaign in Cairo. Not all stations (‘Cairo University’, ‘Bahteem’, and ‘10th Ramadan’) were covered by all scenes. An X in Table V indicates that the station is covered by at least one scene during this day. Scenes (a) are usually depicting the Northern part of the agglomeration, scenes (b) show the southern part.

Unfortunately the ASTER SWIR instrument suffers from thermal anomalies from December 2008. Therefore the SWIR data from 24 December and 2 January could not be used in this study. It mainly affected the estimation of the broadband albedo. The substituting algorithm is described in Chapter 2.2.4.2.1. Further, the scene of 15 November 2007 could not be used due to wide spread cloud cover over Cairo. In addition, all other scenes had more or less cloud contaminations. Cloud areas were first detected using an automated cloud-detection algorithm. As the algorithm was not able to detect all areas where strong haze occurred, additional manual edition was necessary. The cloudy areas were not used in any of the following analyses.

### 2.2.4 Methods: Radiation balance

#### 2.2.4.1 Atmospheric correction

To obtain bottom of the atmosphere radiances (BOA radiances) from the shortwave ASTER data, the at-sensor or top of the atmosphere radiances (TOA radiances) were atmospherically corrected for the influence of absorbing and scattering processes according to

\[
L_{\text{toa}}(\lambda) = L_p(\lambda) + \tau(\lambda) \cdot \rho_L(\lambda) \cdot L_g(\lambda) + L_{\text{ad}}(\lambda)
\]

whereas \(L_{\text{toa}}\) is the radiance at-sensor \([\text{Wm}^{-2}\text{sr}^{-1}\mu\text{m}^{-1}]\), \(L_p\) is the path radiance \([\text{Wm}^{-2}\text{sr}^{-1}\mu\text{m}^{-1}]\), \(\tau\) is the average transmissivity between the Earth surface and the sensor, \(\rho_L\) is the reflected radiance \([\text{Wm}^{-2}\text{sr}^{-1}\mu\text{m}^{-1}]\), \(L_{\text{ad}}\) are the adjacency effects \([\text{Wm}^{-2}\text{sr}^{-1}\mu\text{m}^{-1}]\) and \(\lambda\) is the spectral range of the respective band. The term \(\rho\) is the reflectance of the Earth surface. To correct the image for the atmospheric effects, \(L_p\) and \(\tau\) were determined for each ASTER band using the radiative transfer model MODTRAN. The input atmosphere for the calculations was taken from midday radiosonde ascents south of Cairo in Helwan from the same days like the ASTER over flights. For the aerosol setting a first default option ‘urban, visibility 5 km’ was taken. It was considered the most suitable setting, as Cairo is an overwhelming megacity with heavy air

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Number of scenes</th>
<th>‘Cairo University’</th>
<th>‘Bahteem’</th>
<th>‘10th Ramadan’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 Nov 07</td>
<td>2 (a+b)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Nov 07</td>
<td>2 (a+b)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Dec 07</td>
<td>2 (a+b)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Dec 07</td>
<td>2 (a+b)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Jan 08</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table V Dates of ASTER acquisitions during the CAPAC campaign.
pollution. The aerosol function was set to ‘Mie scattering’.

After Frey et al. (2010) evidence is given that the aerosol load is not equally distributed over the images. Since, \( L_p \) and \( \tau \) are not constants, but vary in the spatial domain. Several options in determining the spatial aerosol optical depth (AOD) were investigated. First of all, it was tried to determine AOD from the ASTER data itself, following the method of Kaufmann et al. (1997). However, because of the actual lack of knowledge of band relations for bright surfaces, this method was not possible to conduct. Second, the MODIS AOD product was examined for all available dates. But as the method also uses the method of Kaufmann et al. (1997) the product had too many missing pixels in the area of Greater Cairo, so this option was also not feasible. The third option was to take the AOD product from MISR data (Martonchik et al. 1998). As this product does not rely on such band relations, enough pixels were available for the area. Band 2 (558 nm) was taken as input for the correction process. This approach is henceforth addressed as the ‘best guess’ option, assuming to have no other reference data than the radiosonde ascents. The fourth and last option also used the MISR AOD, but the aerosol setting of MODTRAN was put to ‘rural, visibility 23 km’. Even though this setting is not optimal, this option provided the best fit with the in situ measured albedo values (mean absolute difference 0.022). In this study, we will use option three (‘best guess’) and option four (‘best fit’) for further analyses. These options will apply also for the calculation of the broadband solar irradiation, the net radiation and consequently for all other dependent variables.

The relation of \( \tau \) with AOD follows a negative exponential curve; the relation of \( L_p \) with AOD starts with a logarithmic curve, but then decreases again. For the correction of the ASTER images only AOD values up to 1 are considered. Therefore it was straight forward to assume a linear relation-ship of the path radiance and the transmissivity with the AOD in this range (see Figure 12).

\[
\rho_{\text{TOA}} = \frac{L_{\text{TOA}} d^2}{L_{\text{exo}} \cos \Theta_s}
\]

and then multiplied by 1.1, an manual set factor. \( \rho_{\text{TOA}} \) is the top of the atmosphere reflectance, \( L_{\text{exo}} \) the exo-atmospheric irradiance for the respective ASTER band [Wm\(^{-2}\)sr\(^{-1}\)μm\(^{-1}\)], \( d \) the Earth-Sun-Distance in astronomical units and \( \Theta_s \) the solar zenith angle [°].
For the correction of each scene, six MODTRAN runs were necessary with different combinations of AOD and ρ, yielding a different output for each run. Four runs were necessary to find the relation between $L_p$, $\tau$ and the AOD and $\rho$, two additional new runs were necessary to calculate the incoming solar irradiance and its dependence on the AOD. The incoming solar irradiance is needed for the calculation of $\rho$. Its calculation is comparable to the procedure described in chapter 2.2.4.2.3.

$\rho(\lambda)$ is the spectral surface reflectance and calculated as

$$\rho(\lambda) = \frac{L_r(\lambda)}{L_g(\lambda)}, \quad (9)$$

where $L_r$ is the radiance reflected from the Earth’ surface [Wm$^{-2}$sr$^{-1}$μm$^{-1}$] and $L_g$ the global irradiance (beam plus diffuse irradiance) [Wm$^{-2}$sr$^{-1}$μm$^{-1}$].

The correction of the longwave bands is similar to equation 1. The basic equation is

$$L_{toa}(\lambda) = L_p(\lambda) + \tau \cdot L_g(\lambda) + L_r(\lambda), \quad (10)$$

with $L_{toa}$ being the TOA radiance [Wm$^{-2}$sr$^{-1}$μm$^{-1}$], $L_p$ the path radiance [Wm$^{-2}$sr$^{-1}$μm$^{-1}$], $\tau$ the average transmissivity and $L_r$ the radiance reflected from the Earth surface (a very small term). As the longwave radiation is not influenced significantly by aerosols or $\rho$, these terms were kept constant over the full scene.

### 2.2.4.2 Modelling of net radiation

The net radiation $Q^*$ [Wm$^{-2}$] is given as

$$Q^* = (1 - \alpha) \cdot K_\downarrow + L_\downarrow - L_\uparrow, \quad (11)$$

with $\alpha$ denoting the broadband surface albedo, $K_\downarrow$ the broadband irradiation [Wm$^{-2}$], $L_\downarrow$ incoming longwave radiation [Wm$^{-2}$], and $L_\uparrow$ outgoing longwave emission [Wm$^{-2}$]. In the following, all terms will be explained separately.

### 2.2.4.2.1 Broadband albedo $\alpha$

To convert the spectral reflectances $\rho$ of ASTER obtained from the atmospheric correction algorithm to broadband albedos, an empirical equation was used. The equation was gained from a multiple regression approach, using 86 samples of different surface materials of the ASTER spectral library Version 1.2 of JPL Laboratories. The following flow chart (Figure 14) describes the different steps of the algorithm.

The regression of the broadband reflectances $\alpha$ and the modelled reflectances $\rho$, showed a very high correlation of 0.9986, even though surface materials with highly varying spectral reflectances were chosen. In case of the ASTER scenes from 24 December 2007 and 01 February 2008 only the VNIR bands were available due to a temperature anomaly in the detector. Therefore, another equation was obtained for these dates. The resulting empirical regression equations are listed in the annex.
2.2.4.2.2 Outgoing longwave emission $L_{\uparrow}$

For the outgoing longwave emission $L_{\uparrow}$ the atmospheric corrected TOA radiances using the ‘best guess’ option were converted to brightness temperatures using the Planck-function. As the Planck-function is only valid for a single band wave number, the correction of the least-square-fit method described in Liang (2004) was used. Using an assumed synthetic emissivity, surface temperatures were then calculated from band 14. The assumed emissivities were 0.98 (water and pure vegetation) and 0.90 (urban and desert). In urban and agricultural areas of the ASTER scenes, where often mixed pixels occur, the formulas of Carlson & Ripley (1997) were used, incorporating the before mentioned emissivities for pure pixels. The emissivities of the other bands were then obtained by comparing their surface temperatures with the surface temperatures of the emissivity-corrected band 14. The resulting band emissivities ($e_{bi}$) were then converted to broadband emissivities ($\epsilon$) using empirical regression equations for each land use. The empirical equations were generated similar to the shortwave case. Only, the weighting function for the broadband emissivity was the Planck-curve at 300 K (instead of the solar irradiation). The equations for the different land use classes are also in the annex.

2.2.4.2.3 Incoming broadband irradiation $K_{\downarrow}$ and incoming longwave radiation $L_{\downarrow}$

Incoming broadband irradiation $K_{\downarrow}$ was estimated using MODTRAN runs over the shortwave range from 0.25 to 4 microns. For the option ‘best guess’ the same MODTRAN settings were used as for the atmospheric correction of the band radiances in the ‘best guess’ mode. For the option ‘best fit’ however, the in situ
measured $K_\downarrow$ values from the CAPAC campaign (Frey et al. 2010) were used to iteratively find the optimal AOD values for the three available stations by minimizing the differences between the measured and the modelled $K_\downarrow$ values. These values were then generally applied to the image according to the respecting land cover.

The spatial solar irradiation $K_\downarrow$ is given as the sum of beam irradiation ($K_{\downarrow b}$), diffuse irradiation ($K_{\downarrow d}$), and irradiation reflected from the environment ($K_{\downarrow r}$), all terms in Wm$^{-2}$. In case of shaded areas, the beam radiation is dismissed.

$K_{\text{sunlit}}(x, y) = K_{\downarrow b} \cdot \cos \varphi (x, y) + K_{\downarrow d} \cdot svf (x, y) + K_{\downarrow r}(x, y)$

\[ (12) \]

$K_{\text{shaded}}(x, y) = K_{\downarrow d} \cdot svf (x, y) + K_{\downarrow r}(x, y)$

\[ (13) \]

$\varphi$ is the illumination angle [°] and can be calculated following Liu & Jordan (1963) using the SRTM DEM. svf is the sky view factor, which describes the proportion of the upper hemisphere that is ‘seen’ by a pixel. It is also calculated with the SRTM DEM. Its calculation is described in Frey & Parlow (2009). The irradiance reflected from the environment is calculated iteratively using following parameterization:

$K_{\downarrow r}(x, y) = K_1(x, y) \cdot \alpha(x, y) \cdot (1 - svf(x, y))$

\[ (14) \]

Incoming longwave radiation $L_\downarrow$ was also estimated using the ‘best guess’ option in MODTRAN. Yet, no ‘best fit’ option was introduced to the longwave fluxes.

### 2.2.5 Methods: Heat fluxes

#### 2.2.5.1 Modelling of the soil heat flux $Q_s$

The soil heat flux $Q_s$ [Wm$^{-2}$] is a function of the available energy on the surface and the layers beneath and the thermal properties of the soil. Thermal properties are dependent on soil moisture and porosity and therefore only constant at sealed surfaces. Whilst the estimated $Q^*$ stands for the available energy, it is more difficult to describe the thermal properties of the ground. Common approaches found in literature are using different vegetation indices for this purpose; the SEBAL procedure for example (Baastianssen et al. 1998) includes also the surface temperature and the albedo in the calculation.

All approaches found in the literature and used in this paper, except the one from Parlow (Rigo & Parlow 2006), were designed for natural surfaces.

Table VI shows five different approaches that were found in literature and were tested in this study. The indices ‘fcover’ (fraction of vegetation cover), ‘NDVI’ (normalized difference vegetation index) and ‘MSAVI’ (modified soil adjusted vegetation index) were calculated following De Ridder & Mensink (2003) and Qi et al. (1994) for each of the ‘best fit’ and the ‘best guess’ option.

Apart from these approaches, a new formula was derived using linear regression with a set of in situ data from the CAPAC campaign. Due to extremely high fluctuations in the storage term of the ground heat flux (Frey et al. 2010), it was decided only to work with 30 minute averages. Daytime $Q^*$ and $Q_s$ (07:00–16:00) from the period from 20 November 2007 to 20 February 2008 were used.
Table VI Literature approaches for the calculation of the soil heat flux

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>‘Choudhury’*</td>
<td>$Q_s = Q^* \cdot (c1 \cdot f_{cover} + c2 \cdot (1 - f_{cover}))$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Norman’**</td>
<td>$Q_{soil} = Q^* - Q^* \cdot e^{0.9 \cdot \ln(1-f_{cover})}$ and $Q_s = 0.35 \cdot Q_{soil}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Parlow/urban’***</td>
<td>$G_{urban} = (0.3673 - 0.3914 \cdot NDVI) \cdot Q^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Parlow/rural’***</td>
<td>$G_{rural} = (0.3673 - 0.3914 \cdot NDVI) \cdot Q_{short} \cdot (-0.8826 \cdot \ln(R_{n,short}) + 5.0967)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘SEBAL’****</td>
<td>$Q_s = Q^* \cdot \frac{\bar{L}}{\alpha} \cdot (0.0038 \cdot \alpha_0 + 0.0074 \cdot \alpha_0^2) \cdot (1 - 0.98 \cdot NDVI^4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Sobrino’*****</td>
<td>$Q_s = 0.5 \cdot Q^* \cdot e^{-2.13 \cdot MSAVI}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Choudhury et al. (1987), $c1 = 0.05$ for Vegetation (Monteith & Unsworth, 1990), $c2 = 0.315$ for bare soil (Kustas & Daughtry, 1990)
** Norman et al. (1995)
*** Rigo & Parlow 2006
**** Baastianssen et al. (1998)
***** Sobrino et al. (2005)

The data were filtered for sunny hours by comparing actual net radiation to an adapted sine wave. The daily curve of $Q_s$ features a time offset towards the curve of $Q^*$ of about one hour. Therefore the whole time series of the latter parameter was shifted backwards one hour for the regression calculations. The resulting formulas, split to land cover, are:

$$Q_{s,urban} = 0.342555 \cdot Q_{h-1}^* - 1.22602$$  
$$r^2 = 0.77$$  

(21)

$$Q_{s,rural} = 0.140445 \cdot Q_{h-1}^* - 0.39747$$  
$$r^2 = 0.78$$  

(22)

$$Q_{s,desert} = 0.312526 \cdot Q_{h-1}^* - 0.318237$$  
$$r^2 = 0.94$$  

(23)

$Q^*$ of one hour before the over flight ($Q_{h-1}^*$) can be calculated from $Q_h^*$, assuming that $Q^*$ follows the above mentioned idealised sine wave. In the morning the wave starts at the point, when $Q^*$ gets positive (sine = 0). It then grows to the maximum (sine = 1) at the point of time, when $Q^*$ has its maximum to decrease to sine = 0 again in the evening, when $Q^*$ gets negative. $Q_{h-1}^*$ is then

$$Q_{h-1}^* = Q_h^* \frac{\sin(\beta_{h-1})}{\sin(\beta_h)}$$  

(24)

with $\beta$ given in Table XI in the annex. This approach is further addressed as ‘Frey/landuse’. In a second approach the NDVI was used to explain the differences between the stations, similar to the ‘Parlow’ approaches for example. The first term explains the variation of the landuse and was derived specifically for the late morning hours. The second term describes the relation between $Q^*$ and $Q_s$ and is valid for the whole day. For the regression equation only data
up to the 31 December 2007 were used, due to the unavailability of the NDVI afterwards.

\[ Q_s = (-0.528892 \cdot NDVI + 0.369931) \cdot (1.15453 \cdot Q_{n-1} - 17.5422) \]
\[ r^2 = 0.6 \quad (25) \]

\( Q_s \) was not measured at the urban station. Therefore it had to be deduced from the balance of \( Q^* \) and the turbulent heat fluxes. However, for not perfectly homogeneous surfaces the energy balance is not closed and a considerable unexplained residual remains. This residual was roughly estimated for the urban station using the residuals from the agricultural and the desert station. Then \( Q_s \) was derived as balance from \( Q^* \), the turbulent heat fluxes and the estimated residual. Of course this procedure introduced an additional source of uncertainty. But it is assumed, that such error is smaller than the error that results from not accounting any residual.

Besides \( Q^* \) other variables like \( \alpha, \varepsilon \) and the NDVI were tested for their feasibility in describing \( Q_s \).

But none of these variables was able to improve the regression coefficients. In the case of urban surfaces the term ‘ground heat flux’ would be more appropriate than the term ‘soil heat flux’. However, as latter term was used in Part 1 (Frey et al. 2010), this term will also be used in this paper for continuity reasons.

### 2.2.5.2 LUMPS

LUMPS stands for the Local-Scale Urban Meteorological Parameterization Scheme and is a set of equations to calculate turbulent fluxes using standard meteorological measurements and two semi-empirical parameters describing the surface cover. Its origin is a simplified Penman-Monteith approach, incorporating the Priestley-Taylor coefficient for extensive wet surfaces and extending it to non-saturated surfaces (Grimmond & Oke 2002). While the \( \alpha \) parameter should account for the strong correlation between the heat fluxes \((Q_H, Q_L)\) and the available energy \((Q^* - Q_s)\), \( \beta \) stands for the uncorrelated part (Holtslag & van Ulden, 1983). In this study both parameters were derived empirically from the in situ data of the CAPAC campaign. The derived values are given a) for all wind directions (‘all directions’ approach) and b) also for each station for a vegetated and a non-vegetated wind sector (‘sectoral’ approach).

Further, a comparison with a set of selected values from literature from Grimmond & Oke (2002) is given. Thereby the values from Mexico City were taken for the urban station. Table VII gives the \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) values. The LUMPS equations for the turbulent heat fluxes are as follows:

\[ Q_{LE} = \alpha \left[ \frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)} \right] (Q^* - Q_s) + \beta \quad (26) \]
\[ Q_H = \alpha \left[ \frac{1-\alpha+\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)}{1+\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)} \right] (Q^* - Q_s) - \beta \quad (27) \]

| Table VII \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) parameter derived from the in situ data of the CAPAC campaign |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 'Cairo University' | 'Bahteem' | '10th Ramadan' |
|\( \alpha \) | \( \beta \) | \( \alpha \) | \( \beta \) | \( \alpha \) | \( \beta \) |
| All directions | 1.62 | 0.73 | 1.57 | 56.50 | 0.77 | 6.78 |
| Non-veg sector | 1.46 | 3.43 | 1.52 | 43.99 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| Vegetated sector | 1.64 | 7.2 | 3.17 | 33.16 | 0.71 | 9.70 |
| Values from literature (Grimmond & Oke 2002) | 0.19 | -0.3 | 1.2 | 20 | 0.2 | 20 |
2.2.5.3 ARM (Aerodynamic Resistance Method)

An alternative method to estimate the sensible heat flux is the bulk transfer equation,

\[ Q_H = \rho_a \cdot C_p \cdot \frac{T_s - T_a}{r_{ah}}, \tag{28} \]

where \( \rho_a \) is the density of air \([\text{kg m}^{-3}]\), \( c_p \) the specific heat of air at constant pressure \([\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}]\), \( T_s \) is the surface temperature \([\text{K}]\) calculated from the ASTER TIR data and \( T_a \) is the air temperature \([\text{K}]\). \( r_{ah} \) is the aerodynamic resistance for heat \([\text{sm}^{-1}]\) (Verma 1989). \( Q_e \) is then the residual of the available energy and \( Q_H \).

Spatial \( T_a \) had to be estimated and was deducted using empirical regression equations with \( T_s \) and wind speed obtained from the CAPAC campaign data. The equations are given in the appendix. An additional approach using an expression given in Xu et al. (2008) was also examined.

\[ T_a = \left( T_s^4 - \frac{0.08 + K_4 (1 - \alpha)}{e^{\sigma}} \right)^{0.25} \tag{29} \]

The aerodynamic resistance \( r_{ah} \) can be determined with an approach using the roughness length, stability correction functions for momentum and heat, and the friction velocity (Voogt & Grimmond 2000). The estimation of these parameters needs a detailed surface scheme, including a digital surface model of the urban area. However, no such detailed model was available for Cairo in sufficient accuracy; therefore another empirical approach using radar data was pursued. Several studies have shown that aerodynamic roughness length can be represented by radar data (Prigent et al. 2005, Greeley et al. 1997, Blumberg 1993). Using the measurement data from the CAPAC campaign an empirical relation was found between \( r_{ah} \) and the radar backscattering coefficient \( \sigma^0 \) of the ASAR image from 02 January 2008. The in situ measurement data showed that \( r_{ah} \) was not constant over time probably due to surface inhomogeneities around the stations. A slight directionality was found dependent on the wind direction (Figure 15). During winds from the northern sector, \( r_{ah} \) was highest at all three stations. Largest difference in mean \( r_{ah} \) values between the northern and the southern sector was found at the agricultural station with 29.5 sm\(^{-1}\). The urban and the desert station however showed only small differences of 8.6 sm\(^{-1}\) and 6.9 sm\(^{-1}\) respectively. For the regression equation, all wind directions were used.

The radar image was smoothed with a 13x13 filter to remove speckle and other disturbing effects before serving as input in the regression calculation. The resulting equation is

\[ r_{ah} = -0.0647 \cdot \sigma^0 + 98.229 \tag{30} \]

Figure 15 Measurement values of \( r_{ah} \) of Cairo University, Bahteem and 10\(^{th}\) Ramadan in dependence of the wind direction.
2.2.5.4 S-SEBI

The S-SEBI - Method (Simplified Surface Energy Balance Index - Roerink et al. 2000) estimates the evaporative fraction \( \Lambda \) from remote sensed \( T_s \) and \( \alpha \). \( \Lambda \) describes the ratio of the energy that goes into \( Q_{LE} \) and the available energy of \( Q^* \) after subtraction of \( Q_o \), which is the amount of energy that goes into the turbulent heat fluxes.

\[
\Lambda = \frac{Q_{LE}}{Q_{LE} + Q^*_H} = \frac{Q_{LE}}{R_n - Q_o}
\]

(31)

According to the theory of S-SEBI \( \Lambda \) can also be expressed by (imaginary) surface temperature differences.

\[
\Lambda = \frac{T_H - T_s}{T_H - T_{LE}} = \frac{a_H + b_H \alpha - T_s}{a_H - b_H + (b_H - b_{LE}) \alpha}
\]

(32)

The upper term corresponds to \( Q_{LE} \). It is the difference between an imaginary albedo controlled temperature \( T_H [K] \) (assuming no evaporation) and the real temperature \( T_s [K] \). The lower term corresponds to the available energy. It is the imaginary albedo controlled temperature \( T_H \) minus the imaginary evaporation controlled temperature \( T_{LE} [K] \). \( T_H \) is the highest possible temperature for a given \( \alpha \), assuming there is no evaporation. \( T_{LE} \) is the lowest temperature for a given \( \alpha \), assuming there is no \( Q_o \). These extreme temperatures can be found from an albedo-temperature scatter plot. A straight line that goes through all albedo controlled and another line that goes through all evaporation controlled points can be found through a regression of all maximum and minimum values of the triangle-shaped scatter plot of the albedo and the temperature to define the gains \( (b_H, b_{LE}) \) and offsets \( (a_H, a_{LE}) \) (Roerink et al. 2000).

\( T_s \) also depend on the height, the slope and exposition of the surface. Therefore two correction steps were performed. Firstly, a simple correction for the height above a reference height was performed. An average value of 0.6°K per 100 m was applied (Roerink et al. 2000). While the Nile delta consists of more or less flat areas, the desert surroundings, mainly the eastern desert, includes several wadi systems, which can be characterized through their various illumination geometries. Sunlit slopes feature much higher surface temperatures than shaded slopes. To eliminate this effect, the regression line of surface temperatures and modelled solar irradiation was rotated to be horizontally. Through this approach, the influence of the solar irradiation (which is mainly determined by the exposition and the slope of the surface), is cancelled out and the temperature values correspond to an “imaginary” flat surface. This step was only applied to the desert areas, where evaporation is assumed to be minimal or zero and where the pixels should represent \( T_H \). Therefore a variation in surface temperature for a given albedo would be misleading and distort the S-SEBI results.

According to the theory of S-SEBI, all pixels having a zero-evaporation should equal to the maximum temperature line. However, this is not the case in the area of interest of this research. All scenes feature large desert areas, where the evaporation tends to zero. Even though they show quite high variation in the distribution of the surface temperature as can be seen in Figure 16.

![Figure 16 Scatterplot of the surface broadband albedo and the surface temperature [K] for the height and exposition corrected desert pixels for the scene a of 24 December 2007](image-url)
Choosing the maximum temperatures for each surface albedo, as specified by S-SEBI, these pixels may be misleading, as pixels, featuring lower surface temperatures, might also represent areas of nearly zero-evaporation. The result of this fact is an underestimation of evaporation in well irrigated areas and an overestimation of dry areas. Given the fact, that surface temperatures do not only depend on albedo and evaporation, S-SEBI is not suitable to estimate surface heat fluxes in this area.

2.2.5.5 Source footprint models

Eddy flux towers measure fluxes originating from an extended upwind source area of the tower. The spatial extent of the source area depends on the measuring height, the roughness of the surface, and the stability of the boundary layer. In the CAPAC campaign considerable directionality was found in the turbulent flux data, especially at the agricultural station ‘Bahteem’ (Frey et al. 2010). To be able to compare these in situ measurements with the remote sensing data, it was decided to use source footprint models. Analytical approaches describing the source area have their origin in the works of van Ulden (1978) and Pasquill and Smith (1983). Theoretically, these models are limited to ideal homogeneous surface layer conditions. However, flux measurements are mostly conducted in non-ideal environments asking for a more sophisticated approach. But simplicity and decreasing computational expense is an important precondition for an operational application of footprint models. More complex models which include non-ideal topography and spatial heterogeneity are therefore not suitable for this purpose (Neftel et al. 2008). In this paper three different analytical models are used. In the following, they will be referred to as KM model (Kormann & Meixner 2001), H model (Hsieh et al. 2000, as used in Li et al. 2008) and C model (Chen et al. 2009).

The flux footprints were calculated for a 4000x2000 grid and scaled up to the necessary spatial resolution of the ASTER data. As the total footprints would not exactly sum up to unity, they were interpolated so that the sum of all pixels would be one. Afterwards they were rotated into the prevailing wind direction and laid over the respective satellite image flux product with the footprint origin located at the pixel corresponding to the in situ measurement. Figure 17 shows the footprints for the three stations on 24 December 2007. Footprints were used for the evaluation of the LUMPS and the ARM results.

Figure 17 Footprints for the three stations and the scenes from 24 December 2007. Due to less unstable conditions, the flux footprints extend over a large area. As the colour table is linear, only a part of the footprint is given in color.
2.2.6 Results

The presentation of the results follows two strategies. First the calculated parameters from the ASTER data are compared to the in situ measurements. The comparison is executed by the analysis of mean absolute differences (MAD), which are the mean values of the differences between associated values from each the in situ measurement and the remote sensing approaches. In a second step the spatial variations in the image are discussed on the basis of what is expected and realistic. Basis for this discussion are the general land use classes ‘urban’, ‘agriculture’ and ‘desert’.

2.2.6.1 Radiation fluxes

The calculated radiation fluxes from the ‘best guess’ and the ‘best fit’ option were compared to the in situ measured fluxes (original 1 minute averages) using directly the values of the pixel associated with the station. Assuming a purely cosine dependent sensor response of the in situ radiation measurement instrument, reflected radiation for the agricultural and the desert station is coming from a circular area within a radius of 11 m. In case of the urban station however, the radius is much larger. But, using only the height difference between the sensor and the roof, about 90% of the flux would come from a circle within a radius of 45 m. Comparisons showed that resulting MADs did not improve when taking into account the surrounding pixels. Considering also the unknown position of the tower inside the pixel and the small proportion of radiation only originating surrounding pixels, the actual pixel value was taken, even though the resolution of the VNIR ASTER bands is 15 m (VNIR).

For the urban and the agricultural station three over flights could be used, the desert station had four scenes for comparison. The MADs of these 10 value pairs were calculated and are listed in Table VIII. The critical term is the albedo, which has in the ‘best fit’ option a MAD of 2.2 %. All differences of the albedo were of such magnitude, independent on the station. The high MAD of the ‘best guess’ irradiation of 48.9 Wm$^{-2}$ could be improved significantly by using the ‘best fit’ option, reducing the MAD to only 12.6 Wm$^{-2}$. The two longwave terms both showed a good agreement in the ‘best guess’ case, therefore no ‘best fit’ option was introduced. The net radiation finally could be determined with 11.6 % accuracy in the ‘best guess’ option, and with 6.9% in the ‘best fit’ option. As the ‘best fit’ option is fitted to the measurement values, this comparison is of course not independent. Anyhow, the ‘best guess’ version can be interpreted as an error measure for other pixels not included in this comparison.

The in situ radiation values were measured using a CNR1 from Kipp & Zonen. The specification sheet lists the expected accuracy for the daily totals to be +− 10 %. A calibration of the instruments at the end of the campaign improved the accuracy to about 10% for single measurements. Having this in mind, the achieved 11.6 % accuracy for the net radiation using the ‘best guess’ option is good.

| Table VIII Mean absolute difference (MAD) of the 4 key variables of the radiation balance. The values in brackets indicate the percentage of the MAD on the mean of the in situ measured values |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Albedo [%] | MAD ‘Best guess’ | MAD ‘Best fit’ |
| Irradiation [Wm$^{-2}$] | 3.5 (15.4 %) | 2.2 (9.8 %) |
| Longwave emission [Wm$^{-2}$] | 48.9 (8.5 %) | 12.6 (2.2 %) |
| Counter radiation [Wm$^{-2}$] | 8.4 (2.0 %) | Na |
| Net radiation [Wm$^{-2}$] | 37.6 (11.6 %) | 22.3 (6.9 %) |
A main constraint in the modelling of the irradiation was the limited accuracy of the used DEM. The DEM had a spatial resolution of 3 arcsecond (≈ 90 m), but could not resolve exactly the geomorphologic features occurring in the desert like the wadi systems. Further, the modelling of the irradiance reflected from opposed slopes is simply parameterized using the neighbouring pixel’s reflectance and therefore might be underestimated. Hence, areas of massive over- and underestimation of the incoming spectral irradiance were present in some areas of the desert, finally resulting in wrong albedo values. Also, radar data exhibit increased scattering over rough surfaces. Therefore, the SRTM data over urban areas showed some irregularities. To account for this, the slope was set to zero over urban areas. A further constraint is given by the fact, that solar irradiation was modelled assuming the urban surface to be flat, for example no enhanced reflections from sun-facing walls and sloped roofs or diminishing effects of shadows were considered. This assumption can lead to considerable errors, as was shown in Frey & Parlow (2009). For the used ASTER scenes a maximum error of 2% is estimated for this geometry effect.

Figure 18 shows the ‘best fit’ net radiation from scene (b) of 24 December 2007 for a part of Greater Cairo. The three main landscape features can be easily recognized: The desert areas in the right part of the image with low net radiation values, the agricultural fields in the upper left part with high net radiation values and the urban parts with medium net radiation values. The River Nile is also clearly visible with very high values.

A similar distribution is found in all scenes; however, in some scenes the net radiation of the urban areas almost equalled the net radiation of the agricultural areas. The main reason for this difference is the albedo. So is the difference between the mean urban and mean agricultural albedo of the scene (b) from 01 December 2007 only 0.007, while in the scene (b) from 24 December 2007, which covers a very similar sector, it is 0.041. Even though the difference between the mean values is very small, there results an effect on the spatial pattern. The urban net radiation of the scene (b) from 01 December 2007 is 9.1 Wm\(^{-2}\) lower than the agricultural net radiation. In case of the scene (b) from 24 December 2007 it is 30.3 Wm\(^{-2}\) lower.

2.2.6.2 Soil heat flux

\(Q_s\) was derived using the six described methods from literature and using the regression equations obtained from the in situ measurements. It was compared to half hour averages of \(Q_s\) from the measurement campaign. The option ‘best guess’ and the option ‘best fit’ were used as input in the comparison. However, none of the approaches was able to reproduce the measured \(Q_s\) very accurately. Generally the option ‘best fit’ performed slightly better than the option ‘best guess’. But the MAD of ‘best fit’ was only few percent lower than ‘best guess’. The best agreement showed the ‘Parlow/urban’ approach. There the MAD for the option ‘best fit’ was 18.9 % of mean \(Q_s\). The new approaches and the ‘Choudhury’ approach performed similarly.
well (see Table IX). The ‘Sobrino’ and the ‘SEBAL’ approaches showed highest deviations. The method of Norman et al. (1995) was only applicable on rural pixels, so only 2 points were available for comparison. However, these two points didn’t compare well, are not shown in Table IX and also not subject to further analysis. Table IX shows the MADs of Q_s.

Spatial analysis showed that most, but not all, approaches were in agreement with the general assumed spatial pattern with agricultural pixels having the lowest Q_s and urban pixels featuring the highest values. The desert pixels range somewhere in between. Exceptions were the ‘Choudhury’ approach, where the mean of all urban and the mean of all agricultural pixels equalled more or less. The ‘Parlow/urban’ approach showed another pattern in 3 scenes only: here the means of the urban and the means of the desert pixels were similar. The ‘Sobrino’ and the ‘Parlow/rural’ approaches in turn overestimated the agricultural Q_s, so that the mean of the agricultural pixels almost equalled the mean of the desert pixels. ‘SEBAL’ finally produced very similar Q_s for all three land use classes.

Table IX MAD of the soil heat flux, option ‘best fit’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MAD [Wm^{-2}]</th>
<th>MAD [%]</th>
<th>MAD [Wm^{-2}] single stations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>Desert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Parlow/urban’</td>
<td>15.47</td>
<td>18.90</td>
<td>27.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Frey / NDVI’</td>
<td>17.53</td>
<td>21.42</td>
<td>24.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Frey / land use’</td>
<td>18.97</td>
<td>23.18</td>
<td>35.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Choudhury’</td>
<td>21.28</td>
<td>26.01</td>
<td>25.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Sobrino’</td>
<td>38.01</td>
<td>46.45</td>
<td>46.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘SEBAL’</td>
<td>47.64</td>
<td>58.23</td>
<td>53.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Parlow/rural’</td>
<td>47.71</td>
<td>58.31</td>
<td>44.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 19 Soil heat flux on 24 December 2007
Differences were found not only in the scaling between the classes but also in the absolute amount. ‘Parlow/rural’ and ‘SEBAL’ gave generally too low values. ‘Choudhury’ and ‘Sobrino’ showed a very strong dependence on the vegetation density. So many rural pixels still had relatively high \( Q_s \). Only the pixels with very dense vegetation showed lower values, which explains the above mentioned high soil heat flux of the agricultural pixels of the ‘Choudhury’ approach. The three other approaches (‘Parlow/urban’ and our new approaches) rendered the most comprehensible images. Figure 19 shows the sample from the scene (b) on 24 December 2007. The left part of the image shows the Nile delta with agricultural lands, rural settlements and the city of Cairo being situated in the lower left corner. The right part of the image is covered by desert. The values of the River Nile are probably not realistic, as no special algorithm for water was used.

2.2.6.3 LUMPS

For the urban station, three value pairs of each turbulent heat flux were available for comparison, the agricultural station had only one pair, and the desert station also had three pairs. For simplicity, the agricultural pair is also addressed as MAD in the further analysis.

The parameters from Grimmond & Oke (2002) produced fairly good \( Q_s \) and acceptable \( Q_{LE} \) at the desert station. Assuming \( Q_s \) to equal the in situ measured \( Q_s \) (at the urban station in situ derived) and using the ‘best fit’ option for \( Q^* \), the MAD of \( Q_s \) and \( Q_{LE} \) was 6.0 Wm\(^{-2}\) and 16.8 Wm\(^{-2}\) respectively, without using a footprint model. The parameters retrieved from the campaign in Cairo produced very similar good results. This good fit is mainly due to the simple environment at the desert station, facilitating the model development. At the urban and the agricultural station, higher deviations of 68.8 Wm\(^{-2}\) and 89.0 Wm\(^{-2}\) for \( Q_s \) and 59.8 Wm\(^{-2}\) and 117.9 Wm\(^{-2}\) for \( Q_{LE} \) were observed for the same setting taking the parameters from Grimmond & Oke (2002). The deviation of the agricultural station is extreme, even though the best fitting value for \( \alpha \) proposed by Grimmond & Oke (2002) (\( \alpha = 1.2 \)) was taken. Higher \( \alpha \)-values would be for large lakes or oceans; under the condition that \( \delta \) is set to 20.

The parameters derived from the measurement data of course performed much better for both the urban and the agricultural station. MAD of \( Q_s \) from the ‘sectoral’ approach of the urban station was 26.9 Wm\(^{-2}\), of the agricultural station 0.6 Wm\(^{-2}\) and of the desert station 4.9 Wm\(^{-2}\) in case no footprint model was used. The respective values of \( Q_{LE} \) are 21.3 Wm\(^{-2}\), 28.3 Wm\(^{-2}\), and 16.1 Wm\(^{-2}\). No significant differences between the ‘sectoral’ approach and the ‘all directions’ approach was found, except for the agricultural value. This general good agreement is naturally given, as the parameters were derived from exactly these stations. Strictly speaking only the comparison with the parameters from Grimmond & Oke (2002) is a true validation.

When adding the remotely sensed \( Q_s \) to the LUMPS calculations, the results worsened slightly due to the additional source of error in the calculation of \( Q_s \). However, in some cases also the fit was improved as errors tend to cancel out each other. For example, the MAD of the urban station of \( Q_{LE} \) was 9.7 Wm\(^{-2}\) (compared to 21.4 Wm\(^{-2}\)) when taking \( Q_s \) from ‘Sobrino’ and the ‘all directions’ approach. In the case of the desert station, the MAD would not change whatever was the remote sensing \( Q_s \). The ‘sectoral’ approach mostly increased the MAD in case of the urban station compared to the ‘all direction’ approach when using remote sensed soil heat fluxes, while the MAD values of the agricultural and the desert station were mixed. These results were found for all remote sensing methods.

The MAD naturally increased more, when not using the ‘best fit’ option, but the ‘best guess’ option for the net radiation input in the calculations. By assuming that the best method for \( Q_s \) is ‘Parlow/urban’ and by taking the parameters from Grimmond & Oke (2002) we
obtain the fluxes which correspond to a ‘best guess’ option. The MADs for the urban, the agricultural and the desert station were 68.1 Wm\(^{-2}\), 82.0 Wm\(^{-2}\) and 25.2 Wm\(^{-2}\) for \(Q_h\) and 59.7 Wm\(^{-2}\), 119.3 Wm\(^{-2}\), and 16.4 Wm\(^{-2}\) for \(Q_{LE}\). From these results we can see that it is fairly reasonable to model turbulent heat fluxes in desert-like environments from values in the literature, but it is more critical to do so in urban or suburban environments.

To evaluate the performance of the LUMPS approach in depth, the KM, the H and the HS footprint model were applied to the results of the approach. This procedure is somewhat erroneous for the campaign parameters, as \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) needed by the LUMPS scheme were retrieved from the in situ measurements which already include the flux footprint. The ‘sectoral’ approach accounted for this problem by deriving more surface-specific parameters from the measurements. However, no better parameters are at hand up to date. Due to cloudiness not all values could be used in the footprint analyses. There was a limitation in the calculation, that at least 70% of the accumulated flux footprint must be cloud free, otherwise the result was invalid. This led to the fact, that the agricultural station had no valid values for the H footprint model.

The use of the footprint models only partly improved the results. In many cases, the results were worsened. The MAD of the desert station increased in all cases for \(Q_h\), except for the ‘best guess’ cases, and decreased only very slightly for \(Q_{LE}\) in all cases. The \(Q_h\) and \(Q_{LE}\) MAD values of the agricultural station were sometimes improved sometimes degraded, without logical order. At the urban station the MAD of \(Q_h\) improved substantially in case the in situ soil heat flux (as residual) was taken (from 27.5 Wm\(^{-2}\) in case of the ‘all direction’ approach to 18.2 Wm\(^{-2}\), 16.6 Wm\(^{-2}\), and 17.7 Wm\(^{-2}\) respectively for the KM, the H and the C model). But using a remotely sensed soil heat flux would increase the MAD of \(Q_h\) again in most cases. \(Q_{LE}\) did not improve in almost all cases. Overall, the effect of the footprint models was ambiguous. Results sometimes improved in case of the ‘best guess’ option, but no direct benefit could be proven. Figure 20 and Figure 21 show the MAD of \(Q_h\) and \(Q_{LE}\) for all calculated combinations.

Summarizing the LUMPS results, we conclude that the estimation of the turbulent heat fluxes from remote sensing data is only applicable, when the environment is fairly simple, like our desert example. As soon as the environment becomes more complex the determination is more difficult due to the uncertainty of the correct input parameters. The correct estimation of the radiation balance was already discussed and this problem propagates to the LUMPS approach.

This discussion neglects the problem of the imprecise determination of the turbulent fluxes by eddy covariance measurements. Especially in inhomogeneous areas, the onsite flux determination is difficult, but also at our desert station the measured energy balance had to be closed by force. Before closing, midday ensemble average of the residual term from the desert station was nearly 60 Wm\(^{-2}\); at the agricultural station it almost reached 150 Wm\(^{-2}\). Similar residuals were found by Moderow et al. (2009) or Wilson et al. (2002). Having these magnitudes of closure gaps in mind, the results of the remote sensing fluxes do actually compare quite well.
Figure 20 MAD of $Q_n$ [Wm$^{-2}$] for the different methods of soil heat flux ($Q_s$), parameters for the LUMPS scheme and atmospheric correction option (for the legend see Table X). MADs are given for simple pixel comparison as well as for the usage of the footprint models.

Figure 21 MAD of $Q_{le}$ [Wm$^{-2}$] for the different methods of soil heat flux ($Q_s$), parameters for the LUMPS scheme and atmospheric correction option (for the legend see Table X). MADs are given for simple pixel comparison as well as for the usage of the footprint models.
Spatial analysis of the LUMPS heat fluxes showed that the different approaches produced fairly different patterns. Following Frey et al. (2010) it was assumed, that the sensible heat flux should be highest in desert areas, closely followed by urban areas and be lowest over agricultural fields. The latent heat flux however should be highest over the agricultural fields, followed by the urban areas and be lowest in the desert areas. This pattern was only partly fulfilled by the LUMPS approaches. The latent heat flux was modelled fairly in accordance with this pattern in almost all cases. Only the approaches using the parameters of Grimmond & Oke (2002) rendered urban $Q_{LE}$ which were lower than the desert $Q_{LE}$.

Figure 22 b) shows $Q_{LE}$ modelled using the ‘Frey/NDVI’ $Q_s$ and the ‘all direction’ approach for the LUMPS parameters.
All LUMPS approaches estimated a very low $Q_H$ in the desert. Almost half of the different LUMPS method combinations rendered a desert $Q_H$ which was much lower than the urban $Q_H$ which is probably not realistic. As mentioned before, $Q_{LE}$ was modelled quite well. This is attributed to the fact, that the LUMPS parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ deduced from the in situ measured values were retrieved for equation (26), which estimates $Q_{LE}$. $Q_H$ in equation (27) then uses the same parameters. Due to the structure of the formula, it is not possible to retrieve $\alpha$ and $\beta$ for equation (26).

Looking at the Bowen ratio $\theta (=Q_\theta/Q_{LE})$, most methods assigned the desert the highest $\theta$ values, the urban areas slightly lower and the agricultural areas the lowest $\theta$. The methods including the ‘sectoral’ approach gave the most reasonable results. Mean desert $\theta$ thereby were found in the range $7 < \theta < 5$, agricultural areas featured $3 < \theta > 0.5$ and urban areas $3 < \theta > 1$ including all available dates and method combinations. The parameters from the literature however rendered extremely high urban $\theta$ ($28 < \theta > 10$) and also very high agricultural values ($\theta = 3$).

Due to the accumulated uncertainties of the input terms $Q^*$ and $Q$, in LUMPS, it is difficult to decide from this dataset whether wrong flux estimates are due to a failure of the LUMPS approach or due to incorrect input data.

### 2.2.6.4 ARM

$Q_{LE}$ estimated with the ARM method was calculated firstly with the in situ measured values for $Q_i$ and secondly with the ‘Parlow/urban’ $Q_i$. According to equation (28), $Q_i$ is independent of $Q_r$. Generally, $MAD$ of $Q_H$ and $Q_{LE}$ of the ARM method were higher than the $MAD$ of the LUMPS approach. Especially at the desert station the agreement worsened. Only the agricultural $MAD$ was better with the ARM method. $MAD$ of $Q_H$ for the urban, the agricultural and the desert station were $41.2 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$, $7.85 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ and $31.1 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ for the ‘best fit’ option. At the urban station the ‘best guess’ option of $Q_{LE}$ performed better than the ‘best fit’ option ($16.0 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ versus $41.3 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ with the in situ measured $Q_r$ and $29.9 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ versus $41.2 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ with the ‘Parlow/urban’ approach). However, at the agricultural and the desert station the ‘best fit’ approach performed better for both the in situ and the ‘Parlow/urban’ approach (‘best fit’: $28.8 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ / $34.1 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ and $40.2 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ / $34.7 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$). The use of the approach of ‘Parlow/urban’ instead of the in situ values increased the $MAD$ only at the agricultural station. At the urban station, it did not alter the $MAD$ and at the desert station it even decreased the $MAD$. Using the method of Xu et al. (2008) for the estimation of air temperature, $MAD$ increased in some cases, but also decreased in other cases, for example for the agricultural pixels.

Spatial analysis of the ARM heat fluxes followed the same rules as in the LUMPS analysis. $Q_{LE}$ was modelled correctly, with the agricultural $Q_{LE}$ the highest; the desert $Q_{LE}$ the lowest and the urban $Q_{LE}$ somewhere in between. Still, the ‘best fit’ option with using $T_o$ according to Xu et al. (2008)’s formula produced desert $Q_{LE}$ almost as high as the urban $Q_{LE}$. $Q_H$ was modelled correctly at most dates when using $T_o$ deduced with the empirical equations from the campaign, but rendered too low desert $Q_H$ and almost equal means of urban and agricultural $Q_H$ in case, Xu et al. (2008)’s formula was taken. Figure 23 shows $Q_H$ and $Q_{LE}$ for the ‘best fit’ option and the ‘Parlow / urban’ $Q_i$.

The analysis of the Bowen ratios $\theta$ showed, that also most methods assigned the desert $\theta$ highest values, the urban areas slightly lower $\theta$ and the agricultural areas the lowest. However, in some cases the desert had a negative latent heat flux, resulting in negative $\theta$ values. The method combination using Xu et al. (2008)’s formula did not perform well also in this analysis of the Bowen ratios.
2.2.7 Conclusions

The estimation of the radiation and energy balance from satellite images strongly depends on a successful atmospheric correction. Especially in areas, where the aerosol content of the troposphere is not constant (for example due to air pollution as in our research area), the atmospheric correction is a crucial task. Here, the albedo is estimated with a 15.4% accuracy in the ‘best guess’ scenario. Shortwave irradiation was estimated with 8.5%, longwave emission with 2.0%, incoming longwave radiation with 6.5%, and finally the net radiation with 11.6% accuracy. The ‘best fit’ case improved these values considerably. Considering the conservative estimation of an accuracy of in situ measurements of 10%, achieved percentages are quite good. However, in a single case at the desert station the solar irradiation was underestimated about 99.6 Wm$^{-2}$ (scene (a) of 22 November 2007) due to an inappropriate value of a MISR AOD product pixel. $Q^*$ then was underestimated 111.2 Wm$^{-2}$. In the LUMPS approach this produced a difference to the ‘best fit’ option in $Q_\text{H}$ of 31.1 Wm$^{-2}$ taking the campaign retrieved parameters and the $Q_\text{s}$ of ‘Parlow/urban’. The difference in $Q_{\text{LE}}$ with the same input is only 4.7 Wm$^{-2}$. Using the ARM approach the difference between this ‘best guess’ option and the ‘best fit’ option is 35.7 Wm$^{-2}$ for $Q_{\text{LE}}$. Dealing with such magnitudes it is difficult to decide whether a spatial pattern is mainly governed by land use or due to incorrect atmospheric correction.

In any case, the soil heat flux could be modelled satisfactorily with three different approaches when comparing the values to 30 minute averages. Direct comparison to 1 minute averages would render extreme differences. This is because the storage heat flux as part of the soil heat flux showed extreme deviations due to short-time fluctuations of the surface temperature. Such high fluctuations can never be explained by the net radiation and vegetation indices only. From the existing approaches only the ‘Parlow/urban’ approach rendered proper values. Further two new empiric approaches gave good results. Their transferability to other regions however must be proven in future studies.

All in all 17 possible methodological combinations were used to calculate $Q_\text{H}$ and $Q_{\text{LE}}$ with the LUMPS approach. Combinations included the ‘best guess’ and the ‘best fit’ option of $Q^*$, different approaches for $\alpha$ and $\beta$, and various sources for the ground heat flux. Each
The agricultural station the overall presents the actual spatial analysis into most cases. It is therefore not estimated to reproduce spatially the desert station it was 52% pursued. Grimmond & Oke (2002) started already work in the estimation of these parameters is scene. It is strongly encouraged, that further were not fully representative values must be retrieved empirically. However, the number of reference stations in this study were not the 'best fit' option improving the MAD and the empirical estimated α and β delivering better results than the values from literature. Taking the spatial analysis into consideration the ‘sectoral’ approach for the estimation of α and β in combination with a ground heat flux from ‘Parlow/urban’ or the two own approaches performed the best.

The application of the footprint models increased the MAD in most cases. It is therefore not encouraged to use such models when working with high uncertainties. Spatial analysis showed that not all combinations rendered acceptable pattern. While Q_{LE} was modelled quite well in almost all cases, Q_{H} seemed to be overestimated over agricultural areas and underestimated in the desert.

The LUMPS approach is somehow promising as it is fast and simple. However, the determination of the parameter α and β is difficult. Values from literature are only partly applicable, so better values must be retrieved empirically. However, the number of reference stations in this study was very limited; therefore the retrieved values were not fully representative for the whole scene. It is strongly encouraged, that further work in the estimation of these parameters is pursued. Grimmond & Oke (2002) started already to depend α on the surface cover (fraction of vegetated and irrigated areas). More work in this direction should be done for different urban and non-urban surfaces. In future studies α and β should be modelled in dependence on the surface characteristics (housing density and vegetation cover, irrigation). Taking only fixed values makes it difficult to represent the actual flux distribution.

The ARM approach was calculated with six different combinations, while Q_{H} was only affected from two combinations. Additionally add also the foot print models. The combinations were the ‘best guess’ and ‘best fit’ options of Q*, the source of the ground heat flux and the modelling of the air temperature. Overall MAD of Q_{H} of the urban station was 49 Wm^{-2} which is 26% of the mean in situ measured flux. At the agricultural station the overall MAD was 10 Wm^{-2} (8.6%) and at the desert station it was 52 Wm^{-2} (33%). The respective values for Q_{LE} were 45 Wm^{-2} (93%), 46 Wm^{-2} (25%) and 49 Wm^{-2} (215%). The percentages for the latent heat flux at the urban and the desert station are therefore extremely high, as the latent heat flux is generally very low. Altogether similar results to the LUMPS analysis were found. ‘Best fit’ worked better than the ‘best guess’ option and the use of a remote sensing ground heat flux increased the MAD due to the additional source of uncertainty. The application of the footprint model worsened the results. The estimation of air temperature with the formula from Xu et al. (2008) decreased the accuracy slightly compared to our own regression equation. Spatial analysis showed that the ARM approaches were able to reproduce spatially distributed fluxes, with the exception of the approach with Xu et al. (2008) formula.

Due to a high range of surface temperatures in desert areas, no representative surface temperature for a given albedo could be determined. Therefore the S-SEBI approach is not suitable in this environment and was not used to calculate surface fluxes for the area.
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Annex

The regression equations for the broadband albedo are:
\[ \alpha = 0.001 + \alpha_{b1} \cdot 0.221 + \alpha_{b2} \cdot 0.174 + \alpha_{b3} \cdot 0.265 + \alpha_{b4} \cdot 0.295 + \alpha_{b5} \cdot (-0.514) + \alpha_{b6} \cdot 0.504 + \alpha_{b7} \cdot 0.066 + \alpha_{b8} \cdot (-0.074) + \alpha_{b9} \cdot 0.015 \]
\[ \alpha = 0.035 + \alpha_{b1} \cdot 0.132 + \alpha_{b2} \cdot 0.101 + \alpha_{b3} \cdot 0.637 \]

The equations for the broadband emissivity for the different land use classes are:

Desert:
\[ \varepsilon = 0.287 + \varepsilon_{b10} \cdot (0.175) + \varepsilon_{b11} \cdot (-0.080) + \varepsilon_{b12} \cdot (0.068) + \varepsilon_{b13} \cdot (0.541) \]

Vegetation:
\[ \varepsilon = 0.001 + \varepsilon_{b10} \cdot (0.091) + \varepsilon_{b11} \cdot (-0.023) + \varepsilon_{b12} \cdot (0.349) + \varepsilon_{b13} \cdot (0.584) \]

Water:
\[ \varepsilon = 0.470 + \varepsilon_{b10} \cdot (0.416) + \varepsilon_{b11} \cdot (0.815) + \varepsilon_{b12} \cdot (-0.452) + \varepsilon_{b13} \cdot (0.163) + \varepsilon_{b14} \cdot (0.529) \]

Urban:
\[ \varepsilon = 0.486 + \varepsilon_{b10} \cdot (0.026) + \varepsilon_{b11} \cdot (0.091) + \varepsilon_{b13} \cdot (0.374) \]

The regression equations for estimation of spatial air temperature are:

\[ T_s_{urban} = 0.697 \cdot T_a - 0.632 \cdot \text{wind} + 0.588 \]
\[ r^2 = 0.83 \]

\[ T_s_{agri} = 0.575 \cdot T_a - 0.277 \cdot \text{wind} + 2.482 \]
\[ r^2 = 0.71 \]

\[ T_s_{desert} = 0.601 \cdot T_a - 0.420 \cdot \text{wind} + 1.657 \]
\[ r^2 = 0.77 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hour</th>
<th>( \Gamma )</th>
<th>Hour</th>
<th>( \Gamma )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07:00</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>12:00</td>
<td>1.396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07:30</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>12:30</td>
<td>1.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:00</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>13:00</td>
<td>1.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:30</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>13:30</td>
<td>0.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:00</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td>14:00</td>
<td>0.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:30</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td>14:30</td>
<td>0.524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>1.047</td>
<td>15:00</td>
<td>0.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30</td>
<td>1.222</td>
<td>15:30</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>1.396</td>
<td>16:00</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30</td>
<td>1.571 (= 90°π/180)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3 Flux measurements in Cairo. Part 3 - CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes and concentrations (co-authoring)

Susanne A. Burri, Roland Vogt, Corinne M. Frey, Eberhard Parlow
Submitted to International Journal of Climatology

ABSTRACT Fluxes and concentrations of carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) were measured by the eddy covariance method in the city of Cairo in Egypt from November 2007 until February 2008. The measurements were taken in the framework of the CAPAC project (Cairo Air Pollution And Climate) on the flat roof of a building of Cairo University. Most of earlier CO\textsubscript{2} measurements have been taken in cities of industrial countries in Europe, Northern America or Asia. The current study is of special interest, as it shows results from a city in a less developed country within a semi-arid environment.

The CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes peaked in a single maximum between 1 and 3 pm, which is different from most previous studies, where often two rush-hour peaks (in the morning and in the late afternoon) were observed. Average peak values were around 15 μmol m\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1}. The CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes showed a distinct weekly cycle, as they were remarkably lower on Fridays (Sabbath in Cairo). The CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations showed the maximum in the morning with average values around 420 ppm. However, the peak values occurred also later than expected (around 8 to 9 am). This delay could be assigned to the diurnal course of stability, as the change from stable to unstable conditions usually happened only at about 8 am. An additional land use-dependent analysis revealed higher CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes over an urban surface (with predominantly buildings and roads with heavy traffic) in the north of the station, compared to a surface with mainly agricultural and sports fields in the south of the station.
2.3.1 Introduction

In 2008, the atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) concentration reached 385.2 ppm (World Meteorological Organization 2009), a level which the atmosphere according to ice core records had not experienced for at least 650,000 years (Denman et al., 2007). The main reasons for this increase are the burning of fossil fuels and land use change (deforestation as well as other changes in land use and changes in agricultural practices), and to a smaller part cement production. Thus, humans apparently induce an altering of the natural carbon cycle by speeding up the CO$_2$ release to the atmosphere. Since an increasing part of the world’s population lives in cities, CO$_2$ emissions of urban areas are large and concentrate on a local to regional scale. However, the urban surface consist of a complex pattern of different surfaces, some of which are CO$_2$ sources, while others act as CO$_2$ sinks. Not only the pattern of surfaces is complex, but also the processes governing the CO$_2$ exchange. The understanding of the dynamics of CO$_2$ sources and sinks in cities helps quantifying the local to regional CO$_2$ emissions of urban areas. This is of high importance for the detailed understanding and modelling of the carbon cycle as well as CO$_2$ budget considerations (Churkina, 2008).

While the surface-atmosphere exchange of CO$_2$ has been studied for many different natural surfaces, the exchange over urban surfaces has come into focus only in the last years. Grimmond et al. (2002) were among the first to measure CO$_2$ fluxes in urban environments by the eddy covariance method in Chicago in 1995. A number of urban climate studies using the same method followed (Nemitz et al. (2002) in Edinburgh, Soegaard and Møller-Jensen (2003) in Copenhagen, Moriwaki and Kanda (2004) in Tokyo, Grimmond et al. (2004) in Marseille, Velasco et al. (2005) in Mexico City, Vogt et al. (2006) in Basel, Moriwaki et al. (2006) in Tokyo, Coutts et al. (2007) in Melbourne, Vesala et al. (2008b) in Helsinki). Obviously, the larger part of the measurements was taken in industrial countries of Europe, North America, Japan or Australia.

The measurements of CO$_2$ fluxes and concentrations analyzed in this study were taken in the framework of the CAPAC project (Climate and Air Pollution Analysis of Cairo). Cairo is a megacity, whose exact population number is not known, but estimations range between 15 and 20 million people (Ibrahim and Ibrahim, 2006). The critical values of air pollution are highly exceeded (Ibrahim and Ibrahim, 2006), most likely to a large extent induced by the high traffic density and the low technology of cars. The objective of this study was to quantify the CO$_2$ fluxes and concentrations of Cairo, a highly polluted megacity of a less developed country.

Due to the location of the measurement site, it was possible to divide the surface around the station into different land use sectors resembling the method used by Vesala et al. (2008b). Therefore, the aim was also to investigate the influence of different urban surfaces on the CO$_2$ fluxes and concentrations. A question always kept in mind was if and to what extent vegetated areas were capable of reducing urban CO$_2$ emissions.

2.3.2 Methods

2.3.2.1 Site description

The measurement site was operated in the district of Giza on the roof of a building of Cairo University (30°01’33.39”N, 31°12’27.81”E). The building density on the campus area of Cairo University is relatively low compared to other very densely populated areas of Cairo. It consists of three- to four-storied buildings, roads and squares, as it is also common on European or American campus areas. For further details on the city of Cairo and on the location of the station see Frey et al. (2010).

The neighbourhood of the station varies (Figure 24). To the north and north-west of the station lies the campus area of the university (Figure

25a), while a street with several lanes in both directions and very intensive traffic is located in a distance of about 300 m. Beyond the campus area the building density is considerably higher. To the north-east, also in about 300 m distance, lies another street with heavy traffic. Beyond this street, a large green area, a zoological garden, is located. The area south of the station is dominated by the sports field in the south-east and agricultural fields in the south-west (Figure 25b).

Some of the agricultural fields are botanical test fields of the university. They reach almost a kilometre to the south. The closest street in the west and south-west lies in about 500 to 800 m distance. The selection of the site resulted from a cooperation with Cairo University. It was of course not representative of the whole city, but rather could give an insight into that specific part of the city. While selecting the location of the site, it was not possible to consider only scientific requirements as permission by authorities as well as security issues also played an important role.

2.3.2.2 Instrumentation and data processing

CO₂ fluxes were measured with an open-path gas analyzer (LI-7500, LICOR) and a sonic anemometer (CSAT3, Campbell) using the eddy covariance method. The instruments were mounted on a 12 m mast on the flat roof of a 15 m high building of Cairo University. The total measurement height was 27 m. The collection of the data began on 10th November 2007 and ended on 26th February 2008 (109 days). Data were sampled at 20 Hz and CO₂ fluxes were derived every 30 min. Fluxes were calculated online, but raw data were also stored on the data logger (CR3000, Campbell). Maintenance of the station was done twice a week. Both the humidity correction for the sensible heat flux (Schotanus et al., 1983) and the correction for the upward directed mean wind (Webb et al., 1980) were calculated online.

Before data analysis, error values in the CO₂ measurements induced by precipitation or maintenance events (water on the sensor head) were excluded from the data record. Short gaps with a maximum of four missing values in the flux data were interpolated. From 27th January 2008 (17:30) until 3rd February 2008 (18:00), a large data gap occurred due to a malfunction of the eddy covariance system. To do a surface dependent analysis, the data were divided into three land use sectors: a north sector (270-40°, predominantly urban, buildings and roads with
heavy traffic), an east sector (40-135°, mainly zoological garden) and a south sector (135-270°, agricultural fields and sports field). While the difference in land use between the north and the south sector was obvious, it was not that straightforward for the east sector. The latter was mainly chosen in order to investigate a potential influence of the zoological garden (lying at some distance from the station) on the CO₂ fluxes. An overview of data availability for each sector is shown in Table XII. Unfortunately, data availability for the east sector was very low due to infrequent winds blowing from that direction. This somewhat complicated the analysis of the data for the east sector. Interpreting the results in the three defined sectors, the need for estimating the actual size of the source area came up. Thus, a footprint estimation with the one-dimensional model of Hsieh et al. (2000), an analytical model based on a Lagrangian stochastic dispersion model, was conducted. The model of Hsieh et al. (2000) is more straightforward to apply than many other footprint models. While it is not perfectly applicable to an urban environment, hardly any of the footprint models is (Vesala et al. 2008a). The city surface has a high roughness and its land use is very inhomogeneous on a small scale. Therefore footprint models, originally designed for homogeneous conditions, are difficult to apply. The results of this footprint calculation can thus be seen as an estimation, but the uncertainty in the applicability of the model on urban surfaces should be kept in mind.

Table XII Data availability for the corrected CO₂ flux for each defined land use sector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector’s name</th>
<th>Wind Direction</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Relative Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>270-40°</td>
<td>Predominantly urban, buildings and roads with heavy traffic</td>
<td>58.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>40-135°</td>
<td>Zoological garden</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>135-270°</td>
<td>Agricultural fields and sports field</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Errors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 26 Wind roses for daytime (left, positive net radiation) and nighttime (right, negative net radiation) situation.
2.3.3 Results and discussion

2.3.3.1 Meteorological conditions

The weather in Cairo during the months of the measurement campaign was typical for the hot desert climate (annual mean temperature over 18°C and only little precipitation, which mostly falls in the winter months). The weather was fair most of the time, resulting in a quite regular diurnal course of radiation. The temperature showed a clear diurnal cycle and a decrease from November until around the end of January to rise again in February (monthly means of air temperature: November: 19.3°C, December: 16.2°C, January: 13.0°C, February: 14.1°C).

Moreover, wind speed also showed a clear diurnal cycle: the maximum wind speed was observed around at 2 or 3 pm and the minimum at around 7 am. However, no such diurnal course was observed for the wind direction. Two dominant wind directions were observed: a north-eastern and a southern component, while winds from the north-eastern direction were more frequent and normally stronger (Figure 26). A less frequent south-western component agreed well with the observations of Favez et al. (2008), who found these winds mainly blowing during winter time. In their study, during summer, the wind came predominantly from the north, while in the winter and spring months the presence of the south-western winds had an important impact. In Cairo, these south-western winds are called ‘Khamasin winds’ and are often associated with dust storms (Favez et al., 2008). During the measurement campaign, especially in January, sand storms occurred, which subsequently impaired the measurements, as the instrument got very dirty. Generally, the air over Cairo was often dusty due to the strong air pollution. For a more detailed description of the meteorological conditions during the measurement campaign see Frey et al. (2010).

2.3.3.2 Average CO$_2$ fluxes and CO$_2$ concentrations

The CO$_2$ fluxes showed a diurnal pattern consisting of daily peaks with maximum values up to 40 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and minimum values down to -20 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The mean CO$_2$ flux during the measurement campaign was 6.18 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (with 50% of the data in between 1.19 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and 9.86 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). Thus, on average the fluxes were always directed away from the surface. Concerning the CO$_2$ concentrations, a diurnal pattern with daily peaks was observed; however, the variations were not as regular as the ones from the fluxes. The mean concentration during the measurement campaign was 403 ppm (with 50% of the data in between 388 ppm and 411 ppm).

On the average diurnal course (Figure 27), the fluxes increased during the morning, to finally peak in a maximum average value of 13.7 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at 2.30 pm, and then sank continuously to reach their minimum average value of 1.1 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in the morning at 6 am. The single maximum at around 2.30 pm is later compared to the studies of Velasco et al. (2005), Vogt et al. (2006), Coutts et al. (2007) and Moriwaki et al. (2006) where the CO$_2$ fluxes peaked in the morning, and sometimes again in the evening, and were related to rush-hour traffic. A small peak at 8 am may have resulted from rush-hour as traffic starts between 7 and 8 am, but it is not distinct enough to really relate it to a traffic pattern. Thus, distinct rush-hour peaks were not evident in Cairo. Moreover, the CO$_2$ fluxes started to increase clearly after 6 am while in the above-mentioned studies, the increase occurred earlier than 6 am. The maximum CO$_2$ fluxes in the afternoon correspond quite well with the maximum in wind speed, though (Figure 27). The absence of rush-hour peaks might also be related to the fact that there is dense traffic in Cairo over the whole day, and no clear difference between rush-hour periods and periods with less traffic. Thus, the rush-hour peaks might not have been as distinct as in other cities, and reflect the
The average diurnal course of the CO$_2$ concentrations was described by Vogt et al. (2006) in four stages: (1.) Low concentrations in the afternoon with the minimum concentrations in the early evening. (2.) Rising concentrations during the late evening and through the night. (3.) Maximum values in the early morning around 06:00. (4.) Rapid decrease of the concentrations until noon. This pattern was also found in the other studies and was confirmed by the measurements in Cairo (Figure 27).

The CO$_2$ concentrations rose during the night, to reach the maximum average value of 421 ppm at 8.30 am. While the concentrations increased steadily to this morning peak, the subsequent decrease occurred relatively suddenly. The minimum average value of 387 ppm was reached in the afternoon at 3.30 pm. The statistical spread was higher for nocturnal values compared to diurnal values. But the morning peak was considerably later (around 8 to 9 am) compared to the measurements of Vogt et al. (2006), Grimmond et al. (2002) and Velasco et al. (2007).

The CO$_2$ fluxes and concentrations were to a large extent influenced by stability (Figure 27). The delayed morning peak of CO$_2$ concentrations as well as the late increase of CO$_2$ fluxes matched the average diurnal course of stability well. On average, the conditions were unstable during the day and stable during the night. The change from stable to unstable conditions occurred at around 8 am, and subsequently the CO$_2$ concentrations decreased. The CO$_2$ fluxes started to increase after 6 am indicating the starting breakup of the nocturnal boundary layer and continuously rise with increasing wind speed. The change from unstable to stable conditions at around 6 pm is again consistent with an increase in CO$_2$ concentrations and a decrease in CO$_2$ fluxes.

While the influence of stability on the average CO$_2$ fluxes and concentration was quite obvious, the expected influence of traffic on the average CO$_2$ fluxes and concentration was, as already mentioned, not that apparent. However, the direct influence of traffic became evident on taking a closer look at the average diurnal courses of CO$_2$ fluxes per weekday (Figure 28). An obvious difference occurred on Friday compared to other weekdays. The fluxes did not peak in a maximum in the afternoon as they did on all other weekdays, and were clearly lower compared to the other weekdays. At around 9 am on Friday the values were even negative on average. On Saturday, the fluxes were higher again but on average still lower than the fluxes on the following weekdays.
Friday is Sabbath in Cairo, and the traffic density is therefore considerably lower than on the other weekdays. This effect confirmed the influence of traffic on the CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes, although no clear rush-hour peaks were evident. Also on Saturday, the traffic density was still lower compared to the following weekdays, which manifested itself in higher CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes compared to Friday but still lower CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes compared to the other weekdays. Concerning the average diurnal courses of CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations per weekday, no distinct difference between each weekday appeared. The morning peak was at around 9 am on all weekdays.

2.3.3.3 Land use-dependent CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes and concentrations

Both the CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes and the CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations showed a dependency on wind direction (Figure 29). The highest CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes were recorded with winds blowing from the north to the north-east, with some peak values being higher than 40 μmol m\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1}. With winds from the south to the south-west, the CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes were generally lower with most of the values below 20 μmol m\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1}. Winds from the east did not occur very frequently, hence, it is difficult to see a certain pattern. Negative CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes were very rare but not restricted to one wind direction although they were more frequent in the south sector compared to the north and the east sector.

The highest CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations occurred with winds from west-northwest with values of up to 500 ppm, and some of them even higher. A second maximum occurred with winds from south-southwest. These two maxima can be very well explained by the windrose for the nighttime situation (Figure 26). Weak winds frequently came from these directions, especially during the night, resulting in the observed accumulation of CO\textsubscript{2}.

Concerning the three defined land-use sectors, the CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes of the north sector (predominantly urban, buildings and roads with heavy traffic) were significantly higher than the ones of the south sector (agricultural fields and sports field). Due to low data availability the east sector is...
difficult to evaluate. The CO$_2$ concentrations were highest in the north sector. There, two clusters could be defined: The already mentioned maximum around west-northwest and a second maximum around north-northwest. The latter mainly occurred in the morning hours around 9 am.

The fact that the CO$_2$ fluxes of the north sector were higher than the ones of the south sector was also confirmed by the average diurnal CO$_2$ fluxes per land use sector (Figure 30). The CO$_2$ fluxes of the north sector peaked in a clear maximum in the afternoon. On the other hand, the average CO$_2$ fluxes of the south sector showed not such a distinct afternoon peak. Around 9 am, they were, on average, even negative in the south sector, while the ones of the north sector were positive. The lower and partly negative fluxes indicate that the vegetation of the south sector could reduce the CO$_2$ emissions by photosynthesis, as already observed by Vesala et al. (2008b). It has to be kept in mind, however, that at the same time the CO$_2$ emissions were probably lower in the south sector. The values of the east sector lay in between those of the north sector and those of the south sector. There was no clear influence of the zoological garden in the east sector on the CO$_2$ fluxes as they were not considerably lower compared to the fluxes from the north sector. Regarding the nocturnal fluxes, there was no distinct difference observable between the three sectors.

Estimations by a one-dimensional footprint model (Hsieh et al., 2000) showed that the peak location during unstable conditions did not exceed 100 m, while for stable conditions it lay at around 1000 meters. The street with intense traffic in the north was only included in the 50% flux fetch requirement but not in the peak location which only included the campus area. This might be the reason for the lower than expected CO$_2$ fluxes, and it can be assumed that measurements taken closer to the street may have yielded different results. In the south sector, the 50% flux fetch requirement mainly consisted of vegetated areas. The large vegetated areas of the zoological garden in the east sector were only included in the 90% flux fetch requirement. This confirmed that the influence of the zoological garden on the CO$_2$ flux was not very large, and that the measurements were mainly influenced by the close-by street.

Figure 29 CO$_2$ fluxes (top) and CO$_2$ concentrations (bottom) depending on wind direction. Dotted lines mark the division into the three land use sectors: north sector (270-40°, predominantly urban, buildings and roads with heavy traffic), east sector (40-135°, zoological garden), south sector (135-270°, agricultural fields and sports field).

The diurnal CO$_2$ concentrations of the south sector were lower than the ones of the north sector. This is probably an effect of photosynthesis during the day as well as higher mixing over the vegetated surface as the turbulent heat fluxes were considerably higher.
over the south sector compared to the north sector (Frey et al., 2010). Concerning both the CO$_2$ fluxes and concentrations, the overall results highlight that source areas play an important role in the interpretation of the influence of different urban land use on flux measurements. A measurement tower provides a point measurement and its height as well as its exact location influence the results. Measurements taken closer to the street in the north might have revealed different CO$_2$ fluxes, for example. The influence of vegetation on the CO$_2$ emissions was quite obvious and underlines the importance of urban vegetation in relation to urban CO$_2$ emissions.

In terms of the sector-dependent CO$_2$ concentrations (Figure 30), the nocturnal concentrations of the north sector were higher than the ones of the south sector. They were most probably influenced by additional urban CO$_2$ sources. Moreover, the lower nocturnal concentrations in the south sector were surprising, since they were expected to be influenced by the nocturnal respiration of the vegetation. This would have resulted in higher nocturnal concentrations over the vegetated surface of the south sector compared to the nocturnal concentrations over the urban surface of the north sector. The estimates of the flux fetch requirements revealed that the source area under stable conditions could outreach the vegetation area. This could be one reason why the nocturnal respiration did not leave a clear signal in the measurements.

Figure 30: Average diurnal course of CO$_2$ fluxes (left) and of CO$_2$ concentrations (right) per land use sector. North sector: 270-40°, predominantly urban, buildings and roads with heavy traffic; east sector: 40-135°, zoological garden; south sector: 135-270°, agricultural fields and sports field. Triangle: mean, circle: median, grey bars: contain 50% of the data.
2.3.4 Conclusion
The aim of the present study was to provide insight into the CO₂ fluxes and concentrations of the city Cairo. Cairo, a city of a non-industrial country, with its location in a hot and dry climate and its enormous air pollution is a unique place to measure urban CO₂ fluxes and concentrations, especially as few studies exist from comparable cities so far. Cities contribute significantly to CO₂ emissions, and are therefore of special interest, especially for analysis or modelling of the carbon cycle on a local to regional scale.

Cairo with its high traffic density and a considerable share of old cars as well as other CO₂ emitters was expected to show higher CO₂ fluxes than cities of more developed countries. However, results showed that the average diurnal course of CO₂ fluxes was not as distinctly coupled to the traffic regime as it was in previous studies of other cities. No distinct rush-hour peaks occurred and the CO₂ fluxes were not considerably higher compared to cities of industrial countries. The fact that the exact diurnal course of traffic was not known, made the interpretation of the data in connection with traffic more complicated. Moreover, the relation of CO₂ fluxes to traffic may also have been blurred by the stability regime and the distance to the direct CO₂ sources. Nevertheless, the influence of traffic became most evident on Fridays (Sabbath in Cairo), when the fluxes were considerably lower compared to the other weekdays.

The CO₂ concentrations showed an average diurnal course with the maximum in the early morning as a result of the nocturnal stable boundary layer. In general, the CO₂ concentrations were governed to a large extent by the stability regime, since the diurnal courses of the CO₂ concentrations and of the stability matched quite well.

From the investigation of the various urban surfaces on the CO₂ fluxes and concentrations, it can be concluded that the vegetated area in Cairo was actually able to reduce the CO₂ fluxes. The negative fluxes induced by photosynthesis, however, were superimposed by the overall CO₂ source of the city, and the CO₂ fluxes resulted therefore positive on average. Regarding the CO₂ concentrations, the morning peak and the daily concentrations were considerably lower over the vegetated area.

In conclusion, it has to be said that it is difficult to draw universally valid conclusions from the present study, because its CO₂ measurements at the city of Cairo represent a relatively short time span only. Moreover, comparisons with traffic counts, which unfortunately were not available, could have revealed a more detailed view on the traffic regime and might have simplified data interpretation in relation to traffic. Nevertheless, a valuable insight into the CO₂ dynamics at the site in Cairo city could be gained. Due to the small number of other eddy covariance measurements of CO₂ fluxes and concentrations from urban areas of non-industrial countries at present, this study represents a special contribution in this respect.

2.3.5 Acknowledgments
Many thanks go to Maha Harhash and Mohammad M. Abdel Wahab of the Astronomy Department at Cairo University for the help and support in Cairo during the measurement campaign. The core project of CAPAC was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant number 200021-1094).

2.3.6 References


2.4 Determination of the aerodynamic resistance to heat using morphometric methods
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ABSTRACT The spatial estimation of the aerodynamic resistance to heat using morphometric methods was evaluated on the example of three different approaches using a digital surface model to calculate the roughness length for momentum and heat. The digital surface model was a result of manual digitizing of a Google Earth image and another model retrieved from two stereoscopic SPOT images. Resulting values for the building area density and frontal area index were slightly lower than comparable values found in the literature, which could be attributed to the building structure. An empirical parameter α, used for the calculation of the roughness length for heat, was fitted to observational data. α was found to be higher than suggested by literature values. The three morphometric methods proved to follow the same principle, spatial analysis however showed that they produced different results in some very dense areas.

2.4.1 Introduction

The determination of the aerodynamic resistance to transfer of sensible heat \( r_h \), short ‘aerodynamic resistance to heat’, is necessary in the estimation of heat fluxes using Bulk transfer methods applied with satellite data. In such approaches remotely sensed surface temperatures are combined with an estimation of this parameter \( r_h \), together with the climatological variables air temperature, net radiation, and soil heat flux to derive the final product, the turbulent heat fluxes. \( r_h \) thereby is a function of the roughness of the surface, described by the displacement height \( z_d \) and the roughness length for momentum \( z_{0m} \) and heat \( z_{0h} \) (Verma, 1989). The roughness of the surface is very distinct in urban areas; therefore a sound determination of these parameters is essential for successful flux modelling. Grimmond & Oke (1999) have summarized several morphometric methods to determine \( z_d \) and \( z_{0m} \) from a digital surface model, finding a distinct variability in the output of the tested approaches. They ranked the approaches by comparing their output to measurement values. They found the methods of Bottema (1997), Raupach (1994, 1995) and Macdonald et al. (1998) to score highest. Liu et al. (2008) also verified these three methods with observational data. Their results suggest that the three methods are not very different from each other.

In the above mentioned three morphometric methods, the average roof height, the building area density, and the frontal area index are used. These indices can be calculated from a digital surface model using trigonometry. Burian et al. (2002) has described the calculation of these parameters in a GIS (Geographic Information System) and their subsequent use for the estimation of the roughness parameters. Also some other studies have reported on roughness parameters in urban areas. Gál & Sümeghy (2007) for example presented an urban roughness mapping method with the approach from Bottema (1997) to localize ventilation paths in the city. Ratti et al. (2002) used the approach from Macdonald et al. (1998) to extract several flow and dispersion parameters from an urban database. The parameters are the plan and frontal area densities, their function and distribution with height, their standard deviation, the aerodynamic roughness length and the sky view factor. Ioannilli & Rocchi (2008) finally compared the methods of Bottema (1997) and
Raupach (1994, 1995) for a portion of Rome, using cadastral databases. In many developed countries, digital surface models have been made available for cities by the respective authorities. In developing countries however, this data is mostly not existing at all or not available for external researchers. Also for our study area no such model was available; therefore it had to be generated manually. The resulting digital surface model does not offer the same accuracy of up-to-date models generated from cadastral maps and provided by authorities. However, it is a good alternative and is sufficient for the needs of this study.

In this research, the three above mentioned best-fitting morphometric methods for the estimation of $z_d$ and $z_{om}$ are used to deduct the aerodynamic resistance to heat $r_h$ for a comparison with estimations of $r_h$ from in situ measurements in an urban area. The methods used were proposed by Raupach (1994, 1995), by Macdonald et al. (1998) and by Bottema (1997) and are further referred as RA, MA and BO. Additionally, an empiric relation connecting the roughness length heat $z_{0h}$ with $Re^*$ (Brutsaert 1982, Kanda et al. 2007) was fitted to the data set with in situ measurements of $r_h$. A new value of an empirical parameter used in this relation is subsequently proposed.

The results of this study can be used by researchers using very high resolution remote sensing approaches or urban climate models, by seeing the effect of these three different approaches and by proposing a new empiric value for the estimation of the roughness length for heat in urban areas. Especially the definition of new values for this relation has been the topic of a couple of recent publications (Kanda et al., 2007; Kawai et al., 2009; Loridan et al., 2010) that focus on urban environments. This research is farther input in this discussion. Besides this, the study shortly discusses the optimal resolution for the calculation of the input parameter from the digital surface model for this study area.

2.4.2 Study area

The study area is a small part of the Gizah district in the megacity Cairo in Egypt. Central to the area is the campus of the Cairo University. The campus consists of broad blocks and spacious squares and alleys. Some trees and bushes are planted along the alleys. Botanical test fields are to the south of the campus. The fields of this area are sometimes surrounded by trees. A park and a zoological garden both with dense tree cover are found in the East of the campus and very dense housing blocks emerge from the west and to the north of the campus. These blocks belong to lower income social classes. The cross-streets between the single houses of these blocks are extremely narrow and are often not clearly detectable on satellite images. The whole area is 3.205 x 2.45 km.

2.4.3 Methods

2.4.3.1 Calculation of the aerodynamic resistance to heat

From November 2007 to February 2008 a micrometeorological field campaign was conducted in Cairo, Egypt (Frey et al. 2010). Micrometeorological parameters were continuously measured at three stations. One of these stations was located at the campus of Cairo University, on a building in the south of the campus ('Laser'-Building at 30°01’33.39’’N, 31°12’27.81’’E, see figure 1). $r_h$ [sm$^{-1}$] was computed from measurements of radiative surface temperature $T_s$ [K], air temperature $T_o$ [K] and sensible heat flux $Q_H$ [Wm$^{-2}$] in half hourly intervals, following Verma (1989)

$$Q_H = \rho_{air} \cdot c_p \frac{T_s - T_o}{r_h}$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

where $\rho_{air}$ is the density of air [kg m$^{-3}$] and $C_p$ the specific heat of air at constant pressure [J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$].
Also following Verma (1989), $r_{ah}$ can be expressed by

$$r_{ah} = \frac{1}{ku^*} \left( \ln \left( \frac{z_d + z_{om}}{z_{om}} \right) - \psi_h \right) + \frac{1}{ku^*} \ln \left( \frac{z_{om}}{z_{oh}} \right)$$

(43)

as was done previously by Xu et al. (2008). $u^*$ is the friction velocity [ms$^{-1}$], $k$ is the Karman’s constant (=0.4) and $\psi_h$ is a stability correction function for heat, depending on the Monin Obukhov length (Foken 2003). The first term in equation (43) thereby corresponds to the aerodynamic resistance for momentum, the latter term to a bulk aerodynamic excess resistance. Please refer to Liu et al. (2007) for other parameterizations of $r_{ah}$. As equation (43) does not allow an input of zero for $z_{om}$ and $z_{oh}$, these terms were set to 1e-4, and 1e-5 in respective cases.

The aerodynamic surface temperature $T_0$ which is originally used in the bulk transfer equation (equation (42)) is the temperature extrapolated to a surface that is at the height $z_d + z_{om}$ (the zero-plane displacement length plus roughness length for heat [m]). However, the introduction of a corrective term, the radiometric excess resistance, allows substituting $T_0$ with the radiometric surface temperature $T_s$ as was done in equation (42) (Chehbouni et al. 2001). The radiometric excess resistance is

$$r_r = \frac{k B^{-1}}{u^* k}, \text{ with}$$

(44)

$$kB^{-1} = \ln \left( \frac{z_{om}}{z_{oh}} \right).$$

(45)

$r_r$ in equation (42) is the sum of $r_{ah}$ and $r_r$.

$$T_h = T_{ah} + r_r$$

(46)

Please refer to Voogt and Grimmond (2000) for a more detailed discussion of the assumptions made above.

The roughness length $z_{om}$ used in equation (43) was estimated with the three methods RA, MA and BO. All these methods need the input parameter $\lambda_f$ which is the frontal area index. This index uses the length of any obstacle, the mean height of the obstacle, as well as the angle of attack of the prevailing wind to the obstacle in a given window. To extract this information for the given area, a digital surface model must be available. As no such model was available for the study area, it was generated manually as described in the next section.

2.4.3.2 Generation of a digital surface model

For the calculation of the roughness lengths, first a simple digital surface model of the closer surrounding of Cairo University was built. The buildings were digitized manually from a Google Earth cut-out in 1.5 km view in the ENVI programme from ITT Visual Information Solutions. Many of the cross-streets in some low income areas were not detectable from the Google Earth image. Therefore the houses along a bigger street were digitized as one block. These cross-streets are extremely narrow and the wall surfaces of the canyons are not exposed to the dominant wind system of the broader area. Therefore it is considered to be legitimate to omit these streets and treat the building series of a whole street-side as one block. Afterwards, mean heights were allocated to the buildings using a coarser digital surface model of the area that was extracted from two stereoscopic SPOT images (Goossens 2008). As the SPOT surface model did not assign a correct height to the ‘Laser’-Building, a corrective factor was introduced all over the area to match the height of the ‘Laser’-Building to the actual height of the building.
2.4.3.3  Estimation of the frontal area index $\lambda_f$

There is no common way of calculating the frontal area index so far, thus the used routine shall be explained below. In a first step, the length of any obstacle in a moving window was calculated. The window width was 3 pixels (= 7.5 m). Thereby it was assumed, that only one obstacle can be located in the set window at any time (see Figure 32).

In each window, the pixels were divided into obstacle and open space. If one of the four direct neighbouring pixels of a pixel was open space, then this pixel was classified as wall (belonging to an obstacle). The boundary of this obstacle (building or a group of trees) could have only one direction (azimuth angle, east of north). Edges of obstacles were not detected separately. So, if an edge occurred in the window, it was treated as a wall, having the directional properties of the mean of the two adjacent walls of the edge. The mean azimuth angle of all wall pixels was determined using trigonometry. The mean height is just the average height of all obstacles in a window.

This approach was originally developed for buildings only. The vegetation in the second model is handled in the same way as buildings. This simplification introduces some uncertainty, as vegetation is semi-permeable for air masses and does not cause the same roughness as massive walls. The inclusion of vegetation might therefore overestimate the roughness and results should be treated with care.

The calculation of the frontal area index $\lambda_f$ and the density of the obstacles $\lambda_p$ was done in a second step with a moving window of 125 m (50 pixel). $\lambda_f$ was calculated using

$$\lambda_f = \frac{\sum y \bar{z}}{A_T}$$

(47)
where $\overline{Y_r}$ is the mean breadth of roughness elements perpendicular to the wind direction.
The mean breadth of the roughness elements was weighted with a cosine function according to the relation of the azimuth angle and the angle of attack of the wind. $\overline{Y_r}$ is the mean height of the lot area and $A_T$ is the total lot area. The density of the obstacles $\lambda_p$, used for example in equation (48), was estimated using the ratio of the number of pixels with obstacles and the window size.

Burian et al. (2002) have pointed out, that the window size for the calculation of $\lambda_f$ should be chosen such that the characteristics of interest in the urban area are discernible. In this research, the window size for the calculation of the frontal area index was first varied from 25 m to 150 m to assess the sensitivity of $r_h$ to the window size. It was found, that window sizes greater than 75 m produced $r_h$ values that did not change anymore significantly. Lower window sizes however increased $r_h$ substantially. For example: $r_h$ calculated with a 25 m window size was 20 to 40 sm$^{-1}$ lower than calculated with a 150 m window size (MA method with $\alpha$ ranging from -0.1 to -1, see equations (50), (51) and (55), including all occurring wind speeds). Lower $\alpha$ values decrease the difference. Further analyses are made on 125 m basis, which is thought to be a good window size to represent a single unit in this study area, coupled with acceptable computing times. Smaller sizes down to 75 m would also be acceptable. Bigger window sizes (in this study done up to 150 m) are just increasing the computing time.

2.4.3.4 Calculation of roughness lengths

The roughness lengths were then estimated following the three approaches addressed in the introduction. BO relates $z_d/z_h$ to an exponential curve of the density of the obstacles $\lambda_p$, while $z_{om}/z_h$ is given by a natural logarithm. For simplicity, the in-plane sheltering displacement height of BO is set equal to $z_d$.

$$\frac{z_d}{z_h} = \lambda_p^{0.6}$$ \hspace{1cm} (48)

$$\frac{z_{om}}{z_h} = \frac{z_{dh}}{z_h} \exp\left(-\frac{k}{0.5C_{Dh}\lambda_d^{0.5}}\right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (49)

$C_{Dh}$ is the isolated obstacle drag coefficient (=0.8). According to MA, the zero-plane displacement height $z_d$ is given by

$$\frac{z_d}{z_h} = 1 + \alpha_m^{-1} \lambda_p (\lambda_p - 1),$$ \hspace{1cm} (50)

The roughness length for momentum $z_{om}$ is found by

$$\frac{z_{om}}{z_h} = \left(1 - \frac{z_d}{z_h}\right) \exp \left\{ -0.5 \beta_m \frac{C_D}{K^2} \left( 1 - zd\lambda_f^{-0.5} \right) \right\},$$ \hspace{1cm} (51)

where $\alpha_m$ and $\beta_m$ are empirical constants. The latter constant is a net correction factor for the drag coefficient. Recommended values are $\alpha_m$=4.43 and $\beta_m$=1.0. $C_D$ is a drag coefficient (=1.2).

RA gives the zero-plane displacement height $z_d$ as

$$\frac{z_d}{z_h} = 1 - \frac{1 - \exp\left[ -(2c_{zd}\lambda_f)^{0.5} \right]}{(2c_{zd}\lambda_f)^{0.5}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (52)

And the roughness length for momentum $z_{om}$ is found by

$$\frac{z_{om}}{z_h} = \left(1 - \frac{z_d}{z_h}\right) \exp \left\{ k \frac{\overline{u}}{u_*} + \psi_h \right\},$$ \hspace{1cm} (53)

$$\frac{u_*}{\overline{u}} = \min \left[ \frac{c_s + c_r\lambda_f^{0.5}}{(\overline{u}/u_*)_{max}} \right]$$ \hspace{1cm} (54)
$\Psi_h$ is the roughness sublayer influence function, $U$ is the large-scale wind speed, $u^*$ is the friction velocity, $c_s$ and $c_r$ are drag coefficients for the substrate surface at height $z_h$ in the absence of roughness elements, and of an isolated roughness element mounted on the surface. $c_{d1}$ is an empirical constant. According to RA $c_s = 0.003$, $c_r = 0.3$, $(u^*/U)_{\text{max}} = 0.3$, $\Psi_h = 0.193$, and $c_{d1} = 7.5$ was used. Note that the notation of equation (52) is different from the respective given in Grimmond & Oke (1999).

The roughness length for heat $z_{0h}$ was found for all 3 approaches using

$$z_{0h} = z_{0m} \cdot \beta \cdot \exp(\alpha \cdot Re^*^{0.25}) \quad , \quad (55)$$

with $Re^*$ being the Roughness Reynolds number. $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are empirical constants for bluff-rough situations given by Brutsaert (1982). Xu et al. (2008) and Loridan et al. (2010) used -1.29 for $\alpha$, which they took from the work of Kanda et al. (2007) for urban areas. Kanda et al. (2007) regressed data from an outdoor experiment with the Comprehensive Outdoor Scale Model (COSMO) to find the relationship between $kB^{-1}$ and $Re^*$, a different form of equation (55). They found that the regressed function agreed with data from three other urban sites better than using the Brutsaert (1982) value, even though the surface geometry of the urban sites differed. This $\alpha$ parameter is subject to further research in this paper and alternative values are investigated. $\beta$ is kept constant at 7.4 (Voogt & Grimmond 2000).

To give an overview of the whole methodology, in Figure 33 a diagram is given with an overview of the different processing steps in this study.

### 2.4.3.5 Footprint modelling

To compare the results with the measurements, the footprint model of Kormann & Meixner (2000) was used. The model output was thereby adjusted to the pixel resolution of the citymodel and laid over the image to fit the measurement station.

![Figure 33 Overview of the different processing steps of the study](image-url)
2.4.4 Results

2.4.4.1 Mean density and frontal area index

The mean density $\lambda_p$ and the mean frontal area index $\lambda_f$ were calculated twofold: a) for the whole area and b) for only the built up area, excluding the botanical test fields in the south of the campus and the parks in the East. This was done for both surface models, excluding and including vegetation. Resulting values are given in Table XIII. $\lambda_p$ ranges from 0.170 to 0.413, $\lambda_f$ from 0.079 to 0.138. This corresponds to the lower range of the values of $\lambda_p$ and $\lambda_f$ given in Grimmond & Oke (1999). There, $\lambda_p$ ranges from 0.33 to 0.58 and $\lambda_f$ from 0.13 to 0.33. Reasons for our low values are firstly found in the very high density areas, where the buildings are put together in the model to form one big block and secondly in the spacious architecture of the campus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table XIII Mean density $\lambda_p$ and mean frontal area index $\lambda_f$ as calculated from the 150 m resolution windows</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>First model (including only buildings)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built up area only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model (including also vegetation)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built up area only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4.4.2 Comparison of morphometric methods

In a first step, $z_d/z_h$, $z_{om}/z_h$ and $z_{om}/z_h$ of the three different models (MA, BO and RA) were compared by plotting them against $\lambda_p$ or $\lambda_f$ (Figure 34). The relation between $z_d/z_h$ and $\lambda_p$ is comparable in all three approaches (a), (d) and (g)). Especially the curves of MA and of BO are very similar. $z_d/z_h$ increases when the building density is higher. Note, that the RA approach relates $z_d/z_h$ to $\lambda_f$ (black curve). The grey values in Figure 34 however give the relation to $\lambda_p$.

Increasing the housing density continuously, one will reach a point, where the roughness peaks at a maximum value. More dense housing will decrease the roughness again, as the narrow canyons lose their influence on the air flow. This fact is depicted in the figures b), e) and h) of Figure 34. All three approaches let increase $z_{om}/z_h$ with increasing $\lambda_f$ up to a maximum value, to decrease again. The curve of RA for $z_{om}/z_h$ is a single curve, as it is only dependent of $\lambda_f$, while the curves of MA and BO are dependent on $\lambda_f$ and $\lambda_p$. The grey values in b) and c) in Figure 34 depict these dependencies and relative $\lambda_p$ values are given in the scale bar.

The relation between $z_{om}/z_h$ and the frontal area index $\lambda_f$ is less clear and subject to strong scattering. Both the approaches from MA and BO have very low values, with a high scattering up to single values around 200'000. The data using the RA approach is following an increasing trend with increasing $\lambda_f$.

2.4.4.3 Alpha parameter

Equation (55) calculates $z_{om}$ from $z_{om}$ and the Reynolds number $Re^*$ using the empirical parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Brutsaert (1982) sets $\alpha$ to -2.46 for bluff-rough surfaces. However, it seems that this parameter is not suitable for urban areas. While retaining the $\beta$ parameter of Brutsaert (1982), several options for $\alpha$ were investigated. Thereby $r_h$ was calculated iteratively using equation (43) with an increasing $\alpha$. The resulting $r_h$ values, calculated for 4 wind directions and 3 wind speed classes, were compared to the $r_h$ values retrieved from the in situ measurements using equation (42). It was shown, that the wind speed did not influence significantly the retrieved best fitting value.
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Figure 34 Relation between $z_u/z_h$ and the housing density $\lambda_p$ and $z_{0m}/z_h$ and the frontal area index $\lambda_f$ for $\alpha=0.8$ given for the model including vegetation and a window size of 150 m. b), c) e) and f) show additionally the dependence on $\lambda_p$ (grey values). Note that h) and i) only show relations to $\lambda_f$.

Table XIV Differences of $r_h$ $[\text{sm}^{-1}]$ in a moving window of 125 m. The footprint model of Kormann & Meixner (2000) was used for the comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>BO Buildings</th>
<th>BO Buildings + vegetation</th>
<th>MA Buildings</th>
<th>MA Buildings + vegetation</th>
<th>RA Buildings</th>
<th>RA Buildings + vegetation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>23.39</td>
<td>37.63</td>
<td>14.37</td>
<td>26.99</td>
<td>30.04</td>
<td>44.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>9.45</td>
<td>22.54</td>
<td>-1.03</td>
<td>14.68</td>
<td>17.39</td>
<td>30.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-4.44</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>-16.36</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>16.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>-17.80</td>
<td>-7.56</td>
<td>-30.89</td>
<td>-9.77</td>
<td>-6.86</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>-29.31</td>
<td>-22.32</td>
<td>-42.85</td>
<td>-21.51</td>
<td>-17.18</td>
<td>-9.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table XIV gives the differences of the two $r_h$ values respective to $\alpha$ as weighted means from all wind speed classes. It shows, that the best fitting $\alpha$ values range from -0.5 to -0.7 for both surface models. These values are higher than the value proposed by Kanda et al. (2007), but fit much better to the field data he showed in figure 6. Their proposed curve lies mostly over the in situ test data of the business district, Tokyo and the dense residential area, Tokyo, while the curve with the new $\alpha$ values would go right through the measurement data.

In the following several influences in the retrieval of $r_h$ will be investigated. One source of error in the estimation of $r_h$ is the correction of the surface temperatures from the emissivity effect. Emissivity was set to 0.96, according to a analysis of ASTER data of the area (Frey et al. subm.). Comparison calculations showed that lowering the emissivity about 0.02 from 0.96 to 0.94 would decrease the best fitting $\alpha$ values only about 0.1. This quite low difference shows that the emissivity effect alone cannot explain the differences of $\alpha$ to the value of Kanda et al. (2007) or Brutsaert (1982). Another uncertainty is resulting from the fact that instead of the aerodynamic surface temperature $T_0$, the radiative surface temperature $T_s$ is used in equation (42). Despite the use of a corrective term $r_r$, some uncertainty remains, as the departure of the aerodynamic temperature from the radiative temperature is controlled by several factors that cannot be simply put into one corrective term (Chehbouni et al. 2001). Further, the in situ sensor does not sense the complete surface, but only the surfaces in the field of view (Voogt & Grimmond 2000). Vertical walls not seen by the sensor do contribute to the sensible heat flux, but are not included in the measurement. However, assuming that those vertical walls are at least partly shaded and exhibit lower surface temperatures than the roof areas, resulting $r_h$ values would be lower. Such lower $r_h$ would need higher $\alpha$ values to fit the observational data. So, thermal anisotropy is also not able to explain the high $\alpha$ values compared to Brutsaert (1982). The fact finally, that the $\lambda_p$ and $\lambda_f$ values are lower than literature values also does not explain this difference, as higher $\lambda_p$ and $\lambda_f$ values would result in a higher aerodynamic resistance to heat, which would also ask for a increase in $\alpha$ to optimally fit the modelled data and the values retrieved from the in situ measurements. As a conclusion new $\alpha$ values of -0.5 to -0.7 are proposed for the application in urban areas similar to our Cairo test case.

Figure 35 shows a comparison of the in situ measured and modelled $r_h$ values according to the wind direction. Only situations with wind speed greater than 1 ms$^{-1}$ and lower than 7 ms$^{-1}$ are considered. Mean in situ values are plotted as bold crosses. They show a distinct directionality which corresponds to the building density in the surrounding of the measurement mast. The modelled values generally follow this pattern well. They were calculated with mean input variables associated with the considered wind speed range. In eastern wind situations the agreement seems to be relatively good, however, not much reference data is available in this sector (Frey et al. 2010). In western wind situations, $r_h$ is underestimated by all models. Such discrepancies can be attributed to the limited accuracy of the digital surface model and the crude assumptions about vegetation. Note, that the in situ measured values have a quite high statistical spread.

### 2.4.4.4 Spatial distribution

Extended areas with no significant roughness elements came out with a value of zero for $z_{0m}$ and $z_{0b}$. These areas were set to 1e-4, and 1e-5 m, respectively. Due to this assumption large areas of the first model with parks and fields (in the East of the image), had a constant $r_h$ value (44 sm$^{-1}$ in Figure 36).
The same areas produced values in a similar range in the second model (including vegetation), which is in accordance to measurements done by Liu et al (2007). The \( r_h \) values of the open areas did not influence the estimation of the best-fitting \( \alpha \) value, as the footprint rarely extended to the East.

The BO and the RA approaches produced very similar spatial pattern, while the MA approach sometimes behaved inverse to the other two: in the very dense housing areas the MA approach showed lower values than BO and RA (see Figure 36). This difference is due to very low \( z_{0m} \) values estimated by the MA approach. The relation of \( z_{0m} \) and \( \lambda_f \) can be seen in Figure 34. Also there, the MA approach can be distinguished by the production of low \( z_{0m} \) values. The MA approach is using two empirical constants \( \alpha_m \) and \( \beta_m \). A further investigation of the influence of these constants on \( r_h \) is recommended for future research in the comparison of MA, BO and RA methods.

Highest \( r_h \) values are found in all three approaches in a lot with high rise buildings left to the extended park area and in some parts of the very dense housing areas.

### 2.4.5 Conclusions

The use of morphometric methods for the estimation of the aerodynamic resistance to heat \( r_h \) is a promising approach when spatial data are needed. The precondition of a high resolution citymodel however, restricts this method to areas where such models are available. With the launch of TerraSAR-X and its twin TanDEM-X of the German Aerospace Center more and more high resolution DEMs will be available worldwide from about 2014 (www.dlr.de). In this study a new city model was built from Spot and Google Earth images for the extent of the test area.

The mean density \( \lambda_p \) and the mean frontal area index \( \lambda_f \) were lower than literature values because of specific characteristics of the area and the surface model. Higher \( \lambda_p \) and \( \lambda_f \) values would result in a higher aerodynamic resistance.

Due to the semi-empiric nature of the estimation of the roughness lengths, it is necessary, to define optimal parameters for urban surfaces. This study is an approach to find an optimal configuration of the morphometric methods to fit values deducted from in situ measurements. The best fitting \( \alpha \) value was similar for the three different methods and the two surface models. Best fitting \( \alpha \) values range from -0.5 to -0.7, independent of the wind speed. A value of -0.6 is recommended for further studies in this area.
A wind direction dependent comparison of in situ and modelled $r_h$ values revealed, that all three methods could reproduce the general directional pattern measured by in situ instrumentation induced by different surface roughness.

The spatial analysis finally showed that extended areas with no surface elements produce probably unrealistically values. It is therefore recommended to insert a minimum roughness in extended open areas. The inclusion of vegetation in the model also solved this problem. Generally high $r_h$ values are found in areas with a high roughness, which could be induced by high buildings or a higher building density. Only in some of the very high density areas the three methods didn’t agree in their results.
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3 Estimation of band reflectance using data from remote sensors

The estimation of the reflectance from a rough surface from a remote position is not straightforward. As soon as a surface is not completely smooth, and this is the majority of all surfaces, roughness elements arouse directional effects in the measurement of the reflectance. These effects are triggered by different illumination and shade patterns caused by roughness elements and different transmission grades of the surface or the surface cover. Such effects are present on various spatial scales. A rough acre for example will pose similar effects like a rough urban surface, just on a different spatial scale. The illumination pattern is directly dependent on the position of the sun. Low altitude induces long, high altitude short shadows. Further the pattern is dependent on the percentage of diffuse irradiance of the global irradiance, as diffuse irradiance is the main source of illumination in shaded areas. Multiple reflections from neighbouring rough elements further diversify the pattern. Given this ever-changing illumination pattern, the spatial scale is deciding on the resulting measurement value. A medium-scale radiometer with a spatial resolution of about 100 m sensing an urban surface will depict a mixture of differently illuminated roads, walls and roofs. A high-resolution sensor with a spatial resolution of 1 m in turn will depict only the single elements and therefore sense various different albedos.

Furthermore, sensing the same surface from different angles will automatically result in different reflectances: The surface is not isotropic. The concept describing this phenomenon is the BRDF (Bi-Directional Reflectance Distribution Function). While much work has been invested in describing the BRDF from natural surfaces with small-scale roughness elements, the urban surface is underrepresented in literature. Following two chapters highlight the phenomenon. Chapter 3.1 describes a study where different illumination patterns were modelled in an urban area and their effect on remotely sensed reflectances was analyzed. The study area of this research is the city of Basel, Switzerland. The structure of the city is comparable to some upper middle-class quarters of Cairo, like Mohandiseen. The reason for this choice was the unavailability of a extended high-resolution city model of Cairo. Chapter 3.2 describes the BRF (Bi-Directional Reflectance Function) of urban surfaces in Cairo using CHRIS/PROBA data.
3.1 Geometry effect on the estimation of band reflectance in an urban area

Corinne Frey, Eberhard Parlow, E.
Published in Theoretical and Applied Climatology 96: 395-406

ABSTRACT: Reflectance of the urban surface is an important factor for urban climate studies and can be assessed using standard remote sensing applications. However, no application considers the three-dimensional structure of the city surface and its resulting shading patterns or the inclined roof surfaces. To determine the effect of these factors on the estimation of urban surface reflectance, a high-resolution raster-based city-surface model was used to estimate the spatial solar irradiance in an example city, namely Basel in Switzerland. Eight times daily for 1 year, the solar irradiance was calculated using MODTRAN and the illumination geometry of the city. Subsequently, the spatial distribution of the solar irradiance, as well as the error in assumed reflectance values were analysed. The error in estimation of reflectance increased with lower solar elevation angle, so its maxima were found in winter. Higher visibility of the assumed atmosphere also increased the estimated error due to the lower proportion of diffuse irradiance. The error decreased with coarser spatial resolution of the pixel.
3.1.1 Introduction

The albedo is an important parameter for urban climate studies. It determines how much incoming solar irradiation is absorbed by the surface and is made available for other energy fluxes. It is often assessed using remote-sensing methods (Brest 1987; Sailor 1995; Taha 1997). In this context, among the most commonly used satellites are the ASTER (Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer), the LANDSAT TM and ETM+ and also the SPOT sensor (Satellite Pour l’Observation de la Terre) (Chrysoulakis 2003; Frey et al. 2007; Hafner and Kidder 1999; Small 2005; Soler and Ruiz 1994). Singleband albedos are often directly derived from top of the atmosphere radiances using look up tables (LUTs) containing information about the composition of the atmosphere, which is done, for example, for the ASTER surface reflectance product (NASA 2007a).

Elsewhere, the albedos are calculated by building a ratio between otherwise corrected image radiances and the modelled solar irradiance, as proposed in the LANDSAT handbook (NASA 2007b). Some groups used digital elevation models for the correction of the spatial irradiance due to topographic effects of the terrain (Parlow 1996; Rigo and Parlow 2007).

Estimating the albedo from space always means compromising, as the spatial resolution of the sensor is too large to optimally resolve the observed features in many cases. The pixels are a mixture between different features and surface materials. Therefore, the albedo of urban areas is strongly determined by the urban setting. Urban areas can be characterised by their geometrical structure. They consist of a mixture of houses, blocks and streets of different forms and sizes on one hand, and of diverse surface materials on the other hand. In between, urban vegetation occurs, modifying the urban climate through its altered surface characteristics. Additionally to this effect the three-dimensional geometry of cities has an impact on the estimation of the urban albedo. Solar irradiation impinges on various surface inclinations, defined by the roof’s slopes and aspects. Shading effects lead to very dark surfaces, lowering the satellite estimated albedo considerably. Neither of the approaches accounts for the effect of these urban settings.

A literature survey shows that some research has been conducted in this field already. Aida (1982a) analysed the relation between urban albedo and the solar zenith angle using a model experiment with concrete blocks in cubic form. Aida and Gotoh (1982b) deepened their understanding using a two-dimensional urban block-canyon array model. They showed that the urban albedo is low when the solar zenith angle is also low. Kondo et al. (2001) showed the same, using a modelling approach with simplified three dimensional buildings of equal size, arranged in a regular lattice. Both groups modelled the albedo very accurately and described its behaviour with changing environmental parameters. However, they did not analyse the resulting magnitude of the error in albedo estimation, while ignoring the fact of the urban setting. Finally, Sailor and Fan (2002) used Monte Carlo style simulations with different urban land-use classes for describing the diurnal variability of the effective albedo for cities and they included the effects of shading. Analysing their computed transects of reflectance, they found that the nadir-view-albedo underestimates daily solar radiative loads by 11–22%.

From the discussion of shadows in the urban setting, it seems to be reasonable to distinguish between ‘local’ and ‘regional’ albedo. Local albedo on one hand means the reflectivity of the surface as it is. It is the reflectivity from a single-surface material. The regional albedo on the other hand includes effects from a broader environment and may include different surface materials and forms, as well as shading effects (compare Schwander et al. 1999).

This analysis shall be a further step in the understanding of the urban albedo pattern. It aims to analyse if there is a significant geometry effect on the reflectance estimation for the sample urban area, Basel. Mainly the effect of shading from buildings and constructions at different solar
elevation angles and different atmospheric states shall be analysed. To this end, several scenarios are modelled using a radiative transfer model and simple geometric relations as discussed in section Estimation of spatial irradiance and single-band albedo. The results of this pure modelling study are statistically analysed and presented. The results are also aggregated towards increasingly coarser spatial resolutions to see at which ground resolution the pixel shading effects cease to have influence. All the modelling assumes that the satellite acquisition would be only nadir-viewing.

3.1.2 Study area
Study area is Basel, a city with about 200’000 inhabitants. Basel is situated in the north-western corner of Switzerland at the bend of the River Rhine. The River Rhine is the natural border to Germany (Baden-Württemberg) in the north. In the west, the city of Basel is bordered by France (Alsace). Basel is a typical European mid-latitude city with building types ranging from detached houses to blocks and skyscrapers. It includes an old commercial inner city, modern business districts, industrial areas as well as various residential areas on different socio-economic levels.

Basel area was chosen, because it is a representative urban site in the centre of Europe and the urban climate project BUBBLE, the Basel-Urban-Boundary-Layer-Experiment, an international joint research activity, was carried out in Basel offering a unique archive of measured micrometeorological data (Rotach et al. 2005). Basel features typical mid-European urban characteristics; therefore, the approach used in this research can be used also for other mid-European cities.

3.1.3 Data
A digital city surface model of Basel was used. The model was obtained from the authorities of the Grundbuch- und Vermessungsamt in Basel, Switzerland. A vector-to-raster conversion had to be performed, before using the model. The resulting raster model has a spatial resolution of 1 m and depicts the buildings of the inner city of Basel without vegetation. The model height is absolute; the Earth surface is considered to be zero. The vertical step height of the model is 1 m.

Furthermore, a QUICKBIRD image was used for the discrimination of urban vegetation. QUICKBIRD is a commercial satellite for Earth observation from Digital-Globe that is operated in the panchromatic with 60-cm spatial resolution and in the multispectral mode with 2.4 m spatial resolution. Four spectral channels (blue, green, red and near-infrared) are available in the multispectral mode. The city surface model was geo-located with this QUICKBIRD image of the same area to enable joint analysis.

3.1.4 Estimation of spatial irradiance and single-band albedo
In the following section, the methods of this analysis are presented. The albedo is defined in the first subsection, while the second section contains the equations for the geometric relations that use various irradiance terms. Finally, in the third section, the modelling of these single irradiance terms is explained and some comparisons with real measured data are made.

3.1.4.1 Definition of albedo
The spatial surface single-band albedo in the wavelength range from $\lambda_1$ to $\lambda_2$ is defined as a measure of the fraction of radiance reflected from a surface compared to the solar irradiance within the same wavelength range:

$$\rho(\Delta \lambda) = \frac{L_r(\Delta \lambda)}{L_\gamma(\Delta \lambda)} \quad (56)$$

$\rho =$ Single-band surface albedo from $\lambda_1$ to $\lambda_2$
\[ L_r = \text{Irradiance reflected from the Earth surface and integrated from wavelength } \lambda_1 \text{ to } \lambda_2 \text{ [Wm}^2\text{sr}^{-1}\mu\text{m}^{-1}] \]

\[ L_g = \text{Global irradiance, integrated from wavelength } \lambda_1 \text{ to } \lambda_2 \text{ (beam plus diffuse irradiance) [Wm}^2\text{sr}^{-1}\mu\text{m}^{-1}] \]

\[ \Delta \lambda = \text{Wavelength range from } \lambda_1 \text{ to } \lambda_2 \]

The estimation of the albedo, using this typically applied approach, is done without considering the spatial heterogeneity of the irradiation. However, the global irradiation is dependent on the sun–surface geometry and considerable variations can be observed, especially in mountainous terrain as well as in urban areas (Parlow 1998). The single-band albedo in the spatial domain is therefore calculated best using spatially distributed irradiance values. In the following, the term single-band albedo is addressed simply as albedo.

It is important to find out at what height the involved irradiance terms are modelled or measured. If the considered heights are just above the surface, then the resulting albedo belongs to the local surface type and the albedo can be referred to as a local albedo (Christen and Vogt 2004). However, if the measurement is high enough to "see" different local surface types, then one may address the resulting albedo as regional albedo.

3.1.4.2 Geometric relations

The solar irradiance of a spectral band is given as the sum of beam irradiance, diffuse irradiance, and irradiance reflected from the environment (adjacency effects) of this spectral band.

\[ L_{g,\text{sunlit/shaded}} = \text{Global irradiance in sunlit or shaded area, integrated from wavelength } \lambda_1 \text{ to } \lambda_2 \text{ [Wm}^2\text{sr}^{-1}\mu\text{m}^{-1}] \]

\[ L_b = \text{Beam irradiance, integrated from wavelength } \lambda_1 \text{ to } \lambda_2 \text{ [Wm}^2\text{sr}^{-1}\mu\text{m}^{-1}] \]

\[ L_d = \text{Diffuse irradiance, integrated from wavelength } \lambda_1 \text{ to } \lambda_2 \text{ [Wm}^2\text{sr}^{-1}\mu\text{m}^{-1}] \]

\[ L_r = \text{Irradiance reflected from the environment, integrated from wavelength } \lambda_1 \text{ to } \lambda_2 \text{ [Wm}^2\text{sr}^{-1}\mu\text{m}^{-1}] \]

\[ svf = \text{Sky view factor (0 - 1)} \]

In shaded areas the beam radiation is dismissed and the equation writes:

\[ L_{g,\text{shaded}}(x, y, \alpha) = L_d \cdot svf(x, y) + L_r(x, y) \] (58)

The expression of the diffuse irradiance was taken from Liu & Jordan (1963) and Hofierka & Šúri (2002).

The global irradiance is dependent on the illumination angle (the solar zenith relative to the Earth surface and azimuth angle relative to a given direction, here east of north) as seen in Equation (57). In case of horizontal surface this angle is simply the solar zenith angle. In case of an inclined surface, the illumination angle can be calculated as (Liu and Jordan 1963; Iqbal 1983; Chrysoulakis et al. 2004):

\[ \cos \alpha(x, y) = \cos \Theta_s \cdot \cos \Theta_n(x, y) + \sin \Theta_s \cdot \sin \Theta_n(x, y) \cdot \cos \{ \Phi_s - \Phi_n(x, y) \} \] (59)

\[ \Theta_s = \text{Solar zenith angle [°]} \]

\[ \Theta_n = \text{Terrain slope [°]} \]

\[ \Phi_s = \text{Solar azimuth angle [°], (East of North)} \]

\[ \Phi_n = \text{Aspect or topographic azimuth [°], (East of North)} \]
The terrain slope ($\Theta_n$) and the topographic azimuth ($\Phi_n$) have to be calculated separately. The topographic azimuth was estimated using a routine in the topographic modelling menu of the digital image analysis software package ENVI. The terrain slope could also be calculated in this menu; however, for the city surface model, the ENVI routine is not applicable, as it includes the building walls in its calculations and it does not consider small-scale changes. Therefore, a separate routine was developed, which accounts only for the slopes on the rooftops.

The irradiance reflected from the environment is calculated using following parameterisation:

$$L_r(x,y) = L_g(x,y) \cdot \rho_s(x,y) \cdot (1 - svf_{x,y}(60))$$

$$\rho_s = \text{Smoothed albedo of the Earth surface}$$

$$L_g = \text{Global irradiance, integrated from wavelength } \lambda_1 \text{ to } \lambda_2 \text{ [Wm}^2\text{-sr}^{-1}\text{-m}^{-1}]$$. Corresponds to the term $L_{g,\text{sunlit/shaded}}$ of Equation 57.

The reflected irradiance term stands for the radiation that is reflected by the environment of the pixel and is calculated iteratively. A first estimate of the global irradiance (equations 57 and 58) is used to calculate the reflected irradiance, which is then used to update the global irradiance. This process is repeated several times. The used albedo (the top of the atmosphere albedo) was smoothed first for usage in the adjacency-effects estimation.

The sky view factor describes the proportion of the upper hemisphere that is ‘seen’ from a pixel. The sky view factor ranges from 0 to 1. It is calculated by a routine, which is adapted from Dozier et al. (1981). First, the routine searches the limiting horizon angle for each pixel and for a given set of directions (36 directions resulting in degree steps of 10°). The angle is determined by simple trigonometry between the corresponding two pixels. For each pixel, a set of n angles, representing the circular horizon, is determined via this process. This dataset, consisting of number of pixels multiplied by the number of directions elements, is used later for the computation of shaded pixels. Secondly, the routine averages the horizon angles of each pixel. This average represents the horizon view factor (hvf), from which the sky view factor can easily be derived.

Shaded areas have to be determined firstly to calculate the irradiance in the spatial domain. This is done comparing the horizon angle in the direction of the sun azimuth to the solar elevation angle pixel by pixel. If the solar elevation angle is lower than the horizon angle, the pixel is marked as shaded.

3.1.4.3 Estimation of the irradiance terms

The estimation of the diffuse irradiance can be done using a parameterisation linking the clearness index $c$ (global irradiance/exo-atmospheric irradiance) with the diffuse fraction (diffuse irradiance/global irradiance). For example the parameterisation from Erbs et al. (1982) is

For $c < 0.22$

$$L_d = (1.0 - 0.09 \cdot c) \cdot L_g$$

For $c >= 0.22$ and for $c < 0.8$

$$L_d = (0.9511 - 0.1604 \cdot c + 4.388 \cdot c^2 - 16.638 \cdot c^3 + 12.336 \cdot c^4) \cdot L_g$$

For $c > 0.8$

$$L_d = 0.165 \cdot L_g$$

(61)

The beam and diffuse irradiance can then be computed following:

$$L_b = L_g - L_d$$

(62)

$L_d =$ Diffuse radiance, integrated from wavelength $\lambda_1$ to $\lambda_2$ [Wm$^{-2}$sr$^{-1}$μm$^{-1}$]

$L_b =$ Beam radiance, integrated from wavelength $\lambda_1$ to $\lambda_2$ [Wm$^{-2}$sr$^{-1}$μm$^{-1}$]

This parameterisation works well around noon but failed in the case of very low sun elevation angle, since in the early morning and the late afternoon the percentage of diffuse irradiance of the global irradiance is very high. Another parameterisation that works similar is from Maxwell (1987). What is more straightforward, is to take the diffuse irradiance values directly produced by the atmospheric transfer code, in this case MODTRAN (MODerate spectral resolution atmospheric TRANSmittance algorithm and computer model). MODTRAN was developed by AFRL/VS (The Air Force Research Laboratory, Space Vehicles Directorate) in collaboration with Spectral Sciences, Inc. (Berk et al. 1999). It calculates atmospheric transmission, atmospheric background irradiance, single-scattered solar and lunar irradiance, direct solar and lunar irradiation, and multiple-scattered solar and thermal radiance for any point in the atmosphere and for any path within the atmosphere for frequencies from 0 to 50,000 cm$^{-1}$.

However, recently the question arose as to whether MODTRAN overestimates the diffuse irradiance (see Halthore and Schwartz 2000; Henzing et al. 2004). Both studies compare in situ measurements of clear sky beam and diffuse irradiation with MODTRAN results, using accurate aerosol surface measurements for model input. While the beam irradiation was modelled well in both studies, the diffuse irradiation was overestimated, in average 25 Wm$^{-2}$ (Henzing et al. 2004). Despite this, MODTRAN results were used for this study. The conclusions from a comparison of MODTRAN results and in situ measured radiances presented in the following explain this choice. Figure 37a shows the global and the diffuse irradiation, measured at the MeteoSwiss station ‘Davos’ (an alpine station at 1,590 m asl) and ‘Payerne’ (a lowland station at 491 m asl) by CM21 sensor from Kipp and Zonen on 14 December 2001.

![Figure 37a](image1.jpg)  
Figure 37 a) Global and diffuse radiation measurement at Davos (solid line) and Payerne (dashed line) (source MeteoSwiss) b) Global irradiance during the course of a day. The solid line shows the results of the measurement using a CNR. The dashed line shows the modelling results using a mid-latitude winter atmosphere with no aerosol attenuation. In both figures the blue line shows the percentage of the diffuse irradiation or the irradiation in the street canyon versus the global irradiation.
The solid line shows data of the station ‘Davos’ on a clear and bright day. In Payerne, there must have been a few translucent clouds, reducing the solar irradiation. The diffuse irradiation amounts for 15, respectively 30% of the global irradiation at noon. This example points out that the diffuse irradiation is highly variable, depending on, besides altitudinal effects, the possible atmospheric compositions. The solid lines of Figure 37b show irradiation values measured by the Institute of Meteorology, Climatology and Remote Sensing of the University of Basel at the urban site ‘Sperrstrasse’, which is located inside the area of interest of this analysis (Rotach et al. 2005; Christen and Vogt 2004). Two radiation sensors were used for the measurements: a CNR1 from Kipp and Zonen on top of a tower, seeing only the upper hemisphere and a CM11 also from Kipp and Zonen, which was installed inside the street canyon and was shaded during the whole day on 14 December 2001. The dashed lines depict the modelled values, using the already-described procedures and MODTRAN at the same spots where the sensors were installed during the measurements. The MODTRAN standard winter mid-latitude atmospheric profile was used together with the ‘no aerosol attenuation’ option. The curves of course do not agree perfectly as the actual atmospheric profile is not known. However, they show that the parameterisation of the irradiation of the shaded areas lies in an acceptable range. Attention should be paid to the fact that the percentage of diffuse irradiance increases strongly with very low sun elevation angles.

In this paper, diffuse irradiances from MODTRAN are used despite the already-mentioned restrictions, as, firstly, MODTRAN considers the variable percentage of the diffuse part of the global radiation, and, secondly, the modelling does not reproduce real annual patterns, but does, however, assume a fixed standard atmosphere with no clouds. This assumption is necessary, as in optical remote sensing only clear and bright days can be used to estimate the surface albedo. To account for different states of the atmosphere the runs were performed fourfold with different aerosol models (option IHAZE in the MODTRAN model):

1. Urban aerosols, visibility 5 km
2. Rural aerosols, visibility 23 km
3. Only tropospheric aerosols, visibility 50 km
4. No aerosol attenuation

The first assumption stands for a very heavy loaded atmosphere, where the diffuse part of the global irradiance is very high. The third assumption represents extremely clear days with the diffuse part being very small. The second assumption is midway between the other two extremes and may account for most clear and sunny days in Basel. The fourth assumption assumes that there is no attenuation by aerosols. The modelled irradiances are applied then to equations 57–60 to distribute them in the spatial domain as described in the section Geometric relations.

The already-described approach of calculating spatial irradiance values is simple and applicable in large areas without requiring much CPU computing time. The spatial irradiance can be calculated easily for large areas to get input data for the modelling of the surface albedo. However, the simplifications of the reflection term may not be optimally suited for urban areas. The reflection term assumes that the surrounding pixels are all equally illuminated. Of course this is not the case as, on the contrary, there might be extremely illuminated and completely shaded walls, contributing to the intensity of the irradiation and therefore also influencing satellite derived albedo products. Their contribution is dependent on the pixel location. A better estimation can be given by ray-tracing models, which are far more complex and elaborate. The disadvantage of these models is the computing time. For large areas like the city of Basel, such an approach is not feasible yet.

In this paper, firstly the spatial distribution of irradiance in the urban area of Basel is analysed for a whole year and 8 times a day at 09:00, 10:00,

11:00, 12:00, 13:00, 14:00, 15:00, and 16:00 hours. For each time slot, the atmospheric transfer code MODTRAN was run and the global irradiance per wave number was extracted, which is the standard output of MODTRAN. Often satellite data are integrated irradiances per micron [Wm$^{-2}$sr$^{-1}$μm$^{-1}$], like, for example, the ASTER images, which are dependent on the spectral response of the sensor. Therefore, the MODTRAN output irradiances were initially converted from irradiances per wave number into irradiances per micron using the following relationship (Schläpfer and Odermatt 2006):

$$L_\lambda[Wm^{-2}sr^{-1}\mu m^{-1}] = v^2[(cm^{-1})^2] \cdot L_\nu[Wcm^{-2}sr^{-2}cm] = v^2 \cdot L_\nu[Wm^{-2}sr^{-1}\mu m^{-1}]$$

(63)

Then the irradiances belonging to band $i$ (here ASTER band 1) were convolved with the spectral response $r$ of the sensor for band $i$, according to the following expression:

$$L_i = \frac{\int L(\lambda) r(\lambda) d\lambda}{\int r(\lambda) d\lambda} \approx \frac{\sum_{n=\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} L(\lambda_n) r(\lambda_n) \Delta \lambda_n}{\sum_{n=\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} r(\lambda_n) \Delta \lambda_n}$$

(64)

The spectral response for the ASTER band 1 was first interpolated for each wave number using the values available from the ASTER homepage to fit them to the MODTRAN irradiance output. Secondly, an image with local albedos was created. The albedos were determined according to the land use of the pixel. The classes ‘roof’, ‘street’, ‘vegetation’ and ‘water’ were determined using the city-surface model and the NDVI (normalised difference vegetation index) from the QUICKBIRD image. This image refers to the local albedos as measured at the ground surface. For the roof class, the value of 0.15 was applied; for street, 0.07; for ‘vegetation’, 0.1; and for ‘water’, 0.05. Using this image, the reflected irradiance was estimated using the spatial irradiance and the local albedos. This reflected irradiance was later used for the assessment of the error in albedo estimation. The NDVI was calculated using band 3 and band 4 from QUICKBIRD. Attention should be paid to the fact, that the local albedos consider only the surfaces seen from nadir view. Other parts, which also form part of the city surface, like walls, are not included in this analysis.

Figure 38 Small section of the city surface model. It shows the incoming irradiance [Wm$^{-2}$sr$^{-1}$μm$^{-1}$] from 12th June 2001, convolved for ASTER band 1. The model was run with the rural standard atmosphere, 23km visibility.
The estimated albedos were computed as the ratio between the before mentioned reflected irradiances and one single value for the global irradiance: the irradiance on a horizontal plane. These modelled ‘regional’ albedos stand for the albedo as calculated using satellite images for input - not taking into account the spatial structure of the city.

3.1.5 Results

The results of this analysis are presented in two ways: first, the results of the spatial irradiance modelling is examined; and, secondly, the consequences of this modelling on the albedo estimation are presented.

3.1.5.1 Modelling of spatial irradiance

The spatial irradiance shows very strong spatial patterns in the urban area. The irradiance of shaded pixels consists only of the reflected and diffuse part, which is quite low, compared to fully sunlit pixels. Highest absolute differences between the pixels of the image are found on summer days, as the solar irradiation is very strong during this time. Very high differences are also found in winter when the sun altitude is very low and shadows are the largest. Figure 38 shows the spatial irradiance of a part of the city surface model on 12 June 2001 at the 8 time steps of modelling using the rural aerosol model of MODTRAN with visibility of 23 km. While the scenes around midday are very bright with only small parts shaded, the morning and early evening scene features long dark shadows. The images highlight the effect of inclined rooftops. Rooftops facing the sun are the brightest spots in the images, while the opposite rooftops have much lower irradiance values, even if they are not shaded. The average differences of the whole area of the city surface model depend on the time and day of the year.

Figure 39 shows the average differences of the modelled irradiance to the irradiance incident on a horizontal plane in a rural atmosphere, 23 km visibility - in percentage of the irradiance incident on a horizontal plane. The differences are defined as the absolute mean difference \( (MAD) \) and are calculated as follows:

\[
MAD = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \left( L_{g,i} - L_{g,\text{horizontal}} \right) \right|
\]

\[ (65) \]

\( MAD = \) Mean absolute difference

\( L_{g,i} = \) Global irradiance at \( i^{\text{th}} \) pixel, integrated from wavelength \( \lambda_1 \) to \( \lambda_2 \) [Wm\(^{-2}\)sr\(^{-1}\)μm\(^{-1}\)]

\( L_{g,\text{horizontal}} = \) Global irradiance on a horizontal plane, integrated from wavelength \( \lambda_1 \) to \( \lambda_2 \) [Wm\(^{-2}\)sr\(^{-1}\)μm\(^{-1}\)]

The strong annual dependency of the differences has the shape of a modified sinuous curve. In winter, in the hours around noon, the differences are highest, produced by a lower sun altitude and the long shadows. In summer, the differences are much smaller. Only in the morning and the evening hours (09:00 and 16:00), when the sun is even lower, the differences are lowest in winter. This is due to the strong increase in the percentage of diffuse radiation at very low sun altitudes as can be seen in Figure 38.

The differences are lowest in winter which is due to the strong increase in the percentage of diffuse radiation at very low sun altitudes. At all times, the annual courses show a faint zigzag pattern. This pattern is produced by the 1-m resolution of the city surface model. Each time, the curve slightly drops or rises, the modelled shadows from the buildings were extended or shortened about one pixel. Figure 39a shows the absolute mean difference \( (MAD) \) in percentage for the whole available city model, Figure 39b shows the error for a selected area of Basel (500×500 m), which is characterised by its dense housing. It is a larger area than the example in Figure 38, and shall document how the results are changing for a specific type of urban buildings. For these figures, the rural aerosol model, visibility 23 km, was used.
The magnitude of the MAD strongly depends on the applied aerosol model. While the MAD is minimal for the urban 5-km visibility model, it is maximal for highest visibility (no aerosol attenuation).

Table XV shows the annual MAD for the three models for each hour. Input for the data is the whole city model. For the densely built up area, the values are 1.2–1.3 times higher than for the whole city area.

The strong spatial pattern of the urban fabrics produces a high standard deviation for the already-mentioned MADs. The standard deviations of the MAD in irradiation estimation have similar magnitudes to the averages. For example, the two annual courses of 09:00 and 16:00 show highest standard deviations in summer but lower values in winter. All other curves have two peak maximums in spring and autumn and show a little depression in summer. All curves stay below 29.1% for the whole area and below 30.2% for the densely built-up urban area during the whole year (rural aerosol model, visibility 23 km). In the case of the ‘no aerosol attenuation model’, the numbers are 69.0%, and 67.0% respectively.

Table XVI addresses the different results produced by the four aerosol models. The lowest standard deviations are found at the lowest visibility (5 km), the highest at the highest visibility (no aerosol attenuation); however, here the differences between the small densely built up area and the whole city model are very low, only the values for the whole city model are given.

In the preceding tables and figures, the absolute mean is considered for the estimation of the error. This means that negative differences are treated as positive values in the calculation of the mean. There are two main sectors, where highest differences occur. The first sector is where shaded pixels appear. There, the modelled irradiance is much lower than the irradiance on a horizontal plane. A second much smaller sector includes the inclined rooftops, which are almost perpendicular to an imaginary roof-sun line. There, very high intensities occur which are higher than the irradiance on a horizontal plane. A major part of the pixels show only very small differences. They include horizontal areas like unshaded streets, horizontal roofs and green parks.

The normal means of the differences (MD), including positive and negative pixels, are lower than the absolute means over the whole course of the year. The two extreme sectors already-mentioned in the preceding paragraph partly cancel out each other. The MD range, in the case of 23-km visibility ranges from 11.1% (12.00) to 13.2% (15:00) for the whole area and from 23.9% (12.00) to 28.0% (15:00) for the densely built-up urban area. The standard deviations range from 23.3 to 25.0% for the whole area and from 28.4 to 32.3% for the densely built-up urban area. These lower mean errors imply that if the area that represents a pixel is large enough, even though the standard deviations are higher, the differences might be small enough to be ignored.

The MD is calculated as follows:

$$MD = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (L_{g,i} - L_{g \text{ horizontal}})$$ (66)
Figure 39 Percentage of absolute mean error in irradiance estimation of the irradiance on a horizontal plane with the rural aerosol model, visibility 23 km. a) From the whole city surface model, b) from a selected densely built-up area.

Table XV Absolute mean error (MAD) in irradiation [%] estimation using the four different aerosol models for the whole urban area. Annual mean values are given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time/Visibility</th>
<th>09:00</th>
<th>10:00</th>
<th>11:00</th>
<th>12:00</th>
<th>13:00</th>
<th>14:00</th>
<th>15:00</th>
<th>16:00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 km</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 km</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 km</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No aerosol attenuation</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>49.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table XVI Standard deviation of MAD in irradiation [%] using the three different aerosol models for the whole urban area. Annual mean values are given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time/Visibility</th>
<th>09:00</th>
<th>10:00</th>
<th>11:00</th>
<th>12:00</th>
<th>13:00</th>
<th>14:00</th>
<th>15:00</th>
<th>16:00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 km</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 km</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 km</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No aerosol attenuation</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>45.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This consideration leads to the next step of this analysis: a spatial aggregation series was produced to find the error, which is dependent on the pixel resolution. The spatial resolution of the original image is 1 m. Only integer multiples of the original resolution were used for the aggregation procedure. The aggregated images were downsampled in 1-m steps up to 100-m resolution. No effects of nonlinearity were considered in this process.

The MD (not the MAD) of the whole city surface model does of course not change with decreasing resolution. Figure 40 shows the MD averaged for the whole year at 11:00, 12:00, 13:00 and 14:00 hours. These are the hours where the sun position is still high enough that the diffuse part of the incoming solar radiation stays small. The MD stays at 23% for all the resolutions for the atmosphere with a visibility of 23 km. The standard deviation of the annual mean is higher and ranges from 27.9% at 2-m resolution to 5.0% at 100-m resolution. It decreases continuously while the pixel resolution gets coarser; however, the rate of decrease also gets lower with coarser pixel resolution. The annual course of the standard deviations of the MD reflects the position of the sun towards the houses. While in summer and winter the standard deviations are relatively small, they increase in spring and autumn. Similarly, the standard deviations decrease towards noon.

Figure 41 shows the standard deviation of the MD in irradiation estimation in percent of the irradiance on a horizontal plane during the annual course for all calculated points in time from 11:00 to 14:00 and for different resolutions for the rural aerosol model, 50 km visibility.

As already discussed, the standard deviations decrease with the spatial resolution getting coarser. The standard deviations level off at a spatial resolution of about 50 m. Resolutions coarser than 50 m cease to decrease in any significant amount.

3.1.5.2 Estimation of urban reflectance

Furthermore, the local albedos from the land use classes were compared to modelled regional albedos. These regional albedos were computed reversing the process of creating the reflection image; they are the ratio between the calculated reflected irradiance and one single value for the global irradiance - the irradiance on a horizontal plane. By computing the difference between the two albedos - local albedo minus modelled albedo - the error in albedo estimation can be evaluated.

It was found, that the MAD in albedo estimation ranges from 1.5 to 4.1% for the whole area and 1.7
to 5.3% for the densely built-up urban area, calculated for all times and days of the year and for all four atmospheric models. These values are relatively high, as the average albedo of the area of the city model is only 10.3%. This means that in the worst case scenario, the shading effect reduces the urban albedo by almost half of its value. It has to be considered that these percentages are albedo values, and not percentages of the original albedo - otherwise they would be considerably higher. Figure 42 shows the MAD in albedo estimation for the whole city surface model and from the selected densely built-up area for the rural model, 23 km visibility.

Figure 42 MAD in albedo estimation a) from the whole city surface model, b) from a selected densely built-up area. Visibility is 23 km.

Table XVII MAD in albedo estimation [%] using the four different aerosol models for the whole urban area. Annual mean values are given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time/Visibility</th>
<th>09:00</th>
<th>10:00</th>
<th>11:00</th>
<th>12:00</th>
<th>13:00</th>
<th>14:00</th>
<th>15:00</th>
<th>16:00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 km MAD</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 km MAD</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 km MAD</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>3.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No aerosol attenuation MAD</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 km Std Dev</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 km Std Dev</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 km Std Dev</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No aerosol attenuation Std Dev</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table XVIII Absolute mean error in albedo estimation [%] using the four different aerosol models for the densely built-up urban area. Annual mean values are given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time/Visibility</th>
<th>09:00</th>
<th>10:00</th>
<th>11:00</th>
<th>12:00</th>
<th>13:00</th>
<th>14:00</th>
<th>15:00</th>
<th>16:00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 km MAD</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 km MAD</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>3.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 km MAD</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No aerosol attenuation MAD</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 km Std Dev</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 km Std Dev</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 km Std Dev</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No aerosol attenuation Std Dev</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The annual means of the MAD in albedo estimation are given in Table XVII and Table XVIII. They reflect the same pattern as the MAD of the irradiance calculation: the better the visibility, the higher the error in the albedo estimation. In the small densely built-up area, the errors are again higher compared to the whole city area.

The standard deviations, also in Table XVII and Table XVIII, are almost as high as the MAD and reflect the high spatial variability of the urban fabrics. The MDs, including positive and negative pixels, over the whole course of the year are much lower. In the case of the 23-km visibility model, they range from 1.68% (12:00) to 1.91% (16:00) for the whole city area and 2.20% (12:00) to 2.59% (17:00) for the densely built-up area. However, standard deviations of MD show a significant annual and daily course as found for the MD of the irradiances.

Both the MAD and most of all the standard deviations decrease with a coarser resolution. The behaviour of the MAD over the course of the year is dependent on the aerosol model. While the urban model (visibility 5 km) shows a two-peak maximum in early spring and late autumn, the other models have highest differences in winter. The lower differences in winter in the urban aerosol model are due to the high proportion in diffuse irradiance. It diminishes the distinction between sunlit and shaded pixels. This high proportion is maximal in winter and superimposes the effect of shading. Also, the differences between the various resolutions are almost zero for the urban aerosol model, while it is maximal for the ‘no aerosol attenuation’ model (see Figure 43). In summer, when the MAD is lowest in all cases, it stabilizes at around 2%. The smoothing effect of the decreasing pixel resolution is best visible in wintertime.

The standard deviations show an undulating pattern, with maximum differences in spring and autumn, except for the ‘no aerosol attenuation’ model, where the highest differences occur in winter. All models show lower differences with coarser resolution, whereas this effect is as expected to be the lowest for the urban aerosol model (visibility 5 km). For the resolution of 100 m, the standard deviations are lower than 1% in all cases (Figure 44).

### 3.1.6 Summary and conclusions

Errors in the estimation of irradiance and albedo in an urban area were estimated based on a raster city model and MODTRAN runs. It was found, that the urban fabrics impose a great obstacle in estimating urban albedo from space, due to its diversification in global irradiance. A densely built-up urban area exhibits higher possible errors in the estimation of irradiance and albedo than a general urban area. Therefore, automatically an error arises when estimating the albedo from satellite images with a methodology that does not include any correction for the spatial distribution of the global irradiance.

Furthermore, it was found that the percentage of diffuse irradiance of the global irradiance plays an important role in the magnitude of the error. The higher the part of the diffuse irradiance, the lower is the projected error in the calculation of the albedo. Disregarding the difficulties in correcting satellite images for the atmospheric effects (modelling of path irradiance and transmissivity), it is preferable to estimate the urban albedo on a less clear, but still sunny day, as the diffuse irradiances dilute the sharp contrasts of the shadings.

Due to the shading, resulting from the urban geometry, it is optimal to use images, acquired at a time of highest possible sun-elevation angles. Therefore, the remaining error in albedo estimation in summer is much lower than in winter. In the basic case of 1-m resolution, the MAD (mean absolute difference) in albedo estimation was found to be in the range of 1.5 to 7.9% for the densely built-up urban area, as calculated from 11:00 to 14:00 hours each day of the year for all four atmospheric models.
Figure 43 MAD in albedo estimation from a selected densely built-up area. a) Urban aerosols, visibility 5km, b) rural aerosols, visibility 23km, c) only tropospheric aerosols, visibility 50km, d) no aerosol attenuation

Figure 44 Standard deviation of MAD in albedo estimation from a selected densely built-up area. a) Urban aerosols, visibility 5km, b) rural aerosols, visibility 23km, c) only tropospheric aerosols, visibility 50km, d) no aerosol attenuation
Considering that the assumed average albedo is 10.3% only, the MAD can be higher than half of the albedo value itself.

These differences decrease with coarser spatial resolution, as very strong illuminated surfaces such as sun-direction declined roofs compensate for part of the error resulting from the shaded surfaces. In an area where only flat roofs occur, this effect would not be present. In the case of the 100-m aggregated pixels, the MAD in albedo estimation in the densely builtup urban area was found to be much lower - in the range of 0.4 to 4.2% from 11:00 to 14:00 hours each day of the year for all four atmospheric models.
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ABSTRACT: In this analysis, a series of images from the ESA imaging spectrometer CHRIS on the technology demonstration satellite PROBA, taken in a row over Cairo on 17 February 2007 and 24 March 2008 at each five different viewing zenith and azimuth angles are analyzed to find the BRF (bidirectional reflection function) which is typical for urban areas in a developing Arab country. The results are only valid for these sun-sensor constellations, since only two scenes were analyzed. CHRIS/PROBA features 18 bands in the shortwave range. All bands were first destriped and then corrected for atmospheric influences using the radiative transfer model MODTRAN. Furthermore the images were geo-referenced using manually set pass points. The accuracy of the geolocation varies across the image. Generally pixels match well; however, in some areas there is a shift of one or two pixels. There is a clear dependency in the reflectances on the viewing geometry of the sensor. The regression coefficients \( r^2 \) from the regression between the reflectance and the viewing geometry are high for all bands in all urban areas. No distinct difference was found for high or medium dense housing.
3.2.1 Introduction

Urban surfaces differ from natural environments not only in their surface materials, but also in their diverse geometric forms, presented by blocks, houses, streets and open spaces. Measuring the reflected radiance of an urban area from space, the values of the resulting pixels are probably a mixture between variously illuminated surfaces and possible shading. Additionally, the albedo of roofs is mostly different from the albedo of walls. A side looking sensor is therefore measuring a different surface property than a nadir-viewing sensor. Considering pixel sizes in the mesoscale - like for example LANDSAT or ASTER data - these effects combine to an angular dependent reflection, referred to as ‘bidirectional reflection distribution function’ (BRDF). This function is not constant, but changes according to the sun zenith and azimuth angle. The BRDF effect was addressed for natural surfaces by many authors: Nicodemus (1970) described the bidirectional reflectance of diffusely scattering, homogenous surfaces. As the BRDF cannot be measured directly in practice (Schiefer et al. 2006), the bidirectional reflectance factor (BRF) is measured over finite solid angles.

Urban areas consist of a variety of materials and structures and don’t fulfil the criterion to be homogeneous. A direct measurement of the urban BRF is only possible in the microscale and was done for selected surface materials by Meister et al. (1996, 1998). Schiefer et al. (2006) deduced BRF functions for four pure urban classes from 4 m resolution HyMap images of Berlin. They stress the difficulties with mixed pixels in the classification and their interference in the correction of the brightness gradient. On the macroscale it is assumed that for an urban class with similar characteristics in type of construction and vegetation ratio a large-scale BRF might converge. Meister et al. (1999) analyzed this topic using up scaled airborne data over the city of Nuremberg, Germany and deduced BRDFs for the city of Nuremberg.

In this study the total reflectance and the large-scale BRF effect of Cairo shall be examined to determine the influence of the sensor’s view-angle and -direction and the illumination geometry to the urban reflectance.

3.2.2 Study area

The study area is located in Cairo, Egypt. Cairo is a strongly growing megacity, facing manifold problems like traffic congestion and air pollution (Robaa 2000). Housing in Cairo can be roughly divided into three classes. Firstly there are the very high density housing areas, where the spaces between the houses often just allow pedestrian walking. The houses are often of bad quality. Further there are other quarters which are built more spacious and belong to the richer parts of the population. Finally, there are huge areas of newly built houses in the outer quarters of Cairo, where the percentage of buildings in construction is high. In this study, only the first two classes will be considered.

3.2.3 Data

For this study, two scenes from the CHRIS (Compact High Resolution Imaging Spectrometer) instrument were used. CHRIS is a hyperspectral instrument on the technology demonstration satellite PROBA from ESA. Its objective is the collection of BRDF (Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function) data. PROBA was launched on 22 October 2001 from Sriharikota Island, India. It flies on an altitude between 570 and 670 km in a sun synchronous orbit. Its inclination is 97.9°. In Mode 1 (land) CHRIS features 18 bands between 400 and 1050 nm with a spatial resolution of 17 m at perigee. The swath width at nadir is 13 km. Main characteristic of the CHRIS data sets are the multi-angular acquisitions. The five targeted viewing angles are -55°, -36°, 0°, +36° and +55° (Cutter & Johns 2003, Guanter et al. 2005). Figure 45 shows the actual acquisition geometry of the two used scenes of 17 February 2007 and 24 March 2008 as extracted from the header information of the scenes.

Figure 45 Actual acquisition geometry of the CHRIS/PROBA scenes. Left: February 17, 2007. Right: March 24, 2008

All data were provided from the European Space Agency. Unfortunately CHRIS data are affected by horizontal and vertical striping due to errors in the alignment of the sensors in the construction of the instrument and thermal fluctuations during the orbit (Garcia & Moreno 2004). ESA provides a software tool for the correction of these effects (HDFclean V2). However, a faint vertical striping remains after the usage of the tool in most of the nadir-looking bands.

3.2.4 Methods

3.2.4.1 Atmospheric correction

Before analyzing the images, two correction steps were performed. Firstly, an atmospheric correction was performed on all bands using the radiative transfer model MODTRAN (MODerate resolution atmospheric TRANsmission (Berk et al. 1999)). As it is very difficult to obtain an exact estimation of the input atmosphere, the correction procedure was coupled with a statistical approach. Firstly, the path radiance and the transmissivity were estimated using radiosonde data from Helwan, which is south of Cairo, and the standard urban aerosol option as input atmosphere. The output was then convolved for each band width. The obtained path radiance and transmissivity were used to calculate the radiance at surface ($L_{BOA}$) according to formula (67) (Liang 2000).

\[
L_{TOA}(\lambda) = L_{P}(\lambda) + \tau(\lambda) \cdot \rho(\lambda) \cdot L_{BOA}(\lambda)
\]

$L_{TOA}$ = At-sensor radiance [Wm$^{-2}$sr$^{-1}$μm$^{-1}$]

$L_{P}$ = Path radiance [Wm$^{-2}$sr$^{-1}$μm$^{-1}$]

$L_{BOA}$ = Bottom of the atmosphere radiance [Wm$^{-2}$sr$^{-1}$μm$^{-1}$]

$\tau$ = Average atmospheric transmissivity

$\lambda$ = Band width

The path radiance is almost linear dependent on the reflectance of the Earth surface; therefore a reflectance-dependent path radiance was used for the correction of the image. This term was estimated from a linear relation obtained from two MODTRAN runs with the albedo being 0.1 and 0.3. This linear relation was then applied to a slightly modified top-of-the-atmosphere reflectance.

Subsequently, the surface reflectance was calculated from the radiance at surface and the global irradiance, which was also convolved for each band, using formula (68) (Wang et al. 2000).

\[
\rho(\lambda) = \frac{L_{BOA}(\lambda)}{L_{G}(\lambda)}
\]

$\rho$ = Reflectance

$L_{G}$= Global irradiance [Wm$^{-2}$sr$^{-1}$μm$^{-1}$]
Adjacency effects were corrected iteratively using formula 69 and 70 (Del Frate 2007):

\[
\rho^{(2)}(\lambda, x, y) = \rho^{(1)}(\lambda, x, y) + \frac{1}{l_{G}(\lambda, x, y)} \left( \rho^{(1)}(\lambda, x, y) - \bar{\rho}(\lambda, x, y) \right)
\]

\[
\bar{\rho}(\lambda, x, y) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n} \rho^{(1)}(\lambda, x, y)
\]

This procedure resulted in slightly too low reflectances. Therefore, the first guess of aerosol optical depth was iteratively reduced until only a small number of negative pixels were detected in the image. Figure 46 shows the spectral lines of three arbitrary chosen pixels of the scene of 17 February 2007 together with spectral lines from the ASTER JPL spectral library of similar land use (http://speclib.jpl.nasa.gov). The lines show a good agreement, considering, that only “similar” materials are compared. However, band 17 shows an overcorrection which might be due to radiometric calibration problems (Guanter et al. 2005). The scene of 24 March 2008 shows an analogue agreement, with exception of the vegetation curve that reaches only 30-40% reflectance in the near infrared. This lower reflection might be due to a different crop or a different growth phase of the crop.

3.2.4.2 Georeferencing

After the atmospheric correction, the images were georeferenced to the nadir viewing image with polynomial equations using ground control points. Table XIX shows the RMS (Root Mean Square) and the grade of the polynomials used. RMS is the distance of the georeferenced control point from the specified coordinate. While these RMS values correspond to the whole scene, only a subset of the scenes was used for the analysis. The agreement in the sub scene was even better.

3.2.4.3 Definition of land use classes

The analysis was done separately for five different land use classes: ‘high density housing’, ‘low density housing’, ‘agricultural fields’, ‘Nile water’ and ‘desert’. The classification was done manual, including only ‘known’ areas.

Figure 46 Spectral curves of three example pixels of the CHRIS scene of 17 February 2007 (nadir viewing)
### Table XIX RMS values and grade of the polynomials used for the georeferencing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>February 17, 2007</th>
<th>March 24, 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RMS</td>
<td>Grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs 2</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs 3</td>
<td>0.597</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs 4</td>
<td>1.226</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs 5</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Even though the georeferencing showed a good agreement, it was not possible to do a pixel-to-pixel comparison. Therefore, the analysis was done using a running average of each pixel. The running average was calculated with a kernel of 9x9 pixels, whereas only pixels with a surrounding of at least 65 valid pixels were considered. For the class ‘low density housing’, this resulted in 126/126 valid values for both scenes. For the class ‘high density housing’ the numbers were 137/80. The class ‘agricultural fields’ showed only 9/9 values, the class ‘Nile water’ 20/8 values and the class ‘desert’ 14/4 values. The main purpose of this study was laid on the urban classes, the others were included only for comparison.

### 3.2.5 Results

A clear dependence of the reflectance on the viewing geometry of the sensor was found. Figure 47 shows the mean reflectances of three cuts from the classes ‘high density housing’ and ‘medium density housing’ of all bands for the different viewing geometries. Observations 3 and 5 have the highest values, which can be explained by their close angular position towards the sun (”hot spot” effect). Lowest values are found for observation 2 and 4, being opposite to the sun. This finding is consistent with Begiebing & Bach (2004). The nadir viewing observation (obs 1) shows similar values like observation 2 and 4.

The class ‘Nile water’ only shows a slight angular dependence in the first few bands; afterwards the reflectances conform to each other. Furthermore the water spectra are almost flat. This is in agreement with Gatebeck et al. (2005), who analyzed sea water BRDF and found only weak BRDF effects. In case of the agricultural class, the observations almost merge in the red bands. This is the region where the chlorophyll has its absorption maximum. Begiebing & Bach (2004) found a similar behaviour for maize. Figure 48 shows band 3 (531.2 nm) of all land use classes for the different viewing angles on February 17, 2007. The yellow arrow depicts the sun zenith angle. Here again it becomes clear that reflectances are highest, when the sun is “in the back” of the sensor.

![Figure 47 Angular reflectances of the scene of February 17, 2007](image-url)
The running average reflectances, as explained in the last chapter, were compared to the zenith and azimuth angles of each acquisition and high correlations were found for both zenith and azimuth angles for both urban classes. The correlation coefficients $r^2$ range between 0.80 and 0.94 for the zenith angle and between 0.82 and 0.90 for the azimuth angle with the class ‘high density housing’ having slightly higher values than the class ‘medium density housing’. The class ‘desert’ shows similar good correlations like the urban classes. As expected, the class ‘Nile water’ shows in all cases decreasing $r^2$ values with increasing wavelength. Also the class ‘agricultural fields’ fails to show good correlations on 24 March 2008, especially in the red bands, where the reflectances almost merge. Due to this merging of values, no slope can be detected and the regression must fail. In case of the scene of 17 February 2008 a good correlation is found for the class ‘agricultural fields’ for all bands. Figure 49 shows these correlations with the zenith angle. However, the results of the class ‘desert’, ‘Nile water’ and ‘Agricultural fields’ must be treated carefully, as the basic sets for these classes are not big enough to deduct robust statistic. Figure 49 shows the $r^2$ values for the zenith and azimuth angles for both scenes.

### 3.2.6 Discussion

In this analysis, the angular reflectances of two CHRIS Proba scenes were analyzed regarding their dependence on the sensor view geometry. After the necessary atmospheric correction and georeferencing, selected pixels of different land use classes (‘high density housing’, ‘medium density housing’, ‘agricultural fields’, ‘desert’ and ‘Nile water’) were compared and regressions with the sensor zenith and azimuth angle performed.

For both urban classes, high correlation coefficients were found. But surprisingly the difference between the two classes was negligible, even though the geometry of the houses differed notably. The class ‘high density housing’ showed a slightly higher correlation than the class ‘medium density housing’. This might be due to the higher proportion of walls visible to the sensor. Walls are variously illuminated according to their exposition towards the sun. The desert class showed similar high correlation coefficients, whereas the classes ‘agricultural fields’ and ‘water’ showed weak correlations.

![Figure 48 All land use classes for the different viewing angles on 17 February 2007 (band 3)](image)
Two limiting factors might limit partly the quality of the results. The first factor is the limited accuracy of the georeferencing process. Even though a running average was used, the results might be skewed slightly. The second factor applies only for the classes ‘agricultural fields’ and ‘Nile water’, where the basic set was not big enough to deduce a proper statistic.

3.2.7 References


4 Summary and conclusions

4.1 Flux measurements in Cairo
The aim of this thesis was to estimate the surface energy budget of a remote city with highly contrasting surface features using satellite data, and determine the possibilities and constraints of such a remote sensing approach. Data from the high resolution radiometer ASTER on the sun-synchronous satellite TERRA were used in combination with other optical satellite data (MISR) and radar data as well (SRTM, ASAR). After a sophisticated atmospheric correction with MODTRAN and the estimation of the radiation budget, different approaches were used to determine the soil and turbulent heat fluxes for the times of over flights. The results of these calculations were compared to in situ measurements of three ground stations in Greater Cairo that were operated parallel to the acquisition window of ASTER from November 2007 to February 2008 (CAPAC field campaign). All components of the energy balance were measured along with wind direction and speed, air and soil temperatures and sampled as 30 minute, and one minute averages respectively. Additional to this work a study on the determination of the aerodynamic resistance to heat in an urban area using morphometric methods was done, supplementing the surface flux research using satellite images.

Further, two remote sensing studies on the determination of surface reflectance were conducted. Surface reflectance is probably the most important term in the radiation balance and was therefore thoroughly analyzed. The first study was dealing with the geometry effect on the albedo in urban areas; the second study analyzed directional effects over urban Cairo.

Besides the work on the surface energy balance, an additional study dealing with CO$_2$ fluxes and concentrations at an urban location was presented. The study used in situ data which were also taken in Cairo during the CAPAC field campaign. The study was conducted by Susanne Burri, a master student of the University of Basel. The resulting paper is included in this thesis to cover all aspects of CAPAC.

The analysis of the in situ measurements showed that the three stations differed in many measured variables. Due to diverse surface covers, high variations in roughness, surface albedo and soil moisture occurred. Even the incoming irradiation varied due to spatially changing levels of air pollution. All these contrasts led to different radiation budgets, soil and turbulent heat fluxes.

The solar irradiation showed highest values at the desert station, which was about 50 km away from central Cairo. This spoke for a less contaminated atmosphere outside the city. The agricultural station, at the rim of the suburban Cairo showed lower values. Lowest values however were found at the urban station, where the urban smog plume was probably most distinctive. This finding had a consequence on the atmospheric correction of the satellite images, where the absorbing and scattering effect of aerosols had to be considered. Assuming that the aerosol load and composition was not spatially homogeneous over the area, correction parameters had to be defined for each pixel separately.

It was found further that Cairo features a nocturnal urban heat island (UHI), with typically higher air and radiative surface temperatures in the city than in the surrounding stations. These nocturnal differences disappeared when south to southwest wind situations occurred. Probably the UHI was shifted to north. The UHI was much stronger, when radiative temperatures were used instead of air temperatures. The generally accepted finding, that urban areas better store heat than the rural surroundings was affirmed also in this study, even in the case of the dry, sandy desert surroundings.

The net radiation of the suburban-agricultural station was highest, while the suburban-desert station had the lowest values. This finding was caused by high differences found in the surface albedo. Mean surface albedo at the urban station was 0.21, at the suburban-agricultural station 0.15 and at the suburban-desert...
The surface albedo showed a slight diurnal pattern due to the measurement setup. Besides this common known feature, surface albedo changed also over the campaign time. While some features could be explained by rain and irrigation, a general dropping over the 3 month period could not be explained finally. As the surface albedo is the most prominent term in the net radiation, this finding suggests, that surface albedo should be regularly updated, when modelling the net radiation with or also without use of remote sensing data.

The measured soil heat flux consisted of two terms: the soil heat flux at depth $z$ and the heat storage above $z$. Latter was found to be a major contributor, showing extreme short-term fluctuations owing to rapid radiative surface temperature changes. Such changes cannot be observed by a remote sensing image and a soil heat flux retrieved from space can only represent some averaged flux as was shown in the successive remote sensing study.

In turn, the in situ measured turbulent heat fluxes are time-averages (here 30 minutes), which again cannot be observed by remote sensing approaches using an instant multispectral scene. As the in situ data are normally used for the calibration of the remote sensing methods, the remote sensing approach will always keep an error-level due to the different temporal resolution.

While at the urban and the suburban-desert station most of the available energy was going into the sensible heat flux, the latent heat flux dominated at the suburban-agricultural station. The Bowen ratio had a high daytime temporal variation, which suggests that a Bowen ratio method for the determination of turbulent fluxes from remote sensing images is only useful when calculating daily, weekly or even monthly means. The turbulent heat fluxes showed certain directionality, especially at the suburban-agricultural station. This directionality promotes the definition of source areas for the comparison with remote sensing data. When determining the energy balance with state of the art eddy covariance technique, the sum of the heat fluxes does not equal the net radiation, i.e. sometimes a considerable residual remains, as it did in the CAPAC campaign. The magnitude of this residual was too high to be ignored and had therefore to be redistributed on the other terms. Due to the uncertainty of this redistribution, an exact determination of heat fluxes is difficult and any comparison with such data must consider this fact.

As supposed from the findings of the field campaign, the atmospheric correction proved to be crucial for a successful estimation of the radiation and energy balance from the ASTER satellite images. A ‘best guess’ scenario estimated the albedo with 15.4% accuracy only, averaging all comparison points, while the ‘best fit’ scenario improved it to 9.8 %. Especially the estimation of the shortwave irradiance and irradiation was strongly influenced by varying levels of aerosol optical depth in the image. The longwave terms did not show such a high dependency on the atmospheric correction. The net radiation was estimated with 11.6% accuracy in the ‘best guess’ case and with 6.9 % in the ‘best fit’ case. These results are considered to be good and fit into the accuracy of the in situ instrument. However, in single cases the accuracy was less.

The soil heat flux was modelled as a product mainly from the net radiation and vegetation indices. Three approaches gave satisfactory results, when comparing the values to 30 minute averages of in situ measured data.

Using the LUMPS approach, the turbulent heat fluxes were calculated using different methodological combinations, including the two above mentioned scenarios of atmospheric correction, the different methods for the soil heat flux, and LUMPS parameter from literature or empirically retrieved from the field campaign data. All in all 17 possible combinations were used. The comparison with the in situ measured data included further three footprint models. Overall $MAD$ of the sensible heat flux of the urban station was $40 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ which is 21% of the mean in situ measured flux. At the agricultural station the overall $MAD$ was $33 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ (28%) and at the desert station it was $21 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ (14%). The respective values for the latent heat flux
were 28 Wm$^{-2}$ (58%), 65 Wm$^{-2}$ (35%) and 16 Wm$^{-2}$ (63%). The degree of homogeneousness seemed to influence the quality of the products, resulting in the desert station to show the best absolute agreement. But considering the relative high uncertainty of the in situ measurements themselves the general agreement of the satellite products and the in situ measurements is acceptable.

The ‘best fit’ option performed better than the ‘best guess’ scenario which is easily explained by the nature of the calculation approach of the ‘best fit’ option. The influence of the different methods for the soil heat flux was not clearly visible in the data, as probably other factors produced errors higher than their influence was. However, spatial analysis revealed that most reasonable results were given by the ‘Parlow/urban’ method or the two new approaches. Generally the literature parameters showed lower agreement than the empirically retrieved ones, while the spatial analysis showed, that only the ‘sectoral’ approach was able to reproduce expected spatial pattern. The latent heat flux was modelled better than the sensible heat flux in both empirical cases, which might be due to the fact, that the empirical parameters were retrieved from the formula for the latent heat flux. The application of the footprint models finally did not improve the agreement in most cases and is therefore not encouraged when comparing measurements with high uncertainty. Even though the LUMPS approach is promising, more research must be undertaken to determine accurate parameters, depending for example on the vegetation density, soil moisture and roughness.

The ARM approach was not calculated with all possible soil heat fluxes, but only with the ‘Parlow/urban’ method and the in situ measured values. But the two cases of atmospheric correction were applied and also there were two versions of spatial air temperatures. Overall MAD of the sensible heat flux of the urban station was 49 Wm$^{-2}$ which is 26% of the mean in situ measured flux. At the agricultural station the overall MAD was 10 Wm$^{-2}$ (8.6%) and at the desert station it was 52 Wm$^{-2}$ (33%). The respective values for the latent heat flux were 45 Wm$^{-2}$ (93%), 46 Wm$^{-2}$ (25%) and 49 Wm$^{-2}$ (215%). Naturally, the ‘best fit’ option performed better than the ‘best guess’ option and the application of the footprint model worsened the agreement. However, the spatial pattern of the ARM approach was realistic and probably better than the pattern produced by the LUMPS approach. The estimation of air temperature using the formula of Xu et al. (2008) however, lead to unrealistic spatial pattern.

The S-SEBI approach proved to be not suitable in the study area due to a detected high range of surface temperatures in the desert areas. Therefore this approach was not pursued further and no comparison was done with in situ measured values.

The analysis of CO$_2$ fluxes and concentrations revealed that despite of its high traffic density and its considerable share of old cars and other CO$_2$ emitters measured values were not as high as expected. The distance of the measurement instruments to the main CO$_2$ sources might explain this result. Average peak values of the CO$_2$ flux were around 15 μmolm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ and average peak concentrations in the morning were around 420 ppm. The CO$_2$ concentrations showed an average diurnal course with the maximum in the early morning as a result of the nocturnal stable boundary layer. The average diurnal course of CO$_2$ fluxes showed a single maximum peak between 1 and 3 pm, which is different from most previous studies, where often two rush-our peaks were observed. However, the single maximum peak fits to the observation of the main author of increasing traffic density until the afternoon. The influence of the traffic became evident on Fridays (Sabbath in Cairo), when the fluxes were considerably lower compared to the other weekdays. The investigation of different urban surfaces showed, that the vegetated area close to the measurement tower was able to reduce the CO$_2$ fluxes. Possible negative fluxes induced by photosynthesis, however, were superimposed by the overall CO$_2$ source of the city, and the CO$_2$ fluxes resulted therefore positive on
average. Regarding the CO$_2$ concentrations, the morning peak and the daily concentrations were considerably lower over the vegetated area.

Getting back to the ARM approach for calculating the sensible heat flux, an alternative way of determining the aerodynamic resistance for heat was investigated in a separate study. While in the main study the aerodynamic resistance was estimated from radar data, it was retrieved in this study from a digital surface model using morphometric methods. It was found, that the aerodynamic resistance can be modelled satisfactorily when using an empirical factor of -0.7 to -0.8 for $\alpha$ which is used for the calculation of the roughness length of heat. Three different morphometric methods for the calculation of the roughness length were compared (MA, BO and RA). While BO and RA delivered similar spatial aerodynamic resistances, the MA method yielded lower values in very dense areas. All three methods worked only, when a minimum roughness length of at least 0.02 was given. This study showed, that it is possible to determine satisfactorily the aerodynamic resistance over an urban area. Precondition is, however, the availability of a digital surface model, which limits the approach mostly to cities in developed countries to date.

4.2 Estimation of band reflectance using data from remote sensors

Two separate studies shed light on various aspects of the measurement of the urban albedo. In the first study, it was analyzed what impact the urban roughness imposes on the irradiance and the surface albedo, using a digital surface model of the city of Basel and radiative transfer modelling. It was shown, that the omission of a surface model induces a considerable error in the determination of the two terms, mainly due to shadow effects. The error found was in the range of 0.015 to 0.079 for a densely built-up urban area, calculated for the hours 11:00 to 14:00, for all days of the year and for four different atmospheric models and 1m pixel resolution. The error was not only dependent on the sun altitude, but also on the compactness of the urban elements. In densely-built quarters the error was higher than in less dense areas. Further the composition of the atmosphere influences the accuracy. In highly contaminated atmospheres, the percentage of diffuse irradiance is high, leading to lower contrasts between shaded and sunlit pixels and therefore to a lesser impact of the casted shadows. It was further shown, that the error decreased when increasing the pixel size. With a 100 m pixel resolution, the above mentioned error would range from 0.004 to 0.042 only. The geometry effect certainly played a role in the determination of the urban surface albedo estimated from the ASTER data. However, due to the high level of air pollution the effect must have been minimized for the given sun altitude and housing density. A maximum error of 0.02 is estimated for the urban station. Also the in situ measurement is composed of a mixture of differently illuminated surfaces, but the weighting of the single surfaces is different due to different pixel sizes and viewing angles. The comparison of remote sensing pixels with in situ measurements is certainly biased, but probably will be less than 0.02.

Besides this geometry effect remotely sensed surface reflectances are subject to a dependency on the acquisition angle (BRDF effect). To show this effect for Cairo, two CHRIS Proba scenes were analyzed to show differences in the urban reflectance of different viewing angles. Surface reflectances from scenes with an angular position close to the sun had the highest values (“hot spot” effect). Scenes that were taken opposite the sun direction were lowest. The highest difference of two such extreme observations for the mean reflectances of all bands is 0.17 for the urban test cases. The almost nadir viewing observation had medium reflectances in comparison with all scenes. This effect was found for all bands of CHRIS Proba, but to be almost independent on the housing density. The mean reflectance of all observations was higher than the almost nadir viewing observation. The difference of the mean reflectance of all observations and the reflectance of the almost nadir viewing observation is 0.01 and 0.02 for the urban test cases. As the ASTER
satellite is acquiring almost nadir-viewing, it is supposed that the resulting albedo is slightly underestimated. The albedo is used for the estimation of the net radiation, determining the part of the irradiation which is reflected back to space. Thereby mainly the direction of the incoming irradiation is important, where the reflectance was shown to be higher than that of the nadir-viewing observation. The difference between the almost nadir-viewing reflectance and those sensed with zenith and azimuth angles close to the sun’s angles was 0.09. This relatively high number would imply, that the reflected radiation in the ASTER analysis was underestimated and consequently net radiation overestimated. In fact the urban net radiation was overestimated in the ‘best guess’ case in two of three cases. However, the study on the geometry effect has shown, that this effect is highly dependent on the percentage of diffuse radiation. Therefore it is difficult to give a correct estimate of the overestimation in net radiation for the used ASTER scenes.

4.3 Concluding remarks
The work on the energy balance in Cairo, Egypt has shown many interesting aspects of a megacity in a heterogeneous landscape. While the in situ measurements provided insight in three respective microclimates, the remote sensing approach examined spatial aspects. The heterogeneity of the landscape proved to be the major challenge for the used approaches and indicated the thematic areas, where more research is needed. Amongst these areas is the spatial distribution of atmospheric parameters for a better atmospheric correction, more accurate ways of determining the LUMPS parameter and the provision of digital surface models in developing countries for better estimates of urban albedo and aerodynamic resistance using morphometric methods.
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