The Regulatory Complex of Drosophila melanogaster 26S Proteasomes: Subunit Composition and Localization of a Deubiquitylating Enzyme
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Abstract. Drosophila melanogaster embryos are a source for homogeneous and stable 26S proteasomes suitable for structural studies. For biochemical characterization, purified 26S proteasomes were resolved by two-dimensional (2D) gel electrophoresis and subunits composing the regulatory complex (RC) were identified by amino acid sequencing and immunoblotting, before corresponding cDNAs were sequenced. 17 subunits from Drosophila RCs were found to have homologues in the yeast and human RCs. An additional subunit, p37A, not yet described in RCs of other organisms, is a member of the ubiquitin COOH-terminal hydrolase family (UCH). A analysis of EM images of 26S proteasomes-UCH-inhibitor complexes allowed for the first time to localize one of the RC’s specific functions, deubiquitylating activity.

The masses of 26S proteasomes with either one or two attached RCs were determined by scanning transmission EM (STEM), yielding a mass of 894 kD for a single RC. This value is in good agreement with the summed masses of the 18 identified RC subunits (932 kD), indicating that the number of subunits is complete.
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Introduction

In eukaryotic cells, the vast majority of cytosolic and nuclear proteins are degraded via the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway (Rock et al., 1994). Through a sequence of activating and ligating events, ubiquitin is covalently attached to proteins destined for degradation (for recent reviews see Varshavsky, 1997; Hershko and Ciechanover, 1998; Scheffner et al., 1998). Proteins carrying multiquitin tags are selected by the 26S proteasome and degraded in an ATP-dependent process (Coux et al., 1996; Rechsteiner, 1998). The 26S proteasome is a large molecular machine built from ~30 different subunits that has an estimated molecular mass of 2,000–3,000 kD. Two major components jointly form the 26S (or more accurately, the 30.3S) complex: the barrel-shaped proteolytic core complex (the 20S proteasome) and the regulatory complexes (RCs), which associate with either one or both ends of the core complex (Peters et al., 1993; Yoshimura et al., 1993; see also Fig. 1).

Whereas the structure and enzymatic mechanism of the 20S proteasome have been studied in great detail (for recent reviews see Baumeister et al., 1998; Bochtler et al., 1999; Voges et al., 1999), current understanding of the structure and function of the RC is lagging behind. The RCs serve to recognize proteins carrying multiquitin tags and to prepare them for degradation in the 20S proteolytic complex. The preparatory steps involve the binding of the ubiquitylated substrates, their deubiquitylation, the unfolding of the substrates, and finally, their translocation into the 20S complex (Lupas et al., 1993; Rubin and Finley, 1995). Substrate unfolding is required because admission to the active site chamber inside the 20S complex is restricted to unfolded polypeptide chains (Wenzel and Baumeister, 1995). A the heart of the RCs is an array of

RC, regulatory complex; STEM, scanning transmission electron microscopy; Suc-LLVY-AMC, Succinyl-Leu-Leu-Val-Tyr-AMC; Ub-Al, ubiquitin COOH-terminal aldehyde; Ub-AMC, ubiquitin COOH-terminal AMC; UBP, ubiquitin-specific processing protease; UCH, ubiquitin COOH-terminal hydrolase.
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A TPases, members of the A A A family (Confalonieri and Duguet, 1995; Beyer, 1997), which act as reverse chaperones (Braun et al., 1999; Strickland et al., 2000). RCs of prokaryotic 20S proteasomes appear to have only a single type of A A A -ATPase (Wolff et al., 1998; Zwickl et al., 1999), which form homohexameric rings, whereas in eukaryotic RCs, six paralogs are found that are believed to assemble into heterohexameric rings. The hallmark of all proteasomal ATPases is an NH2-terminal coiled-coil domain (Lupas et al., 1993; Riechsteiner, 1998). In both proteasomes and other self-compartmentalizing protein degradation machines, the proteases and the ATPases form colinear assemblies (Lupas et al., 1993; Zwickl et al., 2000). Thus, the ATPases are well placed to unfold substrates and control the gates that give access to the proteolytic compartments (Larsen and Finley, 1997). Beyond the ATPases, little is known about the roles of the other ~12 subunits of the RCs (for recent reviews see Tanaka and Tsunumi, 1997; Voge et al., 1999).

Structural studies with 26S proteasomes are hampered by the low stability of the complexes, which tend to dissociate into various subcomplexes. It has been shown previously that Drosophila melanogaster embryos provide a rich source of 26S proteasomes (Udvardy, 1993) and yield preparations that are sufficiently homogenous for structural studies (Walz et al., 1998). To prepare the grounds for an in-depth structural analysis of the Drosophila RC, we sought to establish a catalog of all its subunits; to assess the completeness of this catalog, we have performed quantitative mass analysis using scanning transmission EM (STEM). In the course of these studies, we identified a novel subunit that turned out to be a deubiquitylating enzyme, p37A. Taking advantage of a nonhydrolyzable substrate analogue, ubiquitin COOH-terminal aldehyde (Ub-A), we have been able to map its location within the complex providing new insights into the sequence of events en route to substrate degradation.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials**

Chemicals and chromatography resins for protein purification were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, A mersham Pharmacia Biotech, Merck, Bio-Rad, and Quiagen. Enzymes for DNA restriction and modification were obtained from New England Biolabs, Inc. and Stratagene. Oligonucleotides for PCR reactions were synthesized on an Applied Biosystems 380A DNA synthesizer.

**Isolation of 26S Proteasomes from Drosophila melanogaster Embryos**

26S proteasomes were purified as described previously (Udvardy, 1993; Walz et al., 1998). In brief, 0–16-h Drosophila embryos (Y yellow white strain) were collected at 25°C from feeding plates. After decortication and homogenization, the extract was clarified by centrifugation and nucleic acids were removed by precipitation with 10% streptomycin sulfate. The supernatant was fractionated with hydroxyapatite in a batch procedure, followed by anion-exchange chromatography (diethylaminoethyl cellulose, DE52, Whatman) and sucrose density gradient centrifugation (15–40% sucrose). At all stages, fractions were tested for their ability to hydrolyze Succinyl-Leu-Leu-Val-Tyr-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin (Suc-LLVY-AMC, Bachem), and only fractions containing the peaks of activity were used for further purification. Protein concentrations were determined using the BioRad protein assay with BSA as standard.

**Protein Gel Electrophoresis and Immunoblotting**

SDS-PAGE was performed using 12.5% separating and 3.5% stacking gels as described by Laemmli (1970). Protein samples were resolved by nondenaturing PAGE using a modification of the method described by Hough et al. (1987). The resolving gels were 4.6% acrylamide (37.5:1), 2.3% sucrose, 90 mM Tris, 80 mM borate, 0.08 mM EDTA, pH 8.3, polymerized with 0.04% ammonium persulfate and N,N,N′,N′-tetramethyl-ethylene diamine. The nondenaturing stacking gels contained the same buffer, but only 3.1% acrylamide (4:1). The proteins were subjected to electrophoresis in 90 mM Tris, 80 mM borate, 0.08 mM EDTA, pH 8.3, for a total of 800 V-h (50 V for 16 h) at 4°C. A fter electrophoresis, peptidase activity was detected by overlaying the gels with 100 μM Suc-LLVY-AMC in 5 mM MgCl2, 10 mM KCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 30 mM Tris, pH 8.0, for 1 h at 37°C. Proteasome bands were visualized by exposure to UV light (360 nm) and photographs were taken before staining with Coomassie blue. Alternatively, proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes by semidry blotting. Blots were treated with antibodies and antigen-antibody complexes were visualized by using alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG antibodies, following standard procedures (Sambrook et al., 1989). For two-dimensional (2D) gels, 50 μg of purified 20S or 26S proteasomes were concentrated by the use of Nanosep™ microconcentrators (Pall Filtron) and resuspended in 100 μl of loading buffer (9 M urea, 4% CHAPS, 40 mM Tris, and 0.025% Bromphenol blue). Separation in the first dimension was performed with 13-cm Immobiline Dry Strip Gels (A mersham Pharmacia Biotech) using a linear pH gradient from 3–10. After focusing for 66,500 V-h, the strips were positioned over a vertical SD S-polyacrylamide slab gel made of a 5% stacking and 12.5% separating...
Table 1. Identification of the Drosophila RC Subunits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot*</th>
<th>Peptide sequence†</th>
<th>EST cDNA clone ID</th>
<th>GenBank‡</th>
<th>Protein§</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>VATAVLSAARQK</td>
<td>LD11427</td>
<td>p110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>TGTEKLEKKPLL</td>
<td>LD09542</td>
<td>p97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>TNDTAGANVDE</td>
<td>M63010</td>
<td>p58</td>
<td>(Dox-A2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>APQETYADIGGLD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>GVLYGPPTGK</td>
<td>U39303</td>
<td>p56</td>
<td>(Sa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>TGLTPVDSSAA</td>
<td>LD07018</td>
<td>p55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SSVBIRTHEQAQNEK</td>
<td>LD22987</td>
<td>p50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>EFIEEVEYK</td>
<td>GM02119</td>
<td>p48A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>FVVELADVSAP</td>
<td>LD17074</td>
<td>p48B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>QVQNETGI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>O** YLIEEGG</td>
<td>GH10329</td>
<td>p42A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>N EQQILQQEQLQK</td>
<td>LD20236</td>
<td>p42B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M XXVNEREDQ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>H VPDSYEMYGGLDK</td>
<td>U97538</td>
<td>p42C</td>
<td>(DUG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>J IELQLLQVVAE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>I SLOSLIGVQGCVVL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>K HGEIDEAYVK</td>
<td>LD04678</td>
<td>p42D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>G AERISIF</td>
<td>LD17530</td>
<td>p39A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>E PSEQISVNYK</td>
<td>M64643</td>
<td>p39B</td>
<td>(mov34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>C FCQCDFDPYK</td>
<td>LD02040</td>
<td>p37A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>B MTEPQCAIK</td>
<td>CK01641</td>
<td>p37B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>A IFOQAK</td>
<td>LD13866</td>
<td>p30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Proteins were resolved by 2D gel electrophoresis as shown in Fig. 2. The three largest subunits (p110, p97, and p58) were separated by 1D SDS-PAGE; p55 was identified on a 1D BAC/SDS gel.

†Peptides are either NH$_2$-terminal or internal sequences as obtained in Materials and Methods. X stands for unassigned residues. For all peptides, assigned residues were in complete agreement with amino acid sequences deduced from Drosophila genomic sequences.

‡Determined by a Blastp search of the Drosophila genome (Berkeley Drosophila genome project) with the shown peptide sequences.

§Proteins were named according to their apparent mass on 1D SDS-gels (Harasawa and Udvardy, 1996). Five genes, which encode RC subunits, have been characterized previously; their original names are provided within parentheses.

¶Spot U was not sequenced, but identified by immunoblotting as p54.

**Spots O and P were not consistently present 2D gels and could not be sequenced. Digests of protein bands on 1D SDS gels in the molecular weight region of 42 kD revealed a peptide sequence in agreement with the Drosophila genomic sequence for p42A. It is assumed that one or both spots correspond to p42A.

Whether the p37A activity was inhibited by Ub-A1 (BioTrend), protein samples were incubated with different concentrations of Ub-A1 before the addition of Ub-A MC.

Ubiquitinaldehyde–Colloidal Gold Conjugate

Colloidal gold particles (3.5 nm), prepared by the method of Slot and Geuze (1989), were used with minor modifications. In brief, 80 ml of 0.012% Na$_2$UC$_6$ in water and 20 ml of 0.25% tannic acid, 0.2% sodium citrate, and 1 mM potassium carbonate were heated to 60°C and rapidly mixed. The gold colloids were formed in two steps and no additional purification was needed.

Thiol groups were introduced into Ub-A1 by modifying the side chain of lysine residues. Ub-A1 (1.3 μg) and 2-mercaptoethanol (3 μg) in 20 μl of 50 mM trithiolan amine, pH 7.3, were incubated for 6 h at room temperature. 15 μl of the thiolated Ub-A1 solution was mixed with 100 μl of freshly prepared colloidal gold solution, incubated for 8–12 h at 4°C, and centrifuged for 10 min at 80,000 g (A irufe; Beckman Coulter). The soft pellet was resuspended in 15 μl of distilled water.

Electron Microscopy

2 μl of purified 265 proteasomes (0.2 mg/ml) was incubated with 1 μl of gold-labeled Ub-A1 and 2 μl of 20 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.2, for 7 min and applied to 100 × 400 mesh copper grids, which had been coated with carbon and glow-discharged in a plasma cleaner, for 45 s. A after blotting and removing the sucrose with Tris buffer (20 mM), the preparation was nega-
tively stained with 2% aqueous uranyl acetate for 45 s. Electron micrographs were recorded digitally (Photomicroscopy, high-speed camera, ×1024 pixels) at 120 kV using a CM-12 transmission electron microscope (Philips) at 120 kV accelerating voltage.

**Results**

The last step of proteasome purification, the sucrose density gradient centrifugation, yielded two peaks with high peptidase activity, capable of hydrolyzing the fluorogenic peptide, Suc-LLVY-AMC. The active fractions giving rise to each peak were analyzed by SD-PAGE (Fig. 2) and non-denaturing PAGE (Fig. 2). Fraction 10, with ~22% sucrose, was the first fraction of peak 1 and contained pure 20S proteasomes. Fractions 13, 14, and 15 also contained 20S proteasomes, but these were contaminated with a high molecular weight protein that appeared similar to GroEL on electron micrographs. Fractions 16–20, from the second activity peak corresponding to ~26–28% sucrose, showed a pattern characteristic of 26S proteasomes on SDS gels. Under native conditions, the Drosophila complex separated into four bands, similar to the pattern observed with mammalian and yeast proteasomes (Hoffman et al., 1992; Glickman et al., 1998a). Fluorogenic peptide overlays showed, besides 20S proteasomes, two slower migrating species; for yeast proteasomes these species were identified as complete 26S proteasomes (with RCs capping both ends of the 20S proteasome, i.e., 20S-RC2) and 26S proteasomes capped only at one end (i.e., 20S-RC1; Glickman et al., 1998a; Fig. 3a). The coexistence of two distinct forms of the proteasome has been shown previously by EM (Peters et al., 1993; Fujinami et al., 1994; Walz et al., 1998). To determine which bands contained the RCs, the protein complexes in fraction 19 were electrophoretically separated from the native gels onto nitrocellulose membranes and probed with several MAbs (directed against various subunits of the RC and the 20S proteasome. Fig. 3c, lane 1, shows immunoactivity with M3/50, an antibody directed against the RC subunit p39A, and lane 2 shows immunoactivity with V. D 5, an antibody directed against the 20S proteasome. Several other antibodies were used to verify the assignment (data not shown). These results lead to the identification of the four resolved complexes from top to bottom as follows: 26S (20S-RC2), single 26S (20S-RC1), Rcs, and 20S core particles, as indicated in Fig. 3.

**Subunit Composition of the RC**

As reported previously and illustrated by Fig. 2, Dro-
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The proteins in the higher molecular mass range, assigned to the RC, yield >20 distinct spots with pIs between 4.8 and 8.5. These spots were analyzed further by amino acid sequencing. Since no distinct spots could be detected for the three largest subunits, p110, p97, and p58 on the 2D gels, these proteins were sequenced from a 1D SDS gel and could be unambiguously identified. Whereas p97 showed one to correspond to Rpn5/p55; no corresponding spots were found for several subunits (see Fig. 4 and Table I), spot L may correspond to p42D, as do spots J and K. The partially unsatisfactory resolution of the above electrophoretic system prompted the use of another gel system, 16-BA C/SD S-P A GE 2D gel electrophoresis (Hartinger et al., 1996). This gave a better separation, yielding some additional spots. Sequence comparison showed one to correspond to Rpn5/p55; no corresponding spot was found on conventional 2D gels. In the 42-kD region, no additional proteins were detected with the 16-BA C/SD S-P A GE 2D gel system.

A large number of the peptide sequences obtained were in complete agreement with the amino acid sequences deduced from the Drosophila genome (Table I). Only one spot above the 20–30-kD range turned out not to be an RC subunit; this protein was identified as Pros35, an α-type subunit of the 20S proteasome. Spot U was not sequenced, but clearly corresponded to Rpn5/p55; no corresponding spot was found on conventional 2D gels. In the 42-kD region, no additional proteins were detected with the 16-BA C/SD S-P A GE 2D gel system.

A total of 18 distinct RC subunits were identified (Table II). Accordingly, the six ATPases of the AAA family (Confalonieri and Duguet, 1995; Beyer, 1997), which are integral components of all RCs investigated to date (DeMartino et al., 1994; Dubiel et al., 1995; Glickman et al., 1998a), are also present in the Drosophila RC. As their yeast counterparts, the six ATPases from Drosophila embryos were separated in the first dimension by isoelectric focusing (IEF) with an immobilized pH gradient from 3 to 10. Next, proteins were resolved in the second dimension using a 12.5% polyacrylamide SDS gel and stained with Coomassie blue. 26S proteasomes resolved by 1D SD S-P A GE were used as molecular weight marker and the bands were named according to the procedure of Haracska and Udvardy (1996). All spots marked with a capital were identified by peptide sequencing with the exception of spot L, which could not be sequenced, and spot U, which was identified by immunoblotting (for details see Table I and Results). Low molecular weight proteins (not indicated separately) belong to the 20S core complex. With one exception, Pros35, they were not identified by peptide sequencing.
Table II. Subunit Composition of the Drosophila RC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Accession*</th>
<th>AA MW ‡</th>
<th>Yeast § Identity</th>
<th>Human ‡ Identity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p110</td>
<td>AF145303</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>Rpn2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p97</td>
<td>AF145304</td>
<td>919</td>
<td>102.3</td>
<td>Rpn1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p58</td>
<td>M63010</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>Rpn3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p56</td>
<td>U39303</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>Rpn2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p55</td>
<td>AF145315</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>Rpn5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p54</td>
<td>S79502</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>Rpn10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p50</td>
<td>AF145305</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>Rpn5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p48A</td>
<td>AF145306</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>Rpn3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p48B</td>
<td>AF145307</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>Rpn1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p42A</td>
<td>AF145308</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>Rpn7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p42B</td>
<td>AF145309</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>Rpn6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p42C</td>
<td>U97538</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>Rpn6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p42D</td>
<td>AF145310</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>Rpn4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p39A</td>
<td>AF145311</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>Rpn9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p39B</td>
<td>M64643</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>Rpn8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p37A</td>
<td>AF145312</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>UCH11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p37B</td>
<td>AF145313</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>UCH11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p30</td>
<td>AF145314</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>Rpn12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Genbank/EMBL/DDBJ accession number.
‡Molecular mass (kD) and pI were theoretically determined by the use of GENETYX-MAC 8.0.
§Unified nomenclature for the yeast RC subunits proposed by Finley et al. (1998).

†Identities were judged by Ψ-Blast searches using default parameters (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/psiblast.cgi) was performed to search for proteins related to p37A. The identified proteins were aligned using the CLUSTAL X Multiple Sequence Alignment Program (version 1.63b; Thompson et al., 1997). UCH37 (GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession # A A D 31528), BAP1 (GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession # F 0 4 5 5 8 1), and p37A (GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession # F 1 5 4 3 1 2) are more closely related to each other than to the other UCHs (A P U C H, GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession # A B 5 2 4 1 0; UCH-D, GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession # P 3 5 1 2 2; UCH-L1, GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession # P 0 9 9 3 6; UCH-L3, GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession # P 1 5 3 7 4; and U Y H 1, GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession # P 3 5 1 2 7). With a gap of ~400 amino acids, the COOH-terminal region of BAP1 (residues 640–716) is again homologous to p37A and UCH37. Residues conserved among p37A, UCH37, and BAP1, as well as the other five proteins are shown in reverse type. The active site residues (denoted with arrows) are conserved in all eight proteins.

p37A, A Novel Subunit of the RC

One of the spots on the 2D gels yielded the protein sequence FCQCFDPYNK, and sequencing the corresponding EST cDNA clone, LD02040, revealed the existence of an open reading frame of 972 bp, which encodes a previously unreported protein of 324 amino acids, p37A. The predicted molecular mass of p37A, 37.672 kD, matches its mobility on SDS-PAGE gels. The predicted pI of 5.12 is slightly more acidic than that observed on 2D gels (Fig. 4). Drosophila p37A has no homologues among the yeast RC subunits. However, a database search revealed homologues in the human, murine, and bovine genomes, of which the human was identified as a RC subunit (Xu, W., and R.E. Cohen, personal communication). p37A is a member of the ubiquitin COOH-terminal hydrolase (UCH) family (Fig. 5; Wilkinson, 1997). UCHs form a class of thiol proteases, which remove thiols, amines, peptides, and small proteins from the COOH terminus of ubiquitin (Wilkinson, 1997). They are characterized by a 210-amino acid catalytic domain with four highly conserved sequence blocks, containing the four active side residues. Interestingly, p37A is closely related to human BAP1 (Jensen et al., 1998). BAP1 is a nuclear protein of 82 kD, showing significant homology to the UCHs in its 240 residue NH2-terminal domain. The COOH-terminus of BAP1 is predicted to fold into a helical (possibly coiled-coil) structure, which may interact with the RING finger domain of the breast/ovarian cancer susceptibility gene product, BRCA1 (Jensen et al., 1998). Although the COOH-terminal parts of p37A (residues 252–322) and BAP1 (residues 640–716) are similar (32% identity), a coiled-coil structure for p37A is not predicted when the same algorithm (Lupas et al., 1991) is applied to the sequence.

STEM Mass Mapping

It is notoriously difficult to assess the completeness of subunit catalogs of large macromolecule assemblies by 2D electrophoresis. Therefore, it is useful to determine experimentally the mass of structurally distinct subcomplexes, such as the RC, and to compare this with the summed sequence-derived mass of their subunits. Mass mapping by...
STEM (Engel et al., 1982) allows such measurements to be made with remarkable accuracy.

Images recorded for mass measurement from unstained 26S proteasome samples showed the preparations to be heterogeneous. Heterogeneity was not reduced by glutaraldehyde fixation. However, the bone-shaped side-view projections, typical of symmetrical 26S (20S-RC$_2$), could be clearly distinguished from the wedge-shaped projections of asymmetrical 26S (20S-RC$_1$) complexes. In addition, there were many ring structures with the characteristic signature of 20S proteasome particles viewed end-on, as well as less dense, almost circular projections. Since their high scattering allowed an unambiguous visual identification, the 20S proteasomes served as a convenient internal mass standard. The mass was set to 721 kD (see Materials and Methods). In this way, both slight mass differences arising from the sample preparation techniques employed, and the inherent beam-induced mass loss, could be accurately accounted for.

Pooled data sets from the four experiments were displayed in histograms and were Gaussian curve fitted (Fig. 6). The low standard deviation of ± 55 kD of the 20S proteasome particles (n = 3,853; SE = ± 0.9 kD; Fig. 6 b) illustrates the high quality of the calibration, yielding almost exactly the SD value expected (~50 kD) from background fluctuations for the small selection box size used. The mass of the wedge-shaped species, 20S-RC$_2$, was found to be 1,623 ± 155 kD (n = 2,003; Fig. 6 c). The mass of the 20S-RC$_1$ complexes was 2,508 ± 196 kD (n = 286; Fig. 6 d). The population of small particles, with lower scattering power than the 20S proteasomes' almost circular projections, had a mass of 358 ± 96 kD (n = 1,394; Fig. 6 a). The above data allow three estimates to be made for the mass of the RC: considering the mass of 20S-RC$_2$ and the mass of 20S-RC$_1$, mass of one RC, 885 ± 250 kD (SE = ± 12 kD); considering the mass of 20S-RC$_1$ and the calibration value for the 20S proteasome, mass of one RC, 902 ± 164 kD (SE = ± 4 kD); and considering the mass of 20S-RC$_2$ and the calibration value for the 20S proteasome, mass of one RC, 894 ± 144 kD (SE = ± 8 kD). The overall average mass of the RC is 894 ± 196 kD with a SE of ± 9 kD. Although experimental data were present in this mass range, indicating the existence of some free RCs, the absence of a well-defined peak on the histogram prohibited a more direct measurement of the RC mass.

This result of 894 kD correlates well with the calculated mass of the RC (932 kD), obtained by summation of the 18 individual subunits (see Table II).

**Deubiquitylating Activity of p37A and the 26S Proteasome**

The cDNA encoding p37A was subcloned into pET22b, after fusing a Histidine-tag to its COOH terminus, and expressed in E. coli. The recombinant protein could be purified under native conditions and was assayed for UCH enzymatic activity using U b-A M C as a substrate (Dang et al., 1998). Similar to the control enzyme, U CH-L3, p37A hydrolyzed the fluorogenic substrate, and was completely inhibited by U b-A I when added at an equimolar concentration (Fig. 7 a). Purified 26S proteasomes were assayed in the same manner and also found to exhibit U CH enzymatic activity (Fig. 7 b). This activity could be blocked by the addition of U b-A I, but was not affected by the 20S proteasome inhibitor, lactacystin (Fenteany et al., 1995), which rules out the possibility that the 20S core contributes to the release of free A M C.

**Mapping the Position of p37A Within the RC by Electron Microscopy**

In mapping of p37A, we have taken advantage of the specific binding of the U CH inhibitor, U b-A I. The U b-A I was conjugated to colloidal gold particles with a diameter of 3.5 nm. The gold particles are sufficiently electron dense to be clearly visible, even in negatively stained preparations. To obtain specific and stable conjugates, the side chain of lysine residues of U b-A I was extended by reacting the terminal amino group with 2-iminothiolane to give a stable binding to the colloidal gold particles was then achieved through a covalent gold-sulfur bond.

A negative electron micrograph of negatively stained 26S proteasomes, which were labeled with U b-A I conjugated to 3.5-nm colloidal gold particles, is shown in Fig. 8 a. About 50% of the 26S complexes have at least one bound gold particle, whereas unbound gold particles are not detected.

---

**Figure 6.** STEM mass measurements. Data from the four experiments performed were individually scaled to the 20S proteasome mass, 721 kD, and pooled to yield the histograms shown. a, The peaks fall at 358 ± 96 kD (n = 1,394; for the small particles with an almost circular projection); b, 721 ± 55 kD for the 20S proteasome top-views (internal mass standard); c, 1,623 ± 155 kD (n = 2,003) for 20S-RC$_1$; and d, 2,508 ± 196 kD (n = 286) for the bone-shaped 26S projections, 20S-RC$_2$.
The Journal of Cell Biology, Volume 150, 2000 126

Fig. 8 b shows a gallery of aligned 26S proteasomes carrying a gold particle on either one or both sides of the complex. 2D image analysis (averages obtained after rotational and translational alignment, followed by MSA/classification) clearly maps p37A to the interface between the proximal and the distal mass of the RC (Fig. 6 c), i.e., to the interface between the two RC subcomplexes, the base and the lid (Glickman et al., 1998b).

Discussion

Our understanding of the structure and function of the 26S proteasome advances at a relatively slow pace; even establishing the subunit composition is not a trivial task, given the labile nature of the complex. The bovine and human 26S complexes have been investigated in some detail and the primary structures of many of their ~18 electrophoretically distinct subunits in the mass range of 20–110 kD have been reported (DeMartino et al., 1994; Dubiel et al., 1995; Tanaka and Tsurumi, 1997). For yeast, a set of 18 RC subunits has been described (Glickman et al., 1998a); unfortunately, it is difficult to obtain structurally homogenous preparations of the complex from yeast. Drosophila embryos have been shown to be a particularly rich source of 26S proteasomes (Udvardy, 1993), and they yield preparations that are sufficiently homogenous for structural studies (Walz et al., 1998). Initial studies with Drosophila RCs identified 12 subunits on 1D gels that were named...
p110 to p37 (Haracska and Udvardy, 1996). However, complete primary structures have to date only been reported for p54 (Haracska and Udvardy, 1995) and p42C (DUG; Mounkes and Fuller, 1998).

To provide a platform for an in-depth structural analysis of the Drosophila RC, we established the subunit composition of purified 26S proteasomes by 2D gel electrophoresis and subsequent amino acid sequence analysis. In total, 18 subunits were found to constitute a single RC. 17 of them have homologues among the known yeast and mammalian RC subunits; hence, it can be assumed that none of these proteins is a contaminant and all are integral parts of the Drosophila RC. p37A, the only subunit missing in yeast, has homologues in mammalian 26S proteasomes (Xu, W., and R.E. Cohen, personal communication). The mammalian subunits, S5b (Deveraux et al., 1995) and p28 (Hori et al., 1998), as well as yeast Rpn4 (Fujimuro et al., 1998), have not been consistently found in 26S proteasomes from other organisms. In the Drosophila RC, we found no homologues of these three subunits, in agreement with their absence in Drosophila EST cDNA libraries.

It is hard to assert that the 18 proteins listed in Table II represent the full complement of the Drosophila RC subunits, as subunits may be lost during purification or escape detection on 2D gels. But the close agreement between their summed masses (932 kD) and the experimentally determined mass (894 kD) suggests that the proteins derived from 2D gels indeed represent the complete set of RC subunits from our purified 26S proteasomes. It is interesting to note that in the STEM measurements, the SDs of the mass values were somewhat larger than expected from statistical background fluctuations, with the exception of the 20S particles where the SD is very close to the theoretically expected value. This indicates that the 20S core complex is stoichiometrically well defined, while the RCs display some heterogeneity. We did not find isolated and structurally well defined particles in the range of intact RCs. Instead, we found a sizeable fraction of roughly spherical particles yielding a broad peak with a maximum at 358 kD. Probably various subcomplexes of the RC (base, lid) contribute to this slightly asymmetric peak.

Most, but not all known RC subunits have been detected in all eukaryotic organisms investigated so far; hence, there seems to be a set of constitutive proteins that are essential for functional 26S proteasomes, and in addition, facultative proteins. The latter may only be expressed in certain organisms or in a tissue-dependent manner at specific developmental stages. Some of these facultative subunits may associate only transiently with the RC, and it will depend critically on the time point when a sample is taken whether they are detected or not. In fact, transient binding to the RC has been reported for Doa4 (Papa et al., 1999) and Ap-uch (Hegde et al., 1997), and also the bona fide subunit p54 is present in free and RC-bound form (Haracska and Udvardy, 1995). Therefore, it appears unlikely that a universal number of subunits building the RC can be given.

Upon binding of ubiquitylated protein to the 26S proteasome, ubiquitin is usually recycled by means of deubiquitylating enzymes. Indeed, two different deubiquitylating activities have been reported to occur within the 26S proteasome. An early study described a 30-kD ubiquitin COOH-terminal hydrolase in the RC of rabbit reticulocytes that cleaves off the remnant of target proteins from the ubiquitin chains in the course of degradation (Eytan et al., 1993). More recently, an isopeptidase activity was found that shortens ubiquitin chains conjugated to target proteins by repeated removal of distal ubiquitins (La m et al., 1997a,b). Thus, the degradation signal of the protein is removed and poorly or erroneously ubiquitylated proteins may be rescued from proteolysis. A member of the UCH family of deubiquitylating enzymes, UCH 37, is thought to be responsible for this so-called editing activity of the mammalian RC (Xu, W., and R.E. Cohen, personal communication).

We found the homologue of human UCH 37 in Drosophila 26S proteasomes, which we named p37A, and expressed in E. coli for further characterization. Like other recombinant proteins of this family, it cleaves the model substrate Ub-AMC and is inhibited by Ub-Al. Thus, Drosophila p37A is probably different from 30-kD UCH found in proteasomes from rabbit reticulocytes, since the latter is insensitive to Ub-Al (Eytan et al., 1993). We do not know yet what type of conjugates Drosophila p37A prefers as substrates, but like other UCHs, it may cleave ubiquitin from peptides or small protein remnants only (Wilkinson, 1997). However, it has been shown for Drosophila UCH-D (Roff et al., 1996) that UCH activity may not be restricted to small leaving groups. In addition, substrate preferences may differ between the free protein and the protein integrated into the RC. Recombinant UCH 37, for instance, has typical UCH specificity, i.e., it removes an intact ubiquitin chain from a ubiquitin-protein conjugate, whereas UCH 37 embedded in the 19S complex shortens a ubiquitin chain from the distal end by removing ubiquitin moieties one by one, as mentioned above (Xu, W., and R.E. Cohen, personal communication).

Since we found similar enzymatic activity and inhibition profiles with native 26S proteasomes and with recombinant p37A, we assume that p37A is at least in part responsible for the deubiquitylation of proteasome-bound conjugates. The fact that no homologue exists in the yeast genome suggests that p37A is not an essential subunit of the RC, and it cannot be excluded that there are additional subunits that exhibit deubiquitylating activity. Subunit p37B is a candidate that could confer deubiquitylating activity to 26S proteasomes, since it has some sequence similarity to ubiquitin-specific processing proteases (UBP), the second family of deubiquitylating enzymes (Wilkinson, 1997). Whether p37B and its homologues, Rpn11 and S13, possess any enzymatic activity has hitherto not been shown experimentally. Since recombinant Pd1, the p37B homologue in S. pombe, shows no deubiquitylating activity (Penney et al., 1998), it remains questionable whether p37B and its homologues are indeed functional UBP s. Recently, it was shown that a sizeable fraction of Doa4, a 100-kD UBP, copurifies with yeast 26S proteasomes (Papa et al., 1999); however, a Doa4 homologue is not present in our preparations.

Besides p37A and UCH 37, the only other UCH for which an association with 26S proteasomes has been reported is Ap-uch. Ap-uch is a neuron-specific protein, which is induced during long-term facilitation in Aplysia...
nervous tissue and which binds transiently to 26S proteasomes (Hegde et al., 1997). We did not find an A-puch homologue in our preparations, but could have missed it; because of its small molecular mass, it might be difficult to separate from the 14 subunits of the 20S proteasome. Whether p37A is a constitutive component of the RC, or is only expressed during embryonic stages of the Drosophila development remains to be established. UCH-D, for instance, is only present in high levels during the first four hours of embryogenesis, a rapid decline to low levels follows thereafter (Zhang et al., 1993).

A high-resolution map of the Drosophila 26S proteasome exists (Walz et al., 1998), the mapping of specific subunits to this structural framework is only in its beginnings. There is evidence from biochemical and genetic studies that the six AT Pases, all members of the AAA ATPase superfamily, are closely associated with each other; by way of analogy to other members of the AAA ATPase family, it has been inferred that the six paralogs form a heterohexamer ring (Voges et al., 1999). Supposing that they act as reverse chaperones, unfolding substrates before their translocation into the 20S proteolytic core, they were tentatively mapped to the interface between 20S core and the remainder of the RC (Lupas et al., 1993). A recent combination of genetic, biochemical, and structural approach has provided more definitive insights into the structural organization of the RC (Glickman et al., 1998b). In yeast, the RC has been dissected into two distinct subcomplexes, the base and the lid. The base, which is proximal to the 20S core complex, indeed comprises the six AT Pases and, in addition, the two largest subunits, Rpn1 and Rpn2. The eight remaining subunits were assigned to the lid. The interaction between the base and the lid is destabilized by deletion of the subunit Rpn10. Therefore, it can be assumed that Rpn10 is critically involved in providing a structural linkage. Otherwise, the role of Rpn10 is enigmatic: it binds mult ubiquitin chains in vitro (Deveraux et al., 1994), but is dispensable in vivo for the degradation of ubiquitylated proteins (Van Nocker et al., 1996). This could be reconciled if one assumes that other subunits, probably in the lid, are responsible for the initial binding of ubiquitylated proteins, while Rpn10 stabilizes the interaction further downstream in the process.

Having identified p37A as a bona fide component of the Drosophila RC, we have mapped its location by EM. To this end, we have taken advantage of the specific binding of the UCH inhibitor U-b A1 to its target. By coupling U-b A1 to 3-nm colloidal gold particles, a strong signal was generated that was clearly visible, even on unprocessed electron micrographs. On averaged images, the U-b A1 gold conjugates map to the neck region of the dragon-head motif, i.e., the hinge between the base and the lid. This is the region where we also assume that Rpn10 is located (see above). Thus, it appears that both the binding of mult ubiquitin chains and deubiquitylation are spatially closely related and it is also functionally coupled. One could envisage a scenario in which ubiquitylated proteins initially bind to the lid subcomplex. While being transferred to the base where the substrate is prepared for its feeding into the 20S core, Rpn10 prevents its escape while p37A recycles bound ubiquitin.
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