Geospatial (s)tools: integration of advanced epidemiological sampling and novel diagnostics
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Abstract. Large-scale control and progressive elimination of a wide variety of parasitic diseases is moving to the fore. Indeed, there is good pace and broad political commitment. Yet, there are some worrying signs ahead, particularly the anticipated declines in funding and coverage of key interventions, and the paucity of novel tools and strategies. Further and intensified research and development is thus urgently required. We discuss advances in epidemiological sampling, diagnostic tools and geospatial methodologies. We emphasise the need for integrating sound epidemiological designs (e.g. cluster-randomised sampling) with innovative diagnostic tools and strategies (e.g. Mini-FLOTAC for detection of parasitic elements and pooling of biological samples) and high-resolution geospatial tools. Recognising these challenges, standardisation of quality procedures, and innovating, validating and applying new tools and strategies will foster and sustain long-term control and eventual elimination of human and veterinary public health issues.
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Introduction

Human and veterinary parasitology both present a number of challenges with regard to the necessary development of innovative tools that are required for an effective control and surveillance of a wide variety of diseases. What’s more, bold objectives have been set for the elimination and eradication of malaria and a host of neglected tropical diseases (Alonso et al., 2011; WHO, 2012; Rollinson et al., 2013). Hence, there is a need to continue and intensify research and development for new and improved tools and strategies (e.g. drugs, vaccines and diagnostics) (Prichard et al., 2012; Alonso and Tanner, 2013). The link with geospatial methodologies provides support that moves activities forward (Utzinger et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2012). However, there is often a tendency to emphasise drug treatment and vaccines, while the importance of standardisation of geospatial strategies and diagnostics is neglected (Rinaldi et al., 2006; Bergquist et al., 2009; Solomon et al., 2012). It follows that further impetus for research on geospatial technology and links with more sensitive, standardised diagnostic techniques must take place.

Linking epidemiology, diagnosis and geospatial tools

General considerations

Modern epidemiological designs and innovative diagnostic tools applied at different spatial scales – from territorial levels to single villages (farms) and at the unit of the host (human and animal) – are strongly needed for bridging scientific advances and public health in developing countries and the industrialised world alike. Global availability of geospatial health resource data and improved software analysis methodologies have enabled the development of digital “health maps” and transmission models for several parasitic infections of animals and humans (Brooker, 2007; Bergquist and Rinaldi, 2010; Soares Magalhães et al., 2011; Utzinger et al., 2011; Basáñez et al., 2012; Kelly et al., 2012; Malone and Bergquist, 2012; Pullan et al., 2012).

Representation of epidemiological data in the form of a map facilitates interpretation, synthesis and recognition of any changing frequency and pattern of infected cases and the appearance of clusters of parasitological phenomena. Moreover, maps are a convenient tool to foster discussion and dialogue among different
stakeholders, particularly in connection with multi-
criteria decision analysis (Pfeiffer et al., 2008; Hongoh et al., 2011). However, when planning cross-sectional
surveys, the use of spatial sampling strategies is neither
often used for human infectious and parasitic diseases,
nor in veterinary interventions. There is also a need for
standardisation of multi-scale spatial sampling strate-
gies based on the assumption that the sample (e.g. school, village or farm) is selected based on geo-
graphic location and local characteristics, such as climate,
environment and management (an example is provid-
ed in Fig. 1). In the same sense, accurate diagnosis of
parasitic infections is of pivotal importance for both
individual patient management and population-based
studies, such as drug efficacy trials and surveillance of
parasitic disease control and elimination programmes,
in both human and veterinary public health (Bergquist et al., 2009; Cringoli et al., 2010; Johansen et al.,
2010). Moreover, the rigorous assessment of drug effi-
cacy, monitoring community effectiveness of disease
control interventions, verification of local elimination and early detection of resurgence depend strongly on
the accuracy of diagnostic tools and sampling efforts
(The malERA Consultative Group on Diagnoses and
Diagnostics, 2011; McCarthy et al., 2012; Solomon et
al., 2012).

Geospatial tools, including geographical information
systems (GIS) and satellite-based technologies such as
remote sensing and global positioning systems (GPS),
coupled with geostatistical approaches, are increasing-
ly and successfully applied at different levels from sam-
pling to risk profiling of parasitic diseases (Rinaldi et
al., 2006; Brooker, 2007; Simoonga et al., 2009;
Machault et al., 2011; Utzinger et al., 2011). Indeed,
this represents an innovative and useful way to com-
municate finding to field researchers and decision-mak-
ers and it is a powerful approach that also addresses
the spatial targeting of parasite control, including the
choice of treatment to be applied. The use of GIS and
other geospatial tools, however, does by no means
overcome the major concern of any empirical research,
namely (parasitological) data quality (reviewed in
Rinaldi et al., 2006). Therefore, achievement of high
accuracy with regard to diagnosis of parasitic infec-
tions requires harmonisation of standardised protocols
and multivalent techniques that are characterised by
high sensitivity, specificity, precision, reproducibility
and have the capacity to rapidly detect and monitor
infections that pose human and veterinary public
health problems (Cringoli et al., 2010; TDR

Implementation of standard protocols would lead to
a more rigorous validation of the different diagnostic
assays in use so that they can be employed with a bet-
ter level of confidence at the different stages of control
interventions (Bergquist et al., 2009). In addition,
cost-effectiveness and sustainability are key issues on
which standardised spatial sampling criteria (e.g. sys-
tematic grid sampling, proportional allocation, etc.)
and diagnostic approaches should be based when con-
ducting cross-sectional surveys in human and veteri-
nary parasitology.

Fig. 1. An example of a geo-referenced sample (e.g. farm indicated by letter A) selected for a parasitological cross-sectional survey in base of geographic location and local characteristics (in yellow delimitation of pastures for remote sensing photo-interpretation).
Challenges and solutions ahead

The international economic crisis and the resulting decline of research funds impose the need to resolve issues at considerably lower costs taking into account the logistical difficulties in conducting field surveys in human and veterinary parasitology. The standardisation and harmonisation of the use of innovative epidemiological and geospatial approaches (e.g. GIS, GPS and remote sensing) and novel diagnostic tools (e.g. the recently developed Mini-FLOTAC; see Cringoli et al. (2012); Fig. 2) for multivalent faecal egg counts (FECs) is advocated to standardise sustainable procedures and strategies for monitoring, surveillance and control of infections by parasitic organisms in animals and humans in the light of a geospatial-based “One Health” approach (Rinaldi et al., 2012).

The approach of sampling as recommended for human parasitology, especially for public health applications pertaining to helminthiasis, is usually based on choosing 5-10 schools in a target area and examining a random sample of 50 children from any of three upper classes (WHO, 2006). Cluster sampling (e.g. lot quality assurance sampling) or using sentinel surveillance sites are alternative approaches (Brooker et al., 2005; Steimann et al., 2010; Belizario et al., 2013). These sampling strategies enable to extrapolate the magnitude and distribution of a given helminth infection within a circumcised geographical area, such as a district or an entire country. The World Health Organization recommends schools as sentinel sites, because the education system provides a readily accessible and convenient platform, and because school-aged children are at highest risk of helminth infection. Schools should be chosen in a homogeneous agro-ecological zone where transmission is more or less similar (WHO, 2006). The idea of ecological zone is not just based on climatic and environmental conditions but also driven by socio-economic parameters and population density. However, the criteria for identification and selection of homogeneous ecological zones are not clearly defined. Geospatial tools might help in defining them, and great progress has been made by designing open-access global databases for mapping, control and surveillance of helminthiases and other neglected tropical diseases, including web-based tools that show available data and matched remote sensing and geospatial information and model-based risk maps (Brooker et al., 2010; Hürlimann et al., 2011).

Geostatistical methods that take into account ecology and epidemiology of parasites and vectors/intermediate hosts have been discussed for malaria, soil-transmitted helminthiasis, schistosomiasis and other parasitic infections and proven to be an attractive model to predict parasite distribution and subsequently guide public health interventions (Brooker, 2007; Simoonga et al., 2009; Machault et al., 2011; Patil et al., 2011; Pullan et al., 2012). A promising approach to sampling inherited from veterinary parasitology is application of pooling of biological samples, such as blood, faeces and urine (Whittington et al., 2000; Mekonnen et al., 2013). Recently, such pooling approaches have been applied to fresh stool sample using the McMaster technique and to sodium acetate-acetic acid-formalin (SAF)-fixed faecal sample for the detection of intestinal parasites in man and results indicated that this is an efficient and potentially cost-effective strategy (Gaafar, 2011; Mekonnen et al., 2013). We applaud ongoing efforts to develop a mathematical framework that determines infection prevalence, which in turn can guide researchers and health decision makers to calculate sample size for egg reduction rate and lot quality assurance sampling. A web-based model for data entry calculating the prevalence, intensity and proportion of heavy intensity infections is under development.

Mini-FLOTAC

Recent studies pertaining to drug efficacy evaluation and detection of low-intensity intestinal parasite infections in animals and humans are pointing to the urge for low-cost, sensitive, accurate and easy-to-perform quantitative tests to be used in veterinary and public health (Knopp et al., 2008; Levecke et al., 2011). Mini-FLOTAC is a logical evolution of the FLOTAC technique (Cringoli et al., 2010), conceived in order to perform multivalent FECs for large-scale surveys in

Fig. 2. Mini-FLOTAC under a light microscope.
laboratories with limited resources (i.e. where neither centrifugation nor other basic equipment are available). Mini-FLOTAC is particularly tailored for epidemiological monitoring and surveillance, where large numbers of faecal samples must be rapidly, yet reliably examined. Its user-friendly approach and high reproducibility rests on its simple design, which is based on only two components, the base and the reading disc. However, the device includes also two 1-ml flotation chambers designed for optimal examination of faecal sample suspensions (total volume = 2 ml). It is recommended that Mini-FLOTAC be used in combination with Fill-FLOTAC, a disposable sampling kit, which consists of a container, a collector and a filter (Fig. 3). Hence, Fill-FLOTAC facilitates the performance of the first four consecutive steps of the Mini-FLOTAC technique, i.e. sample collection and weighing, homogenisation, filtration and filling. The five steps of the Mini-FLOTAC technique are depicted in Fig. 4.

Two operational advantages of the Mini-FLOTAC and Fill-FLOTAC in respect to currently more widely used diagnostic techniques, such as Kato-Katz and McMaster are that (i) it operates in a closed system and (ii) it can be performed on fixed faecal samples. Both conditions allow, firstly, the protection of the operator from specific health hazards due to the manipulation of fresh stools samples and, secondly, offer an opportunity to processing samples not immediately after collection, but days or weeks after transfer to the laboratory. This is an important logistic advantage, which eases field work where laboratories are far away from collection sites (King et al., 2013), and also permits smooth performance of quality control.

Preliminary results show that Mini-FLOTAC is a promising technique for detecting and counting helminth eggs in animals and humans, and can be used in place of the FLOTAC technique (Cringoli et al., 2010), in laboratories where the centrifugation step cannot be performed. Mini-FLOTAC has been already validated in veterinary parasitology for the diagnosis of helminths (e.g. ascarids, hookworms, trichurids, gastro-intestinal nematodes and liver flukes) in pets and livestock (Cringoli, 2012; Cringoli et al., 2012). More recently, Mini-FLOTAC has been extended to human parasitology and broad-scale validation is underway for the diagnosis of major nematodes (e.g. soil-transmitted helminths) and trematodes (e.g. *Schistosoma*) parasitising man in different parts of the world. The results of this validation will be published in dedicated research papers to soon appear in the peer-reviewed international literature.

### Outlook

We are confident that the use of geospatial tools, coupled with state-of-the-art epidemiological sampling and innovations in diagnostics (e.g. Mini-FLOTAC) will help the advancement and standardisation of quality procedures for human and veterinary public health. The need for an accurate diagnosis, be it for rapid appraisal of high-risk areas, quantification of disease occurrence and burden, evaluation of control interventions, surveillance or verification of elimination, cannot be overemphasised.

Hence, standardised sampling and diagnostic procedures are required at different spatial levels, namely:

(i) at the local level: knowledge of the epidemiological scenario of parasites in a given territory;

(ii) at the national level: storage and analysis of parasitological information to facilitate local decision-making;

(iii) at the regional level: regional early warning, regional support and co-ordination; and

(iv) at the global level: risk modelling, trend monitoring and early warning systems.
The future of geospatial “(s)tools” is already taking place now. A plethora of new technologies and web-based platforms are available for scientists, including virtual microscopy (Linder et al., 2008), virtual globes (Stensgaard et al., 2009) and vHealth (Bergquist and Tanner, 2012). These tools and technologies allow us to think differently a polyparasitic world!
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